Application

01971-2014 Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities
02254 - Mississippi River Regional Trail - Rosemount East
Regional Solicitation - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

Status:
Submitted Date:

Submitted
11/28/2014 11:26 AM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* | John |  |  | Mertens <br> Last Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name |  |
| Title: | Senior Planne |  |  |  |
| Department: |  |  |  |  |
| Email: | john.mertens@co.dakota.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 14955 Galaxi | Ave |  |  |
| * | Apple Valley | Minnesota |  | 55124 |
|  | City | State/Province |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 952-891-703 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone | Ext. |  |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional Solicitation - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities |  |  |  |

## Organization Information

## Name:

Organization Type: County Government

## Organization Website:

Address: 14955 GALAXIE AVE

* | APPLE VALLEY | Minnesota | 55124 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| City | State/Province | Postal Code/Zip |

County:

Phone:*

Fax:
PeopleSoft Vendor Number

Dakota
952-891-7545

## Project Information

Project Name
Primary County where the Project is Located
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):

Mississippi River Regional Trail - Rosemount East
Dakota

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

This application seeks funding for the final segment of the 27 mile Mississippi River Regional Trail in Dakota County. The Mississippi River Trail Rosemount East project is a 1.7 mile bike and pedestrian trail segment that includes two grade separated crossing of Union Pacific Railroad facilities. When completed, the Mississippi River Regional Trail will connect from St Paul to Hastings, with additional connections funded (2017) to Downtown St. Paul and Prescott WI. Completion of the Rosemount East segment is Dakota Countys highest priority greenway/regional trail project.

The trail will provide surface transportation infrastructure for non-motorized uses between Pine Bend Trail in Rosemount and Spring Lake Park Reserve. This will form a critical link for cyclists commuting between Hastings, Prescott, Nininger Township, Rosemount, Inver Grove Heights, South St. Paul and St. Paul. The corridor contains employment throughout, including downtown St. Paul to the north, Hastings on the south and major industry and business parks in South St. Paul, Inver Grove and Rosemount along the way.

The project will construct a 10-foot wide bituminous trail in Rosemount parallel the Mississippi River completing a gap that currently lacks safe nonmotorized infrastructure. The project will include clearing, grading, landscaping, plantings, and two pedestrian tunnels of Union Pacific tracks.

Over the past year Dakota County has been working with the Union Pacific Railroad on a design that provides grade separation of adjacent rail facilities. Attached is a letter of support from the Union Pacific.

The trail will provide outstanding recreation opportunities by providing access to the Mississippi River and many destinations in the corridor. Destinations include the Mississippi National River and Recreation Area, Spring Lake Regional Park Reserve, Pine Bend Bluffs Scientific and Natural Area, River to River Greenway, Rosemount Greenway (planned), Kaposia Landing (South St Paul park), MnDNR boat launch (South St Paul), Rock Island River Pier (Inver Grove ), Heritage Village Park (Inver Grove), historic downtown Hastings and many historic and cultural resources the length of the trail.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.
Project Length (Miles)

## 1.7

Connection to Local Planning:
Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

Connection to Local Planning
This application is supported by national/state/regional/local plans. Plans include:

- 2011 Mississippi National River and Recreation

Area - Alternative Transportation Plan (p. 7)

- 1999 Dakota County Mississippi River Regional

Trail Master Plan (all pages)
-2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (p.3-74)

- 2030 Rosemount Critical Area Plan (p. 11)
- 2030 Rosemount Park Plan (p. 26)


## Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

| If yes, please identify the source(s) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Federal Amount | \$2,240,000.00 |
| Match Amount | \$560,000.00 |
| Minimum of 20\% of project total |  |
| Project Total | \$2,800,000.00 |
| Match Percentage | 20.0\% |
| Minimum of 20\% |  |
| Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total |  |
| Source of Match Funds | Dakota County CIP |
| Preferred Program Year |  |
| Select one: | 2018 |
| Project Information |  |
| County, City, or Lead Agency | Dakota County |
| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55068 |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date | 06/01/2018 |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date | 11/30/2019 |
| LOCATION |  |
| From: <br> (Intersection or Address) | 13040 Pine Bend Trail |
| Do not include legal description; Include name of roadway if majority of facility runs adjacent to a single corridor. |  |
| To: <br> (Intersection or Address) | 13700 Pine Bend Trail |
| Type of Work | Bicycle Path, Pedestrian Tunnel (2), Grading, Aggregate, Base |
| Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface, sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge, Park \& Ride, etc.) |  |
| BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS <br> (If Applicable) |  |
| Old Bridge/Culvert? |  |
| New Bridge/Culvert? | Yes |
| Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name): | Two Pedestrian Tunnels Under UP Railroad Tracks |

