#### Affordable Homeownership Pilot

Livable Communities Act
Local Housing Incentives Account (LHIA)



#### What will we cover today?

Brief review of Local Housing Incentives Account (LHIA)
Affordable Homeownership Pilot

Share draft scoring criteria for feedback and discussion

Revisit funding availability options

Next steps



# Local Housing Incentives Account (LHIA) Affordable Homeownership Pilot

#### Pilot priorities:

- 1. Geographic choice: Increase affordable homeownership options in areas that are predominantly single-family and have higher sale prices
- 2. Reducing racial disparities in homeownership:
  Increase affordable homeownership opportunities
  focused on serving Black, Indigenous, and other ethnic
  groups that own homes at disproportionately lower
  rates than white households



LHIA Project: PPL Greenbelt (2020)



#### Pilot eligibility

- To be considered, projects would have to:
  - Be a participating Livable Communities Act community
  - Have a dollar for dollar local match
  - Provide an affordable homeownership opportunity for a household earning 80% Area Median Income or less
  - Provide a minimum affordability term of 15 years
  - Require Home Stretch or similar homeowner education for first-time homebuyers



#### Pilot-informing data

- 2020 Census data
  - Almost all LCA cities now meet geographic and/or racial equity focus considerations
  - "Pre-qualification" no longer helpful to differentiate applicants
  - Staff proposes a 2-step approach to scoring



#### **Step One**

Sufficiently addresses one or both pilot priorities

#### **Step Two**

Efforts to reach populations with significantly lower rates of homeownership than white households

Considers unique local needs, depth and length of affordability

**Pilot Priorities** 

Equitable access

| Geographic Equity  |  |        |
|--|--|--------|
| Criteria   |  | Points |
| Project is in a city with an average home sale price higher than what is affordable to a household earning 80% AMI                     |  | 5      |
| OR   | Project is in a city with an average home sale price higher than what is affordable to a household earning 60% AMI | 3      |
| Project is in a city where the share of single-family housing stock is higher than the regional average                                |  | 2      |
| Project is in a city with an affordable housing need less than 20 OR more than 50% of their need is in the 51-80% affordability level. |  | 2      |



**Pilot Priorities** 

Equitable access

| Racial Equity   |   |        |
|---|---|--------|
| Criteria  |   | Points |
| Project is in a city with higher racial disparities in homeownership than the regional average                        |   | 5      |
| OR  | Project is in a census tract with higher racial disparities in homeownership than the regional average                        | 3      |
| Project is in a city with a higher share of Black, Indigenous, and other residents of color than the regional average |   | 3      |
| OR  | Project is in a census tract with a higher share of Black, Indigenous, and other residents of color than the regional average | 2      |



**Pilot Priorities** 

Equitable access

Unique needs, affordability

| Racial Equity                         |    |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| Points available                      | 8  |
| Geographic Equity                     |    |
| Points available                      | 9  |
| Total pilot priority points available | 17 |

A minimum of 5 points would be required to ensure the project sufficiently addresses one or both pilot priorities.



**Pilot Priorities** 

Equitable access

| <b>Equitable access</b>   |                    |
|---|--------------------|
| Criteria  | Points             |
| Developer or program partner has a demonstrated record of serving Black, Indigenous, and/or other households of color in homeownership at rates equal to or greater than the city and or region's homeownership rates for those same groups | 3                  |
| Developer or program partner has current waiting list consisting of Black, Indigenous, or other households of color at levels equal or greater to the regional and/or city population   | 3                  |
| Project team includes a lender, realtor, or other homebuyer-facing team member that is reflective of the BIPOC households of color that have disparate homeownership rates in the region  | 2                  |
| Project will be made available to a first-generation homebuyer  | 2                  |
| Marketing efforts for sale of the project affirmatively further fair housing  | 1                  |
| Total Equitable Access points available   | 11<br>METROPOLITAN |

**Pilot Priorities** 

Equitable access

| Unique needs and affordability criteria  |              |
|--|--------------|
| Criteria   | Points       |
| Project addresses a need specific to the community in which the project is located, through financing, marketing, design, size or other unique need. | 3            |
| Project will be affordable to homebuyers earning less than 80% AMI   | 3            |
| Project will remain affordable upon resale for more than 15 years  | 3            |
| Project is located in a city with a net fiscal disparity of \$200 or more per household  | 1            |
| Total Unique Needs and Affordability points available  | 10           |
|  | O II N C I I |

**Pilot Priorities** 

Equitable access

| Pilot Priorities               |    |  |
|--------------------------------|----|--|
| Points available               | 17 |  |
| Equitable Access               |    |  |
| Points available               | 11 |  |
| Unique Needs and Affordability |    |  |
| Points available               | 10 |  |
|                                |    |  |
| Total points available         | 38 |  |



#### Funding considerations

How much should we make available?

- Estimated average award per unit: \$150,000
- Goal for 2022 awards: 10-20 homes
- Recommended funding amount for 2022: \$1M \$2M
- Source ?

#### Questions for the Committee

- Do the scoring criteria accurately reflect the goals of the pilot?
- What are reactions to the funding availability recommendation?



#### Next Steps

- Staff will return in early January with:
  - Any changes informed by today's conversation
  - Updated information and recommendations on funding availability
- Goal is to have pilot program ready for approval in early 2022 as a part of the greater LCA Funding and Criteria Plan



#### Contact Us

Name: Tara Beard, Livable Communities Manager

Email: Tara.Beard@metc.state.mn.us

Name: Ashleigh Johnson, Senior Planner

Email: Ashleigh.Johnson@metc.state.mn.us

