# ACTION TRANSMITTAL No. 2015-37 

DATE: August 13, 2015
TO: TAC Funding and Programming Committee
PREPARED BY: Joe Barbeau, Senior Planner (651-602-1705)
SUBJECT: Scope Change Request for Anoka County CSAH 116 Reconstruction Project
REQUESTED Anoka County requests a scope change to modify the scope of its ACTION: STP-funded project (SP \# 002-716-015) in 2016 to modify project length, modify access, and add a turn lane.
RECOMMENDED Recommend approval of the request to modify the scope for the MOTION: STP-funded project (SP \# 002-716-015) in 2016 to modify project length, modify access, and add a turn lane.

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF ACTION: Anoka County received \$7,000,000 (\$7,840,000, adjusted for inflation) in Surface Transportation Program (STP) funding for reconstruction of CSAH 116 (Bunker Lake Blvd). The County is requesting a scope change that would allow for the following changes:

- Total project construction cost increases from $\$ 11,477,760$ to $\$ 11,581,964$.
o Does not include $\$ 926,557$ for design engineering.
- Extend the west terminus to Crane Street. Current terminus is "just E of Crane Street." This change accommodates the addition of a lane on southbound Crane Street (see next bullet).
- Add a second outbound lane on Crane Street (one right turn lane and one through / left turn lane.
- Extend the east terminus to . 1 mile east of Van Buren Street. Current terminus is Jefferson Street. Left turn lanes are proposed in both eastbound (left into senior housing complex) and westbound (left to Van Buren Street) directions.
- Add trail on the north side of CSAH 116 between Crane Street and former west terminus to fill in the gap between proposed and existing trails
- Wintergreen Street: change access from right-in / right-out to $3 / 4$ access.
- Butternut Street: change access from right-in / right-out to $3 / 4$ access.
- Anoka County Farms (125 Bunker Lake Blvd NE): change access from right-in / right-out to full access.
- Terrace Road: change from a cul-de-sac to right-in / right out.

RELATIONSHIP TO REGIONAL POLICY: Projects that receive funding through the regional solicitation process are subject to the regional scope change policy. The purpose of this policy is to ensure that the project is designed and constructed according to the plans and intent described in the original application. Additionally, federal rules require that any federally-funded project scope change must go through a formal review and TIP amendment process if the project description or total project cost changes substantially. The scope change policy and process allow project sponsors to make adjustments to their projects as needed while still providing substantially the same
benefits described in their original project applications.

## A TIP amendment accompanies this request.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Staff reviewed the submitted scope change request. The project originally scored 743 points and was ranked first out of seven projects that applied in the "A" Minor Relievers category. Staff review, which included sharing the proposed update with some of the scorers from the 2011 solicitation, examined whether the updated project would have scored well enough to be funded. Potentially changed scores are shown underlined in the "New" column on the second table below. They include a slight decrease in crash reduction cost effectiveness (due to the increase in cost) and decreases in two access management-related categories (due to the relaxation of access management measures). Staff also assigned additional points for an air quality improvement and the completion of a trail gap. Even without these increases, the adjusted score of 716 is above the score of the project that finished second (708 points). That project was also funded. .

Most of the points reduced are related to access. The original application sold the project in part on safety and limiting the number of full access entrances onto CSAH 116. The original application reduced access for four intersections. The updated project only reduces access at two of these intersections; neither to the level originally proposed:

| Intersection | Original Scope | Proposed Scope |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wintergreen St. (T Intersection)* | Full to right-in / right-out | $3 / 4$ (re-allow left-in) |
| Butternut St. (T-Intersection) | Full to right-in / right-out | $3 / 4$ (re-allow left-in) |
| Anoka County Farms (T-Intersection) | Full to right-in / right-out | Maintain full access |
| Terrace Rd. (T-Intersection) | Right-in / right-out to no access | Maintain right-in / right-out |

*Note that the attached letter indicates this intersection to have a reduction in access from the original application. Staff disagrees. It appears to have originally been proposed as right-in / right-out and is now proposed as a $3 / 4$ intersection; an increase in access from the original application.

