Application

01968-2014 Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
02105 - Transportation Improvements on Truck Highway 169 (Champlin)
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements
Status: Submitted

Submitted Date:
12/01/2014 10:34 AM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  | John | Wesley |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Deputy City Administrator |  |  |  |
| Department: | Community Development |  |  |  |
| Email: | jcox@ci.champlin.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 11955 Champlin Drive |  |  |  |
| * | Champlin | Minnesota |  | 55316 |
|  | City | State/Province |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 763-923-7104 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements |  |  |  |

## Organization Information

Name:

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):
Organization Type: City
Organization Website:
Address: 11955 CHAMPLIN DR

| $*$ | CHAMPLIN | Minnesota |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County: | City | State/Province |
| Pennepin |  |  |
| Phone:* | $952-421-2820$ | Ext. |
| Fax: |  |  |
| PeopleSoft Vendor Number | $0000020929 A 1$ |  |

## Project Information

| Project Name | US 169 in Champlin |
| :--- | :--- |
| Primary County where the Project is Located | Hennepin |
| Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant): | Minnesota Department of Transportation |

The proposed project includes safety and capacity improvements to US Highway (US) 169 from 500 feet north of Dayton Rd to Hayden Lake Rd in Champlin. US 169 is a Principal Arterial under MnDOT jurisdiction. The safety and capacity improvements are shown in Figure 1 and include:
1.Hayden Lake Rd intersection: Construct dual left turn lanes from US 169 to Hayden Lake Rd, dual right turn lanes from WB Hayden Lake Rd to NB US 169
2. Hayden Lake Rd to West River Rd: Construct NB US 169 acceleration lane/right turn lane
3.West River Rd/Dean Ave: Realign into one full movement intersection closing existing uncontrolled Dean Ave intersection

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
4.Grade separated ped/bike connection (underpass) underneath US 169 north of West River Rd
5.Dowlin Street: Close uncontrolled full movement intersection
6. Between West River Rd and Dayton Rd: a. Construct right-in only access in both directions on US 169 to support future development; b. Construct new multi-use trail both sides of US 169
7.Dayton Rd intersection: a. Construct dual left turn lanes and separate through lane in order to eliminate current split signal phasing; b. Remove existing free right turn from EB Dayton Rd to SB US 169
8.Relocate SB US 169 bus pullout to provide better separation from Dayton Rd intersection
9.Install Accessible Pedestrian Signals (APS) and pedestrian countdown timers at Hayden Lake Rd, West River Rd and Dayton Rd
10.Provide hardwire interconnection of the signal systems including retiming plans for the corridor

The proposed project will provide the following benefits:

Vehicle safety: Eliminating uncontrolled full access at Dean Ave and Dowlin St and eliminating the free right turn lane at Dayton Rd will result in fewer crashes in the corridor

Pedestrian Safety: Ped/bike underpass at West River Rd, APS and pedestrian countdown timers improve pedestrian safety crossing US 169

Mobility: Capacity improvements at Dayton Rd and Hayden Lake Rd will increase the number of vehicles that can enter US 169 (vehicle throughput)

Support Community Development: Mobility and safety improvements will attract and support future development in the area and are consistent with the citys development plans

US 169 in Champlin is congested in part because it provides a Mississippi River crossing into Anoka County (bridge located immediately north of the proposed project). The nearest river crossings are TH 101 ( 12 miles NE) and TH 610 ( 7 miles SE). The project area, as shown in the Roadway Area Definition Map, extends from Hennepin County CSAH 13 (Brockton Ln) to TH 610. While there are
other A-Minor Arterials located closer to the proposed project, many of these roadways feed traffic to the US 169 crossing. CSAH 13 and TH 610 are the nearest arterials that access alternative crossings.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.
Project Length (Miles)
0.78

Connection to Local Planning:
Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

City of Champlin Comprehensive Plan:
Recommends improvements to signals, operations, and geometrics (turn lanes) on US 169 as 2030 forecasts indicate the highway will operate at a Level of Service (LOS) F. Page 6-15

## Mississippi Crossings Framework Plan:

Recommends safety and capacity improvements to US 169 to support future residential, retail, office, hotel/event space along the Mississippi River between US 169 and West River Rd. Page 6

Connection to Local Planning

The project is also consistent with policies and strategies in the Metropolitan Council Regional 2030 Transportation Policy Plan: Strategies 2a (System Preservation), 2b (Highway System Investments), 2d (Bicycle and Pedestrian Investments), 2e (Multimodal Investments), 3b (Person Throughput as a Performance Measure), 4a (Accessibility), 8a (Reduction of Transportation Emissions), 8b (Compliance with Federal Standards), 9a (Planning in Context of Congestion), 9c (Optimize Metro Trunk Highways) and 11e (Access Management).

