



Regional Parks Policy Plan

2008-2013 Metropolitan Regional Parks Capital
Improvement Program

Adopted
December 12, 2007

January 2008

Metropolitan Council Members

	Peter Bell	Chair	
Roger Scherer	District 1	Natalie Haas Steffen	District 9
Tony Pistilli	District 2	Kris Sanda	District 10
Mary Hill Smith	District 3	Georgeanne Hilker	District 11
Craig Peterson	District 4	Sherry Broecker	District 12
Russ Susag	District 5	Richard Aguilar	District 13
Peggy Leppik	District 6	Kirstin Beach	District 14
Annette Meeks	District 7	Daniel Wolter	District 15
Lynette Wittsack	District 8	Brian McDaniel	District 16

The mission of the Metropolitan Council is to develop, in cooperation with local communities, a comprehensive regional planning framework, focusing on transportation, wastewater, parks and aviation systems that guide the efficient growth of the metropolitan area. The Council operates transit and wastewater services and administers housing and other grant programs.

General phone	651-602-1000
Regional Data Center	651-602-1140
TTY	651-291-0904
Metro Info Line	651-602-1888
E-mail	data.center@metc.state.mn.us
Council Web site	www.metrocouncil.org

On request, this publication will be made available in alternative formats to people with disabilities. Please call the Metropolitan Council Data Center at 651-602-1140 or TTY 651-291-0904.

Printed on recycled paper with a minimum of 20% post-consumer waste.

Publication No. 78-08-007

2008-2013 Metropolitan Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Background

On December 12, 2007, the Metropolitan Council adopted the 2008-2013 Metropolitan Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program (CIP) of \$17.5 million per biennium for prioritized capital improvement projects consisting of \$7 million of Metropolitan Council bonds as a 40% match to State funds or other revenues totaling \$10.5 million. The Parks CIP is part of the Council's 2008-2013 Unified Capital Improvement Program.

Tables 2 through 4 at the end of this document illustrate the priority of projects for each park agency's portion of the CIP, whereas those projects are just listed in alphabetical order by park unit name in the Council's Unified CIP.

The Council's bonds are limited to \$7 million per year, in which up to 33% will be 10-year bonds to finance land acquisition projects and the remainder will be 5-year bonds. The Council bonds will first be used to finance 40% of the CIP as a match to State funds appropriated for the CIP. Any Council bonds not needed to match State appropriations will be made available for the Acquisition Opportunity Fund, which is used to acquire regional park land under threat of loss to the park system when CIP funds are not available.

Overview and Funding

MN Statute 473.147, Subdivision 1 requires the Metropolitan Council, after consultation with the Metropolitan Parks and Open Space Commission, municipalities, park districts and counties in the metropolitan area to prepare and adopt a system policy plan for regional recreation open space as part of the Council's development guide. The law also requires the Council to include a five year capital improvement program (CIP) in the parks policy plan, which should be revised periodically, and to establish criteria and priorities for the allocation of funds from the capital improvement program.

In compliance with the law cited above, the Metropolitan Parks and Open Space Commission in consultation with the 10 regional park agencies that receive capital improvement grants from the parks CIP undertook a thorough review of the CIP's structure. Through six meetings between January and May 2007, the Commission conducted the following analysis which led to the final structure for the CIP. The Metropolitan Council adopted the CIP structure on June 13, 2007. Following is a summary of the rationale for the CIP structure.

1. How should funds in the 2008-13 Parks CIP be split among the 10 park agencies?

The Council concluded that funds in the 2008-13 Parks CIP should be split among the 10 park agencies by considering the agency's population relative to the region's total population and by considering the amount of use its parks and trails receive from persons residing outside its jurisdiction (non-local visits). The population data used in this analysis was the Council's 2005 population estimate for the region. The data illustrating the use parks and trails received by persons residing outside the park agency's jurisdiction (non-local visits) came from a Council study on regional park/trail visitors conducted in 1998-99. The premise for using these factors is that they recognize the need to provide funds for park capital improvements to serve every person in the region relatively equally. And, since these parks/trails served a regional and state-wide population that funds should be disbursed in part on the amount of non-local visitors agencies served in the park system currently developed and open for public use. A non-local visitor is a person who resides outside the host park agency's jurisdiction. For instance, a person residing in St. Paul is a non-local visitor to a regional park that is owned/managed by the Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board.

The Metropolitan Parks and Open Space Commission considered seven formulas that gave different weights to these two factors. Their recommendation to weight population of an agency by 70% and the non-local visits by 30% was based on minimizing the negative impact the results of this formula would have on each agency in relation to the share the agency had been proposed to receive in the 2006-07 CIP. With the exception of Three Rivers Park District and Scott County, all other park agency shares remained the same or went up compared to the share proposed for that agency in the 2006-07 CIP.

However, it should be noted that the CIP share proposed for each park agency in the 2006-07 CIP was not the share it received from the CIP appropriation. For example, the City of St. Paul's proposed CIP share in 2006-07 was 14.6% but it received 23.2% of the CIP appropriation, while Ramsey County's proposed share was 8.9% but it only received 5% of the CIP appropriation. The disconnect between the share the agency was proposed to receive versus the share of the CIP appropriation it actually received was due to ranking individual funding requests of agencies in three project categories of land acquisition, rehabilitation and development. The CIP appropriation of State and Metropolitan Council funds was distributed proportional to the percentage requested for each category—not the percentage of the CIP proposed for a park agency. When less funds were appropriated than what was requested, some projects were cut and others received partial financing in each category. If an agency's projects were ranked low in these categories they received no funding. For example, in the 2006-07 CIP no funds were granted for capital projects in Scott County.

The following Table 1 illustrates the percentage share and subsequent dollar amounts of the \$17.5 million 2008-09 portion of the CIP for each park agency based on this weighted formula. It also compares that share with what was proposed for each agency in the 2006-07 CIP. In Scott County, the Scott County Natural Resources Program and Three Rivers Parks District operate half of the regional parks/trails in the county, so the allocation is split between the two agencies.

