



TPP Update: Appendix F

TAC Funding and Programming Committee

May 18, 2017

What is Appendix F?

- Highway Interchange Request Criteria and Review Procedure
 - First Developed in 1979
- Early Review of Interchange Proposals by Council, MnDOT, FHWA (in cases of Interstate Highways) to ensure:
 - Consistency with regional plans
 - Location is suitable for type of improvement
- Anticipated to be Qualifying Criteria for Competitive Funding
 - Freight Solicitation 2017
 - Transportation Economic Development (TED) 2017
 - Regional Solicitation in 2018

Clarify When Appendix F Applies

- Define Boundary as MPO (7 Counties + Urbanized Wright & Sherburne)
- Define “Interchange”
 - Grade separated highways with adjacent access connection(s)
- Applies to:
 - Addition or removal of an interchange on a PA
 - Addition or removal of interchange access to a PA
- Does not apply to:
 - Preservation, safety, or mobility investments not described above (e.g., new turn lanes or thru lanes)
 - Modifications to the existing ramp(s) or interchange design
 - New local roadway connections to an interchange ramp or ramp terminal

Other Proposed Changes

- Incorporate Thrive MSP 2040 and 2040 TPP Language
- Incorporate Results of Principal Arterial Intersection Conversion Study
- Remove Engineering/Operations Language to Focus on Planning Questions
- Clarify & Consolidate Text
- Move List of Successfully Completed Proposals from Increased Revenue Scenario to Appendix F

Increased Revenue, Current TPP

- U.S. 169 at 101st
- ~~U.S. 52 at Dakota CR 42~~
- ~~I-494 at E Bush Lake Rd~~
- I-94/MN 610 at Hennepin CR 610
- I-494 at Argenta Trail
- I-94 at Brockton Avenue
- U.S. 212 at Carver CR 140

Increase Revenue...Appendix F

- “Some of these efforts are high priorities and are not included in the Current Revenue Scenario due to anticipated funding limits. Other proposals have been brought forward by local partners to support the economic development they hope to achieve in their communities.” – Current TPP
- “consistent with the qualifying criteria found in Appendix F of the Transportation Policy Plan, although funding has not yet been identified. This list is not intended to be exhaustive nor does it indicate the region’s priorities for investment.” – Current TPP
- No “b” or “c” Evaluated, often Just a Dot on a Map

Draft Evaluation Criteria

1. Consistency with Local and Regional Planning
2. Need for Interchange
3. Functional Classification of Cross Street
4. Supporting Local Roadway Network and Access Management
5. Interchange Spacing

New Website

- Contact Information
- Table of Past Proposals
- THRIVE Examples
- Point Proposers Toward Next Steps

Schedule for Soliciting Feedback

- 5/1 Council TPP Work Group
- 5/11 TAC Planning
- 5/12 Capital Improvements Committee
- *5/18 TAC Funding & Programming*
- 6/7 TAC
- 6/21 TAB

Questions

Tony Fischer, Highway Planner

651-602-1703 or tony.fischer@metc.state.mn.us

Steve Peterson, Manager of Highways and TAC/TAB Process

651-602-1819 or steven.peterson@metc.state.mn.us