

ACTION TRANSMITTAL No. 2018-61

DATE: November 5, 2018

TO: TAC Funding and Programming Committee
Steve Peterson, Manager of Highway Planning and TAB/TAC
Process (651-602-1819)

PREPARED BY: Elaine Koutsoukos, TAB Coordinator (651-602-1717)
Joe Barbeau, Senior Planner (651-602-1705)

SUBJECT: Scope Change Consultation and Evaluation Process

REQUESTED ACTION: The Scope Change Work Group requests approval of the Scope Change Policy.

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the TAC Funding & Programming Committee recommend to the Technical Advisory Committee the Scope Change Policy.

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF ACTION: Projects funded through the Regional Solicitation process are selected based on how well they will address safety, congestion, air quality and other criteria used in the scoring evaluation. TAB wants to ensure that the benefits from any re-scoped projects are essentially intact. Therefore, applicants that want to make changes to a project's scope are currently subject to the following policies:

- Scope Change Consultation Process (2015). When an applicant wishes to change a project's scope, this process guides staff in the determination of whether a formal scope change request is needed.
- Process to Evaluate Scope Change Requests for Regionally-Selected Projects (2011). Once a formal request is needed, this process guides the analysis of whether a request should be granted.

The proposed scope change policy will address some of the shortcomings of the two existing policies and incorporate the following principles:

- Simplify: Combine the two existing policies into one policy.
- Evaluate Regional Benefits: Transition from a precise, but somewhat inaccurate rescoring of the measures to a qualitative review of the impacted measures, consideration of the total scoring gap between the project being evaluated and unfunded projects, and evaluation of the overall benefits gained/lost based on the requested scope change.
- Clarify: Clearly lay out the scope change process, what types of project scope changes need to go through the process, and whether federal funds can be shifted to similar, adjacent projects.
- Provide Consistency: Treat project requests in a fair and consistent way by requesting the same information from all applicants in the same year of costs.
- Ease of Combining Projects: Make it easier for project sponsors to combine two adjacent projects to minimize disruption to the public and improve efficiency.

RELATIONSHIP TO REGIONAL POLICY: Projects that receive funding through the Regional Solicitation process are subject to policies and scrutiny when sponsors want to change project scopes.

When TAB approves a program of projects, it does so with the expectation that projects will be completed as shown in the applications. A scope change policy is needed to ensure that projects are designed and constructed according to the plans and intent described in the original application.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Over the past year, stakeholders have identified the following shortcomings of the two existing policies:

- Projects were scored at a moment in time, so comparing one project that has completed major engineering, public involvement, and environmental documentation to a project still in the concept stage is difficult. For example, rescoring the cost effectiveness measure is no longer comparing “apples to apples” since the project with the scope change request has been fully developed, as opposed to project concepts whose costs would likely also rise as they are developed.
- There are two separate policies regarding scope changes with some overlapping language.
- Major changes starting in the 2014 Regional Solicitation involving online application submittal, use of mapping software, and the need to submit output from traffic analysis programs make it more difficult and time-consuming for project applicants, scorers, and Council staff to precisely rescore project applications.
- It is difficult for volunteer scorers to rescore applications three or four years after their original scoring.
- More clarity is needed for what types of projects need to go through each of the three scope change processes.
- More clarity is needed for what year revised cost estimates should be used to ensure consistent treatment of all requests.
- A recent trend in scope changes is to remove project elements and “replace” them with new elements with the intent of keeping all federal funding. No policy language exists to allow, or prohibit, this type of request.
- There is confusion as to whether separate adjacent projects can be combined and how this change impacts the scope change process.

Led by TAC Funding & Programming Chair Paul Oehme, a multi-agency Scope Change Workgroup met three times to address these identified issues and included the following individuals:

- Paul Oehme, City of Chanhassen
- Lyndon Robjent, Carver County
- Karl Keel, City of Bloomington
- Colleen Brown, MnDOT Metro State Aid
- Jen Lehmann, MVTA
- Adam Harrington, Metro Transit
- Mary Gustafson, Metro Transit
- Jeni Hager, City of Minneapolis
- Craig Jenson, Scott County
- Gina Mitteco, MnDOT
- John Sass, Dakota County
- Elaine Koutsoukos, TAB Coordinator
- Joe Barbeau, Met Council
- Steve Peterson, Met Council

Staff discussed the proposed policy with TAB in August 2018 and then supplied an example project to TAB in November 2018 to illustrate how the new policy would be implemented compared to the existing ones. If the new policy is approved, staff will provide TAB with an evaluation on the new scope change policy within one year of approval to analyze how well it is working and if any changes need to be

made. It should also be noted that approval of the Scope Change Policy will replace two existing policies: Scope Change Consultation Process and the Process to Evaluate Scope Change Requests for Regionally-Selected Projects.