| $\#$ | Category | Max | Orig | New | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | Relative Importance of Route | 100 | 69 | 69 | Not provided to scorer: Not likely to change |
| B.1 | Crash Reduction | 100 | 60 | 60 | Scorer repots that score would not change |
| B.2 | Air Quality | 100 | 100 | 100 | Scorer reports that project would have slight air <br> quality improvement (but already at top score) |
| B.3 | Congestion Reduction | 150 | 100 | 100 | Scorer reports that score not likely to change |
| C.1 | Crash Reduction Cost Effectiveness | 125 | 38 | $\underline{33}$ | Scorer repots that slight reduction in score due <br> to increased project cost. |
| C.2 | Congestion Reduction Cost <br> Effectiveness | 75 | 40 | 40 | Scorer reports that score not likely to change |
| C.3 | Air Quality Cost Effectiveness | 75 | 45 | $\underline{55}$ | Scorer reports 33\% improvement in cost per kg <br> reduced. Staff therefore assumes score increase <br> of 33\% of gap to top score (10 points) |
| D.1 | Development Framework Planning <br> Area Objectives | 100 | 27 | 27 | Not provided to scorer: Not likely to change |
| D.2 | Progress Toward Affordable <br> Housing Goals | 50 | 15 | 15 | Not provided to scorer: Not likely to change |
| D.3 | Land Use And Access Mgmt <br> Planning | 75 | 65 | $\underline{60}$ | Scorer reports a reduction of 5 points |
| D.4 | Access Management Improvements | 75 | 50 | $\underline{33}$ | Scorer reports a reduction of 17 points |
| D.5 | Integration of Modes | 125 | 103 | $\underline{108}$ | Scorer retired. Staff provides 5 points for filling <br> in of trail gap. |
| E | Maturity of Project Concept | 100 | 31 | 31 | Scorer reported that score would not change. |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 1}$ |  |  |

Based on the above scoring table, staff recommends approval of the requested scope change.

| ROUTING |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TO ACTION REQUESTED DATE COMPLETED <br> TAC Funding \& Programming <br> Committee Review \& Recommend  <br> Technical Advisory Committee Review \& Recommend  <br> Transportation Advisory Board Review \& Approve  |  |  |

Anoka County
TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
Highway

Douglas W. Fischer, PE County Engineer

July 20, 2015
Mr. Joseph Barbeau
Funding and Programming
390 Robert Street North
St. Paul, MN 55101
Dear Mr. Barbeau,
In 2011 Anoka County applied for and received STP funding for the reconstruction of CSAH 116 (Bunker Lake Blvd. NW) from Crane St. to Jefferson St. in the Cities of Andover and Ham Lake. The funding is in the 2015-2018 STIP in the year 2016 in the amount of $\$ 11,477,760$ with $\$ 7,840,000$ in federal funds.

As part of the public involvement process and discussions within the project management team meetings and the Cities several changes are being proposed based on safety and mobility of the traveling public. Anoka County is requesting a scope change due to a change in the project length, minor access changes, and turn lane addition on Crane St. in Andover.

Trail has been added along the north side of Bunker Lake Boulevard from the beginning of the reconstruction to the west to Crane St. This additional trail will connect the proposed trail to the east with the existing trail to the west of Crane St. Without this piece of trail there would be a 500' gap in the continuity of the trail.

We are proposing the addition of a second outbound lane on Crane St. in Andover. With the closure of the left out movement at Wintergreen St. it was shown that significant additional left turning vehicles would be making that move from the Crane St. intersection. A left turn lane is proposed to separate that traffic from the right turns.

The original concept indicated that Wintergreen St. would be reduced to a right $\mathrm{in} /$ right out access. This design would force eastbound vehicles wishing to turn north on Wintergreen St. to cross the BNSF tracks, do a U-turn at Sycamore St. and then travel back across the tracks increasing train/vehicle exposure. We are proposing a $3 / 4$ access with an eastbound left in to Wintergreen St. to avoid traffic crossing the tracks and allow for a safe turning movement for residents.

