

**ACTION TRANSMITTAL No. 2020-39**

**DATE:** November 19, 2020

**TO:** TAC Funding & Programming Committee  
Steve Peterson, Mgr of Highway Planning and TAB/TAC Process  
(651-602-1819)

**PREPARED BY:** Joe Barbeau, Senior Planner (651-602-1705)

**SUBJECT:** 2020 Regional Solicitation Funding Scenario Options

**REQUESTED ACTION:** MTS staff requests that the Funding & Programming Committee forward one or more preferred funding scenarios/options to TAC.

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the TAC Funding & Programming Committee recommend that TAC recommend Funding Scenario(s) and overprogramming options XX to TAB.

**NOTE:** At its November 18, 2020, meeting, the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) may reduce the number of scenarios to be considered or provide other direction that will inform this item and the recommended motion. Any direction from TAB will be shared at the November 19, 2020, Committee meeting.

**BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF ACTION:** For the Committee's consideration, staff provides the following funding scenarios for consideration:

1. Historical Process (Orange): This scenario is similar to TAB's past selection history dating back to 2014. The scenario focuses on the midpoints of the TAB approved funding ranges (55.5% for Roadways, 30% for Transit/TDM, and 14.5% for Bicycle/Pedestrian) and within modal categories allocates funding based upon the number of application submittals within each application category.
2. More Projects Scenario (Pink): This scenario maintains the modal midpoints of the TAB approved funding ranges, but within modes it focuses on application categories with lower federal maximum award amounts. This scenario shows 56 funded projects, made possible by focusing funding on the Traffic Management Technologies, Spot Mobility & Safety, Pedestrian, and Safe Routes to School application categories rather than the application categories with higher federal maximums such as Roadway Strategic Capacity and Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities.

Table 1 shows the modal funding ranges into which each mode should fall. All scenarios, including all overprogramming options, fall into these ranges. Tables 2 and 3 summarize the two scenarios without including overprogramming, with the latter comparing population and jobs to federal funds, by county. Table 4 adds history for each scenario to address the funding trends by county. Tables 5 and 6 examine geographic distribution by dividing the region into four quadrants, adding another way to consider geographic disbursement of funds.

**Table 1: Modal Funding Ranges**

	Roadways	Transit / TDM	Bicycle / Pedestrian	Total
Ranges	46%-65% \$89-\$125M	25%-35% \$48-\$67M	9%-20% \$17-\$39M	100%
Mid-Point	55.5% \$107M	30.0% \$58M (\$26M)	14.5% \$28M	\$193M
\$ Requested	\$300M	\$65M	\$97M	\$462M
# of Apps	57	32	52	132

**Table 2: Scenario Comparisons with No Overprogramming**

Scenario	Projects	Reg Sol Award	Match	Total Transp. Investment	Unique Applicants	Funded Equity Projects*
Historical Process	46	\$200M	\$209M	\$409M	23	8 of 10
More Projects	56	\$202M	\$200M	\$402M	29	8 of 10

\*\*“Funded Equity Projects” refers to any project that scored well enough to receive the equity “bonus points,” awarded to any application that was awarded at least 80% of the equity points. Overall, 10 projects were awarded equity bonus points.

**Table 3: County Demographics<sup>1</sup> and County Distribution with No Overprogramming**

County	Population	Jobs	Submitted Apps	1. Hist Process	Funded Apps	2. More Projects	Funded Apps
Anoka	12%	7%	14	\$22.8M (15%)	4	\$20.5M (13%)	3
Carver	3%	2%	13	\$15.7M (10%)	5	\$10M (6%)	6
Dakota	14%	11%	22.5	\$7.1M (5%)	5.5	\$7.4M (5%)	6.5
Hennepin	41%	53%	36.5	\$64.7M (41%)	19	\$76.4M (48%)	22
Ramsey	18%	19%	22.5	\$35.8M (23%)	9	\$41.0M (26%)	12
Scott	5%	3%	5	\$-	0	\$-	0
Wash.	8%	5%	15.5	\$10.8M (7%)	2	\$3.9M (2%)	5
Total*	100%	100%	-	\$157M	-	\$159M	-

\*Totals do not add up to the total funds available because the geographic distribution is unknown for Unique Projects and ABRT at this time.

**Table 4: Historic County Funding Balance with No Overprogramming in 2020 Cycle**

County	Population	Jobs	2014-2018	2014-2020 with Historical Process Scenario	2014-2020 with More Projects Scenario
Anoka	12%	7%	\$43M (7%)	\$66M (8%)	\$66M (8%)
Carver	3%	2%	\$20M (3%)	\$36M (5%)	\$29M (4%)
Dakota	14%	11%	\$64M (10%)	\$78M (10%)	\$79M (10%)
Hennepin	41%	53%	\$335M (54%)	\$413M (52%)	\$417M (52%)
Ramsey	18%	19%	\$95M (15%)	\$131M (16%)	\$138M (17%)
Scott	5%	3%	\$36M (6%)	\$36M (5%)	\$36M (5%)
Wash.	8%	5%	\$25M (4%)	\$36M (5%)	\$29M (4%)

<sup>1</sup> Population and jobs from Metropolitan Council Community Profiles, 2019

**Table 5: 2020 Quadrant Distribution with No Overprogramming**

Quadrant	Population	Jobs	Submitted Apps	1. Hist Process	Funded Apps	2. More Projects	Funded Apps
NW	25%	28%	27	\$49M (30%)	10	\$58.4M (35%)	12
NE	23%	23%	28	\$24.8M (15%)	6	\$20M (12%)	10
SE	28%	25%	44	\$49.2M (30%)	16	\$52.5M (32%)	19
SW	24%	24%	27	\$39.6M (24%)	9	\$33.9M (21%)	10
Total				\$157M	-	\$159M	-

**Table 6: Historic Quadrant Funding Balance with No Overprogramming in 2020 Cycle**

Quadrant	Population	Jobs	2014-2018	2014-2020 with Historical Process Scenario	2014-2020 with More Projects Scenario
NW	25%	28%	\$210M (36%)	\$259M (35%)	\$268M (36%)
NE	23%	23%	\$75M (13%)	\$100M (13%)	\$95M (13%)
SE	28%	25%	\$128M (22%)	178M (24%)	\$181M (24%)
SW	24%	24%	\$169M (29%)	\$209M (28%)	\$203M (27%)

At their October 22 and November 4 meetings, Funding & Programming Committee and TAC discussed several ideas for how to use the 10% (roughly \$20M) overprogramming within either of the two scenarios. Ideas and other general comments included:

- Agreement that skipping over high-scoring projects threatens to undermine the scoring process that all stakeholders have contributed to and helped score. Strong preference that this approach should not be used for an overprogramming option.
- Allow for partial funding of the applicants’ requests for overprogrammed projects.
- Provide overprogramming options for Scott County, which does not have a funded application in either scenario. This also could be applied to Dakota County, which is the most under-funded county relative to its percent of the regional population.
- Use overprogramming to fund lower cost roadway (Traffic Management Technology and Spot Mobility and Safety application categories) or bicycle/pedestrian projects alongside the Historical Process, to maximize the number of projects funded.
- Concerns related to MnDOT funding and administration of projects.
  - Funding large highway projects and/or providing partial funding to large projects could lead to requests beyond MnDOT’s means for 2024-2025, which poses a risk to the ability of all projects to be delivered. There is likely going to be more financial burden on project sponsors to pay than match than typically expected.
  - MnDOT also expressed concern that some of the remaining options fund a high number of projects and this presents a different burden on MnDOT Metro State-Aid staff to administer the projects. MnDOT and other stakeholder also noted that awarding federal funds to large number of small projects is inefficient because of the extra requirements and process with using federal funds.

The following four overprogramming options were developed based on committee feedback. There are three options for the Historical Process funding scenario and one option for the More Projects scenario.

Historical Process Funding Scenario Overprogramming Options:

- 1A: Each County Gets a Project (3 More Projects). The primary purpose of this option is to fund a project within each county and does so by not skipping over any higher-scoring

projects. It fully funds the #6 (an equity bonus project in Carver County) and #7 (Scott County) Strategic Capacity projects, as well as one more Pedestrian project.

- 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding (10 More Projects). The primary purpose of this option is to fund Strategic Capacity project #7 (Scott County), which would provide Scott County with a project. In order to get to this project without skipping any higher scoring ones, it funds two projects at \$7M/project (the maximum award amount in 2018) instead of \$10M/project. It also adds one Multiuse Trail project, five more Pedestrian projects, and two Safe Routes to School projects.
- 1C: Additional Low-Cost Projects (9 More Projects). This was an approach discussed by committee members that funds a high number of additional low-cost projects in the roadways and bike/pedestrian application categories. It funds three more Traffic Management Technology, three more Spot Mobility and Safety, one more Multiuse Trails, four Pedestrian, and two Safe Routes to School projects.

More Projects Funding Scenario Overprogramming Option:

- 2A: Continue Modal Midpoints (4 More Projects). This option continues the modal midpoints for the extra \$20M. It funds one Traffic Management Technology, one Roadway Reconstruction project, one Transit Expansion project, and one Multiuse Trail project.

Table 7 shows the pros and cons of each of the four overprogramming options, while Tables 8 and 9 show the county funding balance of each option. Table 7 was created using the assumption that there is a desire to achieve better county funding balance for Scott County. Figure 1 at the end of the memo provides a decision tree to help guide TAB's decision-making process based on their goals for the use of the overprogramming funds.

The projects funded in each scenario and overprogramming option are shown in the attachments (tabular and map formats).

**Table 7: Pros and Cons of Each Overprogramming Option**

Overprogramming Option	Pros	Cons
1A: Each County Gets a Project (3 more projects)	-Funds another equity project (Carver Co) -Funds a project in Scott Co	-Only funds 1 more bike/pedestrian project
1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding (10 more projects)	-Provides partial funding (\$7M/project) to projects in Scott and Carver Co -Partially funds another equity project (Carver Co)	-By partially funding 2 large projects, it creates more risk that these projects will not be delivered due to funding shortfalls
1C: Additional Low-Cost Projects (9 more projects)	-Provides a hybrid of the Historic Process and More Projects Scenarios	-Does not fund any projects in Scott Co
2A: Continue Modal Midpoints (4 more projects)	-Funds a Dakota Co roadway project -Includes another transit project -Consistent with TAB's approach on modal midpoints	-Does not fund any projects in Scott Co -Does not fund a \$10M Washington Co roadway project -Funding more projects is administratively difficult for MnDOT and less efficient use of federal funds

**Table 8: 2020 County Funding Balance: Historical Process**

County	Pop	Jobs	1. Before Overprogramming	1a	1b	1c
Anoka	12%	7%	\$23M (15%)	\$23M (13%)	\$23M (13%)	\$23M (13%)
Carver	3%	2%	\$16M (10%)	\$26M (15%)	\$23M (13%)	\$21M (12%)
Dakota	14%	11%	\$7M (5%)	\$7M (4%)	\$7M (4%)	\$7M (4%)
Hennepin	41%	53%	\$65M (41%)	\$65M (37%)	\$67M (38%)	\$72M (41%)
Ramsey	18%	19%	\$36M (23%)	\$36M (20%)	\$38M (21%)	\$40M (23%)
Scott	5%	3%	\$-	\$10M (6%)	\$7M (4%)	\$-
Wash.	8%	5%	\$11M (7%)	\$11M (6%)	\$12M (7%)	\$14M (8%)


**Table 9: 2020 County Funding Balance: More Projects**

County	Pop	Jobs	2. Before Overprogramming	2a
Anoka	12%	7%	\$20M (13%)	\$23M (13%)
Carver	3%	2%	\$10M (6%)	\$10M (6%)
Dakota	14%	11%	\$7M (5%)	\$14M (8%)
Hennepin	41%	53%	\$76M (48%)	\$82M (46%)
Ramsey	18%	19%	\$41M (26%)	\$44M (25%)
Scott	5%	3%	\$-	\$-
Wash.	8%	5%	\$4M (2%)	\$4M (2%)

Other notes:

1. Members requested a summary of the “rules” of the Regional Solicitation and this is provided on the last page of the memo.
2. Taken from the total amount (\$198M) is \$4.9 million for Unique Project(s) to be distributed by the 2022 Regional Solicitation. Criteria for unique project selection will be established in 2021.
3. The TAB-approved funding ranges included a \$5 million shift to Transit: \$4 million from Roadways and \$1 million from Bicycle/Pedestrian. This comes along with TAB’s decision to allow for a \$25 million funding of a single ABRT route. TAB also agreed to a) only allowing a total of \$32 million to be awarded to BRT projects, which allows up to \$7M for other BRT projects.
4. Given the \$32 million BRT maximum, only one of the two projects ranked at the top of their respective categories (Transit Expansion and Transit Modernization) can be funded. Each of these projects is related to the Gold Line BRT project. In Transit Modernization it is a project for downtown Saint Paul stations that serves Gold Line BRT and several different transit routes and in Transit Expansion the submittal is for a new Gold Line parking facility near I-494 in Woodbury. To provide input to TAB’s decision, the two applicants, Metro Transit and Washington County, consulted with the other project partners. Based on these conversations, the Gold Line project partners indicated preference for the Transit Modernization project if only one can be funded.
5. There is a New Market guarantee to ensure that at least one Transit Expansion or Modernization project is funded that serves areas outside of Transit Market Area 1 and 2 from the Transportation Policy Plan for at least one end of the project. (See Figure 3 for transit market areas)

Figure 1: Overprogramming Options




## Figure 2: The Rules of Regional Solicitation Project Selection

The following rules are highlighted in the 2020 Regional Solicitation application materials.

