# MEETING OF THE FUNDING \& PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE 

Thursday November 19, 2020
Remote Meeting Via Webex\# | 1:30 PM
\# Contact Joe Barbeau (joseph.barbeau@metc.state.mn.us) for access to the video conference.

## AGENDA

I. CALL TO ORDER
II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

October 22, 2020, meeting of the Funding \& Programming Committee*
IV TAB REPORT
V. BUSINESS

1. 2020-38: 2020 Highway Safety Improvement Program Project Selection*
2. 2020-39: 2020 Regional Solicitation Funding Scenario Options*
VI. INFORMATION
VII. OTHER BUSINESS
IX. ADJOURNMENT

Additional materials included for items on published agenda.

## Minutes of the REGULAR MEETING OF THE TAC FUNDING \& PROGRAMING COMMITTEE

Thursday, October 22, 2020
Committee Members Present: Paul Oehme (Chair, Lakeville), Jerry Auge (Anoka County), Angie Stenson (Carver County), Jason Pieper (Hennepin County), John Mazzitello (Ramsey County), Craig Jenson (Scott County), Emily Jorgensen (Washington County), Elaine Koutsoukos (TAB), Cole Hiniker (Metropolitan Council), Anna Flintoft (Metro Transit), Molly McCartney (MnDOT Metro District), Innocent Eyoh (MPCA), Colleen Brown (MnDOT Metro District State Aid), Mackenzie Turner Bargen (MnDOT Bike \& Ped), Nancy Spooner-Mueller (DNR), Aaron Bartling (MVTA), Robert Ellis (Eden Prairie), Jim Kosluchar (Fridley), Ken Ashfeld (Maple Grove), Michael Thompson (Plymouth), Jenifer Hager (Minneapolis), Anne Weber (St. Paul)

Committee Members Absent: John Sass (Dakota County), Karl Keel (Bloomington)

## I. CALL TO ORDER

A quorum being present, Chair Oehme called the regular meeting of the Funding \& Programming Committee to order at 1:33 p.m. on Thursday, October 22, 2020. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the meeting was held via teleconference.

## II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The agenda was approved without a vote. A vote is only needed if any changes are made to the agenda.

## III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION: It was moved by Spooner-Mueller and seconded by Koutsoukos to approve the minutes of the September 17, 2020, regular meeting of the Funding \& Programming Committee. The motion was approved unanimously via roll-call.

## IV. TAB REPORT

Koutsoukos reported on the October 21, 2020, TAB meeting.

## V. BUSINESS

None

## VI. INFORMATION

1. Regional Solicitation Before and After Study Update

Lance Bernard, HKGi and Ashley Hudson, Bolton \& Menk provided and update on the Regional Solicitation Before and After Study. They provided a project overview and update on the findings on the seven project tasks.

McCartney asked whether the study is examining the initial project cost estimate versus the final project cost. Bernard replied that they this is not a part of the project scope but it could be examined. Koster said that lower-cost projects tend to score well in the risk assessment measure and that cost increases diminish that benefit.

Hiniker asked whether the bicycle and pedestrian evaluations are as complex as those done for the Regional Solicitation. Bernard said that MPOs handle it in different ways and that a lot of MPOs rely on their long-range transportation plans to inform their funding. Hiniker asked
whether bicycle and pedestrian projects were funded by MPOs that tend to award funds to larger projects, to which Bernard replied that these MPOs tend to include projects that are parts of larger bicycle/pedestrian networks.
2. 2020 Regional Solicitation Funding Scenarios

Steve Peterson, Metropolitan Council, updated the group on TAB's response to the six funding scenarios that were provided at the October 21 TAB meeting. TAB members prefer two scenarios: the Historical Process scenario and the More Projects scenario. TAB also requested that while 10 percent overprogramming should occur, it should not be assigned to projects at this time but should be assigned after consideration of what rationale(s) should be used. TAB members also expressed concern with Scott County's lack of funding, MnDOT's concern with being able to provide as much match as they historically have, the inclusion of multimodal elements in many roadway projects, and the preference not to shift the modal midpoints away from the recently-assigned $\$ 5$ million extra for transit.

Koster said that two years ago, some applicants took less funding than they applied for, which could be an option this time.

Hiniker said that the geographic balance focus is on counties and asked whether there has been consideration for the types of applicants receiving, and not receiving, funds. Peterson said that the unique applicants could be shown. Barbeau said that unique applicants have been shown in the past and noted that the use of counties is a way to generally indicate geographic distribution. Jenson noted that a lot of projects are collaborations between counties and cities.

Pieper asked whether the $\$ 10$ million maximum federal award in the Strategic Capacity category has to be retained. Peterson said that applicants in that category applied with the expectation of that amount available. Oehme suggested that this might be more of a consideration for the next Regional Solicitation.

Regarding overprogramming, Pieper asked whether withdrawn projects are mostly roadway projects. Peterson said that withdrawals have happened in all modes, but a more specific breakdown can be created.

Koster said funding more Traffic Management Technologies projects would address the goal of funding low-cost, high-benefit projects, along with more projects.

Koster asked whether all of the Travel Demand Management projects are eligible, to which Peterson replied that that is still yet to be determined. Peterson added that all four projects are likely to get at least partial funding.

Hiniker said that the rules that limited the Gold Line to only receiving one project enabled optouts to get funding.

Stenson suggested that the overprogramming could be used for bicycle and pedestrian projects since they are low-cost.

Oehme asked whether higher-scoring projects are ever skipped to achieve regional balance. Peterson replied that skipping high-scoring projects has occurred to fund each functional classification, per rule, but not to achieve regional balance.

Oehme asked whether partial funding is ever done, to which Peterson replied that it has never been considered this early in the process.

Hiniker asked whether the safety or multi-modal impacts of a scenario can be determined, sharing an example of a Washington County project near the funding line that scored 120 out of 120 on crash reduction. Koutsoukos cautioned that this could effectively change the established weights of the measures.

Lyndon Robjent from Carver County said that TAB leaned heavily toward the Historical Process scenario and suggested that the focus be on the overprogramming in that scenario. Oehme suggested that overprogramming could be used to fund Pedestrian Facilities and Safe Routes to School projects as well as to fund projects in Scott County and Dakota County. Stenson said that if all the Roadway projects that needed to be funded to help those countieswere funded at 50 percent it would be $\$ 16.5$ million, leaving $\$ 3.5$ million for bicycle and pedestrian projects, though that ignores transit.

Thompson said that TAB was interested in knowing the impact of the bicycle and pedestrian elements included in the roadway projects.

## VII. OTHER BUSINESS

None.

## VIII. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned via voice vote.
Joe Barbeau
Recording Secretary

# ACTION TRANSMITTAL No. 2020-38 

DATE: November 19, 2020<br>TO: TAC Funding and Programming Committee<br>PREPARED BY:<br>Joe Barbeau, Senior Planner (651-602-1705)<br>Steve Peterson, Mgr. of Highway Planning and TAB/TAC Process<br>SUBJECT: 2020 Highway Safety Improvement Program Project Selection<br>REQUESTED ACTION:<br>MnDOT requests approval of the attached 25 projects for funding through the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) solicitation.<br>That the TAC Funding \& Programming Committee recommend that TAC<br>RECOMMENDED MOTION: through the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) solicitation and inclusion of all Urbanized Area projects in the draft 2022-25 TIP.

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF ACTION: The Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) is a core federal program defined in the FAST Act. HSIP is designed to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads, including non-state-owned public roads and roads on tribal lands. HSIP requires a data-driven, strategic approach to improving highway safety on all public roads that focuses on performance. In order to obligate HSIP funds, the state must develop, implement, and update a Strategic Highway Safety Plan and produce a program of projects.

MnDOT shares these federal funds with local governments to improve and protect the transportation system beyond the state's trunk highway system. MnDOT conducts the solicitation and the proposed projects are evaluated by a team of transportation professionals.

With guidance and recommendation from its technical committees, the TAB's role is to approve the solicitation criteria and select projects to be awarded HSIP funds. MnDOT conducted a solicitation for both "proactive" and "reactive" projects to be funded primarily in 2024 and 2025 (though there is also limited available funding in 2022 and 2023). The attached projects, if approved, will be included in the 2022-2025 TIP to be released for public comment in June 2021. The total federal funds available is approximately $\$ 31.6$ million.

Scores and rankings are shown in the attachment. Note that one project, P9, Hennepin County's CSAH 3 safety project scored well enough to be funded. However, it is not included in the attached program of projects because it is shown as funded in all remaining scenarios of the 2020 Regional Solicitation as a Roadways Spot Mobility and Safety project.