Table 1: \$17.5 million 2008-09 Parks CIP based on 70% weight for population and 30% weight for non-local visits

Park Agency	2005 Park Agency Population and Percent of Total Population	Percent of Total 2005 Non-Local Visits to Agency's Parks/ Trails based on 1998-99 visitor origin study	70 percent weighting of population percent	30 percent weighting of Non-Local Visits percent	CIP Share based on 70% weighted population and 30% non-local visits	2006-07 CIP share proposed for that agency. <u>Note:</u> This is not the share of CIP appropriation agency received	Comparison of this 70-30 formula to percent proposed in 2006-07 CIP	Dollar share for each agency of \$17.5 million CIP (\$thousands) based on 70% population and 30% non-local visits
Anoka County	(000's) 326.393	(000's) 1,247.7						
	11.6%	9.0%	8.130%	2.692%	10.822%	9.2%	1.6%	\$ 1,894
City of Bloomington	84.347	365.8						
	3.0%	2.6%	2.101%	0.789%	2.890%	2.2%	0.7%	\$ 506
Carver County	85.204	145.7						
	3.0%	1.0%	2.122%	0.314%	2.437%	2.2%	0.2%	\$ 426
Dakota County	391.558	257.8						
	13.9%	1.9%	9.753%	0.556%	10.310%	8.7%	1.6%	\$ 1,804
Minneapolis Park Board	387.711	4,366.5						
	13.8%	31.4%	9.658%	9.420%	19.078%	19.1%	0.0%	\$ 3,339
Ramsey County	227.873	1,621.9						
	8.1%	11.7%	5.676%	3.499%	9.175%	8.9%	0.3%	\$ 1,606
City of St. Paul	287.385	3,446.4						
	10.2%	24.8%	7.159%	7.435%	14.594%	14.6%	0.0%	\$ 2,554
Three Rivers Park District excluding Bloomington	678.854	1,787.9						
	24.2%	12.9%	16.910%	3.857%	20.767%	25.5%	-4.7%	\$ 3,634
Three Rivers/Scott County	115.997	171.2						
	4.1%	1.2%	2.889%	0.369%	3.259%	3.4%	-0.1%	\$ 570
Washington County	224.857	494.8						
	8.0%	3.6%	5.601%	1.068%	6.669%	6.2%	0.5%	\$ 1,167
Totals	2,810.179	13,905.8						
Total Percent	100.0%	100.0%	70.000%	30.000%	100.000%	100.0%	0.0%	\$ 17,500

2. How should funding requests for the 2008-13 Parks CIP from the park agencies be prioritized?

The Council concluded that funding requests for the CIP should be prioritized by accepting the priority of projects in each park agency's request as long as the projects were consistent with a Metropolitan Council approved master plan as required by law and Council policy. In the cases where an agency was requesting reimbursement for spending local funds on a regional park capital improvement, the Metropolitan Council should have approved reimbursement consideration of that project as required by Council policy. The premise for this approach is that each park agency has unique capital spending priorities for its part of the Regional Park System. By allowing agencies to prioritize Council approved projects for their share of the CIP, and distributing CIP appropriations for each agency's share, the highest priority projects in each agency can be financed.

3. How should funds appropriated for the 2008-13 Parks CIP be distributed in a way that assures the park agency receives the percentage share it was proposed to receive and that funds are spent on projects in priority order of the CIP?

The Council concluded that funds appropriated for the 2008-13 Parks CIP should be distributed to the park agencies equal to the share proposed for that agency in the CIP. Furthermore, the park agencies must then spend their CIP distribution on their prioritized list of funding requests in priority order of their request. Thus partial funding of the CIP would result in partial funding of each agency's prioritized project list with the lowest priority project of each agency receiving reduced or no funding at all. This reinforces the other components of the CIP's structure, assures that each agency receives its share of a CIP appropriation, and assures that the CIP appropriation is spent on Council-approved and agency-prioritized projects.

Grants for the 2008-09 portion of the CIP would be awarded to park agencies for projects in their priority order shown in Table 2 if funds were provided to finance them. If less funds are appropriated than what is proposed (i.e. less than \$10.5 million of State bonds and subsequent reduction in the Council's 40% bond match), then each agency would receive the percentage share of what is appropriated as shown in Table 2 and must use the grant to finance the projects listed in priority order until all funds are spent. For example, if \$9 million of State bonds was appropriated, which would be matched with \$6 million of Council bonds for a total of \$15 million, then Anoka County would be granted 10.8% of that amount (\$1,620,000) and would have to spend that money on projects 1 and 2 plus \$681,000 on project 3 shown in Table 2.

The projects and their priority shown in Tables 3 and 4—containing the 2010 to 2013 portion of the CIP--will be subject to review and can be changed when the CIP is prepared for the 2010-2015 period in 2009.

Park acquisition opportunity fund

Any Council bonds that are unmatched with State appropriations for the 2008-09 parks CIP will be used to acquire land in the Park Acquisition Opportunity Fund. For example, if \$9 million of State appropriations are made for the 2008-09 CIP, only \$6 million of Council bonds would be needed to match the State funds. Since the Council is committed to issuing up to \$7 million per year for park capital spending—a total of \$14 million for the 2008-09 biennium, then \$8 million would be put into the Acquisition Opportunity Fund. (\$14 million biennial commitment minus \$6 million match to State funds equals \$8 million).