Several access changes are being proposed in the area from Butternut St. in Andover to Terrace Road in Ham Lake. The original design proposed a full access at Butternut St., two right in/right out driveways and a cul-de-sac at Terrace Rd. We are proposing a $3 / 4$ access at Butternut, a full access at one of the driveways with the other remaining right in/right out and right in/right out access at Terrace Rd. The full access at one of the driveways is proposed to allow access for the

Our passion is your safe way home!
two commercial property uses on the north side of Bunker Lake Blvd. This access will allow the traffic to and from TH 65 to the salvage yard and will allow access to Anoka County Farms which is a destination for many school field trips. Without this access buses coming from the east be forced to travel to TH 65 to make a U-turn. Likewise vehicles coming from the salvage yard would be forced to Butternut St. to make a U-turn to head back to TH 65 for an additional 0.8 miles. As a compromise to this additional full intersection we propose to reduce the access at Butternut to $3 / 4$ which would reduce that access to conditional secondary (right in/right out/left in).

In addition to the access change we are proposing to lengthen the project by 0.4 miles to the east end. During the public involvement process it was identified that the end of the project and the transition back to the existing two lane section was happening in the area of the intersection of Van Buren St. /entrance to a senior housing complex. It was felt that this transition in an area where many seniors would be trying to turn left from a thru lane would create an unsafe situation. We propose to add left turn lanes in both directions with a painted median to provide a safe turning refuge.

Anoka County feels that the proposed changes were warranted and enhance the safety of project while still meeting the intent of the original design.

Attached is the additional information as requested. If you have any questions or need any additional information please contact me at 736-862-4248 or gina.pizzo@co.anoka.mn.us.

Sincerely,


Gina Pizzo

SCOPE CHANGE REQUEST<br>CSAH 116 (Bunker Lake Blvd. NW) from Crane St. to Jefferson St. S.P. 002-716-015<br>Anoka County, Minnesota

## REVISED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

## CSAH 116 - Crane through Van Buren St. NE Reconstruction

The proposed project reconstructs CSAH 116 to a four lane divided urban roadway with dedicated right and left turn lanes from approximately 600' east of Crane St. in the City of Andover to approximately 600 ' east of Van Buren St. NE in the City of Ham Lake. The last 1300' in the City of Ham Lake is a transition to the existing 2 lane rural section and will not have a raised center island. This portion will provide painted channelization at the intersection with Van Buren St. and the senior housing development entrance to the north. This project includes the addition of a right turn lane on Crane St. and the realignment of the Prairie Road intersection. The project also includes the addition of bus/truck pull out lanes at the crossing with the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad to be used by vehicles that are required to stop at the crossing.

The project will include the construction of trail along the north side of CSAH 116 from Crane St. to Jefferson St. and along the south side of CSAH 116 from Crane St. and across the BNSF tracks to connect to an existing trail in Bunker Hills Regional Park. There will also be two other trail connections made to Bunker Hills Park trails; one at the Prairie Road intersection and another from the trail along the north side of CSAH 116 thru a pedestrian underpass approximately 1400' east of Prairie Road. This underpass connection will continue east in the Park to the Goldenrod St. NW/New Park entrance intersection. The trail crossings with the BNSF Railroad will include pedestrian gate arms to provide safe pedestrian crossings at the tracks.

This project is approximately 2.7 miles in length.

## WORK TO BE COMPLETED

Submit 95\% plans to State Aid for review September 2015
Permits September 2015
Right of Way Acquisition complete ..... December, 2015
Plan Approval ..... November 2015
Advertise for bids December 2015

## PROJECT LOCATION MAP

A map showing the location of the project within the area and the region is attached as Exhibit 1.

## PROJECT LAYOUT

The proposed project layout is attached as Exhibit 2.

## REVISED PROJECT COST ESTIMATE

The revised project cost estimate is attached as Exhibit 3.

## RECALCULATED RESPONSES TO KEY CRITERIA

Below you will find computations for key components of the STP application.

## B.1.a

$A R-11-01$

From Application:
AADT: $17,600+19,200 / 2=18,400$ (average of 2007 and 2009 volumes)
No of Years: 3
No of Crashes: 114
Segment Length: 2.3 miles
Crash Rate: $114 * 1,000,000 /(365)(3)(18,400)(2.3)=114,000,000 / 46,340,400=2.46$
The crash rate for CSAH 14 is 2.46 .
Recomputed crash rate:
AADT: 17,600 + 19,200/2 = 18,400 (average of 2007 and 2009 volumes)
No of Years: 3
No of Crashes: 114
Segment Length: $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ miles
Crash Rate: 114*1,000,000 / (365) (3) (18,400) (2.7) = 114,000,000/46,340,400 = 2.10
The crash rate for CSAH 14 is 2.10.

