Application

17072-2022 Roadway Expansion
17564 - TH 610 and East River Road Full Access Interchange
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status:
Submitted Date:

Submitted
04/13/2022 8:11 AM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pronouns | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Assistant City Engineer |  |  |  |
| Department: | Public Works |  |  |  |
| Email: | mhansen@coonrapidsmn.gov |  |  |  |
| Address: | 11155 Robinson Drive |  |  |  |
| * | Coon Rapids | Minnesota |  | 55433 |
|  | City | State/Province |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 763-767-6465 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: | 763-767-6573 |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional Solic | ation - Bicycle and | nd Pedes | rian Facilities |

## Organization Information

## Name:

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):

Organization Type:
City
Organization Website:
Address: 11155 NW ROBINSON RD

| * | COON RAPIDS | Minnesota <br> State/Province | 55433 <br> Postal Code/Zip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County: | City |  |  |
| Phone:* | $763-755-2800$ |  |  |

Fax:
PeopleSoft Vendor Number
0000020934 A 1

## Project Information

Project Name
Primary County where the Project is Located
Cities or Townships where the Project is Located:
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):

TH 610 and East River Road Interchange Reconstruction
Anoka
Coon Rapids
MnDOT

In 2018, Anoka County, in partnership with the City of Coon Rapids, was awarded federal funds for a grade separation (overpass) of Foley Boulevard over the BNSF Railroad tracks near TH 610 and Coon Rapids Boulevard, which is currently under construction and expected to be completed in 2022. With the City purchase of available property and funding secured for the Foley Railroad GradeSeparation project, the City and County collaborated to develop an improved transportation system solution by modifying the existing interchange at TH 610, a Principal Arterial Freeway, and East River Road (CSAH 1), an A Minor Arterial Expander.

Currently, the TH 610/East River Road interchange only provides a westbound on-ramp and eastbound off-ramp. This project would complete the system by providing a full-access interchange at TH 610 and East River Road with a westbound off-ramp loop and a folded eastbound on-ramp with TH 610 auxiliary lanes between East River Road and Coon Rapids Boulevard.

While these two projects are not being built together, the design of each project influences the other. The proposed improvements along Foley Boulevard greatly improve the reliability of the local roadway system, and the ability to tie a future fullaccess interchange at East River Road would be a significant transportation improvement for the area. The County and the City agree that a modified East River Road interchange will best serve both the County's and City's residents and businesses, as well as Metro Transit customers by providing a better access to the Foley Park \& Ride lot. In addition, the construction of a new 10-foot trail along East River Road will provide improved connections for bicyclists and pedestrians.

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)
DESCRIPTION - will be used in TIP if the project is selected for funding. See MnDOT's TIP description guidance.

The purpose of completing the TH 610/East River Road interchange is to address limited access to and from TH 610 to support existing and future land uses in Coon Rapids, improve safety and emergency response access, reduce traffic impacts at the TH 10/Foley Boulevard interchange and increase the functionality of TH 610 and as an important route in the statewide transportation system. Trips in the area currently exit and enter at TH 610/University Avenue or TH 10/Foley Boulevard interchanges and must use the local street system, which increases the travel time for local residents and is non-intuitive to regional users needing to safely access the area. Furthermore, the lack of eastbound access to and from TH 610 considerably increases emergency response times, creating a real challenge for the City when responding to emergencies in the TH 610 eastbound direction between the river and University Avenue.

Include both the CSAH/MSAS/TH references and their corresponding street names in the TIP Description (see Resources link on Regional Solicitation webpage for examples).

Project Length (Miles) 0.3
to the nearest one-tenth of a mile

## Project Funding

Are you applying for competitive funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)
Federal Amount $\$ 10,000,000.00$
Match Amount \$20,053,000.00
Minimum of $20 \%$ of project total

Minimum of 20\%
Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total
Source of Match Funds
MnDOT, Anoka County and City of Coon Rapids
A minimum of $20 \%$ of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the $20 \%$ minimum can come from other federal sources

Preferred Program Year
Select one:
2026, 2027
Select 2024 or 2025 for TDM and Unique projects only. For all other applications, select 2026 or 2027.
Additional Program Years:
2024, 2025
Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

## Project Information-Roadways

| County, City, or Lead Agency | City of Coon Rapids |
| :---: | :---: |
| Functional Class of Road | A Minor Arterial Expander |
| Road System | CSAH |
| TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET |  |
| Road/Route No. | 1 |
| i.e., 53 for CSAH 53 |  |
| Name of Road | East River Road |
| Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE |  |
| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55433 |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date | 03/01/2024 |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date | 11/01/2025 |
| TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work) |  |
| From: <br> (Intersection or Address) | 94th Avenue NW |
| To: <br> (Intersection or Address) | 600 feet south of TH 610 South Ramps |
| DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION |  |
| Or At |  |
| Miles of Sidewalk (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0.2 |
| Miles of Trail (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0.8 |
| Miles of Trail on the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0.3 |
| Primary Types of Work | GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF, CURB, GUTTER, GUARDRAIL, PED RAMPS, SIGNALS, SIDEWALK, TRAIL, LIGHTING, RETAINING WALLS, BRIDGE WIDENING |

Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF,
SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER,
SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS,
BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)
Old Bridge/Culvert No.:
New Bridge/Culvert No.:
Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name):

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2018), the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2018), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan goals, objectives, and strategies that relate to the project.

Briefly list the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:

Goal B: Safety and Security

Objective: Reduce fatal and serious injury crashes and improve safety and security for all modes of passenger travel and freight transportation.

Strategies: B1, B6 (Page 2.5 and 2.8)

Goal C: Access to Destinations

Objective: Increase the availability of multimodal travel options, especially in congested highway corridors.

Objective: Increase travel time reliability and predictability for travel on highway and transit systems.
Objective: Increase transit ridership and share of trips taken using transit bicycling and walking.

Objective: improve multimodal travel options for people of all ages and abilities to connect to jobs and other opportunities, particularly for historically underrepresented populations.

Strategies: C1, C2, C8, C9, C10, C12, C14, C15, C16, and C17 (Page 2.10-2.24)

## Goal D: Competitive Economy

Objective: Invest in a multimodal transportation system to attract and retain businesses and residents.

Objective: Support the region?s economic competitiveness through the efficient movement of freight.

Strategies: D1, D3 (Page 2.26-2.27)

## Goal E: Healthy Environment

> Objective: Increase the availability and attractiveness of transit, bicycling, and walking to encourage healthy communities through the use of active transportation options.
> Objective: Provide a transportation system that promotes community cohesion and connectivity for people of all ages, abilities, particularly for underrepresented populations.

Strategies: E3, E6, and E7 (Page 2.31-2.34)

Goal F: Leveraging Transportation Investments to Guide Land Use.

Objective: Encourage local land use design that integrates highways, streets, transit, walking, and bicycling.

Strategies: F2, F3, F6, F7, F8, and F9 (Page 2.362.40)

Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words
3.The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

# - 2040 Coon Rapids Comprehensive Plan. Chapter 3: Transportation (Page 3-7, and 3-9) 

\author{

- Coon Rapids Boulevard / East River Road Corridor Study
}

List the applicable documents and pages: Unique projects are exempt from this qualifying requirement because of their innovative nature.

- T.H. 610 and County Road 3 (Coon Rapids Boulevard) ? Interchange Study


## - Interchange Review Committee Approval Letter from MnDOT dated August 20, 2019

Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words
4.The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible. Unique project costs are limited to those that are federally eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.Applicant is a public agency (e.g., county, city, tribal government, transit provider, etc.) or non-profit organization (TDM and Unique Projects applicants only). Applicants that are not State Aid cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below in Table 1. For unique projects, the minimum award is $\$ 500,000$ and the maximum award is the total amount available each funding cycle (approximately $\$ 4,000,000$ for the 2022 funding cycle).
Strategic Capacity (Roadway Expansion): \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000
Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Traffic Management Technologies (Roadway System Management): \$500,000 to \$3,500,000
Spot Mobility and Safety: \$1,000,000 to \$3,500,000
Bridges Rehabilitation/Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.In order for a selected project to be included in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and approved by USDOT, the public agency sponsor must either have a current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) self-evaluation or transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation, as required under Title II of the ADA. The plan must be completed by the local agency before the Regional Solicitation application deadline. For the 2022 Regional Solicitation funding cycle, this requirement may include that the plan is updated within the past five years.

The applicant is a public agency that employs 50 or more people and has a completed ADA transition plan that covers the public Yes right of way/transportation.
(TDM and Unique Project Applicants Only) The applicant is not a public agency subject to the self-evaluation requirements in Title II of the ADA.

Date plan completed:
03/06/2018
Link to plan:
The applicant is a public agency that employs fewer than 50 people and has a completed ADA self-evaluation that covers the public right of way/transportation.

Date self-evaluation completed:
Link to plan:
Upload plan or self-evaluation if there is no link
1649248095959_ADA Transition Plan.pdf
Upload as PDF
10.The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
11.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project year-round for the useful life of the improvement, per FHWA direction established 8/27/2008 and updated 6/27/2017. Unique projects are exempt from this qualifying requirement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
12. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
13. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
14.The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a principal arterial (non-freeway facilities only) or A-minor arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Roadway Strategic Capacity and Reconstruction/Modernization and Spot Mobility projects only:
2.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement and Strategic Capacity projects only:
3.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a principal arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
5.The length of the bridge clear span must exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must have a National Bridge Inventory Rating of 6 or less for rehabilitation projects and 4 or less for replacement projects.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Roadway Expansion, Reconstruction/Modernization, and Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
7. All roadway projects that involve the construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps must have approval by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Interchange Planning Review Committee prior to application submittal. Please contact Michael Corbett at MnDOT ( Michael.J.Corbett@state.mn.us or 651-234-7793) to determine whether your project needs to go through this process as described in Appendix F of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## Specific Roadway Elements

| CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST | Cost |
| :--- | ---: |
| ESTIMATES | $\$ 878,000.00$ |
| Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) | $\$ 878,000.00$ |
| Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) | $\$ 1,435,000.00$ |
| Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) | $\$ 2,056,000.00$ |
| Roadway (aggregates and paving) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Subgrade Correction (muck) | $\$ 1,071,000.00$ |
| Storm Sewer | $\$ 161,000.00$ |


| Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) | \$394,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control | \$522,000.00 |
| Striping | \$6,000.00 |
| Signing | \$236,000.00 |
| Lighting | \$386,000.00 |
| Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping | \$90,000.00 |
| Bridge | \$4,680,000.00 |
| Retaining Walls | \$2,006,000.00 |
| Noise Wall (not calculated in cost effectiveness measure) | \$2,444,000.00 |
| Traffic Signals | \$884,000.00 |
| Wetland Mitigation | \$54,000.00 |
| Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection | \$0.00 |
| RR Crossing | \$0.00 |
| Roadway Contingencies | \$6,936,000.00 |
| Other Roadway Elements | \$4,606,000.00 |
| Totals | \$29,723,000.00 |
| Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements |  |
| CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES | Cost |
| Path/Trail Construction | \$192,000.00 |
| Sidewalk Construction | \$74,000.00 |
| On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction | \$0.00 |
| Right-of-Way | \$32,000.00 |
| Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) | \$0.00 |
| Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) | \$0.00 |
| Pedestrian-scale Lighting | \$0.00 |
| Streetscaping | \$0.00 |
| Wayfinding | \$0.00 |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies | \$32,000.00 |
| Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements | \$0.00 |
| Totals | \$330,000.00 |

## Specific Transit and TDM Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST
ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... \$0.00
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Transit Operating Costs

| Number of Platform hours | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc. | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 30,053,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 30,053,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Congestion within Project Area:

The measure will analyze the level of congestion within the project area. Council staff will provide travel speed data on the "Level of Congestion" map. The analysis will compare the peak hour travel speed within the project area to fee-flow conditions.

Free-Flow Travel Speed:
Peak Hour Travel Speed:
37
Percentage Decrease in Travel Speed in Peak Hour compared to Free-Flow:

Upload Level of Congestion map: 1649248576829_Level of Congestionpdf.pdf

## Congestion on adjacent Parallel Routes:

Adjacent Parallel Corridor Start and End Points:

| Start Point: | Foley Boulevard |
| :--- | :--- |
| End Point: | TH 47 |
| Free-Flow Travel Speed: | 45 |
| The Free-Flow Travel Speed is black number. | 28 |
| Peak Hour Travel Speed: |  |
| The Peak Hour Travel Speed is red number. | $37.78 \%$ |
| Percentage Decrease in Travel Speed in Peak Hour Compared to <br> Free-Flow: | 1649248576829 _Level of Congestionpdf.pdf |
| Upload Level of Congestion Map: |  |

## Principal Arterial Intersection Conversion Study:

Proposed interchange or at-grade project that reduces delay at a High Priority Intersection:
(80 Points)
Proposed at-grade project that reduces delay at a Medium Priority Intersection:
(60 Points)
Proposed at-grade project that reduces delay at a Low Priority Intersection:
(50 Points)
Proposed interchange project that reduces delay at a Medium Priority Intersection:
(40 Points)
Proposed interchange project that reduces delay at a Low Priority Intersection:
(0 Points)
Not listed as a priority in the study: Yes
(0 Points)

## Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Existing Employment within 1 Mile:
10285
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1 Mile:

Existing Post-Secondary Students within 1 Mile:
Upload Map
0

Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

RESPONSE: Select one for your project, based on the updated 2021 Regional Truck Corridor Study:
Along Tier 1:
Yes

Miles:
0.7
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
Along Tier 2:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
Along Tier 3:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
The project provides a direct and immediate connection (i.e., intersects) with either a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 corridor:

Yes

None of the tiers:

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | East River Road north of TH 610 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 18300 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | 850,852 |

For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will likely be diverted to the new proposed roadway (if applicable).
Upload Transit Connections Map 1649249027647_Transit Connections.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current Daily Person Throughput | 23790.0 |

## Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT
volume
If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume
OR
Identify the approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

Coon Rapids 2040 Transportation Plan
Forecast (2040) ADT volume

## Measure A: Engagement

i.Describe any Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, disabled populations, youth, or older adults within a $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. Describe how these populations relate to regional context. Location of affordable housing will be addressed in Measure C.
ii.Describe how Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, persons with disabilities, youth, older adults, and residents in affordable housing were engaged, whether through community planning efforts, project needs identification, or during the project development process.
iii.Describe the progression of engagement activities in this project. A full response should answer these questions:

Our community engagement included online surveys, maps, in-person/virtual presentations, and open houses with discussions and comment forms. The City's website includes a designated project page for ongoing communication with residents, business owners and stakeholders.

Multiple digital, video and print marketing materials were distributed to reach as many citizens, underrepresented or otherwise:

- 10 email blasts (2,500+ subscribers)
- 9 Facebook posts (3,868+ engagement clicks)
- 5 Tweets (2,236 followers)
- 11 alerts on City/CTN homepages

Response:

- 2 print articles (circulation 26,475)
- 1 print article targeting businesses (circulation 1,750)
- 3 video stories on cable/YouTube (230+ views)

ABC Newspapers (local media) ran a story about an open house event in their August 7, 2021, edition (reaching 5,000+ print circulation and online audience).

Additional targeted stakeholder mailings include:

- 33 religious organizations and communities
- 22 local nearby businesses
- 15 nearby apartments

As shown on the Equity Populations and Destinations map, specific mailings connected to the following equity populations in census tracts within $1 / 2$ mile of the project:

- Tralee Terrace (subsidized units)
- Wellington Ridge apartments (subsidized units)
- Villas on Palm (subsidized units)
- Crest Oak apartments (subsidized units)
- Dublin Park Senior apartments (subsidized units)
- Drake apartments (subsidized units)
- Spring House apartments (income restrictions)
- Redwood Terrace Senior apartments (senior units)
- Head Start ACCAP (child/family programs for income-eligible households)
- Rise Adult Day Care (serving people with intellectual and developmental disabilities)
- River Trail Learning Center (full-day special education for students K-12)
- Adams Elementary School, Various Daycare and Childcare Centers, PEACE Learning Center, YMCA (youth)

The community had multiple opportunities to
engage throughout the project process, including the equity populations identified. These engagement activities solicited input on the project and refined alternatives to guide the process forward:

- Virtual Open House \#1, July 30, 2021
- In-Person Open House \#2/\#3, August 31, 2021 and December 15, 2021
- Virtual Open House \#4, February 24 - March 31, 2022
- Multi-Cultural Advisory Committee Presentation, February 24, 2022
- Coon Rapids Senior Center Visits, March 1-3, 2022

Seventy-three percent of the participants supported additional TH 610 access and expanded transit options, and acknowledged pedestrian and bicycle safety is very important. The purpose of Open House \#4 was to update outreach groups, share findings from previous engagement, re-engage with the community and solicit feedback on the preferred alternative.

## Measure B: Equity Population Benefits and Impacts

Describe the projects benefits to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults. Benefits could relate to:
This is not an exhaustive list. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to Equity populations residing or engaged in activities near the project area, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting Equity populations specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.
Acknowledge and describe any negative project impacts to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, Iow-income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults. Describe measures to mitigate these impacts. Unidentified or unmitigated negative impacts may result in a reduction in points.
Below is a list of potential negative impacts. This is not an exhaustive list.

Response:
The project provides direct safety and transportation benefits to the equity populations in the area (see Equity Populations and Destinations map). Travel time improvements will be provided while traveling to/from the east on TH 610. Currently, only TH 610 west ramps exist at East River Road and Coon Rapids Boulevard. Trips destined to land uses near TH 610 and East River Road or Coon Rapids Boulevard require a longer trip to use TH 10 and Foley Boulevard. The project will provide safer direct access for residents living in subsidized and senior apartments to job, school, childcare, and social service destinations in the area.

An existing transportation problem is the lack of direct access in this area, creating a challenge for emergency response teams. Coon Rapids' police and fire personnel often ask the City of Brooklyn Park for immediate assistance due to their proximity to existing eastbound TH 610 access. Local calls have increased from 17 in 2017 to 151 in 2021 for emergency teams to respond. The project will improve police and fire response times service emergency situations for low-income, youth and elderly populations in the area.

The project will also provide benefits to the equity populations relying on public transit as an alternative mode of transportation. It will improve access and routing for the transit routes serving the Foley Park \& Ride, providing possible opportunities for service expansion. It also leverages the investments for the Foley Boulevard overpass project currently under construction. Direct access improvements to the Foley Park \& Ride also benefits transit users relying on express service to job destinations in downtown Minneapolis.

A new 10-foot trail along East River Road will provide safer bicycle/pedestrian connections between neighborhoods, businesses, and transit facilities for equity populations using non-motorized modes of transportation. New signals with countdown timers, crosswalks, lighting and curb ramps being upgraded to meet ADA standards will provide safer bicycle and pedestrian travel.

The project includes property impacts due to the new ramps at East River Road. However, these do not negatively impact the equity populations in the area and these ramps will have significantly less property impacts than the other project alternatives considered.

As with most projects, there will be construction activities related to TH 610 and East River Road that will directly impact the traveling public and nearby residents and businesses. However, project construction will incorporate proper noise, dust, traffic management mitigation, and access management for motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians as well as planned detour routes to consider the needs of property owners and stakeholders.

## Measure C: Affordable Housing Access

Describe any affordable housing developmentsexisting, under construction, or plannedwithin $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. The applicant should note the number of existing subsidized units, which will be provided on the Socio-Economic Conditions map. Applicants can also describe other types of affordable housing (e.g., naturally-occurring affordable housing, manufactured housing) and under construction or planned affordable housing that is within a half mile of the project. If applicable, the applicant can provide self-generated PDF maps to support these additions. Applicants are encouraged to provide a self-generated PDF map describing how a project connects affordable housing residents to destinations (e.g., childcare, grocery stores, schools, places of worship).
Describe the projects benefits to current and future affordable housing residents within $1 / 2$ mile of the project. Benefits must relate to affordable housing residents. Examples may include:
This is not an exhaustive list. Since residents of affordable housing are more likely not to own a private vehicle, higher points will be provided to roadway projects that include other multimodal access improvements. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to residents of affordable housing, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting residents of affordable housing specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.

As shown on the Socio-Economic Conditions map, there are 447 publicly subsidized rental housing units in census tracts with $1 / 2$ mile of the project, including:

- Tralee Terrace (subsidized units)
- Wellington Ridge apartments (subsidized units)
- Villas on Palm (subsidized units)
- Crest Oak apartments (subsidized units)
- Dublin Park Senior apartments (subsidized units)
- Drake apartments (subsidized units)
- Spring House apartments (income restrictions)

Response:
In addition, according to the Met Council's 2021 Housing Performance Scores, Coon Rapids has a score of 100, the highest score available. This score recognizes their overall local effort in developing and maintaining housing affordable to low and moderate-income households.

The project includes significant multimodal improvements for these residents of affordable housing that are more likely not to own a private vehicle, and rely on transit, bicycling and walking as their mode of transportation.
Currently, there is only sidewalk on the west side of East River Road along the project limits. Project improvements include the construction of a new 10foot trail on the west side of East River Road from the south project limits to the TH 610 south ramps. This trail then extends on the east side of the project roadway to Foley Boulevard, connecting to the existing trail on Foley Boulevard and the park \& ride facility.

This new trail will provide a safer modal option to combine bicyclists and pedestrians along and across East River Road, connecting between lowincome housing units, jobs, schools and transit facilities. New signals with countdown timers will be installed at the TH 610 west ramp intersections for safer crossings. In addition, all sidewalk replacement, crosswalks, lighting, traffic signal, and curb ramps will be upgraded to meet ADA standards. As shown on the Equity Populations and Destinations map, the project improvements will benefit the affordable housing residents living in census tracts within $1 / 2$ mile of the project by providing improved access to jobs, schools, transit, childcare, and place of worship.

The project will also improve connections for affordable housing residents relying on public transit as an alternative mode of transportation to/from their job destinations in downtown Minneapolis. It will close the bus only westbound on-ramp to TH 610 and replace it with safer access to East River Road and TH 610. Direct access improvements to the Foley Park \& Ride will benefit these transit users relying on express service to job destinations in downtown Minneapolis.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words):

## Measure D: BONUS POINTS

Project is located in an Area of Concentrated Poverty:
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color (Regional Environmental Justice Area):

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color (Regional Environmental Justice Area):

Upload the Socio-Economic Conditions map used for this measure.