RELATIONSHIP TO REGIONAL POLICY: Federal law requires that all transportation projects that will be funded with federal funds must be in an approved TIP and meet the following four tests: fiscal constraint; consistency with the adopted regional transportation plan; air quality conformity; and opportunity for public input. Each project is consistent with the Transportation Policy Plan. Public input opportunity will occur when the TIP is out for public review. The region's Transportation Policy Plan includes transportation safety policies and strategies. The projects selected through the HSIP solicitation are consistent with that plan.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Staff recommends approval of the attached 25 projects for funding through the HSIP solicitation and inclusion of all Urbanized Area projects in the draft 2022-25 TIP. HSIP funds are awarded by MnDOT district. MnDOT's Metro District includes Chisago County, along with the seven-county metro area. One project selected through this process, P13, is located in Chisago County, bringing the total HSIP projects awarded funding to 26. Due to its location, it does not need MPO approval as part of this action item, nor will it be placed in region's TIP.

| ROUTING |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TO | ACTION REQUESTED | DATE SCHEDULED/COMPLETED |
| TAC Funding \& Programming <br> Committee | Review \& Recommend | $11 / 19 / 2020$ |
| Technical Advisory Committee | Review \& Recommend | $12 / 2 / 2020$ |
| Transportation Advisory Board | Review \& Adopt | $12 / 16 / 2020$ |

2024 / 2025 HSIP Projects (Reactive)
The projects down to red line are FUNDED:

| - \# | Submitting Agency | Roadway | Location | Project Description |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R12 | Fridley | TH 47 <br> (University Ave) | from 53rd Ave to 85th Ave | Enhanced lighting at ped crossings, lighting at bus stops, concrete sidewalk at bus stop NE corner at Osborne Rd | \$1 |
| R20 | Ramsey County | University Ave | at Simpson St, at Albert St, at Syndicate St, at Arundel St | Install RRFB's, APS, reconstruct ped ramps |  |
| R13 | Hennepin County | $\underset{\text { (Hennepin Ave) }}{\text { CSAH } 52}$ | from 10th Ave to 11th Ave (over I-35W) | Modifing intersections, reduce conflicting vehicle and ped speeds, traffic signal mods, ADA upgrades | \$1 |
| R15 | Minneapolis | 3 locations | Lake St at 28th Ave Franklin Ave btwn 13th and 14th Ave Cedar Ave at 6th Street | Rebuild signals, add OH mast arms, ped count down timers, APS, yellow reflective back plates, upgrade 8 " to 12 " signal heads, convert to LED lighting, video detection, curb ramps, curb extensions | \$1, |
| R16 | Minneapolis | LaSalle Ave Nicollet Ave | at Grant St, at 15th St, at Groveland Ave at Grant St , at 15 th St , at 18 th St | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rebuild signals, add OH mast arms, ped count down timers, APS, yellow } \\ & \text { reflective back plates, upgrade } 8 \text { " to } 12 \text { signal heads, convert to LED } \\ & \text { lighting, video detection, curb ramps, curb extensions } \end{aligned}$ | \$1 |
| R23 | Scott County | CSAH 78 | at CSAH / CR 69 | Construct roundabout | \$1 |
| R17 | Minneapolis | Lyndale Ave | at 18th Ave, 24th Ave, 29th Ave, 36th Ave | Rebuild signals, add OH mast arms, ped count down timers, APS, yellow reflective back plates, upgrade $8^{\prime \prime}$ to 12 " signal heads, convert to LED lighting, video detection, curb ramps, curb extensions | \$1 |
| R11 | Dakota County | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CR } 6 \\ \text { (Thompson Ave) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | at CSAH 73 (Oakdale Ave) | Construct roundabout | \$1 |
| R6 | Anoka County | CSAH 22 (Viking Blvd) | at CSAH 7 (Rum River Road) | Construct roundabout | \$1 |
| R14 | Minneapolis | Broadway Street | at Washington St, Monroe St, Filmore St, Buchannan St | Rebuild signals, add OH mast arms, ped count down timers, APS, yellow reflective back plates, upgrade 8 " to 12 " signal heads, convert to LED lighting, video detection, curb ramps, curb extensions | \$1 |
| R18 | MnDOT | I-35W | from TH 13 to I-35E | Install continuous lighting |  |
| R21 | Ramsey County | Dale Street | from Como Ave to North TH 36 ramps | Construct 4 lane to 3 lane conversion | \$2, |
| The projects below are NOT funded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| R26 | Woodbury | Lake Road | from Woodlane Drive to Pioneer Drive | Reconstruct from 4 lane to 3 lane conversion | \$1, |
| R19 | MnDOT | I-494 | from Minnesota River to TH 3 | Install continuous lighting | \$1 |
| R8 | Anoka County | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CSAH 34 } \\ \text { (Birch Street) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | at CSAH 54 (20th Ave) | Construct roundabout | \$1 |
| R9 | Anoka County | CSAH 52 (Radisson Road) | at Cloud Drive | Construct a Traffic Signal, widen side street approaches to develop two lanes of approach. |  |
| R24 | Shakopee | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Marystown } \\ \text { Road } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | from Vierling Drive to CSAH 16 (17th Ave) | Construct 4 roundabouts (at Vierling Dr, N 169 ramps, S 169 ramps, 17th Av), and install ped/bike sidewalks | \$2, |
| R2 | Anoka County | CSAH 6 (Mississippi St) | from TH 65 to CSAH 35 | Construct 4 to 3 lane conversion with mini roundabout at CSAH 35 (Old Central Ave) |  |
| R4 | Anoka County | $\text { CSAH } 22$ (Viking Blvd) | at CR 66 (Cleary Road) | Construct roundabout | \$1, |
| R1 | Anoka County | $\begin{gathered} \text { CSAH } 6 \\ (\text { Mississippi St) } \end{gathered}$ | from TH 47 to TH 65 | Construct 4 to 3 lane conversion with mini roundabouts at 7th St and Monroe intersections |  |
| R25 | Woodbury | Lake Road | from Blue Ridge Drive to Cherry Lane | Reconstruct from 4 lane to 3 lane conversion | \$2, |
| R7 | Anoka County | CSAH 34 (Birch Street) | at CSAH 21 (Centerville Road) | Construct roundabout | \$ |
| R3 | Anoka County | CSAHC | at 221st Ave | Construct roundabout | \$1 |
| R5 | Anoka County | CSAH 22 (Viking Blvd) | at CSAH 5 (Nowthen Blvd) | Construct roundabout | \$1 |
| R22 | St. Paul | 4 locations | Cretin / St. Clair, Cretin / Randolph, East 7th / Forest, Hamline / Thomas | Replace signals, full mast arms, ADA, red light confirmation, ped count down timers, ped ramp improvements | \$1 |

10/8/2020

| HSIP FUNDING |  |  |  |  |  | POINTS |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ToTAL } \\ & \text { POINTS } \\ & \text { (1,000) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 HSIP <br> \$ Awarded | 2023 HSIP <br> \$ Awarded | 2024 HSIP <br> \$ Awarded | 2025 HSIP <br> \$ Awarded | Local Match (10\%) | TOTAL PROJECT COST | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { B/C } \\ \text { Points } \\ (600) \end{array}\right\|$ | Meets Intent <br> of HSIP <br> Program <br> Points <br> (200) | Correctable <br> Fand A <br> crashes <br> Points <br> (100) | Ped and <br> Bike <br> Safety <br> Points <br> (100) |  | - \# |
|  |  | \$1,947,240 |  | \$216,360 | \$2,163,600 | 600 | 200 | 100 | 63 | 963 | R12 |
| \$504,000 |  |  |  | \$56,000 | \$560,000 | 530 | 184 | 4 | 70 | 788 | R20 |
|  |  | \$1,368,000 |  | \$152,000 | \$1,520,000 | 400 | 128 | 10 | 83 | 621 | R13 |
| \$1,080,000 |  |  |  | \$120,000 | \$1,200,000 | 370 | 112 | 16 | 90 | 588 |  |
|  |  | \$1,800,000 |  | \$200,000 | \$2,000,000 | 339 | 120 | 19 | 90 | 568 | R16 |
|  |  | \$1,595,700 |  | \$177,300 | \$1,773,000 | 234 | 176 | 10 | 90 | 510 | R23 |
|  |  |  | \$1,260,000 | \$140,000 | \$1,400,000 | 274 | 120 | 7 | 90 | 491 | R17 |
|  | \$1,395,000 |  |  | \$155,000 | \$1,550,000 | 245 | 144 | 4 | 87 | 480 | R11 |
|  |  |  | \$1,350,000 | \$150,000 | \$1,500,000 | 245 | 144 | 7 | 80 | 476 | R6 |
|  | \$1,170,000 |  |  | \$130,000 | \$1,300,000 | 223 | 128 | 16 | 73 | 440 |  |
|  |  | \$720,000 |  | \$80,000 | \$800,000 | 229 | 136 | 7 | 33 | 405 | R18 |
|  |  | \$2,000,000 |  | \$1,525,048 | \$3,525,048 | 132 | 152 | 13 | 97 | 394 | R21 |


| $\$ 1,620,000$ |  |  |  |  | $\$$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,710,000$ |  |  |  |  | $\$ 1$ |
| $\$ 1,170,000$ |  |  |  |  | $\$ 1$ |
| $\$ 540,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 2,000,000$ |  |  |  |  | $\$ 5$ |
| $\$ 954,000$ |  |  |  |  | $\$ 1$ |
| $\$ 1,440,000$ |  |  |  |  | $\$$ |
| $\$ 1,922,400$ |  |  |  |  | $\$ 1$ |
| $\$ 2,000,000$ |  |  |  |  | $\$ 7$ |
| $\$ 1,440,000$ |  |  |  |  | $\$ 1$ |
| $\$ 1,350,000$ |  |  |  |  | $\$ 15$ |
| $\$ 1,440,000$ |  |  |  |  | $\$ 1$ |
| $\$ 1,296,000$ |  |  |  |  | $\$ 14$ |


| \$180,000 | \$1,800,000 | 141 | 144 | 13 | 93 | 391 | R2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$190,000 | \$1,900,000 | 163 | 144 | 16 | 33 | 356 | R19 |
| \$130,000 | \$1,300,000 | 110 | 152 | 4 | 80 | 346 | R8 |
| \$60,000 | \$600,000 | 133 | 128 | 0 | 77 | 338 | R9 |
| \$5,380,500 | \$7,380,500 | 39 | 168 | 7 | 100 | 314 | R2 |
| \$106,000 | \$1,060,000 | 73 | 136 | 0 | 97 | 306 | R2 |
| \$160,000 | \$1,600,000 | 72 | 144 | 4 | 80 | 300 | R4 |
| \$213,600 | \$2,136,000 | 50 | 144 | 7 | 97 | 298 | R1 |
| \$970,520 | \$2,970,520 | 58 | 136 | 4 | 93 | 291 | R2 |
| \$160,000 | \$1,600,000 | 68 | 128 | 4 | 80 | 280 | R |
| \$150,000 | \$1,500,000 | 60 | 128 | 0 | 80 | 268 | R3 |
| \$160,000 | \$1,600,000 | 53 | 120 | 4 | 80 | 257 | R5 |
| \$144,000 | \$1,440,000 | 78 | 112 | 0 | 60 | 250 | R |


