

# Evaluation of the 2040 Comprehensive Plan Review Process

Informational Item

# What we did and Why

- Review of technical assistance
- Evaluate the 2040 Plan Review Process
- Business objectives

# Stakeholder Engagement

- Surveys
- Focus groups
- One to One Conversations
- LUAC, CDC, Metro Cities

# Improve Communications

## Feedback and recommendations

- Incomplete letters damaged trust
- More 1:1 conversations with communities
- Convene an advisory group

# Evaluate Planning Resources

## Feedback and recommendations

- Materials were better but too far removed from 2015
- Provide more resources and direction for small communities

# Expand Technical Assistance

## Feedback and recommendations

- Reviewers felt overwhelmed
- Evaluate staffing levels for 2050 Reviews
- Refine checklists, provide more data

# Quality Assurance/Quality Control

## Feedback and recommendations

- Internal Training for 2050
- Application Xtender was not consistent
- Explore why checklists were seen as “overly complex”

# Effect on Council Policy

- Share with Development Guide team
- Integrate engagement efforts
- Prioritize and carry out improvements



# Next steps

- Metro Cities
- Land Use Advisory Committee (LUAC)
- Finalize Report incorporating feedback

# For more information

Patrick Boylan, AICP

[Patrick.Boylan@metc.state.mn.us](mailto:Patrick.Boylan@metc.state.mn.us)

651.602.1438

Katelyn Champoux

[Katelyn.Champoux@metc.state.mn.us](mailto:Katelyn.Champoux@metc.state.mn.us)

651.602.1831

Jake Reilly

[Jake.Reilly@metc.state.mn.us](mailto:Jake.Reilly@metc.state.mn.us)

651.602.1822

January 3, 2022