Yes

1649271660425_Socio-Economic Conditions.pdf

## Measure A: Infrastructure Age

Year of Original
Roadway Construction
or Most Recent
Reconstruction

| 1991.0 | 0.15 | 298.65 | 995.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1995.0 | 0.15 | 299.25 | 997.5 |
|  | 0 | 598 | 1993 |

## Average Construction Year

Weighted Year
1993.0

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Total Segment Length

## Measure A: Congestion Reduction/Air Quality



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 164927260 \\ & \text { 1251_Traffi } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.0 | 0 | 16.0 | 2921 | 0 | 46736.0 | 0 | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results.pdf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164927263 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4683_Traffi |
| 0 | 15.0 | -15 | 0 | 2641 | 0 | -39615 | N/A | c |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results.pdf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164927266 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7895_Traffi |
| 31.0 | 0 | 31.0 | 2861 | 0 | 88691.0 | 0 | N/A | c |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results.pdf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164927269 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7563_Traffi |
| 0 | 18.0 | -18 | 0 | 2582 | 0 | -46476 | N/A | c |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results.pdf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164927272 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5067_Traffi |
| 15.0 | 0 | 15.0 | 2746 | 0 | 41190.0 | 0 | N/A | c |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results.pdf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164927275 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2174_Traffi |
| 0 | 24.0 | -24 | 0 | 2991 | 0 | -71784 | N/A | C |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results.pdf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164927278 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0589_Traffi |
| 19.0 | 0 | 19.0 | 2662 | 0 | 50578.0 | 0 | N/A | c |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results.pdf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164927280 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8353_Traffi |
| 0 | 21.0 | -21 | 0 | 2837 | 0 | -59577 | N/A | C |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results.pdf |

## Vehicle Delay Reduced

## Measure B:Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements

| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Peak Hour Emissions <br> without the Project <br> (Kilograms): | Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) <br> Peak Hour Emissions with <br> the Project (Kilograms): | Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) <br> Peak Hour Emissions <br> Reduced by the Project <br> (Kilograms): |
| 26.17 | 25.82 |  |
| 26 | 26 | 0.35 |

Total

Total Emissions Reduced:
Upload Synchro Report

1649273005188_Traffic Results.pdf

Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

## Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not include railroad grade-separation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only):

| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) | Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour Emissions | Peak Hour Emissions with |
| without the Project | the Project (Kilograms): |
| (Kilograms): |  |

(Kilograms):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC)
Peak Hour Emissions
Reduced by the Project
(Kilograms):

## Total Parallel Roadway

Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways
0
Upload Synchro Report
Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

## New Roadway Portion:

Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project:
Vehicle miles traveled with the project: 0
Total delay in hours with the project: 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: 0
Fuel consumption in gallons:
0

| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms): | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words) |  |
| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): | 0.0 |
| Measure B:Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements |  |
| Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project: | 0 |
| Vehicle miles traveled without the project: | 0 |
| Total delay in hours without the project: | 0 |
| Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project: | 0 |
| Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: | 0 |
| Vehicle miles traveled with the project: | 0 |
| Total delay in hours with the project: | 0 |
| Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F1) | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F2) | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F3) | 0 |
| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): | 0 |
| EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words) |  |

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):

EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):

## Measure B:Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements

Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project: 0
Vehicle miles traveled without the project: 0
Total delay in hours without the project: 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project: 0
Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: 0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project: 0
Total delay in hours with the project: 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: 0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F1) 0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F2) 0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F3) 0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):

EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit
1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

## Measure A: Benefit of Crash Reduction

Crash Modification Factor Used:
The CMF used was a CMF determined by the estimated amount of crashes modified at the East River Road interchange with TH 610 and the Foley Blvd interchange with TH 10. These crashes were estimated by applying the volume modifications assumed for the study intersections and determine how many crashes the intersection will be modified by to match a similar crash rate to existing.


## Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:

Current AADT volume:

Average daily trains:
Crash Risk Exposure eliminated:

0
0
0

## Measure A: Pedestrian Safety

Determine if these measures do not apply to your project. Does the project match either of the following descriptions? If either of the items are checked yes, then score for entire pedestrian safety measure is zero. Applicant does not need to respond to the sub-measures and can proceed to the next section.

Project is primarily a freeway (or transitioning to a freeway) and does not provide safe and comfortable pedestrian facilities and crossings.

Existing location lacks any pedestrian facilities (e.g., sidewalks, marked crossings, wide shoulders in rural contexts) and project does not add pedestrian elements (e.g., reconstruction of a No roadway without sidewalks, that doesnt also add pedestrian crossings and sidewalk or sidepath on one or both sides).

## SUB-MEASURE 1: Project-Based Pedestrian Safety Enhancements and Risk Elements

To receive maximum points in this category, pedestrian safety countermeasures selected for implementation in projects should be, to the greatest extent feasible, consistent with the countermeasure recommendations in the Regional Pedestrian Safety Action Plan and state and national best practices. Links to resources are provided on the Regional Solicitation Resources web page.
Please answer the following two questions with as much detail as possible based on the known attributes of the proposed design. If any aspect referenced in this section is not yet determined, describe the range of options being considered, to the greatest extent available. If there are project elements that may increase pedestrian risk, describe how these risks are being mitigated.

1. Describe how this project will address the safety needs of people crossing the street at signalized intersections, unsignalized intersections, midblock locations, and roundabouts.
Treatments and countermeasures should be well-matched to the roadways context (e.g., appropriate for the speed, volume, crossing distance, and other location attributes). Refer to the Regional Solicitation Resources web page for guidance links.

The project will address the safety needs of people crossing the street at the TH 610 North and South Ramp intersections along East River Road. At both of these intersections, improvements will include pedestrian safety strategies identified in MnDOT's Best Practices for Pedestrians/Bicycle Safety, such as ADA compliant crosswalks, crosswalk lighting, traffic signals, and curb ramps. These improvements are critical to supporting safe, reliable and affordable connections for all pedestrian users of all abilities to places of employment, education, healthcare services, and other essential services and activities.

According to the pedestrian safety resource PEDSAFE, countermeasures to improve the safety and mobility of those who walk along a roadway include sidewalks and walkways. Currently, there is only sidewalk on the west side of East River Road along the project limits. Project improvements include the construction of a new 10-foot trail on the west side of East River Road from the south project limits to the TH 610 south ramps. This trail then extends on the east side of the project roadway to Foley Boulevard, connecting to the existing trail on Foley Boulevard and the park \& ride facility. The existing sidewalk on the west side will also be replaced with a five-foot walk from the TH 610 south ramp to Foley Boulevard. According to this resource, FHWA and ITE recommend a minimum of five feet for a sidewalk or walkway. The new 10foot trail in addition to the five-foot sidewalk as part of the TH 610 and East River Road Interchange project provides a high-level pedestrian facility for safe travels.

Another countermeasure identified by PEDSAFE is crossing islands. At the TH 610 South Ramp intersection, a raised median will be provided as part of the project on the west leg of the
intersection. This median will mitigate the increased crossing distance due to the additional eastbound on-ramp by providing a refuge area to help protect pedestrians at this signalized intersection. The TH 610 North Ramp will be reconstructed to accommodate the additional westbound off-ramp. The reconstruction of this intersection will improve the distance crossing East River Road along the north leg. In addition, the distance crossing the west leg of the intersection will be shortened and include a reconstructed median. These improvements at the TH 610 North and South Ramp intersections will provide additional safety for all pedestrian traffic.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
Is the distance in between signalized intersections increasing (e.g., removing a signal)?
Select one:
No
If yes, describe what measures are being used to fill the gap between protected crossing opportunities for pedestrians (e.g., adding HighIntensity Activated Crosswalk beacons to help motorists yield and help pedestrians find a suitable gap for crossing, turning signal into a roundabout to slow motorist speed, etc.).

Response:
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
Will your design increase the crossing distance or crossing time across any leg of an intersection? (e.g., by adding turn or through lanes, widening lanes, using a multi-phase crossing, prohibiting crossing on any leg of an intersection, pedestrian bridge requiring length detour, etc.). This does not include any increases to crossing distances solely due to the addition of bike lanes (i.e., no other through or turn lanes being added or widened).

Select one: Yes
If yes,
How many intersections will likely be affected?
Response: 1
Describe what measures are being used to reduce exposure and delay for pedestrians (e.g., median crossing islands, curb bulb-outs, etc.)
The TH 610 South Ramps and East River Road intersection will increase the crossing distance along west leg of the intersection. Mitigation measures as part of the proposed project that will address the increased crossing distance includes a new traffic signal with countdown timers and a median to serve as a refuge area to improve pedestrian travel across the intersection.

If grade separated pedestrian crossings are being added and increasing crossing time, describe any features that are included that will reduce the detour required of pedestrians and make the separated crossing a more appealing option (e.g., shallow tunnel that doesnt require much elevation change instead of pedestrian bridge with numerous switchbacks).

Response:
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
If mid-block crossings are restricted or blocked, explain why this is necessary and how pedestrian crossing needs and safety are supported in other ways (e.g., nearest protected or enhanced crossing opportunity)

Response:
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
2. Describe how motorist speed will be managed in the project design, both for through traffic and turning movements. Describe any project-related factors that may affect speed directly or indirectly, even if speed is not the intended outcome (e.g., wider lanes and turning radii to facilitate freight movements, adding turn lanes to alleviate peak hour congestion, etc.). Note any strategies or treatments being considered that are intended to help motorists drive slower (e.g., visual narrowing, narrow lanes, truck aprons to mitigate wide turning radii, etc.) or protect pedestrians if increasing motorist speed (e.g., buffers or other separation from moving vehicles, crossing treatments appropriate for higher speed roadways, etc.).

Response:
As part of the project, turning radii will be widened at the TH 610 North and South Ramp intersections to accommodate freight movements in the area. In addition, turn lanes will be added for the new eastbound on-ramp. In order to mitigate the potential for increased speeds indirectly, trails will be added along the entire project limits to provide wider pedestrian facilities and separation from moving vehicles on East River Road.

For through traffic along East River Road, the new coordinated signal system will synchronize the traffic movements and optimize for slower speeds.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
If known, what are the existing and proposed design, operation, and posted speeds? Is this an increase or decrease from existing conditions?
The existing and proposed design speed on East
Response: River Road is 45 mph . The posted speed limit is 45 mph . There is no change from existing conditions.
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
SUB-MEASURE 2: Existing Location-Based Pedestrian Safety Risk Factors
These factors are based on based on trends and patterns observed in pedestrian crash analysis done for the Regional Pedestrian Safety Action Plan. Check off how many of the following factors are present. Applicants receive more points if more risk factors are present.

Existing road configuration is a One-way, $3+$ through lanes
or
Existing road configuration is a Two-way, 4+ through lanes
Yes
Existing road has a design speed, posted speed limit, or speed study/data showing 85th percentile travel speeds in excess of 30 Yes MPH or more

List the AADT
18300
SUB-MEASURE 3: Existing Location-Based Pedestrian Safety Exposure Factors
These factors are based on based on trends and patterns observed in pedestrian crash analysis done for the Regional Pedestrian Safety Action Plan. Check off how many of the following existing location exposure factors are present. Applicants receive more points if more risk factors are present.

Existing road has transit running on or across it with 1+ transit stops in the project area (If flag-stop route with no fixed stops, then 1+ locations in the project area where roadside stops are allowed. Do not count portions of transit routes with no stops, such as non-stop freeway sections of express or limited-stop routes. If service was temporarily reduced for the pandemic but is expected to return to 2019 levels, consider 2019 service for this item.)

Existing road has high-frequency transit running on or across it and 1+ high-frequency stops in the project area (high-frequency defined as service at least every 15 minutes from 6am to 7pm weekdays and 9am to 6pm Saturdays. If service frequency was temporarily reduced for the pandemic but is expected to return to 2019 levels, consider 2019 frequency for this item.)

Existing road is within 500 of $1+$ shopping, dining, or entertainment destinations (e.g., grocery store, restaurant)

If checked, please describe:
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
Existing road is within 500 of other known pedestrian generators (e.g., school, civic/community center, senior housing, multifamily Yes housing, regulatorily-designated affordable housing)

If checked, please describe:
Adams Elementary School is located within 500 feet of the new 10 -foot trail being constructed as part of the interchange project along East River Road

The project will improve the travel experience for bicyclists, pedestrians, and transit users with significant multimodal elements. There is sidewalk on the west side of East River Road. Improvements include a new 10 -foot trail on the west side, from the south end to the TH 610 south ramps. This trail then extends on the east side to Foley Boulevard, connecting to the existing Foley Boulevard trail and park \& ride facility. The existing sidewalk will also be replaced with a five-foot walk in its current location. All sidewalk replacement, crosswalks, lighting, traffic signal, and curb ramps will be upgraded to meet ADA standards.

An interconnected trail/sidewalk system is an essential part of the City's Comprehensive Plan. The East River Road trail constructed as part of the project will provide a safer modal option for bicyclists, having a positive impact on an identified Tier 1 RBTN alignment/corridor. At the south end, an additional one-half mile segment of trail will be constructed, connecting westerly to an existing trail and the Mississippi River Regional Trail. This regional trail offers a link from the Coon Rapids Dam Regional Park, through the cities of Coon Rapids, Fridley, and Columbia Heights, into the Minneapolis parkway system. It also connects to the North Hennepin and Rice Creek Regional Trails. This provides the opportunity to use biking as a commuting option.

The project area is served by Metro Transit express routes 850 and 852 with stops at the Foley Park \& Ride facility. These express routes provide frequent, all-day service from the transit station to downtown Minneapolis. It is currently the fastest transit option from the area to downtown Minneapolis. The Foley Park \& Ride is well utilized and one of the largest in the system. The gradeseparated crossing currently being constructed
over the BNSF railroad will make it easier for users to access this park \& ride lot with possible route expansions.

Currently, buses leaving the Foley facility use a transit-only westbound on-ramp to downtown Minneapolis. This creates operational issues with weaving traffic and slower moving buses merging into faster vehicle speeds. As part of the project, this westbound on-ramp for buses will be closed. Safer transit operations will be provided at the new signalized TH 610 ramp intersections along East River Road, with the potential for signal transit priorities.

The City's Foley Boulevard Station Area Plan (2015) focuses on efforts to pursue a station for the Northern Lights Express (NLX) high-speed rail planned between the Twin Cities and Duluth. This planning effort seeks to build consensus among various agencies on long-term guidance for infrastructure improvements, transportation investment, and pedestrian/bicycle connectivity.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.
Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.
Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment - Construction Projects

1.Public Involvement (20 Percent of Points)

Projects that have been through a public process with residents and other interested public entities are more likely than others to be successful. The project applicant must indicate that events and/or targeted outreach (e.g., surveys and other web-based input) were held to help identify the transportation problem, how the potential solution was selected instead of other options, and the public involvement completed to date on the project. The focus of this section is on the opportunity for public input as opposed to the quality of input. NOTE: A written response is required and failure to respond will result in zero points.

Multiple types of targeted outreach efforts (such as meetings or online/mail outreach) specific to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the project need.

100\%
At least one meeting specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
At least online/mail outreach effort specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.
50\%
No meeting or outreach specific to this project was conducted, but the project was identified through meetings and/or outreach related to a larger planning effort.

25\%
No outreach has led to the selection of this project.
$0 \%$
Describe the type(s) of outreach selected for this project (i.e., online or in-person meetings, surveys, demonstration projects), the method(s) used to announce outreach opportunities, and how many people participated. Include any public website links to outreach opportunities.

The City has provided an extensive amount of outreach opportunities for the proposed interchange project. The project's engagement overview from May 2019 to March 2022 includes 179 survey responses and 163 email subscribers.

A summary of their efforts includes:

- Public outreach to the community and stakeholders was accomplished in a variety of ways to solicit feedback including online surveys, maps, and forums, as well as in-person open houses with open discussion and commenting forms. A total of four open houses (two in-person, two online) were held throughout the process.

Open House \# 1 on July 30, 2021 was held virtually and presented the project history, existing conditions, and defined the purpose and need of the project. An online survey was conducted to determine concerns and goals of the community and stakeholders.

Open House \#2 on August 31, 2021 was conducted in person. Three alternatives were presented based off feedback from the first open house and a preliminary engineering review. Participants engaged with the design team and expressed desire to minimize impacts to the surrounding neighborhoods. Feedback was captured by mapping activities, open discussion, and comment cards.

Open House \#3 on December 15, 2021 was held in-person. Based on feedback from the previous engagement, the design team refined the alternatives and presented two alternatives that met engineering requirements while expressed as favorable from stakeholders and the public.

Feedback was captured by mapping activities, open discussion, and comment cards.

Open Hours \#4 on February 24 to March 31, 2022 was held virtually and presented a summary of all engagement to date. A preferred alternative was presented to solicit feedback. This design was chosen as the overwhelmingly favored alternative from the public and stakeholders.

Multiple digital, video and print marketing materials were distributed to reach as many citizens, underrepresented or otherwise:

- 10 email blasts (2,500+ subscribers)
- 9 Facebook posts (3,868+ engagement clicks)
- 5 Tweets (2,236 followers)
- 11 alerts on City/CTN homepages
- 2 print articles (circulation 26,475)
- 1 print article targeting businesses (circulation 1,750 )
- 3 video stories on cable/YouTube (230+ views)

ABC Newspapers (local media) ran a story about an open house event in their August 7, 2021, edition (reaching 5,000+ print circulation and online audience).

Target online and mail outreach were used to reach the following stakeholders:

- 15 nearby apartment complexes
- 14 childcare facilities and schools

Public website:
https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/beb4471ffd5b4
9d18a16ee661f970694
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

## 2.Layout ( 25 Percent of Points)

Layout includes proposed geometrics and existing and proposed right-of-way boundaries. A basic layout should include a base map (north arrow; scale; legend;* city and/or county limits; existing ROW, labeled; existing signals;* and bridge numbers*) and design data (proposed alignments; bike and/or roadway lane widths; shoulder width;* proposed signals;* and proposed ROW). An aerial photograph with a line showing the projects termini does not suffice and will be awarded zero points. *If applicable
Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties/MnDOT. If a MnDOT trunk highway is impacted, approval by MnDOT must have occurred to receive full points. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.
$100 \%$
A layout does not apply (signal replacement/signal timing, standalone streetscaping, minor intersection improvements). Applicants that are not certain whether a layout is required should contact Colleen Brown at MnDOT Metro State Aid colleen.brown@state.mn.us.

100\%
For projects where MnDOT trunk highways are impacted and a MnDOT Staff Approved layout is required. Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted local jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties), Yes and layout review and approval by MnDOT is pending. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

75\%
Layout completed but not approved by all jurisdictions. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

50\%
Layout has been started but is not complete. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

25\%
Layout has not been started

Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Additional Attachments
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## 3.Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and Yes project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
There are historical/archeological properties present but determination of no historic properties affected is anticipated. 100\%

Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological properties in the project area.

0\%
Project is located on an identified historic bridge
4.Right-of-Way (25 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit either not required or all have been acquired

100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - plat, legal descriptions, or official map complete

50\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - parcels identified

25\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - parcels not all identified

0\%
5.Railroad Involvement (15 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project or railroad Right-of-Way agreement is executed (include signature page, if applicable)

100\%
Signature Page

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

Yes

50\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have not begun.
0\%

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

| Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form): | $\$ 30,053,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enter Amount of the Noise Walls: | $\$ 2,444,000.00$ |
| Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls: | $\$ 27,609,000.00$ |
| Enter amount of any outside, competitive funding: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Attach documentation of award: |  |
| Points Awarded in Previous Criteria | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 220404 _TH610_Equity_Maps.pdf | Equity Maps | 109 KB |
| AC LOS - TH 610 \& ERR Interchange | County Support |  |
| Improvements (3-2-22).pdf | ADA Plan | 188 KB |
| ADA Transition Plan.pdf | Anoka County Board Resolution of | 3.4 MB |
| c. 610 at ERR Interchange Resolution | 378 KB |  |
| \#2022-36.pdf | Support | 512 KB |
| Coon Rapids Full Safety Analysis.pdf | Safety Analysis | 2.9 MB |
| Level of Congestionpdf.pdf | Congestion | 2.2 MB |
| Project Summary.pdf | Project Summary | 3.0 MB |
| Regional Economy.pdf | Economy | 72 KB |
| Resolution 22-55.pdf | City Council Resolution of Support | 267 KB |
| RS MnDOT Letter Coon Rapid TH 610 | MnDOT Letter of Support | 3.1 MB |
| and East River Rd.pdf | Socio Econ | 2.6 MB |
| Socio-Economic Conditions.pdf | Layout | 321 KB |
| TH 610 Layout - DRAFT.pdf | Congestion Analysis | 3.0 MB |

## City of Coon Rapids ADA Transition Plan



Adopted by the Coon Rapids City Council
March 6, 2018

## City of Coon Rapids ADA Transition Plan
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## Introduction

## Transition Plan Need and Purpose

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), enacted on July 26, 1990, is a civil rights law prohibiting discrimination against individuals on the basis of disability. ADA consists of five titles outlining protections in the following areas:

1. Employment
2. State and local government services
3. Public accommodations
4. Telecommunications
5. Miscellaneous Provisions

Title II of ADA pertains to the programs, activities and services public entities provide. As a provider of public transportation services and programs, the City of Coon Rapids must comply with this section of the Act as it specifically applies to public service agencies. Title II of ADA provides that, "...no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of the services, programs, or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity." (42 USC. Sec. 12132; $\underline{28}$ CFR. Sec. 35.130)

As required by Title II of ADA, 28 CFR. Part 35 Sec .35 .105 and Sec. 35.150 , the City of Coon Rapids has conducted a self-evaluation of its facilities within public rights of way and has developed this Transition Plan detailing how the organization will ensure that all of those facilities are accessible to all individuals.

## ADA and its Relationship to Other Laws

Title II of ADA is companion legislation to two previous federal statutes and regulations: the Architectural Barriers Acts of 1968 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

The Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 is a Federal law that requires facilities designed, built, altered or leased with Federal funds to be accessible. The Architectural Barriers Act marks one of the first efforts to ensure access to the built environment.

