Application

17072-2022 Roadway Expansion
17617-185th Street Expansion Project
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status:
Submitted Date:

Submitted
04/14/2022 12:32 PM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  | John | Patrick |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pronouns | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Transportation Project Manager |  |  |  |
| Department: | Dakota County Transportation Department |  |  |  |
| Email: | John.Sass@co.dakota.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 14955 Galaxie Avenue, 3rd Floor |  |  |  |
| * | Apple Valley | Min |  | 55124 |
|  | City | State |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 952-891-7130 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements |  |  |  |

## Organization Information

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):

| Organization Type: | County Government |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organization Website: |  |  |  |
| Address: | TRANSPORTATION DEPT |  |  |
|  | 14955 GALAXIE AVE |  |  |
| * | APPLE VALLEY | Minnesota | 55124 |
|  | City | State/Province | Postal Code/Zip |
| County: | Dakota |  |  |
| Phone:* 952-891-7100 |  |  |  |
|  | Ext. |  |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |
| PeopleSoft Vendor Number | 0000002621 A15 |  |  |

## Project Information

| Project Name | 185th Street Expansion Project |
| :--- | :--- |
| Primary County where the Project is Located | Dakota |
| Cities or Townships where the Project is Located: | Lakeville |
| Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant): | N/A |

Dakota County and the City of Lakeville are working together to redesign CSAH 60 (185th Street) to improve mobility and safety for all roadway users. CSAH 60 is an A Minor Arterial that plays a key role in the transportation network for the City, County, and the region. The improvement is a full corridor reconstruction and completion of the trail network between CSAH 50 (Kenwood Trail) and Ipava Avenue, with minor roadway work between Ipava Avenue and Dodd Blvd.

This segment of CSAH 60 is different than the redeveloped and modern segment to the west and near-future developments planned to the east. The existing two-lane highway has a rural section with a trail on only portions of the corridor. Numerous access points, poor sightlines, and a lack of dedicated turn lanes combined with increased traffic volumes all contribute to safety issues observed along the corridor. The two-lane crosssection on this segment presents a constraint to local and regional mobility and is the last segment to be improved or constructed to complete the regional arterial connection between I-35W on the west and Cedar Avenue/CSAH 23 on the west.

CSAH 60 already experiences high levels of traffic with 12,500 ADT today, and is expected to increase as the planned extension of the roadway is constructed east of CSAH 9. The number of through lanes varies between segments along the current and planned future corridor based on needs and context. The recently reconstructed CSAH 50/CSAH 60 and Ipava/CSAH 60 intersections each have two through lanes in each direction approaching from the east and west. The section between Ipava and Dodd Boulevard is a four-lane divided section and the expansions east of Dodd will be a two-lane divided section.

The primary objectives of this project are to design a roadway that provides for increasing traffic levels, provide multimodal and pedestrian connectivity to fix gaps in the existing trail network, provide a safe facility for everyone, and engage all parts of the community to ensure the solutions meet their needs.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)
DESCRIPTION - will be used in TIP if the project is selected for funding. See MnDOT's TIP description guidance.

CSAH 60, LAKEVILLE, FROM CSAH 50 TO CSAH 9, 1.4 MILES, RECONSTRUCTION

Include both the CSAH/MSAS/TH references and their corresponding street names in the TIP Description (see Resources link on Regional Solicitation webpage for examples).

Project Length (Miles)

## 1.4

to the nearest one-tenth of a mile

## Project Funding

Are you applying for competitive funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)
Federal Amount
\$6,880,000.00
Match Amount
\$1,720,000.00
Minimum of 20\% of project total
Project Total \$8,600,000.00
For transit projects, the total cost for the application is total cost minus fare revenues.
Match Percentage 20.0\%
Minimum of 20\%
Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total
Source of Match Funds CSAH, MSA, County/City local funds
A minimum of $20 \%$ of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the $20 \%$ minimum can come from other federal sources

Preferred Program Year
Select one:
2026
Select 2024 or 2025 for TDM and Unique projects only. For all other applications, select 2026 or 2027.
Additional Program Years:
2025
Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

## Project Information-Roadways

| County, City, or Lead Agency | Dakota County |
| :---: | :---: |
| Functional Class of Road | A Minor Expander |
| Road System | CSAH |
| TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET |  |
| Road/Route No. | 60 |
| i.e., 53 for CSAH 53 |  |
| Name of Road | 185th Street |
| Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE |  |
| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55044 |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date | 04/01/2025 |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date | 11/30/2025 |
| TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work) |  |
| From: <br> (Intersection or Address) | CSAH 50 (Kenwood Trail) |
| To: <br> (Intersection or Address) | CSAH 9 (Dodd Boulevard) |
| DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION |  |
| Or At |  |
| Miles of Sidewalk (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0 |
| Miles of Trail (nearest 0.1 miles) | 1.3 |
| Miles of Trail on the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (nearest 0.1 miles) | 2.6 |
| Primary Types of Work | GRADING, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURFACE, TRAILS, PED RAMPS, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, CURB AND GUTTER, STORM SEWER, STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, SIGNI |
| Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF, <br> SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER, <br> SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS, BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC. |  |
| BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) |  |
| Old Bridge/Culvert No.: | N/A |
| New Bridge/Culvert No.: | N/A |
| Structure is Over/Under <br> (Bridge or culvert name): | N/A |

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2018), the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2018), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan goals, objectives, and strategies that relate to the project.

Briefly list the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:

Goal: A. Transportation System Stewardship:<br>Objective: A, Objective: B, Strategy: A1, and Strategy: A2.<br>Goal: B. Safety and Security: Objective: A, Objective: B Strategy: B1, Strategy: B2, and Strategy: B6.<br>Goal: C. Access to Destinations: Objective: A., Objective: E, Strategy: C1, Strategy: C2, Strategy: C9, Strategy: C15, Strategy: C16, and Strategy: C17.

## Goal: D. Competitive Economy; Objective: A, Objective: B, Strategy: D1, and Strategy: D3.

Goal: E. Healthy Environment: Objective: A, Objective: C, Objective: D, Strategy: E3, Strategy: E4, Strategy: E5, and Strategy: E6.

Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words
3. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

RESOURCES: Preliminary Engineering Consultant 2023, Design Consultant 2024<br>EXPANSION: Roadway Expansion

List the applicable documents and pages: Unique projects are exempt from this qualifying requirement because of their innovative nature.


#### Abstract

Construction of CSAH 60 (185th Street) to a fourlane divided roadway from CSAH 50 (Kenwood Trail) to CSAH 9 (Dodd Boulevard) in Lakeville. The project will improve CSAH 60 roadway operations, make safety improvements, and provide for the increased traffic levels. City of Lakeville will lead/coordinate this project.


Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words
4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible. Unique project costs are limited to those that are federally eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.Applicant is a public agency (e.g., county, city, tribal government, transit provider, etc.) or non-profit organization (TDM and Unique Projects applicants only). Applicants that are not State Aid cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below in Table 1. For unique projects, the minimum award is $\$ 500,000$ and the maximum award is the total amount available each funding cycle (approximately \$4,000,000 for the 2022 funding cycle).
Strategic Capacity (Roadway Expansion): \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000
Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Traffic Management Technologies (Roadway System Management): \$500,000 to \$3,500,000
Spot Mobility and Safety: \$1,000,000 to \$3,500,000
Bridges Rehabilitation/Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8.The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.In order for a selected project to be included in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and approved by USDOT, the public agency sponsor must either have a current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) self-evaluation or transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation, as required under Title II of the ADA. The plan must be completed by the local agency before the Regional Solicitation application deadline. For the 2022 Regional Solicitation funding cycle, this requirement may include that the plan is updated within the past five years.

The applicant is a public agency that employs 50 or more people and has a completed ADA transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation.
(TDM and Unique Project Applicants Only) The applicant is not a public agency subject to the self-evaluation requirements in Title II of the ADA.

Date plan completed:
06/01/2018
https://www.co.dakota.mn.us/Transportation/Transp
Link to plan: ortationStudies/Past/Documents/ADATransitionPla
n.pdf

The applicant is a public agency that employs fewer than 50 people and has a completed ADA self-evaluation that covers the public right of way/transportation.

Date self-evaluation completed:
Link to plan:
Upload plan or self-evaluation if there is no link
Upload as PDF
10.The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
11.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project year-round for the useful life of the improvement, per FHWA direction established 8/27/2008 and updated 6/27/2017. Unique projects are exempt from this qualifying requirement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
12. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
13. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
14.The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a principal arterial (non-freeway facilities only) or A-minor arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Roadway Strategic Capacity and Reconstruction/Modernization and Spot Mobility projects only:
2.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement and Strategic Capacity projects only:
3.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a principal arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4.The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
5.The length of the bridge clear span must exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must have a National Bridge Inventory Rating of 6 or less for rehabilitation projects and 4 or less for replacement projects.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Roadway Expansion, Reconstruction/Modernization, and Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
7. All roadway projects that involve the construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps must have approval by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Interchange Planning Review Committee prior to application submittal. Please contact Michael Corbett at MnDOT ( Michael.J.Corbett@state.mn.us or 651-234-7793) to determine whether your project needs to go through this process as described in Appendix F of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

| Specific Roadway Elements |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST | Cost |
| ESTIMATES | $\$ 464,000.00$ |
| Mobilization (approx. $5 \%$ of total cost) | $\$ 258,000.00$ |
| Removals (approx. $5 \%$ of total cost) | $\$ 1,620,000.00$ |
| Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) | $\$ 1,622,000.00$ |

Subgrade Correction (muck) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Storm Sewer ..... \$2,120,000.00
Ponds ..... \$535,000.00
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) ..... \$327,000.00
Traffic Control ..... \$216,000.00
Striping ..... \$141,000.00
Signing ..... \$164,000.00
Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping ..... \$346,000.00
Bridge ..... $\$ 0.00$
Retaining Walls ..... \$78,000.00
Noise Wall (not calculated in cost effectiveness measure) ..... \$339,000.00
Traffic Signals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$8,230,000.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST
ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... $\$ 311,000.00$
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... \$59,000.00
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$370,000.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST
ESTIMATES
Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, ..... $\$ 0.00$ fare collection, etc.)
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Operating Costs

| Number of Platform hours | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc. | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 8,600,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 8,600,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Congestion within Project Area:

The measure will analyze the level of congestion within the project area. Council staff will provide travel speed data on the "Level of Congestion" map. The analysis will compare the peak hour travel speed within the project area to fee-flow conditions.

Free-Flow Travel Speed: 41
Peak Hour Travel Speed: 30
Percentage Decrease in Travel Speed in Peak Hour compared to Free-Flow:
26.83\%

Upload Level of Congestion map:

## Congestion on adjacent Parallel Routes:

Adjacent Parallel Corridor
Adjacent Parallel Corridor Start and End Points:
Start Point:
End Point:
Free-Flow Travel Speed:
The Free-Flow Travel Speed is black number
Peak Hour Travel Speed:
The Peak Hour Travel Speed is red number.
Percentage Decrease in Travel Speed in Peak Hour Compared to Free-Flow:

Upload Level of Congestion Map:

A-Minor Arterial CSAH 46 (162nd Street)

Kenwood Trail (CSAH 50)
Highview Ave
46

31
32.61\%

1649955857819_Level of Congestion MAP 185th St.pdf

## Principal Arterial Intersection Conversion Study:

Proposed interchange or at-grade project that reduces delay at a High Priority Intersection:
(80 Points)
Proposed at-grade project that reduces delay at a Medium Priority Intersection:
(60 Points)
Proposed at-grade project that reduces delay at a Low Priority Intersection:
(50 Points)
Proposed interchange project that reduces delay at a Medium Priority Intersection:
(40 Points)
Proposed interchange project that reduces delay at a Low Priority Intersection:
(0 Points)
Not listed as a priority in the study:

## Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Existing Employment within 1 Mile:
2872
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1 Mile:100

Existing Post-Secondary Students within 1 Mile:
0

Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

RESPONSE: Select one for your project, based on the updated 2021 Regional Truck Corridor Study:
Along Tier 1:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
Along Tier 2:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
Along Tier 3:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
The project provides a direct and immediate connection (i.e., intersects) with either a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 corridor:

None of the tiers:
Yes

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | East of CSAH 50 (Kenwod Trail) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 12500 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | N/A |

For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will likely be diverted to the new proposed roadway (if applicable).
Upload Transit Connections Map 1649956008298_Transit Connections MAP 185th St.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current Daily Person Throughput | 16250.0 |

## Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume
OR

Identify the approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

Forecast (2040) ADT volume

Dakota County 2040 Travel Demand Model for 2040 Dakota County Transportation Plan

28000

## Measure A: Engagement

i.Describe any Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, disabled populations, youth, or older adults within a $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. Describe how these populations relate to regional context. Location of affordable housing will be addressed in Measure C.
ii.Describe how Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, persons with disabilities, youth, older adults, and residents in affordable housing were engaged, whether through community planning efforts, project needs identification, or during the project development process.
iii. Describe the progression of engagement activities in this project. A full response should answer these questions:

The census tracts that the 185th St Improvement project falls in shows the following demographics:
?12\% of residents identify as Black, Indigenous, and People of Color
?8\% of residents have a family income less than $185 \%$ of the federal poverty level
? $8 \%$ of residents have a disability
? $13 \%$ of residents are 65 or older
?27\% of residents are 17 or younger

Additionally, there are 430 publicly subsidized rental housing units in the census tracts within $1 / 2$ mile of the project.

Lakeville and Dakota County are implementing a
Response: comprehensive public involvement program for the project. The first open house will be held in person on Thursday April 14, 2022, and online for three weeks. The first open house is being held to get community input on issues and needs, prior to the development of alternatives. A second open house will be held this summer to review proposed alternatives. Because this roadway widening and trail project will impact private property frontage and access, two-way communication with affected residents is essential.
Engagement tools:
?Each public open house will be held in person and online. The online component
(185thStlmprovements.com) includes an interactive comment map, a video, and a survey.
?Public events are being advertised with mailings to reach people without online access, in addition using to social media and notification on the project
web site. Inclusion of telephone and mailing address information in project communications will support communications for those without access to technology.
?The project mailing area includes the Lakeville Pointe Apartments, a 49-unit affordable housing complex on County Road 50 (Kenwood Trail), north of the project.
?Additional efforts are being made to reach school children and their families, who may be more reliant on non-motorized travel in the project area.

Of particular emphasis is providing safe access for school children to Century Middle School, located at the southeast corner of 185th Street and Ipava Avenue. This user demographic is one of the clearest examples of an at-risk population (school children) whose needs are important to consider in the project. At the time of the 2021 Dakota County School Travel Safety Assessment, there were 950 students in grades 6-8. It was estimated that only 10 students regularly walk or bike to school and both CSAH 60 and CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) were identified as hazardous roadways. The Dakota County 2040 Transportation Plan identified the section of CSAH 60 without a trail as a medium priority pedestrian and bicycle gap. The project team is conducting specific outreach to the school to make affected parents aware of the project. This includes providing open house invitation to the school and soliciting specific feedback about biking and walking.

## Measure B: Equity Population Benefits and Impacts

Describe the projects benefits to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, Iow-income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults. Benefits could relate to:
This is not an exhaustive list. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to Equity populations residing or engaged in activities near the project area, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting Equity populations specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.
Acknowledge and describe any negative project impacts to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, Iow-income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults. Describe measures to mitigate these impacts. Unidentified or unmitigated negative impacts may result in a reduction in points.
Below is a list of potential negative impacts. This is not an exhaustive list.

Response:
The new roadway will include turn lanes, improved stormwater management infrastructure, multi-use trails on both sides of the roadway, and access management of city streets. Safety will be improved along the corridor by managing the number of conflict points (access management), providing paved shoulders, and adding multi-use trails to both sides of the road including improving pedestrian infrastructure by filling a trail gap in a Tier 2 RBTN corridor.

With these features, the project will provide improved vehicle mobility and safety to all drivers who use the road. By removing the constricted twolane cross-section in this segment of 185th Street and modernizing the roadway, the project will improve cross-town traffic flow and in particular will provide improved access to I-35W and Cedar Avenue, both of which are major commuter corridors to metro area jobs. Lakeville, with its lower cost of living compared to some other parts of the Twin Cities region, provides a relatively affordable community in addition to its other assets. However, with its location in the south metro area, many residents drive significant distances to work compared to communities located closer to key job centers. By improving local access to the regional highway network, the 185th Street project provides an economic benefit to commuters through time savings.

The added high-quality bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure will influence neighborhood-level access to destinations such as schools, parks, and local businesses. As a result, people who walk and bike for transportation, recreation, and health are the other demographic who will benefit substantially from the project, which will fill in the gaps in the trail network on this road segment and in so doing, complete the multimodal facility along CSAH 60 identified in Dakota County?s 2040 Comprehensive

Plan. The result will be transformative for those who walk or bike on the facility today (including where there are no facilities) or who will be attracted to the improved facility once it is in place. This improvement will disproportionately benefit BIPOC and other disadvantaged or vulnerable populations who are more likely to rely on nonvehicle mode for transportation and for whom recreation and healthy lifestyles may be more challenging to achieve. Increasing non-vehicular access can reduce the negative health effects of long car trips, such as physical inactivity and high blood pressure. As indicated above, $40 \%$ of people in the project area are either 17 or younger or 65 or older, two demographic groups most likely to benefit from improvements to multimodal facilities.