ROUTING

TO	ACTION REQUESTED	COMPLETION DATE
TAC Funding & Programming Committee	Review & Recommend	
Technical Advisory Committee	Review & Recommend	
Transportation Advisory Board	Review & Approve	

Scope Change Policy

Projects awarded federal funds by the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) as part of the Regional Solicitation or Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) are often concepts that are further developed in the period from project application to implementation. Project sponsors work on activities after funds are awarded such as preliminary and final design, environmental studies, and public involvement. Sometimes during this project development process, the project sponsor wants to make changes to the scope of the project. Changes to a project's scope could affect its benefits to the region. It is important to the TAB that any change in a project's scope does not substantially reduce these benefits.

Scope Changes

A scope change is any revision that changes the physical characteristics of the project and has the potential to add to or detract from the project's benefits to the region. The project description in the original funding application serves as the project's scope for the purpose of determining whether a scope change is needed.

Three Levels of Scope Changes

There are three types of scope changes described below. The TAB Coordinator, the MnDOT Metro District Federal Aid Program Coordinator (for Federal Highway Administration-administered projects), and the Transit Federal Grants Manager (for Federal Transit Administration-administered projects) will determine the type of scope change.

Administrative scope changes:

Minor changes that typically occur when projects move into detailed design or minor additions such as project amenities or aesthetic items do not need TAB Coordinator/Metropolitan Council staff review. The MnDOT Metro District Federal Aid Program Coordinator or Metropolitan Council Transit Federal Grants Manager can review and approve minor changes including, but not limited to:

- Removing or adding of minor items, such as benches, waste receptacles, signage, etc.
- Changing the design of aesthetic items, such as lighting, railings, benches, etc.
- Adding items due to normal detailed design of a project such as noise walls, retaining walls, storm sewers, bike racks, wi-fi, etc.
- Adding new project elements/improvements funded through another source (e.g., a change to a more fuel-efficient bus) or combining a TAB-funded project with one or more separate non-TAB funded projects to improve efficiency and reduce construction impacts (e.g., combining a roadway project with an adjacent mill and overlay project). These changes should not detract from the original scope.
- Changing the width of a bike path (must still meet standards).

Informal scope changes:

Scope changes that exceed the standards of administrative scope changes are brought for a consultation between the TAB Coordinator; the MnDOT Metro District Federal Aid Program Coordinator or Metropolitan Council Transit Federal Grants Manager; and Council staff. The consultation will determine if the scope change can be approved through an informal process or if a formal scope change request is needed due to the potential negative impacts of the changes. An informal scope change may include, but is not limited to:

- Slightly changing a bike or pedestrian trail route alignment while still making the major connections.

- Combining two separate TAB-funded projects, provided this does not threaten to negatively impact either project.
- Changing the termini of a project, provided this does not threaten to negatively impact the project.
- Changing a pedestrian overpass to an underpass; or an underpass to an overpass.
- Changing an intersection treatment (e.g., a traffic signal to a roundabout) or an interchange design.
- Changing bus length, fuel source, type, or number, provided there is no resulting decrease in transit service.

Formal scope changes:

Any change that may significantly alter the estimated benefits to the region (particularly if altered to the degree where the revised scope may not have justified its original selection) must go through the formal committee process and be approved by TAB. A formal scope change request process is likely to be needed in instances including, but not limited to:

- Removing significant elements such as a trail, sidewalk, pedestrian bridge, traffic signal, transit stop, transit vehicle, etc.
- Adding elements that detract from the value or intent of the original application.
- Removing proposed access closures, if the closures are described in the project description and used to score points in the application.
- Reducing the frequency or hours of transit service.
- Reducing the number of parking spaces in a park-and-ride facility.
- Changing the number of travel lanes.
- Shifting from a bridge replacement project to a bridge rehabilitation project.
- Changing designs from an off-road trail to on-road bicycle route.