> B. 2 Air Quality. (original)
> Segment Length $=2.3$ miles
> Posted Speed Limit $=55 \mathrm{mph}$
> Existing Conditions
> Free-flow travel time $=(2.3$ mile $/ 55 \mathrm{mph}) \times 60=2.51$ minutes
> Signalized intersection delay: $(1$ location - Prairie Rd $)=75$ seconds; $(1$ location -
> Jefferson St $)=50$ seconds $=125$ seconds $=2.1$ minutes
> Mid-block Delays due to left-turns at minor streets/drives $(1$ location $)$
> Mid-block delay $=1 \times 10$ seconds $=10$ seconds $=0.2$ minutes
> Arterial Speed $=(2.3 /(2.51+2.1+0.2$ minutes $)) x 60=28.7 \mathrm{mph}$
> Proposed Conditions
> Free-flow travel time $=(2.3$ mile $/ 55$ mph $) x 60=2.51$ minutes
> Signalized intersection delay $(1$ location - Prairie Rd $)=30$ seconds; $(1$ location -
> Jefferson St $)=30$ seconds $=60$ seconds $=1$ minute
> All mid-block delays due to left-turns at minor streets/driveways will be reduced to zero due to the center median and left-turn lanes at full intersections.
> Arterial Speed $=(2.3 /(2.51+1.0$ minutes $)) x \mathbf{x 0}=39.3$ mph

## New:

B. 2 Air Quality. (original)

Segment Length $=2.7$ miles
Posted Speed Limit $=55 \mathrm{mph}$

## Existing Conditions

Free-flow travel time $=(2.7 \mathrm{mile} / 55 \mathrm{mph}) \times 60=2.95$ minutes
Signalized intersection delay: (1 location - Prairie Rd) $=75$ seconds; (1 location -
Jefferson St) $=50$ seconds $=125$ seconds $=2.1$ minutes
Mid-block Delays due to left-turns at minor streets/drives (1 location)
Mid-block delay $=2 \times 10$ seconds $=10$ seconds $=0.33$ minutes
Arterial Speed $=(\mathbf{2 . 7} /(\mathbf{2 . 9 5}+\mathbf{2 . 1}+\mathbf{0 . 3 3}$ minutes $)) \mathbf{x} \mathbf{6 0}=\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{~ m p h}$
Proposed Conditions
Free-flow travel time $=(2.7$ mile $/ 55 \mathrm{mph}) \times 60=2.95$ minutes
Signalized intersection delay ( 1 location - Prairie Rd) $=30$ seconds; ( 1 location Jefferson St) $=30$ seconds $=60$ seconds $=1$ minute
All mid-block delays due to left-turns at minor streets/driveways will be reduced to zero due to the center median and left-turn lanes at full intersections.
Arterial Speed $=(2.7 /(2.51+1.0$ minutes $)) \times 60=\underline{46.15 \mathrm{mph}}$ increase of 6.9 mph
VMT Calculations (original)
Annual VMT (commute trips)/250 (number of work days in a year) $=$ miles $/$ day
Annual VMT: 15,900 ( 2011 counts) $* 2.3$ (project length) $* 365$ (year) $=13,348,050$
$=13,348,050 / 250=53,392$ miles $/$ day
Based on the analysis, the peak hour average speed will increase by approximately 11 $m p h$ on this segment after proposed project improvements. Using the MOBILE5B emission factors and Vehicle Emissions Reduction Worksheet, total emissions for baseline and build conditions were calculated. Total emissions reduction due to the proposed improvements is 293.1 kilograms/day. Please refer to Attachment F for a copy of the worksheet and Attachment $G$ for traffic volume counts.

## VMT Calculations (original)

Annual VMT (commute trips)/250 (number of work days in a year) = miles/day Annual VMT: 15,900 ( 2011 counts) 2.7 (project length) 365 (year) $=15,669,450$ $=15,669,450 / 250=62,678 \mathrm{miles} /$ day
Based on the analysis, the peak hour average speed will increase by approximately 11 mph on this segment after proposed project improvements. Using the MOBILE5B emission factors and Vehicle Emissions Reduction Worksheet, total emissions for baseline and build conditions were calculated. Total emissions reduction due to the proposed improvements is $\mathbf{4 9 6 . 4}$ kilograms/day. Please refer to Attachment F for a copy of the worksheet and Attachment G for traffic volume counts.

