Meeting #10

Metro Transit Police Work Group

January 4, 2022
Agenda

Work Plan Item: Framework for Developing Recommendations

1. Review work group background, purpose and charge – Chair Chamblis

2. Discuss framework to develop recommendations – Chair Chamblis

3. Overview of summary material – Lesley Kandaras

4. Identify next steps – Work Group Member Discussion
Work Group Background and Purpose

• The Metropolitan Council oversees MTPD, and Council Members have expressed interest in police policies, practices and procedures.

• The Council formed this work group in August 2021 to continue the police review called for by Chair Zelle in June 2020.

• First phase of the police review was the community engagement effort led by the Citizens League and Twin Cities Innovation Alliance –completed in September 2021.
Work Group Charge

The August 2021 business item forming this work group included two main tasks:

1. **Design a series of Committee of the Whole discussions**
   - Monthly through February 2022

   - To provide opportunities for the Council Members to receive information from the MTPD, the results of the Citizens League review and other public input, and from other sources, ask questions, articulate priorities, and provide feedback as the work group develops recommendations

2. **Develop recommendations for consideration by the full Council**
   - By February 28, 2022, the Metro Transit Police Work Group will report back to the full Metropolitan Council with recommended transit safety and security outcomes and strategies to achieve the outcomes.

   - Recommendations must also identify data and other information that Metro Transit will provide the Metropolitan Council as part of regular Metro Transit Police Department (MTPD) updates that will begin in Q1 2022.
Developing a Framework towards Recommendations

Today: discuss approach towards developing recommendations.

Start by identifying the values that will guide our work and what elements of our process are important to establish.

Possible questions for today’s framework discussion:
1. What values should guide (or are important to uphold by) our recommendations?
2. What principles or criteria should guide our analysis of potential recommendations, including pros/cons?
3. How will we prioritize topics for potential recommendations?
4. How will we make decisions? (e.g., building consensus, agreeing to move forward when consensus cannot be reached?)
# Overview of Summary Material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Materials (draft list – may not be exhaustive)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21st Century Policing</td>
<td>9/23 Work Group Presentation by Chief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code of Conduct</td>
<td>Citizens League Report, 10/5 Work Group Presentation by MTPD, 11/5 Employee Perspectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions that affect perceptions of safety</td>
<td>Citizens League Report, 9/22 Council Info Item, 11/5 Work Group Meeting (employee panel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>12/3 Work Group Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee experiences</td>
<td>Citizens League Report, 11/5 Work Group Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning from other transit agencies</td>
<td>9/23 Work Group Presentation, Public comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official presence</td>
<td>Citizens League Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnerships needed to address systemic issues</td>
<td>Citizens League Report, Multiple Work Group Meetings, including 11/5 employee panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policies and procedures</td>
<td>7/7/21 COW Presentation by Chief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>Multiple Work Group Meetings, TAAC Comments, Public comments (re: CSOs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>Citizens League Report, 11/19 Work Group Meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next Steps

• **Next Work Group Meeting**: January 14, 2022

• **Based on today’s discussion:**
  – Confirm what is needed or expected for the next meeting
  – Did the group decide anything today that should be noted when drafting recommendations?