The Park Acquisition Opportunity Fund rules adopted on January 9, 2008 by the Metropolitan Council are as follows:

1. That the Metropolitan Council adopt the following rules for distributing grants from the Park Acquisition Opportunity Fund. The rules are effective from their date of adoption to June 30, 2008.
 - A. Grants from the Park Acquisition Opportunity Fund may only be awarded to finance a portion of the cost to acquire land within Metropolitan Council-approved master plan boundaries, and only after the requesting regional park implementing agency has used available acquisition grant funds previously provided by the Metropolitan Council.
 - B. Any interest cost on a contract for deed or other timed payment plan is not eligible for grant funding. The value of a discounted sale (i.e. the difference between the appraised value and a reduced sale price) is not counted as part of the cost to acquire land and is not included as part of a local match to the grant.
 - C. Grants from the Park Acquisition Opportunity Fund may finance a portion of the actual cost to acquire land after deducting any Metropolitan Council grants used to finance a portion of the cost in one of two options as chosen by the requesting regional park implementing agency:

Option 1, Existing Rule:

The Park Acquisition Opportunity Fund Grant finances up to 40% of the net cost of acquiring the land which is defined as the purchase price—not the appraised value; legal fees, appraisal costs and other closing costs incurred by the park agency; the property tax equivalency payment due to the city or township; and stewardship costs.

That portion of the remaining 60% or more of the net cost to acquire the land as defined above that is financed by regional park implementing agency funds—not grants from other sources--may be eligible for reimbursement consideration in future Metropolitan Council regional park capital improvement programs.

The maximum grant(s) available to a park agency under Option 1 is \$1 million.

Option 2, Revised Rule:

The Park Acquisition Opportunity Fund Grant finances up to 75% of the net cost of acquiring the land which is defined as the purchase price—not the appraised value; legal fees, appraisal costs and other closing costs incurred by the park agency; the property tax equivalency payment due to the city or township; and stewardship costs.

A maximum contribution of 25% of the net cost of acquiring the land as defined above that is financed by regional park implementing agency funds—not grants from other sources--is not eligible for reimbursement

consideration by the Metropolitan Council. Any contribution above 25% that is financed with regional park implementing agency funds is eligible for reimbursement consideration by the Metropolitan Council.

The maximum grant(s) available to a park agency under Option 2 is \$1.7 million.

D. Once a park agency chooses an option for an Acquisition Opportunity Grant, it is limited to using that option and associated agency limit for any future grants until these rules expire on June 30, 2008.

2. That the Metropolitan Council reconsider the rules cited in Recommendation 1 for the period after June 30, 2008 once the Legislature has considered an appropriation of Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund revenue to the Park Acquisition Opportunity Fund.

Park policy strategies and criteria used for the CIP

The *2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan* has specific strategies regarding the parks CIP:

- who is eligible to receive park CIP grants--Strategy 2 (b)
- eligible projects must be consistent with Council approved master plans--Strategy 2 (a)

Metropolitan Parks and Open Space Commission criteria for determining what land acquisition costs will be financed with State and Metropolitan Council regional park acquisition funds

Parcels within master plan boundaries for regional parks, park reserves and trails may include homes or other structures. Parcels with homes are called "residential inholdings". Although most land within approved park boundaries has not been developed, there are cases where acquiring residential inholdings will reduce costs to operate/maintain and develop recreation facilities in that park. In some cases, the home has been converted into a park visitor center or other park building. One example is the visitor center at Lake Minnetonka Regional Park. In other cases, the park has been established after some residential development has occurred. The residential inholdings are surrounded by parkland and become difficult to provide urban services to. For instance, long private or public access roads serving the inholdings are not cost-efficient to maintain.

Since 1994, the Minnesota Legislature has reviewed proposed acquisition of residential inholdings before State funds could be released to partially finance the parcel's acquisition. The 2007 appropriation of Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund revenue of \$2.5 million for the Park Acquisition Opportunity Fund specifically limited spending that appropriation on parcels that did not contain residential structures. Consequently, only Metropolitan Council bonds can be used to acquire residential inholdings.

The Metropolitan Parks and Open Space Commission and the Metropolitan Council are equally concerned that Council bond funds are spent on acquiring land or interests in residential inholdings that benefit the regional park system to the greatest extent possible. In order to guide the Metropolitan Parks and Open Space Commission's and the Metropolitan Council's review of funding requests for residential inholdings, the following criteria will be considered:

1. What are the relative costs of the home versus the land being proposed for acquisition? Are Council funds essentially buying high quality natural resource land or an expensive home? Can the parcel be subdivided so that the home does not need to be acquired for the park?
2. Can the home be converted to a park building at a lower cost compared to buying raw land and constructing a new park building?

3. Will acquiring the residential inholding eliminate or control a park management nuisance problem? For example, will a private or public access road in a park that had been used as a site for illegal dumping be eliminated or gated if the residential inholding is acquired?
4. Will acquiring a residential inholding allow construction of recreation facilities to occur at a cost that is more economical than trying to construct a recreation facility around the inholding? For instance, can a trail around a lake, wetland or steep topography be constructed by purchasing the residential inholding at less cost than rerouting the trail?
5. Is the parcel needed for the park or trail to function or could the boundary be amended to eliminate the inholding without adversely affecting the park or trail? Developed parcels at road intersections or undeveloped parcels located on roads and between developed parcels are particularly hard to justify acquisition funding for if their acquisition has little or no positive impact on the park's function. Park agencies have amended boundaries to eliminate these types of inholdings.

Using these criteria, the Metropolitan Parks and Open Space Commission will propose to the Metropolitan Council what Council bonds should finance in acquiring inholdings as part of the Metropolitan Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program. Based on what the Council decides to finance, regional park agencies have the following choices to consider in acquiring residential inholdings:

- a. The park agency can propose the Council finance the home as well as the land costs of the inholding based on meeting the criteria listed above. The park agency can also propose to buy a surface easement through the residential inholding—especially for trail projects.
- b. The park agency can propose to pay for the home costs with its own funds and request the Council to buy the land and finance the associated professional services and tax equivalency payment. This approach is justified when the park agency and the Council agree the land should be purchased but disagree that the home is adding value to the park's function or is a reasonable expenditure. Using park agency funds to acquire the home recognizes that the park agency has received property tax revenue from the homeowner for services required of the homeowner. The park agency can therefore justify spending that property tax revenue on purchasing the home, while the Metropolitan Council can justify financing the land costs of the parcel to be used for park purposes.
- c. The park agency or the Metropolitan Council can decide to remove the residential inholding from the boundary of the park or trail through a master plan amendment. This choice is best if there is no significant benefit to acquiring the land for the park or trail.
- d. The park agency can decide to acquire the residential inholding with its own funds.