From original application

| VEHICLE EMISSIONS REDUCTION WORKSHEET (APPENDIX G) <br> System Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BASELINE EMISSIONS WITHOUT PROJECT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Weekday Travel Speed Before Installation: |  |  |  | 29 | mph |
|  | Emissions Factor (grams $/ \mathrm{mile}$ )* | Daily VMT (miles) | Emissions (kg/day) |  |  |
| CO Emissions | 15.55 | 53,392 | 830.2 | kg/day |  |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Emissions | 1.68 | 53,392 | 89.7 | kg/day |  |
| VOC Emissions | 1.43 | 53,392 | 76.4 | kg/day |  |
| Total Emissions |  |  | 996.3 | kg/day |  |
| EMISSIONS AFTER PROJECT |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Weekday Travel Speed After Installation: |  |  |  | 39 | mph |
|  | Emissions Factor (grams $/ \mathrm{mile})^{\star}$ | Daily VMT (miles) | Emissions (kg/day) |  |  |
| CO Emissions | 10.36 | 53,392 | 553.143192 | kg/day |  |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Emissions | 1.72 | 53,392 | 91.834584 | kg/day |  |
| VOC Emissions | 1.09 | 53,392 | 58.197498 | kg/day |  |
| Total Emissions |  |  | 703.2 | kg/day |  |
| Net Emissions Reductions due to Project |  |  | 293.1 | kg/day |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COST EFFECTIVENESS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cost of the Project: |  |  |  | \$10,300,000 |  |
| Cost Effectiveness: |  |  |  | 35138.8112 |  |

*Use auto emissions factors in Appendix for speeds in F4 and F5

New computations

| VEHICLE EMISSIONS REDUCTION WORKSHEET <br> (APPENDIX G) <br> System Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BASELINE EMISSIONS WITHOUT PROJECT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Weekday Travel Speed Before Installation: |  |  |  | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mp} \\ & \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Emissions Factor (grams/mile)* | Daily VMT (miles) | Emission <br> s (kg/day) |  |  |
| CO Emissions | 15.55 | 62,678 | 974.6 | kg/day |  |
| NO Emissions | 1.68 | 62,678 | 105.3 | kg/day |  |
| VOC Emissions | 1.43 | 62,678 | 89.6 | kg/day |  |
| Total Emissions |  |  | 1169.6 | kg/day |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMISSIONS AFTER PROJECT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Weekday Travel Speed After Installation: |  |  |  | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mp} \\ & \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Emissions Factor (grams/mile)* | Daily VMT (miles) | Emission <br> s (kg/day) |  |  |
| CO Emissions | 8.07 | 62,678 | 505.81146 | kg/day |  |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Emissions | 1.73 | 62,678 | 108.43294 | kg/day |  |
| VOC Emissions | 0.94 | 62,678 | 58.91732 | kg/day |  |
| Total Emissions |  |  | 673.2 | kg/day |  |
| Net Emissions Reductions due to Project |  |  | 496.4 | kg/day |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COST EFFECTIVENESS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cost of the Project: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,300,00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Cost Effectiveness: |  |  |  | 20748.9877 |  |

## Original 293.1 kg/day

New reduction of $496.4 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day
Increase in reduction of $203.3 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day

## PROJECT LOCATION MAP



EXHIBIT 1


Pres CITIES OF ANDOVER
AND HAM LAKE
ANOKA COUNTY
MN/DOT TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT - METRO
SECTIONS 31, 35 \& 36
TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH
RANGES 23 \& 24 WEST

