Regional Streetcar Policy Discussion Research on Economic Impact of Streetcars

October 19, 2016

Metropolitan Council



Background

- Streetcar Policy Peer Region and Survey Research Presented to Met Council in January 2015
- Three Follow Up Topics:
 - 1. Economic Development and Regional Competitiveness Impacts of Streetcars
 - 2. Experience of Coordination/ Interoperability of Streetcars and LRT in other Cities
 - 3. Operating Streetcars in Inclement Weather

Metropolitan Council Streetcar Policy Development

Case Study Report

January 2015



PARSONS BRINCKERHOFF



The Economic Development Impact of Streetcars: A Summary of Findings

- National Research
 - Cato Institute
 - Center for TOD
 - Congressional Research Services
 - Econ. Dev. Research Group
 - Institute for Transportation and Development Policy
 - Mineta Transportation Institute
 - Transportation Research Board and Transit Cooperative Research Program

- Local Studies
 - Albuquerque
 - Arlington County VA
 - Charlotte
 - Cincinnati
 - Little Rock
 - Los Angeles
 - Memphis
 - Portland
 - Providence
 - Sacramento
 - Salt Lake City
 - Seattle
 - Tampa
 - West Saint Paul/Saint Paul
 - Washington DC



Some modern Some vintage

Three Questions



- 1. What are the potential economic development impacts of streetcars?
- 2. How do streetcar analyses estimate or measure economic impacts?
- 3. What are the incremental economic impacts of streetcars as compared to other transit modes?



1. What are the economic development impacts of streetcars?

Difficult to Measure!

- No standard definition of economic development
- Difficult to distinguish development impacts of streetcars vs other public policy measures.
- Difficult to quantify outcomes such as "quality of life,"
 "placemaking," walkability, etc.
- 3 Types of Impacts
 - Generative Impacts
 - Redistributive Impacts
 - Transfer Impacts





1. What are the economic development impacts of streetcars?



Three potential measures of impacts:

- New and Increased Development
- Land Value Premiums
- Tourism and Convention Business



2. How do streetcar analyses estimate or measure economic impacts?



New and Increased Development

Evaluative

- \$3.5 B in public and private resources have been invested adjacent to the Portland streetcar between 1997 and 2008
- Little Rock has experienced \$400 M in development adjacent to its streetcar since 2002, and added 13,000 jobs
- Predictive
 - Washington DC H St Streetcar will result in \$238 \$291 M in annual (property tax?) revenue within 10 years of operation
 - Downtown LA Streetcar will generate \$259 M in new office and \$1.4 B in new residential development; induce 25,000 jobs
 - Nearly \$1.6 B worth of new development predicted with the implementation of streetcar in Albuquerque



Land Value Premiums



Higher land values = Higher development potential (e.g. 400 units vs. 200 units)

- 760 percent aggregate land value increase within ¼ mile of Memphis streetcar vs 24 percent citywide (2002-2008)
 - Median property values for vacant
 Iand within 3 blocks of Seattle
 streetcar alignment rose 123
 percent between planning and
 construction vs 53 percent citywide
- Property values along the Tampa streetcar line had risen 313 percent seven years after opening



Tourism and Convention Business

- Vintage systems, in particular, built to serve tourist markets
- Premium transit is often marketed by tourism and convention bureaus
 - Good access between airport and tourist/ convention district
 - Circulation within district
 - Frequency and convenience more important than mode
 - Expand the size of "tourism area"





3. What are the incremental economic impacts of streetcars as compared to other transit modes?

- Difficult to compare modes
- Arlington County estimated the following incremental benefits of streetcar over enhanced bus
 - \$315-620 M more in tax revenue
 - 4,600 more jobs
- Robert Street AA found that "local preference for rail-based modes suggests that streetcar may help support...\$90 M of additional development over arterial BRT"
- ITDP concluded that its not mode but rather:
 - 1. Gov't policy support and funding for TOD
 - 2. The strength of the land market
 - 3. The quality of the transit investment (frequency, stations, etc.)



Conclusions

- Economic Development Benefits of Streetcars are Measured in a Number of Ways – and Sometimes Not at All
- Streetcars Contribute to Economic Development but the Size of its Contribution is Difficult to Measure
- The Perceived Permanence of Streetcars was the Most Compelling Case for Economic Investment in Affected Corridors
- The Advancement of a Streetcar vs BRT and other Bus Options is Dependent upon Local Goals and Objectives



Streetcar/LRT Coordination/Interoperability

Cities

- Charlotte
- Dallas
- Portland
- Salt Lake City
- Seattle

Dimensions

- System owner
- System operator
- Fare
- Fare integration
- System integration
- System interoperability
- Maintenance
- Planning
- Funding



Streetcar/LRT Coordination/Interoperability

 Highest degree of operability is achieved where the transit agency is the owner/operator of both streetcar and LRT (Salt Lake City)

- Full Fare Integration

- Single System Map

- Vehicles
- Maintenance Facilities
- Results in reduced costs
- More conventional reliable? funding structures



Streetcar Operations in Inclement Weather

- Systems typically develop weather emergency plans
- Similar to LRT plans except snow removal typically responsibility of the City – snow plows only clear top of the rail
- Streetcars can be outfitted with plows, but few are
- *Preventing* snow and ice accumulation often preferred option to clearing





Regional Streetcar Policy

Thank you!

Sean Libberton, Consultant

Cole Hiniker, Metropolitan Council 651-602-1748 Cole.Hiniker@metc.state.mn.us