| $\$ 3,125,100$ | $\$ 1,584,000$ | $\$ 2,565,000$ | $\$ 9,430,940$ | $\$ 2,610,000$ | $\$ 10,889,968$ | $\$ 44,015,068$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Submitting Agency | Roadway | Location | Project Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P2 | Bloomington | 3 locations | 98th St at Xerxes Ave, Lyndale Ave at 96th St, Old Shakopee Road at 3rd Ave | Ped safety improvements, refuge island, bump outs, overhead mast arms, RRFB's, LED lighting, ADA upgrades |
| P21 | Washington County | CSAH 15 | from CSAH 12 to 240th Street | Install centerline rumble strips and wet reflective striping |
| P20 | MnDOT | TH 212 | from TH 62 to TH 5 | Install continuous lighting |
| P3 | Carver County | County Wide | Multiple locations | Install 56 miles (page 16) of enhanced pavement markings |
| P15 | MnDOT | TH 13 | from Lynn Ave to Nicollet Ave in Savage | Install cable median barrier |
| P13 | MnDOT | TH 8 | at Hazel Ave and 250th St in Wyoming Twp | Construct left turn lane at Hazel Ave Close 250th Street |
| P5 | Carver County | CSAH 40 | between TH 25 and CSAH 52 | Shoulder widening, safety edge, mumble strips, wet reflective ground in pavement markings |
| P10 | Hennepin County | 3 locations | CSAH 52 at 67th St CSAH 66 at Noble Ave CSAH 66 at Hidden Lakes Pkwy | Install FYA's, ped ramps, APS, countdown timers |
| P1 | Andover | CSAH 18 <br> (Crosstown <br> Blvd) | at Nightingale Street | Construct roundabout |
| P11 | Minneapolis | 26th Street 28th Street | at Dupont Ave, 26th St, Emerson Av at Dupont Ave,28th St, Emerson Av, 3rd Av,18th St | Ped ramp upgrades, traffic visibility improvements |
| P12 | MnDOT | TH 3 | at 142nd Street in Rosemount | Construct roundabout |
| P24 | Blaine | 99th Ave | at Baltimore Street | Construct roundabout |
| P4 | Carver County | CSAH 10 | at Waconia Parkway | Construct a turbo roundabout |
| P14 | MnDOT | TH 13 | at Wachtler Ave in Mendota Heights | Construct roundabout |


|  | HSIP FUNDING |  |  |  |  |  | POINTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original HSIP Amount Requested | 2022 HSIP <br> \$ Awarded | 2023 HSIP <br> \$ Awarded | 2024 HSIP <br> \$ Awarded | 2025 HSIP <br> \$ Awarded | Local <br> Match <br> (10\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { PROJECT } \\ \text { COST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Connection to SHSP (100) | Cost per exposure (300) | Correctable F and A Crashes (100) |  |  | Ped and <br> Bike <br> Safety <br> (100) | total POINTS $(1,000)$ |  |
| \$331,200 |  |  | \$331,200 |  | \$36,800 | \$368,000 | 100 | 300 | 14 | 171 | 200 | 100 | 885 | P2 |
| \$111,657 | \$111,657 |  |  |  | \$12,406 | \$124,063 | 100 | 300 | 74 | 20 | 200 | 75 | 769 | P21 |
| \$450,000 | \$450,000 |  |  |  | \$50,000 | \$500,000 | 75 | 199 | 34 | 152 | 200 | 50 | 710 | P20 |
| \$785,570 |  | \$785,570 |  |  | \$87,285 | \$872,855 | 100 | 73 | 47 | 193 | 200 | 50 | 663 | Р3 |
| \$425,250 |  |  |  | \$425,250 | \$47,250 | \$472,500 | 100 | 275 | 14 | 92 | 150 | 0 | 631 | P15 |
| \$544,500 |  |  |  | \$544,500 | \$60,500 | \$605,000 | 25 | 275 | 0 | 105 | 200 | 25 | 630 | P13 |
| \$2,000,000 |  |  | \$2,000,000 |  | \$2,274,600 | \$4,274,600 | 75 | 1 | 100 | 145 | 200 | 75 | 596 | ${ }^{5} 5$ |
| \$1,737,000 |  |  |  | \$1,737,000 | \$193,000 | \$1,930,000 | 50 | 189 | 7 | 79 | 200 | 50 | 575 | P10 |
| \$1,902,600 |  |  |  | \$1,902,600 | \$211,400 | \$2,114,000 | 50 | 59 | 0 | 193 | 200 | 50 | 552 | P1 |
| \$1,620,000 |  |  | \$1,620,000 |  | \$180,000 | \$1,800,000 | 50 | 91 | 0 | 163 | 180 | 40 | 524 | P11 |
| \$1,107,000 |  |  |  | \$1,107,000 | \$123,000 | \$1,230,000 | 25 | 122 | 0 | 193 | 150 | 25 | 515 | $\mathrm{P}_{12}$ |
| \$1,530,000 | \$1,530,000 |  |  |  | \$170,000 | \$1,700,000 | 25 | 58 | 7 | 193 | 200 | 25 | 508 | P24 |
| \$1,759,895 |  |  |  | \$1,759,895 | \$195,544 | \$1,955,439 | 25 | 53 | 0 | 193 | 200 | 25 | 496 | P4 |
| \$1,152,000 |  |  |  | \$1,152,000 | \$128,000 | \$1,280,000 | 25 | 89 | 0 | 193 | 150 | 25 | 482 | P14 |


| P8 | Hennepin County | CSAH 19 | at 109th Ave (CR 117) | Reconstruct intersection, raised medians for ped refuge, upgrad bike connections, ADA, lighting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P7 | Dakota County | CSAH 54 | at CSAH 68 | Construct roundabout |
| P16 | MnDOT | TH 55 | from Old Rockford Road to General Mills Blvd | Construct RCl's at Old Rockford Road, Urbandale, 18th Ave, Larch Lane, Ives lane, Goldenrod Lane, Evergreen Lane |
| P17 | MnDOT | TH 65 | from Bunker Lake Blvd to 237th Ave | Install cable median barrier |
| P22 | Washington County | CSAH 19 | 80th Street | Construct roundabout |
| P6 | Carver County | TH 25 | at CSAH 20 | Realign intersection to remove skew, widen shoulders, add turn lanes, improve sight lines |
| P19 | MnDOT | TH 212 | $\begin{gathered} \text { From west jct TH } 5 \text { to } \\ \text { east jct TH } 5 \\ \text { in Norwood Young America } \end{gathered}$ | Install cable median barrier. Construct RCI intersections at CSAH 131, Wells Ave, CSAH 31, and Railroad Street |
| P18 | MnDOT | TH 95 | at 392nd (301st Ave) in North Branch | Construct left turn lane |
| P23 | Washington County | CSAH 19 | at CSAH 10 | Construct roundabout |
| P9 | Hennepin County | CSAH 3 | from 22nd Ave to Snelling Ave | Widen sidewalk, crossing improvements, signal upgrades, ADA, lane configuration |


| \$2,000,000 |  |  |  |  | \$1,390,000 | \$3,390,000 | 50 | 29 | 7 | 200 | 150 | 25 | 461 | P8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,395,000 |  |  |  |  | \$155,000 | \$1,550,000 | 20 | 45 | 14 | 180 | 200 | 0 | 459 | ${ }^{\text {P7 }}$ |
| \$1,070,820 |  |  |  |  | \$118,980 | \$1,189,800 | 75 | 121 | 7 | 105 | 150 | 0 | 458 | P16 |
| \$2,000,000 |  |  |  |  | \$306,062 | \$2,306,062 | 75 | 116 | 20 | 92 | 150 | 0 | 453 | P17 |
| \$2,000,000 |  |  |  |  | \$1,103,000 | \$3,103,000 | 25 | 70 | 0 | 180 | 100 | 25 | 400 | P22 |
| \$1,073,700 |  |  |  |  | \$119,300 | \$1,193,000 | 40 | 29 | 0 | 84 | 200 | 0 | 353 | P6 |
| \$1,216,329 |  |  |  |  | \$135,148 | \$1,351,477 | 75 | 18 | 0 | 92 | 150 | 0 | 335 | P19 |
| \$1,280,064 |  |  |  |  | \$142,229 | \$1,422,293 | 50 | 2 | 14 | 105 | 150 | 0 | 321 | P18 |
| \$2,000,000 |  |  |  |  | \$1,638,000 | \$3,638,000 | 25 | 28 | 0 | 193 | 0 | 25 | 271 | P23 |
| \$2,000,000 | This pro fede | ject was with ral funding f | hdrawn due to fom another | o receiving source. | \$3,659,000 | \$5,659,000 | 50 | 39 | 27 | 132 | 200 | 50 | 498 | P9 |

## Locations of MnDOT Metro District 2020 Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Applications by Funding Status



Funded
P1. Nightingale St NW \& Crosstown Blvd NW Intersection P2. Bloomington Pedestrian Crossing Safety P3. Carver County Enhanced Pavement Marking Safety P4. Highway 10/Waconia Parkway Intersection P5. Carver County Rd 40 Safety Improvements P10. Nicollet Ave \& Golden Valley Rd Flashing Yellow Arrows P11. 26th St \& 28th St Signal \& Pedestrian Safety
P13 US. High \& 142 nd St $W$ Roundabout
P14. Highway 13 \& Wachtler Ave Roundabout
P15. Hwy 13 Cable Median Barrier, Lynn to Nicollet Aves P20. Highway 212 Continuous Lighting Project P21. Manning Ave Rumble Strips
24. 99th Ave \& Baltimore St Roundabout R6. Viking Blvd NW \& Rum River Blvd Roundabout R11. Thompson Ave \& Oakdale Ave Roundabout R12. University Ave Corridor Safety, 53rd Ave to 85th Ave R13. Hennepin Ave at 10th \& 11th Aves SE Intersections R14. Broadway St NE Signal \& Pedestrian Safety R15. City/County Pedestrian Crossing Study Improvements R16. Lasalle Ave \& Nicollet Ave Signal \& Pedestrian Safety R1. Lydale Ave $N$ Signal \& Pe 18. I-35W Continuous Lighting