## Project Funding

| Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement <br> this project? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| If yes, please identify the source(s) | NA |
| Federal Amount | $\$ 6,473,147.00$ |
| Match Amount | $\$ 1,618,287.00$ |
| Minimum of 20\% of project total | $\$ 8,091,434.00$ |
| Project Total | $20.0 \%$ |
| Match Percentage | City of Champlin |
| Minimum of 20\% <br> Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total |  |
| Source of Match Funds | 2019 |

## MnDOT State Aid Project Information: Roadway Projects

County, City, or Lead Agency
Functional Class of Road

Road System
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET
Name of Road
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date
(Approximate) End Construction Date
LOCATION
From:
(Intersection or Address)
Do not include legal description;
Include name of roadway if majority of facility
runs adjacent to a single corridor.
To:
(Intersection or Address)

Type of Work

Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface, sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge,
Park \& Ride, etc.)

City of Champlin
No-Freeway Principal Arterial
US

US 169

55316
05/03/2019
06/26/2020

South side of Anoka/Champlin Mississippi River Bridge

East Hayden Lake Road
Grading, aggregate base, bituminous surface, signals, lighting, bicycle path, ped ramps, bicycle/pedestrian underpass, bridge

| Old Bridge/Culvert? | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| New Bridge/Culvert? | Yes |
| Structure is Over/Under <br> (Bridge or culvert name): | Bridge over Mill Pond, Ped Underpass under US 169 |
| Specific Roadway Elements |  |
| CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES | Cost |
| Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) | \$354,279.00 |
| Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) | \$371,682.00 |
| Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) | \$1,103,350.00 |
| Roadway (aggregates and paving) | \$1,455,092.00 |
| Subgrade Correction (muck) | \$0.00 |
| Storm Sewer | \$253,714.00 |
| Ponds | \$0.00 |
| Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) | \$372,658.00 |
| Traffic Control | \$344,146.00 |
| Striping | \$46,129.00 |
| Signing | \$52,510.00 |
| Lighting | \$162,000.00 |
| Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping | \$318,808.00 |
| Bridge | \$1,099,530.00 |
| Retaining Walls | \$0.00 |
| Noise Wall | \$0.00 |
| Traffic Signals | \$553,300.00 |
| Wetland Mitigation | \$0.00 |
| Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection | \$0.00 |
| RR Crossing | \$0.00 |
| Roadway Contingencies | \$649,798.00 |
| Other Roadway Elements | \$0.00 |
| Totals | \$7,136,996.00 |

## Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements

Path/Trail Construction ..... \$84,600.00
Sidewalk Construction ..... \$21,300.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... \$24,948.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... \$35,300.00
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... \$84,490.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... \$703,800.00
Totals ..... \$954,438.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES
Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls,
fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Operating Costs
OPERATING COSTS ..... Cost
Transit Operating Costs ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 8,091,434.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 8,091,434.00$ |

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between $\$ 1,000,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$. Roadway system management must be between \$250,000 and \$7,000,000.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only

1. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.
The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Bridge Projects Only

3.The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4.Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
5.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
7.The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
8. Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
9. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Bridge Replacement Projects Only

10. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only
11.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Champlin 169 Crash data.pdf | Crash data from MnDOT - US 169 in <br> Champlin | 189 KB |
| Champlin local match letter - US 169.pdf | Champlin local match letter | 294 KB |
| Figures 1-3 - Champlin US 169 STP | Figures 1-3: Project Concept, Mississippi <br> Crossings Framework Plan, Existing and | 1.1 MB |
| Application.pdf | Planned Sidewalks and Trails |  |
| Planning Connections Land Use Maps - Planning Connections - Champlin and <br> Champlin and Anoka.pdf Anoka Land Use | 348 KB |  |
| RdwayAreaDef.pdf | Roadway Area Definition | 1.4 MB |
| RegionalEcon.pdf | Regional Economy | 1.1 MB |
| SocioEcon.pdf | Socio Economic | 1.1 MB |
| TransitCon.pdf | Transit Connections | 1.1 MB |
| US 169 Improvements MnDOT letter of <br> support.pdf | MnDOT Letter of Support | 38 KB |