<b>Rule</b>	<b>Followed in 2020 Scenarios?</b>	<b>Comments</b>
1. Funding scenarios fall within the modal funding ranges approved by TAB	✓	Both remaining funding scenarios meet this requirement (including all overprogramming options)
2. A unique project set-aside of 2.5% (\$4.9M) is funded	✓	Funding is set-aside in all funding scenarios
3. TAB may or may not choose to fund at least one project from each application category. Traditionally, at least one project from each application category is funded	✓	All funding scenarios fund at least the top 2 highest scoring projects
4. Unwritten rule: Traditionally, projects are not “skipped” over to fund lower-scoring projects (unless another rule forces it)	✓	All funding scenarios follow this unwritten rule
5. There is a funding target of \$10M for the Bridge application category	✓	All funding scenarios meet this requirement and fund \$13.9M of total funding for 2 bridge projects
6. At least one project is funded for each of the five eligible roadway functional classifications	✓	All funding scenarios meet this requirement
7. Roadway projects must be spaced 3.5 miles apart on a corridor	✓	All funding scenarios meet this requirement
8. Projects cannot be awarded HSIP and Reg. Solicitation funds	✓	There is one duplicate project that will accept the \$3.5M from the Reg. Solicitation instead of \$2M for HSIP
9. Fund at least one transit “new market” service (outside of service areas 1 and 2)	✓	All remaining funding scenarios fund either 5 or 6 transit “new market” projects
10. A maximum of \$25M for Arterial Bus Rapid Transit (ABRT)	✓	TAB approved showing all funding scenarios with \$25M for a future ABRT to be selected April 2021
11. A maximum of \$7M to other types of Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)	✓	All funding scenarios meet this requirement by skipping over 3 higher scoring BRT projects
12. A maximum of one transit project per transitway per funding cycle	✓	All funding scenarios meet this requirement and skip over a 2 <sup>nd</sup> Gold Line project
13. Bike/pedestrian projects cannot be on same corridor	✓	All funding scenarios meet this requirement



**Figure 3: Transit Market Areas**



TO	ACTION REQUESTED	DATE SCHEDULED/COMPLETED
TAC Funding & Programming Committee	Review & Recommend	11/19/2020
Technical Advisory Committee	Review & Recommend	12/2/2020
Transportation Advisory Board	Review & Approve	12/16/2020

**DRAFT FUNDING SCENARIO**  
**ROADWAY PROJECTS INCLUDING MULTIMODAL ELEMENTS**  
**Traffic Management Technologies**

Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	Project Name	Funct Class	1. Historical Process Funding Scenario		2. More Projects Funding		Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores	
							1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points						
1	14361	Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minneapolis	Minneapolis City-Wide Signal Retiming	Augmentor					\$2,500,000	\$625,000	\$3,125,000	\$2,500,000	817	
2	14083	St. Paul	Ramsey	St. Paul	Dale Street Traffic Signal Modernization	Reliever, Augmentor	\$4,500,800	\$4,500,800	\$4,500,800		\$2,000,800	\$500,200	\$2,501,000	\$4,500,800	811	
3	14090	Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minneapolis	City of Minneapolis ITS Upgrades and Enhancements	Augmentor			Overprogram		\$3,000,000	\$750,000	\$3,750,000	\$7,500,800	807	
4	14027	Carver Co	Carver	4 Cities; 1 Township	Carver County Traffic Signal Tech and ITS Enhancements	Expanders, Con			Overprogram	\$9,080,800	\$1,580,000	\$395,000	\$1,975,000	\$9,080,800	776	
5	14126	Ramsey Co	Ramsey	Mounds View	Mounds View Blvd Traffic Management Tech.	Reliever			Overprogram	Overprogram	\$2,536,085	\$634,021	\$3,170,106	\$11,616,885	630	
											\$11,616,885	\$2,904,221	\$14,521,106			

**Spot Mobility and Safety**

Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	Project Name	Funct Class	1. Historical Process Funding Scenario		2. More Projects Funding		Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores	
							1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points						
1	14059	Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minneapolis	Johnson St. NE/ I-35W South Ramps Intersection Improvements	Augmentor					\$1,497,200	\$374,300	\$1,871,500	\$1,497,200	630	
2*	14067	Hennepin Co	Hennepin	Minneapolis	Hi/Lake Safety Project	Augmentor					\$3,500,000	\$2,159,400	\$5,659,400	\$4,997,200	592	
3	14050	Carver Co	Carver	Benton Township	US 212 & CSAH 51 Intersection Safety Project	Principal Arterial					\$3,500,000	\$4,763,000	\$8,263,000	\$8,497,200	590	
4	14198	Dakota Co	Dakota	Burnsville	Dakota Co Project 11-27: Roundabout - CSAH 11 & Burnsville Pkwy	Expander, Reliever	\$9,897,200	\$9,897,200	\$9,897,200		\$1,400,000	\$350,000	\$1,750,000	\$9,897,200	586	
5	14346	Carver Co	Carver	Laketown Township	Highway 11 Intersection Improvement Project	Connector			Overprogram		\$2,937,600	\$734,400	\$3,672,000	\$12,834,800	575	
6	14368	Woodbury	Washington	Woodbury	Lake Road and Pioneer Drive Intersection Improvement Project	Expander			Overprogram		\$2,057,591	\$514,398	\$2,571,989	\$14,892,391	496	
7	14292	Rogers	Hennepin	Rogers, Dayton	CSAH 144 and CSAH 13 Signal & Intersection Geometric Improvements	Expander, Connector			Overprogram		\$1,747,512	\$436,878	\$2,184,390	\$16,639,903	483	
8	14023	Ramsey Co	Ramsey	Maplewood, St. Paul	Larpenteur Avenue (CSAH 30)/White Bear Avenue (CSAH 650)/North St. Paul Road (CSAH 29) Safety and Mobility Project	Augmentor				\$20,139,903	\$3,500,000	\$3,816,771	\$7,316,771	\$20,139,903	368	
9	14164	Hennepin Co	Hennepin	Corcoran, Greenfield, Rogers	CSAH 19 Spot Mobility & Safety Project	Connector					\$2,712,000	\$678,000	\$3,390,000	\$22,851,903	337	
10	14291	Rogers	Hennepin	Rogers	CSAH 116 and CSAH 150 Roundabout	Connector, Expander					\$1,245,120	\$311,280	\$1,556,400	\$24,097,023	291	
											\$24,097,023	\$14,138,427	\$38,235,450			