Ineligible Requests

The TAB Coordinator may inform the project sponsor that the proposed revisions exceed the limits of a scope change and that the proposed change constitutes a new project. Such requests will not be processed through the TAC and TAB and that the original project should either be completed or withdrawn. If the project is to be withdrawn, the project sponsor should submit a formal letter to the TAB Coordinator stating that the project is being withdrawn and federal funds are being returned to the region for reallocation. A proposed change will be considered a new project and therefore not eligible for a scope change if it is:

- Relocating the project away from the defined problem, need, or location, such as switching transit start-up service from one market area to another
- Moving funding from one project to another, such as moving funds awarded to a project on County Road A to the same, similar, or different work on County Road Z.
- Eliminating the primary improvement proposed in the project description (e.g., a bridge will not be improved for a project submitted in the bridge application category or a trail will not be improved in the multiuse trails application category).

Steps and Requirements to Determine Scope Change Type and Request a Formal Scope Change

The following steps must be followed to determine a scope change type and whether the proposed change needs to go through the formal scope change request process. It should be noted that once a MnDOT Metro District State Aid project has been authorized, the project scope cannot change.

1. The project sponsor informs the TAB Coordinator and the MnDOT Metro District Federal Aid Program Coordinator or the Metropolitan Council Transit Grants Manager that it wants to change a project. At this time, the MnDOT Metro District Federal Aid Program Coordinator or the Metropolitan Council Transit Federal Grants Manager may determine that the change is minor in scope and no further action is needed. If the requested change is more substantial, the project sponsor will be asked to provide a written description of the proposed scope change and a map or schematics showing how the proposed scope change affects the project.
2. Upon this submittal, the TAB Coordinator will consult with the MnDOT Metro District Federal Aid Program Coordinator or the Metropolitan Council Grants Manager to discuss the extent of the changes and whether the scope change will require a formal scope change request. The TAB Coordinator will contact the project sponsor and inform them whether the proposed modification can be accomplished administratively or whether it will trigger a formal scope change request and/or TIP amendment¹ request.
3. For a formal scope change request, the project sponsor must provide data on the revised project scope to the TAB Coordinator, including a complete project description; location map; project layout, sketches, or schematics; and a discussion of project benefits being retained, gained, or lost. Applicants must provide a cost breakdown of the TAB-eligible items proposed for removal and addition (in the year of costs used in the original application) using the attached project cost worksheet. Failure to do so can result in the request not being included on the TAC Funding & Programming Committee's agenda.
4. Council staff and will conduct an analysis of the requested change, including the background information provided by the project sponsor for consideration by the TAC Funding & Programming Committee. The Committee will discuss the staff analysis and recommend one the following to TAC and TAB (see detailed sections below and on the following page about determining scope change and federal funding amount recommendations):
 - Approval of the scope change as requested;
 - Approval of the scope change request with modifications to the scope and/or a recommended reduction of federal funds; or
 - Denial of the requested change

Determining the Scope Change Approval Recommendation

To determine whether the scope change request should be approved, the TAC Funding & Programming Committee will discuss the merits of the proposed changes and weigh the overall

¹ A TIP amendment request is only required to accompany a scope change request if the project is in the current fiscal year and either the project description changes in the TIP, the project termini change by 0.3-mile or greater, or the funding amount changes enough to meet federal TIP amendment thresholds.

benefits or reduction of benefits to the region. Council staff will provide a written analysis regarding the potential impacts of the proposed changes. The affected scoring measures, except for cost-effectiveness (any cost increases are paid for by the local agency and not federal funds), will be analyzed by Council staff to determine if each sub-score would have likely increased, decreased, or stayed the same with the scope change (a precise rescoring of the application is not possible since applications were scored against each other at a specific moment in time). Council staff will then evaluate whether the total score would have likely increased, decreased, or stayed roughly the same based on the summation of the sub-score changes. This relative change in the total score will be compared to the scoring gap between the project's original score and the highest unfunded project in the same application category. The TAC Funding & Programming Committee may consider recommending denial of the scope change request if it is clear that the project would have scored fewer points than the highest-scoring unfunded project (i.e., the project would have been undoubtedly below the funding line). Council staff may confirm their findings with the original scorer of the measure and/or request additional information of the applicant, if necessary.

