Evaluation of the 2040 Comprehensive Plan Review Process

Informational Item
What we did and Why

• Review of technical assistance
• Evaluate the 2040 Plan Review Process
• Business objectives
Stakeholder Engagement

• Surveys
• Focus groups
• One to One Conversations
• LUAC, CDC, Metro Cities
Improve Communications

Feedback and recommendations

- Incomplete letters damaged trust
- More 1:1 conversations with communities
- Convene an advisory group
Evaluate Planning Resources

Feedback and recommendations

- Materials were better but too far removed from 2015
- Provide more resources and direction for small communities
Expand Technical Assistance

Feedback and recommendations

- Reviewers felt overwhelmed
- Evaluate staffing levels for 2050 Reviews
- Refine checklists, provide more data
Quality Assurance/Quality Control

Feedback and recommendations

- Internal Training for 2050
- Application Xtender was not consistent
- Explore why checklists were seen as "overly complex"
Effect on Council Policy

- Share with Development Guide team
- Integrate engagement efforts
- Prioritize and carry out improvements
Next steps

• Metro Cities
• Land Use Advisory Committee (LUAC)
• Finalize Report incorporating feedback
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