**Strategic Capacity**

Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	Project Name	Funct Class	1. Historical Process Funding Scenario		2. More Projects Funding		Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores	
							1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points						
1	14030	Brooklyn Park	Hennepin	Brooklyn Park	TH 252/Brookdale Drive Interchange	Principal Arterial					\$10,000,000	\$23,215,015	\$33,215,015	\$10,000,000	830	
2	14165	Blaine	Anoka	Blaine	TH 65 at 99th Ave NE Grade Separation	Principal Arterial					\$10,000,000	\$19,800,000	\$29,800,000	\$20,000,000	686	
3**	14139	Anoka Co	Anoka	Ramsey, Dayton	CSAH 56 (Ramsey Blvd) & Highway 10 Interchange	Principal Arterial, Expander				\$30,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$19,300,000	\$29,300,000	\$30,000,000	616	
4-T	14324	Washington Co	Washington	Grant, Lake Elmo	CSAH 17 (Lake Elmo Ave) & TH 36 Interchange	Principal Arterial, Connector					\$10,000,000	\$24,733,130	\$34,733,130	\$40,000,000	572	
4-T	14347	Carver Co	Carver	Chanhassen, Victoria	Highway 5 Arboretum Area Mobility and Access Project	Expander	\$50,000,000	\$50,000,000	\$50,000,000		\$10,000,000	\$3,440,000	\$13,440,000	\$50,000,000	572	
6	14345	Carver Co	Carver	Chaska	Highway 41 and CSAH 10 Mobility and Access Improvement	Principal Arterial, Expander	Overprogram	Overprogram: Partial Funding \$7M			\$9,049,600	\$2,262,400	\$11,312,000	\$59,049,600	542	
7	14015	Scott Co	Scott	Jordan	TH 169, TH 282 and CSAH 9 Interchange	Principal Arterial, Connector	Overprogram	Overprogram: Partial Funding \$7M			\$10,000,000	\$14,000,000	\$24,000,000	\$69,049,600	541	
8	14375	Washington Co	Washington	Mahtomedi, White Bear Lake	TH 120 (Century Avenue) Expansion	Expander					\$6,601,884	\$1,650,471	\$8,252,355	\$75,651,484	500	
9	14074	Coon Rapids	Anoka	Coon Rapids	TH 610 & East River Road Interchange Reconstruction	Expander					\$9,752,000	\$2,438,000	\$12,190,000	\$85,403,484	459	
10	14018	Ramsey Co	Ramsey	White Bear Twp, Lino Lakes, North Oaks	I-35E/County Road J Interchange	Expander					\$8,618,210	\$2,154,553	\$10,772,763	\$94,021,694	437	
11	14049	Carver Co	Carver	Benton Township	US 212 Freight Mobility & Safety Project from CSAH 51 to CSAH 36	Principal Arterial					\$10,000,000	\$15,977,000	\$25,977,000	\$104,021,694	432	
12	14333	Scott Co	Scott	Sand Creek Township	Sand Creek Township Overpass Improvement Project	Principal Arterial					\$2,087,036	\$521,759	\$2,608,795	\$106,108,730	414	
13	14140	Anoka Co	Anoka	Blaine	Anoka CSAH 12 (109th Avenue NE) Expansion Project	Expander					\$7,664,000	\$1,916,000	\$9,580,000	\$113,772,730	376	
14	14169	Anoka Co	Anoka	Blaine	CSAH 14 (125th Avenue NE) Expansion in Blaine	Principal Arterial					\$3,964,000	\$991,000	\$4,955,000	\$117,736,730	324	
15	14399	Lakeville	Dakota	Lakeville	185th Street Extension	Expander					\$1,800,000	\$450,000	\$2,250,000	\$119,536,730	311	
16	14344	Dakota Co	Dakota	Lakeville	CSAH 9 (179th Street) Realignment Project	Expander					\$3,920,000	\$980,000	\$4,900,000	\$123,456,730	262	
17	14168	Anoka Co	Anoka	Andover	CSAH 7 (7th Ave) Expansion in Andover	Expander					\$6,929,600	\$1,732,400	\$8,662,000	\$130,386,330	260	
											\$130,386,330	\$135,561,728	\$265,948,058			

Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization							1. Historical Process Funding Scenario				2. More Projects Funding					
Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	Project Name	Funct Class	1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points	Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores	
1	13970	Hennepin Co	Hennepin	Minneapolis	CSAH 5 (Franklin Ave) Reconstruction Project	Reliever					\$7,000,000	\$6,782,000	\$13,782,000	\$7,000,000	912	
2	14012	Hennepin Co	Hennepin	Minneapolis	CSAH 153 (Lowry Ave NE) Reconstruction Project	Augmentor					\$7,000,000	\$2,022,600	\$9,022,600	\$14,000,000	716	
3	14013	St. Paul	Ramsey	St. Paul	Robert Street Reconstruction	Reliever					\$7,000,000	\$11,000,000	\$18,000,000	\$21,000,000	699	
4	14327	Hennepin Co	Hennepin	St. Louis Park	CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Reconstruction Project	Augmentor	\$28,000,000	\$28,000,000	\$28,000,000		\$7,000,000	\$3,357,000	\$10,357,000	\$28,000,000	683	
5	14071	Maple Grove	Hennepin	Maple Grove, Brooklyn Park, Osseo	Highway 169 and County Road 130 Interchange Reconstruction	Reliever				\$35,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$6,795,000	\$13,795,000	\$35,000,000	610	
6	14303	Dakota Co	Dakota	Eagan	Reconstruction of CSAH 32 from CSAH 43 to 0.2 miles east of Dodd Road in Eagan	Expander				Overprogram	\$7,000,000	\$3,900,000	\$10,900,000	\$42,000,000	588	
7	14396	Anoka (City)	Anoka	Anoka	TH 47 Corridor Improvements Project	Connector					\$4,152,000	\$1,038,000	\$5,190,000	\$46,152,000	585	
8	14141	Anoka Co	Anoka	Coon Rapids	Anoka CSAH 11 (Northdale Boulevard NW) Reconstruction Project	Expander					\$5,214,400	\$1,303,600	\$6,518,000	\$51,366,400	583	
9	14031	Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minneapolis	Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction	Reliever					\$5,040,800	\$1,260,200	\$6,301,000	\$56,407,200	557	
10	14107	Ramsey Co	Ramsey	Shoreview	Hodgson Road (CSAH 49) Safety and Mobility Project	Expander					\$5,000,000	\$6,362,795	\$11,362,795	\$61,407,200	534	
11	14044	Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minneapolis	42nd Street Reconstruction Project	Augmentor					\$7,000,000	\$2,708,500	\$9,708,500	\$68,407,200	521	
12	14021	Shakopee	Scott	Shakopee	Marystown Road Corridor	Expander					\$4,918,000	\$1,229,500	\$6,147,500	\$73,325,200	514	
13	14014	St. Paul	Ramsey	St. Paul	University Avenue (I-35E to Lafayette Rd)	Reliever					\$5,500,000	\$1,375,000	\$6,875,000	\$78,825,200	455	
14	14069	Washington Co	Washington	Lake Elmo, West Lakeland Township	CSAH 15 Reconstruction - Manning Phase 4	Expander					\$5,011,952	\$1,252,988	\$6,264,940	\$83,837,152	452	
15	14293	Rogers	Hennepin	Rogers, Dayton	Fletcher Bypass - Hennepin County 116 to 81	Expander					\$3,181,040	\$795,260	\$3,976,300	\$87,018,192	439	
16	14051	Carver Co	Carver	Mayer, Waconia Township	CSAH 30 Rural Connection from TH 25 to CSAH 10	Connector					\$2,562,400	\$640,600	\$3,203,000	\$89,580,592	347	
17	14304	Dakota Co	Dakota	Eureka Township, Greenvale Township	Reconstruction of CSAH 86 from west Dakota County line to CSAH 23 (Galaxie Avenue) in Dakota County	Connector					\$4,800,000	\$1,200,000	\$6,000,000	\$94,380,592	281	
											\$94,380,592	\$53,023,043	\$147,403,635			

Bridges							1. Historical Process Funding Scenario				2. More Projects Funding				
Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	Project Name	Funct Class	1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points	Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores
1-T	14061	Hennepin Co	Hennepin	Plymouth, New Hope	CSAH 9 (Rockford Rd) Bridge Replacement Project	Augmentor					\$6,888,000	\$1,722,000	\$8,610,000	\$6,888,000	778
1-T	14087	St. Paul	Ramsey	St. Paul	Replacement of Kellogg-Third Street Bridge No. 62080 & 62080A	Reliever	\$13,888,000	\$13,888,000	\$13,888,000	\$13,888,000	\$7,000,000	\$56,903,000	\$63,903,000	\$13,888,000	778
3	14138	Ramsey Co	Ramsey	New Brighton	Replacement of Bridge 4533, Old Highway 8 (CSAH 77) over the Minnesota Commercial Railroad	Reliever					\$1,937,365	\$484,341	\$2,421,706	\$15,825,365	728
4	14042	Hennepin Co	Hennepin	Minneapolis, Robbinsdale, Crystal, Brooklyn Center	CSAH 152 (Washington Ave N) Bridge Replacement Project	Reliever					\$2,848,000	\$712,000	\$3,560,000	\$18,673,365	723
5	14332	Hennepin Co	Hennepin	Minneapolis	CSAH 152 (Osseo Rd) Rehabilitation Project	Reliever					\$2,738,400	\$684,600	\$3,423,000	\$21,411,765	615
6	14117	Ramsey Co	Ramsey	Roseville	Replacement of Bridge No. 62519, Count Road C over BNSF RR	Augmentor					\$5,000,000	\$6,098,829	\$11,098,829	\$26,411,765	597
7	14359	Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minneapolis	Nicollet Avenue South over Minnehaha Creek	Reliever					\$7,000,000	\$13,500,000	\$20,500,000	\$33,411,765	577
Total							\$106,286,000	\$106,286,000	\$106,286,000	\$108,108,703	\$33,411,765	\$80,104,770	\$113,516,535		
Overprogramming							\$125,335,600	\$120,286,000	\$120,144,788	\$117,644,788					

Highlighted ID numbers = Equity Bonus Points

\*The 2nd highest Spot Mobility and Safety project was also submitted as an exact duplicate project in the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) solicitation, but the project sponsor prefers the Regional Solicitation funding.

\*\*The 3rd highest ranked Strategic Capacity project was awarded a federal Infrastructure for Rebuilding America (INFRA) grant after the Regional Solicitation application period closed that will partially fund a much larger project on TH 10.

DRAFT FUNDING SCENARIO

TRANSIT AND TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROJECTS

Funding Range - 25-35% (\$48M - \$67M)  
Midpoint - 30% (\$58M)

Transit Expansion							1. Historical Process Funding Scenario				2. More Projects					
Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	BRT	New Mkt	Project Name	1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points	Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores
1*	14365	Washington Co	Washington	Woodbury	✓	✓	I-494 Park & Ride Structure in Woodbury	Skip due to	BRT maximum	with Gold		\$7,000,000	\$8,170,946	\$15,170,946	\$7,000,000	852
2	14176	Metro Transit	Hennepin	Minneapolis, St. Louis Park, Hopkins			Route 17 Service Improvement in Minneapolis, St. Louis Park, and Hopkins					\$2,511,123	\$627,781	\$3,138,904	\$9,511,123	607
3	14173	Metro Transit	Hennepin, Ramsey	Bloomington, St. Paul		✓	Route 54 Service Improvement in St. Paul and Bloomington					\$1,762,070	\$440,518	\$2,202,588	\$11,273,193	589
4	14298	Metro Transit	Hennepin	Minneapolis, Golden Valley, Plymouth		✓	New Route 757 Limited Stop in Minneapolis, Golden Valley, and Plymouth	\$8,942,679	\$8,942,679	\$8,942,679	\$8,942,679	\$4,669,486	\$1,167,372	\$5,836,858	\$15,942,679	566
5	14024	SouthWest Transit	Hennepin	Eden Prairie, Maple Grove, Plymouth, Minnetonka		✓	I-494 North SW Prime Service in Eden Prairie, Minnetonka, Plymouth, and Maple Grove				Overprogram	\$5,600,000	\$1,400,000	\$7,000,000	\$21,542,679	555
6	14340	MVTA	Hennepin, Dakota	Minneapolis, Mendota Heights, Eagan		✓	Route 436 Expansion - Viking Lakes in Eagan, Mendota Heights, and Minneapolis					\$2,600,000	\$650,000	\$3,250,000	\$24,142,679	495
7	14146	Metro Transit	Washington, Hennepin	Stillwater		✓	New Route 274 Express in Stillwater and Minneapolis					\$1,321,553	\$330,388	\$1,651,941	\$25,464,232	453
8	14296	Metro Transit	Hennepin, Ramsey	Minneapolis, St. Paul			Route 23 Service Improvement in Minneapolis and St. Paul					\$3,018,668	\$754,667	\$3,773,336	\$28,482,901	337
9	14178	Metro Transit	Ramsey, Washington	7 Cities		✓	Route 219 Service Improvement in Maplewood, White Bear Lake, Mahtomedi, North St. Paul, Oakdale, Landfall, and St. Paul					\$1,750,320	\$437,580	\$2,187,900	\$30,233,221	328
10	14330	SouthWest Transit	Hennepin, Carver	Eden Prairie, Chaska, Chanhassen, Carver, Victoria		✓	SouthWest Transit Golden Triangle Mobility Hub in Eden Prairie, Chaska, Chanhassen, Carver, Victoria					\$4,055,200	\$1,013,800	\$5,069,000	\$34,288,421	295
												\$34,288,421	\$14,993,052	\$49,281,473		