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 is a Federal law that protects qualified individuals from discrimination based on their disability. The nondiscrimination requirements of the law apply to employers and organizations that receive financial assistance from any Federal department or agency. Title II of ADA extended this coverage to all state and local government entities, regardless of whether they receive federal funding or not.

## Agency Requirements

Under Title II, the City of Coon Rapids must meet these general requirements:

- Must operate their programs so that, when viewed in their entirety, the programs are accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities [28 C.F.R. Sec. 35.150].
- May not refuse to allow a person with a disability to participate in a service, program or activity simply because the person has a disability [28 C.F.R. Sec. 35.130 (a)].
- Must make reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures that deny equal access to individuals with disabilities unless a fundamental alteration in the program would result [28 C.F.R. Sec. 35.130(b) (7)].
- May not provide services or benefits to individuals with disabilities through programs that are separate or different unless the separate or different measures are necessary to ensure that benefits and services are equally effective [28 C.F.R. Sec. 35.130(b)(iv) \& (d)].
- Must take appropriate steps to ensure that communications with applicants, participants and members of the public with disabilities are as effective as communications with others [29 C.F.R. Sec. 35.160(a)].
- Must designate at least one responsible employee to coordinate ADA compliance [28 CFR Sec. 35.107(a)]. This person is often referred to as the "ADA Coordinator." The public entity must provide the ADA coordinator's name, office address, and telephone number to all interested individuals [28 CFR Sec. 35.107(a)].
- Must provide notice of ADA requirements. All public entities, regardless of size, must provide information about the rights and protections of Title II to applicants, participants, beneficiaries, employees, and other interested persons [28 CFR Sec. 35,106]. The notice must include the identification of the employee serving as the ADA coordinator and must provide this information on an ongoing basis [28 CFR Sec. 104.8(a)].
- Must establish a grievance procedure. Public entities must adopt and publish grievance procedures providing for prompt and equitable resolution of complaints [ 28 CFR Sec. $35.107(b)]$. This requirement provides for a timely resolution of all problems or conflicts related to ADA compliance before they escalate to litigation and/or the federal complaint process.

This document has been created to specifically cover accessibility within the public rights of way and does not include information on City of Coon Rapids programs, practices, or building facilities not related to public rights of way.

## Self-Evaluation

## Overview

The City of Coon Rapids (City) is required, under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and 28CFR35.105, to perform a self-evaluation of its current transportation infrastructure policies, practices, and programs. This self-evaluation will identify what policies and practices impact accessibility and examine how the City implements these policies. The goal of the self-evaluation is to verify that, in implementing the City policies and practices, the department is providing accessibility and not adversely affecting the full participation of individuals with disabilities.

The self-evaluation also examines the condition of the City Pedestrian Circulation Route/Pedestrian Access Route (PCR/PAR) and identifies potential need for PCR/PAR infrastructure improvements. This includes the sidewalks, curb ramps, bicycle/pedestrian trails, traffic control signals and transit facilities that are located within the City's rights of way, but does not include any sidewalks, curb ramps, bicycle/pedestrian trails, traffic control signals and transit facilities under the jurisdiction of Anoka County or the Minnesota Department of Transportation. Any barriers to accessibility identified in the self-evaluation and the remedy to the identified barrier are set out in this transition plan.

## Summary

In 2017, the City conducted an inventory of pedestrian facilities within its public right-of-way consisting of the evaluation of the following:

- 94.3 miles of sidewalks
- 1,192 curb ramps
- 60 miles of trails
- 81 traffic control signals
- 333 bus stops

A detailed evaluation on how these facilities relate to ADA standards is found in Appendix A and will be updated periodically.

## Policies and Practices

## Previous Practices

Since the adoption of the ADA, the City has provided accessible pedestrian features as part of City capital improvement projects. As additional information was made available regarding methods to provide accessible pedestrian features, the City updated their procedures to accommodate these methods.

## Policy

The City's goal is to continue to provide accessible pedestrian design features as part of City capital improvement projects. The City has established ADA design standards and procedures as listed in Appendix F. These standards and procedures will be kept up to date with nationwide and local best management practices.

The City will consider and respond to all accessibility improvement requests. All accessibility improvements that have been deemed reasonable will be scheduled consistent with transportation project priorities. The City will coordinate with external agencies to ensure that all new or altered pedestrian facilities within the City's jurisdiction are ADA compliant to the maximum extent feasible.

Maintenance of pedestrian facilities within the public right-of-way will continue to follow the policies set forth by the City.

Requests for accessibility improvements can be submitted to the ADA Coordinator. Contact information for this individual is located in Appendix E.

## Improvement Schedule

## Priority Areas

The City has identified specific locations as priority areas for planned accessibility improvement projects. These areas have been selected due to their proximity to specific land uses such as schools, government offices and medical facilities, as well as from the receipt of public comments. The priority areas as identified in the self-evaluation are as follows:

- Mercy Hospital; Port Medical Area
- Schools; Anoka Ramsey Community College; Parks
- Coon Rapids Ice Center; Boulevard Plaza; City Hall; Transit Corridors

Additional priority will be given to any location where an improvement project or alteration was constructed after January 26, 1991, and accessibility features were omitted.

## External Agency Coordination

Many other agencies are responsible for pedestrian facilities within the jurisdiction of the City. The City will coordinate with those agencies to track and assist in the elimination of accessibility barriers along their routes.

## Schedule

The City has set the following schedule goals for improving the accessibility of its pedestrian facilities within the City jurisdiction:

- After 30 years, $80 \%$ of accessibility features within the jurisdiction of Coon Rapids would be ADA compliant.


## ADA Coordinator

In accordance with 28 CFR 35.107(a), the City of Coon Rapids has identified an ADA Title II Coordinator to oversee City ADA policies and procedures. Contact information for this individual is located in Appendix E.

## Implementation Schedule

## Methodology

The City will utilize two methods for upgrading pedestrian facilities to current ADA standards. The first and most comprehensive of the two methods is scheduled street and utility improvement projects. All pedestrian facilities impacted by these projects will be upgraded to current ADA accessibility standards. The second method is stand-alone sidewalk and ADA accessibility improvement projects. These projects will be incorporated on a case by case basis as determined by City staff. Every five years, the City evaluates all roads under the City's jurisdiction and a 5-year street reconstruction plan is developed, which includes a schedule for specific improvements. During that 5 -year period, roads that were planned to be reconstructed may be rescheduled or removed from the 5 -year plan and other roads may be added. This is due to potential needs in other areas or budgetary constraints in any given year.

## Public Outreach

The City recognizes that public participation is an important component in the development of this document. Input from the community has been gathered and used to help define priority areas for improvements within the jurisdiction of the City.

Public outreach for the creation of this document consisted of the following activities:

Engineering staff met with the City Safety Commission in November 2016 and February 2017 to identify recommended high-priority projects the City should focus on. With that information in mind, the City held a public open house meeting on April 13, 2017. The purpose of the open house was to gain feedback on the draft ADA plan from the public, determine potential improvements to enhance ADA compliance efforts, and establish how the public believes the City should focus its efforts.

The City publishes quarterly newsletters which are distributed to all residents and businesses within the City. The spring 2017 newsletter announced the public meeting and invited residents to participate. On April 14, 2017, CTN Studios (the City's cable news program provider) broadcast a segment to explain what the ADA Transition Plan is, the City's efforts thus far, and to contact the Engineering department with any questions or comments.

This document was also made available for public comment. A summary of public outreach efforts is located in Appendix C.

## Grievance Procedure

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act, each agency is required to publish its responsibilities in regards to the ADA. A draft of this public notice is provided in Appendix D. If users of City facilities and services believe the City has not provided reasonable accommodation, they have the right to file a grievance.

In accordance with 28 CFR 35.107 (b), the City has developed a grievance procedure for the purpose of the prompt and equitable resolution of citizens' complaints, concerns, comments, and other grievances. This grievance procedure is outlined in Appendix $D$.

## Monitor the Progress

This document will continue to be updated as conditions within the City and standards evolve. The appendices in this document will be updated periodically, while the main body of the document will be updated in (short term period, 5 years) with a future update schedule to be developed at that time. With each main body update, a public comment period will be established to continue the public outreach.

## Appendices

A. Self-Evaluation Results
B. Schedule / Budget Information
C. Public Outreach
D. Grievance Procedure
E. Contact Information
F. Agency ADA Design Standards and Procedures
G. Glossary of Terms

## Appendix A - Self-Evaluation Results

This initial self-evaluation of pedestrian facilities yielded the following results:

- $80 \%$ of sidewalks met accessibility criteria
- $10 \%$ of curb ramps met accessibility criteria
- $55 \%$ intersections did not have any curb ramps (due to no sidewalks or trails at those intersections)
- $80 \%$ of trails met accessibility criteria
- $100 \%$ of traffic control signals had push buttons that are accessible, or had the pedestrian indications on recall
- $0 \%$ of traffic control signals had APS
- $0 \%$ of bus stops met accessibility criteria
- $0 \%$ of bus stops had amenities that met accessibility criteria
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## Appendix B-Schedule / Budget Information

## Cost Information

## Unit Prices

Construction costs for upgrading facilities can vary depending on each individual improvement and conditions of each site. Costs can also vary on the type and size of project the improvements are associated with. Listed below are representative 2017 cost estimates for typical accessibility improvements based on whether the improvements are included as part of a retrofit-type project, or as part of a larger comprehensive capital improvement project.

Intersection corner ADA improvement retrofit: +/- \$5,000 per corner
Intersection corner ADA improvement as part of adjacent capital project: +/- \$2,600 per corner
Traffic control signal APS upgrade retrofit: $+/-\$ 16,000$
Traffic control signal APS upgrade as part of full traffic control signal installation: $+/-\$ 12,000$
Sidewalk / Trail ADA improvement retrofit: +/- \$5.50 per SF
Sidewalk / Trail ADA improvement as part of adjacent capital project: +/- \$4.00 per SF
Bus Stop ADA improvement retrofit: +/- \$400 per stop
Bus Stop ADA improvement as part of adjacent capital project: $+/-\$ 250$ per stop

## Priority Areas

Based on the results of the self-evaluation, the estimated costs associated with eliminating accessibility barriers within the targeted priority areas is as follows:

- Mercy Hospital; Port Medical Area - \$500,000
- Schools; Anoka Ramsey Community College - \$750,000
- Coon Rapids Ice Center; Boulevard Plaza; City Hall - \$500,000


## Entire Jurisdiction

Based on the results of the self-evaluation, the estimated costs associated with providing ADA accessibility within the entire jurisdiction is $\$ 9,500,000$. This amount represents a significant investment that the City is committed to making in the upcoming years. A systematic approach to providing accessibility will be taken in order to absorb the cost into the City budget for improvements within the public right-of-way.

## Appendix C - Public Outreach

## Safety Commission Survey Summary:


(This form was presented to and filled out by the City's Safety Commission. The responses were averaged and are shown above.)

# City News 

## Public Meeting for ADA Transition Planning

The City is hosting a public meeting to discuss the City of Coon Rapids ADA Transition Plan. Residents interested in this topic are invited to attend an open house style event.

Thursday, April 13

## 4:30 to 7 p.m.

Coon Rapids Civic Center - Civic Room A 11155 Robinson Drive
The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), enacted on July 26,1990 , is a civil rights law prohibiting discrimination against individuals on the basis of disability. Title II of the ADA pertains to programs, activities and services public entties provide. As a provider of public transportation services and programs, local agencies must comply with this section of the Act as it specifically applies to local public service agencies and local transportation agencies. The City of Coon Rapids must adopt an ADA Transition plan in order to receive federal funding for transportation projects in the future. The transition plan involves the City self-evaluating facilities within public rights of way and detailing how the City will ensure that all of the facilities are accessible to individuals. This involves things such as pedestrian curb ramps, traffic control signals, sidewalk and slope improvements and bus stops. Priority areas are determined based on proximity to schools, medical acilties, govern iffice and throug public 0 dhack aciiltes, government ofices and through public feedback The City will eventually present a final plan for adoption by the City Council in late 2017.


Public Works Open House Event
Saturday, May 13-10 a.m. - noon 1831-111th Ave. NW
Take a tour of the Public Works facility and check out snowplows, tractors and other "big rigs." Learn about the Recycling Center and other services.
Free event! Perfect for the kids!
Questions? Call 763-767-6462.

## Hanson Boulevard Overpass Update

City and County officials continue to advocate for state funding of the Hanson Boulevard grade separation (overpass). The grade separation will improve safety by separating vehicle and rail traffic, reduce vehicle delays due to blocked crossings, improve response time for emergency vehicles and improve safety and mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.
State funding, in the amount of $\$ 11.9$ million is currently in the 2017 Omnibus Bonding Bill, but as of the time of this publication, no final bonding package has been decided at the State Legislature.
The total project cost is $\$ 25.2$ million, which will be paid for through state funding and through partnership funding from CTIB (Counties Transit Improvement Board, $30 \%$ ), Anoka County ( $10 \%$ ), the City of Coon Rapids ( $5 \%$ ) and Burlington Northern Santa Fe railway ( $5 \%$ )
The Hanson Boulevard grade separation was the number one funding priority for the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) due to safety concerns, along with rail improvements in Moorhead and Red Wing-Sturgeon Lake Road at Prairie Island. The Hanson Boulevard crossing has one of the highest exposure

## Last Year for Sanitary Sewer Lining

As part of maintaining the underground sewer system, the City is continuing to line (and complete) clay sewer pipes with epoxy resin. This process involves using high pressure hot water to cure the epoxy resin in place, which creates a new inner pipe within the existing clay pipe. The process is long-lasting and does not require the streets to be torn up which is a huge bonus! Nearly seven miles of pipe will be lined this year, which will complete this process that has been on-going since 2008.
Clay pipe can cause problems because tree roots often grow into the pipe. These roots can grow large enough to stop water flow, which can sometimes lead to sewer backups.
Tree roots cannot grow into the epoxy resin lined pipes
rates (high potential for crashes to occur) in the state due to high traffic volumes competing with the high volume of trains. Staged trains frequently block the crossing for 6-8 minutes (best case scenario) to 25 minutes or more at a time, which is having a negative impact on public safety response. The Hanson Boulevard crossing is part of the busiest segment of rail line in the state, with an average of 81 trains a day.


## What to do if you

 have a sewer backupCall the City: 763-767-6462
Crews will come out and see if there is a problem with the City's main line. Do this before you call your own service company. Also call the City if you are having your sewer line cleaned. This will help our crews be prepared for any tree roots or other debris that may clog the City's main lines.

## 2017 Hydrant Flushing

Starting April 10, the City's utility crews will begin flushing more than 1600 fire hydrants in the city, mainly east of highway 10 . The process is part of a routine maintenance program necessary to maintain the water system and remove sediment from the lines. This allows us to continue to deliver the highest quality water possible to our residents. If crews are working in your neighborhood, you may experience some water discoloration, but this does not affect the safety of the water. It's best to avoid doing laundry until the discoloration has disappeared. To see a map of the exact area, visit the City's website.

## Appendix D - Grievance Procedure

As part of the ADA requirements, the City has posted the following notice outlining its ADA requirements:

## Public Notice

In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the City of Coon Rapids will not discriminate against qualified individuals with disabilities on the basis of disability in the City of Coon Rapids services, programs, or activities.

Employment: The City does not discriminate on the basis of disability in its hiring or employment practices and complies with all regulations promulgated by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission under Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Effective Communication: The City will generally, upon request, provide appropriate aids and services leading to effective communication for qualified persons with disabilities so they can participate equally in City programs, services, and activities, including qualified sign language interpreters, documents in Braille, and other ways of making information and communications accessible to people who have speech, hearing, or vision impairments.

Modifications to Policies and Procedures: The City will make all reasonable modifications to policies and programs to ensure that people with disabilities have an equal opportunity to enjoy all City programs, services, and activities. For example, individuals with service animals are welcomed in City offices, even where pets are generally prohibited.

Anyone who requires an auxiliary aid or service for effective communication, or a modification of policies or procedures to participate in a City program, service, or activity, should contact the office of the ADA Coordinator as soon as possible but no later than 48 hours before the scheduled event.

The ADA does not require the City to take any action that would fundamentally alter the nature of its programs or services, or impose an undue financial or administrative burden.

The City will not place a surcharge on a particular individual with a disability or any group of individuals with disabilities to cover the cost of providing auxiliary aids/services or reasonable modifications of policy, such as retrieving items from locations that are open to the public but are not accessible to persons who use wheelchairs.

## Grievance Form Instructions

## City of Coon Rapids

## Grievance Procedure under

## the Americans with Disabilities Act

This Grievance Procedure is established to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"). It may be used by anyone who wishes to file a complaint alleging discrimination on the basis of disability in the provision of services, activities, programs, or benefits by the City of Coon Rapids. The City of Coon Rapids' Personnel Policy governs employment-related complaints of disability discrimination.

The complaint shall be in writing by an approved method detailed herein and contain information about the alleged discrimination such as name, address, and phone number of complainant, and location, date, and description of the problem. Alternative means of filing complaints, such as personal interviews or a tape recording of the complaint, will be made available for persons with disabilities upon request.

The complaint shall be submitted by the grievant and/or his/her designee as soon as possible but no later than 60 calendar days after the alleged violation to:

Joan Lenzmeier
ADA Coordinator/City Clerk
JLenzmeier@coonrapidsmn.gov

Within 15 calendar days after receipt of the complaint, the ADA Coordinator or his/her designee will meet with the complainant to discuss the complaint and the possible resolutions. Within 15 calendar days of the meeting, the ADA Coordinator or his/her designee will respond in writing, and where appropriate, in a format accessible to the complainant, such as large print, Braille, or audio tape. The response will explain the position of the City of Coon Rapids and offer options for substantive resolution of the complaint.

If the response by the ADA Coordinator or his/her his designee does not satisfactorily resolve the issue, the complainant and/or his/her designee may appeal the decision within 15 calendar days after receipt of the response to the City Manager or his/her designee.

Within 15 calendar days after receipt of the appeal, the City Manager or his/her designee will meet with the complainant to discuss the complaint and possible resolutions. Within 15 calendar days after the meeting, the City Manager or his/her designee will respond in writing, and, where appropriate, in a format accessible to the complainant, with a final resolution of the complaint.

All written complaints received by the ADA Coordinator or his/her designee, appeals to the City Manager or his/her designee, and responses from these two offices will be retained by the City for at least three years.

Those wishing to file a formal written grievance with the City may do so by one of the following methods:

## Internet

Visit the City website www.coonrapidsmn.gov and click the "ADA" link to access the ADA Grievance Form. Fill in the form online and click "submit." A copy of The ADA Grievance Form is included in this Appendix.

## Telephone

Contact the pertinent City of Coon Rapids staff person listed in the Contact Information section of Appendix E to submit an oral grievance. The staff person will utilize the Internet method above to submit the grievance on behalf of the person filing the grievance.

## Paper Submittal

Contact the pertinent City staff person listed in the Contact Information section of Appendix E to request a paper copy of the City's grievance form, complete the form, and submit it to the ADA Coordinator.

The ADA Grievance Form requires the following information:
The name, address, telephone number, and email address for the person filing the grievance
The name, address, telephone number, and email address for the person alleging an ADA violation (if different than the person filing the grievance)

A description and location of the alleged violation and the nature of a remedy sought, if known by the complainant.

If the complainant has filed the same complaint or grievance with the United States
Department of Justice (DOJ), another federal or state civil rights agency, a court, or others, the name of the agency or court where the complainant filed it and the filing date.

If the grievance filed does not concern a City facility, the City will work with the complainant to contact the agency that has jurisdiction.

The City will document each resolution of a filed grievance and retain such documentation in the department's ADA Grievance File for a period of three years.

The City will consider all specific grievances within its particular context or setting.
Furthermore, the City will consider many varying circumstances including: 1) the nature of the access to services, programs, or facilities at issue; 2) the specific nature of the disability; 3) the essential eligibility requirements for participation; 4) the health and safety of others; and 5) the degree to which an accommodation would constitute a fundamental alteration to the program, service, or facility, or cause an undue hardship to the City.

Accordingly, the resolution by the City of any one grievance does not constitute a precedent upon which the City is bound or upon which other complaining parties may rely.

## File Maintenance

The City shall maintain ADA grievance files for a period of three years.
Complaints of Title II violations may also be filed with the DOJ within 180 days of the date of discrimination. In certain situations, cases may be referred to a mediation program sponsored by the Department of Justice (DOJ). The DOJ may bring a lawsuit where it has investigated a matter and has been unable to resolve violations.
For more information, contact:
U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Disability Rights Section - NYAV
Washington, D.C. 20530
www.ada.gov
(800) 514-0301 (voice - toll free)
(800) 514-0383 (TTY)

Title II may also be enforced through private lawsuits in Federal court. It is not necessary to file a complaint with the DOJ or any other Federal agency, or to receive a "right-to-sue" letter, before going to court.

## Grievance Form (Available online at www.coonrapidsmn.gov or at City Hall):



## Appendix E - Contact Information

## ADA Title II Coordinator

Name: Joan Lenzmeier
Address: 11155 Robinson Drive, Coon Rapids, MN 55433

Phone: 763-767-6493
Fax: 763-767-6531
E-mail: JLenzmeier@coonrapidsmn.gov

## Public Right-of-Way ADA Implementation Coordinator

Name: Tim Himmer
Address: 11155 Robinson Drive, Coon Rapids, MN 55433

Phone: 763-767-6465
Fax: 763-767-6573
E-mail: THimmer@coonrapidsmn.gov

## Appendix F - City of Coon Rapids ADA Procedures \& Standards

## Design Procedures

## Intersection Corners

Every attempt shall be made to construct or upgrade curb ramps and/or blended transitions to achieve ADA compliance within all capital improvement projects. There may be limitations which make it technically infeasible to achieve full accessibility to an intersection corner within the scope of any project. Those limitations will be noted and those intersection corners will remain on the transition plan. As future projects or opportunities arise, those intersection corners shall continue to be incorporated into future work. Regardless of whether full compliance can be achieved or not, each intersection corner shall be made as compliant as possible in accordance with the judgment of City of Coon Rapids staff.

