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## Proposed mid 2024 Metropolitan Parks and Open Space Commission engagement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timing</th>
<th>Proposed Topics, Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| May 2, 2024     | • Draft (60%) plan  
• Recreation, Facilities, and Programming (existing chapter 7)  
• Finance (existing chapter 8) |
| June 6, 2024    | • Natural Systems (new, crosswalks to existing chapter 4)  
• Climate Resilience (new)  
• Regional Parks and Trails Planning Handbook |
| July 11, 2024   | • System Plan (existing chapter 3)  
• Planning (existing chapter 5)  
• System Protection (existing chapter 6) |
| August 1, 2024  | • Draft public comment (90%) plan  
• Workplan (existing chapter 9) |
### Schedule for finalizing the Regional Development Guide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timing</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 14, 2024</td>
<td>Council authorizes release for public comment (MC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 15, 2024</td>
<td>Public comment draft posted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 25, 2024</td>
<td>Public hearing (MC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 7, 2024</td>
<td>Comment period closes (CDC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>Final public comment reports prepared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 12, 2025</td>
<td>Council adoption (MC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Metropolitan Parks and Open Space Commission advice

June 6, 2024 meeting

Overall
• Desired consistency in terminology, demographic references:
  • “Indigenous” and “American Indian”
  • “Populations aged 60 and over” and “over 65 years of age”
• Ensure document - including any icons - are accessible to all readers

System Plan, Natural Systems
• Like “Special Feature – Historical Landscapes” subclassification
• Consider metrics associated with water

Climate Resiliency
• Applaud the work, encourage continued development of metrics
• Better access to public transit has climate benefits

System Protection
• The language “…as long as a need for it can be demonstrated” is vague

Planning
• Appreciate proposed inclusion cultural resource inventory. Standards?
June 20, 2024 Partner Meeting

System Plan, Natural Systems
- Support expressed for proposed sub-classifications:
  - Special Feature - Cultural Landscapes
  - Special Feature - Historical Landscapes
- Some concerns about proposed sub-classifications.

Planning
- Support expressed for the cultural resource inventory component in long-range plan requirements.
- Some concerns about the cultural resource inventory:
  - There need to be more “guiderails” for the cultural resource inventory component. These types of inventories can be expensive.
  - Cultural resource inventory component needs more development. Propose developing further as part of workplan.
Section two: System plan
Section 2: New Sub-Classification - Cultural Landscapes

- Recognize and protect culturally significant landscapes including American Indian burial grounds.
- Does not require that recreation be part of the unit.
- Design parks and trails to protect significant cultural or sacred areas, limiting general public access when appropriate.

Fishing at Phalen-Keller Regional Park in Ramsey County.
Section 2: New Sub-Classification – Historical Landscapes

- Recognize or protect a historically significant landscape associated with a notable design style; historic event, activity, or people; or reflects development patterns over time.

- Does not require that recreation be part of the unit.

- May be designed to protect areas of significant historic meaning to groups. This includes protecting these spaces and designing them to limit public access and foot traffic.

*Person collecting prairie seed at Baker Park Reserve.*
Section five: Planning
Section Five: Long-Range Plan Requirements

Existing Plan Requirements

RPPP Chapter 5: Planning
- Boundaries
- Acquisition Costs
- Stewardship plan
- Demand forecast
- Development concept
- Conflicts
- Public services
- Operations
- Partner engagement
- Public engagement and participation
- Equity analysis
- Public awareness
- Accessibility
- Natural resources

Proposed Plan Requirements

RPTPH Section 5: Planning
- Engagement
  - Demand forecast
  - Public awareness
  - Engagement, participation, and equity analysis
- Development concept
  - Boundaries
  - Acquisition costs
  - Development concept (incl. Conflicts)
  - Accessibility
  - Operations
  - Public services
- Natural Systems
  - Stewardship Plan
  - Natural systems
  - Mississippi Corridor Critical Area
Section Five: Long-Range Plan Amendment Processes

Amendment Types

Full Amendment
- Updates requiring substantial changes in development, boundaries, or telecommunications placement
- Must fulfill all long-range plan requirements and engage the public and local governments

Focused Amendments
- Updates that only modify a subset of long-range plan requirements.
- Scoping with Council staff done prior to amendment process
- Typically, a 60-day approval process

Administrative Process for Minor Amendments
- Updates that are minor in nature and have minimal impact on the park or trail’s recreational opportunities, natural areas, or cultural resources
- Amendments do not require presentation to Council committees and are proposed to take 15 days for approval
Section six: System protection
System protection key changes

- System protection policy is largely unchanged.
  - Action 2 Land Conversions: Clarifies which proposals do not require an equally valuable exchange (because of benefits to unit or no impact).
  - Action 3 Other Utilities: Clarifies that the Council’s utility easement process is consistent with other land conversion processes.

Adult and child at Bde Maka Ska as part of Chain of Lakes Regional Park
Questions, discussion, advice

Questions:
- What excites you about the new changes being proposed?
- Is there anything missing from these sections?
- What recommendations do you have for Council staff?
- What are your questions?
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