

Transportation Advisory Board
of the Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities

ACTION TRANSMITTAL No. 2016-58

DATE: November 17, 2016
TO: Technical Advisory Committee
FROM: TAC Funding and Programming Committee
 Steve Peterson, Manager of Highway Planning and TAB/TAC Process
PREPARED BY: (651-602-1819)
 Joe Barbeau, Senior Planner (651-602-1705)
SUBJECT: Regional Solicitation Inflation Adjustment
REQUESTED ACTION: Recommend an inflation adjustment, if needed, for Regional Solicitation projects
RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Technical Advisory Committee recommend to TAB an 8% inflation adjustment for 2020 projects and a 10% inflation adjustment for 2021 projects programmed in the 2016 Regional Solicitation

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF ACTION: As part of the Regional Solicitation process, TAB must determine whether an inflation adjustment should be added to project awards. When applicants apply to the Regional Solicitation, the cost estimates are prepared in current year dollars (2016). However, projects are programmed for 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021. For the 2014 Regional Solicitation, TAB approved a 2% annual inflation factor so that projects programmed for 2017 received an additional 4%, 2018 projects received an additional 6%, and 2019 projects received an additional 8%.

For other recent TAB actions such as the 2014 CMAQ solicitation or the July, 2016 funding reallocation, no inflation was given to the projects. The following table displays the amount of funding available for distribution through the 2016 Regional Solicitation by year.

Regional Solicitation Funds Available for 2018-2021*

Source of Regional Solicitation Funds	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
FAST Act Funding			\$89,387,700	\$89,387,700	
Remaining 2018/2019 Funds After July 2016 Reallocation-Mostly FAST Act Funding	\$12,743,948	\$6,871,033			
Trail Projects (Withdrawal and Scope Change)	\$446,888				
Transit Project Close Out Under Budget**	\$353,855				
Hennepin County Trail Scope Change ***	\$2,119,000				
Totals	\$15,663,691	\$6,871,033	\$89,387,700	\$89,387,700	\$201,310,124

*There is also \$1.2M for Innovative Travel Demand Management (TDM) projects that is available in 2018/2019 that was set aside by TAB in the 2014 Regional Solicitation for this purpose.

**There is some flexibility in the program year for these transit funds.

***Funds officially available by the end of 2016.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Staff has analyzed two separate approaches that are described below:

Option 1: Do not adjust project awards for inflation

While inflation has been added to selected projects in the past, a different approach may be warranted for this Regional Solicitation. More projects were submitted for the 2016 Regional Solicitation and the average amount requested by each project increased compared to the 2014 Regional Solicitation. The combination of these two factors may result in a higher number of projects not being awarded funding. This impact will be most strongly felt in the Roadways and Transit modal areas because of an increase in the number of applications received (e.g., an increase of Roadway Modernization applications from 21 to 35 and an increase in Transit Modernization applications from 1 to 13).

An analysis of the 2014 Regional Solicitation was completed to determine the effect on the number of projects selected if no inflation adjustment had been provided and those extra funds were used to fund additional projects within that same mode. The total inflation given to projects in the 2014 Regional Solicitation was approximately \$12 million. If the inflation from each project would have remained in its same mode, five additional projects could have been funded (two highway projects, one transit project, and two bike/pedestrian projects).

For the 2016 Regional Solicitation, Option 2 (2% inflation/year) would result in a net loss of approximately \$15.5 million of additional projects compared to Option 1 (0% inflation). Based on TAB's modal ranges, Option 2 would result in a loss of approximately one to three roadway projects, one transit project, and one to four bike/pedestrian projects in the Regional Solicitation.

In addition, if the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) mirrors the Regional Solicitation decision as it did last funding cycle, then Option 2 would result in a loss of approximately \$1.5 million of HSIP funds and one to three safety projects.

Option 2: Apply an 8% inflation adjustment for 2020 projects and a 10% inflation adjustment for 2021 projects

MnDOT's Office of Project Management and Technical Support tracks historic and forecasted inflation rates. MnDOT suggests for 2% inflation for 2017, 7% inflation for 2018 and 2019, 6% for 2020, and 5% for 2021. Given the project applications were prepared in 2016 dollars, increases in inflation would occur from 2016 to 2021, depending on the assigned program year. Using MnDOT's forecasts, a project with a program year of 2020 would get 22% inflation, a project in 2021 program year would get 27%.

In the past, the TAB considered the forecasted levels provided by MnDOT, but usually sets the inflation levels lower for two reasons: 1) the fact that these were forecasts; and 2) use of high inflation levels reduced the number of projects that might be selected.

A more reasonable and historically consistent approach would be to use the Federal Reserve's present "target" for inflation, 2% per year. Using this rate would result in project awards being increased by 8% for 2020 and 10% by 2021. A \$7 million award would get an additional \$560,000 in 2020 with 8% inflation or an additional \$700,000 in 2021 with 10% inflation.

The large majority of the Regional Solicitation funds are for 2020 and 2021. Given the limited funding available for earlier years, no inflation would be recommended for projects in 2018 or 2019, if Option 2 were selected. It should be noted that adding inflation adjustment to projects will reduce the total number of projects selected. In addition, it is recommended that any 2022 projects selected should receive the same inflation amount as 2021 projects.

RELATIONSHIP TO REGIONAL POLICY: The Regional Solicitation is a key responsibility of the TAB. The Regional Solicitation is part of the Metropolitan Council’s federally required continuing, comprehensive and cooperative transportation planning process for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area.

COMMITTEE COMMENTS AND ACTION: At its November 17, 2016, meeting, the Funding & Programming Committee voted 9-4 to recommend Option 2, application of an eight percent inflation adjustment for 2020 projects and a 10 percent inflation adjustment for 2021 projects. Those in favor of this decision cited that the history of including inflation likely set applicant expectations. Dissenters preferred excluding inflation so that additional projects can be funded given the high number of applications and limited federal dollars. Seven of the eight city representatives on the committee were not present at the meeting.

Members also asked what is written in Regional Solicitation application materials with regard to inflation. The application states: “The TAB may apply an inflation factor to awarded projects. If TAB includes an inflation factor, then all project elements will be inflated...”

In addition to the two inflation options proposed, members also discussed if there was a way to still give some inflation, yet at a lower level that would not have as a large of an impact on the number of projects selected. It was difficult to come with other options during the meeting and realize their impact, so staff prepared several alternatives below.

Potential Inflation Alternatives (\$ in Millions):

2020 Inflation	2021 Inflation	Total Inflation for Reg. Sol.	Total Inflation for HSIP	Total Inflation
0%	0%	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
0%	2%	\$1.8	\$0.2	\$2.0
2%	3%	\$4.6	\$0.5	\$5.1
4%	5%	\$8.1	\$0.8	\$8.9
6%	8%	\$12.3	\$1.2	\$13.5
8%	10%	\$15.5	\$1.5	\$17.0

ROUTING

TO	ACTION REQUESTED	DATE COMPLETED
TAC Funding & Programming Committee	Review & Recommend	11-17-2016
Technical Advisory Committee	Review & Recommend	
Transportation Advisory Board	Review & Adopt	