## Measure C: Affordable Housing Access

Describe any affordable housing developmentsexisting, under construction, or plannedwithin $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. The applicant should note the number of existing subsidized units, which will be provided on the Socio-Economic Conditions map. Applicants can also describe other types of affordable housing (e.g., naturally-occurring affordable housing, manufactured housing) and under construction or planned affordable housing that is within a half mile of the project. If applicable, the applicant can provide self-generated PDF maps to support these additions. Applicants are encouraged to provide a self-generated PDF map describing how a project connects affordable housing residents to destinations (e.g., childcare, grocery stores, schools, places of worship).
Describe the projects benefits to current and future affordable housing residents within $1 / 2$ mile of the project. Benefits must relate to affordable housing residents. Examples may include:
This is not an exhaustive list. Since residents of affordable housing are more likely not to own a private vehicle, higher points will be provided to roadway projects that include other multimodal access improvements. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to residents of affordable housing, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting residents of affordable housing specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.

As indicated elsewhere, 430 affordable housing units are located within the project area. These units have subsidized rents, where household income is limited based on number of occupants. One example of these is the 49-unit Lake Pointe Apartment community located on CR 50 north of the project. Assuming that a higher-than-average percentage of individuals living in affordable units rely on non-motorized transportation, the addition of a trail would close a gap that currently exists for pedestrians and bicyclists and provide residents of this community with an option to walk or bike along 185th Street to get to destinations on the study corridor and beyond.

In addition to Century Middle School located on the study corridor, there are many other important community resources that are close enough to access without a vehicle and/or that serve families and children. There are multiple childcare facilities within a half mile of the study area including a Montessori school for toddlers and preschoolers, an in-home daycare, and childcare for adults exercising at LifeTime. There is a Target grocery store located approximately 0.6 miles to the west of Kenwood Trail. There is one place of worship just west of the Kenwood Trail intersection, and another located 0.6 miles to the west of Kenwood Trail. Near the west end of the corridor there are also multiple healthcare facilities including an urgent care, chiropractic, dental, mental health, and general clinics. On the east end there is a fire station and LifeTime fitness center. King Park is a Lakeville City Park located across 185th Street from the LifeTime. It includes an inclusive playground designed for children of all abilities and a Miracle League baseball facility which provides opportunities for children and adults with cognitive and/or physical challenges to play baseball regardless of ability.
The combination of proximate affordable housing
units, a wide range of community resources and improvements to support safe, comfortable and convenient non-motorized transportation is a distinguishing benefit of the 185th Street improvement project.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words):

## Measure D: BONUS POINTS

Project is located in an Area of Concentrated Poverty:
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color (Regional Environmental Justice Area):

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color (Regional Environmental Justice Area):

Upload the Socio-Economic Conditions map used for this measure.

1649947420430_Socio-Economic Conditions MAP 185th St.pdf

## Measure A: Infrastructure Age

Year of Original
Roadway Construction
or Most Recent
Segment Length Calculation Calculation 2
Reconstruction
1982.0
1.4
2774.8
1982.0

1
2775
1982

## Average Construction Year

Weighted Year
1982.0

Total Segment Length (Miles)
Total Segment Length

Measure A: Congestion Reduction/Air Quality


## Vehicle Delay Reduced

| Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced | 8908.8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced | 8943.6 |

## Measure B:Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements

| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) <br> Peak Hour Emissions <br> without the Project <br> (Kilograms): | Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) <br> Peak Hour Emissions with <br> the Project (Kilograms): | Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) <br> Peak Hour Emissions <br> Reduced by the Project <br> (Kilograms): |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70.62 | 70.57 | 0.05 |
| 71 | 71 | 0 |

## Total

Total Emissions Reduced:
Upload Synchro Report

1649948186815_4_OTHER_Synchro reports.pdf

Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

## Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not

 include railroad grade-separation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only):Total (CO, NOX, and VOC)
Peak Hour Emissions without the Project (Kilograms):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions with the Project (Kilograms):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC)
Peak Hour Emissions
Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):

0

0

0

## Total Parallel Roadway

Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways
Upload Synchro Report
Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)
Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: ..... 0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project: ..... 0
Total delay in hours with the project: ..... 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: ..... 0
Fuel consumption in gallons: ..... 0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms): ..... 01,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): ..... 0.0
Measure B:Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements

| Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project: | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vehicle miles traveled without the project: | 0 |
| Total delay in hours without the project: | 0 |
| Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project: | 0 |
| Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: | 0 |
| Vehicle miles traveled with the project: | 0 |
| Total delay in hours with the project: | 0 |
| Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F1) | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F2) | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F3) | 0 |
| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the | 0 |
| Project (Kilograms): |  |
| EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit |  |
| 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words) |  |

## Measure A: Benefit of Crash Reduction

Crash Modification Factor Used:
CMF ID 7566: Convert 2 Lane Roadway to 4 Lane Divided Roadway and engineering judgement to assume one Type A head on crash and one Type B bicycle crash would be eliminated entirely with a divided cross-section and multiuse trails along the corridor.

| Rationale for Crash Modification Selected: | CMF ID 7566 is the most applicable for similar improvements (2 lane to 4 lane conversion) along an urban corridor. Engineering judgment was used to determine that the Type A head on crash and the Type B bicycle crash would be eliminated entirely with a divided cross-section and multiuse trails along the corridor, since the bicycle crash occurred in the part of the corridor without trails. Engineering judgment was also used to remove crashes at Kenwood Trail (Roundabout) from the analysis due to the high number of crashes and lane configurations remaining unchanged. |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Limit 1400 Characters; approximately 200 words) |  |
| Project Benefit (\$) from B/C Ratio: | \$19,553,991.00 |
| Total Fatal (K) Crashes: | 0 |
| Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes: | 1 |
| Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes: | 1 |
| Total Crashes: | 46 |
| Total Fatal (K) Crashes Reduced by Project: | 0 |
| Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes Reduced by Project: | 1 |
| Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes Reduced by Project: | 1 |
| Total Crashes Reduced by Project: | 31 |
| Worksheet Attachment | 1649948450855_rashes, BC worksheet, CMF 185th St.pdf |
| Please upload attachment in PDF form. |  |

## Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:

Current AADT volume
0
Average daily trains:
0

Crash Risk Exposure eliminated:
0

## Measure A: Pedestrian Safety

Determine if these measures do not apply to your project. Does the project match either of the following descriptions?
If either of the items are checked yes, then score for entire pedestrian safety measure is zero. Applicant does not need to respond to the sub-measures and can proceed to the next section.

Project is primarily a freeway (or transitioning to a freeway) and does not provide safe and comfortable pedestrian facilities and No crossings.

Existing location lacks any pedestrian facilities (e.g., sidewalks, marked crossings, wide shoulders in rural contexts) and project does not add pedestrian elements (e.g., reconstruction of a roadway without sidewalks, that doesnt also add pedestrian crossings and sidewalk or sidepath on one or both sides).

## SUB-MEASURE 1: Project-Based Pedestrian Safety Enhancements and Risk Elements

To receive maximum points in this category, pedestrian safety countermeasures selected for implementation in projects should be, to the greatest extent feasible, consistent with the countermeasure recommendations in the Regional Pedestrian Safety Action Plan and state and national best practices. Links to resources are provided on the Regional Solicitation Resources web page.
Please answer the following two questions with as much detail as possible based on the known attributes of the proposed design. If any aspect referenced in this section is not yet determined, describe the range of options being considered, to the greatest extent available. If there are project elements that may increase pedestrian risk, describe how these risks are being mitigated.

1. Describe how this project will address the safety needs of people crossing the street at signalized intersections, unsignalized intersections, midblock locations, and roundabouts.
Treatments and countermeasures should be well-matched to the roadways context (e.g., appropriate for the speed, volume, crossing distance, and other location attributes). Refer to the Regional Solicitation Resources web page for guidance links.

The roundabout at 185th Street and Kenwood Trail was recently constructed in 2015 with dedicated facilities for bicycles and pedestrians to safely cross all four legs of the intersection. An anticipated major reconstruction or full replacement of the traffic signal at the Ipava Avenue intersection will provide the opportunity to ensure that the signal system and associated pedestrian ramps are fully accessible and facilitate safe crossing.

In 2021, the signal at Ipava Avenue was revised and retimed to incorporate flashing yellow arrow in order to implement programming that omits the flashing yellow arrow when a pedestrian pushes the ped button. APS was also updated at the Ipava signal during the 2021 overlays.

The roadway design includes quality bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure. The reconstruction of 185th Street will complete one of the remaining pedestrian facility gaps (tier 2 RBTN corridor) within Dakota County. The proposed construction will implement 10 -foot shared-use trails on both the north and south sides of 185th Street for the full length. The 10 -foot shared-use trails on both north and south sides of 185 th Street with a 15 ' to $25^{\prime}$ boulevards separate pedestrian facility from 8' roadway shoulder.

Pedestrian access is improved with adding multiuse trails to both sides of the road. Safety will be improved along the corridor by managing the number of conflict points (access management), providing paved shoulders, and adding multi-use trails to both sides.

Providing raised medians and pedestrian refuge islands, pedestrian crashes will go down, prevent injuries, and save lives. Raised medians and
pedestrian refuge islands allow pedestrians to cross one direction of traffic at a time. This significantly reduces the complexity of the crossing.

During final design, the project team will maintain and incorporate the recent signal improvements at Ipava Avenue and review the corridor for possible inclusion of high visibility crosswalk markings at the full access uncontrolled intersections as appropriate. In the event that a person does not cross the roadway at the full access intersections, the proposed median will vary in width from 6-18? and would provide refuge to cross one direction of travel at a time.

Extend median nose to provide ramps and refuge within the median crossing area. Provide enhanced visibility and driver awareness with longer raised median segment and lighting. Increase driver sight distance, reduce and enhance warning for pedestrians crossing the roadway. Add lighting.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
Is the distance in between signalized intersections increasing (e.g., removing a signal)?
Select one:
No
If yes, describe what measures are being used to fill the gap between protected crossing opportunities for pedestrians (e.g., adding HighIntensity Activated Crosswalk beacons to help motorists yield and help pedestrians find a suitable gap for crossing, turning signal into a roundabout to slow motorist speed, etc.).

Response: N/A
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
Will your design increase the crossing distance or crossing time across any leg of an intersection? (e.g., by adding turn or through lanes, widening lanes, using a multi-phase crossing, prohibiting crossing on any leg of an intersection, pedestrian bridge requiring length detour, etc.). This does not include any increases to crossing distances solely due to the addition of bike lanes (i.e., no other through or turn lanes being added or widened).

Select one:
No
If yes,
How many intersections will likely be affected?
Response:
Describe what measures are being used to reduce exposure and delay for pedestrians (e.g., median crossing islands, curb bulb-outs, etc.)
Response:

If grade separated pedestrian crossings are being added and increasing crossing time, describe any features that are included that will reduce the detour required of pedestrians and make the separated crossing a more appealing option (e.g., shallow tunnel that doesnt require much elevation change instead of pedestrian bridge with numerous switchbacks).

Response:
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
If mid-block crossings are restricted or blocked, explain why this is necessary and how pedestrian crossing needs and safety are supported in other ways (e.g., nearest protected or enhanced crossing opportunity).

Given the planned four-lane section, high traffic volume, and posted speed of this facility, mid-block crossings are discouraged, and this will be enforced through the design. This includes the addition of a center median, complete trail facilities on both sides to channel users to the primary intersections, and marked crossings provided the primary intersections. The result will be a facility that is context-sensitive, intuitive to use, and that encourages safe pedestrian usage.

The project includes installation of lighting at and in advance of intersections and crosswalks to improve visibility, safety, and comfort, especially at night. Crosswalk lighting can contribute significantly to safety by providing an advance warning to drivers that they are approaching a point of potential conflict with pedestrians and bicyclists.

Traffic signals assign right-of-way to various traffic movements at intersections and help reduce conflict between different roadway users. Signal design typically focuses on the operating characteristics of motorized vehicles but can also benefit pedestrians and bicyclists by creating gaps in traffic to cross. For example, in areas with pedestrian activity, traffic signals can include features such as countdown timers, leading pedestrian intervals, and exclusive pedestrian signal timings.
2. Describe how motorist speed will be managed in the project design, both for through traffic and turning movements. Describe any project-related factors that may affect speed directly or indirectly, even if speed is not the intended outcome (e.g., wider lanes and turning radii to facilitate freight movements, adding turn lanes to alleviate peak hour congestion, etc.). Note any strategies or treatments being considered that are intended to help motorists drive slower (e.g., visual narrowing, narrow lanes, truck aprons to mitigate wide turning radii, etc.) or protect pedestrians if increasing motorist speed (e.g., buffers or other separation from moving vehicles, crossing treatments appropriate for higher speed roadways, etc.).

Response:
Motorist speed will be managed through a contextsensitive design that incorporates multimodal elements to bring life to the street and provide visual cues to drivers. Due to the nature of the corridor, narrow lanes were determined not to be appropriate but the addition of a median along the entire corridor will make the corridor feel narrower. Urban design with curb and gutter and trails also will help influence speeds and driver awareness. The addition of plantings (especially trees) also will help further narrow the feel of the road for drivers. The addition of a lane in each direction will alleviate peak hour congestion and contribute to more consistent speeds throughout the day. As needed, dynamic speed feedback signs may be used for driver education, with enforcement by police/sheriff as an additional layer as needed.
With respect to specific design details, multiuse10foot paths are physically separated from motor vehicle traffic by an open space boulevard and paved shoulders. High-visibility crosswalk markings, parking restrictions along the corridor, adequate nighttime lighting levels, and crossing warning signs will be included with the signal design. The advance yield line will allow more time and distance for a collision to be avoided.
Intersection design will control the speed of turning vehicles to improve the visibility of bicycles and pedestrians, which force motorists to yield and ensure that if crashes do occur, they are less likely to result in injury.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
If known, what are the existing and proposed design, operation, and posted speeds? Is this an increase or decrease from existing conditions?

## SUB-MEASURE 2: Existing Location-Based Pedestrian Safety Risk Factors

These factors are based on based on trends and patterns observed in pedestrian crash analysis done for the Regional Pedestrian Safety
Action Plan. Check off how many of the following factors are present. Applicants receive more points if more risk factors are present.
Existing road configuration is a One-way, 3+ through lanes
or

Existing road configuration is a Two-way, 4+ through lanes
Existing road has a design speed, posted speed limit, or speed study/data showing 85th percentile travel speeds in excess of 30 Yes
MPH or more
Existing road has AADT of greater than 15,000 vehicles per day
List the AADT
SUB-MEASURE 3: Existing Location-Based Pedestrian Safety Exposure Factors
These factors are based on based on trends and patterns observed in pedestrian crash analysis done for the Regional Pedestrian Safety Action Plan. Check off how many of the following existing location exposure factors are present. Applicants receive more points if more risk factors are present.

Existing road has transit running on or across it with 1+ transit stops in the project area (If flag-stop route with no fixed stops, then 1+ locations in the project area where roadside stops are allowed. Do not count portions of transit routes with no stops, such as non-stop freeway sections of express or limited-stop routes. If service was temporarily reduced for the pandemic but is expected to return to 2019 levels, consider 2019 service for this item.)

Existing road has high-frequency transit running on or across it and 1+ high-frequency stops in the project area (high-frequency defined as service at least every 15 minutes from 6am to 7pm weekdays and 9am to 6 pm Saturdays. If service frequency was temporarily reduced for the pandemic but is expected to return to 2019 levels, consider 2019 frequency for this item.)

Existing road is within 500 of $1+$ shopping, dining, or entertainment destinations (e.g., grocery store, restaurant)

If checked, please describe:
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
Existing road is within 500 of other known pedestrian generators (e.g., school, civic/community center, senior housing, multifamily Yes housing, regulatorily-designated affordable housing)

If checked, please describe:

There are three pedestrian generators located within 500?: Century Middle School, LifeTime fitness center, and King Park. Project emphasis is providing safe access for school children to Century Middle School, at southeast corner of 185th St and Ipava Av. This user demographic is one of the clearest examples of an at-risk population (school children) whose needs are important to consider in the project. At the time of the 2021 Dakota County School Travel Safety Assessment, there were 950 students in grades 6-8. The Dakota County 2040 Transportation Plan identified the section of CSAH 60 without a trail as a medium priority pedestrian and bicycle gap. The project team is conducting specific outreach to the school to make affected parents aware of the project. This includes providing open house invitation to the school and soliciting specific feedback about biking and walking.