## Sidewalks / Trails

Every attempt shall be made to construct or upgrade sidewalks and trails to achieve ADA compliance within all capital improvement projects. There may be limitations which make it technically infeasible to achieve full accessibility to segments of sidewalks or trails within the scope of any project. Those limitations will be noted and those segments will remain on the transition plan. As future projects or opportunities arise, those segments shall continue to be incorporated into future work. Regardless of whether full compliance can be achieved or not, every sidewalk or trail shall be made as compliant as possible in accordance with the judgment of City of Coon Rapids staff.

## Traffic Control Signals

Every attempt shall be made to construct or upgrade traffic control signals to achieve ADA compliance within all capital improvement projects. There may be limitations which make it technically infeasible to achieve full accessibility to individual traffic control signal locations within the scope of any project. Those limitations will be noted and those locations will remain on the transition plan. As future projects or opportunities arise, those locations shall continue to be incorporated into future work. Regardless of whether full compliance can be achieved or not, each traffic signal control location shall be made as compliant as possible in accordance with the judgment of City of Coon Rapids or Anoka County staff.

## Bus Stops

Every attempt shall be made to construct or upgrade bus stops to achieve ADA compliance within all capital improvement projects. There may be limitations which make it technically infeasible to achieve full accessibility to individual bus stop locations within the scope of any project. Those limitations will be noted and those locations will remain on the transition plan. As future projects or opportunities arise, those locations shall continue to be incorporated into
future work. Regardless of whether full compliance can be achieved or not, each bus stop location shall be made as compliant as possible in accordance with the judgment of City or Metro Transit staff. Transit facilities present within the limits of the City of Coon Rapids fall under the jurisdiction of Metro Transit. The City of Coon Rapids will work with Metro Transit to ensure that those facilities meet all appropriate accessibility standards.

Other policies, practices and programs
Policies, practices and programs not identified in this document will follow the applicable ADA standards.

## Design Standards

The City has adopted PROWAG, as adopted by the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT), as its design standard.

## Appendix G - Glossary of Terms

ABA: See Architectural Barriers Act.
ADA: See Americans with Disabilities Act.
ADA Transition Plan: The City of Coon Rapids' transportation system plan that identifies accessibility needs and the process to fully integrate accessibility improvements, and ensures all transportation facilities, services, programs, and activities are accessible to all individuals.

ADAAG: See Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines.
Accessible: A facility that provides access to people with disabilities using the design requirements of the ADA.

Accessible Pedestrian Signal (APS): A device that communicates information about the WALK phase in audible and tactile formats.

Alteration: A change to a facility in the public right-of-way that affects or could affect access, circulation, or use. An alteration must not decrease or have the effect of decreasing the accessibility of a facility or an accessible connection to an adjacent building or site.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA): The Americans with Disabilities Act; Civil rights legislation passed in 1990 and effective July 1992. The ADA sets design guidelines for accessibility to public facilities, including sidewalks and trails, by individuals with disabilities.

Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG): contains scoping and technical requirements for accessibility to buildings and public facilities by individuals with disabilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990.

APS: See Accessible Pedestrian Signal.
Architectural Barriers Act (ABA): Federal law that requires facilities designed, built, altered or leased with Federal funds to be accessible. The Architectural Barriers Act marks one of the first efforts to ensure access to the built environment.

Capital Improvement Program (CIP): The CIP for the Transportation Department includes an annual capital budget and a five-year plan for funding the new construction and reconstruction projects on the City's transportation system.

Detectable Warning: A surface feature of truncated domes, built in or applied to the walking surface to indicate an upcoming change from pedestrian to vehicular way.

DOJ: See United States Department of Justice.
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA): A branch of the U.S. Department of Transportation that administers the federal-aid Highway Program, providing financial assistance to states to construct and improve highways, urban and rural roads, and bridges.

FHWA: See Federal Highway Administration.
Pedestrian Access Route (PAR): A continuous and unobstructed walkway within a pedestrian circulation path that provides accessibility.

Pedestrian Circulation Route (PCR): A prepared exterior or interior way of passage provided for pedestrian travel.

PROWAG: An acronym for the Guidelines for Accessible Public Rights-of-Way issued in 2005 by the U. S. Access Board. This guidance addresses roadway design practices, slope, and terrain related to pedestrian access to walkways and streets, including crosswalks, curb ramps, street furnishings, pedestrian signals, parking, and other components of public rights-of-way.

Right-of-Way: A general term denoting land, property, or interest therein, usually in a strip, acquired for the network of streets, sidewalks, and trails creating public pedestrian access within a public entity's jurisdictional limits.

Section 504: The section of the Rehabilitation Act that prohibits discrimination by any program or activity conducted by the federal government.

Uniform Accessibility Standards (UFAS): Accessibility standards that all federal agencies are required to meet; includes scoping and technical specifications.

United States Access Board: An independent federal agency that develops and maintains design criteria for buildings and other improvements, transit vehicles, telecommunications equipment, and electronic and information technology. It also enforces accessibility standards that cover federally funded facilities.

United States Department of Justice (DOJ): The United States Department of Justice (often referred to as the Justice Department or DOJ), is the United States federal executive department responsible for the enforcement of the law and administration of justice.



Regional Economy

Results
WITHIN ONE MI of project:
Postsecondary Students: 0
Totals by City:
Blaine
Population: 2231
Employment: 2133
Mfg and Dist Employment: 43
Brooklyn Park
Population: 2331
Employment: 108
Mfg and Dist Employment: 3
Coon Rapids
Population: 8967
Employment: 8044
Mfg and Dist Employment: 3048

Strategic Capacity Project: TH 610 and East River Road Interchange Reconstruction | Map ID: 1647008996738



Project Points $\square$ Manfacturing/Distribution Centers
Project $\square$ Job Concentration Centers

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
tp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.asp


## Socio-Economic Conditions

Total of publicly subsidized rental housing units in census tracts within $1 / 2$ mile: 447

Project located in census tracts that are BELOW the regional average for population in poverty or population of color.


For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit hor complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visiswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissite/notice.aspx

## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation

Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

## A. Roadway Description

| Route <br> Begin RP <br> Location | Foley Blvd | District | County | Anoka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | End RP | Miles |  |
|  | Foley Blvd and 99th Avenue Intersection |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work <br> Project Cost* | Reduced Volumes due to added ramps at TH 610/East River Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$30,053,000 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 2.0\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.78 | Fatal ( $K$ ) Crashes | Reference Crash Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.78 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes | Crash Type All |  |  |
| 0.78 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.78 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.78 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

|  | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
|  | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  | www.CMFClearinghouse.org |


F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,500,000$ |
| A crashes | $\$ 750,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 230,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 120,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 13,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

Real Discount Rate 0.7\%
Traffic Growth Rate 2.0\%
Project Service Life 20 years
G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 0.22 | 0.07 | $\$ 16,867$ |
| C crashes | 0.22 | 0.07 | $\$ 8,800$ |
| PDO crashes | 1.54 | 0.51 | $\$ 6,673$ |


| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| 2024 | \$32,340 | \$32,340 | Total = \$732,621 |
| 2025 | \$32,987 | \$32,757 |  |
| 2026 | \$33,647 | \$33,180 |  |
| 2027 | \$34,319 | \$33,609 |  |
| 2028 | \$35,006 | \$34,043 |  |
| 2029 | \$35,706 | \$34,482 |  |
| 2030 | \$36,420 | \$34,927 |  |
| 2031 | \$37,148 | \$35,378 |  |
| 2032 | \$37,891 | \$35,835 |  |
| 2033 | \$38,649 | \$36,297 |  |
| 2034 | \$39,422 | \$36,766 |  |
| 2035 | \$40,211 | \$37,241 |  |
| 2036 | \$41,015 | \$37,721 |  |
| 2037 | \$41,835 | \$38,208 |  |
| 2038 | \$42,672 | \$38,702 |  |
| 2039 | \$43,525 | \$39,201 |  |
| 2040 | \$44,396 | \$39,707 |  |
| 2041 | \$45,284 | \$40,220 |  |
| 2042 | \$46,189 | \$40,739 |  |
| 2043 | \$47,113 | \$41,265 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

## Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation

Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

## A. Roadway Description

| Route <br> Begin RP <br> Location | Foley Blvd | District | County | Anoka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | End RP | Miles |  |
|  | TH 10 and Foley Blvd North Ramps |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work <br> Project Cost* | Reduced Volumes due to added ramps at TH 610/East River Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$30,053,000 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 2.0\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.96 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference Crash Analysis |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.96 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.96 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type All |  |
| 0.96 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.96 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

|  | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
|  | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  | www.CMFClearinghouse.org |  |


| E. Crash Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin Date <br> Data Source | 1/1/2019 |  | 12/31/2021 | 3 years |
|  | MnDOT |  |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity | All | < optional |  |
|  | K crashes | 0 |  |  |
|  | A crashes | 0 |  |  |
|  | B crashes | 2 |  |  |
|  | C crashes | 3 |  |  |
|  | PDO crashes | 20 |  |  |
| F. Benefit-Cost Calculation |  |  |  |  |
| \$326,214 |  | Benefit (present value) | $B / C$ Ratio = 0.02 |  |
| \$30,053,000 |  | Cost |  |  |  |
| Proposed project expected to reduce 1 crashes annually, o of which involving fatality or serious injury. |  |  |  |  |

F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,500,000$ |
| A crashes | $\$ 750,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 230,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 120,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 13,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

Real Discount Rate 0.7\%
Traffic Growth Rate 2.0\%
Project Service Life 20 years
G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 0.08 | 0.03 | $\$ 6,133$ |
| C crashes | 0.12 | 0.04 | $\$ 4,800$ |
| PDO crashes | 0.80 | 0.27 | $\$ 3,467$ |


| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| 2024 | \$14,400 | \$14,400 | Total $=$ \$326,214 |
| 2025 | \$14,688 | \$14,586 |  |
| 2026 | \$14,982 | \$14,774 |  |
| 2027 | \$15,281 | \$14,965 |  |
| 2028 | \$15,587 | \$15,158 |  |
| 2029 | \$15,899 | \$15,354 |  |
| 2030 | \$16,217 | \$15,552 |  |
| 2031 | \$16,541 | \$15,753 |  |
| 2032 | \$16,872 | \$15,956 |  |
| 2033 | \$17,209 | \$16,162 |  |
| 2034 | \$17,554 | \$16,371 |  |
| 2035 | \$17,905 | \$16,582 |  |
| 2036 | \$18,263 | \$16,796 |  |
| 2037 | \$18,628 | \$17,013 |  |
| 2038 | \$19,000 | \$17,233 |  |
| 2039 | \$19,381 | \$17,455 |  |
| 2040 | \$19,768 | \$17,680 |  |
| 2041 | \$20,163 | \$17,909 |  |
| 2042 | \$20,567 | \$18,140 |  |
| 2043 | \$20,978 | \$18,374 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

## Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation

Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

## A. Roadway Description

| Route <br> Begin RP <br> Location | Foley Blvd | District | County | Anoka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | End RP | Miles |  |
|  | TH 10 and Foley Blvd South Ramps |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work | Reduced Volumes due to added ramps at TH 610/East River Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Cost* | \$30,053,000 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 2.0\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.82 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference Crash Analysis |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.82 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.82 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type All |  |
| 0.82 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.82 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

|  | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
|  | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  | www.CMFClearinghouse.org |  |


| E. Crash Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin Date <br> Data Source | 1/1/2019 |  | 12/31/2021 | 3 years |
|  | MnDOT |  |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity | All | < optional |  |
|  | K crashes | 0 |  |  |
|  | A crashes | 0 |  |  |
|  | B crashes | 1 |  |  |
|  | C crashes | 4 |  |  |
|  | PDO crashes | 6 |  |  |
| F. Benefit-Cost Calculation |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,071,067 |  | Benefit (present value) | $B / C$ Ratio $=0.04$ |  |
| \$30,053,000 |  | Cost |  |  |  |
| Proposed project expected to reduce 1 crashes annually, o of which involving fatality or serious injury. |  |  |  |  |

F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,500,000$ |
| A crashes | $\$ 750,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 230,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 120,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 13,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

Real Discount Rate 0.7\%
Traffic Growth Rate 2.0\%
Project Service Life 20 years
G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 0.18 | 0.06 | $\$ 13,800$ |
| C crashes | 0.72 | 0.24 | $\$ 28,800$ |
| PDO crashes | 1.08 | 0.36 | $\$ 4,680$ |


| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| 2024 | \$47,280 | \$47,280 | Total $=$ \$1,071,067 |
| 2025 | \$48,226 | \$47,890 |  |
| 2026 | \$49,190 | \$48,509 |  |
| 2027 | \$50,174 | \$49,135 |  |
| 2028 | \$51,177 | \$49,769 |  |
| 2029 | \$52,201 | \$50,412 |  |
| 2030 | \$53,245 | \$51,062 |  |
| 2031 | \$54,310 | \$51,722 |  |
| 2032 | \$55,396 | \$52,389 |  |
| 2033 | \$56,504 | \$53,066 |  |
| 2034 | \$57,634 | \$53,751 |  |
| 2035 | \$58,787 | \$54,445 |  |
| 2036 | \$59,962 | \$55,148 |  |
| 2037 | \$61,162 | \$55,859 |  |
| 2038 | \$62,385 | \$56,581 |  |
| 2039 | \$63,633 | \$57,311 |  |
| 2040 | \$64,905 | \$58,051 |  |
| 2041 | \$66,203 | \$58,800 |  |
| 2042 | \$67,527 | \$59,559 |  |
| 2043 | \$68,878 | \$60,328 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |


| Existing | Intersections | Total Number of Accidents | Years of <br> Data | ADT* | Calculated Crash Rate (Million Entering Vehicles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foley Blvd and North TH 10 Ramps | 25 | 3 | 34900 | 0.66 |
| Future | Foley Blvd and North TH 10 Ramps | 24 | 3 | 33400 | 0.66 |
| Existing | Foley Blvd and South TH 10 Ramps | 11 | 3 | 20750 | 0.49 |
| Future | Foley Blvd and South TH 10 Ramps | 9 | 3 | 17750 | 0.47 |
| Existing | Foley Blvd and 99th Ave | 9 | 3 | 23250 | 0.36 |
| Future | Foley Blvd and 99th Ave | 7 | 3 | 20250 | 0.32 |


| Reduction or increase based on volume modifications |  | CMF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Foley/North Ramps | $4 \%$ | 0.96 |
| Foley/South Ramps | $18 \%$ | 0.82 |
| Foley/99th | $78 \%$ | 0.22 |

## Foley Blvd and 99th Ave

INCIDENTILRTESYSCOLRTENUMBEMEASURE COUNTY_S CITY_NAMITOWNSHIP MNDOT_DISTATE_PATTRIBAL_GCLOCALID ACCIDENT_CRASH_MC

| 688258 | 4 | 11 | 1.063 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19037587 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 753129 | 5 | 103 | 0.568 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19258233 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 10 |
| 694508 | 5 | 103 | 0.572 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19051806 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 834045 | 4 | 11 | 1.063 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20192981 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 786577 | 4 | 11 | 1.072 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20033982 | $2 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| 813677 | 5 | 103 | 0.57 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20137303 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 6 |
| 940332 | 5 | 103 | 0.561 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21206570 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 9 |
| 911356 | 5 | 103 | 0.566 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21124764 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 6 |
| 905105 | 5 | 103 | 0.572 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21099321 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |

TH 47 and Foley Blvd North Ramps

| INCIDENTIL |  |  |  | COUNTY_S CITY_NAMI |  |  | OCALID | ACCIDENT_ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 699750 | 4 | 11 | 1.384 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19067565 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 719914 | 4 | 11 | 1.383 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19113584 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |
| 748689 | 4 | 11 | 1.385 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19239978 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 9 |
| 718611 | 4 | 11 | 1.387 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19107904 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |
| 686504 | 5 | 128 | 0.049 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19502004 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| 696676 | 22 | 5888 | 0 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19056801 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 696443 | 22 | 5888 | 0.008 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19056309 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 804088 | 4 | 11 | 1.378 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20063819 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 842222 | 4 | 11 | 1.383 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20229884 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 9 |
| 865167 | 4 | 11 | 1.384 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20285184 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 11 |
| 799848 | 4 | 11 | 1.385 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20043871 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| 836647 | 4 | 11 | 1.386 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20202573 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 870591 | 4 | 11 | 1.387 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20307649 | $2.04 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 12 |
| 811405 | 4 | 11 | 1.41 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20119683 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |
| 808644 | 5 | 128 | 0.039 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20502373 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| 802138 | 22 | 5887 | 0.779 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20053802 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 808866 | 22 | 5887 | 0.785 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20099670 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |
| 974182 | 4 | 11 | 1.376 | 22393628 |  | 25 | 21260993 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 11 |
| 985129 | 4 | 11 | 1.38 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21295030 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 12 |
| 941356 | 4 | 11 | 1.386 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21210588 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 9 |


| 983501 | 4 | 11 | 1.389 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21289504 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 933204 | 4 | 11 | 1.412 | 22393628 |  | 25 | 21177335 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 917041 | 5 | 128 | 0 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21149346 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 7 |
| 971602 | 5 | 128 | 0.003 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21250360 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 11 |
| 985976 | 5 | 128 | 0.007 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21294595 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 12 |

TH 47 and Foley Blvd South Ramps

| INCIDENTIL RTESYSCO[ RTENUMBE MEASURE COUNTY_S CITY_NAMITOWNSHIP MNDOT_DISTATE_PATTRIBAL_GCLOCALID ACCIDENT_CRASH_MC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 700516 | 22 | 523 | 0.317 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19070683 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 738277 | 22 | 523 | 0.32 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19196631 | $1.92 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 741194 | 22 | 523 | 0.323 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19209444 | $1.92 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 757259 | 22 | 523 | 0.323 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19272740 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 10 |
| 820247 | 4 | 11 | 1.162 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20173474 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 7 |
| 785218 | 4 | 11 | 1.166 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20028170 | $2 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| 903993 | 4 | 11 | 1.168 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21094216 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |
| 935028 | 4 | 11 | 1.223 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21507721 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 897517 | 4 | 11 | 1.232 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21060985 | $2.11 E+08$ | 3 |
| 911186 | 22 | 523 | 0.303 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21505189 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 6 |
| 944434 | 22 | 523 | 0.323 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21223417 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 10 |

CRASH_DA CRASH_YE/ CRASH_DA CRASH_HO DIVIDEDRD CRASHSEVI NUMBERKI NUMBERO MANNERO FIRSTHARM RELATIONT LIGHTCONI WEATHERF

| 14 | 2019 Thu | 11 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 1 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 2019 Tue | 18 | 98 | 4 | 0 | 1 |  | 8 | 4 | 1 |  |
| 4 | 2019 Mon | 6 E |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 1 |  |
| 7 | 2020 Fri | 13 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 1 |  |
| 9 | 2020 Sun | 6 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 9 | 2020 Tue | 14 |  | 3 | 0 | 1 |  | 9 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| 13 | 2021 Mon | 18 E |  | 5 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| 9 | 2021 Wed | 20 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| 11 | 2021 Tue | 18 |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 1 |  |


| CRASH_DA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 2019 Sat | 15 |  | 3 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 2019 Tue | 18 |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 19 | 2019 Thu | 21 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 8 | 2019 Wed | 14 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 6 | 2019 Wed | 21 E |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 10 | 2019 Sun | 15 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 2019 Sat | 19 N |  | 5 | 0 | 1 |  | 30 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 15 | 2020 Sun | 12 S |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 2020 Wed | 4 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 24 | 2020 Tue | 18 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 3 |
| 21 | 2020 Fri | 12 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 2020 Tue | 9 E |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | 2020 Fri | 13 |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 2020 Sat | 22 N |  | 5 | 0 | 1 |  | 67 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 27 | 2020 Thu | 14 W |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 2020 Tue | 22 E |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 26 | 4 | 2 |
| 1 | 2020 Fri | 15 W |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 2021 Wed | 12 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 31 | 2021 Fri | 13 | 98 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 18 | 2021 Sat | 10 |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |


| 23 | 2021 Thu | 14 S | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 2021 Mon | 15 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| 9 | 2021 Fri | 9 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 2021 Thu | 12 |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 1 |
| 30 | 2021 Thu | 21 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 4 |

CRASH_DA CRASH_YE/ CRASH_DA CRASH_HO DIVIDEDRD CRASHSEVI NUMBERKI NUMBERO MANNERO FIRSTHARN RELATIONT LIGHTCONI WEATHERF

| 27 | 2019 Wed | 11 E |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 26 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2019 Mon | 6 S |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 26 | 2 | 1 |
| 18 | 2019 Sun | 15 E |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | 2019 Fri | 7 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 2020 Fri | 16 S |  | 5 | 0 | 1 |  | 62 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2020 Sun | 14 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 2021 Wed | 13 S |  | 4 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 2021 Sun | 16 N |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | 2021 Wed | 2 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 9 | 2021 Wed | 12 E |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2021 Sat | 20 |  | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 27 | 4 | 2 |

WEATHERS RDWYSURF WORKZON ROADWAY. INTERSECT ROUTE_ID BASIC_TYPIUNITTYPEL VEHICLETYIDIRECTION PRECRASHIAGEU1 SEXU1

| 98 FOLEY BLVD NW 040000659 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 28 | 28 F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98 99TH AVE I FOLEY BLVI 050002393 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 23 | 28 M |
| 98 99TH AVE NW 050002393 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 21 | 42 F |
| 98 FOLEY BLVD NW 040000659 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 21 | 38 F |
| 98 FOLEY BLVI 99TH AVE 1040000659 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 22 M |
| 98 99TH AVE NW 050002393 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  | 25 M |
| 98 99TH AVE NW 050002393 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 34 | 40 M |
| 98 99TH AVE NW 050002393 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 24 | 27 M |
| 98 99TH AVE I FOLEY BLVI 050002393 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 21 | 33 M |



| 1 | 98 | FOLEY BLVI 101ST AVE 040000659 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 36 M |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 98 | FOLEY BLVI 1O1ST AVE 040000659 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 28 | 61 F |
| 1 | 98 | 101ST AVE FOLEY BVLI 050002393 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 24 | 40 F |
| 1 | 98 | 101ST AVE FOLEY BLVI050002393 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 24 | 34 M |
| 4 | 98 | $101 S T ~ A V E ~ N W ~$ | 050002393 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 21 |