## Reliever: Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report)

NA - Project is a Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

0

## Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or

```
Facility being relieved
NA - Project is a Non-Freeway Principal Arterial
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below)
Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or
Facility being relieved NA - Project is a Non-Freeway Principal Arterial
7.0
```

Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

| Hour | NB/EB Volume | SB/WB Volume | CapacityVolume exceeds <br> capacity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12:00am-1:00am | 172 | 101 | 1600 No |
| 1:00am-2:00am | 105 | 93 | 1600 No |
| 2:00am-3:00am | 101 | 74 | 1600 No |
| 3:00am-4:00am | 80 | 136 | 1600 No |
| 4:00am-5:00am | 155 | 334 | 1600 No |
| 5:00am-6:00am | 346 | 1145 | 1600 No |


| 6:00am-7:00am | 868 | 2064 | 1600 | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:00am-8:00am | 1254 | 1963 | 1600 | Yes |
| 8:00am-9:00am | 1207 | 1537 | 1600 | No |
| 9:00am-10:00am | 1173 | 1337 | 1600 | No |
| 10:00am-11:00am | 1211 | 1329 | 1600 | No |
| 11:00am-12:00pm | 1342 | 1367 | 1600 | No |
| 12:00pm - 1:00pm | 1459 | 1400 | 1600 | No |
| 1:00pm - 2:00pm | 1550 | 1384 | 1600 | No |
| 2:00pm-3:00pm | 1821 | 1461 | 1600 | Yes |
| 3:00pm - 4:00pm | 2203 | 1707 | 1600 | Yes |
| 4:00pm - 5:00pm | 2406 | 1901 | 1600 | Yes |
| 5:00pm -6:00pm | 2443 | 1728 | 1600 | Yes |
| 6:00pm-7:00pm | 1959 | 1342 | 1600 | Yes |
| 7:00pm -8:00pm | 1345 | 982 | 1600 | No |
| 8:00pm-9:00pm | 990 | 747 | 1600 | No |
| 9:00pm - 10:00pm | 879 | 584 | 1600 | No |
| 10:00pm - 11:00pm | 527 | 375 | 1600 | No |
| 11:00pm-12:00am | 312 | 229 | 1600 | No |

## Expander/Connector/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

| Select one: | Non-Freeway Principal Arterial |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area | 6.173 |
| Project Length | 0.757 |
| Average Distance | 8.1546 |
| Upload Map | Champlin 169 Roadway area 2.pdf |

## Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location
Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume

US 169 north of Dayton Road
2768.0

## Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Select all that apply
Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration

| Direct connection to or within a mile of a | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Manufacturing/Distribution Location |  |
| Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution |  |
| Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an <br> existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or <br> city plan | Yes |

County or City Plan Reference (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

Along with a connection to a manufacturing/distribution location, land use along US 169 is commercial within \& south of the project area in Champlin and has access to downtown Anoka within a mile (Champlin Comp Plan, Exhibit 2-2, Anoka Comp Plan).

The citys Mississippi Crossings Plan calls for development of 185,000 square feet of commercial use immediately east of the project area (Figure 2). This redevelopment is expected to produce 600 new jobs. Champlin has assembled land for redevelopment and is working with developers to further the plan. Safety, operational, and access improvements to US 169 support future commercial development in the Mississippi Crossings area.