Table 2: 2008-09 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Regional Park Implementing Agency	Agency Priority	Project Location	Project Description	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)	Project Total Subgrant (\$000's)
Anoka County	1	Bunker Hills Regional Park	Rehabilitate approx. 2 miles of bituminous trails; construct approx. 1 mile of new bituminous trail, construct a new restroom building, play structure and interpretive facility; rehabilitate building for satellite maintenance shop; landscaping; site furnishings; and utilities.	\$ 597	\$ 261	\$ 858
Anoka County	2	East Anoka County Regional Trail	Reimbursement to the City of Blaine via Anoka County for half the cost of constructing 2.25 miles of bituminous trail that was completed in 2005.	\$ -	\$ 81	\$ 81
Anoka County	3	Rice Creek Chain of Lakes Park Reserve	Construct campground visitor center, road and parking lot; construct approx. 1 mile of new trail, 1 picnic shelter, playground, landscaping, restoration, and upgrade utilities.	\$ 569	\$ 171	\$ 740
Anoka County	4	Anoka County Riverfront Regional Park	Reconstruct parking lot, lighting, landscaping/restoration, site furnishings, and utilities.	\$ 54	\$ 36	\$ 90
Anoka County	5	Central Anoka County Regional Trail	Reimbursement for constructing 2 miles of bituminous trail that is scheduled to be completed in 2008. Matched with \$125,388 provided by City of Centerville.	\$ -	\$ 125	\$ 125
Anoka County Percentage Share is 10.8% Subtotal is				\$ 1,220	\$ 674	\$ 1,894

Table 2 continued: 2008-09 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Regional Park Implementing Agency	Agency Priority	Project Location	Project Description	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)	Project Total Subgrant (\$000's)
City of Bloomington	1	Hyland-Bush-Anderson Lakes Park Reserve - Bush Lake Park Unit	Reimbursement for partial funding to acquire in-holding property located at 9625 East Bush Lake Road. Property acquired October, 2004.	\$ -	\$ 67	\$ 67
City of Bloomington	2	Hyland-Bush-Anderson Lakes Park Reserve - Bush Lake Park Unit	Reconstruct some of the bituminous trails in the Bush Lake unit of the park as far as the funds will allow.	\$ 330	\$ 109	\$ 439
City of Bloomington Percentage Share is 2.9% Subtotal is				\$ 330	\$ 176	\$ 506
Carver County	1	Lake Waconia Regional Park	Reimburse the County for partially financing the acquisition of 43.94 acres acquired for the park in late 2006 and early 2007.	\$ -	\$ 426	\$ 426
Carver County Percentage Share is 2.4% Subtotal is				\$ -	\$ 426	\$ 426
Dakota County	1	Lebanon Hills Regional Park	Loop trail of 1.1 miles around McDonough Lake plus other connector trail spurs (approximately .3 miles); parking lot redevelopment for efficiency, security and improved storm water management; trail connections between visitor center, beach, overflow parking lot; interpretive and play elements; and beach shade structures.	\$ 667	\$ 83	\$ 750
Dakota County	2	Big Rivers Regional Trail	Design and construction of trail head including small shelter with restroom core, trailhead orientation, drinking water, related restoration and landscaping.	\$ 353	\$ 47	\$ 400
Dakota County	3	Lebanon Hills Regional Park	Design and construction of a trailhead including a new parking lot (capacity 60), small restroom building, drinking water, trailhead orientation signage. Also remove inadequate and poorly located parking lot and restore site.	\$ 369	\$ 60	\$ 429
Dakota County	4	Lake Byllesby Regional Park	Reimbursement for county funds spent on the development of the Lake Byllesby Regional Park road and beach project of 1997.	\$ -	\$ 225	\$ 225
Dakota County Percentage Share is 10.3% Subtotal is				\$ 1,389	\$ 415	\$ 1,804

Table 2 continued: 2008-09 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Regional Park Implementing Agency	Agency Priority	Project Location	Project Description	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)	Project Total Subgrant (\$000's)
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Board	1	Minnehaha Regional Park	Rehabilitation of Wabun / Omemee picnic area and all related site elements, and may include such facilities as picnic tables, lighting, maintenance garage, benches, entrance drives, approximately 2 1/2 miles of paths, parking, restrooms, shelters, drinking fountain, play equipment, wading pool, overlook, stormwater management, signage, entry monuments, landscaping, bridges, related facilities, and tasks to include design, survey, soil borings, engineering and construction. Portion of the work initially financed by MPRB in 2007 and early 2008 and is proposed for reimbursement with Metro Council bonds. Also \$300,000 provided by MN Veterans Home Board	\$ 1,741	\$ 1,059	\$ 2,800
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Board	2	Theodore Wirth Regional Park	Continued major rehabilitation of Wirth Lake Beach that may include such facilities as play equipment, sand volleyball court, 1/2 court basketball, picnic area, life guard tower(s), new turf areas, wetland enhancements, plaza surrounding recently rebuilt beach building, and support facilities such as paths, boardwalk, site furniture, landscaping, and all related tasks such as demolition, survey, design, construction, consulting.	\$ 532	\$ 7	\$ 539
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Bd. Percentage Share is 19.1% Subtotal is				\$ 2,273	\$ 1,066	\$ 3,339
Ramsey County	1	Rice Creek North Regional Trail	Reimbursement to Ramsey County for balance of construction costs for that section of Rice Creek North Regional Trail within the former Twin City Army Ammunition Plant. Project Includes 2.2 miles of paved bicycle/pedestrian trail, construction of an 85 foot bridge over Rice Creek, wetland development, fencing and turf establishment. Previous Metropolitan Council Grant will finance \$455,000 of project costs.	\$ -	\$ 145	\$ 145
Ramsey County	2	Bald Eagle-Otter Lakes Regional Park & Rice Creek North Regional Trail	Construct natural resource restoration projects at Tamarack Nature Center (50 acre prairie) within Bald Eagle-Otter Lakes Regional Park and Rice Creek North Regional Trail (22 acre prairie).	\$ 30	\$ 20	\$ 50