PHYSICALC CONTRIBFACONTRIBFF NONMOTC NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI'ALIGNMEN GRADEU1 UNITTYPEL VEHICLETY| DIRECTION

| 5 | 10 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 5 |  |  |
| 5 | 1 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 2 |  |  | 13 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 24 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 1 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 24 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 5 | 99 | 30 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 5 | 1 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 99 | 99 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 1 |  | 3 |
| 5 | 99 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 |  |

PHYSICALC CONTRIBFA CONTRIBFF NONMOTC NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI'ALIGNMEN GRADEU1 UNITTYPEL VEHICLETY/DIRECTION

| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 1 | 13 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 49 | 1 |
| 5 | 70 | 14 | 98 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 9 | 35 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 1 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 14 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 5 | 90 | 14 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 68 |  | 90 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 63 |  | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 24 | 2 | 2 |
| 11 | 68 | 70 | 15 | 9 | 40 | 11 | 21 |  | 4 |
| 5 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 45 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
|  |  | 15 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 1 | 12 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 |  | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 |
| 5 | 2 | 14 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |


| 5 | 63 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 5 | 99 | 15 | 9 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 2 | 14 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 99 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 71 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 |

PHYSICALC CONTRIBFA CONTRIBFf NONMOTC NONMOTC RDWYDESII TRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI' ALIGNMEN GRADEU1 UNITTYPEL VEHICLETY/ DIRECTION

| 5 | 1 | 11 | 20 | 65 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 22 | 45 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 11 | 22 | 65 | 13 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 60 | 13 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | 12 | 9 | 40 | 11 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 4 | 11 | 22 | 65 | 13 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 13 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 70 | 2 | 14 | 20 | 35 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 63 | 14 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 5 | 4 | 11 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 99 | 15 | 20 | 65 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 3 |



| PRECRASHI AGEU2 | SEXU2 | PHYSICALC CONTRIBFA CONTRIBF/ NONMOTC NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI' ALIGNMEN GRADEU2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 71 F | 5 | 2 |  | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 17 M | 5 | 63 |  | 13 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 31 M | 5 | 2 |  | 15 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 |
| 34 | 27 M | 5 | 1 |  | 14 | 98 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 30 M | 5 | 4 |  | 15 | 9 | 35 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 26 F | 5 | 70 | 2 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 72 M | 5 | 63 |  | 15 | 20 | 35 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 28 M | 99 | 65 | 70 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 18 F | 5 | 1 |  | 14 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 39 F | 5 | 63 |  | 15 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 61 M | 5 | 1 |  | 90 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 27 M | 5 | 1 |  | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 33 M | 5 | 70 |  | 11 | 9 | 45 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 24 F | 5 | 1 |  | 15 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 23 |
| 21 | 44 F | 5 | 63 |  | 12 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 38 F | 5 | 63 |  | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 28 F | 5 | 2 |  | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 28 M | 5 | 1 |  | 14 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 24 |



UNITTYPEL VEHICLETYIDIRECTION PRECRASHIAGEU3 SEXU3

2
4
21
46 M

5
74
12

UNITTYPEL VEHICLETYIDIRECTION PRECRASHI AGEU3 SEXU3

PHYSICALC CONTRIBFA CONTRIBFF NONMOTC NONMOTC RDWYDESII TRAFFICCO
$30 \quad 11 \quad 21$

SPEEDLIMI ALIGNMEN GRADEU3 UNITTYPEL VEHICLETYIDIRECTION PRECRASHI AGEU4 SEXU4

NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI ALIGNMEN GRADEU4 UTMX UTMY LATITUDE LONGITUDICRASH_DA STATUS STATUS N(

| 478298.5 | 4999616 | 45.1497 | -93.2761 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 478294.2 | 4999626 | 45.14978 | -93.2761 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478300.1 | 4999626 | 45.14978 | -93.2761 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478298.8 | 4999617 | 45.14971 | -93.2761 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478302.5 | 4999631 | 45.14983 | -93.276 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478298.3 | 4999626 | 45.14978 | -93.2761 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478283.2 | 4999626 | 45.14978 | -93.2763 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478290.8 | 4999626 | 45.14978 | -93.2762 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478301.3 | 4999626 | 45.14979 | -93.276 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |

NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI ALIGNMEN GRADEU4 UTMX UTMY LATITUDE LONGITUDICRASH_DA STATUS STATUS_N( 478498.8500009345 .154 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478498.3500009245 .15399 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478499.3500009545 .15402 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478500.1500009945 .15405 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478572500006345.15373 -93.2726 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478498.4500009445 .154 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478486.5500009945 .15405 -93.2737 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478494.8500008645 .15393 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478498.4500009345 .15399 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478499.1500009445 .15401 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478499.3500009545 .15401 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478499.7500009745 .15403 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478512500009045.15397 -93.2734 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478521.4500012545 .15429 -93.2733 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478556.7500006945 .15378 -93.2728 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478484.7500008645 .15393 -93.2737 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478493.3500008345 .15391 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478493.3500008245 .15388 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478508.5500008045 .15387 -93.2734 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478511.3500008845 .15395 -93.2734 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable

|  | 478512.8 | 5000093 | 45.154 | -93.2734 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 478509.1 | 5000137 | 45.15438 | -93.2734 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478499.1 | 5000094 | 45.154 | -93.2735 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478498.1 | 5000081 | 45.15389 | -93.2736 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478509.8 | 5000089 | 45.15396 | -93.2734 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI' ALIGNMEN GRADEU4 | UTMX | UTMY | LATITUDE | LONGITUD | CRASH_DA STATUS | STATUS_N( |
|  | 478356.3 | 4999794 | 45.1513 | -93.2753 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478360.7 | 4999792 | 45.15128 | -93.2753 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478364.5 | 4999791 | 45.15127 | -93.2752 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478364.9 | 4999791 | 45.15127 | -93.2752 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478356.5 | 4999767 | 45.15105 | -93.2753 | 44029.68 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478358.6 | 4999772 | 45.1511 | -93.2753 | 43863.6 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478360 | 4999775 | 45.15113 | -93.2753 | 44321.55 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478395.6 | 4999855 | 45.15185 | -93.2748 | 44423.7 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478415.2 | 4999860 | 45.1519 | -93.2746 | 44279.09 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478334.1 | 4999803 | 45.15138 | -93.2756 | 44356.51 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478364.1 | 4999791 | 45.15127 | -93.2752 | 44471.84 Accepted | Reportable |

```
AGENCY_OAGENCY_O NARRATIVE
MN002050 Police LOCATION
MN002050 Police 99TH AVE
MN002050 Police 99TH AT
MN002050 Police ***THIS
MN002050 Police DRIVER 1
MN002050 Police BICYCLE 1
MN002050 Police I WAS
MN002050 Police DRIVER #1 REQUESTED A PHONE CALL REGARDING A HIT AND RUN CRASH WHICH OCCURED YESTERDAY. DRIVER #1 SAID HE V
MN002050 Police DRIVER
AGENCY_O AGENCY_O NARRATIVE
MN002050 Police DRIVER
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
MN002050 Police FOLEY
MNMHP04 State Patro EB 101ST
MN002050 Police UNIT ONE
MN002050 Police MCEACHE
MN002050 Police DRIVER
MN002050 Police OFFICER
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
MN002050 Police ACCIDENT
MN002050 Police Veh 1 and
MN002050 Police I, OFFICER
MN002050 Police UNIT 1 NB
MNMHP04 State Patro Westboun
MN002050 Police UNIT#2
MN002050 Police INDEPENDENT WITNESS REPORTED WATCHING LISA RUN A RED LIGHT. FADUMA WAS TRAVELING THROUGH A GREEN LIGHT &
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
```

| MNO02050 Police | UNIT \#1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| MNO02050 Police | DRIVER |
| MNO02050 Police | ${ }^{* * *}$ ACCID |
| MNO02050 Police | DISPATCH |
| MNO02050 Police | ${ }^{* *}$ ACCIDE |

AGENCY_O AGENCY_O NARRATIVE
MN002050 Police DISPATCH
MN002050 Police I WAS
MNOO2050 Police ACCIDENT
MNOO2050 Police DISPATCH
MNO02050 Police PASSERBY REPORTED A GUARD RAIL IN THE ROADWAY NEAR FOLEY BLVD AND HIGHWAY 10 NW. I ARRIVED AND FOUND THE, MN002050 Police Veh 1 and Veh 2 were E/B Hwy 47, on the exit ramp and turning right to go $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}$ onto Foley Blvd NW. Veh 2 stopped to yeild to ( MNO02050 Police SOUTHBOUND FOLEY BLVD NW, AT THE TOP OF THE EASTBOUND HIGHWAY 10 EXIT RAMP. UNIT \#1, 2, AND 3 WERE YIELDING F MNMHP04 State Patro Foley BLVD at USTH 10V1(Dodge) was N/B Foley in the left turn lane to get onto E/B University when V1 want to to E/B USTH 1 MN002050 Police DRIVER \#1 SAID HE WAS DRIVING NB FOLEY BLVD AND FAILED TO STOP AT THE RED LIGHT, CRASHING INTO UNIT \#2. DRIVER \# MNMHP04 State Patro AT THE STOP LIGHT, TOP OF THE RAMP TO FOLEY FROM EB HWY 1OUNIT 2 WAS AT THE LIGHT, THOUGHT HE COULD GO AND BI MNO02050 Police UNIT 1 AND UNIT 2 WERE EXITING EASTBOUND HIGHWAY 10 AT FOLEY BLVD AND GOT INTO A PD ACCIDENT AT THE INTERSECT

GUARDRAIL AND TWO SIGNS HAD BEEN CRASHED INTO BY A VEHICLE. I OBSERVED ONE SET OF VEHICLE TRACKS LEADING TO THE PARKING LOT BELO' oncoming traffic. Veh 1 struck Veh 2 in the drivers side rear corner.Veh 1 sustained moderated damage to the front bumper area. Veh 2 sustained moc :OR SOUTHBOUND FOLEY TRAFFIC TO TURN RIGHT FROM THE OFF RAMP. UNIT \#1 STATED ALL CARS STARTED GOING, WHEN A SEMI CAME SOUTHBOL 0. V1 waited for cars to pass and thought there was a clear space and started to get over to the right to take the right hand exit. V2(Ford) was going N/ !2 SAID HE WAS MAKING A LEFT TURN FROM SB FOLEY TO EB HIGHWAY 47 WHEN UNIT \#1 CRASHED INTO HIM. DRIVER \#2 SAID DRIVER \#1 RAN THE R EGAN TO ACCELERATE AND MAKE THE RIGHT TURN. UNIT 2 STATED THAT HE DID NOT SEE A VEHICLE COMING AND HAD TO SUDDENLY HIT HIS BRAKES 'ION. UNIT 1 STATED THEY WERE STRUCK MULTIPLE TIMES BY UNIT 2 AT THE INTERSECTION BEFORE UNIT 2 FLED THE SCENE. UNIT 1 SAID THEY FOLLO'

「 \#2) PASSED HIM ON THE RIGHT, BUT ALSO MAKING A LEFT TURN. DRIVER \#1 SAID HE CRASHED INTO THE DRIVER'S DOOR OF UNIT \#2 AND THE VEHI

IID SAW THE CRASH BUT DID NOT SEE THE CAUSE.

W NEAR STARBUCKS AND SOME VEHICLE PARTS LEFT BEHIND. I PATROLLED THE AREA, BUT WAS UTL A VEHICLE. NO IDENTIFYING FEATURES LEFT BEF derate damage to the drivers side rear corner. Both vehicles were driven from the scene. No report of injury.
JND AND ALL CARS CAME TO AN IMMEIDATE STOP. UNIT \#1 STATED HE WAS UNABLE TO STOP IN TIME AND REAR ENDED UNIT \#2. UNIT \#2 STATED THI $B$ on Foley when V1 drove right into V 2 in the intersection. Driver 1 stated he was in the left turn lane when he realized he wanted to be in the right lat iED LIGHT. DRIVER \#2 SAID HE HAD A GREEN LIGHT.
. UNIT 1 WAS DIRECTLY BEHIND UNIT 2. WHEN UNIT 2 ABRUPTLY HIT HIS BRAKES, UNIT 1 COULD NOT STOP IN TIME. UNIT 1 REAR ENDED UNIT 2. WED UNIT 2 WHILE CALLING 911. UNIT 1 SAID THE INITIAL ACCIDENT WAS ON THE EXIT RAMP INTERSECTION AT FOLEY BLVD AS THEY WERE GOING TC

CLE FLED THE SCENE. DRIVER \#1 SAID HE HAS DAMAGE TO HIS FRONT PASSENGER SIDE BUMPER. DRIVER \#1 SAID UNIT \#2 SHOULD HAVE DAMAGE T(

IIND AT THE SCENE. YELLOW NOTICE LEFT AT THE SCENE. NO FURTHER ACTION

三 SAME THING, BUT THAT THE IMPACT FROM UNIT \#1 CAUSED HER TO CRASH INTO UNIT \#3. UNIT \#3 STATED HE WAS YIELDING FOR TRAFFIC WHEN HI าe to go E/B USTH 10. Driver 1 stated he looked over and saw no cars coming. Driver 1 stated he started to move over to the right to get to E/B USTH 1
) TURN NORTHBOUND AND UNIT 2 STRUCK THEM MULTIPLE TIMES AFTER THE INITIAL CONTACT.UNIT 2 STATED THE INITIAL ACCIDENT WAS ON THE E،

ว THE DRIVER'S DOOR. DRIVER \#1 DESCRIPTION DRIVER \#2 HAS A BLACK MALE IN HIS 20'S. NO VEHICLE INFO FOR UNIT \#2. NO VIDEO FOOTAGE. NO R

E WAS REAR ENDED BY UNIT \#2. UNIT \#3 PASSENGER SELF TRANSPORTED TO MERCY HOSPITAL FOR BACK PAIN.
.0 entrance ramp when he heard a bang and realized he hit V2. Driver 1 stated he did not see V2 coming and is not sure where V2 came from since he

XIT RAMP INTERSECTION ON FOLEY BLVD. UNIT 2 STATED THE VEHICLES WERE STUCK TOGETHER AFTER THE INITIAL ACCIDENT CAUSING THE MULTIPLE
:EPORTED INJURIES. DRIVER \#1 SAID HE BELIEVES DRIVER \#2 WAS COMING FROM THE MOVIE THEATER NEARBY. DRIVER \#1 SAID HE WAS ALSO AT TH
thought there was a red light at the light behind him. Driver 1 stated he did not see V2 when he was changing lanes over. Driver 2 stated he was in the

三 BUMPS. UNIT 2 STATED THEY WENT TO THEIR NEARBY RESIDENCE AS UNIT 1 WAS DRIVING ERRATICALLY AND FOLLOWING THEM.UNIT 1 HAD SIGNIFI

E THEATER. DRIVER \#1 DENIED HAVING ANY ROAD RAGE ISSUES. DRIVER \#1 SAID HE BELIEVES DRIVER \#2 LOST HIS PATIENTS TO MAKE THE LEFT HAN
right lane going through the green light when V1 pulled out from the left lane and crossed over and struck his truck. Driver 2 stated he was

CANT DAMAGE TO THE PASSENGER SIDE OF THE CAR FROM THE FRONT BUMPER TO THE REAR BUMPER. UNIT 2 HAD MINOR DAMAGE TO THE DRIVEI

D TURN ONTO FOLEY BLVD AND TRIED TO PASS HIM. NO FURTHER ACTION.



TH610 / East River Road Interchange
Equity Populations and Destinations

## Proposed project

-     - 2020 census tract boundary

Regional environmental justice area
$\because$ Local and regional parks

- School / daycare

0 Place of worship
(\$) Affordable housing

- Social services

H Medical clinic
(ii) Senior housing
(P) Park-and-Ride

## Anoka County

TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
Highway

Joseph J. MacPherson, P.E. County Engineer

March 2, 2022

Mr. Jim Hovland, Chair
Metropolitan Council, Transportation Advisory Board
390 North Robert Street
St. Paul, MN 55101
Subject: Letter of Support for TH 610 and CSAH 1 Interchange Improvements Coon Rapids, MN

Dear Mr. Hovland and Board Members;
We support the City of Coon Rapids' application for Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) funding to convert the TH 610 and CSAH 1 (East River Rd) interchange to a full access interchange. Currently, the interchange provides access to East River Road from eastbound TH 610 and provides access to westbound TH 610 from East River Road. The proposed project will provide access to eastbound TH 610 from East River Road and provide access to East River Road from westbound TH 610.

The proposed conversion to full access will significantly shorten existing access routes to businesses, retail centers and residential developments. Additionally, providing full access will help with overall traffic mobility to and from the TH 610 corridor, as well as on the local roadway networks.

We strongly support the City of Coon Rapids in their application for this important funding.
Sincerely,

## Goseph Mach herson

Joe MacPherson, P.E.
Anoka County Transportation Division Manager/County Engineer
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Adopted by the Coon Rapids City Council
March 6, 2018
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## Introduction

## Transition Plan Need and Purpose

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), enacted on July 26, 1990, is a civil rights law prohibiting discrimination against individuals on the basis of disability. ADA consists of five titles outlining protections in the following areas:

1. Employment
2. State and local government services
3. Public accommodations
4. Telecommunications
5. Miscellaneous Provisions

Title II of ADA pertains to the programs, activities and services public entities provide. As a provider of public transportation services and programs, the City of Coon Rapids must comply with this section of the Act as it specifically applies to public service agencies. Title II of ADA provides that, "...no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of the services, programs, or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity." (42 USC. Sec. 12132; $\underline{28}$ CFR. Sec. 35.130)

As required by Title II of ADA, 28 CFR. Part 35 Sec .35 .105 and Sec. 35.150 , the City of Coon Rapids has conducted a self-evaluation of its facilities within public rights of way and has developed this Transition Plan detailing how the organization will ensure that all of those facilities are accessible to all individuals.

## ADA and its Relationship to Other Laws

Title II of ADA is companion legislation to two previous federal statutes and regulations: the Architectural Barriers Acts of 1968 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

The Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 is a Federal law that requires facilities designed, built, altered or leased with Federal funds to be accessible. The Architectural Barriers Act marks one of the first efforts to ensure access to the built environment.

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 is a Federal law that protects qualified individuals from discrimination based on their disability. The nondiscrimination requirements of the law apply to employers and organizations that receive financial assistance from any Federal department or agency. Title II of ADA extended this coverage to all state and local government entities, regardless of whether they receive federal funding or not.

## Agency Requirements

Under Title II, the City of Coon Rapids must meet these general requirements:

- Must operate their programs so that, when viewed in their entirety, the programs are accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities [28 C.F.R. Sec. 35.150].
- May not refuse to allow a person with a disability to participate in a service, program or activity simply because the person has a disability [28 C.F.R. Sec. 35.130 (a)].
- Must make reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures that deny equal access to individuals with disabilities unless a fundamental alteration in the program would result [28 C.F.R. Sec. 35.130(b) (7)].
- May not provide services or benefits to individuals with disabilities through programs that are separate or different unless the separate or different measures are necessary to ensure that benefits and services are equally effective [28 C.F.R. Sec. 35.130(b)(iv) \& (d)].
- Must take appropriate steps to ensure that communications with applicants, participants and members of the public with disabilities are as effective as communications with others [29 C.F.R. Sec. 35.160(a)].
- Must designate at least one responsible employee to coordinate ADA compliance [28 CFR Sec. 35.107(a)]. This person is often referred to as the "ADA Coordinator." The public entity must provide the ADA coordinator's name, office address, and telephone number to all interested individuals [28 CFR Sec. 35.107(a)].
- Must provide notice of ADA requirements. All public entities, regardless of size, must provide information about the rights and protections of Title II to applicants, participants, beneficiaries, employees, and other interested persons [28 CFR Sec. 35,106]. The notice must include the identification of the employee serving as the ADA coordinator and must provide this information on an ongoing basis [28 CFR Sec. 104.8(a)].
- Must establish a grievance procedure. Public entities must adopt and publish grievance procedures providing for prompt and equitable resolution of complaints [ 28 CFR Sec. $35.107(b)]$. This requirement provides for a timely resolution of all problems or conflicts related to ADA compliance before they escalate to litigation and/or the federal complaint process.

This document has been created to specifically cover accessibility within the public rights of way and does not include information on City of Coon Rapids programs, practices, or building facilities not related to public rights of way.

## Self-Evaluation

## Overview

The City of Coon Rapids (City) is required, under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and 28CFR35.105, to perform a self-evaluation of its current transportation infrastructure policies, practices, and programs. This self-evaluation will identify what policies and practices impact accessibility and examine how the City implements these policies. The goal of the self-evaluation is to verify that, in implementing the City policies and practices, the department is providing accessibility and not adversely affecting the full participation of individuals with disabilities.

The self-evaluation also examines the condition of the City Pedestrian Circulation Route/Pedestrian Access Route (PCR/PAR) and identifies potential need for PCR/PAR infrastructure improvements. This includes the sidewalks, curb ramps, bicycle/pedestrian trails, traffic control signals and transit facilities that are located within the City's rights of way, but does not include any sidewalks, curb ramps, bicycle/pedestrian trails, traffic control signals and transit facilities under the jurisdiction of Anoka County or the Minnesota Department of Transportation. Any barriers to accessibility identified in the self-evaluation and the remedy to the identified barrier are set out in this transition plan.

## Summary

In 2017, the City conducted an inventory of pedestrian facilities within its public right-of-way consisting of the evaluation of the following:

- 94.3 miles of sidewalks
- 1,192 curb ramps
- 60 miles of trails
- 81 traffic control signals
- 333 bus stops

A detailed evaluation on how these facilities relate to ADA standards is found in Appendix A and will be updated periodically.

## Policies and Practices

## Previous Practices

Since the adoption of the ADA, the City has provided accessible pedestrian features as part of City capital improvement projects. As additional information was made available regarding methods to provide accessible pedestrian features, the City updated their procedures to accommodate these methods.

## Policy

The City's goal is to continue to provide accessible pedestrian design features as part of City capital improvement projects. The City has established ADA design standards and procedures as listed in Appendix F. These standards and procedures will be kept up to date with nationwide and local best management practices.

The City will consider and respond to all accessibility improvement requests. All accessibility improvements that have been deemed reasonable will be scheduled consistent with transportation project priorities. The City will coordinate with external agencies to ensure that all new or altered pedestrian facilities within the City's jurisdiction are ADA compliant to the maximum extent feasible.