Champlin 169 Regional economy.pdf

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | US 169 and Dayton Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 42000.0 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | 766 |

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership 116.0

Current Daily Person Throughput 54716.0

## Measure B: 2030 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT No
volume
METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume

OR
Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

Yes

Forecast (2030) ADT volume

## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:
Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or Yes includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Upload Map

Benefits to populations:
-Bike and ped improvements: APS, countdown timers and bike/ped underpass will provide safer and more convenient bicycle and pedestrian connections. Low income people who rely on bicycling/walking will benefit from improved connections. Children, families, people with disabilities, and the elderly will benefit from an underpass that will be safer than at-grade crossings. APS will improve at-grade crossings for visually impaired pedestrians. Countdown timers will benefit all peds and cyclists crossing US 169 atgrade.
-Transit improvements: Relocated bus pullout and improved ped connections will increase convenience and safety for low income, the elderly, children and those with disabilities who rely on transit.
-Traffic operations: While the project is not located in an area of above average or concentrated poverty, US 169 serves a regional transportation purpose. Traffic operations and safety improvements will benefit low income populations who use US 169 and live in surrounding communities with above regional average concentrations of race/poverty, such as Brooklyn Park, Anoka, and Dayton that need access to employment and services.

Negative impacts: The project is not expected to negatively impact low income populations, people of color, children, people with disabilities, or the elderly due to limited right of way impacts and project design.

Champlin 169 Socioeconomic.pdf

## Measure B: Affordable Housing

| Champlin | 0.78 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  |

## Total Project Length

Total Project Length

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| City/Township | Segment <br> Length (Miles) | Total Length <br> (Miles) | Score | Segment <br> Length/Total <br> Length | Housing Score <br> Multiplied by <br> Segment <br> percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Champlin | 0.78 | 0.78 | 56.0 | 1.0 | 56.0 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 56 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 56 |

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Total Project Length (Miles)
0.78

Total Housing Score56.0

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original

Roadway Construction or Most Recent

Roadway Segment Length (Miles)
Reconstruction
0.78

1

Calculation
Calculation 2
1960.0

1960

Average Construction Year
Weighted Year
1960.0

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Total Segment Length

## Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

Geometric Deficiencies:

- US 169 at West River Road is a 30 mph curve resulting in safety and operational issues. The project will improve the radius of the curve to a 45 mph design speed.
-Remove free right from Dayton Road to SB US 169. Existing free right causes safety problems as drivers turning onto US 169 have trouble seeing SB US 169 traffic.
-Provide left- and right-turn lanes and a thru lane on EB Dayton Road. Presently a shared thru/left.
-Restrict access at Dowlin Street, which has full access to US 169 today. The proposed project will restrict access to right-off only (leave US 169) on both NB and SB US 169 to improve safety and traffic operations.
-Realign Dean Avenue intersection, a side-street stop, full access intersection south of the US 169 curve at West River Road. The project realigns Dean Avenue with West River Road at a signalized intersection.
-Relocating bus pullout for Metro Transit to a better location and adding ped facilities to site.

Infrastructure Deficiencies:
-NB lanes on US 169 are in need of structural repairs from Dayton Road to Mill Pond. Pavement condition is poor on NB lanes.
-Lack of ped/bike facilities

| Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet | $\$ 8,091,434.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project | 143290.0 |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project | 122820.0 |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project | 20470.0 |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 395.28$ |
| Synchro or HCM Reports | Measure A Vehicle Delay - Champlin 169.pdf |

Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction

| Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet | $\$ 8,091,434.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project | 0.51 |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 15,865,556.86$ |
| Synchro or HCM Reports | Measure B Emissions Reduction- Champlin 169.pdf |

Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

| Project Benefit/Cost Ratio | 0.31 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Worksheet Attachment | Champlin 169 HSIP worksheet - all.pdf |

## Measure A: Transit Connections

Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project
766
Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP)

Upload Map
Champlin 169 Transit connections.pdf

## Response

Met Council Staff Data Entry Only
Route Ridership 555037.0

Transitway Ridership
0

## Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

The proposed multi-use trail, underpass, and bicycle/pedestrian intersection improvements connect to the following facilities (as shown in Figure 3):
-US 169 bridge sidewalk: connection to City of Anoka and Anoka County, Mississippi River Trail (MRT)
-Dayton Rd multi-use trail (trail) and sidewalk on Hennepin County planned bikeway system (HC): access to single- and multi-family residential
-Dean Avenue sidewalk: access to residential and commercial node
-Colburn Street/Richardson Avenue N sidewalk: access to Richardson Park and residential
-West River Road/MRT (HC): access to Mississippi Point and Chandler Parks
-Hayden Lake Road sidewalk: access to commercial node and Elm Creek Regional Park