R21. Dale St from Como Ave to TH 36 Road Diet R23. 130th St W \& Old Brick Yard Rd Roundabout

Not Funded
P6. Highway 25 \& Carver County Rd 20 Intersection P7. Ravenna Trail \& 200th St E Intersection P8. Hennepin County Rd 19 \& 109th Ave Intersection P9. Hi/Lake Interchange Safety Improvements P16. Hwy 55, Fernbrook Ln to General Mills Blvd P17. Hwy 65 Cable Median Barrier, Bunker Lk Blvd to 237th P19. Hwy 212 Reduced e Median Barrier P23. 10th St \& Keats Ave Roundabout
R1. Mississippi St Road Diet, Highway 47 to Highway 65 R2. Mississippi St Road Diet, Highway 65 to Old Central Ave

R3. Lake George Blvd \& 221st Ave NW Roundabout R4. Viking BIvd NW \& Cleary Rd Roundabout R5. Viking Blvd NW \& Nowthen Blvd NW Roundabout R7. Birch St \& Centerville Rd Roundabou R8. Birch St \& 20th Ave Roundabout R9. Radisson Rd \& Cloud Dr NE Traffic Signal 192. Saint Coul Signs Lighting
24. Marystown Road Corrido

R25. Lake Rd from Blue Ridge Dr to Cherry Ln Road Diet R26. Lake Rd from Woodlane Dr to Pioneer Dr Road Diet

## ACTION TRANSMITTAL No. 2020-39

DATE: November 19, 2020
TO: $\quad$ TAC Funding \& Programming Committee
Steve Peterson, Mgr of Highway Planning and TAB/TAC Process
PREPARED BY: (651-602-1819)
Joe Barbeau, Senior Planner (651-602-1705)
SUBJECT: 2020 Regional Solicitation Funding Scenario Options
REQUESTED
ACTION:

RECOMMENDED MOTION:

MTS staff requests that the Funding \& Programming Committee forward one or more preferred funding scenarios/options to TAC.
That the TAC Funding \& Programming Committee recommend that TAC recommend Funding Scenario(s) and overprogramming options XX to TAB.

NOTE: At its November 18, 2020, meeting, the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) may reduce the number of scenarios to be considered or provide other direction that will inform this item and the recommended motion. Any direction from TAB will be shared at the November 19, 2020, Committee meeting.

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF ACTION: For the Committee's consideration, staff provides the following funding scenarios for consideration:

1. Historical Process (Orange): This scenario is similar to TAB's past selection history dating back to 2014. The scenario focuses on the midpoints of the TAB approved funding ranges (55.5\% for Roadways, 30\% for Transit/TDM, and 14.5\% for Bicycle/Pedestrian) and within modal categories allocates funding based upon the number of application submittals within each application category.
2. More Projects Scenario (Pink): This scenario maintains the modal midpoints of the TAB approved funding ranges, but within modes it focuses on application categories with lower federal maximum award amounts. This scenario shows 56 funded projects, made possible by focusing funding on the Traffic Management Technologies, Spot Mobility \& Safety, Pedestrian, and Safe Routes to School application categories rather than the application categories with higher federal maximums such as Roadway Strategic Capacity and Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities.

Table 1 shows the modal funding ranges into which each mode should fall. All scenarios, including all overprogramming options, fall into these ranges. Tables 2 and 3 summarize the two scenarios without including overprogramming, with the latter comparing population and jobs to federal funds, by county. Table 4 adds history for each scenario to address the funding trends by county. Tables 5 and 6 examine geographic distribution by dividing the region into four quadrants, adding another way to consider geographic disbursement of funds.

Table 1: Modal Funding Ranges

|  | Roadways | Transit / TDM | Bicycle / Pedestrian | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ranges | $46 \%-65 \%$ | $25 \%-35 \%$ | $9 \%-20 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | $\$ 89-\$ 125 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 48-\$ 67 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 17-\$ 39 \mathrm{M}$ |  |
| Mid-Point | $55.5 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $\$ 193 \mathrm{M}$ |
|  | $\$ 107 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 58 \mathrm{M}(\$ 26 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$ 28 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 4$ |
| \$ Requested | $\$ 300 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 65 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 97 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 462 \mathrm{M}$ |
| \# of Apps | 57 | 32 | 52 | 132 |

Table 2: Scenario Comparisons with No Overprogramming

| Scenario | Projects | Reg Sol <br> Award | Match | Total <br> Transp. <br> Investment | Unique <br> Applicants | Funded Equity <br> Projects* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Historical <br> Process | 46 | $\$ 200 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 209 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 409 \mathrm{M}$ | 23 | 8 of 10 |
| More Projects | 56 | $\$ 202 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 200 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 402 \mathrm{M}$ | 29 | 8 of 10 |

*"Funded Equity Projects" refers to any project that scored well enough to receive the equity "bonus points," awarded to any application that was awarded at least $80 \%$ of the equity points. Overall, 10 projects were awarded equity bonus points.

Table 3: County Demographics ${ }^{1}$ and County Distribution with No Overprogramming

| County | Population | Jobs | Submitted <br> Apps | 1. Hist <br> Process | Funded <br> Apps | 2. More <br> Projects | Funded <br> Apps |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | $12 \%$ | $7 \%$ | 14 | $\$ 22.8 \mathrm{M}(15 \%)$ | 4 | $\$ 20.5 \mathrm{M}(13 \%)$ | 3 |
| Carver | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 13 | $\$ 15.7 \mathrm{M}(10 \%)$ | 5 | $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}(6 \%)$ | 6 |
| Dakota | $14 \%$ | $11 \%$ | 22.5 | $\$ 7.1 \mathrm{M}(5 \%)$ | 5.5 | $\$ 7.4 \mathrm{M}(5 \%)$ | 6.5 |
| Hennepin | $41 \%$ | $53 \%$ | 36.5 | $\$ 64.7 \mathrm{M}(41 \%)$ | 19 | $\$ 76.4 \mathrm{M}(48 \%)$ | 22 |
| Ramsey | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ | 22.5 | $\$ 35.8 \mathrm{M}(23 \%)$ | 9 | $\$ 41.0 \mathrm{M}(26 \%)$ | 12 |
| Scott | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | 5 | $\$-$ | 0 | $\$-$ | 0 |
| Wash. | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | 15.5 | $\$ 10.8 \mathrm{M}(7 \%)$ | 2 | $\$ 3.9 \mathrm{M}(2 \%)$ | 5 |
| Total* | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | $\$ 157 \mathrm{M}$ | - | $\$ 159 \mathrm{M}$ | - |

*Totals do not add up to the total funds available because the geographic distribution is unknown for Unique Projects and ABRT at this time.

Table 4: Historic County Funding Balance with No Overprogramming in 2020 Cycle

| County | Population | Jobs | 2014-2018 | 2014-2020 with Historical Process Scenario | 2014-2020 with <br> More Projects Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | 12\% | 7\% | \$43M (7\%) | \$66M (8\%) | \$66M (8\%) |
| Carver | 3\% | 2\% | \$20M (3\%) | \$36M (5\%) | \$29M (4\%) |
| Dakota | 14\% | 11\% | \$64M (10\%) | \$78M (10\%) | \$79M (10\%) |
| Hennepin | 41\% | 53\% | \$335M (54\%) | \$413M (52\%) | \$417M (52\%) |
| Ramsey | 18\% | 19\% | \$95M (15\%) | \$131M (16\%) | \$138M (17\%) |
| Scott | 5\% | 3\% | \$36M (6\%) | \$36M (5\%) | \$36M (5\%) |
| Wash. | 8\% | 5\% | \$25M (4\%) | \$36M (5\%) | \$29M (4\%) |

[^0]Table 5: 2020 Quadrant Distribution with No Overprogramming

| Quadrant | Population | Jobs | Submitted <br> Apps | 1. Hist <br> Process | Funded <br> Apps | 2. More <br> Projects | Funded <br> Apps |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW | $25 \%$ | $28 \%$ | 27 | $\$ 49 \mathrm{M}(30 \%)$ | 10 | $\$ 58.4 \mathrm{M}(35 \%)$ | 12 |
| NE | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | 28 | $\$ 24.8 \mathrm{M}(15 \%)$ | 6 | $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}(12 \%)$ | 10 |
| SE | $28 \%$ | $25 \%$ | 44 | $\$ 49.2 \mathrm{M}(30 \%)$ | 16 | $\$ 52.5 \mathrm{M}(32 \%)$ | 19 |
| SW | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | 27 | $\$ 39.6 \mathrm{M}(24 \%)$ | 9 | $\$ 33.9 \mathrm{M}(21 \%)$ | 10 |
| Total |  |  |  | $\$ 157 \mathrm{M}$ | - | $\$ 159 \mathrm{M}$ | - |