Maintenance of pedestrian facilities within the public right-of-way will continue to follow the policies set forth by the City.

Requests for accessibility improvements can be submitted to the ADA Coordinator. Contact information for this individual is located in Appendix E.

## Improvement Schedule

## Priority Areas

The City has identified specific locations as priority areas for planned accessibility improvement projects. These areas have been selected due to their proximity to specific land uses such as schools, government offices and medical facilities, as well as from the receipt of public comments. The priority areas as identified in the self-evaluation are as follows:

- Mercy Hospital; Port Medical Area
- Schools; Anoka Ramsey Community College; Parks
- Coon Rapids Ice Center; Boulevard Plaza; City Hall; Transit Corridors

Additional priority will be given to any location where an improvement project or alteration was constructed after January 26, 1991, and accessibility features were omitted.

## External Agency Coordination

Many other agencies are responsible for pedestrian facilities within the jurisdiction of the City. The City will coordinate with those agencies to track and assist in the elimination of accessibility barriers along their routes.

## Schedule

The City has set the following schedule goals for improving the accessibility of its pedestrian facilities within the City jurisdiction:

- After 30 years, $80 \%$ of accessibility features within the jurisdiction of Coon Rapids would be ADA compliant.


## ADA Coordinator

In accordance with 28 CFR 35.107(a), the City of Coon Rapids has identified an ADA Title II Coordinator to oversee City ADA policies and procedures. Contact information for this individual is located in Appendix E.

## Implementation Schedule

## Methodology

The City will utilize two methods for upgrading pedestrian facilities to current ADA standards. The first and most comprehensive of the two methods is scheduled street and utility improvement projects. All pedestrian facilities impacted by these projects will be upgraded to current ADA accessibility standards. The second method is stand-alone sidewalk and ADA accessibility improvement projects. These projects will be incorporated on a case by case basis as determined by City staff. Every five years, the City evaluates all roads under the City's jurisdiction and a 5-year street reconstruction plan is developed, which includes a schedule for specific improvements. During that 5 -year period, roads that were planned to be reconstructed may be rescheduled or removed from the 5 -year plan and other roads may be added. This is due to potential needs in other areas or budgetary constraints in any given year.

## Public Outreach

The City recognizes that public participation is an important component in the development of this document. Input from the community has been gathered and used to help define priority areas for improvements within the jurisdiction of the City.

Public outreach for the creation of this document consisted of the following activities:

Engineering staff met with the City Safety Commission in November 2016 and February 2017 to identify recommended high-priority projects the City should focus on. With that information in mind, the City held a public open house meeting on April 13, 2017. The purpose of the open house was to gain feedback on the draft ADA plan from the public, determine potential improvements to enhance ADA compliance efforts, and establish how the public believes the City should focus its efforts.

The City publishes quarterly newsletters which are distributed to all residents and businesses within the City. The spring 2017 newsletter announced the public meeting and invited residents to participate. On April 14, 2017, CTN Studios (the City's cable news program provider) broadcast a segment to explain what the ADA Transition Plan is, the City's efforts thus far, and to contact the Engineering department with any questions or comments.

This document was also made available for public comment. A summary of public outreach efforts is located in Appendix C.

## Grievance Procedure

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act, each agency is required to publish its responsibilities in regards to the ADA. A draft of this public notice is provided in Appendix D. If users of City facilities and services believe the City has not provided reasonable accommodation, they have the right to file a grievance.

In accordance with 28 CFR 35.107 (b), the City has developed a grievance procedure for the purpose of the prompt and equitable resolution of citizens' complaints, concerns, comments, and other grievances. This grievance procedure is outlined in Appendix $D$.

## Monitor the Progress

This document will continue to be updated as conditions within the City and standards evolve. The appendices in this document will be updated periodically, while the main body of the document will be updated in (short term period, 5 years) with a future update schedule to be developed at that time. With each main body update, a public comment period will be established to continue the public outreach.

## Appendices

A. Self-Evaluation Results
B. Schedule / Budget Information
C. Public Outreach
D. Grievance Procedure
E. Contact Information
F. Agency ADA Design Standards and Procedures
G. Glossary of Terms

## Appendix A - Self-Evaluation Results

This initial self-evaluation of pedestrian facilities yielded the following results:

- $80 \%$ of sidewalks met accessibility criteria
- $10 \%$ of curb ramps met accessibility criteria
- $55 \%$ intersections did not have any curb ramps (due to no sidewalks or trails at those intersections)
- $80 \%$ of trails met accessibility criteria
- $100 \%$ of traffic control signals had push buttons that are accessible, or had the pedestrian indications on recall
- $0 \%$ of traffic control signals had APS
- $0 \%$ of bus stops met accessibility criteria
- $0 \%$ of bus stops had amenities that met accessibility criteria


## City of Coon Rapids ADA Transition Plan



## Appendix B-Schedule / Budget Information

## Cost Information

## Unit Prices

Construction costs for upgrading facilities can vary depending on each individual improvement and conditions of each site. Costs can also vary on the type and size of project the improvements are associated with. Listed below are representative 2017 cost estimates for typical accessibility improvements based on whether the improvements are included as part of a retrofit-type project, or as part of a larger comprehensive capital improvement project.

Intersection corner ADA improvement retrofit: +/- \$5,000 per corner
Intersection corner ADA improvement as part of adjacent capital project: +/- \$2,600 per corner
Traffic control signal APS upgrade retrofit: $+/-\$ 16,000$
Traffic control signal APS upgrade as part of full traffic control signal installation: $+/-\$ 12,000$
Sidewalk / Trail ADA improvement retrofit: +/- \$5.50 per SF
Sidewalk / Trail ADA improvement as part of adjacent capital project: +/- \$4.00 per SF
Bus Stop ADA improvement retrofit: +/- \$400 per stop
Bus Stop ADA improvement as part of adjacent capital project: $+/-\$ 250$ per stop

## Priority Areas

Based on the results of the self-evaluation, the estimated costs associated with eliminating accessibility barriers within the targeted priority areas is as follows:

- Mercy Hospital; Port Medical Area - \$500,000
- Schools; Anoka Ramsey Community College - \$750,000
- Coon Rapids Ice Center; Boulevard Plaza; City Hall - \$500,000


## Entire Jurisdiction

Based on the results of the self-evaluation, the estimated costs associated with providing ADA accessibility within the entire jurisdiction is $\$ 9,500,000$. This amount represents a significant investment that the City is committed to making in the upcoming years. A systematic approach to providing accessibility will be taken in order to absorb the cost into the City budget for improvements within the public right-of-way.

## Appendix C - Public Outreach

## Safety Commission Survey Summary:


(This form was presented to and filled out by the City's Safety Commission. The responses were averaged and are shown above.)

# City News 

## Public Meeting for ADA Transition Planning

The City is hosting a public meeting to discuss the City of Coon Rapids ADA Transition Plan. Residents interested in this topic are invited to attend an open house style event.

Thursday, April 13

## 4:30 to 7 p.m.

Coon Rapids Civic Center - Civic Room A 11155 Robinson Drive
The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), enacted on July 26,1990 , is a civil rights law prohibiting discrimination against individuals on the basis of disability. Title II of the ADA pertains to programs, activities and services public entties provide. As a provider of public transportation services and programs, local agencies must comply with this section of the Act as it specifically applies to local public service agencies and local transportation agencies. The City of Coon Rapids must adopt an ADA Transition plan in order to receive federal funding for transportation projects in the future. The transition plan involves the City self-evaluating facilities within public rights of way and detailing how the City will ensure that all of the facilities are accessible to individuals. This involves things such as pedestrian curb ramps, traffic control signals, sidewalk and slope improvements and bus stops. Priority areas are determined based on proximity to schools, medical acilties, govern iffice and throug public 0 dhack aciiltes, government ofices and through public feedback The City will eventually present a final plan for adoption by the City Council in late 2017.


Public Works Open House Event
Saturday, May 13-10 a.m. - noon 1831-111th Ave. NW
Take a tour of the Public Works facility and check out snowplows, tractors and other "big rigs." Learn about the Recycling Center and other services.
Free event! Perfect for the kids!
Questions? Call 763-767-6462.

## Hanson Boulevard Overpass Update

City and County officials continue to advocate for state funding of the Hanson Boulevard grade separation (overpass). The grade separation will improve safety by separating vehicle and rail traffic, reduce vehicle delays due to blocked crossings, improve response time for emergency vehicles and improve safety and mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.
State funding, in the amount of $\$ 11.9$ million is currently in the 2017 Omnibus Bonding Bill, but as of the time of this publication, no final bonding package has been decided at the State Legislature.
The total project cost is $\$ 25.2$ million, which will be paid for through state funding and through partnership funding from CTIB (Counties Transit Improvement Board, $30 \%$ ), Anoka County ( $10 \%$ ), the City of Coon Rapids ( $5 \%$ ) and Burlington Northern Santa Fe railway ( $5 \%$ )
The Hanson Boulevard grade separation was the number one funding priority for the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) due to safety concerns, along with rail improvements in Moorhead and Red Wing-Sturgeon Lake Road at Prairie Island. The Hanson Boulevard crossing has one of the highest exposure

## Last Year for Sanitary Sewer Lining

As part of maintaining the underground sewer system, the City is continuing to line (and complete) clay sewer pipes with epoxy resin. This process involves using high pressure hot water to cure the epoxy resin in place, which creates a new inner pipe within the existing clay pipe. The process is long-lasting and does not require the streets to be torn up which is a huge bonus! Nearly seven miles of pipe will be lined this year, which will complete this process that has been on-going since 2008.
Clay pipe can cause problems because tree roots often grow into the pipe. These roots can grow large enough to stop water flow, which can sometimes lead to sewer backups.
Tree roots cannot grow into the epoxy resin lined pipes
rates (high potential for crashes to occur) in the state due to high traffic volumes competing with the high volume of trains. Staged trains frequently block the crossing for 6-8 minutes (best case scenario) to 25 minutes or more at a time, which is having a negative impact on public safety response. The Hanson Boulevard crossing is part of the busiest segment of rail line in the state, with an average of 81 trains a day.


## What to do if you

 have a sewer backupCall the City: 763-767-6462
Crews will come out and see if there is a problem with the City's main line. Do this before you call your own service company. Also call the City if you are having your sewer line cleaned. This will help our crews be prepared for any tree roots or other debris that may clog the City's main lines.

## 2017 Hydrant Flushing

Starting April 10, the City's utility crews will begin flushing more than 1600 fire hydrants in the city, mainly east of highway 10 . The process is part of a routine maintenance program necessary to maintain the water system and remove sediment from the lines. This allows us to continue to deliver the highest quality water possible to our residents. If crews are working in your neighborhood, you may experience some water discoloration, but this does not affect the safety of the water. It's best to avoid doing laundry until the discoloration has disappeared. To see a map of the exact area, visit the City's website.

## Appendix D - Grievance Procedure

As part of the ADA requirements, the City has posted the following notice outlining its ADA requirements:

## Public Notice

In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the City of Coon Rapids will not discriminate against qualified individuals with disabilities on the basis of disability in the City of Coon Rapids services, programs, or activities.

Employment: The City does not discriminate on the basis of disability in its hiring or employment practices and complies with all regulations promulgated by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission under Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Effective Communication: The City will generally, upon request, provide appropriate aids and services leading to effective communication for qualified persons with disabilities so they can participate equally in City programs, services, and activities, including qualified sign language interpreters, documents in Braille, and other ways of making information and communications accessible to people who have speech, hearing, or vision impairments.

Modifications to Policies and Procedures: The City will make all reasonable modifications to policies and programs to ensure that people with disabilities have an equal opportunity to enjoy all City programs, services, and activities. For example, individuals with service animals are welcomed in City offices, even where pets are generally prohibited.

Anyone who requires an auxiliary aid or service for effective communication, or a modification of policies or procedures to participate in a City program, service, or activity, should contact the office of the ADA Coordinator as soon as possible but no later than 48 hours before the scheduled event.

The ADA does not require the City to take any action that would fundamentally alter the nature of its programs or services, or impose an undue financial or administrative burden.

The City will not place a surcharge on a particular individual with a disability or any group of individuals with disabilities to cover the cost of providing auxiliary aids/services or reasonable modifications of policy, such as retrieving items from locations that are open to the public but are not accessible to persons who use wheelchairs.

## Grievance Form Instructions

## City of Coon Rapids

## Grievance Procedure under

## the Americans with Disabilities Act

This Grievance Procedure is established to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"). It may be used by anyone who wishes to file a complaint alleging discrimination on the basis of disability in the provision of services, activities, programs, or benefits by the City of Coon Rapids. The City of Coon Rapids' Personnel Policy governs employment-related complaints of disability discrimination.

The complaint shall be in writing by an approved method detailed herein and contain information about the alleged discrimination such as name, address, and phone number of complainant, and location, date, and description of the problem. Alternative means of filing complaints, such as personal interviews or a tape recording of the complaint, will be made available for persons with disabilities upon request.

The complaint shall be submitted by the grievant and/or his/her designee as soon as possible but no later than 60 calendar days after the alleged violation to:

Joan Lenzmeier
ADA Coordinator/City Clerk
JLenzmeier@coonrapidsmn.gov

Within 15 calendar days after receipt of the complaint, the ADA Coordinator or his/her designee will meet with the complainant to discuss the complaint and the possible resolutions. Within 15 calendar days of the meeting, the ADA Coordinator or his/her designee will respond in writing, and where appropriate, in a format accessible to the complainant, such as large print, Braille, or audio tape. The response will explain the position of the City of Coon Rapids and offer options for substantive resolution of the complaint.

If the response by the ADA Coordinator or his/her his designee does not satisfactorily resolve the issue, the complainant and/or his/her designee may appeal the decision within 15 calendar days after receipt of the response to the City Manager or his/her designee.

Within 15 calendar days after receipt of the appeal, the City Manager or his/her designee will meet with the complainant to discuss the complaint and possible resolutions. Within 15 calendar days after the meeting, the City Manager or his/her designee will respond in writing, and, where appropriate, in a format accessible to the complainant, with a final resolution of the complaint.

All written complaints received by the ADA Coordinator or his/her designee, appeals to the City Manager or his/her designee, and responses from these two offices will be retained by the City for at least three years.

Those wishing to file a formal written grievance with the City may do so by one of the following methods:

## Internet

Visit the City website www.coonrapidsmn.gov and click the "ADA" link to access the ADA Grievance Form. Fill in the form online and click "submit." A copy of The ADA Grievance Form is included in this Appendix.

## Telephone

Contact the pertinent City of Coon Rapids staff person listed in the Contact Information section of Appendix E to submit an oral grievance. The staff person will utilize the Internet method above to submit the grievance on behalf of the person filing the grievance.

## Paper Submittal

Contact the pertinent City staff person listed in the Contact Information section of Appendix E to request a paper copy of the City's grievance form, complete the form, and submit it to the ADA Coordinator.

The ADA Grievance Form requires the following information:
The name, address, telephone number, and email address for the person filing the grievance
The name, address, telephone number, and email address for the person alleging an ADA violation (if different than the person filing the grievance)

A description and location of the alleged violation and the nature of a remedy sought, if known by the complainant.

If the complainant has filed the same complaint or grievance with the United States
Department of Justice (DOJ), another federal or state civil rights agency, a court, or others, the name of the agency or court where the complainant filed it and the filing date.

If the grievance filed does not concern a City facility, the City will work with the complainant to contact the agency that has jurisdiction.

The City will document each resolution of a filed grievance and retain such documentation in the department's ADA Grievance File for a period of three years.

The City will consider all specific grievances within its particular context or setting.
Furthermore, the City will consider many varying circumstances including: 1) the nature of the access to services, programs, or facilities at issue; 2) the specific nature of the disability; 3) the essential eligibility requirements for participation; 4) the health and safety of others; and 5) the degree to which an accommodation would constitute a fundamental alteration to the program, service, or facility, or cause an undue hardship to the City.

Accordingly, the resolution by the City of any one grievance does not constitute a precedent upon which the City is bound or upon which other complaining parties may rely.

## File Maintenance

The City shall maintain ADA grievance files for a period of three years.
Complaints of Title II violations may also be filed with the DOJ within 180 days of the date of discrimination. In certain situations, cases may be referred to a mediation program sponsored by the Department of Justice (DOJ). The DOJ may bring a lawsuit where it has investigated a matter and has been unable to resolve violations.
For more information, contact:
U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Disability Rights Section - NYAV
Washington, D.C. 20530
www.ada.gov
(800) 514-0301 (voice - toll free)
(800) 514-0383 (TTY)

Title II may also be enforced through private lawsuits in Federal court. It is not necessary to file a complaint with the DOJ or any other Federal agency, or to receive a "right-to-sue" letter, before going to court.

## Grievance Form (Available online at www.coonrapidsmn.gov or at City Hall):



## Appendix E - Contact Information

## ADA Title II Coordinator

Name: Joan Lenzmeier
Address: 11155 Robinson Drive, Coon Rapids, MN 55433

Phone: 763-767-6493
Fax: 763-767-6531
E-mail: JLenzmeier@coonrapidsmn.gov

## Public Right-of-Way ADA Implementation Coordinator

Name: Tim Himmer
Address: 11155 Robinson Drive, Coon Rapids, MN 55433

Phone: 763-767-6465
Fax: 763-767-6573
E-mail: THimmer@coonrapidsmn.gov

## Appendix F - City of Coon Rapids ADA Procedures \& Standards

## Design Procedures

## Intersection Corners

Every attempt shall be made to construct or upgrade curb ramps and/or blended transitions to achieve ADA compliance within all capital improvement projects. There may be limitations which make it technically infeasible to achieve full accessibility to an intersection corner within the scope of any project. Those limitations will be noted and those intersection corners will remain on the transition plan. As future projects or opportunities arise, those intersection corners shall continue to be incorporated into future work. Regardless of whether full compliance can be achieved or not, each intersection corner shall be made as compliant as possible in accordance with the judgment of City of Coon Rapids staff.

## Sidewalks / Trails

Every attempt shall be made to construct or upgrade sidewalks and trails to achieve ADA compliance within all capital improvement projects. There may be limitations which make it technically infeasible to achieve full accessibility to segments of sidewalks or trails within the scope of any project. Those limitations will be noted and those segments will remain on the transition plan. As future projects or opportunities arise, those segments shall continue to be incorporated into future work. Regardless of whether full compliance can be achieved or not, every sidewalk or trail shall be made as compliant as possible in accordance with the judgment of City of Coon Rapids staff.

## Traffic Control Signals

Every attempt shall be made to construct or upgrade traffic control signals to achieve ADA compliance within all capital improvement projects. There may be limitations which make it technically infeasible to achieve full accessibility to individual traffic control signal locations within the scope of any project. Those limitations will be noted and those locations will remain on the transition plan. As future projects or opportunities arise, those locations shall continue to be incorporated into future work. Regardless of whether full compliance can be achieved or not, each traffic signal control location shall be made as compliant as possible in accordance with the judgment of City of Coon Rapids or Anoka County staff.

## Bus Stops

Every attempt shall be made to construct or upgrade bus stops to achieve ADA compliance within all capital improvement projects. There may be limitations which make it technically infeasible to achieve full accessibility to individual bus stop locations within the scope of any project. Those limitations will be noted and those locations will remain on the transition plan. As future projects or opportunities arise, those locations shall continue to be incorporated into
future work. Regardless of whether full compliance can be achieved or not, each bus stop location shall be made as compliant as possible in accordance with the judgment of City or Metro Transit staff. Transit facilities present within the limits of the City of Coon Rapids fall under the jurisdiction of Metro Transit. The City of Coon Rapids will work with Metro Transit to ensure that those facilities meet all appropriate accessibility standards.

Other policies, practices and programs
Policies, practices and programs not identified in this document will follow the applicable ADA standards.

## Design Standards

The City has adopted PROWAG, as adopted by the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT), as its design standard.

## Appendix G - Glossary of Terms

ABA: See Architectural Barriers Act.
ADA: See Americans with Disabilities Act.
ADA Transition Plan: The City of Coon Rapids' transportation system plan that identifies accessibility needs and the process to fully integrate accessibility improvements, and ensures all transportation facilities, services, programs, and activities are accessible to all individuals.

ADAAG: See Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines.
Accessible: A facility that provides access to people with disabilities using the design requirements of the ADA.

Accessible Pedestrian Signal (APS): A device that communicates information about the WALK phase in audible and tactile formats.

Alteration: A change to a facility in the public right-of-way that affects or could affect access, circulation, or use. An alteration must not decrease or have the effect of decreasing the accessibility of a facility or an accessible connection to an adjacent building or site.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA): The Americans with Disabilities Act; Civil rights legislation passed in 1990 and effective July 1992. The ADA sets design guidelines for accessibility to public facilities, including sidewalks and trails, by individuals with disabilities.

Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG): contains scoping and technical requirements for accessibility to buildings and public facilities by individuals with disabilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990.

APS: See Accessible Pedestrian Signal.
Architectural Barriers Act (ABA): Federal law that requires facilities designed, built, altered or leased with Federal funds to be accessible. The Architectural Barriers Act marks one of the first efforts to ensure access to the built environment.

Capital Improvement Program (CIP): The CIP for the Transportation Department includes an annual capital budget and a five-year plan for funding the new construction and reconstruction projects on the City's transportation system.

Detectable Warning: A surface feature of truncated domes, built in or applied to the walking surface to indicate an upcoming change from pedestrian to vehicular way.

DOJ: See United States Department of Justice.
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA): A branch of the U.S. Department of Transportation that administers the federal-aid Highway Program, providing financial assistance to states to construct and improve highways, urban and rural roads, and bridges.

FHWA: See Federal Highway Administration.
Pedestrian Access Route (PAR): A continuous and unobstructed walkway within a pedestrian circulation path that provides accessibility.

Pedestrian Circulation Route (PCR): A prepared exterior or interior way of passage provided for pedestrian travel.

PROWAG: An acronym for the Guidelines for Accessible Public Rights-of-Way issued in 2005 by the U. S. Access Board. This guidance addresses roadway design practices, slope, and terrain related to pedestrian access to walkways and streets, including crosswalks, curb ramps, street furnishings, pedestrian signals, parking, and other components of public rights-of-way.