Transit Modernization							1. Historical Process Funding Scenario				2. More Projects					
Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	BRT	New Mkt	Project Name	1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points	Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores
1*	14392	Metro Transit	Ramsey	St. Paul		✓	Gold Line Ramsey Washington Saint Paul Downtown Modernization					\$7,000,000	\$3,500,000	\$10,500,000	\$7,000,000	721
2	14357	Metro Transit	Regional	Regional			Bus Farebox Upgrade for All Regional Transit Providers					\$7,000,000	\$1,750,000	\$8,750,000	\$14,000,000	637
3	14078	Dakota Co	Dakota	Apple Valley		✓	140th Red Line Pedestrian Bicycle Overpass in Apple Valley	Skip due to	BRT maximum	with Gold		\$2,400,000	\$600,000	\$3,000,000	\$16,400,000	610
4	14171	MVTA	Dakota	7 Cities		✓	Burnsville Bus Garage (BBG) Modernization					\$2,800,000	\$700,000	\$3,500,000	\$19,200,000	604
5	14084	Apple Valley	Dakota	Apple Valley		✓	Apple Valley Red Line BRT 147th Street Station Skyway	Skip due to	BRT maximum	with Gold		\$3,810,400	\$952,600	\$4,763,000	\$23,010,400	602
6	14191	SouthWest Transit	Carver	Chaska		✓	Signal Prioritization at East Creek Park and Ride in Chaska	\$17,243,520	\$17,243,520	\$17,243,520	\$17,243,520	\$443,520	\$110,800	\$554,320	\$23,453,920	582
7	14076	SouthWest Transit	Carver	Chanhassen		✓	Solar Array at SouthWest Village in Chanhassen					\$4,840,000	\$1,210,000	\$6,050,000	\$28,293,920	436
8	14190	MVTA	Dakota, Hennepin, Scott	7 Cities		✓	Burnsville Transit Station (BTS) Modernization-Elevator Installation					\$656,000	\$164,000	\$820,000	\$28,949,920	411
9	14295	MVTA	Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey	7 Cities		✓	Eagan Transit Station (ETS) Modernization-Elevator Installation					\$440,000	\$110,000	\$550,000	\$29,389,920	247
												\$29,389,920	\$9,097,400	\$38,487,320		

Arterial Bus Rapid Transit Program							1. Historical Process Funding Scenario				2. More Projects					
Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	BRT	New Mkt	Project Name	1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points	Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores
		Metro Transit					Arterial Bus Rapid Transit Program	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000					

TMO/TDM							1. Historical Process Funding Scenario				2. More Projects					
Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	BRT	New Mkt	Project Name	1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points	Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores
							TMO Set-aside for 2025-2026*	\$5,800,000	\$5,800,000	\$5,800,000	\$5,800,000	\$5,800,000	\$1,450,000	\$7,250,000	\$5,800,000	-
							TDM Set-aside for 2025-2026*	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$300,000	\$1,500,000	\$7,000,000	-

1	14041	MOVE Minnesota	Hennepin, Ramsey	Minneapolis, St. Paul			Changing the School Commute: Shifting Youth to Transit Use					\$452,700	\$113,175	\$565,875	\$452,700	892	
2	14372	Bicycle Alliance of Minnesota	Hennepin, Ramsey, Dakota	13 Cities			Expanding Adult Learn to Ride Bicycle classes and related programming from St. Paul and Minneapolis to inner suburbs					\$350,488	\$147,600	\$498,088	\$803,188	733	
3	13996	Cycling Without Age Twin Cities	Hennepin, Ramsey	Minneapolis, St. Paul			CWA TC Short Trip Program					\$236,856	\$59,214	\$296,070	\$1,040,044	598	
4	14400	Move Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minneapolis			Comprehensive Mode Share Measurement	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$275,000	\$69,094	\$344,094	\$1,315,044	444	
												\$1,315,044	\$389,083	\$1,704,127	\$3,610,976		
Total (does not include TDM projects)								\$58,186,199	\$58,186,199	\$58,186,199	\$58,186,199	\$7,000,000	\$1,750,000	\$8,750,000			
<b>10% Overprogramming or +\$6M for Transit: Increases Midpoint to \$63M</b>								<b>\$58,186,199</b>	<b>\$58,186,199</b>	<b>\$58,186,199</b>	<b>\$58,186,199</b>						

\* Gold Line BRT projects are top scores in both transit categories. Gold Line project partners indicated preference for Transit Modernization project if only one can be funded.

## Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities

Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	Project Name	1. Historical Process Funding Scenario				2. More Projects				
						1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points	Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores
1	14160	Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minneapolis	Hennepin/Dunwoody Protected Bikeway & Multiuse Trail					\$3,760,000	\$940,000	\$4,700,000	\$3,760,000	943
2	14112	St Paul	Ramsey	St. Paul	Samuel Morgan Regional Trail Segments 1 & 4 Reconstruction					\$4,956,800	\$1,239,200	\$6,196,000	\$8,716,800	883
3	14335	St Paul	Ramsey	St. Paul	Kellogg Blvd Capital City Bikeway - St. Peter to 7th St					\$5,500,000	\$1,444,759	\$6,944,759	\$14,216,800	870
4	14115	Burnsville	Dakota	Burnsville	I-35W Frontage Trail /I-35W Minnesota River Crossing					\$388,000	\$97,000	\$485,000	\$14,604,800	804
5	13983	Three Rivers PD	Hennepin	Golden Valley	Bassett Creek Reg Trail Gap / Duluth St					\$2,561,876	\$640,469	\$3,202,345	\$17,166,676	786
6-T	14302	Brooklyn Park	Hennepin	Brooklyn Park	63rd Avenue Multiuse Trail					\$744,000	\$186,000	\$930,000	\$17,910,676	783
6-T	14350	Washington Co	Washington	Oakdale	Century-Greenway Trail					\$825,865	\$206,466	\$1,032,331	\$18,736,541	783
8	14131	West St Paul	Dakota	West St Paul	CSAH 73 Oakdale Multiuse Trail					\$1,785,600	\$446,400	\$2,232,000	\$20,522,141	779
9	14026	Coon Rapids	Anoka	Coon Rapids	Coon Creek Reg Trail and Bridge over Coon Rapids Blvd				Overprogram	\$2,400,000	\$2,350,000	\$4,750,000	\$22,922,141	775
10	14287	Chaska	Carver	Chaska	Circle the Brick Trail Connection	\$24,167,773	\$24,167,773	\$24,167,773		\$1,245,632	\$315,408	\$1,561,040	\$24,167,773	750
11	14062	Minnetonka	Hennepin	Minnetonka	Hopkins Crossroad Multi-Use Trail		Overprogram	Overprogram		\$2,364,700	\$591,100	\$2,955,800	\$26,532,473	731
12	14113	St Paul	Ramsey	St Paul	Point Douglas Regional Trail Phase 1 Construction					\$5,040,930	\$1,260,233	\$6,301,163	\$31,573,403	726
13	14092	Ramsey Co	Ramsey	White Bear Lake, Vadnais Hts, White Bear Twp	Bruce Vento Regional Trail Extension					\$4,688,000	\$1,172,000	\$5,860,000	\$36,261,403	725
14-T	14097	Burnsville	Dakota	Burnsville	Multiuse Trail Along Nicollet Avenue Between Trunk Highway 13 and CSAH 32 (Cliff Road)					\$760,000	\$190,000	\$950,000	\$37,021,403	723
14-T	14367	Woodbury	Washington	Woodbury	Woodbury Gold Line Station Trail & Pedestrian Connections					\$1,113,500	\$278,375	\$1,391,875	\$38,134,903	723
16	14322	Anoka (City)	Anoka	Anoka	City of Anoka T.H. 169/Ferry Street Underpass					\$1,440,000	\$360,000	\$1,800,000	\$39,574,903	711
17	14341	Inver Grove Hts	Dakota	Inver Grove Hts	Inver Grove Heights Babcock Trail					\$383,040	\$95,760	\$478,800	\$39,957,943	710
18	14389	Washington Co	Washington	Woodbury	Valley Creek Road Multiuse Trail Project					\$508,000	\$127,000	\$635,000	\$40,465,943	701
19	13971	Dakota Co	Dakota	Eagan	MN River Regional Greenway - Ft Snelling State Park UP Rail Overpass					\$3,777,940	\$944,485	\$4,722,425	\$44,243,883	694
20	14057	Fridley	Anoka	Fridley	53rd Avenue Trail and Sidewalk					\$1,843,313	\$460,829	\$2,304,142	\$46,087,196	684
21	14073	Dakota Co	Dakota	Mendota Heights	TH 149 Trail and Underpass					\$2,104,100	\$526,025	\$2,630,125	\$48,191,296	669
22	14175	Anoka Co	Anoka	Fridley	MRRT Connection / 44th Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements					\$1,832,000	\$458,000	\$2,290,000	\$50,023,296	666
23	14342	Farmington	Dakota	Farmington	North Creek Greenway Regional Trail - Downtown Farmington to 195th Street					\$1,411,200	\$352,800	\$1,764,000	\$51,434,496	664
24-T	14034	Bloomington	Hennepin	Bloomington	Normandale Boulevard Multiuse Trail					\$4,000,000	\$1,476,128	\$5,476,128	\$55,434,496	661
24-T	14290	Arden Hills	Ramsey	Arden Hills	Mounds View High School Trail					\$974,936	\$243,734	\$1,218,670	\$56,409,432	661
26	14072	Dakota Co	Dakota	Rosemount	Rosemount CSAH 42 Multiuse Trail and Underpass					\$2,480,000	\$620,000	\$3,100,000	\$58,889,432	651
27	14070	Scott Co	Scott	Carver, Louisville Twp	Merriam Junction Trail					\$5,500,000	\$4,900,000	\$10,400,000	\$64,389,432	626
28	14104	Lino Lakes	Anoka	Lino Lakes	Main Street (CSAH 14)/Central Anoka County Regional Trail					\$976,000	\$244,000	\$1,220,000	\$65,365,432	622
29	13972	Shakopee	Scott	Shakopee	TH 169 Bridge/Quarry Lake Trail					\$3,139,200	\$784,800	\$3,924,000	\$68,504,632	618
30	14404	Washington Co	Washington	Forest Lake	CSAH 32 Multiuse Trail					\$928,000	\$232,000	\$1,160,000	\$69,432,632	613
31	14063	Carver Co	Carver, Hennepin	Chanhassen, Eden Prairie	MN River Bluffs Regional Trail					\$1,594,720	\$398,680	\$1,993,400	\$71,027,352	608
32	14349	Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minneapolis	22nd Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridge Replacement					\$3,145,000	\$786,250	\$3,931,250	\$74,172,352	607
33	14161	Cottage Grove	Washington	Cottage Grove	70th Street (CSAH 22) Pedestrian Underpass					\$1,389,690	\$347,425	\$1,737,115	\$75,562,042	586
34	14085	Apple Valley	Dakota	Apple Valley	Apple Valley CSAH 38 Trail					\$4,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$79,562,042	580
35	14297	Arden Hills	Ramsey	Arden Hills	Old Snelling Trail Extension					\$1,692,160	\$423,040	\$2,115,200	\$81,254,202	566
36	14162	Cottage Grove	Washington	Cottage Grove	Keats Avenue (CSAH 19) Underpass at Ravine Regional Park					\$1,793,936	\$448,485	\$2,242,421	\$83,048,138	508
37	14336	Rogers	Hennepin	Rogers	Rogers High School and Middle School Pedestrian Tunnel					\$1,083,331	\$270,833	\$1,354,164	\$84,131,469	492
										\$84,131,469	\$26,857,684	\$110,989,153		

