Metropolitan Council Housing and Redevelopment Authority

2018 Federal Funding Discussion

April 2, 2018

Community Development Committee



Housing Choice Voucher Funding

- Federal funding Awarded annually through Congressional Appropriations
- Federal fiscal year runs October September
 - Housing authorities funded on calendar year
- Budget deal passed on March 23, 2018
- HUD has 60 days to provide funding notice
- 3 months into 2018 without knowing how much \$\$ =
 Problem



Federal Budget – Two Parts

Subsidy Funding	Administrative Funding
Rent payments to Landlords	Operating Costs Staffing, overhead
Appropriated by Congress	Appropriated by Congress
*\$55 Million	*\$6 Million



^{*}discussion later in presentation

Budget Considerations

- HUD Rule =
 - Serve up to baseline vouchers (6,502) while remaining within budget authority
 - This can include use of own funds
- Goal is to serve as many families as possible
 - Impacted by per family subsidy costs
- Low vacancy rates = higher rents
 - Higher average subsidy cost per family



Rent Payments

- Tenants pay 30% of income towards rent
- HRA pays remainder

Examples

```
Rent – 3 Bedroom apartment (Maplewood) $1,200
```

```
(minus) 30% family monthly income - $500
```

```
(equals) HRA subsidy payment = $700
```

```
Rent – 3 bedroom apartment (Eden Prairie) $1,500
```



Average Per-Family Subsidy

Year	# Vouchers	Average Per-Family Subsidy	Annual Cost
2013	6,500	\$668	\$52,104,000
2014	6,500	\$664	\$51,792,000
2015	6,500	\$656	\$51,168,000
2016	6,500	<mark>\$670</mark>	\$52,260,000
2017	6,500	<mark>\$709</mark>	\$55,302,000



Budget Scenarios

For Example

Budget = \$55 Million

- Higher subsidy per family = fewer families served
- A \$20 increase in average subsidy = service to 200 less families

Average Per Family Subsidy	Families Served
\$700	6,547
\$710	6,455
\$720	6,365



2018 Subsidy Budget Projections

- 2018 funding based on 2017 spending if enough \$\$ appropriated by Congress
- Federal budget includes 100% subsidy funding
 - Spent \$54.9 Million in 2017
 - Should receive \$54.9 Million in 2018
- Cannot serve maximum number of families with funding
 - Increased average subsidy results in 200 family reduction to remain within budget



Subsidy Budget Options

Options:

- 1. Reduce program size by 200 families
 - Halt new admissions No waiting list selections
 - Could accomplish without terminating existing families
 - Reduce through program attrition
- 2. Use reserves to cover subsidy costs
 - \$1.7 Million to cover subsidy for 200 families
 - Not sustainable
 - Current reserve balance \$7.9 million
 - Council target \$5.5 million



Administrative Fee History

Year	Pro-ration Factor	Annual Fees 100%	Annual Fees – Pro-rates
2013	75%	\$5.6 Million	\$4.2 Million
2014	80%	\$5.7 Million	\$4.6 Million
2015	81%	\$5.8 Million	\$4.7 Million
2016	84%	\$5.9 Million	\$4.9 Million
2017	77%	\$6.2 Million	\$4.8 Million
2018	77%	\$6.4 Million	\$4.9 Million

2018 Budget Salaries and overhead = \$5.4 million



Administrative Funding

- Federal budget does not fully fund administrative costs
 - 77% proration
- Difficult to balance operating budget
 - Reduced funding
 - Rising costs
- Estimated 2018 deficit \$800k



Administrative Funding - What to do?

- 1. Continue to analyze program efficiencies
 - Paperless System
 - Online process no more in-person client meetings
 - Take advantage of program flexibilities (ie. inspection every two years on some units)
- 2. Use reserves to cover administrative costs
 - 2018 Budget (\$800,000)
- 3. Reduce staffing
 - 16% staff reduction 7 positions at current salaries
 - Customer service impacts
 - Difficult to meet federal requirements



Policy Discussion

Subsidy Funding	Administrative Funding
Rent payments to Landlords	Operating Costs
Not enough \$\$ to serve all 6,500 families	\$800k deficit for 2018
Reduce program size by 200 families?	Fund deficit with program reserves?
Use Administrative Fees to fund subsidy costs - \$1.7 Million?	Staff layoffs?



Metropolitan Council Housing and Redevelopment Authority

2018 Federal Funding Discussion

April 2, 2018

Community Development Committee