Planned connections include the following and are shown on Figure 3:
-Trail through future Mississippi Crossings development: access to future residential, retail, and office development
-Loop trail around Mill Pond and Elm Creek Dam
-US 169 bridge: on-street bikeway connection to Anoka and MRT (HC)
-Hayden Lake Road: on-street bikeway connection to Elm Creek Park (HC)

## Measure C: Multimodal Facilities


#### Abstract

-Multi-use trail along US 169: Links pedestrians/bicyclists with existing commercial node along US 169 and community parks. As compared to the existing roadway shoulder, the multi-use trail will provide a safer and more comfortable facility for pedestrians/bicyclists. The trail will benefit transit users who live/work south of the Dayton Road transit stop by providing a direct connection where none exists today.


-Bicycle/pedestrian underpass at West River Road:
Connects residential areas with commercial node east of US 169 and community parks. The underpass will improve safety by eliminating conflicts with motor vehicles and will be more comfortable than an at-grade crossing of US 169, especially for children, families, and the elderly. The underpass will reduce delay for pedestrians and bicyclists as compared to at-grade crossings.
-Countdown timers and Accessible Pedestrian Signals (APS): Provides more information to pedestrians/bicyclists about how much time is left to cross at signalized intersections, leading to safer crossing behavior. Visually impaired pedestrians will benefit from audible signals. Transit users will benefit from these improvements as they walk/bicycle to bus stops on US 169.
-Relocated bus pull out: Improves safety for transit users by reducing potential conflicts between buses and SB vehicles on US 169.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)

| Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $100 \%$ |  |
| Stakeholders have been identified |  |
| $40 \%$ | Yes |
| Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted |  |
| $0 \%$ | Yes |
| 2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points) |  |
| Layout or Preliminary Plan completed |  |
| 100\% |  |
| Layout or Preliminary Plan started |  |
| 50\% |  |
| Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started |  |
| 0\% |  |
| Anticipated date or date of completion |  |
| 3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points) |  |
| EIS |  |
| Document Status: |  |

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)

Document submitted to State Aid for review
75\%

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified
50\%
Document not started
Yes
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not Yes located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated 80\%

Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%

Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; $6 f$ is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area
100\%
Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section 4f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources likely

30\%
Unknown impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area
0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required
100\%

Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired
100\%

Right-of-way or easements required, offers made

Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made

50\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified
25\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified 0\%

Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed
0\%
Anticipated date or date of acquisition
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project
100\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun
$40 \%$
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)

100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review
75\%
Construction plans in progress; at least $30 \%$ completion
50\%
Construction plans have not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
9)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date

Yes

01/05/2018

Yes
$100 \%$

Yes

02/01/2018

03/01/2018

US 169 at E. Hayden Lake Road 2011-2013 crash data from MnDOT
169@Hayden - created on 11-07-2014 by lack1cla

