### **Committee Report**

Business Item No. 2016-211 SW

# **Transportation Committee**

For the Metropolitan Council meeting of October 26, 2016

Subject: CTIB 2017 Project Grant Applications Consistency with the 2040 TPP

#### **Proposed Action**

That the Metropolitan Council finds the ten grant applications (summarized in the Attachment) submitted to the Counties Transit Improvement Board (CTIB) for CY 2017 funding to be consistent with the Council's 2040 Transportation Policy Plan adopted January 2015.

### **Summary of Committee Discussion/Questions**

Metropolitan Transportation Services Planning Analyst Cole Hiniker presented this item. There were no questions from committee members.

Motion by Dorfman seconded by Rodriguez and carried.



## **Transportation Committee**

Meeting date: October 24, 2016

For the Metropolitan Council meeting of October 26, 2016

Subject: CTIB 2017 Project Grant Applications Consistency with the 2040 TPP

District(s), Member(s): All

**Policy/Legal Reference:** M.S. 297A.992, Regional Transportation Policy Plan **Staff Prepared/Presented:** Amy Vennewitz, Deputy Director Planning – MTS

Cole Hiniker, Planning Analyst

**Division/Department:** Metropolitan Transportation Services

### **Proposed Action**

That the Metropolitan Council finds the ten grant applications (summarized in the Attachment) submitted to the Counties Transit Improvement Board (CTIB) for CY 2017 funding to be consistent with the Council's 2040 Transportation Policy Plan adopted January 2015.

#### **Background**

The 2008 state legislation, which authorized the quarter cent sales tax for transitway capital and operating purposes, specified that a grant award for a transit project located within the metropolitan transportation area may be funded only after the Metropolitan Council reviews the project for consistency with the transit portion of the Transportation Policy Plan.

For the 2016 grant process, ten grant applications were submitted to the CTIB to receive funding during CY 2017. Washington County, which received a guaranteed amount of 3% of the sales tax revenues for the first five years, submitted two applications which will use previously deferred guaranteed funding.

The attached table provides the project name, grant applicant, funding request, a short description of the project and a recommendation regarding the project's consistency with the 2040 TPP. It is recommended that all of the submitted applications be found consistent with the plan.

#### Rationale

Under state law, the projects cannot be funded until a determination has been made by the Council regarding the project's consistency with the transit portion of the Council's Transportation Policy Plan. The consistency finding will allow CTIB to award grants to the project applicants for CY 2017.

#### **Funding**

None required.

### **Known Support / Opposition**

No known opposition.



Project Name	<b>Grant Applicant</b>	<b>Funding Requested</b>	Project Description	Recommendation/Comments
Capital – Green	Metro Transit	\$137,906,594	Support right-of-way purchases and	Consistent – the Green Line
Line Extension			construction activities for the	extension is shown as a
(SWLRT)			Southwest LRT project	funded transitway in the 2040
				TPP under current revenues
Capital – Blue	Metro Transit	\$66,000,000	Support final engineering phase for	Consistent – the Blue Line
Line Extension			the Blue Line extension project	extension is shown as a
(Bottineau)				funded transitway in the 2040
				TPP under current revenues
Capital –	Metro Transit	\$8,000,000	Support final engineering and	Consistent – the Orange Line
Orange Line			construction for the Orange Line BRT	is shown as a funded
(I-35W South)			project	transitway in the 2040 TPP
				under current revenues.
Operating –	Metropolitan	\$169,614	Support Express BRT service on the	Consistent – the Red Line is
Cedar Avenue	Transportation		Cedar Avenue Corridor	an existing transitway and the
Express	Services			TPP and the adopted Regional
				Transitway Guidelines
				recognize express services as
				a component of highway BRT
				projects.
Operating –	Metro Transit	\$353,343	Support I-35W BRT Express Operating	Consistent – the Orange Line
I-35W South			Service	is shown as a funded
BRT				transitway under current
				revenues. The TPP and the
				adopted Regional Transitway
				Guidelines recognize express
				services as a component of
				highway BRT projects.
Operating –	Metro Transit	\$24,536,206	Operating assistance for METRO Blue	Consistent – both the Blue
Light Rail			Line service between Downtown	Line and Green Line are
			Minneapolis and the MSP Airport and	existing transitways.

			Mall of America, and METRO Green Line service between Target Field downtown Minneapolis and the Union Depot in St. Paul	
Operating – Northstar	Metro Transit	\$6,972,395	Operating assistance for the Northstar Commuter Rail Line between downtown Minneapolis and Big Lake, MN	Consistent – Northstar is an existing transitway.
Operating – Red Line	Metropolitan Transportation Services	\$1,552,850	METRO Red Line BRT station-to- station service operating assistance	Consistent – Red Line is an existing transitway.
Gateway Corridor (Gold Line) – Pre- Project Development Support	Washington County	\$225,000	Fund project support activities between the end of the Gateway LPA and environmental analysis work and the start of the Project Development Phase including preparing environmental and engineering RFPs, preparing the project development application and establishing a project office.	Consistent – the Gateway corridor is shown with an LPA for the majority of the corridor in the 2040 TPP and is a funded transitway under the 2040 TPP current revenue scenario.
Red Rock Corridor	Washington County	\$135,000	Conduct station area planning activities for the Red Rock BRT corridor	Consistent – improvements to the Red Rock corridor are shown as funded in the 2040 TPP through CTIB's phase I program of projects.