## **Regional Solicitation Modal Funding Options (Draft 7/7/14)**

The TAB Executive Committee requested staff and the PMT to provide three modal funding options for their consideration. Table 1 provides historic funding percentages by mode for the five solicitations that took place between 2003 and 2011. Table 2 shows the MAP-21 program funding levels.

**Table 1: Background Information on Funding by Mode** 

	Roadways Including Multimodal Elements*	Transit and TDM Projects	Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	Total
Historic Funding (Range and Avg. for 2003-2011)	55%-61%	20%-29%	12%-19%	87%-109%
	Avg. 58%	Avg. 27%	Avg. 15%	Avg. 100%

<sup>\*</sup>Approximately 3% of roadway funding was allocated to multimodal elements (bicycle, pedestrian, or transit).

**Table 2: MAP-21 Funding Levels** 

	CMAQ Funding	STP Funding	TAP Funding	Total
MAP-21 Funding Levels	36%	54%	10%	100%
ŭ	\$54M	\$81M	\$15M	\$150M

Table 3 provides three options to allocate the \$150 million of federal funds that are available in the next solicitation. Option 1 proposes historic funding levels, while the other three options emphasize each of the three modes by giving that particular mode a higher percentage of the total funds. For instance, in the roadway emphasis, 61 percent of the funds are allocated to roadways. This 61 percent was derived from the highest percentage for roadways shown in Table 1. A range of 10 percentage points is provided for each mode to provide TAB with some flexibility in selecting projects.

**Table 3: Funding Options by Mode** 

	Roadways Including Multimodal Elements	Transit and TDM Projects	Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	Total
Option 1: Historic Levels	58% (Range of 53%-63%)	27% (Range of 22%-32%)	15% (Range of 10%-20%)	100%
	\$87M (Range of \$80M-\$95M)	\$41M (Range of \$33M-\$48M)	\$23M (Range of \$15M-\$30M)	\$150M
Option 2: Roadway Including	61% (Range of 56%-66%)	25% (Range of 20%-30%)	14% (Range of 9%-19%)	100%
Multimodal Elements Emphasis	\$92M (Range of \$84M-\$99M)	\$38M (Range of \$30M-\$45M)	\$21M (Range of \$14M-\$29M)	\$150M
Option 3: Transit Emphasis	56% (Range of 51%-61%)	29% (Range of 24%-34%)	15% (Range of 10%-20%)	100%
	\$84M (Range of \$77M-\$92M)	\$44M (Range of \$36M-\$51M)	\$23M (Range of \$15M-\$30M)	\$150M
Option 4: Bicycle and Pedestrian Emphasis	54% (Range of 49%-59%)	27% (Range of 22%-32%)	19% (Range of 14%-24%)	100%
	\$81M (Range of \$74M-\$89M)	\$41M (Range of \$33M-\$48M)	\$29M (Range of \$21M-\$36M)	\$150M