

Appendix H Section 106 Supporting Materials

The following is a list of supporting materials used in the Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act process.

H.1 Memorandum of Agreement

1. Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and Minnesota State Historic Preservation Office (MnHPO), Section 106 Memorandum of Agreement between the Federal Transit Administration and the Minnesota State Historic Preservation Office. Execution ready version, June 2016

H.2 Section 106 Agency Correspondence

- 1. Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) Cultural Resources Unit letter regarding additional inventory information in the Grand Rounds Historic District, March 11, 2014
- 2. MnHPO letter responding to Grand Rounds Historic District additional inventory information received, April 11, 2014
- 3. US Army Corps of Engineers letter delegating authority for FTA to act as the Federal Lead Agency for Section 106 responsibilities, March 30, 2015
- 4. MnDOT Cultural Resources Unit letter transmitting a Section 106 Consultation Package, July 10, 2015
- 5. City of Robbinsdale comment letter on Section 106 Consultation Package, August 7, 2015
- 6. MnHPO letter regarding Section 106 Consultation Package, August 10, 2015
- 7. City of Golden Valley comment letter on Section 106 Consultation Package, August 10, 2015
- 8. City of Minneapolis comment letter on Section 106 Consultation Package, August 10, 2015
- 9. FTA letter transmitting Final Determination of Effect Report to MnHPO, January 20, 2016
- 10. City of Robbinsdale comment letter on Final Determination of Effect Report, February 17, 2016
- 11. City of Brooklyn Park comment letter on Final Determination of Effect Report, February 18, 2016
- 12. Hennepin County comment letter on Final Determination of Effect Report, February 19, 2016
- 13. City of Minneapolis comment letter on Final Determination of Effect Report, February 19, 2016
- 14. City of Golden Valley comment letter on Final Determination of Effect Report, February 19, 2016
- 15. MnHPO letter regarding Final Determination of Effect Report, February 22, 2016
- 16. FTA letter inviting the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation to participate in the proposed METRO Blue Line Light Rail Transit (BLRT) Extension project Section 106 process, February 29, 2016



- 17. Advisory Council on Historic Preservation letter declining participation in the proposed BLRT Extension project Section 106 process, March 15, 2016
- 18. MnDOT Cultural Resources Unit letter re-evaluating the boundaries for the Floyd B. Olson Memorial Statue to MnHPO, March 10, 2016
- 19. MnHPO letter concurring on re-evaluation of boundaries for the Floyd B. Olson Memorial Statue, March 28, 2016

H.3 Section 106 Consultation Meeting Notes

- 1. Proposed BLRT Extension project Section 106 Consultation meeting notes, June 5, 2015
- 2. Proposed BLRT Extension project Section 106 Consultation meeting notes, July 10, 2015
- 3. Proposed BLRT Extension project Section 106 Consultation meeting notes, July 16, 2015
- 4. Proposed BLRT Extension project Section 106 Consultation meeting notes, February 4, 2016
- 5. Proposed BLRT Extension project Section 106 Consultation meeting notes, March 10, 2016
- 6. Proposed BLRT Extension project Section 106 Consultation meeting notes, March 24, 2016

H.4 Other Supporting Documents

- 1. FTA, *METRO Blue Line Extension Light Rail Transit Project Section 106 Assessment of Effects and Final Determination of Effect for Historic Properties (DOE Report)*, January 20, 2016.
- 2. The 106 Group Ltd, *Theodore Wirth Regional Park Cultural Landscape Study for the Blue Line Extension LRT Project*, September 2015.
- 3. The 106 Group Ltd, Phase I and II Architectural History Survey for the Bottineau Transitway Project, Crystal, Brooklyn Park, Golden Valley, Maple Grove, Minneapolis, New Hope, and Robbinsdale, Hennepin County, Minnesota (Volume 1 and 2), 2012. Available at http://metrocouncil.org/Transportation/Projects/Current-Projects/METRO-Blue-Line-Extension/Environmental/Technical-Reports.aspx.
- 4. The 106 Group Ltd, *Phase IA Archaeological Assessment for the Bottineau Transitway Project, Hennepin County, Minnesota*, 2012. This report is available by request.
- 5. The 106 Group Ltd, *Bottineau Transitway Phase I and II Architectural Survey, Hennepin County, Minnesota: Supplemental Report 1*, 2013. Available at <u>http://metrocouncil.org/Transportation/Projects/Current-Projects/METRO-Blue-Line-Extension/Environmental/Technical-Reports.aspx</u>.
- 6. FTA and MnHPO, Section 106 Programmatic Agreement Between the Federal Transit Administration and the Minnesota State Historic Preservation Office Regarding the Construction of the Interchange Project Minneapolis, Minnesota, 2012.