Table 6: Historic Quadrant Funding Balance with No Overprogramming in 2020 Cycle

| Quadrant | Population | Jobs | 2014-2018 | 2014-2020 with <br> Historical Process <br> Scenario | 2014-2020 with <br> More Projects <br> Scenario |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW | $25 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $\$ 210 \mathrm{M}(36 \%)$ | $\$ 259 \mathrm{M}(35 \%)$ | $\$ 268 \mathrm{M}(36 \%)$ |
| NE | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $\$ 75 \mathrm{M}(13 \%)$ | $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}(13 \%)$ | $\$ 95 \mathrm{M}(13 \%)$ |
| SE | $28 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $\$ 128 \mathrm{M}(22 \%)$ | $178 \mathrm{M}(24 \%)$ | $\$ 181 \mathrm{M}(24 \%)$ |
| SW | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $\$ 169 \mathrm{M}(29 \%)$ | $\$ 209 \mathrm{M}(28 \%)$ | $\$ 203 M(27 \%)$ |

At their October 22 and November 4 meetings, Funding \& Programming Committee and TAC discussed several ideas for how to use the $10 \%$ (roughly \$20M) overprogramming within either of the two scenarios. Ideas and other general comments included:

- Agreement that skipping over high-scoring projects threatens to undermine the scoring process that all stakeholders have contributed to and helped score. Strong preference that this approach should not be used for an overprogramming option.
- Allow for partial funding of the applicants' requests for overprogrammed projects.
- Provide overprogramming options for Scott County, which does not have a funded application in either scenario. This also could be applied to Dakota County, which is the most under-funded county relative to its percent of the regional population.
- Use overprogramming to fund lower cost roadway (Traffic Management Technology and Spot Mobility and Safety application categories) or bicycle/pedestrian projects alongside the Historical Process, to maximize the number of projects funded.
- Concerns related to MnDOT funding and administration of projects.
o Funding large highway projects and/or providing partial funding to large projects could lead to requests beyond MnDOT's means for 2024-2025, which poses a risk to the ability of all projects to be delivered. There is likely going to be more financial burden on project sponsors to pay than match than typically expected.
o MnDOT also expressed concern that some of the remaining options fund a high number of projects and this presents a different burden on MnDOT Metro StateAid staff to administer the projects. MnDOT and other stakeholder also noted that awarding federal funds to large number of small projects is inefficient because of the extra requirements and process with using federal funds.

The following four overprogramming options were developed based on committee feedback. There are three options for the Historical Process funding scenario and one option for the More Projects scenario.
Historical Process Funding Scenario Overprogramming Options:

- 1A: Each County Gets a Project (3 More Projects). The primary purpose of this option is to fund a project within each county and does so by not skipping over any higher-scoring
projects. It fully funds the \#6 (an equity bonus project in Carver County) and \#7 (Scott County) Strategic Capacity projects, as well as one more Pedestrian project.
- 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding (10 More Projects). The primary purpose of this option is to fund Strategic Capacity project \#7 (Scott County), which would provide Scott County with a project. In order to get to this project without skipping any higher scoring ones, it funds two projects at \$7M/project (the maximum award amount in 2018) instead of \$10M/project. It also adds one Multiuse Trail project, five more Pedestrian projects, and two Safe Routes to School projects.
- 1C: Additional Low-Cost Projects (9 More Projects). This was an approach discussed by committee members that funds a high number of additional low-cost projects in the roadways and bike/pedestrian application categories. It funds three more Traffic Management Technology, three more Spot Mobility and Safety, one more Multiuse Trails, four Pedestrian, and two Safe Routes to School projects.
More Projects Funding Scenario Overprogramming Option:
- 2A: Continue Modal Midpoints (4 More Projects). This option continues the modal midpoints for the extra $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}$. It funds one Traffic Management Technology, one Roadway Reconstruction project, one Transit Expansion project, and one Multiuse Trail project.

Table 7 shows the pros and cons of each of the four overprogramming options, while Tables 8 and 9 show the county funding balance of each option. Table 7 was created using the assumption that there is a desire to achieve better county funding balance for Scott County. Figure 1 at the end of the memo provides a decision tree to help guide TAB's decision-making process based on their goals for the use of the overprogramming funds.

The projects funded in each scenario and overprogramming option are shown in the attachments (tabular and map formats).

Table 7: Pros and Cons of Each Overprogramming Option

| Overprogramming <br> Option | Pros | Cons |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1A: Each County <br> Gets a Project <br> (3 more projects) | -Funds another equity project <br> (Carver Co) <br> -Funds a project in Scott Co | -Only funds 1 more bike/pedestrian <br> project |
| 1B: Each County <br> Gets a Project, <br> Partial Funding <br> (10 more projects) | -Provides partial funding <br> (\$7M/project) to projects in Scott <br> and Carver Co <br> -Partially funds another equity <br> project (Carver Co) | -By partially funding 2 large projects, it <br> creates more risk that these projects will <br> not be delivered due to funding <br> shortfalls |
| 1C: Additional Low- | -Provides a hybrid of the Historic <br> Cost Projects <br> (9 more projects) | Process and More Projects <br> Scenarios | | -Does not fund any projects in Scott Co |
| :--- |
| 2A: Continue Modal |
| Midpoints | | -Funds a Dakota Co roadway |
| :--- |
| (4 more projects) | | -Includes another transit project |
| :--- |
| -Consistent with TAB's approach |$\quad$| -Does not fund any projects in Scott Co |
| :--- |
| -Does not fund a \$10M Washington Co |
| roadway project |
| -Funding more projects is |
| administratively difficult for MnDOT and |
| less efficient use of federal funds |

Table 8: 2020 County Funding Balance: Historical Process

| County | Pop | Jobs | 1. Before Overprogramming | 1a | 1b | 1c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | 12\% | 7\% | \$23M (15\%) | \$23M (13\%) | \$23M (13\%) | \$23M (13\%) |
| Carver | 3\% | 2\% | \$16M (10\%) | \$26M (15\%) | \$23M (13\%) | \$21M (12\%) |
| Dakota | 14\% | 11\% | \$7M (5\%) | \$7M (4\%) | \$7M (4\%) | \$7M (4\%) |
| Hennepin | 41\% | 53\% | \$65M (41\%) | \$65M (37\%) | \$67M (38\%) | \$72M (41\%) |
| Ramsey | 18\% | 19\% | \$36M (23\%) | \$36M (20\%) | \$38M (21\%) | \$40M (23\%) |
| Scott | 5\% | 3\% | \$- | \$10M (6\%) | \$7M (4\%) | \$- |
| Wash. | 8\% | 5\% | \$11M (7\%) | \$11M (6\%) | \$12M (7\%) | \$14M (8\%) |

Table 9: 2020 County Funding Balance: More Projects

| County | Pop | Jobs | 2. Before Overprogramming | 2a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | 12\% | 7\% | \$20M (13\%) | \$23M (13\%) |
| Carver | 3\% | 2\% | \$10M (6\%) | \$10M (6\%) |
| Dakota | 14\% | 11\% | \$7M (5\%) | \$14M (8\%) |
| Hennepin | 41\% | 53\% | \$76M (48\%) | \$82M (46\%) |
| Ramsey | 18\% | 19\% | \$41M (26\%) | \$44M (25\%) |
| Scott | 5\% | 3\% | \$- | \$- |
| Wash. | 8\% | 5\% | \$4M (2\%) | \$4M (2\%) |

## Other notes:

1. Members requested a summary of the "rules" of the Regional Solicitation and this is provided on the last page of the memo.
2. Taken from the total amount ( $\$ 198 \mathrm{M}$ ) is $\$ 4.9$ million for Unique Project(s) to be distributed by the 2022 Regional Solicitation. Criteria for unique project selection will be established in 2021.
3. The TAB-approved funding ranges included a $\$ 5$ million shift to Transit: $\$ 4$ million from Roadways and $\$ 1$ million from Bicycle/Pedestrian. This comes along with TAB's decision to allow for a $\$ 25$ million funding of a single ABRT route. TAB also agreed to a) only allowing a total of $\$ 32$ million to be awarded to BRT projects, which allows up to $\$ 7 \mathrm{M}$ for other BRT projects.
4. Given the $\$ 32$ million BRT maximum, only one of the two projects ranked at the top of their respective categories (Transit Expansion and Transit Modernization) can be funded. Each of these projects is related to the Gold Line BRT project. In Transit Modernization it is a project for downtown Saint Paul stations that serves Gold Line BRT and several different transit routes and in Transit Expansion the submittal is for a new Gold Line parking facility near I-494 in Woodbury. To provide input to TAB's decision, the two applicants, Metro Transit and Washington County, consulted with the other project partners. Based on these conversations, the Gold Line project partners indicated preference for the Transit Modernization project if only one can be funded.
5. There is a New Market guarantee to ensure that at least one Transit Expansion or Modernization project is funded that serves areas outside of Transit Market Area 1 and 2 from the Transportation Policy Plan for at least one end of the project. (See Figure 3 for transit market areas)

Figure 1: Overprogramming Options


Figure 2: The Rules of Regional Solicitation Project Selection
The following rules are highlighted in the 2020 Regional Solicitation application materials.