Determining the Federal Funding Amount Recommendation

To determine whether federal funds should be recommended to be removed from a project, Council staff will assess the project elements being reduced or removed and provide this information to the TAC Funding & Programming Committee. While adding eligible project elements is permitted, federal funds cannot be shifted away from any removed elements to new project elements unless the removed elements are being done as part of some other programmed project. Federal funds cannot be added to a project beyond the original award.

Applicants must provide a revised cost estimate including a cost breakdown of the items proposed for removal using the attached project cost worksheet. Any removed or added items should use the costs in the year requested in the original application instead of the year of construction costs. Regional Solicitation projects must continue to maintain at least a 20% non-federal match, while HSIP projects must continue to maintain at least a 10% non-federal match.

Staff may recommend funding reduction options, if applicable, based on the federal share of the cost of the project elements being removed or the proportionate reduction of project benefits in cases in which that is discernable (e.g., number of parking spaces or length of sidewalk) and/or another method developed by staff or the TAC Funding & Programming Committee. A recommendation will move from TAC Funding & Programming Committee to the TAC and TAB for approval. If applicable, a TIP amendment request will also be moved for approval through the Metropolitan Council.

ATTACHMENT 1: FUNDING DATA FOR SCOPE CHANGE REQUEST

Original Application:

Regional Solicitation Year	
Application Funding Category	
HSIP Solicitation?	Yes No
Application Total Project Cost	
Federal Award	
Application Federal Percentage of Total Project Cost	


Project Elements Being Removed:

	Original Application Cost

New Project Elements:

	Cost (Based on Year of Costs in Original Application)

SCOPE CHANGE POLICY DECISION TREE



Scope Change Policy

Projects awarded federal funds by the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) as part of the Regional Solicitation or Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) are often concepts that are further developed in the period from project application to implementation. Project sponsors work on activities after funds are awarded such as preliminary and final design, environmental studies, and public involvement. Sometimes during this project development process, the project sponsor wants to make changes to the scope of the project. Changes to a project's scope could affect its benefits to the region. It is important to the TAB that any change in a project's scope does not substantially reduce these benefits.

Scope Changes

A scope change is any revision that changes the physical characteristics of the project and has the potential to add to or detract from the project's benefits to the region. The project description in the original funding application serves as the project's scope for the purpose of determining whether a scope change is needed.

Three Levels of Scope Changes

There are three types of scope changes described below. The TAB Coordinator, the MnDOT Metro District Federal Aid Program Coordinator (for Federal Highway Administration-administered projects), and the Transit Federal Grants Manager (for Federal Transit Administration-administered projects) will determine the type of scope change.

Administrative scope changes: Changes allowed with Metro State Aid or Metropolitan Council Grants Manager review and approval:

Minor changes that typically occur when projects move into detailed design or minor additions such as project amenities or aesthetic items do not need TAB Coordinator/Metropolitan Council staff review. The MnDOT Metro District Federal Aid Program Coordinator or Metropolitan Council Transit Federal Grants Manager can review and approve minor changes including, but not limited to:

- Removing or adding of minor items, such as benches, waste receptacles, signage, etc.
- Changing the design of aesthetic items, such as lighting, railings, benches, etc.
- Adding items due to normal detailed design of a project such as noise walls, retaining walls, storm sewers, bike racks, wi-fi, etc. ~~unless the cost increases enough to require a TIP amendment~~
- Adding new project elements/improvements funded through another source (e.g., a change to a more fuel-efficient bus) or combining a TAB-funded project with one or more separate non-TAB funded projects to improve efficiency and reduce construction impacts (e.g., combining a roadway project with an adjacent mill and overlay project). These changes should not detract from the original scope.
- Changing the width of a bike path (must still meet standards).

