### **ATTACHMENT 1: DRAFT CRITERIA WEIGHTING - ADDING 100 POINTS**

Criteria	Traffic Mgmt. Tech.	Spot Mobility & Safety	Strategic Capacity	Roadway Recon / Mod	Roadway Bridges	Transit Exp	Transit Mod.	TDM	Multi-Use Trails & Bike Facility	Ped. Facility	Safe Routes to School
Role in the Regional System	<del>16<u>15</u>%</del>	10%*	<del>19<u>18</u>%</del>	<mark>109</mark> %	<del>18<u>16</u>%</del>	<del>9<u>8</u>%</del>	<mark>98</mark> %	<del>18<u>17</u>%</del>	<del>18<u>17</u>%</del>	<u>1413</u> %	
Usage	<del>11<u>10</u>%</del>		<del>16<u>15</u>%</del>	<del>16<u>15</u>%</del>	<del>12<u>11</u>%</del>	<del>32<u>29</u>%</del>	<del>30<u>27</u>%</del>	<mark>98</mark> %	<del>18<u>17</u>%</del>	<del>14<u>13</u>%</del>	<del>23</del> 21%
Safety	<del>18</del> 25%	<del>30<u>36</u>%</del>	<del>14<u>21</u>%</del>	<del>16</del> 23%					<del>23</del> 29%	<del>27<u>33</u>%</del>	<del>23</del> 29%
Congestion /Air Quality	<del>18<u>17</u>%</del>	<del>25</del> 23%	<del>14<u>13</u>%</del>	7%*		<del>18<u>17</u>%</del>	<del>5</del> 4%	<del>27<u>33</u>%</del>			
Infrastructure Age	<mark>76</mark> %		4 <u>3</u> %	<del>16<u>15</u>%</del>	<del>36<u>33</u>%</del>						
Equity and Housing Performance	<del>9</del> 8%	<mark>98</mark> %	<del>9<u>8</u>%</del>	<mark>98</mark> %	<mark>98</mark> %	<del>18<u>17</u>%</del>	<del>16<u>15</u>%</del>	<del>14<u>13</u>%</del>	<del>11<u>10</u>%</del>	<del>11<u>10</u>%</del>	<del>11<u>10</u>%</del>
Multimodal Facilities	<del>5</del> 4%	<del>9</del> 8%	<del>9<u>8</u>%</del>	<del>10<u>9</u>%</del>	<del>9<u>17</u>%</del>	<del>9<u>17</u>%</del>	<del>9<u>8</u>%</del>		<mark>98</mark> %	<del>14<u>13</u>%</del>	
Risk Assessment	<del>7</del> 6%	<del>7</del> 6%	<mark>76</mark> %	<mark>76</mark> %	<mark>76</mark> %	<del>5</del> 4%	<mark>54</mark> %	<del>5<u>4</u>%</del>	<del>12<u>11</u>%</del>	<del>12<u>11</u>%</del>	<del>12<u>11</u>%</del>
Relationship Between SRTS Elements											<del>23<u>21</u>%</del>
Transit Improvements							<del>18<u>25</u>%</del>				
TDM Innovation								<del>18<u>17</u>%</del>			
Cost Effectiveness	<mark>98</mark> %	<mark>98</mark> %	<mark>98</mark> %	<mark>9</mark> 8%	<mark>9</mark> 8%	<mark>98</mark> %	<mark>98</mark> %	<mark>98</mark> %	<mark>98</mark> %	<mark>98</mark> %	<mark>9</mark> 8%
Total Points <del>1,100</del>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>

\*Some criteria show no change due to rounding to the nearest integer.