## Specific Roadway Elements

Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... $\$ 125,000.00$
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... $\$ 125,000.00$
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway (aggregates and paving) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Subgrade Correction (muck) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Storm Sewer ..... $\$ 0.00$
Ponds ..... $\$ 0.00$
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Control ..... $\$ 0.00$
Striping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Signing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping ..... \$60,000.00
Bridge ..... $\$ 0.00$
Retaining Walls ..... \$150,000.00
Noise Wall ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Signals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... \$1,000,000.00
Roadway Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$1,460,000.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES
Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... \$1,200,000.00
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... \$40,000.00
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$

| Wayfinding | $\$ 0.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies | $\$ 100,000.00$ |
| Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Totals | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 4 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST EStimates
Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)Vehicles$\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$0.00
Transit Operating Costs
OPERATING COSTS ..... Cost
Transit Operating Costs ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 2,800,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 2,800,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Multiuse trails \& bicycle facilities must be between $\$ 125,000$ and $\$ 5,500,000$. Pedestrian facilities and Safe Routes to School must be between $\$ 125,000$ and \$1,000,000.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Projects

1.All projects must relate to surface transportation. As an example, for multiuse trail and bicycle facilities, surface transportation is defined as primarily serving a commuting purpose and/or that connect two destination points. A facility may serve both a transportation purpose and a recreational purpose; a facility that connects people to recreational destinations may be considered to have a transportation purpose.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.The project must exclude costs for study completion, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, or other similar costs (eligible costs include construction and materials, right-of-way, and land acquisition).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3. The project must exclude work which is required as a condition of obtaining a permit or concurrence for a different transportation project.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.Seventy percent of the project cost must fall under one of the following eligible activities:

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
For Safe Routes to School Projects Only
5.All projects must be located within a two-mile radius of the associated primary, middle, or high school site.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6.All schools benefiting from the SRTS program must conduct after-implementation surveys. These include the student tally form and the parent survey available on the National Center for SRTS website. The school(s) must submit the after-evaluation data to the National Center for SRTS within a year of the project completion date. Additional guidance regarding evaluation can be found at the MnDOT SRTS website.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
7. The applicant must have a Safe Routes to School plan or planning process established to be eligible for funding. MnDOT staff will notify Metropolitan Council staff of all agencies eligible for funding. If an applicant has a new Safe Routes to School plan and has not previously notified MnDOT Safe Routes to School staff of the plan, the applicant should contact Nicole Campbell (Nicole.M.Campbell@state.mn.us; 651-366-4180) prior to beginning an application to discuss the plan and confirm eligibility. MnDOT staff will send updated applicant eligibility information to Metropolitan Council staff, if necessary.

Check the box to indicate that the applicant understands this requirement and will contact MnDOT Safe Routes to School staff, if necessary, to confirm funding eligibility.

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dakota County_Resolution_Mn_h.pdf | Local Match Resolution | 67 KB |
| MRRT Rosemount Eastsm.pdf | Project Map | 403 KB |
| Mrrt_Rose_Regional Contextsm.pdf | Regional Context Map | 363 KB |
| UP_Support_Letter.pdf | Letter of Support - Union Pacific | 200 KB |

## Measure A: Project Location Relative to the RBTN

## Select one:

Tier 1, Priority RBTN Corridor
Tier 2, RBTN Corridor
Yes
(Tier 1 or Tier 2)
Direct connection to the RBTN

## OR

Project is not located on or directly connected to the RBTN, but is part of a local system and identified within an adopted county or city plan

Upload Map
MRRT Rosemount RBTN.pdf

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

Existing Population Within One Mile (Integer Only) 1147
Existing Employment Within One Mile (Integer Only) 1203
Completed by Metropolitan Council Staff
Total Project Cost
$\$ 2,800,000.00$
Cost Effectiveness for Population \$2,441.15
Cost Effectiveness for Employment \$2,327.51
Upload Map
MRRT Rosemount Pop Empl.pdf

## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:
Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or Yes includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

The trail is expected to attract many regional visits despite the area immediately surrounding the trail project having a low population density (in part due to the Mississippi River's width at this point). This segment completes a high-amenity destination regional trail that meanders along the Mississippi River drawing users from well beyond a one-mile buffer.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Upload Map

Dakota Countys Mississippi River Trail runs through 7 census tracts with poverty rates above the regional average; in South St Paul, Inver Grove Heights, and Hastings. With the completion of the Rosemount East segment, these low-income residents will be able recreate and commute between St. Paul and Hastings.