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { EXHIBIT } 3 \\ 07-15-2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 116 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ENGINEE } \\ & \text { BUNKER LA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R'S ESTIM } \\ & \text { AKE BLVD) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ATE OF PR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROBABL } \\ & \text { PANE STR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LECONSTE COM } \\ & \text { REET TOE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RUCTION } \\ & \text { EAST OF } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cosis } \\ & \text { JEFERSC } \end{aligned}$ | On STRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEDERA | PARTICIPATII |  |  | sroms | EEWER (0) |  |  |  |  |  | FED | eral non- | PARTICIPA | ating |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | otal |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { coumr }}$ |  |  |  | State ald | Participrim |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cal |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Trem } \\ \text { No. }}}{\substack{\text { TEM }}}$ | unt | ${ }_{\text {Unict }}^{\text {UnIT }}$ |  |  |  | A) | , | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANDOVER } \\ & -020-000 \end{aligned}$ <br> B) | $\underset{\substack{\text { Crry } \\ \text { Sp } 197}}{\substack{\text { Pr }}}$ | AM LAKE |  | CIER=23.9\% CITY $=6.9 \%$ $-020-000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { COUNTY O } \\ \text { SP 002-7 } \\ \text { (E } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OF ANOKA } \\ & -716-015 \end{aligned}$ <br> (E) |  | ANDOVER F) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HAM LAKE } \end{aligned}$ <br> G) |  | ationa | $\underset{\substack { \text { cirr of } \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{\text { ceit } \\ \text { oin }{ \text { cirr of } \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { \text { ceit } \\ \text { oin } } }\end{subarray}}{ }$ | ANDOVER $\begin{aligned} & \text { 00-00 } \\ & \text { (I) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ham. LacE } \\ \text { (0.0.0. } \end{gathered}$ | crivor | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { ano.00 } \\ \text { ck } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | ouantiry | amount | auantir | amount | ouantriv | amount | ouantiry | Amount | ouantiry | amount | ouantriv | Amount | ouantiry | amount | Ouantiry | amount | ouantiry | amount | ouastriv | Amount | ouantiry | amount | auantiry | amount |
|  |  | Ss50,0000 | , | Ss50,0000 | (i.783 |  | ${ }_{\substack{0.119 \\ 0.19}}^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.0025}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{0.073 \\ 0.073}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Stion | $\xrightarrow{1.900} 10$ | Sitiono | - 1.1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | TREE | Sitisoo | ${ }_{5} 5$ |  | ${ }_{515}$ | coin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{20,5060}$ afuge | ${ }_{\text {ACBE }}^{\text {AREE }}$ | S. | $\xrightarrow{10,15} \times$ |  | ${ }_{43}^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200650 1 Rewove pr Euveris | LINFT | $\xrightarrow{\text { S.0.0 }}$ | 30 | Sisoo | 1 | s900 | 30 | 830000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { LNFT }}{\text { LNWT }}$ |  | ( |  | 900 | S11,20000 | ${ }^{30}$ | 88,0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LNFT |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 9.964 } \\ \text { ent }}}^{\text {237 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {LINFT }}^{\text {UnF }}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{50}$ | Si.5200 | ${ }_{5}^{56}$ | $\substack{\text { S1.5.5200 } \\ \text { siza }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { Solt }}}_{\text {Solt }}$ | ¢050 | 59726 |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { 59, } 266 \\ 189}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{\text {S2988830 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }_{\text {soft }}$ | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}^{\substack{\text { sion }}}$ | 16.603 | ${ }_{\text {Sl }}^{\text {S16.6330 }}$ | ${ }_{16,603}^{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Soro |  | ${ }_{75,98}^{7}$ |  | ${ }_{75 \text { 75888}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20,509 nemove Prearbon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sisole | ${ }_{2}^{3}$ |  | $\stackrel{3}{2}^{2}$ | S. Smole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\xrightarrow{\text { ERACH }}$ | Stisiol | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ |  | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { Lin }}{\text { Lint }}$ | cois |  |  | (1,088 | Sintian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {ERaCH }}^{\text {Each }}$ | Sex | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | S. |  |  | 4 | Semol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {20, }}$ | ${ }_{\text {EaCH }}^{\text {Each }}$ |  | 1 |  | $!