| Rule | Followed in 2020 Scenarios? | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Funding scenarios fall within the modal funding ranges approved by TAB | $\sqrt{ }$ | Both remaining funding scenarios meet this requirement (including all overprogramming options) |
| 2. A unique project set-aside of 2.5\% (\$4.9M) is funded | $\sqrt{ }$ | Funding is set-aside in all funding scenarios |
| 3. TAB may or may not choose to fund at least one project from each application category. Traditionally, at least one project from each application category is funded | $\checkmark$ | All funding scenarios fund at least the top 2 highest scoring projects |
| 4.Unwritten rule: Traditionally, projects are not "skipped" over to fund lower-scoring projects (unless another rule forces it) | $\sqrt{ }$ | All funding scenarios follow this unwritten rule |
| 5. There is a funding target of \$10M for the Bridge application category | $\checkmark$ | All funding scenarios meet this requirement and fund $\$ 13.9 \mathrm{M}$ of total funding for 2 bridge projects |
| 6. At least one project is funded for each of the five eligible roadway functional classifications | $\checkmark$ | All funding scenarios meet this requirement |
| 7. Roadway projects must be spaced 3.5 miles apart on a corridor | $\checkmark$ | All funding scenarios meet this requirement |
| 8. Projects cannot be awarded HSIP and Reg. Solicitation funds | $\checkmark$ | There is one duplicate project that will accept the $\$ 3.5 \mathrm{M}$ from the Reg. Solicitation instead of \$2M for HSIP |
| 9. Fund at least one transit "new market" service (outside of service areas 1 and 2) | $\checkmark$ | All remaining funding scenarios fund either 5 or 6 transit "new market" projects |
| 10. A maximum of $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$ for Arterial Bus Rapid Transit (ABRT) | $\checkmark$ | TAB approved showing all funding scenarios with \$25M for a future ABRT to be selected April 2021 |
| 11. A maximum of $\$ 7 \mathrm{M}$ to other types of Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) | $\checkmark$ | All funding scenarios meet this requirement by skipping over 3 higher scoring BRT projects |
| 12. A maximum of one transit project per transitway per funding cycle | $\checkmark$ | All funding scenarios meet this requirement and skip over a $2^{\text {nd }}$ Gold Line project |
| 13. Bike/pedestrian projects cannot be on same corridor | $\sqrt{ }$ | All funding scenarios meet this requirement |

Figure 3: Transit Market Areas


Market Area I
Market Area II
Emerging Market Area II
Market Area III

| TO | ACTION REQUESTED | DATE SCHEDULED/COMPLETED |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TAC Funding \& Programming <br> Committee | Review \& Recommend | $11 / 19 / 2020$ |
| Technical Advisory Committee | Review \& Recommend | $12 / 2 / 2020$ |
| Transportation Advisory Board | Review \& Approve | $12 / 16 / 2020$ |


| Traffic Management Technologies |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Historical Process Funding Scenario $\quad$ 2. More Projects funding |  |  |  | FederalRequested | Local Match | Total Proj cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Federal } \\ \text { Cumulative } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Scores } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | ID | Applicant | County | City | Project Name | Funct Class | 1A: Each County Gets $\begin{gathered}\text { a Project }\end{gathered}$ | 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding | 1C: Additional Low Cost Projects | 2A: Continue Modal Mid. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 14361 | Minneapolis | Hennepin | Minneapolis | Minneapolis City-Wide Signal Retiming | Augmentor |  |  |  |  | \$2,500,000 | \$625,000 | \$3,125,000 | \$2,50,000 | 817 |
| 2 | 14083 | St. Paul | Ramsey | St. Paul | Dale Street Traffic Signal Modernization | Reliver, Augmentor | \$4,500,800 | \$4,500,800 | \$4,500,800 |  | \$2,00, 800 | \$500,200 | \$2,501,000 | \$4,500,800 | 811 |
| 3 | 14090 | Minneapolis | Hennepin | Minneapolis | City of Minneapolis ITS Upgrades and Enhancements | Augmentor |  |  | Overprogram |  | \$3,000,000 | \$750,000 | \$3,750,000 | \$7,500,800 | 807 |
| 4 | 14027 | Carver Co | Carver | 4 Cities; 1 Township | Carver County Traffic Signal Tech and ITS Enhancements | Expanders, |  |  | Overprogram | \$9,080,800 | \$1,580,000 | \$395,000 | \$1,975,000 | \$9,080,800 | 776 |
| 5 | 14126 | Ramsey Co | Ramsey | Mounds View | Mounds View Blvd Traffic Management Tech. | Reliever |  |  | Overprogram | Overprogram | \$2,536,085 | \$634,021 | \$3,170,106 | \$11,616,885 | 630 |


| Rank | ID | Applicant | County | city | Project Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14059 | Minneapolis | Hennepin | Minneapolis | Johnson St. NE/ I-35W South Ramps Intersection Improvements Improvements |
| $2^{*}$ | 14067 | Hennepin Co | Hennepin | Minneapolis | Hi/LLae Safety Project |
| 3 | 14050 | Carver co | Carver | Benton Township | US 212 \& CSAH 51 Intersection Safety Project |
| 4 | 14198 | Dakota Co | Dakota | Burnsille | Dakota Co Project 11-27: Roundabout - CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy |
| 5 | 14346 | Carver Co | Carver | Laketown Township | Highway 11 Intersection Improvement Project |
| 6 | 14368 | Woodbury | Washington | Woodbury | Lake Road and Pioneer Drive Intersection Improvement Project |
| 7 | 14292 | Rogers | Hennepin | Rogers, Dayton | CSAH 144 and CSAH 13 Signal \& Intersection Geometric Improvements Geometric Improvements |
| 8 | 14023 | Ramsey Co | Ramsey | Maplewood, St. Paul | Larpenteur Avenue (CSAH 30)/White Bear Avenue (CSAH 650/North St. Paul Road (CSAH 29) Safety and Mobility Project |
| 9 | 14164 | Hennepin Co | Hennepin | Corcoran, Greenfield, Rogers | CSAH 19 Spot Mobility \& Safety Project |
| 10 | 14291 | Rogers | Hennepin | Rogers | CSAH 116 and CSAH 150 Roundabout |


|  | 1. Historical Process Funding Scenario |  |  | 2. More Projects Funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Funct Class | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1A: Each County Gets } \\ \text { a Project } \end{gathered}$ | 1B: Each County Gets <br> a Project, Partial Funding | 1C: Additional Low Cost Projects | 2A: Continue Modal Mid $\begin{gathered}\text { Points }\end{gathered}$ |
| Augmentor |  |  |  |  |
| Augmentor |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Principal } \\ & \text { Arterial } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& Expander, } \\ & \text { Reliever } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$9,897,200 | \$9,897,200 | \$9,897,200 |  |
| Connector |  |  | Overprogram |  |
| Expander |  |  | Overprogram |  |
| Expander, Connector |  |  | Overprogram |  |
| Augmentor |  |  |  | \$20,139,903 |
| Connector |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Connector, } \\ \text { Expander } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |


| Strategic Capacity |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Historical Process Funding Scenario |  |  | 2. More Projects Funding <br> 2A: Continue Modal Mid Points |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | ID | Applicant | County | City | Project Name | Funct Class | 1A: Each County Gets a Project | 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding | 1C: Additional Low Cost Projects |  | Federal Requested | Local Match | Total Proj Cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Federal } \\ \text { Cumulative } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Scores } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 14030 | Brookly Park | Hennepin | Brookly Park | TH 252/Brookdale Drive Interchange | Principal Arterial |  |  |  |  | \$10,000,000 | \$23,215,015 | \$33,215,0015 | \$10,000,000 | 830 |
| 2 | 14165 | Blaine | Anoka | Blaine | TH 65 at 99th Ave NE Grade Separation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Principal } \\ & \text { Arterial } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | \$10,000,000 | \$19,800,000 | \$29,800,000 | \$20,000,000 | 686 |
| 3** | 14139 | Anoka Co | Anoka | Ramsey, Dayton | CSAH 56 (Ramsey Blvd) \& Highway 10 Interchange | Principal Arterial, Expander |  |  |  | \$30,000,000 | \$10,000,000 | \$19,300,000 | \$29,300,000 | \$30,00,000 | 616 |
| 4-T | 14324 | Washington Co | Washington | Grant, Lake Elmo | CSAH 17 (Lake Elmo Ave) \& TH 36 Interchange | Principal Arterial, Connector |  |  |  |  | \$10,000,000 | \$24,733,130 | \$34,733,130 | \$40,00,000 | 572 |
| 4-T | 14347 | Carver Co | Carver | Chanhassen, Victoria | Highway 5 Arboretum Area Mobility and Access Project | Expander | \$50,000,000 | \$50,000,000 | \$50,000,000 |  | \$10,000,000 | \$3,440,000 | \$13,440,000 | \$50,000,000 | 572 |
| 6 | 14345 | Carver Co | Carver | Chaska | Highway 41 and CSAH 10 Mobility and Access Improvement | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Principal } \\ \text { Arterial, } \\ \text { Expander } \end{array}$ | Overprogram | Overprogram: Partial Funding \$7M |  |  | \$9,049,600 | \$2,262,400 | \$11,312,000 | \$59,049,600 | 542 |
| 7 | 14015 | Scott Co | Scott | Jordan | TH 169, TH 282 and CSAH 9 Interchange | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Princincipal } \\ \text { Arterial, } \\ \text { Connector } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Overprogram | Overprogram: Partial Funding \$7M |  |  | \$10,000,000 | \$14,000,000 | \$24,000,000 | \$69,049,600 | 541 |
| 8 | 14375 | Washington Co | Washington | Mahtomedi, White Bear Lake | TH 120 (Century Avenue) Expansion | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$6,601,884 | \$1,650,471 | \$8,252,355 | \$75,651,484 | 500 |
| 9 | 14074 | Coon Rapids | Anoka | Coon Rapids | TH 610 \& East River Road Interchange Reconstruction | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$9,752,000 | \$2,438,000 | \$12,190,000 | \$85,403,484 | 459 |
| 10 | 14018 | Ramsey Co | Ramsey | White Bear Twp, Lino Lakes, North Oaks | 1-35E/County Road J Interchange | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$8,618,210 | \$2,154,553 | \$10,772,763 | \$94,021,694 | 437 |
| 11 | 14049 | Carver Co | Carver | Benton Township | US 212 Freight Mobility \& Safety Project from CSAH 51 to CSAH 36 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Principal } \\ \text { Arterial } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | \$10,000,000 | \$15,977,000 | \$25,977,000 | \$104,021,694 | 432 |
| 12 | 14333 | Scott Co | Scott | Sand Creek Township | Sand Creek Township Overpass Improvement Project | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Principal } \\ \text { Arterial } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | \$2,087,036 | \$521,759 | \$2,608,795 | \$106,108,730 | 414 |
| 13 | 14140 | Anoka Co | Anoka | Blaine | Anoka CSAH 12 (109th Avenue NE) Expansion Project | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$7,664,000 | \$1,916,000 | \$9,580,000 | \$113,772,730 | 376 |
| 14 | 169 | Anoka Co | Anoka | Blaine | CSAH 14 (125th Avenue NE) Expansion in Blaine | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Principal } \\ \text { Arterial } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | \$3,964,000 | \$991,000 | \$4,95,000 | \$117,736,730 | 324 |
| 15 | 14399 | Lakeville | Dakota | Lakeville | 185th Street Extension | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$1,800,000 | \$450,000 | \$2,250,000 | \$119,536,730 | 311 |
| 16 | 14344 | Dakota Co | Dakota | Lakeville | CSAH 9 (179th Street) Realignment Project | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$3,920,000 | \$980,000 | \$4,900,000 | \$123,456,730 | 262 |
| 17 | 14168 | Anoka Co | Anoka | Andover | CSAH 7 ( 7th Ave) Expansion in Andover | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$6,929,600 | \$1,732,400 | \$8,662,000 | \$130,386,330 | 260 |


| Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Historical Process Funding Scenario |  |  | 2. More Projects Funding <br> 2A: Continue Modal MidPoints | Federal Requested | Local Match | Total Proj Cost | Federal Cumulative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Scores } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | ID | Applicant | County | City | Project Name | Funct Class | 1A: Each County Gets a Project | 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding | 1C: Additional Low Cost Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 13970 | Hennepin Co | Hennepin | Minneapolis | CSAH 5 (Franklin Ave) Reconstruction Project | Reliever |  |  |  |  | \$7,000,000 | \$6,782,000 | \$13,782,000 | \$7,000,000 | 912 |
| 2 | 14012 | Hennepin Co | Hennepin | Minneapolis | CSAH 153 (Lowry Ave NE) Reconstruction Project | Augmentor |  |  |  |  | \$7,000,000 | \$2,022,600 | \$9,022,600 | \$14,000,000 | 716 |
| 3 | 14013 | St. Paul | Ramsey | St. Paul | Robert Street Reconstruction | Reliever |  |  |  |  | \$7,000,000 | \$11,000,000 | \$18,000,000 | \$21,000,000 | 699 |
| 4 | 14327 | Hennepin Co | Hennepin | St. Louis Park | CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Reconstruction Project | Augmentor | \$28,000,000 | \$28,000,000 | \$28,000,000 |  | \$7,000,000 | \$3,357,000 | \$10,357,000 | \$28,000,000 | 683 |
| 5 | 14071 | Maple Grove | Hennepin | Maple Grove, Brooklyn Park, Osseo | Highway 169 and County Road 130 Interchange Reconstruction | Reliever |  |  |  | \$35,000,000 | \$7,000,000 | \$6,795,000 | \$13,795,000 | \$35,000,000 | 610 |
| 6 | 14303 | Dakota Co | Dakota | Eagan | Reconstruction of CSAH 32 from CSAH 43 to 0.2 miles east of Dodd Road in Eagan | Expander |  |  |  | Overprogram | \$7,000,000 | \$3,900,000 | \$10,900,000 | \$42,000,000 | 588 |
| 7 | 14396 | Anoka (City) | Anoka | Anoka | TH 47 Corridor Improvements Project | Connector |  |  |  |  | \$4,152,000 | \$1,038,000 | \$5,190,000 | \$46,152,000 | 585 |
| 8 | 14141 | Anoka Co | Anoka | Coon Rapids | Anoka CSAH 11 (Northdale Boulevard NW) Reconstruction Project | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$5,214,400 | \$1,303,600 | \$6,518,000 | \$51,366,400 | 583 |
| 9 | 14031 | Minneapolis | Hennepin | Minneapolis | Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction | Reliever |  |  |  |  | \$5,040,800 | \$1,260,200 | \$6,301,000 | \$56,407,200 | 557 |
| 10 | 14107 | Ramsey Co | Ramsey | Shoreview | Hodgson Road (CSAH 49) Safety and Mobility Project | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$5,000,000 | \$6,362,795 | \$11,362,795 | \$61,407,200 | 534 |
| 11 | 14044 | Minneapolis | Hennepin | Minneapolis | 42nd Street Reconstruction Project | Augmentor |  |  |  |  | \$7,000,000 | \$2,708,500 | \$9,708,500 | \$68,407,200 | 521 |
| 12 | 14021 | Shakopee | Scott | Shakopee | Marystown Road Corridor | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$4,918,000 | \$1,229,500 | \$6,147,500 | \$73,325,200 | 514 |
| 13 | 14014 | St. Paul | Ramsey | St. Paul | University Avenue (l-35E to Lafayette Rd) | Reliever |  |  |  |  | \$5,500,000 | \$1,375,000 | \$6,875,000 | \$78,825,200 | 455 |
| 14 | 14069 | Washington Co | Washington | Lake Elmo, West Lakeland Township | CSAH 15 Reconstruction - Manning Phase 4 | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$5,011,952 | \$1,252,988 | \$6,264,940 | \$83,837,152 | 452 |
| 15 | 14293 | Rogers | Hennepin | Rogers, Dayton | Fletcher Bypass - Hennepin County 116 to 81 | Expander |  |  |  |  | \$3,181,040 | \$795,260 | \$3,976,300 | \$87,018,192 | 439 |
| 16 | 14051 | Carver Co | Carver | Mayer, Waconia Township | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CSAH } 30 \text { Rural Connection from TH } 25 \text { to CSAH } \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | Connector |  |  |  |  | \$2,562,400 | \$640,600 | \$3,203,000 | \$89,580,592 | 347 |
| 17 | 14304 | Dakota Co | Dakota | Eureka Township, Greenvale Township | Reconstruction of CSAH 86 from west Dakota County line to CSAH 23 (Galaxie Avenue) in Dakota County | Connector |  |  |  |  | \$4,800,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$6,000,000 | \$94,380,592 | 281 |


| Bridges |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Historical Process Funding Scenario |  |  | 2. More Projects Funding |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | ID | Applicant | County | City | Project Name | Funct Class | 1A: Each County Gets a Project | 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding | 1C: Additional Low Cost Projects | 2A: Continue Modal Mid | Federal Requested | Local Match | Total Proj Cost | Federal Cumulative | Total Scores |
| 1-T | 14061 | Hennepin Co | Hennepin | Plymouth, New Hope | CSAH 9 (Rockford Rd) Bridge Replacement Project | Augmenter |  |  |  |  | \$6,888,000 | \$1,722,000 | \$8,610,000 | \$6,888,000 | 778 |
| 1-T | 14087 | St. Paul | Ramsey | St. Paul | Replacement of Kellogg-Third Street Bridge No 62080 \& 62080A | Reliever | \$13,888,000 | \$13,888,000 | \$13,888,000 | \$13,888,000 | \$7,000,000 | \$56,903,000 | \$63,903,000 | \$13,888,000 | 778 |
| 3 | 14138 | Ramsey Co | Ramsey | New Brighton | Replacement of Bridge 4533, Old Highway 8 (CSAH 77) over the Minnesota Commercial Railroad | Reliever |  |  |  |  | \$1,937,365 | \$484,341 | \$2,421,706 | \$15,825,365 | 728 |
| 4 | 14042 | Hennepin Co | Hennepin | Minneapolis, Robbinsdale, Crystal, Brooklyn Center | CSAH 152 (Washington Ave N) Bridge Replacement Project | Reliever |  |  |  |  | \$2,848,000 | \$712,000 | \$3,560,000 | \$18,673,365 | 723 |
| 5 | 14332 | Hennepin Co | Hennepin | Minneapolis | CSAH 152 (Osseo Rd) Rehabilitation Project | Reliever |  |  |  |  | \$2,738,400 | \$684,600 | \$3,423,000 | \$21,411,765 | 615 |
| 6 | 14117 | Ramsey Co | Ramsey | Roseville | Replacement of Bridge No. 62519, Count Road C over BNSF RR | Augmenter |  |  |  |  | \$5,000,000 | \$6,098,829 | \$11,098,829 | \$26,411,765 | 597 |
| 7 | 14359 | Minneapolis | Hennepin | Minneapolis | Nicollet Avenue South over Minnehaha Creek | Reliever |  |  |  |  | \$7,000,000 | \$13,500,000 | \$20,500,000 | \$33,411,765 | 577 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 106,286,000 \\ & \$ 125,335,600 \end{aligned}$ | \$106,286,000 | $\$ 106,286,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 108,108,703 \\ & \$ 117,644,788 \end{aligned}$ | \$33,411,765 | \$80,104,770 | \$113,516,535 |  |  |