Table 2 continued: 2008-09 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Regional Park Implementing Agency	Agency Priority	Project Location	Project Description	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)	Project Total Subgrant (\$000's)
Ramsey County	3	Keller Regional Park	Continued phased redevelopment of Keller Regional Park to include construction of additional restrooms and picnic shelters. Associated sitework includes parking, pathways and landscaping. Previously completed phases include installation of sewer and water utilities and construction of five restroom facilities	\$ 932	\$ 479	\$ 1,411
Ramsey County Percentage Share is 9.2% Subtotal is				\$ 962	\$ 644	\$ 1,606
City of Saint Paul	1	Como Regional Park	Reimbursement to Saint Paul Public Works for a loan of \$149, 000 to fund the budget gap to award and complete construction of the Como Trail project.	\$ -	\$ 149	\$ 149
City of Saint Paul	2	Lilydale Regional Park	Detailed site survey and design plans for major park improvements including infrastructure/utilities, road alignment, trail alignment, bridge structure, trailhead, soft camping, parking, wetland/ storm water improvements, interpretive signage, lake improvements, and former brick quarry site.	\$ 60	\$ 40	\$ 100
City of Saint Paul	3	Sam Morgan Regional Trail	Design and engineering of 1.7 miles and reconstruction of 0.9 miles of this section of trail between 35E and Hwy 5 which is in poor condition and only 8 feet wide. Project includes design and engineering for entire 1.7 miles and construction of 0.6 miles.	\$ 414	\$ 194	\$ 608
City of Saint Paul	4	Cherokee Regional Park	Complete design and engineering for 1.3 miles of trails and overlooks from Ohio Street to Annapolis along the bluff side of Cherokee Parkway. This request follows up on the 2006 grant for preliminary design.	\$ 26	\$ 167	\$ 193
City of St. Paul	5	Como Regional Park	Design and engineering for reconstruction of Estabrook Drive from Lexington west to the Frog Pond (including Lexington intersection), and Nason Place from Estabrook Drive to Aida Place.	\$ 102	401	\$ 503
City of Saint Paul	6	Como Regional Park	Design/engineering of a phased construction of an expanded outdoor aquatics facility at the site of the existing Como Pool including renovation of existing pool, new building with outdoor shower facilities, expanded parking area, wave pool, splash pad and infrastructure for future development phases.	\$ 182	\$ 455	\$ 637

Table 2 continued: 2008-09 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Regional Park Implementing Agency	Agency Priority	Project Location	Project Description	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)	Project Total Subgrant (\$000's)
City of Saint Paul	7	Harriet Island Regional Park	Design and construction of 110+/- parking spaces adjacent to Water Street including lighting, utilities and storm water treatment.	\$ 118	\$ 246	\$ 364
City of St. Paul Percentage Share is 14.6% Subtotal is				\$ 902	\$ 1,652	\$ 2,554
Scott County	1	Doyle-Kennefick Regional Park and Cedar Lake Farm Regional Park	Partial reimbursement for County's contributions towards acquisition of the 400-acre Doyle family property at Doyle-Kennifick Regional Park and the 61-acre Cedar Lake Farm property at Cedar Lake Farm Regional Park. .	\$ -	\$ 570	\$ 570
Scott County Percentage Share is 3.3% Subtotal is				\$ -	\$ 570	\$ 570
Three Rivers Park Distr	1	Lake Rebecca Park Reserve	Construction phase to rehabilitate paved roads, parking lots, paved trails and trail connections in the park as part of the Pavement Management program	\$ 3,110	\$ 524	\$ 3,634
Three Rivers Park District Percentage Share is 20.8% Subtotal is				\$ 3,110	\$ 524	\$ 3,634
Washington County	1	Lake Elmo Park Reserve	Reimburse County funding for replacing playground equipment to meet ADA requirements in 2004.	\$ -	\$ 125	\$ 125
Washington County	2	St. Croix Bluffs Regional Park	Design and construct a new shower building, well, water distribution system and dump station area in the campground.	\$ 314	\$ 168	\$ 482
Washington County	3	St. Croix Bluffs Regional Park	Partial reimbursement for County funding of a 208 acre parcel that was acquired on Oct. 31, 1996 for the park.	\$ -	\$ 560	\$ 560
Washington County Percentage Share is 6.7% Subtotal is				\$ 314	\$ 853	\$ 1,167
Grand Total				\$ 10,500	\$ 7,000	\$ 17,500