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Exach }}^{\text {Uum }}$ | Stiol | $\stackrel{3}{1}$ |  | ${ }_{3}^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {LNFT }}$ | ${ }^{\text {s200 }}$ | 2 | ${ }_{54}$ | 22 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{\text { curo }}{\text { curo }}$ |  |  |  | $\substack{\text { IR,26 } \\ 20,120}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | curo curo den | ciseo |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2.912}$ | s2328600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | curo | Siloo | ${ }_{36,283}$ | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {che }}$ |  |  | 6,35 | S69,7885 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | curo |  | ${ }_{889}$ |  | ${ }_{88}{ }_{88}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2{ }^{2123599} 008 \mathrm{OLR}$ | Hour | S5600 | 10 | S55000 | 10 | St5000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2 \times 23.501}$ Warter | M Gatuov | Stision | ${ }_{\substack{180 \\ 95}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {180 }}^{185}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | covo | Staseo | ${ }_{\text {24,915 }}^{44}$ |  | ${ }_{24.485}^{444}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2323501 MLL $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITMMMOUS SUVFFCE }\end{aligned}$ | saro | s200 | 679 | ${ }^{\text {s, } 1,58800}$ | 67 | ${ }^{\text {S1, } 3 \text { S8800 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{\text { Gallow }}{\text { ToN }}$ | ¢ | (10,789 |  |  |  | 521 | ss6.99100 | ${ }^{407}$ | 888, 89700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ToN | S8000 | ${ }_{5}^{59}$ | Stitameo | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{59}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Ton }}^{\text {ToN }}$ | Stion | ${ }_{\substack{2,5928 \\ 1.428}}^{2}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{25.529 \\ 1.298}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ToN | S6500 | 29 | ${ }^{\text {s2246500 }}$ | ${ }^{29}$ | ${ }^{\text {c/246500 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20ilis | $\stackrel{\text { Linf }}{\text { Sot }}$ | S0000 | $4{ }^{40}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{409}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Soft |  |  |  |  |  | (1.580 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Linct }}$ | S.i.bion |  |  |  |  | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Sofr } \\ \text { curo }}}^{\text {arem }}$ | (82400 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {860,013 }}$ | 8664.30000 | ${ }_{3,472}$ | s4472000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | curo |  | $\frac{62}{7}$ |  | 62 | S1.860.00 | 7 | S5,05500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | curo | S10000 | 101 | S10,00000 | ${ }^{85}$ | S8.5000 | ${ }^{16}$ | s1.60000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{\text { LiNFT }}{\text { LNT }}$ | ¢ | ${ }_{59}^{17}$ |  | ${ }_{59}^{17}$ | Stis. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {EACH }}^{\text {EaCH }}$ | ¢ | ${ }_{1}^{4}$ |  | $\stackrel{2}{8}$ | Stitesion |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{13}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\substack{\text { Eeart } \\ \text { Each }}$ |  | 7 | cois |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (intiono | $\stackrel{4}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{4}{1}$ | Stiols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Eeart }}^{\text {Each }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LINFT |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{125}$ |  | ${ }_{125}^{126}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{\text { Each }}{\text { Each }}$ | (titeon | 4 |  | ${ }_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | ${ }^{\text {s. } 515000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underbrace{\text { EaCH }}_{\text {EaCH }}$ | Siscos | ${ }_{3}$ | Stano |  | Stane |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20in | EACH | Station |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { sitanoo }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S45600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EaCH | S80000 | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | Stoos | 1 | sooso |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { LNFT }}{\text { LNT }}$ |  | ${ }^{3.028}$ |  | 2.56 | S15 288.00 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{480}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LTNT | S3200 | ${ }^{40}$ | S1,28000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | S1,20000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| EXHIBIT "A" $=$ Project LayoutEXHIBIT " B " $=60 \%$ Estimated Cost ShareEXHIBIT " C " $=$ Cost Shering Agreementby MARIO $\mathbf{0 3 - 1 6 - 2 0 1 5}$ |  | EXHIBIT 3$07-15-2015 \mathrm{MN}$. |  |  |  | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COSTS CSAH 116 (BUNKER LAKE BLVD) FROM CRANE STREET TO EAST OF JEFFERSON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { пem } \\ \text { No. }}}{\text { No. }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Federal participating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | STATE