#### Fare Increase Options Analysis and Discussion

Nick Eull Senior Manager of Revenue Operations Metro Transit







#### **Today's Presentation**

- Update on budget situation
- Fare change goals and considerations
- Fare change scenarios and other options
- Public engagement overview
- Next steps



#### **Total Projected Transportation Deficit State Fiscal Years 2018-19**

	Nov 16 SFY 18-19	Feb 17 SFY 18-19
MVST Downturn (SFY 2016 – 2019)	\$43	\$34
Metro Mobility Growth	24	24
Certificates of Participation	9	9
Other	13	7
Total Projected Deficit	\$89	\$74



## **2017 Fare Change Goals**

- Generate Additional Fare Revenues Increase revenues across all modes and service (Metro Transit, regional and contract service, Metro Mobility and Transit Link) while minimizing any ridership impacts
- Promote Equity Price fares so that they account for an equitable portion of operating costs and reflect the ability of customers to pay
- Simplify Make the fare structure easier to use and understand





# **Key Considerations**

- What impacts will decisions have on:
  - Revenue generation and ridership impacts
  - Our most transit dependent customers
  - Those paying cash
  - Those using other fare tools
  - Customer program participants
  - Metro Mobility and Transit Link
  - Suburban transit providers
  - Title VI equity analysis



# **Overview of Primary Scenarios**

- Scenario 1 increases fares \$0.25 at all fare levels with a similar increase to pass prices
- Scenario 2 increases local fares \$0.25 and increases express fares \$0.50
- Limited Mobility and reduced fares base increases by \$0.25 (to \$1) in all scenarios
- Northstar fares increase at the same value as the express fare

	Off Peak Local	Peak Local	Off Peak Express	Peak Express
<b>Current</b>	\$1.75	\$2.25	\$2.25	\$3.00
Scenario 1	\$2.00	\$2.50	\$2.50	\$3.25
Scenario 2	\$2.00	\$2.50	\$2.75	\$3.50



# Farebox Recovery (2015)

Service Type	Farebox Recovery	Subsidy Per Passenger	Passengers <i>(thousands)</i>
Express Bus	37%	\$3.86	12,200
Light Rail	35%	\$1.67	23,003
Urban Local Bus	22%	\$3.16	56,750
Northstar Commuter Rail	16%	\$18.31	723
Suburban Local Bus	13%	\$5.22	4,968
Metro Mobility	13%	\$23.94	2,065
Transit Link	11%	\$19.92	371



# **Other Options For All Scenarios**

- Eliminate peak surcharge for seniors (65+), youth (6-12), and Medicare card holders
  - Simplify and make the fares easier to understand
- Eliminate stored value bonus
- Make the Transit Assistance Pass (TAP) low income program permanent
- Simplify product catalog where possible
- Eliminate off-peak express (single express fare)



# Scenario 1 Analysis

• Fares increase at \$0.25 for all fare levels with a similar increase to pass prices

	Estimated Change in	Estimated % of	Estimated Annual
	Ridership	Ridership Change	Change in Revenues
Metro Transit & Council Service	(3,800,000)	(-4.7%)	\$6,900,000

- Scenario is consistent with previous two fare increases (2005, 2008)
- Larger impact to Metro Transit given smaller relative increase to express fares
  - About 80% of suburban transit provider service is express service vs. 10% for Metro Transit
- Over 90% of all cash usage is in local fare sets



# **Scenario 2 Analysis**

• Fares increase \$0.25 for local, \$0.50 for express

	Estimated Change	Estimated % of	Estimated Annual
	in Ridership	Ridership Change	Change in Revenues
Total Impact	(4,100,000)	(-5.1%)	\$7,800,000

- Raises fares by a more proportional overall amount than a straight value increase
- Estimates a more significant loss of ridership for suburban providers
- Larger relative revenue increase for suburban providers
- Creates a new price category where there hasn't been one previously (\$2.75)