At LifeTime there are childcare facilities for parents to utilize while they are there. King Park is a Lakeville City Park located across 185th Street from the LifeTime. It includes playground designed for children of all abilities and a Miracle League baseball facility which provides opportunities for children and adults with cognitive and/or physical challenges to play baseball regardless of ability. The park also includes baseball fields, trails, picnic areas, and restrooms.

Response:
The project is a Tier 2 RBTN corridor connection that does not exist today. The project also advances the goals outlined in Dakota County?s ADA Transition Plan by providing a safe off-street facility with appropriate crossings. The construction of a multimodal trail along 185th Street will greatly improve access for non-motorized traffic. Currently, there is a path west of Jasmine Way on the south side and west of Jasper Path on the north side, and on both sides east of lpava. This leaves a gap of approximately 0.6 miles where pedestrians and bicyclists must use the shoulder if they wish to travel along 185th Street. The shoulders are wide but there are still inherent safety risks without a dedicated space for non-motorized traffic.
The addition of a multi-use trail would connect the neighborhoods along 185th Street to each other and to community resources including Century Middle School, LifeTime, King Park, a church, daycare facilities and various health services near the Kenwood Trail intersection. This gap is specifically called out as a medium priority pedestrian and bicycle gap to fill in Dakota County?s 2040 Transportation Plan and would be one key step in a broader goal of connecting facilities throughout the region. As described elsewhere, the trail gap is also identified as a need in the 2021 Dakota County School Travel Safety Assessment.

Transit is not included along 185th Street, but the trail improves connectivity for those walking or biking. The corridor is on the border of two transit market areas: the north is classified as Market Area III and the south is classified as Market Area IV. As discussed in the 2040 TPP, transit service in Transit Market Area III is primarily commuter express bus with some fixed-route local service, and dial-a-ride wherever fixed route service is not viable. Transit Market Area IV can support peakperiod express bus services if there is sufficient
ridership but there are challenges to fixed-route transit. CSAH 60 is not currently identified for transit but the design of 185th Street supports the possibility of future transit service.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.
Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.
Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment - Construction Projects

## 1.Public Involvement (20 Percent of Points)

Projects that have been through a public process with residents and other interested public entities are more likely than others to be successful. The project applicant must indicate that events and/or targeted outreach (e.g., surveys and other web-based input) were held to help identify the transportation problem, how the potential solution was selected instead of other options, and the public involvement completed to date on the project. The focus of this section is on the opportunity for public input as opposed to the quality of input. NOTE: A written response is required and failure to respond will result in zero points.

Multiple types of targeted outreach efforts (such as meetings or online/mail outreach) specific to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the Yes project need.

100\%
At least one meeting specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
At least online/mail outreach effort specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
No meeting or outreach specific to this project was conducted, but the project was identified through meetings and/or outreach related to a larger planning effort.

No outreach has led to the selection of this project.

## 0\%

Describe the type(s) of outreach selected for this project (i.e., online or in-person meetings, surveys, demonstration projects), the method(s) used to announce outreach opportunities, and how many people participated. Include any public website links to outreach opportunities.

The census tracts that the 185th St Improvement project falls in shows the following demographics:
?12\% of residents identify as Black, Indigenous, and People of Color
?8\% of residents have a family income less than $185 \%$ of the federal poverty level
? $8 \%$ of residents have a disability
? $13 \%$ of residents are 65 or older
?27\% of residents are 17 or younger

There are 430 publicly subsidized rental housing units in the census tracts within $1 / 2$ mile of the project.

Lakeville and Dakota County are implementing a comprehensive public involvement program for the project. The first open house will be held in person on Thursday April 14, 2022, and online for three weeks. The first open house is being held to get community input on issues and needs, prior to the development of alternatives. A second open house will be held this summer to review proposed alternatives. Because this roadway widening and trail project will impact private property frontage and access, two-way communication with affected residents is essential.
Engagement tools:
?Each public open house will be held in person and online. The online component
(185thStlmprovements.com) includes an interactive comment map, a video, and a survey.
?Public events are being advertised with mailings to reach people without online access, in addition using to social media and notification on the project
web site. Inclusion of telephone and mailing address information in project communications will support communications for those without access to technology.
?The project mailing area includes the Lakeville Pointe Apartments, a 49-unit affordable housing complex on County Road 50 (Kenwood Trail), north of the project.
?Additional efforts are being made to reach school children and their families, who may be more reliant on non-motorized travel in the project area.

Of particular emphasis is providing safe access for school children to Century Middle School, located at the southeast corner of 185th St and Ipava Av. This user demographic is one of the clearest examples of an at-risk population (school children) whose needs are important to consider in the project. At the time of the 2021 Dakota County School Travel Safety Assessment, there were 950 students in grades 6-8. The Dakota County 2040 Transportation Plan identified the section of CSAH 60 without a trail as a medium priority pedestrian and bicycle gap. The project team is conducting specific outreach to the school to make affected parents aware of the project. This includes providing open house invitation to the school and soliciting specific feedback about biking and walking. Filling in the trail gap also provides improved access and safety to King Park at the northeast quadrant of 185th Street and Dodd Blvd.

Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties/MnDOT. If a MnDOT trunk highway is impacted, approval by MnDOT must have occurred to receive full points. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

## $100 \%$

A layout does not apply (signal replacement/signal timing, standalone streetscaping, minor intersection improvements). Applicants that are not certain whether a layout is required should contact Colleen Brown at MnDOT Metro State Aid colleen.brown@state.mn.us.

## 100\%

For projects where MnDOT trunk highways are impacted and a MnDOT Staff Approved layout is required. Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted local jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties), and layout review and approval by MnDOT is pending. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

## 75\%

Layout completed but not approved by all jurisdictions. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

50\%
Layout has been started but is not complete. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

25\%
Layout has not been started
0\%
Attach Layout
1649955650341_2_MAPS_185th Street Concept.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

Additional Attachments
1649955650332_3_COORDINATION_City of Lakeville Letter of Support 185th Street Expansion.pdf

Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## 3.Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and Yes project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
There are historical/archeological properties present but determination of no historic properties affected is anticipated. 100\%

Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological properties in the project area.

0\%
Project is located on an identified historic bridge
4.Right-of-Way (25 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit either not required or all have been acquired

100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - plat, legal descriptions, or official map complete

50\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - parcels identified

25\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - parcels not all identified

0\%
5.Railroad Involvement (15 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project or railroad Right-of-Way Yes agreement is executed (include signature page, if applicable)

100\%
Signature Page

Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

50\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have not begun.

0\%

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

| Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form): | $\$ 8,600,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enter Amount of the Noise Walls: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls: | $\$ 8,600,000.00$ |
| Enter amount of any outside, competitive funding: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Attach documentation of award: |  |
| Points Awarded in Previous Criteria |  |

## Other Attachments



SUMMARY_One Pager
3.0 MB

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17617_1Pager.pdf | One Pager | 264 KB |
| 17617_Photo.pdf | Photo | 554 KB |
| 185th Street Layout.pdf | Layout | 1.5 MB |
| 1_SUMMARY_One Pager.docx | Existing conditions photograph | 750 KB |
| BRD Resolution GRANT APPLICATION <br> SUBMITTALS FOR 2022 REGIONAL.pdf | Resolution No. 22-144 | 26 KB |





## Socio-Economic Conditions

Strategic Capacity Project: 185th Street Expansion Project | Map ID: 1649799509647

Results

Total of publicly subsidized rental housing units in census
tracts within $1 / 2$ mile: 430
Project located in census tracts
that are BELOW the regional average for population in poverty or population of color.


For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn. us/gissite/notice.aspx


Splits and Phases: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St



Splits and Phases: 290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St


SimTraffic Simulation Summary
Baseline
Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ |
| End Time | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Volume counts from "S:\2022\220001ITRAFFIC ANALYSISISYNCHROICSVPM_2021.csv" data file(s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume date =11/16/2021 |  |  |  | 3104 | 3065 | 3072 |
| Vehs Entered | 3042 | 3094 | 3077 | 3100 | 3078 | 3082 |
| Vehs Exited | 3065 | 3115 | 3055 | 3100 | 104 | 94 |
| Starting Vehs | 101 | 105 | 73 | 96 | 91 | 89 |
| Ending Vehs | 78 | 84 | 95 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry Before | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2760 | 2726 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 2716 | 2753 | 2718 | 2682 | 92.2 | 90.5 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 89.1 | 92.1 | 90.0 | 88.9 | 22.7 |  |
| Total Delay (hr) | 21.7 | 23.7 | 22.3 | 22.0 | 23.6 | 22072 |
| Total Stops | 2385 | 2542 | 2466 | 2558 | 2572 | 2507 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 96.2 | 96.9 | 95.5 | 95.0 | 97.1 | 96.1 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $4: 15$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $4: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information

| Start Time | $4: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $4: 45$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 76 | Avg |  |
| Vehs Entered | 761 | 816 | 778 | 809 | 764 | 783 |
| Vehs Exited | 785 | 838 | 764 | 808 | 777 | 793 |
| Starting Vehs | 101 | 105 | 73 | 96 | 104 | 94 |
| Ending Vehs | 77 | 83 | 87 | 97 | 91 | 86 |
| Denied Entry Before | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 672 | 719 | 634 | 685 | 675 | 677 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 21.7 | 24.0 | 20.6 | 22.5 | 22.6 | 22.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5.1 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| Total Stops | 590 | 660 | 560 | 644 | 618 | 612 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 23.6 | 25.5 | 22.1 | 24.3 | 23.6 | 23.8 |

SimTraffic Simulation Summary
Baseline
Interval \#2 Information

| Start Time | $4: 45$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 00$ |

Total Time (min) 15
Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 800 | 769 | 769 | 775 | 793 | 781 |
| Vehs Exited | 784 | 753 | 752 | 789 | 805 | 776 |
| Starting Vehs | 77 | 83 | 87 | 97 | 91 | 86 |
| Ending Vehs | 93 | 99 | 104 | 83 | 79 | 88 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 722 | 672 | 697 | 669 | 715 | 695 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 24.0 | 22.1 | 23.0 | 21.7 | 23.3 | 22.8 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Total Stops | 627 | 593 | 623 | 617 | 623 | 618 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 25.9 | 23.4 | 24.5 | 23.6 | 25.0 | 24.5 |

Interval \#3 Information

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 15$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | Avg |  |
| Vehs Entered | 724 | 754 | 795 | 721 | 742 | 747 |
| Vehs Exited | 737 | 756 | 810 | 719 | 722 | 747 |
| Starting Vehs | 93 | 99 | 104 | 83 | 79 | 88 |
| Ending Vehs | 80 | 97 | 89 | 85 | 99 | 85 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 637 | 662 | 701 | 628 | 640 | 653 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 20.7 | 21.8 | 23.4 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 21.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| Total Stops | 534 | 617 | 639 | 626 | 654 | 615 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 22.4 | 23.3 | 25.0 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 23.2 |

## SimTraffic Simulation Summary

Baseline
Interval \#4 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 15$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |


| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 757 | 755 | 735 | 799 | 766 | 763 |
| Vehs Exited | 759 | 768 | 729 | 784 | 774 | 764 |
| Starting Vehs | 80 | 97 | 89 | 85 | 99 | 85 |
| Ending Vehs | 78 | 84 | 95 | 100 | 91 | 89 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 685 | 700 | 686 | 699 | 730 | 700 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 22.7 | 24.2 | 23.0 | 23.7 | 24.6 | 23.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5.7 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.2 |
| Total Stops | 634 | 672 | 644 | 671 | 677 | 660 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 24.2 | 24.6 | 23.9 | 24.6 | 25.8 | 24.6 |

## 210: Professional Plaza \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 9.8 | 1.4 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 0.3 |
| Total Stops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 52 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 0.03 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 113.9 | 2.5 | 46.8 | 5.5 | 168.7 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 4.6 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 39 | 33 | 40 | 15 | 37 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 3.8 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 5.8 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 30.3 | 39.1 | 25.3 | 31.8 | 28.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 77 | 2 | 33 | 2 | 114 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 2559 | 61 | 1386 | 56 | 4063 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 268 | 6 | 117 | 6 | 396 |
| Vehicles Entered | 777 | 17 | 719 | 53 | 1566 |
| Vehicles Exited | 777 | 17 | 720 | 53 | 1567 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 777 | 17 | 720 | 53 | 1567 |
| Input Volume | 772 | 16 | 705 | 52 | 1545 |
| \% of Volume | 101 | 106 | 102 | 102 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  | 613 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |

## 220: CSAH 60 \& Jasper Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 0.9 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.2 |
| Total Stops | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 54 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.04 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 4.5 | 51.7 | 45.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 103.4 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.9 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 17 | 38 | 38 | 26 | 21 | 36 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 38.4 | 24.2 | 31.7 | 69.2 | 40.9 | 27.8 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 1 | 47 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 72 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 47 | 1668 | 716 | 1 | 17 | 2448 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 4 | 159 | 87 | 0 | 3 | 253 |
| Vehicles Entered | 66 | 763 | 674 | 7 | 16 | 1526 |
| Vehicles Exited | 66 | 764 | 674 | 7 | 16 | 1527 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 66 | 764 | 674 | 7 | 16 | 1527 |
| Input Volume | 64 | 760 | 662 | 6 | 15 | 1507 |
| \% of Volume | 103 | 100 | 102 | 117 | 107 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ft/veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 529 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

## 230: Jasmine Way \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 0.6 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 20.1 | 8.6 | 0.6 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 18.3 | 8.6 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 10 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 50.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 25.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 77.7 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 40 | 27 | 15 | 38 | 11 | 15 | 38 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 34.7 | 82.3 | 41.8 | 34.8 | 31.2 | 38.9 | 34.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 33 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 870 | 3 | 0 | 287 | 2 | 0 | 1162 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 115 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 160 |
| Vehicles Entered | 763 | 13 | 4 | 679 | 6 | 1 | 1466 |
| Vehicles Exited | 763 | 13 | 4 | 679 | 6 | 1 | 1466 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 763 | 13 | 4 | 679 | 6 | 1 | 1466 |
| Input Volume | 762 | 11 | 3 | 667 | 7 | 1 | 1451 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 118 | 133 | 102 | 86 | 100 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 606 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

## 240: CSAH 60 \& Jamaica Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 5.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 12.9 | 6.6 | 0.9 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 6.4 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 25 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.02 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 0.8 | 27.8 | 85.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 116.3 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3.0 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 12 | 41 | 40 | 29 | 13 | 17 | 38 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 37.6 | 32.0 | 38.9 | 43.6 | 32.5 | 36.7 | 37.0 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 18 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 53 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 5 | 518 | 775 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 1318 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 1 | 66 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 205 |
| Vehicles Entered | 21 | 734 | 670 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 1443 |
| Vehicles Exited | 21 | 734 | 670 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 1443 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 21 | 734 | 670 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 1443 |
| Input Volume | 22 | 733 | 658 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 1429 |
| \% of Volume | 95 | 100 | 102 | 133 | 67 | 120 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 521 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

250: CSAH 60 \& Jaeger Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 2.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 20.3 | 8.1 | 1.2 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 8.2 | 0.2 |
| Total Stops | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 25 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.02 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 1.2 | 92.7 | 101.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 197.8 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 5.0 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 28 | 41 | 41 | 32 | 10 | 15 | 40 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 51.0 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 43.6 | 26.5 | 34.7 | 37.7 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 54 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 4 | 1220 | 1009 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2249 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 1 | 197 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 371 |
| Vehicles Entered | 10 | 727 | 691 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 1452 |
| Vehicles Exited | 10 | 727 | 691 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 1452 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 10 | 727 | 691 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 1452 |
| Input Volume | 8 | 731 | 680 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 1439 |
| \% of Volume | 125 | 99 | 102 | 100 | 140 | 120 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 556 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |

## 260: Ixonia Avenue (Ext)/Ixonia Avenue \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBR | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 4.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 |  | 4.7 | 1.0 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.6 | 0.0 |
| Total Stops | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 0.6 | 111.0 | 80.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 193.1 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 27 | 41 | 40 | 33 | 15 | 18 | 40 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 44.6 | 37.3 | 35.9 | 48.6 | 37.7 | 42.1 | 36.7 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 61 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 2 | 1404 | 948 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2357 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 0 | 229 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 377 |
| Vehicles Entered | 4 | 760 | 691 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1460 |
| Vehicles Exited | 4 | 759 | 692 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1460 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 4 | 759 | 692 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1460 |
| Input Volume | 4 | 764 | 679 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1452 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 99 | 102 | 100 | 0 | 133 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 490 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |

## 270: CSAH 60 \& Italy Avenue Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 3.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 30.1 | 6.1 | 1.5 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 6.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 23 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.02 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 1.7 | 86.3 | 137.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 227.0 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.1 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 26 | 39 | 41 | 33 | 9 | 17 | 40 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 46.8 | 37.2 | 35.6 | 39.2 | 23.0 | 37.7 | 36.2 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 49 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 6 | 1118 | 1713 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2844 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 1 | 179 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 449 |
| Vehicles Entered | 14 | 741 | 699 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1463 |
| Vehicles Exited | 14 | 741 | 697 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 1465 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 14 | 741 | 697 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 1465 |
| Input Volume | 14 | 749 | 684 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1459 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 99 | 102 | 200 | 200 | 90 | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 407 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Delveh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 3.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 18.1 | 12.8 | 1.7 | 13.9 | 18.8 | 2.1 | 23.3 | 29.7 | 5.4 | 23.0 | 26.3 | 4.1 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| Stop DelVeh (s) | 15.8 | 8.6 | 1.5 | 11.9 | 12.8 | 1.9 | 20.7 | 23.6 | 4.7 | 20.1 | 20.7 | 2.8 |
| Total Stops | 92 | 229 | 30 | 30 | 268 | 53 | 46 | 106 | 48 | 78 | 102 | 80 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.85 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.77 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.79 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.81 | 0.68 | 0.73 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 11.0 | 55.4 | 7.6 | 4.2 | 53.5 | 11.3 | 16.4 | 41.3 | 18.8 | 29.0 | 44.8 | 33.2 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.9 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 12 | 17 | 26 | 15 | 14 | 26 | 20 | 19 | 31 | 21 | 21 | 33 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 33.1 | 32.2 | 43.0 | 32.6 | 31.1 | 47.4 | 29.2 | 29.7 | 32.7 | 31.2 | 31.4 | 32.5 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 5 | 27 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 4 | 8 | 20 | 11 | 17 | 17 | 23 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 155 | 835 | 137 | 79 | 705 | 138 | 304 | 725 | 370 | 534 | 642 | 759 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 13 | 83 | 9 | 4 | 61 | 11 | 27 | 70 | 37 | 57 | 65 | 75 |
| Vehicles Entered | 107 | 553 | 74 | 38 | 495 | 102 | 58 | 144 | 66 | 95 | 147 | 108 |
| Vehicles Exited | 108 | 555 | 74 | 38 | 495 | 103 | 57 | 144 | 65 | 95 | 146 | 109 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 108 | 555 | 74 | 38 | 495 | 103 | 57 | 144 | 65 | 95 | 146 | 109 |
| Input Volume | 112 | 556 | 77 | 43 | 484 | 96 | 61 | 153 | 66 | 90 | 149 | 109 |
| \% of Volume | 96 | 100 | 96 | 88 | 102 | 107 | 93 | 94 | 98 | 106 | 98 | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ttveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy (veh) | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

## 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.3 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 8.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 15.9 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 6.7 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 12.0 |
| Total Stops | 1162 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.58 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 326.5 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 17.3 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 19 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 10.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 31.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 158 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 5383 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 510 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1987 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1989 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1989 |
| Input Volume | 1996 |
| \% of Volume | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |
| Density (ft/veh) | 986 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 17 |

290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 6.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 24.1 | 0.4 | 7.8 | 14.7 | 6.6 | 16.2 | 5.3 | 12.9 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 4.8 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 20.1 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 10.9 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 9.0 |
| Total Stops | 285 | 0 | 246 | 202 | 67 | 157 | 186 | 1143 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.59 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 76.1 | 0.5 | 59.5 | 51.1 | 51.5 | 48.7 | 50.5 | 338.1 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 4.7 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 16.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 16 | 42 | 25 | 21 | 36 | 20 | 24 | 22 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 9.9 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 34.8 | 42.3 | 48.9 | 32.9 | 29.8 | 26.9 | 35.0 | 34.0 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 34 | 0 | 26 | 23 | 34 | 35 | 22 | 175 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 867 | 6 | 525 | 1014 | 1461 | 1526 | 1083 | 6482 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 103 | 1 | 80 | 68 | 112 | 94 | 59 | 517 |
| Vehicles Entered | 380 | 5 | 299 | 263 | 264 | 340 | 353 | 1904 |
| Vehicles Exited | 381 | 5 | 300 | 265 | 265 | 342 | 355 | 1913 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 381 | 5 | 300 | 265 | 265 | 342 | 355 | 1913 |
| Input Volume | 385 | 7 | 294 | 250 | 266 | 337 | 358 | 1897 |
| \% of Volume | 99 | 71 | 102 | 106 | 100 | 101 | 99 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 691 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 15 |

SimTraffic Performance Report
Baseline

## Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 1.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 21.7 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 24.6 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 12.2 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 13.9 |
| Total Stops | 2507 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.79 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 2725.6 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 90.5 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 30 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 96.1 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 28.4 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 1813 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 62645 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 6161 |
| Vehicles Entered | 3072 |
| Vehicles Exited | 3082 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 3082 |
| Input Volume | 19558 |
| \% of Volume | 16 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 533 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 89 |

## Intersection: 210: Professional Plaza \& CSAH 60

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 220: CSAH 60 \& Jasper Path

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 58 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 526 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 250 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 230: Jasmine Way \& CSAH 60

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 26 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 25 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |  |

Intersection: 240: CSAH 60 \& Jamaica Path

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 49 | 41 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 10 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 35 | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 564 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 25 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 2 |  |

Intersection: 250: CSAH 60 \& Jaeger Path

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 66 | 50 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 33 | 37 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 626 | 518 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 260: Ixonia Avenue (Ext)/Ixonia Avenue \& CSAH 60

| Movement | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 60 | 12 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 28 | 8 | 19 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 759 | 347 | 540 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 270: CSAH 60 \& Italy Avenue

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 102 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 54 | 32 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 593 | 577 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 121 | 185 | 145 | 42 | 68 | 256 | 201 | 49 | 84 | 133 | 100 | 55 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 48 | 83 | 65 | 13 | 20 | 135 | 46 | 18 | 29 | 56 | 20 | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 98 | 156 | 122 | 32 | 52 | 220 | 137 | 39 | 69 | 104 | 61 | 42 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 494 | 494 |  |  | 526 | 526 |  |  | 1502 | 1502 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 300 | 340 |  |  | 340 | 300 |  |  | 300 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 125 | 97 | 78 | 65 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 49 | 46 | 23 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 94 | 85 | 58 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1600 | 1600 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 240 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 159 | 188 | 157 | 143 | 79 | 49 | 168 | 100 | 151 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 79 | 101 | 74 | 73 | 24 | 13 | 80 | 24 | 52 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 142 | 161 | 124 | 123 | 60 | 37 | 137 | 66 | 100 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1004 |  | 1013 | 1013 | 750 | 750 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 18


Splits and Phases: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St



Splits and Phases: 290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St


SimTraffic Simulation Summary
Baseline
Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ |
| End Time | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Volume counts from "S:L2022l2200011TRAFFIC ANALYSISISYNCHROICSVIPM_2021.csv" data file(s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume date $=11 / 16 / 2021$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehs Entered | 3124 | 3107 | 3030 | 3074 | 3089 | 3084 |
| Vehs Exited | 3136 | 3110 | 3013 | 3062 | 3106 | 3086 |
| Starting Vehs | 105 | 96 | 81 | 94 | 95 | 93 |
| Ending Vehs | 93 | 93 | 98 | 106 | 78 | 91 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 2820 | 2804 | 2692 | 2740 | 2770 | 2765 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 90.7 | 91.5 | 85.7 | 87.7 | 88.5 | 88.8 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 20.8 | 21.7 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 19.5 | 20.1 |
| Total Stops | 2941 | 2520 | 2368 | 2363 | 2460 | 2452 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 96.5 | 97.0 | 92.9 | 93.8 | 95.7 | 95.2 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $4: 15$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $4: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information

| Start Time | $4: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $4: 45$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 751 | 731 | 767 |
| Vehs Entered | 781 | 808 | 772 | 751 |  |  |
| Vehs Exited | 795 | 819 | 771 | 762 | 739 | 777 |
| Starting Vehs | 105 | 96 | 81 | 94 | 95 | 93 |
| Ending Vehs | 91 | 85 | 82 | 83 | 87 | 83 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 688 | 735 | 660 | 653 | 663 | 679 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 21.9 | 24.1 | 20.7 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 21.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.8 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.8 |
| Total Stops | 584 | 655 | 572 | 570 | 580 | 589 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 23.2 | 25.5 | 22.6 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 23.3 |

SimTraffic Simulation Summary
Baseline
Interval \#2 Information

| Start Time | $4: 45$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 00$ |

Total Time (min) 15
Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 758 | 753 | 699 | 785 | 802 | 759 |
| Vehs Exited | 763 | 750 | 700 | 771 | 790 | 754 |
| Starting Vehs | 91 | 85 | 82 | 83 | 87 | 83 |
| Ending Vehs | 86 | 88 | 81 | 97 | 99 | 89 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 707 | 695 | 631 | 712 | 714 | 692 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 22.2 | 22.4 | 19.6 | 22.1 | 22.6 | 21.8 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.7 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 4.7 |
| Total Stops | 590 | 608 | 545 | 596 | 644 | 596 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 23.9 | 23.9 | 21.4 | 23.9 | 24.8 | 23.6 |

Interval \#3 Information

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 15$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |  |  |
| Vehs Entered | 777 | 753 | 744 | 728 | 753 | 752 |
| Vehs Exited | 774 | 762 | 737 | 742 | 770 | 757 |
| Starting Vehs | 86 | 88 | 81 | 97 | 99 | 89 |
| Ending Vehs | 89 | 79 | 88 | 83 | 82 | 83 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 692 | 672 | 661 | 649 | 682 | 671 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 22.4 | 21.8 | 21.4 | 20.9 | 21.7 | 21.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 |
| Total Stops | 624 | 600 | 610 | 578 | 612 | 605 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 24.1 | 23.0 | 23.2 | 22.7 | 23.7 | 23.3 |

## SimTraffic Simulation Summary

Baseline
Interval \#4 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 15$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |


| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 808 | 793 | 815 | 810 | 803 | 805 |
| Vehs Exited | 804 | 779 | 805 | 787 | 807 | 796 |
| Starting Vehs | 89 | 79 | 88 | 83 | 82 | 83 |
| Ending Vehs | 93 | 93 | 98 | 106 | 78 | 91 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 734 | 702 | 741 | 726 | 712 | 723 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 24.2 | 23.2 | 24.1 | 23.7 | 23.2 | 23.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.7 |
| Total Stops | 693 | 657 | 641 | 619 | 624 | 647 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 25.3 | 24.6 | 25.6 | 24.8 | 24.6 | 25.0 |

## 210: Professional Plaza \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 0.6 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 49 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 136.8 | 3.2 | 45.9 | 5.1 | 191.0 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 3.2 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 4.7 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 43 | 36 | 41 | 19 | 41 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 3.8 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 5.4 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 36.1 | 41.6 | 31.9 | 36.6 | 35.1 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 67 | 2 | 29 | 2 | 99 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 1908 | 50 | 865 | 50 | 2873 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 263 | 5 | 105 | 6 | 380 |
| Vehicles Entered | 782 | 18 | 706 | 49 | 1555 |
| Vehicles Exited | 781 | 18 | 707 | 49 | 1555 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 781 | 18 | 707 | 49 | 1555 |
| Input Volume | 772 | 16 | 705 | 52 | 1545 |
| \% of Volume | 101 | 112 | 100 | 94 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  | 858 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |

## 220: CSAH 60 \& Jasper Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 0.5 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 42 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 4.0 | 52.3 | 44.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 102.3 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.7 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 20 | 40 | 41 | 28 | 19 | 38 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 48.2 | 30.9 | 32.1 | 71.8 | 41.8 | 32.1 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 1 | 32 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 24 | 1022 | 829 | 1 | 4 | 1879 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 2 | 118 | 98 | 0 | 1 | 219 |
| Vehicles Entered | 60 | 772 | 663 | 4 | 12 | 1511 |
| Vehicles Exited | 60 | 772 | 663 | 4 | 13 | 1512 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 60 | 772 | 663 | 4 | 13 | 1512 |
| Input Volume | 64 | 760 | 662 | 6 | 15 | 1507 |
| \% of Volume | 94 | 102 | 100 | 67 | 87 | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ft/veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 848 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

## 230: Jasmine Way \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 14.6 | 2.4 | 0.3 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 2.3 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 10 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 51.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 25.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 77.7 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 42 | 29 | 13 | 40 | 13 | 21 | 40 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 34.6 | 87.0 | 42.7 | 35.0 | 31.3 | 46.4 | 34.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 28 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 836 | 1 | 0 | 347 | 2 | 0 | 1186 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 106 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 158 |
| Vehicles Entered | 772 | 13 | 3 | 668 | 6 | 1 | 1463 |
| Vehicles Exited | 771 | 13 | 3 | 667 | 6 | 1 | 1461 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 771 | 13 | 3 | 667 | 6 | 1 | 1461 |
| Input Volume | 762 | 11 | 3 | 667 | 7 | 1 | 1451 |
| \% of Volume | 101 | 118 | 100 | 100 | 86 | 100 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 846 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

## 240: CSAH 60 \& Jamaica Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 13.1 | 4.4 | 0.5 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop DelVeh (s) | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 4.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 24 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.02 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 0.8 | 28.2 | 84.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 115.0 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 15 | 42 | 42 | 33 | 13 | 19 | 41 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 44.0 | 34.0 | 37.3 | 60.4 | 33.6 | 37.3 | 36.5 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 16 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 5 | 460 | 1037 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1508 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 1 | 60 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 228 |
| Vehicles Entered | 21 | 746 | 657 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 1442 |
| Vehicles Exited | 21 | 746 | 657 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 1442 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 21 | 746 | 657 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 1442 |
| Input Volume | 22 | 733 | 658 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 1429 |
| \% of Volume | 95 | 102 | 100 | 133 | 133 | 100 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 858 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

250: CSAH 60 \& Jaeger Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 14.7 | 4.7 | 0.6 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 4.3 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 21 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 0.7 | 95.2 | 99.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 198.5 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 27 | 43 | 42 | 35 | 13 | 18 | 42 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 49.6 | 36.6 | 37.4 | 54.8 | 30.1 | 37.4 | 37.1 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 48 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 2 | 1263 | 1211 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2485 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 0 | 188 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 |
| Vehicles Entered | 6 | 745 | 681 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 1456 |
| Vehicles Exited | 6 | 747 | 681 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 1458 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 6 | 747 | 681 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 1458 |
| Input Volume | 8 | 731 | 680 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 1439 |
| \% of Volume | 75 | 102 | 100 | 120 | 140 | 110 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 541 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |

## 260: Ixonia Avenue (Ext)/Ixonia Avenue \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBR | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 6.8 | 0.5 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
| Total Stops | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 0.7 | 114.0 | 79.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 194.8 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 30 | 42 | 42 | 33 | 17 | 17 | 42 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 49.1 | 36.6 | 35.7 | 52.7 | 48.2 | 39.1 | 36.3 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 57 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 2 | 1507 | 1160 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2670 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 0 | 224 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 393 |
| Vehicles Entered | 5 | 783 | 680 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1473 |
| Vehicles Exited | 5 | 784 | 682 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1476 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 5 | 784 | 682 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1476 |
| Input Volume | 4 | 764 | 679 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1452 |
| \% of Volume | 125 | 103 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 102 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 819 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

## 270: CSAH 60 \& Italy Avenue Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 18.9 | 4.8 | 0.8 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 4.7 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 19 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 1.7 | 88.7 | 135.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 227.3 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.1 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 25 | 42 | 43 | 35 | 12 | 19 | 42 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 50.6 | 36.0 | 37.4 | 55.5 | 31.1 | 41.9 | 36.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 45 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 10 | 1216 | 1738 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2966 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 1 | 174 | 281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 457 |
| Vehicles Entered | 14 | 760 | 682 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1466 |
| Vehicles Exited | 14 | 761 | 682 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1467 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 14 | 761 | 682 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1467 |
| Input Volume | 14 | 749 | 684 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1459 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 102 | 100 | 200 | 100 | 70 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Delveh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 3.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 17.0 | 13.1 | 2.0 | 13.6 | 16.2 | 2.7 | 20.0 | 26.6 | 5.7 | 22.1 | 23.4 | 5.8 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Stop Delveh (s) | 14.5 | 8.6 | 1.7 | 12.3 | 11.6 | 2.3 | 17.3 | 20.8 | 4.9 | 19.3 | 18.3 | 4.5 |
| Total Stops | 90 | 240 | 33 | 30 | 242 | 58 | 42 | 108 | 48 | 72 | 95 | 69 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.81 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.75 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.78 | 0.65 | 0.64 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 11.3 | 56.8 | 7.7 | 4.3 | 53.5 | 11.2 | 16.7 | 44.1 | 18.6 | 27.8 | 44.1 | 32.2 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.9 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 13 | 17 | 25 | 15 | 16 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 31 | 22 | 23 | 31 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 34.2 | 33.8 | 47.6 | 37.3 | 34.2 | 43.8 | 29.9 | 29.8 | 32.7 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.7 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 4 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 24 | 6 | 10 | 30 | 9 | 14 | 25 | 19 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 129 | 674 | 99 | 48 | 650 | 224 | 354 | 919 | 336 | 441 | 759 | 659 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 10 | 70 | 7 | 3 | 71 | 15 | 32 | 95 | 31 | 48 | 84 | 66 |
| Vehicles Entered | 110 | 567 | 74 | 39 | 493 | 101 | 58 | 155 | 65 | 91 | 145 | 105 |
| Vehicles Exited | 111 | 567 | 74 | 39 | 493 | 102 | 60 | 154 | 65 | 91 | 143 | 106 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 111 | 567 | 74 | 39 | 493 | 102 | 60 | 154 | 65 | 91 | 143 | 106 |
| Input Volume | 112 | 556 | 77 | 43 | 484 | 96 | 61 | 153 | 66 | 90 | 149 | 109 |
| \% of Volume | 99 | 102 | 96 | 91 | 102 | 106 | 98 | 101 | 98 | 101 | 96 | 97 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ttveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy (veh) | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