Ultimately a completed Mississippi River Trail will serve as the backbone to the entire regional trail system providing access for all populations to parks, jobs, schools and residential developments near the river corridor. As a regional trail the Mississippi River Trail will provide an economical opportunity to enjoy and experience the cultural and natural resources of the Mississippi River for all.

MRRT Rosemount Socio-Economic.pdf

## Measure B: Affordable Housing

City/Township Segment Length (Miles)
Rosemount
1.7

2

## Total Project Length

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| City/Township | Segment <br> Length (Miles) | Total Length <br> (Miles) | Score | Segment <br> Length/Total <br> Length | Housing Score <br> Multiplied by <br> Segment <br> percent |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rosemount | 1.7 | 1.7 | 61.0 | 1.0 | 61.0 |
|  |  | 2 | 61 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| Total Project Length (Miles) | 1.7 |
| :--- | :--- |

Total Housing Score 61.0

## Measure A: Gaps, Barriers and Continuity/Connections

Check all that apply:
Closes a Gap on or off the RBTN including improving bikeability for all age/experience levels within urban, high demand corridors that may already have a continuous bikeway facility (in urban high-demand corridors, this could include adding an off-road trail where there is only an onstreet bike lane or adding a bike lane where only a trail exists)

## Closes a Gap Yes

Provides a Facility That Crosses or Circumvents a Physical Barrier (bridge or tunnel; on or off the RBTN) including a river or stream, railroad corridor, freeway, or multi-lane highway

Provides a Facility That Crosses or Circumvents a Physical Barrier

Yes

Improves Continuity and/or Connections Between Jurisdictions (on or off the RBTN) (e.g., extending a specific bikeway facility treatment across jurisdictions to improve consistency and inherent bikeability)

Improves Continuity and/or Connections Between Jurisdictions Yes

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

This project will complete the final 1.7 mile gap in the 27 mile Mississippi River Regional Trail within Dakota County. Over 20 years and $\$ 25$ million invested there will be light at the end of the tunnel. (actually, two tunnels!)

Due to the industrial land uses near this area, bicyclists and pedestrians are not accommodated on the local road system or nearby TH 52/55. This stretch is a barrier between the residential areas and local bikeways of Inver Grove Heights north of this project and the downstream areas, including Spring Lake Park Reserve and Downtown Hastings. The Rosemount East segment is a critical link for the overall MRRT project, providing connectivity through a challenging area characterized by industrial uses, railroads, and a busy highway that serves as the areas primary thoroughfare.

The Rosemount East segment will also provide two grade-separated crossings under Union Pacific Rail lines, creating a safe, pedestrian-friendly alternative to at-grade crossings along Pine Bend Road. Eliminating the at-grade crossings of the rail facilities will allow Dakota County to receive the necessary easements required from the Union Pacific. (see attached UP letter of support)

## Measure B: Project Improvements

The Mississippi River Regional Trial Rosemount East will remove what MnDOT staff have described as the most challenging stretch for bicyclists along the Mississippi River Trail (MRT). Currently, bicyclist are encouraged to take a 10-mile detour on the interim national Mississippi River Trail (MRT) route to downtown Rosemount on the shoulders of high speed State and County roads.

In 2012 Dakota County turned back federal funding for this project due to our inability to secure the necessary easements from the Union Pacific Railroad. Since 2012 we have worked cooperatively with the Union Pacific to design two grade-separated crossing of their tracks that address railroad safety concerns. The proposed project provides a safe crossing of tracks, in addition the project will provide better separation from Pine Bend Road which serves the large CF Industries distribution center with as many as 200 semi-trucks a day.

Dakota Countys goal is to provide a safe pedestrian trail along the Mississippi River. Completion of the MRRT-Rosemount East project will achieve this 20 year plan/vision.