Right-of-Way: A general term denoting land, property, or interest therein, usually in a strip, acquired for the network of streets, sidewalks, and trails creating public pedestrian access within a public entity's jurisdictional limits.

Section 504: The section of the Rehabilitation Act that prohibits discrimination by any program or activity conducted by the federal government.

Uniform Accessibility Standards (UFAS): Accessibility standards that all federal agencies are required to meet; includes scoping and technical specifications.

United States Access Board: An independent federal agency that develops and maintains design criteria for buildings and other improvements, transit vehicles, telecommunications equipment, and electronic and information technology. It also enforces accessibility standards that cover federally funded facilities.

United States Department of Justice (DOJ): The United States Department of Justice (often referred to as the Justice Department or DOJ), is the United States federal executive department responsible for the enforcement of the law and administration of justice.

# AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF A FEDERAL FUNDING APPLICATION FOR THE TH 610 / CSAH 1 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT 

WHEREAS, the interchange of TH 610 (a Principal Arterial) and CSAH 1 (East River Road) (an "A" Minor Arterial Expander) serves as an important regional access point to Anoka County; and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County and the City of Coon Rapids have identified the need to improve the TH 610 / CSAH 1 interchange to support economic development and better serve the City of Coon Rapids and surrounding communities; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed improvement project will provide full access to TH 610 from CSAH 1; and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County and the City of Coon Rapids are proposing to submit an application to the Transportation Advisory Board through the Metropolitan Council's 2022 Regional Solicitation Program to receive federal transportation funds to create a full access interchange at TH 610 and CSAH 1 in the city of Coon Rapids; and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County has the necessary capabilities to adequately fund its local cost share for this public improvement project:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Anoka County, by and through its Board of Commissioners, hereby authorizes the Anoka County Highway Department to submit an application to the Transportation Advisory Board through the Metropolitan Council's 2022 Regional Solicitation program in the Roadway Expansion category, to receive federal transportation funds to make capacity and safety improvements to the TH 610 / CSAH 1 interchange in the city of Coon Rapids.

## STATE OF MINNESOTA)

COUNTY OF ANOKA ) ss
I, Dee Guthman, Deputy County Administrator, Anoka County, Minnesota, hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy of the resolution of the county board of said county with the original record thereof on file in the Administration Office, Anoka County, Minnesota, as stated in the minutes of the proceedings of said board at a meeting duly held on March 22, 2022, and that the same is a true and correct copy of said original record and of the whole thereof, and that said resolution was duly passed by said board at said meeting.

Witness my hand and seal this 22nd day of March 2022.


|  | YES | NO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISTRICT \# 1 - LOOK | X |  |
| DISTRICT \#2 - BRAASTAD | X |  |
| DISTRICT \#3 - WEST |  | Absent |
| DISTRICT \#4 - MEISNER | X |  |
| DISTRICT \#5 - GAMACHE | X |  |
| DISTRICT \#6 - REINERT | X |  |
| District \#7 - SCHULTE | X |  |

## Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation

Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

## A. Roadway Description

| Route <br> Begin RP <br> Location | Foley Blvd | District | County | Anoka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | End RP | Miles |  |
|  | Foley Blvd and 99th Avenue Intersection |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work <br> Project Cost* | Reduced Volumes due to added ramps at TH 610/East River Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$30,053,000 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 2.0\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.78 | Fatal ( $K$ ) Crashes | Reference Crash Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.78 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes | Crash Type All |  |  |
| 0.78 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.78 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.78 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

|  | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
|  | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  | www.CMFClearinghouse.org |


F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,500,000$ |
| A crashes | $\$ 750,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 230,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 120,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 13,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

Real Discount Rate 0.7\%
Traffic Growth Rate 2.0\%
Project Service Life 20 years
G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 0.22 | 0.07 | $\$ 16,867$ |
| C crashes | 0.22 | 0.07 | $\$ 8,800$ |
| PDO crashes | 1.54 | 0.51 | $\$ 6,673$ |


| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| 2024 | \$32,340 | \$32,340 | Total = \$732,621 |
| 2025 | \$32,987 | \$32,757 |  |
| 2026 | \$33,647 | \$33,180 |  |
| 2027 | \$34,319 | \$33,609 |  |
| 2028 | \$35,006 | \$34,043 |  |
| 2029 | \$35,706 | \$34,482 |  |
| 2030 | \$36,420 | \$34,927 |  |
| 2031 | \$37,148 | \$35,378 |  |
| 2032 | \$37,891 | \$35,835 |  |
| 2033 | \$38,649 | \$36,297 |  |
| 2034 | \$39,422 | \$36,766 |  |
| 2035 | \$40,211 | \$37,241 |  |
| 2036 | \$41,015 | \$37,721 |  |
| 2037 | \$41,835 | \$38,208 |  |
| 2038 | \$42,672 | \$38,702 |  |
| 2039 | \$43,525 | \$39,201 |  |
| 2040 | \$44,396 | \$39,707 |  |
| 2041 | \$45,284 | \$40,220 |  |
| 2042 | \$46,189 | \$40,739 |  |
| 2043 | \$47,113 | \$41,265 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

## Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation

Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

## A. Roadway Description

| Route <br> Begin RP <br> Location | Foley Blvd | District | County | Anoka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | End RP | Miles |  |
|  | TH 10 and Foley Blvd North Ramps |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work <br> Project Cost* | Reduced Volumes due to added ramps at TH 610/East River Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$30,053,000 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 2.0\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.96 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference Crash Analysis |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.96 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.96 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type All |  |
| 0.96 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.96 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

|  | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
|  | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  | www.CMFClearinghouse.org |  |


| E. Crash Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin Date <br> Data Source | 1/1/2019 |  | 12/31/2021 | 3 years |
|  | MnDOT |  |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity | All | < optional |  |
|  | K crashes | 0 |  |  |
|  | A crashes | 0 |  |  |
|  | B crashes | 2 |  |  |
|  | C crashes | 3 |  |  |
|  | PDO crashes | 20 |  |  |
| F. Benefit-Cost Calculation |  |  |  |  |
| \$326,214 |  | Benefit (present value) | $B / C$ Ratio = 0.02 |  |
| \$30,053,000 |  | Cost |  |  |  |
| Proposed project expected to reduce 1 crashes annually, o of which involving fatality or serious injury. |  |  |  |  |

F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,500,000$ |
| A crashes | $\$ 750,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 230,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 120,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 13,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

Real Discount Rate 0.7\%
Traffic Growth Rate 2.0\%
Project Service Life 20 years
G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 0.08 | 0.03 | $\$ 6,133$ |
| C crashes | 0.12 | 0.04 | $\$ 4,800$ |
| PDO crashes | 0.80 | 0.27 | $\$ 3,467$ |


| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| 2024 | \$14,400 | \$14,400 | Total $=$ \$326,214 |
| 2025 | \$14,688 | \$14,586 |  |
| 2026 | \$14,982 | \$14,774 |  |
| 2027 | \$15,281 | \$14,965 |  |
| 2028 | \$15,587 | \$15,158 |  |
| 2029 | \$15,899 | \$15,354 |  |
| 2030 | \$16,217 | \$15,552 |  |
| 2031 | \$16,541 | \$15,753 |  |
| 2032 | \$16,872 | \$15,956 |  |
| 2033 | \$17,209 | \$16,162 |  |
| 2034 | \$17,554 | \$16,371 |  |
| 2035 | \$17,905 | \$16,582 |  |
| 2036 | \$18,263 | \$16,796 |  |
| 2037 | \$18,628 | \$17,013 |  |
| 2038 | \$19,000 | \$17,233 |  |
| 2039 | \$19,381 | \$17,455 |  |
| 2040 | \$19,768 | \$17,680 |  |
| 2041 | \$20,163 | \$17,909 |  |
| 2042 | \$20,567 | \$18,140 |  |
| 2043 | \$20,978 | \$18,374 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

## Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation

Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

## A. Roadway Description

| Route <br> Begin RP <br> Location | Foley Blvd | District | County | Anoka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | End RP | Miles |  |
|  | TH 10 and Foley Blvd South Ramps |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work | Reduced Volumes due to added ramps at TH 610/East River Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Cost* | \$30,053,000 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 2.0\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.82 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference Crash Analysis |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.82 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.82 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type All |  |
| 0.82 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.82 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

|  | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
|  | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  | www.CMFClearinghouse.org |  |


| E. Crash Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin Date <br> Data Source | 1/1/2019 |  | 12/31/2021 | 3 years |
|  | MnDOT |  |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity | All | < optional |  |
|  | K crashes | 0 |  |  |
|  | A crashes | 0 |  |  |
|  | B crashes | 1 |  |  |
|  | C crashes | 4 |  |  |
|  | PDO crashes | 6 |  |  |
| F. Benefit-Cost Calculation |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,071,067 |  | Benefit (present value) | $B / C$ Ratio $=0.04$ |  |
| \$30,053,000 |  | Cost |  |  |  |
| Proposed project expected to reduce 1 crashes annually, o of which involving fatality or serious injury. |  |  |  |  |

F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,500,000$ |
| A crashes | $\$ 750,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 230,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 120,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 13,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

Real Discount Rate 0.7\%
Traffic Growth Rate 2.0\%
Project Service Life 20 years
G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 0.18 | 0.06 | $\$ 13,800$ |
| C crashes | 0.72 | 0.24 | $\$ 28,800$ |
| PDO crashes | 1.08 | 0.36 | $\$ 4,680$ |


| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| 2024 | \$47,280 | \$47,280 | Total $=$ \$1,071,067 |
| 2025 | \$48,226 | \$47,890 |  |
| 2026 | \$49,190 | \$48,509 |  |
| 2027 | \$50,174 | \$49,135 |  |
| 2028 | \$51,177 | \$49,769 |  |
| 2029 | \$52,201 | \$50,412 |  |
| 2030 | \$53,245 | \$51,062 |  |
| 2031 | \$54,310 | \$51,722 |  |
| 2032 | \$55,396 | \$52,389 |  |
| 2033 | \$56,504 | \$53,066 |  |
| 2034 | \$57,634 | \$53,751 |  |
| 2035 | \$58,787 | \$54,445 |  |
| 2036 | \$59,962 | \$55,148 |  |
| 2037 | \$61,162 | \$55,859 |  |
| 2038 | \$62,385 | \$56,581 |  |
| 2039 | \$63,633 | \$57,311 |  |
| 2040 | \$64,905 | \$58,051 |  |
| 2041 | \$66,203 | \$58,800 |  |
| 2042 | \$67,527 | \$59,559 |  |
| 2043 | \$68,878 | \$60,328 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |


| Existing | Intersections | Total Number of Accidents | Years of <br> Data | ADT* | Calculated Crash Rate (Million Entering Vehicles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foley Blvd and North TH 10 Ramps | 25 | 3 | 34900 | 0.66 |
| Future | Foley Blvd and North TH 10 Ramps | 24 | 3 | 33400 | 0.66 |
| Existing | Foley Blvd and South TH 10 Ramps | 11 | 3 | 20750 | 0.49 |
| Future | Foley Blvd and South TH 10 Ramps | 9 | 3 | 17750 | 0.47 |
| Existing | Foley Blvd and 99th Ave | 9 | 3 | 23250 | 0.36 |
| Future | Foley Blvd and 99th Ave | 7 | 3 | 20250 | 0.32 |


| Reduction or increase based on volume modifications |  | CMF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Foley/North Ramps | $4 \%$ | 0.96 |
| Foley/South Ramps | $18 \%$ | 0.82 |
| Foley/99th | $78 \%$ | 0.22 |

## Foley Blvd and 99th Ave

INCIDENTILRTESYSCOLRTENUMBEMEASURE COUNTY_S CITY_NAMITOWNSHIP MNDOT_DISTATE_PATTRIBAL_GCLOCALID ACCIDENT_CRASH_MC

| 688258 | 4 | 11 | 1.063 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19037587 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 753129 | 5 | 103 | 0.568 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19258233 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 10 |
| 694508 | 5 | 103 | 0.572 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19051806 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 834045 | 4 | 11 | 1.063 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20192981 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 786577 | 4 | 11 | 1.072 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20033982 | $2 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| 813677 | 5 | 103 | 0.57 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20137303 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 6 |
| 940332 | 5 | 103 | 0.561 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21206570 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 9 |
| 911356 | 5 | 103 | 0.566 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21124764 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 6 |
| 905105 | 5 | 103 | 0.572 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21099321 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |

TH 47 and Foley Blvd North Ramps

| INCIDENTIL |  |  |  | COUNTY_S CITY_NAMI |  |  | OCALID | ACCIDENT_ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 699750 | 4 | 11 | 1.384 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19067565 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 719914 | 4 | 11 | 1.383 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19113584 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |
| 748689 | 4 | 11 | 1.385 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19239978 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 9 |
| 718611 | 4 | 11 | 1.387 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19107904 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |
| 686504 | 5 | 128 | 0.049 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19502004 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| 696676 | 22 | 5888 | 0 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19056801 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 696443 | 22 | 5888 | 0.008 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19056309 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 804088 | 4 | 11 | 1.378 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20063819 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 842222 | 4 | 11 | 1.383 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20229884 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 9 |
| 865167 | 4 | 11 | 1.384 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20285184 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 11 |
| 799848 | 4 | 11 | 1.385 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20043871 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| 836647 | 4 | 11 | 1.386 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20202573 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 870591 | 4 | 11 | 1.387 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20307649 | $2.04 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 12 |
| 811405 | 4 | 11 | 1.41 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20119683 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |
| 808644 | 5 | 128 | 0.039 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20502373 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| 802138 | 22 | 5887 | 0.779 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20053802 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 808866 | 22 | 5887 | 0.785 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20099670 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |
| 974182 | 4 | 11 | 1.376 | 22393628 |  | 25 | 21260993 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 11 |
| 985129 | 4 | 11 | 1.38 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21295030 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 12 |
| 941356 | 4 | 11 | 1.386 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21210588 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 9 |


| 983501 | 4 | 11 | 1.389 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21289504 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 933204 | 4 | 11 | 1.412 | 22393628 |  | 25 | 21177335 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 917041 | 5 | 128 | 0 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21149346 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 7 |
| 971602 | 5 | 128 | 0.003 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21250360 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 11 |
| 985976 | 5 | 128 | 0.007 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21294595 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 12 |

TH 47 and Foley Blvd South Ramps

| INCIDENTIL RTESYSCO[ RTENUMBE MEASURE COUNTY_S CITY_NAMITOWNSHIP MNDOT_DISTATE_PATTRIBAL_GCLOCALID ACCIDENT_CRASH_MC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 700516 | 22 | 523 | 0.317 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19070683 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 3 |
| 738277 | 22 | 523 | 0.32 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19196631 | $1.92 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 741194 | 22 | 523 | 0.323 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19209444 | $1.92 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 757259 | 22 | 523 | 0.323 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 19272740 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 10 |
| 820247 | 4 | 11 | 1.162 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20173474 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 7 |
| 785218 | 4 | 11 | 1.166 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 20028170 | $2 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2 |
| 903993 | 4 | 11 | 1.168 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21094216 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5 |
| 935028 | 4 | 11 | 1.223 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21507721 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 8 |
| 897517 | 4 | 11 | 1.232 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21060985 | $2.11 E+08$ | 3 |
| 911186 | 22 | 523 | 0.303 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21505189 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 6 |
| 944434 | 22 | 523 | 0.323 | 2 Coon Rapids | M | 25 | 21223417 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 10 |

CRASH_DA CRASH_YE/ CRASH_DA CRASH_HO DIVIDEDRD CRASHSEVI NUMBERKI NUMBERO MANNERO FIRSTHARM RELATIONT LIGHTCONI WEATHERF

| 14 | 2019 Thu | 11 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 1 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 2019 Tue | 18 | 98 | 4 | 0 | 1 |  | 8 | 4 | 1 |  |
| 4 | 2019 Mon | 6 E |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 1 |  |
| 7 | 2020 Fri | 13 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 1 |  |
| 9 | 2020 Sun | 6 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 9 | 2020 Tue | 14 |  | 3 | 0 | 1 |  | 9 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| 13 | 2021 Mon | 18 E |  | 5 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| 9 | 2021 Wed | 20 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| 11 | 2021 Tue | 18 |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 1 |  |


| CRASH_DA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 2019 Sat | 15 |  | 3 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 2019 Tue | 18 |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 19 | 2019 Thu | 21 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 8 | 2019 Wed | 14 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 6 | 2019 Wed | 21 E |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 10 | 2019 Sun | 15 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 2019 Sat | 19 N |  | 5 | 0 | 1 |  | 30 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 15 | 2020 Sun | 12 S |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 2020 Wed | 4 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 24 | 2020 Tue | 18 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 3 |
| 21 | 2020 Fri | 12 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 2020 Tue | 9 E |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | 2020 Fri | 13 |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 2020 Sat | 22 N |  | 5 | 0 | 1 |  | 67 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 27 | 2020 Thu | 14 W |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 2020 Tue | 22 E |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 26 | 4 | 2 |
| 1 | 2020 Fri | 15 W |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 2021 Wed | 12 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 31 | 2021 Fri | 13 | 98 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 18 | 2021 Sat | 10 |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |


| 23 | 2021 Thu | 14 S | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 2021 Mon | 15 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| 9 | 2021 Fri | 9 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 2021 Thu | 12 |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 1 |
| 30 | 2021 Thu | 21 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 4 |

CRASH_DA CRASH_YE/ CRASH_DA CRASH_HO DIVIDEDRD CRASHSEVI NUMBERKI NUMBERO MANNERO FIRSTHARN RELATIONT LIGHTCONI WEATHERF

| 27 | 2019 Wed | 11 E |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 26 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2019 Mon | 6 S |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 26 | 2 | 1 |
| 18 | 2019 Sun | 15 E |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | 2019 Fri | 7 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 2020 Fri | 16 S |  | 5 | 0 | 1 |  | 62 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2020 Sun | 14 S |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 2021 Wed | 13 S |  | 4 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 2021 Sun | 16 N |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | 2021 Wed | 2 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 9 | 2021 Wed | 12 E |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2021 Sat | 20 |  | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 27 | 4 | 2 |

WEATHERS RDWYSURF WORKZON ROADWAY. INTERSECT ROUTE_ID BASIC_TYPIUNITTYPEL VEHICLETYIDIRECTION PRECRASHIAGEU1 SEXU1

| 98 FOLEY BLVD NW 040000659 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 28 | 28 F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98 99TH AVE I FOLEY BLVI 050002393 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 23 | 28 M |
| 98 99TH AVE NW 050002393 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 21 | 42 F |
| 98 FOLEY BLVD NW 040000659 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 21 | 38 F |
| 98 FOLEY BLVI 99TH AVE 1040000659 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 22 M |
| 98 99TH AVE NW 050002393 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  | 25 M |
| 98 99TH AVE NW 050002393 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 34 | 40 M |
| 98 99TH AVE NW 050002393 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 24 | 27 M |
| 98 99TH AVE I FOLEY BLVI 050002393 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 21 | 33 M |



| 1 | 98 | FOLEY BLVI 101ST AVE 040000659 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 36 M |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 98 | FOLEY BLVI 1O1ST AVE 040000659 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 28 | 61 F |
| 1 | 98 | 101ST AVE FOLEY BVLI 050002393 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 24 | 40 F |
| 1 | 98 | 101ST AVE FOLEY BLVI050002393 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 24 | 34 M |
| 4 | 98 | $101 S T ~ A V E ~ N W ~$ | 050002393 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 21 |



PHYSICALC CONTRIBFACONTRIBFF NONMOTC NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI'ALIGNMEN GRADEU1 UNITTYPEL VEHICLETY| DIRECTION

| 5 | 10 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 5 |  |  |
| 5 | 1 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 2 |  |  | 13 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 24 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 1 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 24 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 5 | 99 | 30 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 5 | 1 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 99 | 99 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 1 |  | 3 |
| 5 | 99 |  |  | 12 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 |  |

PHYSICALC CONTRIBFA CONTRIBFF NONMOTC NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI'ALIGNMEN GRADEU1 UNITTYPEL VEHICLETY/DIRECTION

| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 1 | 13 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 49 | 1 |
| 5 | 70 | 14 | 98 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 9 | 35 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 1 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 14 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 5 | 90 | 14 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 68 |  | 90 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 63 |  | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 24 | 2 | 2 |
| 11 | 68 | 70 | 15 | 9 | 40 | 11 | 21 |  | 4 |
| 5 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 45 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
|  |  | 15 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 1 | 12 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 |  | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 |
| 5 | 2 | 14 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |


| 5 | 63 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 5 | 99 | 15 | 9 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 2 | 14 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 99 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 71 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 |

PHYSICALC CONTRIBFA CONTRIBFf NONMOTC NONMOTC RDWYDESII TRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI' ALIGNMEN GRADEU1 UNITTYPEL VEHICLETY/ DIRECTION

| 5 | 1 | 11 | 20 | 65 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 22 | 45 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 11 | 22 | 65 | 13 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 60 | 13 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | 12 | 9 | 40 | 11 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 4 | 11 | 22 | 65 | 13 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 13 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 70 | 2 | 14 | 20 | 35 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 63 | 14 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 5 | 4 | 11 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 99 | 15 | 20 | 65 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 3 |



| PRECRASHI AGEU2 | SEXU2 | PHYSICALC CONTRIBFA CONTRIBF/ NONMOTC NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI' ALIGNMEN GRADEU2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 71 F | 5 | 2 |  | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 17 M | 5 | 63 |  | 13 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 31 M | 5 | 2 |  | 15 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 21 |
| 34 | 27 M | 5 | 1 |  | 14 | 98 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 30 M | 5 | 4 |  | 15 | 9 | 35 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 26 F | 5 | 70 | 2 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 72 M | 5 | 63 |  | 15 | 20 | 35 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 28 M | 99 | 65 | 70 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 18 F | 5 | 1 |  | 14 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 39 F | 5 | 63 |  | 15 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 61 M | 5 | 1 |  | 90 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 27 M | 5 | 1 |  | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 33 M | 5 | 70 |  | 11 | 9 | 45 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 24 F | 5 | 1 |  | 15 | 20 | 30 | 11 | 23 |
| 21 | 44 F | 5 | 63 |  | 12 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 38 F | 5 | 63 |  | 12 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 24 | 28 F | 5 | 2 |  | 15 | 20 | 40 | 11 | 21 |
| 21 | 28 M | 5 | 1 |  | 14 | 20 | 45 | 11 | 24 |