## 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.3 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 8.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 14.9 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 6.3 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 11.2 |
| Total Stops | 1127 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.56 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 328.4 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 16.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 20 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 9.9 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 33.0 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 166 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 5294 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 533 |
| Vehicles Entered | 2003 |
| Vehicles Exited | 2005 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 2005 |
| Input Volume | 1996 |
| \% of Volume | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |
| Density (ft/veh) | 1012 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 17 |

290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 6.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.0 | 0.6 | 7.2 | 15.5 | 7.0 | 16.8 | 5.1 | 12.7 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 4.7 |
| Stop DelVeh (s) | 17.9 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 11.7 | 3.2 | 9.6 | 2.9 | 8.7 |
| Total Stops | 280 | 0 | 229 | 207 | 73 | 165 | 189 | 1143 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.27 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.59 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 79.4 | 0.7 | 57.6 | 50.7 | 52.4 | 45.6 | 47.3 | 333.7 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 4.7 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 16.0 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 17 | 43 | 25 | 21 | 35 | 18 | 23 | 22 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 9.9 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 36.0 | 47.2 | 49.6 | 32.5 | 29.5 | 26.5 | 33.6 | 33.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 28 | 0 | 19 | 32 | 36 | 32 | 23 | 169 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 784 | 2 | 417 | 1150 | 1509 | 1391 | 1069 | 6323 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 89 | 1 | 63 | 86 | 116 | 85 | 62 | 502 |
| Vehicles Entered | 398 | 7 | 290 | 261 | 269 | 347 | 359 | 1931 |
| Vehicles Exited | 400 | 7 | 290 | 262 | 269 | 344 | 357 | 1929 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 400 | 7 | 290 | 262 | 269 | 344 | 357 | 1929 |
| Input Volume | 385 | 7 | 294 | 250 | 266 | 337 | 358 | 1897 |
| \% of Volume | 104 | 100 | 99 | 105 | 101 | 102 | 100 | 102 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 680 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 15 |

SimTraffic Performance Report
Baseline

## Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 1.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 19.1 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 21.7 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 11.6 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 13.1 |
| Total Stops | 2442 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.77 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 2665.2 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 88.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 31 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 95.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 29.1 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 1838 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 62449 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 6283 |
| Vehicles Entered | 3084 |
| Vehicles Exited | 3086 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 3086 |
| Input Volume | 19558 |
| \% of Volume | 16 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 634 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 88 |

## Intersection: 210: Professional Plaza \& CSAH 60

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 73 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 535 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 220: CSAH 60 \& Jasper Path

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 49 | 34 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 46 | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 528 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 230: Jasmine Way \& CSAH 60

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 41 | 31 |
| Average Queee (ft) | 3 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 21 | 26 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 145 | 535 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 240: CSAH 60 \& Jamaica Path

| Movement | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 40 | 4 | 39 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 0 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 33 | 3 | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 626 | 552 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 25 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 4 |  |  |

Intersection: 250: CSAH 60 \& Jaeger Path

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 32 | 52 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 17 | 40 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 626 | 518 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 260: Ixonia Avenue (Ext)/Ixonia Avenue \& CSAH 60

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 37 | 15 | 24 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 18 | 11 | 9 | 19 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 759 | 759 | 335 | 528 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 270: CSAH 60 \& Italy Avenue

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 90 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 48 | 30 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 593 | 565 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 114 | 190 | 150 | 50 | 64 | 140 | 164 | 57 | 91 | 113 | 92 | 56 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 45 | 85 | 62 | 13 | 19 | 65 | 84 | 19 | 28 | 52 | 22 | 16 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 93 | 151 | 118 | 34 | 45 | 121 | 143 | 43 | 64 | 94 | 61 | 40 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 494 | 494 |  |  | 526 | 526 |  |  | 1502 | 1502 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 300 | 340 |  |  | 340 | 300 |  |  | 300 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 116 | 106 | 80 | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 45 | 48 | 18 | 23 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 88 | 89 | 53 | 56 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1600 | 1600 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 240 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 169 | 177 | 176 | 162 | 66 | 60 | 194 | 141 | 123 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 77 | 100 | 71 | 79 | 23 | 15 | 83 | 32 | 53 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 135 | 152 | 128 | 137 | 56 | 44 | 151 | 89 | 95 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1004 |  | 1013 | 1013 | 691 | 691 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 4


Splits and Phases: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St



Splits and Phases: 290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St


SimTraffic Simulation Summary
Baseline
Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ |
| End Time | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Volume counts from "S:\2022\220001ITRAFFIC ANALYSISISYNCHROICSVPM_2021.csv" data file(s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume date =11/16/2021 |  |  |  | 3104 | 3065 | 3072 |
| Vehs Entered | 3042 | 3094 | 3077 | 3100 | 3078 | 3082 |
| Vehs Exited | 3065 | 3115 | 3055 | 3100 | 104 | 94 |
| Starting Vehs | 101 | 105 | 73 | 96 | 91 | 89 |
| Ending Vehs | 78 | 84 | 95 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry Before | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2760 | 2726 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 2716 | 2753 | 2718 | 2682 | 92.2 | 90.5 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 89.1 | 92.1 | 90.0 | 88.9 | 22.7 |  |
| Total Delay (hr) | 21.7 | 23.7 | 22.3 | 22.0 | 23.6 | 22072 |
| Total Stops | 2385 | 2542 | 2466 | 2558 | 2572 | 2507 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 96.2 | 96.9 | 95.5 | 95.0 | 97.1 | 96.1 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $4: 15$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $4: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information

| Start Time | $4: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $4: 45$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 76 | Avg |  |
| Vehs Entered | 761 | 816 | 778 | 809 | 764 | 783 |
| Vehs Exited | 785 | 838 | 764 | 808 | 777 | 793 |
| Starting Vehs | 101 | 105 | 73 | 96 | 104 | 94 |
| Ending Vehs | 77 | 83 | 87 | 97 | 91 | 86 |
| Denied Entry Before | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 672 | 719 | 634 | 685 | 675 | 677 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 21.7 | 24.0 | 20.6 | 22.5 | 22.6 | 22.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5.1 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| Total Stops | 590 | 660 | 560 | 644 | 618 | 612 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 23.6 | 25.5 | 22.1 | 24.3 | 23.6 | 23.8 |

SimTraffic Simulation Summary
Baseline
Interval \#2 Information

| Start Time | $4: 45$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 00$ |

Total Time (min) 15
Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 800 | 769 | 769 | 775 | 793 | 781 |
| Vehs Exited | 784 | 753 | 752 | 789 | 805 | 776 |
| Starting Vehs | 77 | 83 | 87 | 97 | 91 | 86 |
| Ending Vehs | 93 | 99 | 104 | 83 | 79 | 88 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 722 | 672 | 697 | 669 | 715 | 695 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 24.0 | 22.1 | 23.0 | 21.7 | 23.3 | 22.8 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Total Stops | 627 | 593 | 623 | 617 | 623 | 618 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 25.9 | 23.4 | 24.5 | 23.6 | 25.0 | 24.5 |

Interval \#3 Information

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 15$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | Avg |  |
| Vehs Entered | 724 | 754 | 795 | 721 | 742 | 747 |
| Vehs Exited | 737 | 756 | 810 | 719 | 722 | 747 |
| Starting Vehs | 93 | 99 | 104 | 83 | 79 | 88 |
| Ending Vehs | 80 | 97 | 89 | 85 | 99 | 85 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 637 | 662 | 701 | 628 | 640 | 653 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 20.7 | 21.8 | 23.4 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 21.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| Total Stops | 534 | 617 | 639 | 626 | 654 | 615 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 22.4 | 23.3 | 25.0 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 23.2 |

## SimTraffic Simulation Summary

Baseline
Interval \#4 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 15$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |


| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 757 | 755 | 735 | 799 | 766 | 763 |
| Vehs Exited | 759 | 768 | 729 | 784 | 774 | 764 |
| Starting Vehs | 80 | 97 | 89 | 85 | 99 | 85 |
| Ending Vehs | 78 | 84 | 95 | 100 | 91 | 89 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 685 | 700 | 686 | 699 | 730 | 700 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 22.7 | 24.2 | 23.0 | 23.7 | 24.6 | 23.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5.7 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.2 |
| Total Stops | 634 | 672 | 644 | 671 | 677 | 660 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 24.2 | 24.6 | 23.9 | 24.6 | 25.8 | 24.6 |

## 210: Professional Plaza \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 9.8 | 1.4 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 0.3 |
| Total Stops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 52 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 0.03 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 113.9 | 2.5 | 46.8 | 5.5 | 168.7 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 4.6 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 39 | 33 | 40 | 15 | 37 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 3.8 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 5.8 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 30.3 | 39.1 | 25.3 | 31.8 | 28.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 77 | 2 | 33 | 2 | 114 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 2559 | 61 | 1386 | 56 | 4063 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 268 | 6 | 117 | 6 | 396 |
| Vehicles Entered | 777 | 17 | 719 | 53 | 1566 |
| Vehicles Exited | 777 | 17 | 720 | 53 | 1567 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 777 | 17 | 720 | 53 | 1567 |
| Input Volume | 772 | 16 | 705 | 52 | 1545 |
| \% of Volume | 101 | 106 | 102 | 102 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  | 613 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |

## 220: CSAH 60 \& Jasper Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 0.9 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.2 |
| Total Stops | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 54 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.04 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 4.5 | 51.7 | 45.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 103.4 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.9 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 17 | 38 | 38 | 26 | 21 | 36 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 38.4 | 24.2 | 31.7 | 69.2 | 40.9 | 27.8 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 1 | 47 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 72 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 47 | 1668 | 716 | 1 | 17 | 2448 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 4 | 159 | 87 | 0 | 3 | 253 |
| Vehicles Entered | 66 | 763 | 674 | 7 | 16 | 1526 |
| Vehicles Exited | 66 | 764 | 674 | 7 | 16 | 1527 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 66 | 764 | 674 | 7 | 16 | 1527 |
| Input Volume | 64 | 760 | 662 | 6 | 15 | 1507 |
| \% of Volume | 103 | 100 | 102 | 117 | 107 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ft/veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 529 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

## 230: Jasmine Way \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 0.6 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 20.1 | 8.6 | 0.6 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 18.3 | 8.6 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 10 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 50.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 25.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 77.7 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 40 | 27 | 15 | 38 | 11 | 15 | 38 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 34.7 | 82.3 | 41.8 | 34.8 | 31.2 | 38.9 | 34.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 33 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 870 | 3 | 0 | 287 | 2 | 0 | 1162 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 115 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 160 |
| Vehicles Entered | 763 | 13 | 4 | 679 | 6 | 1 | 1466 |
| Vehicles Exited | 763 | 13 | 4 | 679 | 6 | 1 | 1466 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 763 | 13 | 4 | 679 | 6 | 1 | 1466 |
| Input Volume | 762 | 11 | 3 | 667 | 7 | 1 | 1451 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 118 | 133 | 102 | 86 | 100 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 606 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

## 240: CSAH 60 \& Jamaica Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 5.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 12.9 | 6.6 | 0.9 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 6.4 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 25 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.02 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 0.8 | 27.8 | 85.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 116.3 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3.0 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 12 | 41 | 40 | 29 | 13 | 17 | 38 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 37.6 | 32.0 | 38.9 | 43.6 | 32.5 | 36.7 | 37.0 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 18 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 53 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 5 | 518 | 775 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 1318 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 1 | 66 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 205 |
| Vehicles Entered | 21 | 734 | 670 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 1443 |
| Vehicles Exited | 21 | 734 | 670 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 1443 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 21 | 734 | 670 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 1443 |
| Input Volume | 22 | 733 | 658 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 1429 |
| \% of Volume | 95 | 100 | 102 | 133 | 67 | 120 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 521 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

250: CSAH 60 \& Jaeger Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 2.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 20.3 | 8.1 | 1.2 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 8.2 | 0.2 |
| Total Stops | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 25 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.02 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 1.2 | 92.7 | 101.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 197.8 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 5.0 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 28 | 41 | 41 | 32 | 10 | 15 | 40 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 51.0 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 43.6 | 26.5 | 34.7 | 37.7 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 54 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 4 | 1220 | 1009 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2249 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 1 | 197 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 371 |
| Vehicles Entered | 10 | 727 | 691 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 1452 |
| Vehicles Exited | 10 | 727 | 691 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 1452 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 10 | 727 | 691 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 1452 |
| Input Volume | 8 | 731 | 680 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 1439 |
| \% of Volume | 125 | 99 | 102 | 100 | 140 | 120 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 556 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |

## 260: Ixonia Avenue (Ext)/Ixonia Avenue \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBR | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 4.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 |  | 4.7 | 1.0 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.6 | 0.0 |
| Total Stops | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 0.6 | 111.0 | 80.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 193.1 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 27 | 41 | 40 | 33 | 15 | 18 | 40 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 44.6 | 37.3 | 35.9 | 48.6 | 37.7 | 42.1 | 36.7 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 61 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 2 | 1404 | 948 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2357 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 0 | 229 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 377 |
| Vehicles Entered | 4 | 760 | 691 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1460 |
| Vehicles Exited | 4 | 759 | 692 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1460 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 4 | 759 | 692 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1460 |
| Input Volume | 4 | 764 | 679 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1452 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 99 | 102 | 100 | 0 | 133 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 490 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |

## 270: CSAH 60 \& Italy Avenue Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 3.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 30.1 | 6.1 | 1.5 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 6.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 23 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.02 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 1.7 | 86.3 | 137.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 227.0 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.1 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 26 | 39 | 41 | 33 | 9 | 17 | 40 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 46.8 | 37.2 | 35.6 | 39.2 | 23.0 | 37.7 | 36.2 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 49 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 6 | 1118 | 1713 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2844 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 1 | 179 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 449 |
| Vehicles Entered | 14 | 741 | 699 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1463 |
| Vehicles Exited | 14 | 741 | 697 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 1465 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 14 | 741 | 697 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 1465 |
| Input Volume | 14 | 749 | 684 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1459 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 99 | 102 | 200 | 200 | 90 | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 407 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Delveh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 3.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 18.1 | 12.8 | 1.7 | 13.9 | 18.8 | 2.1 | 23.3 | 29.7 | 5.4 | 23.0 | 26.3 | 4.1 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| Stop DelVeh (s) | 15.8 | 8.6 | 1.5 | 11.9 | 12.8 | 1.9 | 20.7 | 23.6 | 4.7 | 20.1 | 20.7 | 2.8 |
| Total Stops | 92 | 229 | 30 | 30 | 268 | 53 | 46 | 106 | 48 | 78 | 102 | 80 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.85 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.77 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.79 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.81 | 0.68 | 0.73 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 11.0 | 55.4 | 7.6 | 4.2 | 53.5 | 11.3 | 16.4 | 41.3 | 18.8 | 29.0 | 44.8 | 33.2 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.9 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 12 | 17 | 26 | 15 | 14 | 26 | 20 | 19 | 31 | 21 | 21 | 33 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 33.1 | 32.2 | 43.0 | 32.6 | 31.1 | 47.4 | 29.2 | 29.7 | 32.7 | 31.2 | 31.4 | 32.5 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 5 | 27 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 4 | 8 | 20 | 11 | 17 | 17 | 23 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 155 | 835 | 137 | 79 | 705 | 138 | 304 | 725 | 370 | 534 | 642 | 759 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 13 | 83 | 9 | 4 | 61 | 11 | 27 | 70 | 37 | 57 | 65 | 75 |
| Vehicles Entered | 107 | 553 | 74 | 38 | 495 | 102 | 58 | 144 | 66 | 95 | 147 | 108 |
| Vehicles Exited | 108 | 555 | 74 | 38 | 495 | 103 | 57 | 144 | 65 | 95 | 146 | 109 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 108 | 555 | 74 | 38 | 495 | 103 | 57 | 144 | 65 | 95 | 146 | 109 |
| Input Volume | 112 | 556 | 77 | 43 | 484 | 96 | 61 | 153 | 66 | 90 | 149 | 109 |
| \% of Volume | 96 | 100 | 96 | 88 | 102 | 107 | 93 | 94 | 98 | 106 | 98 | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ttveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy (veh) | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