Table 3: 2010-11 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Park Agency	Agency Priority	Park/Trail Name	Project Description	Grant Amount (\$000's)	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)
Anoka County	1	Anoka County Riverfront Regional Park/Islands of Peace	Construct disc golf course, construct one new picnic pavilion, rehabilitate two existing pavilions, rehabilitate two existing restrooms, reconstruct/rehabilitate roadway and parking lots, rehabilitate existing trails, construct new trails, construct observation deck/overlook, landscaping/restoration, lighting/utilities, site furnishings/signage, fees and contingencies.	\$ 685	\$ 411	\$ 274
Anoka County	2	Lake George Regional Park	Construct new restroom building, landscaping/restoration, utilities/lighting, site furnishings/signage, fees and contingencies.	\$ 355	\$ 213	\$ 142
Anoka County	3	Rice Creek Chain of Lakes Park Reserve	Construct picnic pavilion, construct canoe launch, construct bituminous trails, dock at boat launch, construct additional parking lot at Centerville Beach, campground improvements, utilities/lighting, site furnishings/signage, landscaping/restoration, fees and contingencies.	\$ 565	\$ 339	\$ 226
Anoka County	4	Coon Rapids Dam Regional Park	Rehabilitate 1 mile of bituminous trail, rehabilitate picnic pavilion, rehabilitate 1 restroom building, landscaping/restoration, lighting/utilities, site furnishings/signage, fees and contingencies.	\$ 289	\$ 173	\$ 116
Anoka County subtotal Percentage Share is 10.8% Subtotal is				\$ 1,894	\$ 1,136	\$ 758

Table 3 continued: 2010-11 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Park Agency	Agency Priority	Park/Trail Name	Project Description	Grant Amount (\$000's)	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)
City of Bloomington	1	Hyland-Bush-Anderson Lakes Park Reserve - Bush Lake & Normandale Lake Park Units	Reconstruct bituminous trails that are reaching their useful life expectancy including remainder of trail north of Picnic Shelter #1 to south tip of Bush Lake that wasn't funded in 2008-09 CIP, plus trail between Parking Lot to Brown's Point and canoe racks to main path in Bush Lake Unit, plus trail between Picnic Shelter #1 parking lot to volleyball court, trail between Brown's Point to Picnic Shelter #1 and a portion of trail loop around Normandale Lake.	\$ 506	\$ 304	\$ 202
City of Bloomington Percentage Share is 2.9% Subtotal is				\$ 506	\$ 304	\$ 202
Carver County	1	Lake Waconia Regional Park	Land Acquisition -Acquire lakeshore and 2.94 acres of property	\$ 426	\$ 256	\$ 170
Carver County Percentage Share is 2.4% Subtotal is				\$ 426	\$ 256	\$ 170
Dakota County	1	Lake Byllesby Regional Park	Design and construction of Echo Point improvements, including public pier, picnic area and shelter, lakeshore trails, access drive and parking lot (approximately 20 cars), and ecological restoration efforts.	\$ 484	\$ 400	\$ 84
Dakota County	2	Vermillion Highlands Regional Park	Design and construction of initial facility development of a new regional park per master plan to be completed in 2009. Anticipated to include parking lot, orientation signage, drinking water, small shelter, trail development, natural resource restoration.	\$ 500	\$ 410	\$ 90
Dakota County	3	Lebanon Hills Regional Park	Design and construction of campground contact station. Project includes an approximate 1200 square foot building with contact areas, restrooms, storage; utility and trail connections; ecological landscaping; and remodeling of existing contact station into multi-use indoor public space.	\$ 600	\$ 360	\$ 240
Dakota County	4	Lebanon Hills Regional Park	Reimbursement for county funds used for the Morotzke/Damann property purchase in 2002.	\$ 220	\$ -	\$ 220
Dakota County Percentage Share is 10.3% Subtotal is				\$ 1,804	\$ 1,170	\$ 634

Table 3 continued: 2010-11 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Park Agency	Agency Priority	Park/Trail Name	Project Description	Grant Amount (\$000's)	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Board	1	Theodore Wirth Regional Park	Continued major rehab of Wirth Lake Beach that may include such facilities as play equipment, sand volleyball court, 1/2 court basketball, picnic area, life guard tower(s), new turf areas, wetland enhancements, plaza surrounding recently rebuilt beach building, and support facilities such as paths, boardwalk, site furniture, landscaping, parking, raingarden and all related tasks such as demolition, design, survey, soil borings and other investigations, engineering, permits, approvals, construction.and consulting.	\$ 1,000	\$ 600	\$ 400
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Board	2	BF Nelson - Part of the Mississippi Central Riverfront Regional Park	Design, engineering, permits, approvals and construction of first phase of park development, including circulation, interpretive. features, plantings, site furnishings, demolition, design, survey, soil borings and other investigations, engineering construction.and consulting.all according to the master plan.	\$ 1,000	\$ 600	\$ 400
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Board	3	Above The Falls Regional Park	Continue Phase I west bank trails and site development that may include paths, grading, demolition, design, survey, soil borings and other investigations, engineering, permits, approvals, construction and consulting, overlooks, parking, water fountain, restrooms, retaining walls, signage, lighting, raingardens, bank and slope stabilization, landscaping, street lane closure, and related items.	\$ 1,000	\$ 600	\$ 400
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Board	4	Bohemian Flats - Part of Mississippi Gorge Regional Park	Site development that may include demolition, design, survey, soil borings and other investigations, engineering, construction, consulting, bank and slope stabilizaiton, rain gardens, parking, plaza down to river, paths, landscaping, playground equipment, shelters, wading pool, volleyball court(s), water fountain, restrooms, signage, boat launch,	\$ 339	\$ 203	\$ 136
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Board Percentage Share is 19.1% Subtotal is				\$ 3,339	\$ 2,003	\$ 1,336