Pedestrian Facilities						1. Historical Process Funding Scenario		2. More Projects		Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores
Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	Project Name	1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points					
1	14095	Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minneapolis	Phillips Neighborhood Pedestrian Safety Improvements					\$1,000,000	\$608,000	\$1,608,000	\$1,000,000	947
2	13987	Hennepin Co	Hennepin	Minneapolis	Accessibility improvements to complement BRT/LRT projects					\$1,000,000	\$265,000	\$1,265,000	\$2,000,000	642
3	14355	St. Paul	Ramsey	St. Paul	Burns Avenue Sidewalk Infill - White Bear Avenue to McKnight Road	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000		\$1,000,000	\$250,000	\$1,250,000	\$3,000,000	603
4	14288	Chaska	Carver	Chaska	Highway 41 Pedestrian Improvements in Historic Downtown Chaska	Overprogram	Overprogram	Overprogram		\$1,000,000	\$754,000	\$1,754,000	\$4,000,000	587
5	14356	Inver Grove Hts	Dakota	Inver Grove Hts	Inver Grove Heights ADA Ped Ramp Improvements		Overprogram	Overprogram		\$250,240	\$62,560	\$312,800	\$4,250,240	557
6	14129	Ramsey Co	Ramsey	St. Paul	Maple Street/I-94 Pedestrian Bridge Replacement		Overprogram	Overprogram		\$1,000,000	\$3,858,000	\$4,858,000	\$5,250,240	512
7	14091	Oakdale	Washington	Oakdale	Multiuse Sidewalk on the west side of Greenway Avenue North from Hudson Boulevard to 7th Street		Overprogram	Overprogram		\$400,000	\$100,000	\$500,000	\$5,650,240	503
8	14363	Washington Co	Washington	Grant Twp	CSAH 12 Pedestrian Facility		Overprogram		\$5,907,040	\$256,800	\$64,200	\$321,000	\$5,907,040	468
										\$5,907,040	\$5,961,760	\$11,868,800		


Safe Routes to School						1. Historical Process Funding Scenario		2. More Projects		Federal Requested	Local Match	Total Proj Cost	Federal Cumulative	Total Scores
Rank	ID	Applicant	County	City	Project Name	1A: Each County Gets a Project	1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding	1C: Additional Low Cost Projects	2A: Continue Modal Mid-Points					
1	14393	Columbia Heights	Anoka	Columbia Heights	49th Avenue Area SRTS Improvements					\$484,400	\$121,100	\$605,500	\$484,400	902
2	14133	West St. Paul	Dakota	West St. Paul	Bidwell Street Sidewalk Improvements					\$640,000	\$160,000	\$800,000	\$1,124,400	869
3	14362	Chaska	Carver	Chaska	MN 41 Safe Routes to School Pedestrian Underpass Project					\$933,360	\$233,340	\$1,166,700	\$2,057,760	757
4	14045	Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minneapolis	Green Central Safe Routes to School Improvements	\$3,057,760	\$3,057,760	\$3,057,760		\$1,000,000	\$991,000	\$1,991,000	\$3,057,760	745
5	14358	St. Paul	Ramsey	St. Paul	Crossroads Elementary SRTS		Overprogram	Overprogram		\$720,000	\$180,000	\$900,000	\$3,777,760	657
6	13973	Mahtomedi	Washington	Mahtomedi	Warner Road and 72nd Street North SRTS Improvements		Overprogram	Overprogram	\$4,113,343	\$335,583	\$83,896	\$419,479	\$4,113,343	656
						\$30,225,533	\$30,225,533	\$30,225,533	\$30,542,524	\$4,113,343	\$1,769,336	\$5,882,679		
10% Overprogramming or +\$3M for Bike/Pedestrian: Increases midpoint to \$31M						\$ 31,225,533	\$ 36,552,856	\$ 36,296,056	\$ 32,942,524					

Highlighted ID numbers = Equity Bonus Points

Figure 1. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects



Scenario 1A  
Each County Gets a Project



- 2020 Project
- ▲ 2020 Overprogramming
- Interstate Highways
- Other Major Highways


11/10/2020



Figure 2. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects



**Scenario 1B**  
**Each County Gets a Project,**  
**Partial Funding**



11/13/2020


- 2020 Project
- ▲ 2020 Overprogramming
- Interstate Highways
- Other Major Highways



Figure 3. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects



Scenario 1C  
Additional Low Cost Projects




- 2020 Project
- ▲ 2020 Overprogramming
- Interstate Highways
- Other Major Highways

Figure 4. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects



Scenario 2A  
Continue Modal Mid-Points




- 2020 Project
- ▲ 2020 Overprogramming
- Interstate Highways
- Other Major Highways

**Figure 5. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects by Quadrant**



**Scenario 1A  
Each County Gets a Project**




- |  |           |                      |
|--|-----------|----------------------|
| <span style="color: green;">■</span> 2020 Project          | Northwest | Interstate Highways  |
| <span style="color: orange;">▲</span> 2020 Overprogramming | Northeast | Other Major Highways |
|  | Southeast |                      |
|  | Southwest |                      |

**Figure 6. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects by Quadrant**



**Scenario 1B  
Each County Gets a Project,  
Partial Funding**




- |   |                      |   |           |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|---|-----------|---|----------------------|
| ■ | 2020 Project         | ⊕ | Northwest | — | Interstate Highways  |
| ▲ | 2020 Overprogramming | ⊕ | Northeast | — | Other Major Highways |
|   |                      | ⊕ | Southeast |   |                      |
|   |                      | ⊕ | Southwest |   |                      |

**Figure 7. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects by Quadrant**



**Scenario 1C  
Additional Low Cost Projects**




- |  |           |                      |
|--|-----------|----------------------|
| <span style="color: green;">■</span> 2020 Project          | Northwest | Interstate Highways  |
| <span style="color: orange;">▲</span> 2020 Overprogramming | Northeast | Other Major Highways |
|  | Southeast |                      |
|  | Southwest |                      |

**Figure 8. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects by Quadrant**



**Scenario 2A  
Continue Modal Mid-Points**



- |   |                      |   |           |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|---|-----------|---|----------------------|
| ■ | 2020 Project         | ⊕ | Northwest | — | Interstate Highways  |
| ▲ | 2020 Overprogramming | ⊕ | Northeast | — | Other Major Highways |
|   |                      | ⊕ | Southeast |   |                      |
|   |                      | ⊕ | Southwest |   |                      |