AID PARTICIPTITNG |  |  |  |  |  | ERAL NON-PARTICIPATING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | unt | $\underset{\substack{\text { unt } \\ \text { PRicE }}}{\text { und }}$ | $\underset{\text { Prouectouantir }}{\text { Total }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COUNTY OF ANOKA } \\ & \text { SP 002-716-015 } \\ & \text { (A) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CITY OF ANDOVER } \\ & \text { SP 198-020-000 } \\ & \text { (B) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CITY OF HAM LAKE } \\ & \text { SP 197-020-000, } \\ & \text { (C) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lo |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COUNTY OF ANOKA } \\ & \text { SP 002-716-015 } \\ & \text { (E) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CITY OF ANDOVER } \\ & \text { SP 198-020-000 } \\ & \text { (F) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CITY OF HAM LAKE } \\ & \text { SP 197-020-000 } \\ & \text { (G) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { COUNTY OF ANOKA } \\ \text { CP 00-00 } \\ \text { (H) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cirr of anover } \\ & \text { Copoon } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ouantir | amount |  |  | ouantry | amount |  |  | OUantriv | amount |  |  |  |  |  |  | ouantir | Amount | OUANTIT | Amount | OUANTTY | Amount | OUANTIT | Amourr | ouantir | Amount | OUANTIT | Amount | OUANTTY | Amount | OUANTIT | Amount | Ouantriv | amount |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\text { Liner }}{\text { LTM }}$ | $\substack{\text { So.30 } \\ \text { Soat }}$ | $\frac{32505}{5.021}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{32500 \\ 5.021}}$ | $\underset{\substack { \text { s.7.3500 } \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{\text { S20840 }{ \text { s.7.3500 } \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { \text { S20840 } } }\end{subarray}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (t) | $\stackrel{\text { Linfr }}{\text { LiNFT }}$ | Stion | ciont |  | ¢, | Stione |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{4}$ S Solul | $\xrightarrow{\text { Liver }}$ UTIT |  |  |  | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { 3, }}}_{\substack{25245 \\ 3,45}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{20} 5$ |  |  | (1006 | , ${ }_{\text {3495 }}^{792}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {3,452 }}$ | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | subroral |  |  |  | S1/1.58, 1.6 |  | $\frac{0.0078935}{4}$ |  | $\frac{1,381,77900}{8}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {se29986 } 65}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{158500000}{5}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{\text { Sl250000 }}{5}}{5}$ |  | $\frac{5000}{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| atam | s7,80,000.00 | Funding Group:Totals:Total Federal Eligible Items:Federal Funds Available |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\xrightarrow{\text { S7,840,00.000 }} 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grown E |  | $\frac{\text { Gioup }}{\text { F }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | SP 002-716-0 | CSAH 116 | From Crane | St. to East of J | Herson St.) | Improvement P | ject - F | NG SPLITS |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Countr |  |  |  |  | Tr of ANOVVER |  |  |  |  | СІІТ О О НАМ LАКЕ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Project } \\ \text { TOTALS }}}{ }$ | totals | $\begin{gathered} \text { EEDERAL } \\ \text { FUUNOS } \end{gathered}$ | STATE AID | $\underset{\substack{\text { LocAl } \\ \text { FuNDOS }}}{ }$ | totals | federal fund | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STATE AID } \\ & \text { FIINDS } \end{aligned}$ | ${\underset{\text { (I) }}{ } \mathrm{LOCAL} \text { FUNDS }}^{\text {(I) }}$ | Cocill | totals | $\begin{gathered} \text { FEDERAL } \\ \text { FUNOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { STATE AID } \\ \text { FUNDS } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { LOCALA } \\ \text { Funos }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LocAl } \\ & \text { CuNOS } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{2.886,39937}$ | 25,00000 |  |  |  | 12.50.00 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1977 \text { 2020.36 }}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{92.60629 \\ 1881,87}}$ | 12.50000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,00000 |  |  |  | 12,500.00 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {20, }}$ 20803, 6.67 |  | ${ }^{12,500.00}$ |  |
| 8\%\% OSSTPUCTTON EVGINEERIMG |  | ${ }^{926,577.15}$ | ${ }^{768,855,26}$ |  | ${ }^{767,855,26}$ | 2.00000 | ${ }^{122,796.14}$ |  | ${ }^{126,996,14}$ | 1.000.00 |  | 28,905.75 |  | ${ }^{27,90575}$ | 1,000.00 |  |
| Sta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 隹 |  | \% 8.52 | 393.04 | 23,89 | . $8.42,14$ | 27,00000 | ,725,24 | 1.078.69789 | 633,050.01 | 3.500. |  | 390,27.57 | ${ }^{237,403.67}$ | 139,323.91 | 13.500.00 |  |
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