Informal scope changes: Project modifications allowed through informal consultation process:

Scope changes that exceed the standards of administrative scope changes are brought for a consultation between the TAB Coordinator; the MnDOT Metro District Federal Aid Program Coordinator staff or Metropolitan Council Transit Federal Grants Manager; and Council staff. The consultation will determine if the scope change can be approved through an informal process or if a formal scope change request is needed due to the potential negative impacts of the changes. An informal scope change may include, but is not limited to:

- Slightly changing a bike or pedestrian trail route alignment while still making the major connections.
- Combining two separate TAB-funded projects, provided this does not threaten to negatively impact either project.
- Changing the termini of a project, provided this does not threaten to negatively impact the project.
- Changing a pedestrian overpass to an underpass; or an underpass to an overpass.
- Changing an intersection treatment (e.g., a traffic signal to a roundabout) or an interchange design.
- Changing bus length, fuel source, type, or number, provided there is no resulting decrease in transit service.
- ~~Very minor change in project termini, such as adding one block of project, such as a roadway or trail, to make better connection~~
- ~~Change in bike path width (must still meet standards)~~
- ~~Adding locally funded project to the federally funded project (such as mill and overlay adjacent to project)~~

Formal scope changes: ~~Scope changes requiring approval by TAB:~~

Any change that may significantly alter the estimated benefits to the region ~~and project score and its rank within its solicitation category,~~ (particularly if altered to the degree where the revised scope may not have justified its original selection) must go through the formal committee process and be approved by TAB. A formal scope change request process is likely to be needed in instances including, but not limited to:

- Removing significant elements such as a trail, sidewalk, pedestrian bridge, ~~lighting,~~ traffic signal, transit stop, transit vehicle, etc.
- Adding ~~significant~~ elements that detract from the value or intent of the original application.
- Removing proposed access closures, if the closures are described in the project description and used to score points in the application.
- Reducing the frequency or hours of transit service.
- ~~Changing~~ Reducing the number of parking spaces in a park-and-ride facility.
- ~~Reducing~~ Changing the number of travel lanes.
- Shifting from a bridge replacement project to a bridge rehabilitation project ~~and vice versa.~~
- Changing designs from an off-road trail to on-road bicycle route.
- ~~Changing the termini of a project significantly~~
- ~~Pedestrian bridge to a tunnel, or a tunnel to a pedestrian bridge~~
- ~~Off-road trail to on-road~~
- ~~Signal to a roundabout~~

Ineligible Requests ~~When is a scope change a new project?~~

The TAB Coordinator may inform the project sponsor that the proposed revisions exceed the limits of a scope change and that the proposed change constitutes a new project. Such requests will not be processed through the TAC and TAB and that the original project should either be completed or withdrawn. If the project is to be withdrawn, the project sponsor should submit a formal letter to the TAB Coordinator stating that the project is being withdrawn and federal funds are being returned to the region for reallocation. A proposed change will be considered a new project and therefore not eligible for a scope change if it is:

- Relocating the project away from the defined problem, need, or location, such as switching transit start-up service from one market area to another
- Moving funding from one project to another, such as moving funds awarded to a project on County Road A to the same, similar, or different work on County Road Z.
- Eliminating the primary improvement proposed in the project description (e.g., a bridge will not be improved for a project submitted in the bridge application category or a trail will not be improved in the multiuse trails application category).

Steps and Requirements to Determine Scope Change Type and Request a Formal Scope Change

The following steps must be followed to determine a scope change type and whether the proposed change needs to go through the formal scope change request process. It should be noted that once a MnDOT Metro District State Aid project has been authorized, the project scope cannot change.

1. The project sponsor informs the TAB Coordinator and the MnDOT Metro District Federal Aid Program Coordinator staff or the Metropolitan Council Transit Grants Manager that it wants to change a project. At this time, the MnDOT Metro District Federal Aid Program Coordinator or the Metropolitan Council Transit Federal Grants Manager may determine that the change is minor in scope and no further action is needed. If the requested change is more substantial, the project sponsor will be asked to provide a written description of the proposed scope change and a map or schematics showing how the proposed scope change affects the project.
2. Upon this submittal, the TAB Coordinator will consult with MnDOT Metro District State Aid or the Metropolitan Council Grants Manager to discuss the extent of the changes and whether the scope change will require a formal scope change request. The TAB Coordinator will contact the project sponsor and inform them whether the proposed modification can be accomplished administratively or whether it will trigger a formal scope change request and/or TIP amendment¹ request.
3. For a formal scope change request, the project sponsor must provide data on the revised project scope to the TAB Coordinator, including a complete project description; location map; project layout, sketches, or schematics; and a discussion of project benefits being retained, gained, or lost. Applicants must provide a cost breakdown of the TAB-eligible items proposed for removal and addition (in the year of costs used in the original application) using the attached project cost worksheet. Failure to do so can result in the request not being included on the TAC Funding & Programming Committee's agenda.
4. Council staff and will conduct an analysis of the requested change, including the background information provided by the project sponsor for consideration by the TAC Funding & Programming Committee. The Committee will discuss the staff analysis and recommend one the following to TAC and TAB (see detailed sections below and on the following page about determining scope change and federal funding amount recommendations):