| SYS | REF_POINT | DOW | MONTH | DAY | YEAR | TIME | SEV | JUNC | TYPE | DIAG | LIT | WTHR1 | SURF | ACC_NUM | VTYPE | DIR | ACT | FAC1 | VTYPE | DIR | ACT | FAC1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02 | 144+00.997 | 4-Wed | 1 | 23 | 2013 | 730 | N | 00 | 22 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 130570045 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 00 |  |  |  |  |
| 02 | 145+00.029 | 4-Wed | 10 | 02 | 2013 | 1523 | C | 01 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 132750144 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 1 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 7-Sat | 1 | 15 | 2011 | 445 | B | 01 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 110160020 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 05 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 02 | $145+00.032$ | 3-Tue | 2 | 01 | 2011 | 734 | N | 04 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 110320259 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 01 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 3-Tue | 3 | 22 | 2011 | 820 | N | 04 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 110810105 | 1 | 3 | 54 | 01 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 15 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 6-Fri | 4 | 29 | 2011 | 1627 | C | 01 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 111200028 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 01 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 5-Thu | 5 | 12 | 2011 | 353 | C | 00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 111640068 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 00 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 0 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 4-Wed | 6 | 29 | 2011 | 745 | B | 00 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 112220138 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 00 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 3-Tue | 11 | 01 | 2011 | 1930 | N | 00 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 113390084 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 00 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 4-Wed | 2 | 08 | 2012 | 1800 | C | 07 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 120400011 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 50 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 15 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 2-Mon | 2 | 13 | 2012 | 1735 | C | 07 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 120790075 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 00 | 99 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| 02 | $145+00.032$ | 4-Wed | 3 | 28 | 2012 | 1753 | B | 07 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 120880160 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 01 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 3-Tue | 4 | 24 | 2012 | 1503 | N | 04 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 121180018 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 01 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 4-Wed | 9 | 26 | 2012 | 1110 | N | 04 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 123000052 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 00 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| 02 | $145+00.032$ | 2-Mon | 1 | 07 | 2013 | 1418 | B | 01 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 130100117 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 01 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 15 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 1-Sun | 12 | 09 | 2012 | 1130 | N | 04 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 130110107 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 00 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| 02 | 145+00.032 | 6-Fri | 9 | 13 | 2013 | 2335 | N | 00 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 132880080 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 00 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 02 | 145+00.050 | 5-Thu | 6 | 14 | 2012 | 1611 | C | 01 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 121670052 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 04 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 1 |
| 02 | 145+00.069 | 6-Fri | 9 | 06 | 2013 | 1647 | N | 01 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 132490186 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 04 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| 02 | 145+00.107 | 2-Mon | 7 | 29 | 2013 | 1705 | C | 00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 132420054 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 04 | 008+00.140 | 4-Wed | 1 | 09 | 2013 | 2040 | N | 00 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 130430059 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 00 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 |

US 169 between E Hayden Lake Road and West River Road 2011-2013 crash data from MnDOT
MNTH 169 From Hayden Lake Road to Dean Avenue 2011-2013- created on 11-08-2014 by rile1che


## US 169 at Dean Avenue2011-2013 crash data from MnDO

169@DeanAv - created on 11-07-2014 by lack1cla


US 169 at West River Road 2011-2013 crash data from MnDOT
1169@WestRiverRd - created on 11-07-2014 by lack1cla


US 169 at Dowlin Street 2011-2013 crash data from MnDOT
169\&WestRiverRd - created on 11-07-2014 by lack1cla

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PERSON1 |  |  |  |  | PERSON2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SYS | REF_POINT | DOW | MONTH | DAY | YEAR | TIME | SEV | JUNC | TYPE | DIAG | LIT | WTHR1 | SURF | ACC_NUM | VTYPE | DIR | ACT | FAC1 | VTYPE | DIR | ACT | FAC1 |
| 02 | 145+00.506 | 4-Wed | 5 | 18 | 2011 | 1740 | N | 07 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 111390003 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 02 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 33 |
| 02 | $145+00.506$ | 1-Sun | 8 | 26 | 2012 | 1151 | N | 04 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 122400029 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 02 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 1 |
| 02 | $145+00.553$ | 3-Tue | 7 | 26 | 2011 | 1715 | C | 01 | 37 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 112070256 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 01 |  |  |  |  |

US 169 at Dayton Road/Miller Road 2011-2013 crash data from MnDOT
169@DaytonMiller - created on 11-07-2014 by lack1cla


## City of

December 1, 2014

Ms. Elaine Koutsoukos
Transportation Advisory Board Coordinator
Metropolitan Council
390 Robert Street North
St. Paul, MN 55101

Re: US 169 Improvements - 2014 Surface Transportation Program (STP) Funding Application City of Champlin

Ms. Koutsoukous,

The City of Champlin is pleased to submit its grant application for the proposed safety and capacity improvements to US Highway (US) 169 from Hayden Lake Road to Dayton Road in the City of Champlin. As the agency applying for the Surface Transportation Program grant, the City of Champlin commits to funding the required local match. Because US 169 is under Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) jurisdiction and they will own operate and maintain the roadway for its useful life, the city has requested a letter of support which is included in the application.

The city has met with MnDOT staff on several occasions to discuss the scope of the project, alternatives, and potential funding. MnDOT staff has been supportive of the proposed project.