## **ATTACHMENT 1A: ROADWAY MEASURES**

Criteria and Measures	Traffic Mgmt	Spot Mob.	Strat Cap.	Recon/Mod	Bridge
Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy	175	115	210	105	195
Distance to the nearest parallel bridge					100
Congestion, Adjacent Congestion, or PA Intersection Conversion Study Priorities		70	80		
Functional Classification of project	50				
Connection to Total Jobs, Manu/Dist. Jobs, and Post-Secondary Students			50	65	30
Integration within existing traffic management systems	50				
Highway Truck Corridor Tiers	50	45	80	40	65
Coordination with other agencies	25				
Usage	125		175	175	130
Current daily person throughput	85		110	110	100
Forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume	40		65	65	30
Equity and Housing Performance	100	100	100	100	100
Engagements	30	30	30	30	30
Benefits and Impacts to Disadvantaged Populations	40	40	40	40	40
Affordable Housing Access	30	30	30	30	30
Infrastructure Age/Condition	75		40	175	400
Date of construction			40	50	
Upgrades to obsolete equipment	75				
Geometric, structural, or infrastructure deficiencies				125	
Bridge Sufficiency Rating					300
Load-Posting					100
Congestion Reduction/Air Quality	200	275	150	80	
Vehicle delay reduced		200	100	50	
Congested roadway (V/C Ratio)	150				
Kg of emissions reduced		75	50	30	
Emissions and congestion benefits of project	50				
Safety	<del>200</del> 300	<del>335<u>4</u>35</del>	<del>150</del> 250	<del>180</del> 280	
Crashes reduced	<del>50</del> 100	<del>235</del> 285	<del>120</del> 170	<del>150</del> 200	
Safety issues in project area	<del>150</del> 200				
Pedestrian Crash Reduction (Proactive)		<del>100</del> 150	<del>30</del> 80	<del>30</del> 80	
Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections	50	100	100	110	<del>100</del> 200
Transit, bicycle, pedestrian, elements and connections	50	100	100	110	<del>100</del> 200
Risk Assessment	75	75	75	75	75
Risk Assessment Form	75	75	75	75	75
Cost Effectiveness	100	100	100	100	100
Cost effectiveness (total points awarded/total project cost)	100	100	100	100	100
Total	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100

# ATTACHMENT 1B: TRANSIT MEASURES

	Transit	Transit
Criteria and Measures	Expansion	Modernization
Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy	100	100
Connection to Jobs and Educational Institutions	50	50
Average number of weekday transit trips connected to the project	50	50
Usage	350	325
Existing Riders		325
New Annual Riders	350	
Equity and Housing Performance	200	175
Engagements	60	50
Benefits and Impacts to Disadvantaged Populations	80	75
Affordable Housing Access	60	50
Emissions Reduction	200	50
Total emissions reduced	200	50
Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections	<del>100</del> 200	100
Bicycle and pedestrian elements of the project and connections	<del>100</del> 200	100
Risk Assessment	50	50
Risk Assessment Form	50	50
Service and Customer Improvements		<del>200</del> 300
Project improvement for transit users		<del>200</del> 300
Cost Effectiveness	100	100
Cost effectiveness (total points awarded/total annual project cost)	100	100
Total	1,100	1,100

## **ATTACHMENT 1C: TDM MEASURES**

Criteria and Measures	Points
1. Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy	200
Ability to capitalize on existing regional transportation facilities and resources	200
2. Usage	100
Users	100
3. Equity and Housing Performance	150
Engagements	45
Benefits and Impacts to Disadvantaged Populations	60
Affordable Housing Access	45
4. Congestion Reduction/Air Quality	<del>300<u>400</u></del>
Congested roadways in project area	150
VMT reduced	<del>150</del> 250
5. Innovation	200
Project innovations and geographic expansion	200
6. Risk Assessment	50
Technical capacity of applicant's organization	25
Continuation of project after initial federal funds are expended	25
7. Cost Effectiveness	100
Cost effectiveness (total project cost/total points awarded)	100
Total	1,100

### **ATTACHMENT 1D: BIKE / PEDESTRIAN MEASURES**

	Multiuse		
Criteria and Measures	Trails / Bike	Pedestrian	SRTS
Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy	200	150	
Identify location of project relative to Regional Bicycle Transportation Network	200		
Connection to Jobs and Educational Institutions		150	
Potential Usage	200	150	250
Existing population and employment within 1 mile	200		
Existing population within ½ mile		150	
Average share of student population that bikes, walks, or uses transit			170
Student population within school's walkshed			80
Equity and Housing Performance	120	120	120
Engagements	36	36	36
Benefits and Impacts to Disadvantaged Populations	48	48	48
Affordable Housing Access	36	36	36
Deficiencies and Safety	<del>250</del> 350	<del>300</del> 400	<del>250</del> 350
Barriers overcome or gaps filled	<del>100</del> 150	<del>120</del> 170	<del>100<u>150</u></del>
Deficiencies corrected or safety problem addressed	<del>150</del> 200	<del>180</del> 230	<del>150</del> 200
Multimodal Facilities and Existing Connections	100	150	
Transit or pedestrian elements of the project and existing connections	100	150	
Risk Assessment/Public Engagement	130	130	130
Risk Assessment Form	130	130	85
Public Engagement			45
Relationship between Safe Routes to School Program Elements			250
Describe how project addresses6 Es of SRTS Program			150
Completion of Safe Routes to School Plan			100
Cost Effectiveness	100	100	100
Measure A-Cost effectiveness (Total project cost/total points awarded)	100	100	100
Total	<del>1,100</del> 1,200	<del>1,100</del> 1,200	<del>1,100</del> 1,200