¹ A TIP amendment request is only required to accompany a scope change request if the project is in the current fiscal year and either the project description changes in the TIP, the project termini change by 0.3-mile or greater, or the funding amount changes enough to meet federal TIP amendment thresholds.

- Approval of the scope change as requested;
- Approval of the scope change request with modifications to the scope and/or a recommended reduction of federal funds; or
- Denial of the requested change

Determining the Scope Change Approval Recommendation

To determine whether the scope change request should be approved, the TAC Funding & Programming Committee will discuss the merits of the proposed changes and weigh the overall benefits or reduction of benefits to the region. Council staff will provide a written analysis regarding the potential impacts of the proposed changes. The affected scoring measures, except for cost-effectiveness (any cost increases are paid for by the local agency and not federal funds), will be analyzed by Council staff to determine if each sub-score would have likely increased, decreased, or stayed the same with the scope change (a precise rescoring of the application is not possible since applications were scored against each other at a specific moment in time). Council staff will then evaluate whether the total score would have likely increased, decreased, or stayed roughly the same based on the summation of the sub-score changes. This relative change in the total score will be compared to the scoring gap between the project's original score and the highest unfunded project in the same application category. The TAC Funding & Programming Committee may consider recommending denial of the scope change request if it is clear that the project would have scored fewer points than the highest-scoring unfunded project (i.e., the project would have been undoubtedly below the funding line). Council staff may confirm their findings with the original scorer of the measure and/or request additional information of the applicant, if necessary. ~~The project sponsor must also recalculate the responses to certain key criteria based on the revised project scope and provide them to the TAC F&PC. Met Council and TAB staff may consult with the scoring group chair and individual project scorers if necessary to evaluate the recalculated responses and estimate the change in the original project score. The TAC F&PC will base their recommendation on whether the estimated score of the revised project scope would have been high enough to have been awarded funds through the regional solicitation. A recommendation to approve the scope change and adopt a TIP amendment will go before the TAC, TAB Programming Committee and full TAB for adoption, then to the Metropolitan Council for concurrence. A recommendation to reject the scope change and TIP amendment will go before the TAC, TAB Programming Committee and full TAB for approval.~~

Determining the Federal Funding Amount Recommendation

To determine whether federal funds should be recommended to be removed from a project, Council staff will assess the project elements being reduced or removed and provide this information to the TAC Funding & Programming Committee. While adding eligible project elements is permitted, federal funds cannot be shifted away from any removed elements to new project elements unless the removed elements are being done as part of some other programmed project. Federal funds cannot be added to a project beyond the original award.

Applicants must provide a revised cost estimate including a cost breakdown of the items proposed for removal using the attached project cost worksheet. Any removed or added items should use the costs in the year requested in the original application instead of the year of construction costs. Regional Solicitation projects must continue to maintain at least a 20% non-federal match, while HSIP projects must continue to maintain at least a 10% non-federal match.

Staff may recommend funding reduction options, if applicable, based on the federal share of the cost of the project elements being removed or the proportionate reduction of project benefits in cases in which that is discernable (e.g., number of parking spaces or length of sidewalk) and/or another method developed by staff or the TAC Funding & Programming Committee. A recommendation will move from TAC Funding & Programming Committee to the TAC and TAB for approval. If applicable, a TIP amendment request will also be moved for approval through the Metropolitan Council.

ATTACHMENT 1: FUNDING DATA FOR SCOPE CHANGE REQUEST

Original Application:

Regional Solicitation Year	
Application Funding Category	
HSIP Solicitation?	Yes No
Application Total Project Cost	
Federal Award	
Application Federal Percentage of Total Project Cost	

Project Elements Being Removed:

	Original Application Cost

New Project Elements:

	Cost (Based on Year of Costs in Original Application)