## Measure A: Transit Connections

Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project
N/A
Planned Transitways Directly Connected to the Project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP)
Existing Routes Indirectly Connected Within One Mile of the N/A
Project

Planned Transitways Indirectly Connected Within One Mile of the Project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the N/A 2030 TPP)

Upload Map
MRRT Rosemount Transit.pdf

## Response

Met Council Staff Data Entry Only
Route Ridership Directly Connected 0
Transitway Ridership Directly Connected 0
Route Ridership Indirectly Connected 0
Transitway Ridership Indirectly Connected 0

## Measure B: Pedestrian Connections

> The Mississippi River Regional Trail Rosemount East segment will link several destinations within the National Park Service's Mississippi National River and Recreation Area. At the west end, the segment connects to the previously funded Rosemount West segment, providing access to Pine Bend Bluffs Scientific and Natural Area. To the east, it connects the Spring Lake Park Reserve segment of the Mississippi River Trail that will be under construction this winter. The Spring Lake Park segment will be a highlight and destination for all users of the Mississippi River Trail.

This segment provides connectivity through a problematic area characterized by industrial uses, high-quality natural resources, and a busy highway that serves as the areas primary thoroughfare. Completing this segment is essential for the MRRT, as the immediate area is unlikely to be served by other pedestrian or bicycle trails in the near future. At its endpoints, the full MRRT will connect to major bicycle-pedestrian trails in South St. Paul and in Hastings It will function as a backbone to connect local bikeway systems and parks in five river communities of eastern Dakota County (South St. Paul, Inver Grove Heights, Rosemount, Nininger, and Hastings). Beyond Dakota County the Mississippi River Trail continues north through St. Paul and east to Prescott with funded projects in 2017.

## Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

 River Trail, as the immediate area is unlikely to be served by other pedestrian or bicycle trails in the near future.Transit will not directly serve the Rosemount East segment but as part of the overall Mississippi River Trail transit is integrated. Transit hubs at Hastings and Newport along with transit services along Concord Ave. will provide direct access to portions of the Mississippi River Trail in Dakota County. Future Red Rock Corridor transit will ultimately serve as a parallel mode between Hastings and St. Paul providing the ability to bike one-way and take transit the other.

Along its route, the MRRT also will function as a backbone to connect local bikeway systems and parks in five river communities of eastern Dakota County (South St. Paul, Inver Grove Heights, Rosemount, Nininger, and Hastings). The MRRT within Dakota County is a 10ft. off road paved trail that is grade separated from most major road and railroad crossings.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)

Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred

Stakeholders have been identified

```
40%
```

Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted
0\%
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)

Layout or Preliminary Plan completed Yes
100\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan started
50\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)

EIS
EA
PM Yes
Document Status:

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)

Document submitted to State Aid for review

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified
50\%
Document not started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no
historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated
Yes

80\%
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%

Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; $6 f$ is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water
Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)
No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area Yes

## 100\%

Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section 4 f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80\%
Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources likely
$30 \%$
Unknown impacts to Section $4 \mathrm{f} / 6 \mathrm{f}$ resources in the project area 0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required
100\%
Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired
100\%
Right-of-way or easements required, offers made
75\%
Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made
Yes
50\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified
25\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified
0\%
Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed
0\%
Anticipated date or date of acquisition
10/31/2016
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)
$100 \%$

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

40\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
10/31/2016
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)

100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review
75\%
Construction plans in progress; at least 30\% completion
50\%
Construction plans have not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
10/31/2016
9)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date

## Approval Of Grant Application Submittals For Transportation Advisory Board 2014 Federal Funding Solicitation Process

WHEREAS, the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) is requesting project submittals for federal funding under the Moving Ahead for Progress in the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Act (MAP-21); and

WHEREAS, these federal programs fund up to 80 percent of project construction costs; and
WHEREAS, federal funding of projects reduces the burden local taxpayers for regional improvements; and
WHEREAS, non-federal funds must be at least 20 percent of the project costs; and
WHEREAS, project submittals are due on December 1, 2014; and
WHEREAS, all projects proposed are consistent with the adopted Dakota County Comprehensive Plan.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Dakota County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the following County lead projects for submittal to the TAB for federal funding:

1. County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 9 (Dodd Boulevard) from Hayes Avenue to CSAH 23 (Cedar Avenue) in Lakeville
2. CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road) at its intersection with CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue) in Eagan
3. CSAH 26 ( $70^{\text {th }}$ Street) at its intersection with Trunk Highway (TH) 3 in Inver Grove Heights
4. CSAH 28/63 (Yankee Doodle Road/Argenta Trail) from south of TH 55 to south of CSAH 26 ( $70^{\text {th }}$ Street)
5. CSAH 31 (Pilot Knob Road) from I-35E to north of Central Parkway in Eagan
6. CSAH 42 ( $145^{\text {th }}$ Street East) at its interchange with TH 52 in Rosemount
7. CSAH 23 (Foliage Avenue) from CSAH 86 ( $280^{\text {th }}$ Street) to CR 96 ( $320^{\text {th }}$ Street) in Greenvale Township
8. CSAH 86 ( $280^{\text {th }}$ Street) from CSAH 23 (Galaxie Avenue) to TH 3 in Eureka, Greenvale, Castle Rock, and Waterford Townships
9. CSAH 86 ( $280^{\text {th }}$ Street) from TH 3 to CSAH 47(Northfield Blvd) in Castle Rock, Waterford, and Sciota Townships
10. CSAH 31 and CSAH 46 Advanced Traffic Management System for 16 Signals
11. CSAH 26, CSAH 28, CSAH 31, and CSAH 43 Advanced Traffic Management System for 25 Signals
12. Mississippi River Regional Trail - Rosemount East
13. Minnesota River Greenway - Eagan South
14. North Creek Greenway - CSAH 42 Underpass east of Flagstaff in Apple Valley
15. CSAH 14 (Southview Boulevard) from $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue in South St. Paul; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That, subject to federal funding award, the Dakota County Board of Commissioners would be asked to consider authorization to execute the grant agreement at a future meeting; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Dakota County Board of Commissioners hereby supports the following submittals by others:
16. Apple Valley Transit Station Parking Expansion - Lead Agency: Minnesota Valley Transit Authority
17. CSAH 73 (Oakdale Ave) Trail from CSAH 14 to CSAH 8 - Lead Agency: West St. Paul
18. River to River Greenway Robert Street Pedestrian Bridge - Lead Agency: West St. Paul
19. North Creek Greenway - Farmington Gap - Lead Agency: Farmington
20. Lake Marion Greenway - Sunset Park to Murphy Hanrehan Regional Park - Lead Agency: Burnsville
21. Lake Marion Greenway - Ritter Farm Park Connection - Lead Agency: Lakeville
22. Rosemount Greenway - Downtown Rosemount to Lebanon Hills - Lead Agency: Rosemount
23. Vermillion Highlands Greenway - CSAH 42 Underpass at Akron- Lead Agency : Rosemount; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That, subject to federal funding award of the city lead projects, the Dakota County Board of Commissioners will provide the local match for regional greenway projects, and for non-greenway projects will provide Dakota County's share of the matching funds consistent with Dakota County transportation cost share policies.


## Mississippi River Regional Trail - Rosemount East Regional Context Map

## Regional Trail Status

Project Location
Existing Regional/State Trails


Mr. Steve Mielke
Physical Development Director
Dakota County Physical Development Division
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, MN 55124
Subject: Moving Ahead for Progress (MAP-21) Grant Application for Mississippi River Regional Trail - Rosemount East Segment

Dear Mr. Mielke:
On behalf of the Union Pacific Railroad, I am writing to support Dakota County's Regional Solicitation application for federal funding through the Moving Ahead for Progress (MAP-21) program.

In collaboration with Dakota County, Union Pacific supports the safe design and construction of the Mississippi River Regional Trail - Rosemount East project. Over the past year Union Pacific has participated in a feasibility study to develop a project that meets our safety, engineering, and operating specifications and requirements. If funding is secured, Union Pacific will work with Dakota County to provide the necessary permits and easements in accordance with our real estate practices and policies.

We are pleased to support projects like the Rosemount East project that separate trail and pedestrian uses from active railroad right of ways.

Sincerely,

(WJLMMississippi River Trail)


## Population Summary

## Results

Within ONE Mile of project:
Total Population: 1147
Total Employment: 1203


Project
2010 TAZ

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitienew/notice.aspx
METROPOLITAN

Socio-Economic Conditions Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities Project: MRRT Rosemount | Map ID: 1415813331867

Results
Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.




Project
Racially concentrated area of poverty

Concentrated area of poverty
Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx
METROPOLITAN

Transit Connections Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities Project: MRRT Rosemount | Map ID: 1415813331867

Results
Transit with a Direct Connection to project: -- NONE --

Transit within QTR mile of project:
-- NONE --
Transit within HALF mile of project: -- NONE --

Transit within ONE mile of project:
-- NONE --
*indicates Planned Alignments
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