UNITTYPEL VEHICLETYIDIRECTION PRECRASHIAGEU3 SEXU3

2
4
21
46 M

5
74
12

UNITTYPEL VEHICLETYIDIRECTION PRECRASHI AGEU3 SEXU3

PHYSICALC CONTRIBFA CONTRIBFF NONMOTC NONMOTC RDWYDESII TRAFFICCO
$30 \quad 11 \quad 21$

SPEEDLIMI ALIGNMEN GRADEU3 UNITTYPEL VEHICLETYIDIRECTION PRECRASHI AGEU4 SEXU4

NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI ALIGNMEN GRADEU4 UTMX UTMY LATITUDE LONGITUDICRASH_DA STATUS STATUS N(

| 478298.5 | 4999616 | 45.1497 | -93.2761 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 478294.2 | 4999626 | 45.14978 | -93.2761 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478300.1 | 4999626 | 45.14978 | -93.2761 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478298.8 | 4999617 | 45.14971 | -93.2761 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478302.5 | 4999631 | 45.14983 | -93.276 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478298.3 | 4999626 | 45.14978 | -93.2761 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478283.2 | 4999626 | 45.14978 | -93.2763 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478290.8 | 4999626 | 45.14978 | -93.2762 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| 478301.3 | 4999626 | 45.14979 | -93.276 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |

NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI ALIGNMEN GRADEU4 UTMX UTMY LATITUDE LONGITUDICRASH_DA STATUS STATUS_N( 478498.8500009345 .154 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478498.3500009245 .15399 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478499.3500009545 .15402 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478500.1500009945 .15405 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478572500006345.15373 -93.2726 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478498.4500009445 .154 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478486.5500009945 .15405 -93.2737 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478494.8500008645 .15393 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478498.4500009345 .15399 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478499.1500009445 .15401 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478499.3500009545 .15401 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478499.7500009745 .15403 -93.2735 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478512500009045.15397 -93.2734 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478521.4500012545 .15429 -93.2733 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478556.7500006945 .15378 -93.2728 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478484.7500008645 .15393 -93.2737 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478493.3500008345 .15391 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478493.3500008245 .15388 -93.2736 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478508.5500008045 .15387 -93.2734 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable 478511.3500008845 .15395 -93.2734 \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted Reportable

|  | 478512.8 | 5000093 | 45.154 | -93.2734 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 478509.1 | 5000137 | 45.15438 | -93.2734 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478499.1 | 5000094 | 45.154 | -93.2735 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478498.1 | 5000081 | 45.15389 | -93.2736 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478509.8 | 5000089 | 45.15396 | -93.2734 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
| NONMOTC RDWYDESIITRAFFICCO SPEEDLIMI' ALIGNMEN GRADEU4 | UTMX | UTMY | LATITUDE | LONGITUD | CRASH_DA STATUS | STATUS_N( |
|  | 478356.3 | 4999794 | 45.1513 | -93.2753 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478360.7 | 4999792 | 45.15128 | -93.2753 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478364.5 | 4999791 | 45.15127 | -93.2752 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478364.9 | 4999791 | 45.15127 | -93.2752 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478356.5 | 4999767 | 45.15105 | -93.2753 | 44029.68 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478358.6 | 4999772 | 45.1511 | -93.2753 | 43863.6 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478360 | 4999775 | 45.15113 | -93.2753 | 44321.55 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478395.6 | 4999855 | 45.15185 | -93.2748 | 44423.7 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478415.2 | 4999860 | 45.1519 | -93.2746 | 44279.09 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478334.1 | 4999803 | 45.15138 | -93.2756 | 44356.51 Accepted | Reportable |
|  | 478364.1 | 4999791 | 45.15127 | -93.2752 | 44471.84 Accepted | Reportable |

```
AGENCY_OAGENCY_O NARRATIVE
MN002050 Police LOCATION
MN002050 Police 99TH AVE
MN002050 Police 99TH AT
MN002050 Police ***THIS
MN002050 Police DRIVER 1
MN002050 Police BICYCLE 1
MN002050 Police I WAS
MN002050 Police DRIVER #1 REQUESTED A PHONE CALL REGARDING A HIT AND RUN CRASH WHICH OCCURED YESTERDAY. DRIVER #1 SAID HE V
MN002050 Police DRIVER
AGENCY_O AGENCY_O NARRATIVE
MN002050 Police DRIVER
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
MN002050 Police FOLEY
MNMHP04 State Patro EB 101ST
MN002050 Police UNIT ONE
MN002050 Police MCEACHE
MN002050 Police DRIVER
MN002050 Police OFFICER
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
MN002050 Police ACCIDENT
MN002050 Police Veh 1 and
MN002050 Police I, OFFICER
MN002050 Police UNIT 1 NB
MNMHP04 State Patro Westboun
MN002050 Police UNIT#2
MN002050 Police INDEPENDENT WITNESS REPORTED WATCHING LISA RUN A RED LIGHT. FADUMA WAS TRAVELING THROUGH A GREEN LIGHT &
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
MN002050 Police UNIT 1
```

| MNO02050 Police | UNIT \#1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| MNO02050 Police | DRIVER |
| MNO02050 Police | ${ }^{* * *}$ ACCID |
| MNO02050 Police | DISPATCH |
| MNO02050 Police | ${ }^{* *}$ ACCIDE |

AGENCY_O AGENCY_O NARRATIVE
MN002050 Police DISPATCH
MN002050 Police I WAS
MNOO2050 Police ACCIDENT
MNOO2050 Police DISPATCH
MNO02050 Police PASSERBY REPORTED A GUARD RAIL IN THE ROADWAY NEAR FOLEY BLVD AND HIGHWAY 10 NW. I ARRIVED AND FOUND THE, MN002050 Police Veh 1 and Veh 2 were E/B Hwy 47, on the exit ramp and turning right to go $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}$ onto Foley Blvd NW. Veh 2 stopped to yeild to ( MNO02050 Police SOUTHBOUND FOLEY BLVD NW, AT THE TOP OF THE EASTBOUND HIGHWAY 10 EXIT RAMP. UNIT \#1, 2, AND 3 WERE YIELDING F MNMHP04 State Patro Foley BLVD at USTH 10V1(Dodge) was N/B Foley in the left turn lane to get onto E/B University when V1 want to to E/B USTH 1 MN002050 Police DRIVER \#1 SAID HE WAS DRIVING NB FOLEY BLVD AND FAILED TO STOP AT THE RED LIGHT, CRASHING INTO UNIT \#2. DRIVER \# MNMHP04 State Patro AT THE STOP LIGHT, TOP OF THE RAMP TO FOLEY FROM EB HWY 1OUNIT 2 WAS AT THE LIGHT, THOUGHT HE COULD GO AND BI MNO02050 Police UNIT 1 AND UNIT 2 WERE EXITING EASTBOUND HIGHWAY 10 AT FOLEY BLVD AND GOT INTO A PD ACCIDENT AT THE INTERSECT

GUARDRAIL AND TWO SIGNS HAD BEEN CRASHED INTO BY A VEHICLE. I OBSERVED ONE SET OF VEHICLE TRACKS LEADING TO THE PARKING LOT BELO' oncoming traffic. Veh 1 struck Veh 2 in the drivers side rear corner.Veh 1 sustained moderated damage to the front bumper area. Veh 2 sustained moc :OR SOUTHBOUND FOLEY TRAFFIC TO TURN RIGHT FROM THE OFF RAMP. UNIT \#1 STATED ALL CARS STARTED GOING, WHEN A SEMI CAME SOUTHBOL 0. V1 waited for cars to pass and thought there was a clear space and started to get over to the right to take the right hand exit. V2(Ford) was going N/ !2 SAID HE WAS MAKING A LEFT TURN FROM SB FOLEY TO EB HIGHWAY 47 WHEN UNIT \#1 CRASHED INTO HIM. DRIVER \#2 SAID DRIVER \#1 RAN THE R EGAN TO ACCELERATE AND MAKE THE RIGHT TURN. UNIT 2 STATED THAT HE DID NOT SEE A VEHICLE COMING AND HAD TO SUDDENLY HIT HIS BRAKES 'ION. UNIT 1 STATED THEY WERE STRUCK MULTIPLE TIMES BY UNIT 2 AT THE INTERSECTION BEFORE UNIT 2 FLED THE SCENE. UNIT 1 SAID THEY FOLLO'

「 \#2) PASSED HIM ON THE RIGHT, BUT ALSO MAKING A LEFT TURN. DRIVER \#1 SAID HE CRASHED INTO THE DRIVER'S DOOR OF UNIT \#2 AND THE VEHI

IID SAW THE CRASH BUT DID NOT SEE THE CAUSE.

W NEAR STARBUCKS AND SOME VEHICLE PARTS LEFT BEHIND. I PATROLLED THE AREA, BUT WAS UTL A VEHICLE. NO IDENTIFYING FEATURES LEFT BEF derate damage to the drivers side rear corner. Both vehicles were driven from the scene. No report of injury.
JND AND ALL CARS CAME TO AN IMMEIDATE STOP. UNIT \#1 STATED HE WAS UNABLE TO STOP IN TIME AND REAR ENDED UNIT \#2. UNIT \#2 STATED THI $B$ on Foley when V1 drove right into V 2 in the intersection. Driver 1 stated he was in the left turn lane when he realized he wanted to be in the right lat iED LIGHT. DRIVER \#2 SAID HE HAD A GREEN LIGHT.
. UNIT 1 WAS DIRECTLY BEHIND UNIT 2. WHEN UNIT 2 ABRUPTLY HIT HIS BRAKES, UNIT 1 COULD NOT STOP IN TIME. UNIT 1 REAR ENDED UNIT 2. WED UNIT 2 WHILE CALLING 911. UNIT 1 SAID THE INITIAL ACCIDENT WAS ON THE EXIT RAMP INTERSECTION AT FOLEY BLVD AS THEY WERE GOING TC

CLE FLED THE SCENE. DRIVER \#1 SAID HE HAS DAMAGE TO HIS FRONT PASSENGER SIDE BUMPER. DRIVER \#1 SAID UNIT \#2 SHOULD HAVE DAMAGE T(

IIND AT THE SCENE. YELLOW NOTICE LEFT AT THE SCENE. NO FURTHER ACTION

三 SAME THING, BUT THAT THE IMPACT FROM UNIT \#1 CAUSED HER TO CRASH INTO UNIT \#3. UNIT \#3 STATED HE WAS YIELDING FOR TRAFFIC WHEN HI าe to go E/B USTH 10. Driver 1 stated he looked over and saw no cars coming. Driver 1 stated he started to move over to the right to get to E/B USTH 1
) TURN NORTHBOUND AND UNIT 2 STRUCK THEM MULTIPLE TIMES AFTER THE INITIAL CONTACT.UNIT 2 STATED THE INITIAL ACCIDENT WAS ON THE E،

ว THE DRIVER'S DOOR. DRIVER \#1 DESCRIPTION DRIVER \#2 HAS A BLACK MALE IN HIS 20'S. NO VEHICLE INFO FOR UNIT \#2. NO VIDEO FOOTAGE. NO R

E WAS REAR ENDED BY UNIT \#2. UNIT \#3 PASSENGER SELF TRANSPORTED TO MERCY HOSPITAL FOR BACK PAIN.
.0 entrance ramp when he heard a bang and realized he hit V2. Driver 1 stated he did not see V2 coming and is not sure where V2 came from since he

XIT RAMP INTERSECTION ON FOLEY BLVD. UNIT 2 STATED THE VEHICLES WERE STUCK TOGETHER AFTER THE INITIAL ACCIDENT CAUSING THE MULTIPLE
:EPORTED INJURIES. DRIVER \#1 SAID HE BELIEVES DRIVER \#2 WAS COMING FROM THE MOVIE THEATER NEARBY. DRIVER \#1 SAID HE WAS ALSO AT TH
thought there was a red light at the light behind him. Driver 1 stated he did not see V2 when he was changing lanes over. Driver 2 stated he was in the

三 BUMPS. UNIT 2 STATED THEY WENT TO THEIR NEARBY RESIDENCE AS UNIT 1 WAS DRIVING ERRATICALLY AND FOLLOWING THEM.UNIT 1 HAD SIGNIFI

E THEATER. DRIVER \#1 DENIED HAVING ANY ROAD RAGE ISSUES. DRIVER \#1 SAID HE BELIEVES DRIVER \#2 LOST HIS PATIENTS TO MAKE THE LEFT HAN
right lane going through the green light when V1 pulled out from the left lane and crossed over and struck his truck. Driver 2 stated he was

CANT DAMAGE TO THE PASSENGER SIDE OF THE CAR FROM THE FRONT BUMPER TO THE REAR BUMPER. UNIT 2 HAD MINOR DAMAGE TO THE DRIVEI

D TURN ONTO FOLEY BLVD AND TRIED TO PASS HIM. NO FURTHER ACTION.


## Project Summary

Project Name: TH 610 and East River Road Interchange Reconstruction
Applicant: City of Coon Rapids
Project Location: TH 610 and East River Road (CSAH 1) Interchange between the Mississippi River and Coon Rapids Boulevard in Coon Rapids, Anoka County

Total Project Cost: \$30,053,000
Requested Federal Dollars: \$10,000,000

Project Map:


Before Photo:


Project Description: The project will complete the transportation system by providing a full-access interchange at TH 610 and East River Road with a westbound off-ramp loop and a folded eastbound onramp with TH 610 auxiliary lanes between East River Road and Coon Rapids Boulevard. In addition, multimodal improvements include the construction of a new 10-foot trail along the East River Road corridor.

Project Benefits: The TH 610 and East River Road interchange reconstruction will provide the following benefits:

- Improved travel times and safer access for transit users, residents, and businesses within the project area.
- A more direct route for regional trips and emergency response teams originating and destined for this area.
- Improved traffic congestion and safety issues at the TH10 and Foley Boulevard interchange.
- Safer transit operations with a connection to East River Road and the closure of the westbound on-ramp to TH 610.
- An interconnected trail and sidewalk system with access to the Foley Park \& Ride facility and other local and regional trails.

Regional Economy

Results
WITHIN ONE MI of project:
Postsecondary Students: 0
Totals by City:
Blaine
Population: 2231
Employment: 2133
Mfg and Dist Employment: 43
Brooklyn Park
Population: 2331
Employment: 108
Mfg and Dist Employment: 3
Coon Rapids
Population: 8967
Employment: 8044
Mfg and Dist Employment: 3048

Strategic Capacity Project: TH 610 and East River Road Interchange Reconstruction | Map ID: 1647008996738



Project Points $\square$ Manfacturing/Distribution Centers
Project $\square$ Job Concentration Centers

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
tp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.asp

## A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF FEDERAL FUNDING APPLICATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF TH 610 AND EAST RIVER ROAD (CSAH 1) FULL ACCESS INTERCHANGE

WHEREAS, Trunk Highway 610 and East River Road (CSAH 1) serve as important regional transportation corridors in southern Anoka County; and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County and the City of Coon Rapids have identified the need to improve access to Trunk Highway 610 from East River Road (CSAH 1) to better serve the community of Coon Rapids and surrounding communities; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed improvement of providing an eastbound access ramp and a westbound exit ramp from Trunk Highway 610 to East River Road (CSAH 1) would address existing regional transportation deficiencies caused by the lack of a full access interchange; and,

WHEREAS, proposed transportation improvements in and around the Trunk Highway 610 and East River Road (CSAH 1) interchange will facilitate additional economic development in the area; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Coon Rapids with the support of Anoka County will submit an application to the Transportation Advisory Board of the Metropolitan Council for 2023-2025 federal transportation funds to improve the interchange to provide full access to Trunk Highway 610 to and from East River Road (CSAH 1).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, in accordance with the foregoing, and all ordinances and regulations of the City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota, the City Council of Coon Rapids makes the following findings of fact:

The City Council adopts this Resolution in support of the request for Federal Funds for the TH 610 and East River Road (CSAH 1) full access interchange, and,

The Engineering Department is hereby authorized to submit an application through the Metropolitan Council's 2022 Regional Solicitation program to the Transportation Advisory Board to receive federal transportation funds to make improvements by creating a full access interchange at TH 610 and East River Road (CSAH 1) in the Roadway Expansion category; and,

That a copy of this Resolution be provided to the Metropolitan Council Transportation Advisory Board and Technical Advisory Commission as part of the TH 610 and East River Road (CSAH 1) full access interchange application for Federal Funds under the Regional Solicitation Program.

Adopted by the Coon Rapids City Council this 5th day of April, 2022.


MnDOT Metro District<br>1500 West County Road B-2<br>Roseville, MN 55113

April 12, 2022
Mark Hansen, PE
City Engineer
City of Coon Rapids

Re: MnDOT Letter for City of Saint Paul's Metropolitan Council/Transportation Advisory Board 2022 Regional Solicitation Funding Request for an improvement at TH 610 and East River Road

Mark Hansen,

This letter documents MnDOT Metro District's recognition for City of Coon Rapids to pursue funding for the Metropolitan Council/Transportation Advisory Board's (TAB) 2022 Regional Solicitation for a full access interchange at TH 610 and East River Road.

As proposed, this project impacts MnDOT right-of-way on TH 610. As the agency with jurisdiction over TH 610, MnDOT will allow the City to seek improvements proposed in the application. Details of any future maintenance agreement will need to be determined during project development to define how the improvements will be maintained for the project's useful life if the project receives funding.

There is no funding from MnDOT currently planned or programmed for this improvement. If your project receives funding, continue to work with MnDOT Area staff to coordinate needs and opportunities for cooperation.

MnDOT Metro District looks forward to continued cooperation with Coon Rapids as this project moves forward and as we work together to improve safety and travel options within the Metro Area.

If you have questions or require additional information at this time, please reach out to North Area Manager Melissa Barnes at melissa.barnes@state.mn.us.

Sincerely,
Michael

Michael Barnes, PE
Metro District Engineer

CC: Melissa Barnes, Metro District Area Manager; Dan Erickson, Metro State Aid Engineer; Molly McCartney, Metro Program Director

## Socio-Economic Conditions

Total of publicly subsidized rental housing units in census tracts within $1 / 2$ mile: 447

Project located in census tracts that are BELOW the regional average for population in poverty or population of color.


For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit hor complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visiswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissite/notice.aspx


## East River Road TH 610 Ramp Addition

1 | Foley and TH 10 N Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 3873 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 64 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 247872 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 3733 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 66 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 246378 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 1494 | seconds |

2 | Foley and TH 10 S Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2921 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 16 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 46736 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2641 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 39615 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 7121 | seconds |

3 | Foley and 99th Ave |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2861 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 31 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 88691 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2582 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 18 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 46476 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | 42215 | seconds |

| East River Rd and South TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2746 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 15 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 41190 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2991 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 24 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 71784 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -30594 | seconds |

5 | East River Road and North TH 610 Ramps |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Existing Volume | 2662 | vehicles |
| Existing Delay | 19 | sec/veh |
| Existing Total Delay | 50578 | seconds |
| Future Volume | 2837 | vehicles |
| Future Delay | 21 | sec/veh |
| Future Total Delay | 59577 | seconds |
| Total Delay Reduction | -8999 | seconds |

Total Network Delay Reduction 11237 seconds

Emissions

| Emissions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.65 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 2.11 | 2.78 | 18.35 |
| NO | 1.49 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 3.57 |
| VOC | 1.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4.25 |


| Build | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CO | 7.52 | 2.61 | 2.1 | 2.89 | 2.99 | 18.11 |
| NO | 1.46 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 3.52 |
| VOC | 1.74 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.19 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 性 | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1018 | 168 | 1237 | 239 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.8 | 25.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（\％） | 46．9\％ | 46．9\％ | 53．1\％ | 53．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 20.7 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 3.3 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 19.3 | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length： 55
Actuated Cycle Length： 55
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： $19.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．3\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：120：East River Road \＆TH 610 South Ramps


## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2746 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |

## 120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2662 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.78 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.54 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.64 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「＂ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 257 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | ， | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 80.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 150
Actuated Cycle Length： 150
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle： 150
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay：63．6 Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\overline{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 344 | 184 | 344 | 1017 | 431 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 34.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 97.0 | 87.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.4\% | 25.4\% | 7.7\% | 74.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 81.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 0.44 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 8.2 | 114.2 | 8.9 | 7.7 |
| LOS | F | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 64.6 |  |  | 35.5 | 7.7 |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | D | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 130
Actuated Cycle Length: 130
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 130
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15

| Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $80.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave


## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3873 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 64 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.65 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.49 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.77 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2921 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.92 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.57 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.68 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2861 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 31 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 35 | 35 | 132 | 46 | 41 | 457 | 1493 | 305 | 28 | 212 | 137 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 20．5\％ | 31．6\％ | 31．6\％ | 37．5\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 10．5\％ | 21．6\％ | 21．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 7.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 20.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 3.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.9 Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．3\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：110：East River Road \＆TH 610 North Ramps／Foley Blvd



## 110: East River Road \& TH 610 North Ramps/Foley Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2991 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 24 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.89 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.56 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.67 |

120: East River Road \& TH 610 South Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2837 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.99 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.69 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「「で | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 800 | 200 | 117 | 168 | 182 | 122 | 320 | 734 | 323 | 62 | 651 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm＋ov | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 22．3\％ | 22．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 77.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 10.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.8 | 99.7 | 3.0 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 9.5 | 118.6 | 43.1 | 5.5 | 84.2 | 63.2 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | F | A | E | E | A | F | D | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 90.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 51.8 |  |  | 60.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 66.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．9\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：110：Foley Blvd \＆TH 10 N Ramp／101st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Y_{02(R)}$ |  |  | $\tan _{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\emptyset 8$ |  |  |  |
| 16 s 49.5 s | 49.5 s |  |  | 50 s |  |  |  |  | 34.5 s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp



## 110: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 N Ramp/101st Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3733 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 66 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 7.52 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.46 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.74 |

120: Foley Blvd \& TH 10 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2641 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 2.61 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.51 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.60 |

## 130: Foley Blvd \& 99th Ave

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2582 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.10 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.49 |