## 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.3 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 8.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 15.9 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 6.7 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 12.0 |
| Total Stops | 1162 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.58 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 326.5 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 17.3 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 19 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 10.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 31.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 158 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 5383 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 510 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1987 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1989 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1989 |
| Input Volume | 1996 |
| \% of Volume | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |
| Density (ft/veh) | 986 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 17 |

290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 6.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 24.1 | 0.4 | 7.8 | 14.7 | 6.6 | 16.2 | 5.3 | 12.9 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 4.8 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 20.1 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 10.9 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 9.0 |
| Total Stops | 285 | 0 | 246 | 202 | 67 | 157 | 186 | 1143 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.59 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 76.1 | 0.5 | 59.5 | 51.1 | 51.5 | 48.7 | 50.5 | 338.1 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 4.7 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 16.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 16 | 42 | 25 | 21 | 36 | 20 | 24 | 22 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 9.9 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 34.8 | 42.3 | 48.9 | 32.9 | 29.8 | 26.9 | 35.0 | 34.0 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 34 | 0 | 26 | 23 | 34 | 35 | 22 | 175 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 867 | 6 | 525 | 1014 | 1461 | 1526 | 1083 | 6482 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 103 | 1 | 80 | 68 | 112 | 94 | 59 | 517 |
| Vehicles Entered | 380 | 5 | 299 | 263 | 264 | 340 | 353 | 1904 |
| Vehicles Exited | 381 | 5 | 300 | 265 | 265 | 342 | 355 | 1913 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 381 | 5 | 300 | 265 | 265 | 342 | 355 | 1913 |
| Input Volume | 385 | 7 | 294 | 250 | 266 | 337 | 358 | 1897 |
| \% of Volume | 99 | 71 | 102 | 106 | 100 | 101 | 99 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 691 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 15 |

SimTraffic Performance Report
Baseline

## Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 1.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 21.7 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 24.6 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 12.2 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 13.9 |
| Total Stops | 2507 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.79 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 2725.6 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 90.5 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 30 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 96.1 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 28.4 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 1813 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 62645 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 6161 |
| Vehicles Entered | 3072 |
| Vehicles Exited | 3082 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 3082 |
| Input Volume | 19558 |
| \% of Volume | 16 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 533 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 89 |

## Intersection: 210: Professional Plaza \& CSAH 60

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 220: CSAH 60 \& Jasper Path

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 58 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 526 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 250 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 230: Jasmine Way \& CSAH 60

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 26 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 25 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |  |

Intersection: 240: CSAH 60 \& Jamaica Path

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 49 | 41 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 10 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 35 | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 564 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 25 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 2 |  |

Intersection: 250: CSAH 60 \& Jaeger Path

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 66 | 50 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 33 | 37 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 626 | 518 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 260: Ixonia Avenue (Ext)/Ixonia Avenue \& CSAH 60

| Movement | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 60 | 12 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 28 | 8 | 19 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 759 | 347 | 540 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 270: CSAH 60 \& Italy Avenue

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 102 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 54 | 32 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 593 | 577 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 121 | 185 | 145 | 42 | 68 | 256 | 201 | 49 | 84 | 133 | 100 | 55 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 48 | 83 | 65 | 13 | 20 | 135 | 46 | 18 | 29 | 56 | 20 | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 98 | 156 | 122 | 32 | 52 | 220 | 137 | 39 | 69 | 104 | 61 | 42 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 494 | 494 |  |  | 526 | 526 |  |  | 1502 | 1502 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 300 | 340 |  |  | 340 | 300 |  |  | 300 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 125 | 97 | 78 | 65 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 49 | 46 | 23 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 94 | 85 | 58 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1600 | 1600 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 240 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 159 | 188 | 157 | 143 | 79 | 49 | 168 | 100 | 151 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 79 | 101 | 74 | 73 | 24 | 13 | 80 | 24 | 52 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 142 | 161 | 124 | 123 | 60 | 37 | 137 | 66 | 100 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1004 |  | 1013 | 1013 | 750 | 750 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 18


Splits and Phases: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St



Splits and Phases: 290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St


SimTraffic Simulation Summary
Baseline
Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ | $4: 15$ |
| End Time | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ | $5: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Volume counts from "S:L2022l2200011TRAFFIC ANALYSISISYNCHROICSVIPM_2021.csv" data file(s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume date $=11 / 16 / 2021$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehs Entered | 3124 | 3107 | 3030 | 3074 | 3089 | 3084 |
| Vehs Exited | 3136 | 3110 | 3013 | 3062 | 3106 | 3086 |
| Starting Vehs | 105 | 96 | 81 | 94 | 95 | 93 |
| Ending Vehs | 93 | 93 | 98 | 106 | 78 | 91 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 2820 | 2804 | 2692 | 2740 | 2770 | 2765 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 90.7 | 91.5 | 85.7 | 87.7 | 88.5 | 88.8 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 20.8 | 21.7 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 19.5 | 20.1 |
| Total Stops | 2941 | 2520 | 2368 | 2363 | 2460 | 2452 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 96.5 | 97.0 | 92.9 | 93.8 | 95.7 | 95.2 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $4: 15$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $4: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information

| Start Time | $4: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $4: 45$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 751 | 731 | 767 |
| Vehs Entered | 781 | 808 | 772 | 751 |  |  |
| Vehs Exited | 795 | 819 | 771 | 762 | 739 | 777 |
| Starting Vehs | 105 | 96 | 81 | 94 | 95 | 93 |
| Ending Vehs | 91 | 85 | 82 | 83 | 87 | 83 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 688 | 735 | 660 | 653 | 663 | 679 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 21.9 | 24.1 | 20.7 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 21.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.8 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.8 |
| Total Stops | 584 | 655 | 572 | 570 | 580 | 589 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 23.2 | 25.5 | 22.6 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 23.3 |

SimTraffic Simulation Summary
Baseline
Interval \#2 Information

| Start Time | $4: 45$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 00$ |

Total Time (min) 15
Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 758 | 753 | 699 | 785 | 802 | 759 |
| Vehs Exited | 763 | 750 | 700 | 771 | 790 | 754 |
| Starting Vehs | 91 | 85 | 82 | 83 | 87 | 83 |
| Ending Vehs | 86 | 88 | 81 | 97 | 99 | 89 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 707 | 695 | 631 | 712 | 714 | 692 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 22.2 | 22.4 | 19.6 | 22.1 | 22.6 | 21.8 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.7 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 4.7 |
| Total Stops | 590 | 608 | 545 | 596 | 644 | 596 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 23.9 | 23.9 | 21.4 | 23.9 | 24.8 | 23.6 |

Interval \#3 Information

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 15$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |  |  |
| Vehs Entered | 777 | 753 | 744 | 728 | 753 | 752 |
| Vehs Exited | 774 | 762 | 737 | 742 | 770 | 757 |
| Starting Vehs | 86 | 88 | 81 | 97 | 99 | 89 |
| Ending Vehs | 89 | 79 | 88 | 83 | 82 | 83 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 692 | 672 | 661 | 649 | 682 | 671 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 22.4 | 21.8 | 21.4 | 20.9 | 21.7 | 21.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 |
| Total Stops | 624 | 600 | 610 | 578 | 612 | 605 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 24.1 | 23.0 | 23.2 | 22.7 | 23.7 | 23.3 |

## SimTraffic Simulation Summary

Baseline
Interval \#4 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 15$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 30$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |


| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 808 | 793 | 815 | 810 | 803 | 805 |
| Vehs Exited | 804 | 779 | 805 | 787 | 807 | 796 |
| Starting Vehs | 89 | 79 | 88 | 83 | 82 | 83 |
| Ending Vehs | 93 | 93 | 98 | 106 | 78 | 91 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 734 | 702 | 741 | 726 | 712 | 723 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 24.2 | 23.2 | 24.1 | 23.7 | 23.2 | 23.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.7 |
| Total Stops | 693 | 657 | 641 | 619 | 624 | 647 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 25.3 | 24.6 | 25.6 | 24.8 | 24.6 | 25.0 |

## 210: Professional Plaza \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 0.6 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 49 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 136.8 | 3.2 | 45.9 | 5.1 | 191.0 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 3.2 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 4.7 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 43 | 36 | 41 | 19 | 41 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 3.8 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 5.4 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 36.1 | 41.6 | 31.9 | 36.6 | 35.1 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 67 | 2 | 29 | 2 | 99 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 1908 | 50 | 865 | 50 | 2873 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 263 | 5 | 105 | 6 | 380 |
| Vehicles Entered | 782 | 18 | 706 | 49 | 1555 |
| Vehicles Exited | 781 | 18 | 707 | 49 | 1555 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 781 | 18 | 707 | 49 | 1555 |
| Input Volume | 772 | 16 | 705 | 52 | 1545 |
| \% of Volume | 101 | 112 | 100 | 94 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  | 858 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |

## 220: CSAH 60 \& Jasper Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 0.5 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 42 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 4.0 | 52.3 | 44.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 102.3 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.7 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 20 | 40 | 41 | 28 | 19 | 38 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 48.2 | 30.9 | 32.1 | 71.8 | 41.8 | 32.1 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 1 | 32 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 24 | 1022 | 829 | 1 | 4 | 1879 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 2 | 118 | 98 | 0 | 1 | 219 |
| Vehicles Entered | 60 | 772 | 663 | 4 | 12 | 1511 |
| Vehicles Exited | 60 | 772 | 663 | 4 | 13 | 1512 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 60 | 772 | 663 | 4 | 13 | 1512 |
| Input Volume | 64 | 760 | 662 | 6 | 15 | 1507 |
| \% of Volume | 94 | 102 | 100 | 67 | 87 | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ft/veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 848 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

## 230: Jasmine Way \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 14.6 | 2.4 | 0.3 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 2.3 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 10 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 51.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 25.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 77.7 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 42 | 29 | 13 | 40 | 13 | 21 | 40 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 34.6 | 87.0 | 42.7 | 35.0 | 31.3 | 46.4 | 34.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 28 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 836 | 1 | 0 | 347 | 2 | 0 | 1186 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 106 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 158 |
| Vehicles Entered | 772 | 13 | 3 | 668 | 6 | 1 | 1463 |
| Vehicles Exited | 771 | 13 | 3 | 667 | 6 | 1 | 1461 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 771 | 13 | 3 | 667 | 6 | 1 | 1461 |
| Input Volume | 762 | 11 | 3 | 667 | 7 | 1 | 1451 |
| \% of Volume | 101 | 118 | 100 | 100 | 86 | 100 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 846 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

## 240: CSAH 60 \& Jamaica Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 13.1 | 4.4 | 0.5 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop DelVeh (s) | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 4.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 24 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.02 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 0.8 | 28.2 | 84.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 115.0 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 15 | 42 | 42 | 33 | 13 | 19 | 41 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 44.0 | 34.0 | 37.3 | 60.4 | 33.6 | 37.3 | 36.5 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 16 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 5 | 460 | 1037 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1508 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 1 | 60 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 228 |
| Vehicles Entered | 21 | 746 | 657 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 1442 |
| Vehicles Exited | 21 | 746 | 657 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 1442 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 21 | 746 | 657 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 1442 |
| Input Volume | 22 | 733 | 658 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 1429 |
| \% of Volume | 95 | 102 | 100 | 133 | 133 | 100 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 858 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

250: CSAH 60 \& Jaeger Path Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 14.7 | 4.7 | 0.6 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 4.3 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 21 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 0.7 | 95.2 | 99.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 198.5 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 27 | 43 | 42 | 35 | 13 | 18 | 42 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 49.6 | 36.6 | 37.4 | 54.8 | 30.1 | 37.4 | 37.1 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 48 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 2 | 1263 | 1211 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2485 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 0 | 188 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 |
| Vehicles Entered | 6 | 745 | 681 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 1456 |
| Vehicles Exited | 6 | 747 | 681 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 1458 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 6 | 747 | 681 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 1458 |
| Input Volume | 8 | 731 | 680 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 1439 |
| \% of Volume | 75 | 102 | 100 | 120 | 140 | 110 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 541 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |

## 260: Ixonia Avenue (Ext)/Ixonia Avenue \& CSAH 60 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBR | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 6.8 | 0.5 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
| Total Stops | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 0.7 | 114.0 | 79.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 194.8 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 30 | 42 | 42 | 33 | 17 | 17 | 42 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 49.1 | 36.6 | 35.7 | 52.7 | 48.2 | 39.1 | 36.3 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 57 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 2 | 1507 | 1160 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2670 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 0 | 224 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 393 |
| Vehicles Entered | 5 | 783 | 680 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1473 |
| Vehicles Exited | 5 | 784 | 682 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1476 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 5 | 784 | 682 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1476 |
| Input Volume | 4 | 764 | 679 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1452 |
| \% of Volume | 125 | 103 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 102 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 819 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

## 270: CSAH 60 \& Italy Avenue Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 18.9 | 4.8 | 0.8 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 4.7 | 0.1 |
| Total Stops | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 19 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 1.7 | 88.7 | 135.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 227.3 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.1 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 25 | 42 | 43 | 35 | 12 | 19 | 42 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 50.6 | 36.0 | 37.4 | 55.5 | 31.1 | 41.9 | 36.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 0 | 45 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 10 | 1216 | 1738 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2966 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 1 | 174 | 281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 457 |
| Vehicles Entered | 14 | 760 | 682 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1466 |
| Vehicles Exited | 14 | 761 | 682 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1467 |
| Horly Exit Rate | 14 | 761 | 682 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1467 |
| Input Volume | 14 | 749 | 684 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1459 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 102 | 100 | 200 | 100 | 70 | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Delveh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 3.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 17.0 | 13.1 | 2.0 | 13.6 | 16.2 | 2.7 | 20.0 | 26.6 | 5.7 | 22.1 | 23.4 | 5.8 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Stop Delveh (s) | 14.5 | 8.6 | 1.7 | 12.3 | 11.6 | 2.3 | 17.3 | 20.8 | 4.9 | 19.3 | 18.3 | 4.5 |
| Total Stops | 90 | 240 | 33 | 30 | 242 | 58 | 42 | 108 | 48 | 72 | 95 | 69 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.81 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.75 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.78 | 0.65 | 0.64 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 11.3 | 56.8 | 7.7 | 4.3 | 53.5 | 11.2 | 16.7 | 44.1 | 18.6 | 27.8 | 44.1 | 32.2 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.9 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 13 | 17 | 25 | 15 | 16 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 31 | 22 | 23 | 31 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 34.2 | 33.8 | 47.6 | 37.3 | 34.2 | 43.8 | 29.9 | 29.8 | 32.7 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.7 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 4 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 24 | 6 | 10 | 30 | 9 | 14 | 25 | 19 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 129 | 674 | 99 | 48 | 650 | 224 | 354 | 919 | 336 | 441 | 759 | 659 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 10 | 70 | 7 | 3 | 71 | 15 | 32 | 95 | 31 | 48 | 84 | 66 |
| Vehicles Entered | 110 | 567 | 74 | 39 | 493 | 101 | 58 | 155 | 65 | 91 | 145 | 105 |
| Vehicles Exited | 111 | 567 | 74 | 39 | 493 | 102 | 60 | 154 | 65 | 91 | 143 | 106 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 111 | 567 | 74 | 39 | 493 | 102 | 60 | 154 | 65 | 91 | 143 | 106 |
| Input Volume | 112 | 556 | 77 | 43 | 484 | 96 | 61 | 153 | 66 | 90 | 149 | 109 |
| \% of Volume | 99 | 102 | 96 | 91 | 102 | 106 | 98 | 101 | 98 | 101 | 96 | 97 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ttveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy (veh) | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

## 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.3 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 8.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 14.9 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 6.3 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 11.2 |
| Total Stops | 1127 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.56 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 328.4 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 16.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 20 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 9.9 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 33.0 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 166 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 5294 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 533 |
| Vehicles Entered | 2003 |
| Vehicles Exited | 2005 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 2005 |
| Input Volume | 1996 |
| \% of Volume | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |
| Density (ft/veh) | 1012 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 17 |

290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 6.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.0 | 0.6 | 7.2 | 15.5 | 7.0 | 16.8 | 5.1 | 12.7 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 4.7 |
| Stop DelVeh (s) | 17.9 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 11.7 | 3.2 | 9.6 | 2.9 | 8.7 |
| Total Stops | 280 | 0 | 229 | 207 | 73 | 165 | 189 | 1143 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.27 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.59 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 79.4 | 0.7 | 57.6 | 50.7 | 52.4 | 45.6 | 47.3 | 333.7 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 4.7 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 16.0 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 17 | 43 | 25 | 21 | 35 | 18 | 23 | 22 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 9.9 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 36.0 | 47.2 | 49.6 | 32.5 | 29.5 | 26.5 | 33.6 | 33.9 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 28 | 0 | 19 | 32 | 36 | 32 | 23 | 169 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 784 | 2 | 417 | 1150 | 1509 | 1391 | 1069 | 6323 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 89 | 1 | 63 | 86 | 116 | 85 | 62 | 502 |
| Vehicles Entered | 398 | 7 | 290 | 261 | 269 | 347 | 359 | 1931 |
| Vehicles Exited | 400 | 7 | 290 | 262 | 269 | 344 | 357 | 1929 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 400 | 7 | 290 | 262 | 269 | 344 | 357 | 1929 |
| Input Volume | 385 | 7 | 294 | 250 | 266 | 337 | 358 | 1897 |
| \% of Volume | 104 | 100 | 99 | 105 | 101 | 102 | 100 | 102 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 680 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 15 |