Table 3 continued: 2010-11 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Park Agency	Agency Priority	Park/Trail Name	Project Description	Grant Amount (\$000's)	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)
Ramsey County	1	Battle Creek Regional Park	Construct new picnic shelter to replace deteriorated and inadequate existing shelter. Associated sitework and site amenities to include pathways, landscaping, picnic tables, grills and benches.	\$ 250	\$ 150	\$ 100
Ramsey County	2	Bald Eagle-Otter Lakes Regional Park	Natural resource restoration projects to include buckthorn removal and woodland revegetation in Otter Lake Segment. This is part of on-going restoration per the Natural Resources Restoration Plan that covers over 2000 acres of prairie, wetlands, oak savanna and mesic wood.	\$ 50	\$ 30	\$ 20
Ramsey County	3	Keller Regional Park	Continued phased development of Keller Regional Park to include construction of four picnic shelters of various capacity in the "golf view" site and associated sitework and site amenities. Sitework and amenities to include pathways, parking, landscaping, picnic tables, grills and benches. Previously completed phases include installation of service utilities and construction of five restroom facilities.	\$ 1,306	\$ 784	\$ 522
Ramsey County Percentage Share is 9.2% Subtotal is				\$ 1,606	\$ 964	\$ 642
City of St. Paul	1	Lilydale Regional Park	Begin implementation of priority projects determined in the master plan such as: infrastructure improvements, road realignment and enhancement, picnic and camping facilities, trails, lake ecosystem and landscaping improvements including invasive plant removal.	\$ 812	\$ 487	\$ 325
City of St. Paul	2	Sam Morgan Regional Trail	Funding to complete construction of the existing deteriorated bituminous bike and pedestrian trail between HWY 5 and 35E. TRenovation will include separation of bicyclists and pedestrians in areas where there is sufficient space between Shepard Rd. and the river bluff.	\$ 742	\$ 445	\$ 297

Table 3 continued: 2010-11 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Park Agency	Agency Priority	Park/Trail Name	Project Description	Grant Amount (\$000's)	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)
City of St. Paul	3	Cherokee Regional Park	Construct a 1.3 mile section of trail and scenic overlooks that runs along the bluff of the Mississippi River south of downtown Saint Paul.	\$ 1,000	\$ 600	\$ 400
City of St. Paul Percentage Share is 14.6% Subtotal is				\$ 3,634	\$ 2,667	\$ 967
Scott County	1	Doyle-Kennefick and Cedar Lake Farms Regional Parks	Continued acquisition of land within Doyle-Kennefick Regional Park and Cedar Lake Farm Regional Park approved acquisition master plan boundaries.	\$ 570	\$ 342	\$ 228
Scott County Percentage Share is 3.3% Subtotal is				\$ 570	\$ 342	\$ 228
Three Rivers Park District	1	Lake Rebecca Park Reserve	Complete construction phase begun in 2008-09 to rehabilitate paved roads, parking lots, paved trails and trail connections in the park as part of the Pavement Management program	\$ 294	\$ 176	\$ 118
Three Rivers Park District	2	Elm Creek Park Reserve	Rehabilitate Eastman Nature Center by relocating office space, expanding programming space, parking lot expansion, utility upgrades and ADA upgrades	\$ 3,340	\$ 2,491	\$ 849
Three Rivers Park District Percentage Share is 20.8% Subtotal is				\$ 3,634	\$ 2,667	\$ 967
Washington County	1	St. Croix Bluffs Regional Park	Complete reimbursement for a portion of 'Parcel A', a 208 acre parcel that was acquired by Washington County on 10/31/96 for St. Croix Bluffs Regional Park. The remainder was requested in 2008-09 CIP.	\$ 560	\$ -	\$ 560
Washington County	2	Lake Elmo Park Reserve	Design, engineer, and construct lighting for 3.5 miles of cross country ski trails in Lake Elmo Park Reserve. Lake Elmo Park Reserve currently has 12 miles of unlighted ski trails.	\$ 209	\$ 125	\$ 84
Washington County	3	Big Marine Park Reserve	Reimbursement for the purchase of the Richardson Property in Big Marine Park Reserve.	\$ 398	\$ -	\$ 398
Washington County Percentage Share is 6.7% Subtotal is				\$ 1,167	\$ 125	\$ 1,042
Grand Totals				\$ 17,500	\$ 10,500	\$ 7,000

Table 4: 2012-13 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Park Agency	Agency Priority	Park/Trail Name	Project Description	Grant Amount (\$000's)	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)
Anoka County	1	Coon Rapids Dam Regional Park	Rehabilitate park road and parking lots, construct phase one of new maintenance facility, Landscaping/restoration, Utilities/Lighting, Site Furnishings/Signage, Fees and Contingencies.	\$ 799	\$ 479	\$ 320
Anoka County	2	Lake George Regional Park	Replace 2 existing picnic shelters, rehabilitate bituminous trail, landscaping/restoration, signage/site furnishings, lighting/utilities, fees and contingencies.	\$ 155	\$ 93	\$ 62
Anoka County	3	Rice Creek West Regional Trail	Reconstruct roadway and parking lots, landscaping, restoration, signage/site furnishings, fees and contingencies.	\$ 250	\$ 150	\$ 100
Anoka County	4	Mississippi West Regional Park	Construct entrance road and parking lot, construct 1 mile of bituminous trail, construct river overlook, landscaping/restoration, site furnishings/signage, lighting/utilities, fees and contingencies.	\$ 690	\$ 414	\$ 276
Anoka County subtotal Percentage Share is 10.8% Subtotal is				\$ 1,894	\$ 1,136	\$ 758
City of Bloomington	1	Hyland-Bush-Anderson Lakes Park Reserve - Normandale Lake Park Unit	Reconstruct portion of bituminous trail loop around Normandale Lake that is reaching its useful life expectancy.	\$ 506	\$ 304	\$ 202
City of Bloomington Percentage Share is 2.9% Subtotal is				\$ 506	\$ 304	\$ 202
Carver County	1	Lake Waconia Regional Park	Acquire 19.25 acres along lake shore for future boat access,	\$ 426	\$ 256	\$ 170
Carver County Percentage Share is 2.4% Subtotal is				\$ 426	\$ 256	\$ 170