# Additional Fare Policy Considerations

- Eliminate Go-To stored value bonus (10%)
  - Almost \$2 million in bonus value added in 2016
  - Allows Metro Mobility and Transit Link to charge full cash fare for Go-To users
  - Options for incentives could include Go-To use discount
- Elimination of peak surcharge for seniors, youth and Medicare card holders
  - Estimated revenue loss of up to (\$665k)
  - Helps off-set a proposed increase in peak fares, elimination of off-peak express fare set (scenario 2 & 3)
  - Minimal impact expected with any shift in riders to peak hours w/reduced fare



#### Establish Permanent Transit Assistance Pass (TAP) program

- Approximately one month remaining in current pilot test
- Pilot test analysis indicates positive reaction of participants:
  - Usage rates near or higher than other customer programs such as Upass or Metropass
  - Users averaged 32 rides per card in February
  - Majority of rides occur during off peak hours, urban local routes
    - 90% overall use in local faresets
  - Very little express use
  - Majority of revenues collected in fare set 1 (lower overall revenue impact)
  - Initial estimates of \$2M \$3M revenue impact
  - Program assumes no additional Metro Transit administrative burden

#### Metro Mobility Fares Change Impacts

• Increased fares will reduce current ridership growth trends by an estimated 6%

	2018	2019	Total
Number of Est. Rides Reduced	142,000	213,000	355,000
Subsidy Per Ride	\$26	\$26	\$26
Savings from Reduced Demand	\$3.70 Million	\$5.54 Million	\$9.23 Million

• Fare increase will generate between \$2.4 and \$3.6 million over two years

		Additional Revenues		
	<b>Increase Amount</b>	2018	2019	Total
Option 1	\$0.50	\$1.18 Million	\$1.22 Million	\$2.40 Million
Option 2	\$0.75	\$1.78 Million	\$1.83 Million	\$3.61 Million

- Combined savings and revenues of \$11.63M \$12.84M over two years for Metro Mobility
- Fare incentive for transfers at select fixed route stations

#### **Transit Link Fare Change Impacts**

• Increased fares will reduce current ridership growth

	Option 1 (\$1.60 Increase)	Option 2 (\$1.85 Increase)
	2018-2019	2018-2019
Number of Est. Rides Reduced	32,610	97,826
Subsidy Per Ride	\$20	\$20
Savings from Reduced Demand	\$812K	\$1.63 M

#### • Fare increase will generate additional revenue

		Additional Revenues		
	Average Increase	2018	2019	Total
Option 1	\$1.60	\$496,000	\$522,000	\$1.02 Million
Option 2	\$1.85	\$543,000	\$573,000	\$1.12 Million

- Combined savings and revenues of \$1.85M \$2.75M over two years
- Includes no acceptance of "all you can ride passes"
- Includes distance surcharge



#### **Northstar Fares**

 Northstar fares increase at the same value as express fares (\$0.25 or \$0.50 based on scenarios 1-3)

Station	Current Weekday Fare	New Fare - Scenario 1	New Fare - Scenarios 2 & 3
Big Lake	\$6.00	\$6.25	\$6.50
Elk River	\$4.50	\$4.75	\$5.00
Ramsey	\$3.50	\$3.75	\$4.00
Anoka	\$3.00	\$3.25	\$3.50
Coon Rapids- Riverdale	\$3.00	\$3.25	\$3.50
Fridley	\$3.00	\$3.25	\$3.50
Station-to-Station	\$3.00	\$3.25	\$3.50



# **Public Input Process**

- Formal meetings
  - Open house format
  - Receive public comment
  - Throughout region
- Pop-up meetings
  - Major transit hubs
  - During busy travel times
  - Less formal, still collect input
- Connect with community organizations
  - Existing partners, EAC





#### **Fare Increase Schedule**

- Committee of the Whole April 5<sup>th</sup>
- TAAC April 5<sup>th</sup>
- Public Meeting Approval
  - Transportation Committee April 10<sup>th</sup>
  - Full Council April 12th
- Public Meetings Mid-April to Early June
- Fare Increase Approval June/July
- Fare Increase September 1<sup>st</sup>