The City of Champlin looks forward to working with the Metropolitan Council and MnDOT should this project be selected. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,


City Engineer

 Mississippi Crossings Framework Plan



Roadway Area Definition

## Results

Project Length: 0.757 miles
Project Area: 6.173 sq mi


Project
Project Area


For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

## Regional Economy

Results

Project NOT IN area of Job Concentration.
Project WITHIN ONE MI of area of Manufacturing and Distribution.

Project NOT CONNECTED to area of Education Institutions.


Project
Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
ntp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx


Transit Connections Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Champlin 169 | Map ID: 1419955458936


Project
Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice..aspx

Minnesota Department of Transportation
Metro District
1500 West County Road B-2
Roseville, MN 5511

November 25, 2014
John W. Cox
Deputy City Administrator
City of Champlin
11955 Champlin Drive
Champlin, MN 55316
RE: Regional Solicitation Application for US 169 - Hayden Lake road to Dayton Road Improvements

Dear Mr. Cox:
Thank you for requesting a letter of support from MnDOT for the Metropolitan Council's 2014 Regional Solicitation. Your application for the US 169 - Hayden Lake road to Dayton Road Improvements project impacts MnDOT right of way on US 169.

MnDOT, as the agency with jurisdiction over US 169, supports the application for this project. Details of a future maintenance agreement with the city will be determined during project development to define how the project will be maintained.

This project currently has no funding from MnDOT.

Sincerely,


Scott McBride, P.E.
Metro District Engineer
Cc: Elaine Koustsoukos, Metropolitan Council
April Crockett, MnDOT Metro District - West Area Manager

## Roadway Area Definition

## Results

Project Length: 0.788 miles
Project Area: 9.227 sq mi


Project
Project Area

Regional Economy Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Champlin 169 | Map ID: 1415654927105

Results

Project NOT IN area of Job Concentration.
Project WITHIN ONE MI of area of Manufacturing and Distribution.

Project NOT CONNECTED to area of Education Institutions.


Project
Project Area

Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Champlin 169 | Map ID: 1415654927105

Results
Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.



Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty Concentrated area of poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

1: TH 169 \& Dayton Road/Miller Road

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 4094 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 35 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 6.86 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.33 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.59 |

1: TH 169 \& Dayton Road/Miller Road

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 4094 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 30 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 6.50 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.26 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.51 |

1: TH 169 \& Dayton Road/Miller Road

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 4094 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 35 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 6.86 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.33 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.59 |

1: TH 169 \& Dayton Road/Miller Road

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 4094 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 30 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 6.50 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.26 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.51 |



## CRFs Used:

1) Install left-turn lane (double)


## CRFs Used:

1) Provide an auxiliary lane between an entrance ramp and exit ramp (closest CMF)



## CRFs Used:

1) Install left-turn lane (signal has left-turn phase)

|  |  |  | Control Section | T.H. / Roadway | Location |  |  | Beginning Ref. Pt. | Ending Ref. Pt. | State, County, City or Township | Study <br> Period <br> Begins | Study <br> Period <br> Ends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| worksheet |  |  |  | US 169 | Dayton Road/Miller Road |  |  | $145+00.715$ | $145+00.715$ | Hennepin Со. | 1/1/2011 | 12/31/2013 |
|  |  |  | Descripti Proposed | on of Work | Add second EB left-turn lane (convert from shared lane) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $1 \text { Rear End }$ |  |  | 3 Left Turn Main Line | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { Right Angle } \\ \longrightarrow \square \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | + |  | Pedestrian | 6, 90, 99 <br> Other | Total |
| Study <br> Period: <br> Number of Crashes | 皆 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | C |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | PD |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| \% Change in Crashes <br> (FHWA CRF Clearinghouse) |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PI | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | C |  | -29\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PD |  | -32\% |  |  |  | -13\% |  |  |  |  |
| Change in Crashes = No. of crashes $\mathbf{X}$ \% change in crashes |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PI | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | C |  | -0.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.58 |
|  |  | PD |  | -0.96 |  |  |  | -0.13 |  |  |  | -1.09 |

## CRFs Used:

1) Install left-turn lane (double)


Transit Connections Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Champlin 169 | Map ID: 1415654927105

## Results

Transit with a Direct Connection to project: 766
*indicates Planned Alignments


## Project

Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
tpp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