SimTraffic Performance Report
Baseline

## Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 1.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 19.1 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 21.7 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 11.6 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 13.1 |
| Total Stops | 2442 |
| Stop/Veh | 0.77 |
| Travel Dist (mi) | 2665.2 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 88.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 31 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 95.2 |
| Fuel Eff. (mpg) | 29.1 |
| HC Emissions (g) | 1838 |
| CO Emissions (g) | 62449 |
| NOx Emissions (g) | 6283 |
| Vehicles Entered | 3084 |
| Vehicles Exited | 3086 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 3086 |
| Input Volume | 19558 |
| \% of Volume | 16 |
| Denied Entry Before | 1 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |
| Density (ftlveh) | 634 |
| Occupancy (veh) | 88 |

## Intersection: 210: Professional Plaza \& CSAH 60

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 73 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 535 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 220: CSAH 60 \& Jasper Path

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 49 | 34 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 46 | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 528 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 230: Jasmine Way \& CSAH 60

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 41 | 31 |
| Average Queee (ft) | 3 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 21 | 26 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 145 | 535 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 240: CSAH 60 \& Jamaica Path

| Movement | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 40 | 4 | 39 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 0 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 33 | 3 | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 626 | 552 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 25 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 4 |  |  |

Intersection: 250: CSAH 60 \& Jaeger Path

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 32 | 52 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 17 | 40 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 626 | 518 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 260: Ixonia Avenue (Ext)/Ixonia Avenue \& CSAH 60

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 37 | 15 | 24 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 18 | 11 | 9 | 19 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 759 | 759 | 335 | 528 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 270: CSAH 60 \& Italy Avenue

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 90 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 48 | 30 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 593 | 565 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 114 | 190 | 150 | 50 | 64 | 140 | 164 | 57 | 91 | 113 | 92 | 56 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 45 | 85 | 62 | 13 | 19 | 65 | 84 | 19 | 28 | 52 | 22 | 16 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 93 | 151 | 118 | 34 | 45 | 121 | 143 | 43 | 64 | 94 | 61 | 40 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 494 | 494 |  |  | 526 | 526 |  |  | 1502 | 1502 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 300 | 340 |  |  | 340 | 300 |  |  | 300 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 280: Ipava Ave \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 116 | 106 | 80 | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 45 | 48 | 18 | 23 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 88 | 89 | 53 | 56 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1600 | 1600 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 240 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 290: CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd \& CSAH 60/185th St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 169 | 177 | 176 | 162 | 66 | 60 | 194 | 141 | 123 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 77 | 100 | 71 | 79 | 23 | 15 | 83 | 32 | 53 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 135 | 152 | 128 | 137 | 56 | 44 | 151 | 89 | 95 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1004 |  | 1013 | 1013 | 691 | 691 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 4

| incioentio | RSECTI | GMENT | Clube | Notes | молт |  |  | Y OF WE |  | Severity | collision-allant | direction 1 | Crash manuever 1 | DIRECTION 2 | Crash manuever 2 | UTM $\times$ | UTMY | Lattude | Longitude | date \& time | colusion diagram |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 564950 | INT2 |  | Yes |  | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{10}$ | 2018 | Sat | ${ }_{8}^{11}$ | PDO | REAR END | Westbound | Moving Forward | Westbound | Moving Forward | 478372.244 <br> 47807988 | 4947584.992 49758989 | ${ }^{44.68131437}$ | -93.27290778 | 2018/02/10-11:40 |  |
| 659403 | INT4 |  | Yes |  | 11 | ${ }_{8}^{12}$ | 2018 | Mon | 8 | PDO | REAR END | Westbound | Sloving | Westbound | Moving forward | 478407.988 | ${ }^{4947589.839}$ | 44.68136088 | -93.27245894 |  | 2018/11/12-08:30-L-C-D |
| ${ }^{685210}$ |  | SEG D | Yes | SLIDE onice | 2 | 8 | 2019 | ${ }^{\text {fri }}$ | 7 | poo | REAR END | Westbound | Moving Forward Moving Forward | Eastbound | Moving forward | 47841.195 | ${ }_{4}^{4947589.717}$ | 44.68136011 44.68155789 | -93.27231777 | 2019/02/08-07:30 |  |
| 768509 598953 | INT4 |  | Yes |  | 12 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Fri }}$ | 7 | PDO | REAR END | westbound | Moving Forward | Westbund | Moving Forward |  |  |  | -93.27190749 | 2019/12/06-07:06 | 2019/12/06-07:06-L-C-D |
| 598953 <br> 654746 | ${ }_{\text {INT }}$ |  | Yes | DISTRACTEE/HIGH SPEED | 5 10 | ${ }_{26}^{22}$ | 2018 | Tue | 16 17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ \text { مпо } \end{gathered}$ |  | Eastbound | Moving Forward Moving Forward | Eastbound | Moving Forward | 488885.2951 47859.891 | 4947588.995 494758.18 | 44.681355049 | -93.277054216 | 2018/05/2-16-565 |  |
| 654746 594540 | -INT <br> INT 5 |  | ¢ $\begin{gathered}\text { Yes } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { Yes }\end{gathered}$ |  | 10 5 | 1 | 2018 | ${ }_{\text {Tri }}$ | 15 | PDo | Rear end Rear end | Westound | Vehicle Stopoed or or Stalled in Roadway | Westbound | Venicle Stopped or or stalled in Roadway | 478582.6556 | 4947587.931 | 44.68138899 | -93.27025478 | 2018/05/01-15:30 |  |
| 595902 59480 | INT5 |  | yes |  | 5 | 7 | 2018 | Mon | 17 | c | Rear end | Eastbund | Venicle Stopped or stalled in Roadway | Eastbound | Vehicle Stopped or 5 Salled din Roadway | 478609.1095 | 4947587.681 | 14.68134747 | -93.269211 | 2018/05/07-17:30 | 2018/05/07-17:30-L-C.D |
| 651247 | INT6 |  | yes |  | 10 | 11 | 2018 | Thu | 15 | PDO | Rear end | Westbund | Vehicle Stopped or Stalled in Roadway | Westbund | way | 47883.8252 | 4947587.51 | 44.68135277 | -93.26700992 | 2018/10/1-115:07 | 2018/10/11-15:07-L.C.D |
| 808388 | INT6 |  | Yes |  | 4 | 28 | 2020 | Tue | 8 | pDo | UN Off road | Eastbund | Moving Forward |  |  | 47888.6945 | 494758.503 | 44.68135298 | -93.266898 | 2020/04/28-08:44 | 2020/0/2/28-08:4.4-R-W W |
| ${ }^{781568}$ | ${ }^{\text {NT }} 6$ |  | Yes | VeEred to avoid rear end | 1 | 20 | 2020 | Mon | 14 | O | RUN Off Road | Eastbound | Slowing |  |  | 478852.9285 | 4947587.499 | 44.68135306 | -93.26884458 | 2020/01/20-14:00 | 2020/01/20-14:00-L-C.S |
| 597498 |  | SEGF | yes |  | 5 | 15 | 2018 | Tue | 18 | PDO | REAR END | Eastbound | Moving forward | Eastbound | ${ }_{\text {Slowing }}$ | ${ }^{4} 478866.778$ | 4947587.395 | 44.68135253 <br> 44.6814565 | -93.2666702 | 2018/05/15-18.00 |  |
| 538619 62329 | INT7 |  | Yes |  | 1 | 19 | 2018 2018 | ${ }_{\text {fri }}^{\text {Fed }}$ | 16 11 | PDO c | Rear end Rear mid |  | Vehicle Stoped or <br> Moving falled in <br> Rorward |  | Vehicle Stopped or Stalled in Roadway | 479004.885 479051.498 | 4947585.048 494758.005 | 44, 4.68134566 44.68134545 | -93.26441042 | 2018801/19-16:58 | $\frac{2018 / 1 / 1 / 9-16: 58-\text {-u-C-W }}{2018 / 07 / 25-1128-\mathrm{C} \text { - }}$ |
| ${ }_{589514}^{62314}$ | INT 7 |  | Yes | alcohol invol | 4 | 25 | 2018 | Sun | 21 | ${ }_{c}$ | Rether | Eastbund | Moving forward |  |  | 479056.7836 | 4947585.989 | 44.68134545 | -93.26427227 | 2018/04/0-21:37 | 2018/04/08-21:37-D.1-5-5 |
| 598618 |  |  | Yes | stopped for | 5 | 21 | 2018 | Mon | 6 | pDo | Rear end | Westbound | Moving Forward | Westbound | Swerved to Avoid Object in Roadway | 479193.129 | 4947585.686 | 44.6813467 | -93.26255185 | 2018/55/21-06:07 | 2018/0/5/21-06:07-DRC-CD |
| ${ }^{657403}$ |  | SEGG | Yes | HIT DEER | 11 | 6 | 2018 | Tue | 17 | PDO | Animal | Eastbund | Moving Forward |  |  | 479365.8351 | 4947585.303 | 44.68138823 | -93.26037262 | 2018/11/06-17:09 | 2018/11/06-17:09-DIC-W |
| 720400 |  | SEG 6 | Yes | MERGING | 5 | 16 | 2019 | Thu | 17 | PDO | SIDESWIPE | Westbound | Moving Forward | Westbound | Moving Forward | 4794188.9577 | 4947584.899 | 44.68134612 | -93,25970231 | 2019/05/16-17:24 | 2019/5/16-17:24-L-C.-D |
| 847673 723700 | ${ }^{\text {INT }} 6$ |  | Yes | SLIDE ONICE | 10 | 20 | 2020 | Tue | 111 | ${ }_{\text {PDO }}^{\text {PDO }}$ | ANGLE | Southbound |  | Eastbound | Moving Forward | 478852.737 47852.7223 | ${ }_{4}^{49475888.611}$ | 44.681363307 44.6813741 | -93.26684704 | 2020/10/20-16:10 | $\frac{2020 / 1 / 2 / 20-16 \cdot 10-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}}{201906 / 1-1135-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}$ |
| 723700 595240 | (1NT6 |  | Yes |  | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ | 1 | 2019 | $\underset{\substack{\text { sat } \\ \text { fri }}}{\text { cen }}$ | 11 17 | PDO | Rear end REAR END | Eastbound Westbound | Venicle Stopped or staled in Roadway Moving Forward | Ceastbund | Vehicle Stopened or or Stalled in Roadway | 4478852.7223 478852.7098 | ${ }_{4}^{4944575990.839}$ | 44.68137441 44.6813803 | -93.26684728748 | 2019006/01-11:35 |  |
| 638275 | int 3 |  | yes |  | 9 | 6 | 2018 | Thu | 7 | PDO | rear end | Westbound | slowing | Westbund | slowing | 47834.7771 | 4947584.766 | 44.681313 | -93.2738825 | 2018/99/06-07:51 | 2018/0//06-07:51-L-C.D |
| 677069 | int 5 |  | Yes | TURNING RIGHT | 1 | 18 | 2019 | Fri | 22 | PDO | RUN OFf ROAD | Westbound | Slowing |  |  | 478605.273 | 4947591.403 | 44.68138086 | -93.26996966 | 2019/01/1-22:55 | 2019/01/18-22:55-0.1-5.5 |
| ${ }^{600570}$ | INT 8 |  | Yes |  | 5 | 29 | 2018 | Tue | 15 | pDo | Lefr-turn | Eastbund | Moving Forward | Westbund | Turring left | 479557.3818 | 4947577.209 | 44.68128885 | -93.25795536 | 2018/05/29-15:11 | 2018/0/5/29-15.11-L-C.D |
| 567298 | INT 8 |  | Yes |  | 2 | 19 | 2018 | Mon | 18 | poo | Left-Turn | Eastbound | Moving Forward | Westbund | Turring Left | 479559.4938 | 4947577.213 | 44.68128095 | -93.25792871 | 2018/20/19-18:00 | 2018/02/19-18.00-DIT-5 |
| ${ }^{603198}$ | inT 8 |  | Yes |  | 6 | 9 | 2018 | sat | 20 | A | Left-TuRN | Westbound | Turning Left | Westbund | Moving forward | 479558.6084 | 494577.211 | 44.68128091 | -93.25793988 | 2018/06/09-20:30 | 2018/06/09-20.30-L-C-D |
| 599515 671161 | - ${ }_{\text {INT }}^{\text {INT }}$ |  | Yes |  | 5 | 1 | 2018 | Tue Wed | 10 20 | ${ }_{\text {PDO }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { LeFT-TURN }}$ LEFTTURN | Northbound | Moving forward |  | Turning Left | 479561.18873 479568872 | ${ }^{\text {494759497752 }}$ | 44.68143891 <br> 44.68128048 | -93.25789921 | 2018/05/01-10:30 |  |
| 671161 <br> 56888 | - 1 NT8 |  | yes |  | 12 | ${ }_{23}^{26}$ | 2018 | ${ }_{\text {Wed }}^{\substack{\text { Fri }}}$ | 20 14 | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { LeFT-TURN }}$ LET-TURN | Eastbound Northbund | Turning Left | Eastbound Southound | Moving forward ${ }_{\text {Moving Forward }}^{\text {M }}$ | 479565.4872 479572.3128 | ${ }_{4}^{494757577.1389}$ | 44.68128048 <br> 44.681282 | -993.25787725696 | 2018/12/2/2-20:05 | 2018/1/2/2-20.0.0-D-1-5-5 |
| ${ }_{683121}$ | int 8 |  | yes |  | 2 | 4 | 2019 | Mon | 10 | pDo | ANGLE | Southbund | Swerved to Avoid object in Roadway | Eastbound | Moving Forward | 479569.4444 | 4947595.09 | 44.68144216 | -93.25780387 | 2019/02/04-10:37 | 2019/2/020410.37-1-C.S |
| ${ }^{62462}$ | INT 8 |  | Yes |  | 7 | 31 | 2018 | Tue | 19 | poo | Lemp-TURN | Northbuund | Turning Left | Southbound | Moving Forward | 479573.9998 | 4947577.071 | 44.68128008 | -93.25774567 | 2018/07/31-19:55 | 2018/07/31-19.55-L-C.-D |
| ${ }_{6}^{625241}$ | INT 8 |  | yes | DRIVER RaN ReD And Arrested for dwi | 12 | 3 | 2018 | Fri | 9 | PDO | ANGLE | Westbound | Moving Forward | Westbound | Turning left | 47957.7113 | 4947595.191 | 44.681443714 | -93.25777527 | 2018/08/03-099:50 | 2018/108/83-09:50-x-C-W |
| ${ }_{7}^{667668}$ | INT8 |  | Yes |  | 12 | 12 | 2018 | ${ }_{\text {Wed }}^{\text {Wed }}$ | 8 | PDO | REAR END LeET-TURN | Westbound | ${ }^{\text {Slowing }}$ | Westbound | Vehicle Stopped or Stalled in Roadway |  | ${ }^{4947595.665}$ | ${ }_{4}^{44.68814788}$ | -93.2575894 | 2018/12/12-088:43 | $\frac{2018 / 12 / 12-0.8 .43-5-5.5}{20.5}$ |
| 721376 <br> 70774 | - ${ }_{\text {INT }}^{\text {INT }}$ |  | Yes |  | 5 5 | ${ }_{4}^{21}$ | 2019 | Tue Sat | 13 | C | $\underset{\substack{\text { LeFT-TURN } \\ \text { REAR END }}}{ }$ | Eastbound Westbound | Moving forward | Westbound Westbound | Vehicle Stopped or ors talled in Roodway | 479590.51166 47959.876 | 4947579.942 4947595648 | 44.68127939 <br> 44.681478 | ${ }_{-93.257533347}$ | 2019/05/21-1.81.16 | 2019/0/21-08.1--C-C. |
| 869324 | int 8 |  | yes |  | 12 | 21 | 2020 | Mon | 17 | pDo | Left-TURN | Westbound | Turning left | Eastbound | Moving Forward | 479591.6876 | 4947595.645 | 44.6814479 | -93.25752322 | 2020/12/21-17:45 | 2020/12/21-17:45-D-D-C.D |
| 604224 | int 8 |  | yes |  | 1 | 15 | 2018 | fri | 7 | pDo | Rear end | Westbound | Moving Forward | Westbound | Vhicle Stopped or Stalled in Roadway | 479601.8668 | 494757.906 | 44.68127939 | -93.25739404 | 2018/06/15-07:35 | 2018/06/15-07:35-L-C.D |
| 676159 | 1NT 8 |  | Yes |  |  | 15 | 2019 | Tue | 9 | PDO | ANGLE | Eastbound | Moving Forward | Northbound | Moving forward | 479583.6779 | 4947551.008 | 44.68104573 | -93.25662251 | 2019/01/15-09:20 | 2019/01/1/-09:20-L-5.5 |
| 756402 <br> 821034 <br> 8 | 1NT 8 |  | Yes |  | 10 | 22 | 2019 | Tue | ${ }_{12}$ |  | LeF-TURN | Northbound | Moving Forward | Southbound | Moving forward | ${ }^{479560.07}$ | ${ }^{4947586.534}$ | 44.68136488 | -93.25992181 | 2019910/2/2-36:50 | 2019/1/2-2-06:50-DIR-W W |
| 821034 621244 | - ${ }_{\text {INT }}^{\text {INT }} 8$ |  | Yes |  | 7 | ${ }_{16}^{22}$ | 2020 2018 | Wed Mon | 12 16 | ${ }_{\text {PDO }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Lefr-TURN } \\ \text { LEFTURN }}}{\text { det }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Southbound } \\ \text { Eastbund }}}$ | Turring Left Turring Left | Southbound Eastbound | Moving Forward Moving forward | 479583.6165 47959.7642 | 4947572.58 | 44.68123993 44.6813521 | -93.25762415 | 2020/07/2-121:12 |  |
| ${ }_{7}^{6121299}$ | INT 8 |  | yes | Ran red; don't know which for sure | 7 | 26 | 2019 | Fri | 10 | PDo | ANGLE | Eastbund | Moving forward | Northbound | Moving forward | 479583.612 | 4947574.154 | 44.68125409 | -93.25762427 | 2019/07/26-10:31 | 2019/07/26-10:31-L-C-D |
| 584359 | int 8 |  | Yes |  | 3 | 19 | 2018 | Mon | 7 | poo | Left-TURN | Northbund | Turring left | Southbund | Moving Forward | 479559.459 | 4947593.269 | 44.68142549 | -93.25792979 | 2018/03/19.07:05 | 2018/03/19.07:05-L-C-D |
| 660940 | INT 8 |  | YES |  | 11 | 17 | 2018 | Sat | 12 |  | ANGLE | Eastbund | Moving Forward | Northbund | Moving Forward | 479583.61 | 4947577.026 | 44.68127995 | -93.25762452 | 2018/11/17-12:25 | 2018/11/17-12:25-L-C.W |
| ${ }_{5}^{588300}$ | ${ }^{\text {NT }} 8$ |  | Yes | EER VEH HIT NB VEH WAITING | 4 | 4 | 2018 | Wed | 18 | PDO | RUN Off road | Eastbound | Turning Right | Northbound | Vehicle Stopped or Stalled in Roadway | 4799838.4255 | 4947580.583 | 44.68131197 | -93.25762688811 | 2018/00/04.007:35 |  |
| [ 757076 | ${ }^{\text {NT }} 8$ |  | Yes |  | 10 | 23 | 2019 | Wed | 18 22 | PDO PDO | $\xrightarrow{\text { LeFT-TURN }}$ | Northbound Westbound | Moving forward | Eastbound <br> Eastound | Moving forward Moving forward | 479583.185 4795830289 | ${ }^{49475855.459}$ | ${ }^{44.688135856}$ | ${ }_{-93.25763011}^{-935622}$ | 201910/23-18 | 2019/1//23-18:0.-C-C-D |
| 654899 677086 |  |  | ¢es |  | 10 | ${ }_{18}^{26}$ | 2019 | $\stackrel{\text { Fri }}{\text { Fri }}$ | ${ }_{17}^{22}$ | PDO | $\xrightarrow{\text { LerF-UERN }}$ RER END | Westround southound | Vehicle Stopeed or orstaled in Roadway | Soatthound | Moving forwa Slowing | ${ }_{4795558.4609}^{47938989}$ | ${ }_{4}^{494475068.268}$ | 444.61542488 | ${ }_{-93.2579429}$ | 2018/10/2-22:020 | 隹 |

## CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 7566

Convert 2 lane roadway to 4 lane divided roadway
Description: Conversion of urban and rural two-lane roadways to four-lane divided roadways

## Prior Condition: 2 lane roadway

## Category: Roadway

Study: Evaluation of the Safety Effectiveness of the Conversion of Two-Lane Roadways to Four-Lane Divided Roadways: Bayesian vs. Empirical Bayes, Ahmed et al., 2015

| Crash Modification Factor (CMF) |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Value: | 0.341 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: |  |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 0.091 |

## Crash Reduction Factor (CRF)

| Adjusted Standard Error: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 9.05 |
| Applicability |  |
| Crash Type: | All |
| Crash Severity: | All |
| Roadway Types: | Not specified |
| Number of Lanes: | 2 |
| Road Division Type: | Undivided |
| Speed Limit: |  |
| Area Type: | Urban |
| Traffic Volume: |  |
| Time of Day: | All |
| If countermeasure is intersection-based |  |
| Intersection Type: |  |
| Intersection Geometry: |  |
| Traffic Control: |  |
| Major Road Traffic Volume: |  |
| Minor Road Traffic Volume: |  |


| Development Details |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Date Range of Data Used: | 2002 to 2012 |
| Municipality: |  |


| State: | FL |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Country: | USA |  |
| Type of Methodology Used: | 2 |  |
| Sample Size Used: |  |  |
|  |  |  |


|  | Other Details |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Highway Safety |  |
| Manual? | No |
| Date Added to Clearinghouse: | Nov-01-2015 |
| Comments: |  |

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.

Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## A. Roadway Description

| Route <br> Begin RP <br> Location | CSAH 60 | District | n/a | County | Dak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n/a | End RP | n/a | Miles | 1.3 |
|  | 185th Street (CSAH 60) between Kenwood Trail (CSAH 50) and Dodd Boulevard (CSAH 9) |  |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work <br> Project Cost* | Convert 2 Lane Roadway to 4 Lane Divided Roadway, Access \& Signal Improvements |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$8,600,000 | Installation Year | 2025 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 3.3\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor


D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

| 0.00 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference | Addition of Divided Cross-Section \& Multiuse Trails |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.00 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.00 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
| 0.00 | Head On \& Bicycle |  |  |
| 0.00 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  |

## E. Crash Data


F. Benefit-Cost Calculation

| $\$ 19,553,991$ | Benefit (present value) | B/C Ratio $=\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Proposed project expected to reduce 11 crashes annually, 1 of which involving fatality or serious injury.
F. Analysis Assumptions

Crash Severity

| K crashes | $\$ 1,500,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| A crashes | $\$ 750,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 230,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 120,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 13,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

Real Discount Rate $0.7 \%$
Traffic Growth Rate 3.3\%
Project Service Life 20 years
G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 1.00 | 0.33 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| B crashes | 2.32 | 0.77 | $\$ 177,713$ |
| C crashes | 5.93 | 1.98 | $\$ 237,240$ |
| PDO crashes | 21.75 | 7.25 | $\$ 94,237$ |

\$759,190

| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| 2025 | \$759,190 | \$759,190 | Total = \$19,553,991 |
| 2026 | \$784,244 | \$778,792 |  |
| 2027 | \$810,124 | \$798,900 |  |
| 2028 | \$836,858 | \$819,527 |  |
| 2029 | \$864,474 | \$840,687 |  |
| 2030 | \$893,002 | \$862,392 |  |
| 2031 | \$922,471 | \$884,659 |  |
| 2032 | \$952,912 | \$907,500 |  |
| 2033 | \$984,358 | \$930,931 |  |
| 2034 | \$1,016,842 | \$954,967 |  |
| 2035 | \$1,050,398 | \$979,623 |  |
| 2036 | \$1,085,061 | \$1,004,917 |  |
| 2037 | \$1,120,868 | \$1,030,863 |  |
| 2038 | \$1,17,857 | \$1,057,479 |  |
| 2039 | \$1,196,066 | \$1,084,782 |  |
| 2040 | \$1,235,536 | \$1,112,791 |  |
| 2041 | \$1,276,309 | \$1,141,522 |  |
| 2042 | \$1,318,427 | \$1,170,995 |  |
| 2043 | \$1,361,935 | \$1,201,229 |  |
| 2044 | \$1,406,879 | \$1,232,244 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
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City of Lakeville
Positioned to Thrive

March 25, 2022

## Erin Laberee

Acting Dakota County Engineer
14955 Galaxie Avenue $3^{\text {rd }}$ Floor
Apple Valley, MN 55124

## RE: 2022 Regional Solicitation Letter of Support for CSAH 60 Expansion Project (Dakota County Project No. 60-26)

Dear Mrs. Laberee:
The City of Lakeville supports Dakota County's federal funding application for the County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 60 ( $185^{\text {th }}$ Street) Expansion Project from CSAH 50 (Kenwood Trail) to CSAH 9 (Dodd Boulevard). The project will expand a critical local and regional corridor connecting Interstate 35 to CSAH 23 (Cedar Avenue) and create important multi-modal opportunities in the rapidly growing center of Lakeville. CSAH 60 is currently an undivided 2-lane rural highway with narrow shoulders, deficient turn lanes, and inadequate bicyclist/pedestrian facilities. The project purpose is to improve highway operations, accommodate existing/future traffic volumes, and make safety improvements for vehicles and bicyclists/pedestrians. The highway expansion and bicyclist/pedestrian enhancements provide great value by strengthening local and regional economic development, and providing connectivity/access to natural amenities and recreation opportunities. Improving this County highway segment is a top City priority.

The project is a joint effort between the County and City. Both parties actively participated in the corridor study and preliminary design, developing a geometric layout that supports transportation needs and compatibility with adjacent land uses. The City agrees with the improvements shown in the geometric layout and supports implementation of the project. The City also supports this project for federal funding and agrees to provide a financial commitment for the improvements.

Thank you for the opportunity to share the City of Lakeville's support for this project.


Zachary Johnson, City Engineer

## Dakota County 185 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street Expansion Project

Dakota County and the City of Lakeville are working together to redesign CSAH 60 (185th Street) to improve mobility and safety for all roadway users. CSAH 60 is an A Minor Arterial that plays a key role in the transportation network for the City, County, and the region. The improvement is a full corridor reconstruction and completion of the trail network between CSAH 50 (Kenwood Trail) and Ipava Avenue, with minor roadway work between Ipava Avenue and Dodd Blvd.

This segment of CSAH 60 is different than the redeveloped and modern segment to the west and near-future developments planned to the east. The existing two-lane highway has a rural section with a trail on only portions of the corridor. Numerous access points, poor sightlines, and a lack of dedicated turn lanes in the face of increased traffic volumes all contribute to safety issues observed along the corridor. The two-lane cross-section on this segment presents a constraint to local and regional mobility and is the last segment to be improved or constructed to complete the regional arterial connection between I-35W on the west and Cedar Avenue/CSAH 23 on the west.

The primary objectives of this project are to design a roadway that provides for increasing traffic levels, provide multimodal and pedestrian connectivity to fix gaps in the existing trail network, provide a safe facility for everyone, and engage all parts of the community to ensure the solutions meet their needs. Of particular emphasis is providing safe access for school children to Century Middle School, located at the southeast corner of 185th Street and Ipava Avenue. This user demographic is one of the clearest examples of an at-risk population (school children) whose needs are important to consider in the project.

By removing the constricted two-lane cross-section in this segment of $185^{\text {th }}$ Street and modernizing the roadway, the project will improve cross-town traffic flow and will provide improved access to l-35W and Cedar Avenue, both of which are major commuter corridors to metro area jobs. People who walk and bike for transportation, recreation, and health are the other demographic who will benefit substantially from the project, which will fill in the gaps in the trail network on this road segment and in so doing, complete the multimodal facility along CSAH 60 identified in Dakota County's 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

## Existing Conditions and Project Opportunities
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## DAKOTA COUNTY, MINNESOTA

April 5, 2022
Resolution No. 22-144
Motion by Commissioner Hamann-Roland
Second by Commissioner Atkins

## Approval Of Grant Application Submittals For 2022 Regional Federal Funding Solicitation And Rebuilding American Infrastructure With Sustainability And Equity Grant Program

WHEREAS, the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) is requesting project submittal for federal funding under the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act; and

WHEREAS, the U.S. Department of Transportation is requesting project submittal for Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) grant program; and

WHEREAS, the FAST federal programs fund up to 80 percent of project construction costs; and
WHEREAS, the RAISE federal grant program in rural areas funds up to 100 percent of project costs and 80 percent of project costs in urban areas; and

WHEREAS, federal funding of projects reduces the burden, local taxpayers, for regional improvements; and
WHEREAS, project submittal are due on April 14, 2022; and
WHEREAS, all projects proposed are consistent with the adopted Dakota County Comprehensive Plan; and
WHEREAS, subject to federal funding award, the Dakota County Board of Commissioners would be asked to consider authorization to execute a grant agreement at a future meeting.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Dakota County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the following County led projects for submittal to TAB for federal funding:

1) County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 46 (160 th Street/Brandel Drive) from Trunk Highway (TH) 3 to TH 52 in Coates, Empire Township and Rosemount
2) CSAH 46 ( $160^{\text {th }}$ Street) from 1,300 feet west of General Sieben Drive to Highway 61 in Hastings
3) CSAH 42 ( $150^{\text {th }}$ Street) from Redwood Drive to $147^{\text {th }}$ Street in Apple Valley
4) CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road) from TH 13 to Interstate 35E in Eagan
5) CSAH 46 (160 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street) at CSAH 85 (Goodwin Avenue) in Nininger and Vermillion Townships
6) CSAH 60 ( $185^{\text {th }}$ Street) from CSAH 50 (Kenwood Trail) to Ipava Avenue in Lakeville
7) CSAH 63 (Delaware Avenue) Trail from TH 62 to Marie Avenue in Mendota Heights and West St. Paul - Safe Routes To School

## STATE OF MINNESOTA

County of Dakota



#### Abstract

I, Jeni Reynolds, Clerk to the Board of the County of Dakota, State of Minnesota, do hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy of a resolution with the original minutes of the proceedings of the Board of County Commissioners, Dakota County, Minnesota, at their session held on the $5^{\text {th }}$ day of April 2022, now on file in the County Administration Department, and have found the same to be a true and correct copy thereof.

Witness my hand and official seal of Dakota County this $5^{\text {th }}$ day of April 2022.



8) CSAH 63 (Delaware Avenue) Trail from Marie Avenue to TH 149 (Did Road) in Mendota Heights and West St. Paul
9) Minnesota River Greenway - Railroad Overpass in Eagan
10) River to River Greenway from TH 149 trail and TH 149 underpass in Mendota Heights
11) Mendota to Lebanon Hills Greenway - TH 149 South in Mendota Heights
12) Veterans Memorial Greenway from TH 3 to CSAH 32 (Cliff Road) in Eagan and Inver Grove Heights
13) CSAH 23 (Cedar Avenue) pedestrian overpass at $140^{\text {th }}$ Street in Apple Valley
14) CSAH 42 Trail and Underpass from $145^{\text {th }}$ Street to Dakota County Technical College in Rosemount
; and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Dakota County Board of Commissioners hereby supports the following city led submittal to TAB for federal funding:

1) Nicollet Avenue and TH 13 interchange in Burnsville
2) CSAH 23 (Cedar Avenue) pedestrian overpass at $147^{\text {th }}$ Street in Apple Valley - Transit Modernization
3) CSAH 9 (Dod Boulevard) Trail from 210 th Street to CSAH 50 (Kenwood Trail) in Lakeville
4) CSAH 73 (Babcock Trail) Trail from Upper $55^{\text {th }}$ St. to I-494 in Inver Grove Heights
5) Lake Marion Greenway from Sunset Park to Rose Bluffs in Burnsville
6) Lake Marion Greenway from Ritter Farm to downtown in Lakeville
7) North Creek Greenway from 199th St. W to Rambling River Park in Farmington
; and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Dakota County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the following County led project for submittal to U.S. Department of Transportation for the RAISE grant program:
8) County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 46 ( $160^{\text {th }}$ Street/Brandel Drive) from Trunk Highway (TH) 3 to TH 52 in Coates, Empire Township, and Rosemount
9) Mississippi River Greenway Trail, Rosemount east segment
; and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Dakota County Board of Commissioners hereby supports the following city led submittal to U.S. Department of Transportation for the RAISE grant program:
10) TH 13 and Nicollet Avenue grade-separated intersection in Burnsville
; and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That, subject to federal funding award of the city-led projects, the Dakota County Board of Commissioners will provide the local match for regional greenway projects and for non-greenway projects will provide Dakota County's share of the matching funds consistent with Dakota County transportation cost-share policies.

## STATE OF MINNESOTA

## County of Dakota




#### Abstract

I, Jeni Reynolds, Clerk to the Board of the County of Dakota, State of Minnesota, do hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy of a resolution with the original minutes of the proceedings of the Board of County Commissioners, Dakota County, Minnesota, at their session held on the $5^{\text {th }}$ day of April 2022, now on file in the County Administration Department, and have found the same to be a true and correct copy thereof.

Witness my hand and official seal of Dakota County this $5^{\text {th }}$ day of April 2022.