Table 4 continued: 2012-13 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Park Agency	Agency Priority	Park/Trail Name	Project Description	Grant Amount (\$000's)	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)
Dakota County	1	Miesville Ravine Park Reserve	Design and construction of initial facilities including canoe launch with parking (approximately 30 cars), restrooms, drinking water, picnic area; Trout Brook north trailhead with parking (approximately 15 cars), drinking water and picnic area; Ridge Line trail (4 miles) and Trout Brook trail (2.5 miles).	\$ 750	\$ 551	\$ 199
Dakota County	2	Lebanon Hills Regional Park	Sustainable trail redevelopment (approximately 7 miles) in the central and western portions of the park. Project also includes restoration of old trail alignments, and trail and wayfinding signage improvements.	\$ 250	\$ 200	\$ 50
Dakota County	3	Spring Lake Park Reserve	Continued facility development per master plan, including trails, picnic area, trailhead development, and signage.	\$ 603	\$ 412	\$ 191
Dakota County	4	Lebanon Hills Regional Park	Reimbursement for county funds used for the Medin property purchase in 2003.	\$ 201	\$ -	\$ 201
Dakota County Percentage Share is 10.3% Subtotal				\$ 1,804	\$ 1,163	\$ 641
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Board	1	BF Nelson - Part of the Mississippi Central Riverfront Regional Park	Continue development begun in previous phase that may include such items as demolition, design, survey, soil borings and other investigations, engineering, construction, consulting, permits, approvals and construction of first phase of park development, incl. circulation, interp. features, plantings, site furnishings, all according to the master plan.	\$ 1,000	\$ 600	\$ 400
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Board	2	Bohemian Flats - Part of Mississippi Gorge Regional Park	Continue site development that may include demolition, design, survey, soil borings and other investigations, engineering construction and consulting, grading, bank and slope stabilizaiton, rain gardens, parking, plaza down to river, paths, landscaping, playground equipment, shelters, wading pool, volleyball court(s), water fountain, restrooms, signage, boat launch, lighting and related items.	\$ 1,500	\$ 900	\$ 600

Table 4 continued: 2012-13 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Park Agency	Agency Priority	Park/Trail Name	Project Description	Grant Amount (\$000's)	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Board	3	Above The Falls Regional Park	Continue Phase I west bank trails and site development that may include paths, grading, demolition, design, survey, soil borings and other investigations, engineering, permits, approvals, construction, consulting, overlooks, parking, water fountain, restrooms, retaining walls, signage, lighting, raingardens, bank and slope stabilization, landscaping, street lane closure, and related items.	\$ 839	\$ 503	\$ 336
Minneapolis Park & Rec. Board Percentage Share is 19.1% Subtotal is				\$ 3,339	\$ 2,003	\$ 1,336
Ramsey County	1	Vadnais-Snail Lake Regional Park	Natural resources restoration in Grass Lake segment including development of additional prairie and oak savanna acreage. This is part of our on-going natural resources management of the Grass Lake and Snail Lake segments of this regional park.	\$ 50	\$ 30	\$ 20
Ramsey County	2	Keller Regional Park	Final phase of redevelopment of Keller Regional Park to include construction of restroom and picnic facilities at "Round Lake" site. Associated sitework and site amenities include parking, pathways, landscaping, picnic tables, grills and benches. Installation of sanitary sewer and water service utilities and the construction of five restroom facilities have been completed to date.	\$ 1,281	\$ 769	\$ 512
Ramsey County	3	Tony Schmidt Regional Park	Construct main north-south 10' wide paved trail from the existing railroad underpass to County Road E-2. A one-third section of this trail has been completed from Lake Johanna Boulevard, north through the underpass. This project will complete the trail to County Road E-2.	\$ 125	\$ 75	\$ 50
Ramsey County	4	Vadnais-Snail Lake Regional Park	Complete paved trails in Grass Lake segment per the approved masterplan.	\$ 150	\$ 90	\$ 60
Ramsey County Percentage Share is 9.2% Subtotal is				\$ 1,606	\$ 964	\$ 642

Table 4 continued: 2012-13 Portion of 2008-13 Regional Parks Capital Improvement Program

Park Agency	Agency Priority	Park/Trail Name	Project Description	Grant Amount (\$000's)	State Bonds (\$000's)	Metro Council Bonds (\$000's)
City of St. Paul	1	Como Regional Park	Reconstruct old and construct new park roads, lighting, signage	\$ 2,000	\$ 1,200	\$ 800
City of St. Paul	2	Phalen Regional Park	Design a new Phalen Regional Park maintenance facility which will also serve the entire East side of St. Paul. Local match is required for portion that benefits non-regional parks. Also design improvements for the Phalen Regional Park picnic area including trail work, picnic tables, grills and landscaping.	\$ 554	\$ 332	\$ 222
City of St. Paul Percentage Share is 14.6% Subtotal is				\$ 2,554	\$ 1,532	\$ 1,022
Three Rivers Park District	1	Elm Creek Park Reserve	Complete construction phase begun in 2010-11 to rehabilitate Eastman Nature Center by relocating office space, expanding programming space, parking lot expansion, utility upgrades and ADA upgrades	\$ 515	\$ 309	\$ 206
Three Rivers Park District	2	French Regional Park	Rehabilitate and expansion of the visitor center in increase interior area, external plaza and sidewalks.	\$ 3,119	\$ 1,871	\$ 1,248
Three Rivers Park District Percentage Share is 20.8% Subtotal is				\$ 3,634	\$ 2,180	\$ 1,454
Three Rivers Park District/Scott County	1	Cleary Lake Regional Park	Construct maintenance facility including building, parking lot, and related utilities.	\$ 570	\$ 342	\$ 228
Three Rivers Park District/Scott County Percentage Share is 3.3%				\$ 570	\$ 342	\$ 228
Washington County	1	Lake Elmo Park Reserve	Design and engineer a nature center in Lake Elmo Park Reserve	\$ 200	\$ 120	\$ 80
Washington County	1	Lake Elmo Park Reserve	Construct a Nature Center in Lake Elmo Park Reserve	\$ 967	\$ 500	\$ 467
Washington County Percentage Share is 6.7% Subtotal is				\$ 1,167	\$ 620	\$ 547
Grand Totals				\$ 17,500	\$ 10,500	\$ 7,000