[^1]**The 3rd highest ranked Strategic Capacity project was awarded a federal Instructure for Rebuilding America (INFRA) grant after the Regional Solicitation application period closed that will partially fund a much larger project on TH 10 .
$\frac{\text { DRAFT FUNDING SCENARIO }}{\text { TRANSIT AND TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROJECTS }}$

| DRAFT FUNDING SCENARIO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Funding Range - 25-35\% (\$48M - \$67M) Midpoint - 30\% (\$58M) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transit Expans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Historical Process Funding Scenario $\quad$ 2. More Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rank | ID | Applicant | County | City | BRT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Mkt } \end{aligned}$ | Project Name | 1A: Each County Gets a Project | 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding | 1C: Additional Low Cost Projects | 2A: Continue Modal MidPoints | Federal Requested | Local Match | Total Proj Cost | Federal Cumulative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Scores } \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{1 *}$ | 14365 | Washington Co | Washington | Woodbury | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 1-994 Park \& Ride Structure in Woodbury | Skip due to | BRT maximum | with Gold |  | \$7,000,000 | \$8,170,946 | \$15,170,946 | \$7,000,000 | 852 |
| 2 | 14176 | Metro Transit | Hennepin | Minneapolis, St. Louis Park, Hopkins |  |  | Route 17 Service Improvement in Minneapolis, St. Louis Park, and Hopkins |  |  |  |  | \$2,511,123 | \$627,781 | \$3,138,904 | \$9,511,123 | 607 |
| 3 | 14173 | Metro Transit | Hennepin, Ramsey | Bloomington, St. Paul |  | $\checkmark$ | Route 54 Service Improvement in St. Paul and Bloomington |  |  |  |  | \$1,762,070 | \$440,518 | \$2,202,588 | \$11,273,193 | 589 |
| 4 | 14298 | Metro Transit | Hennepin | Minneapolis, Golden Valley, Plymough |  | $\checkmark$ | New Route 757 Limited Stop in Minneapolis, Golden Valley, and Plymouth | \$8,942,679 | \$8,942,679 | \$8,942,679 | \$8,942,679 | \$4,669,486 | \$1,167,372 | \$5,836,858 | \$15,942,679 | 566 |
| 5 | 14024 | SouthWest <br> Transit | Hennepin | Eden Prairie, Maple <br> Grove, Plymouth, <br> Minnetonka |  | $\checkmark$ | 1-494 North SW Prime Service in Eden Prairie, Minnetonka, Plymouth, and Maple Grove |  |  |  | Overprogram | \$5,600,000 | \$1,400,000 | \$7,000,000 | \$21,542,679 | 555 |
| 6 | 14340 | MVTA | Hennepin, <br> Dakota | Minneapolis, <br> Mendota Heights, <br> Eagan |  | $\checkmark$ | Route 436 Expansion - Viking Lakes in Eagan, Mendota Heights, and Minneapolis |  |  |  |  | \$2,600,000 | \$650,000 | \$3,250,000 | \$24,142,679 | 495 |
| 7 | 14146 | Metro Transit | Washington, Hennepin | Stillwater |  | $\checkmark$ | New Route 274 Express in Stillwater and Minneapolis |  |  |  |  | \$1,321,553 | \$330,388 | \$1,651,941 | \$25,464,232 | 453 |
| 8 | 14296 | Metro Transit | Hennepin, <br> Ramsey | Minneapolis, St. Paul |  |  | Route 23 Service Improvement in Minneapolis and St. Paul |  |  |  |  | \$3,018,668 | \$754,667 | \$3,773,336 | \$28,482,901 | 337 |
| 9 | 14178 | Metro Transit | Ramsey, Washington | 7 Cities |  | $\checkmark$ | Route 219 Service Improvement in Maplewood, White Bear Lake, Mahtomedi, North St. Paul, Oakdale, Landfall, and St. Paul |  |  |  |  | \$1,750,320 | \$437,580 | \$2,187,900 | \$30,233,221 | 328 |
| 10 | 14330 | SouthWest Transit | Hennepin, Carver | Eden Prairie, Chaska Chanhassen, Carver, Victoria |  | $\checkmark$ | SouthWest Transit Golden Triangle Mobility Hub in Eden Prairie, Chaska, Chanhassen, Carver, Victoria |  |  |  |  | \$4,055,200 | \$1,013,800 | \$5,069,000 | \$34,288,421 | 295 |


| Transit Modernizat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Historical Process Funding Scenario $\quad$ 2. More Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | ID | Applicant | County | city | BRT | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { Mkt } \end{gathered}$ | Project Name | 1A: Each County Gets a Project | 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding | 1C: Additional Low Cost Projects | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2A: Continue } \\ \text { Modal Mid- } \\ \text { Points } \end{array}$ | Federal Requested | Local Match | Total Proj Cost | Federal Cumulative | Total Scores <br> Scores |
| 1* | 14392 | Metro Transit | Ramsey | St. Paul | $\checkmark$ |  | Gold Line Ramsey Washington Saint Paul Downtown Modernization |  |  |  |  | \$7,000,000 | \$3,50,000 | \$10,500,000 | \$7,000,000 | 721 |
| 2 | 14357 | Metro Transit | Regional | Regional |  |  | Bus Farebox Upgrade for All Regional Transit Providers |  |  |  |  | \$7,000,000 | \$1,750,000 | \$8,750,000 | \$14,000,000 | 637 |
| 3 | 14078 | Dakota Co | Dakota | Apple Valley | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 140th Red Line Pedestrian Bicycle Overpass in Apple Valley | Skip due to | BRT maximum | with Gold |  | \$2,400,000 | \$600,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$16,400,000 | 610 |
| 4 | 14171 | MVTA | Dakota | 7 cities |  | $\checkmark$ | Burnsville Bus Garage (BBG) Modernization |  |  |  |  | \$2,800,000 | \$700,000 | \$3,500,000 | \$19,200,000 | 604 |
| 5 | 14084 | Apple Valley | Dakota | Apple Valley | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Apple Valley Red Line BRT 147th Street Station Skyway | Skip due to | BRT maximum | with Gold |  | \$3,810,400 | \$952,600 | \$4,763,000 | \$23,010,400 | 602 |
| 6 | 14191 | SouthWest Transit | Carver | Chaska |  | $\checkmark$ | Signal Prioritization at East Creek Park and Ride in Chaska | \$17,243,520 | \$17,243,520 | \$17,243,520 | \$17,243,520 | \$443,520 | \$110,800 | \$554,320 | \$23,453,920 | 582 |
| 7 | 14076 | SouthWest <br> Transit | Carver | Chanhassen |  | $\checkmark$ | Solar Array at SouthWest Village in Chanhassen |  |  |  |  | \$4,840,000 | \$1,210,000 | \$6,050,000 | \$28,293,920 | 436 |
| 8 | 14190 | MVTA | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Dakota, } \\ \text { Hennepin, } \\ \text { Scott } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7 Cities |  | $\checkmark$ | Burnsville Transit Station (BTS) ModernizationElevator Installation |  |  |  |  | \$656,000 | \$164,000 | \$820,000 | \$28,949,920 | 411 |
| 9 | 14295 | MVTA | Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey | 7 Cities |  | $\checkmark$ | Eagan Transit Station (ETS) ModernizationElevator Installation |  |  |  |  | \$440,000 | \$110,000 | \$550,000 | \$29,389,920 | 247 |



| тмо/тdм |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Historical Process Funding Scenario $\quad$ 2. More Projects |  |  |  | FederalRequested | Local Match | Total Proj Cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Federal } \\ \text { Cumulative } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Scores } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | ID | Applicant | County | city | BRT | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { Mkt } \end{gathered}$ | Project Name | 1A: Each County Gets a Project | 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding | 1C: Additional Low Cost Projects | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2A: Continue } \\ & \text { Modal Mid- } \\ & \text { Points } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - |  |  |  |  | TMO Set-aside for 2025-2026* | \$5,800,000 | \$5,800,000 | \$5,800,000 | \$5,800,000 | \$5,800,000 | \$1,450,000 | \$7,250,000 | \$5,800,000 | . |
|  | - | . |  |  |  |  | TDM Set-aside for $2025-2026^{*}$ | \$1,200,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$300,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$7,000,000 | - |


| 1 | 14041 | MOVE Minnesota | Hennepin, <br> Ramsey | Minneapolis, st. Paul | Changing the School Commute: Shifting Youth to Transit Use |  |  |  |  | \$452,700 | \$113,175 | \$565,875 | \$452,700 | 892 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 14372 | Bicycle Alliance of Minnesota | Hennepin, Ramsey, Dakota | 13 Cities | Expanding Adult Learn to Ride Bicycle classes and related programming from St. Paul and Minneapolis to inner suburbs |  |  |  |  | \$350,488 | \$147,600 | \$498,088 | \$803,188 | 733 |
| 3 | 13996 | Cycling Without Age Twin Cities | Hennepin, Ramsey | Minneapolis, St. Paul | CWA TC Short Trip Program |  |  |  |  | \$236,856 | \$59,214 | \$296,070 | \$1,040,044 | 598 |
| 4 | 14400 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Move } \\ \text { Minneapolis } \end{array}$ | Hennepin | Minneapolis | Comprehensive Mode Share Measurement | \$1,200,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$275,000 | \$69,094 | \$344,094 | \$1,315,044 | 444 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,315,044 | \$389,083 | \$1,704,127 | \$3,610,976 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$58,186,199 | \$58,186,199 | \$58,186,199 | \$58,186,199 | \$7,000,000 | \$1,750,000 | \$8,750,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$58,186,199 | \$58,186,199 | \$58,186,199 | \$63,786,199 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]| Multi | use Trail | ils and Bicycle Fac | cilities |  |  | 1. Historical Process Funding Scenario 2. More Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | ID | Applicant | County | City | Project Name | 1A: Each County Gets a Project | 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding | 1C: Additional Low Cost Projects | 2A: Continue Modal MidPoints | Federal Requested | Local Match | Total Proj Cost | Federal Cumulative | Total Scores |
| 1 | 14160 | Minneapolis | Hennepin | Minneapolis | Hennepin/Dunwoody Protected Bikeway \& Multiuse Trail |  |  |  |  | \$3,760,000 | \$940,000 | \$4,700,000 | \$3,760,000 | 943 |
| 2 | 14112 | St Paul | Ramsey | St. Paul | Samuel Morgan Regional Trail Segments $1 \& 4$ Reconstruction |  |  |  |  | \$4,956,800 | \$1,239,200 | \$6,196,000 | \$8,716,800 | 883 |
| 3 | 14335 | St Paul | Ramsey | St. Paul | Kellogg Blvd Capital City Bikeway - St. Peter to 7th St |  |  |  |  | \$5,500,000 | \$1,444,759 | \$6,944,759 | \$14,216,800 | 870 |
| 4 | 14115 | Burnsville | Dakota | Burnsville | 1-35W Frontage Trail /l-35W Minnesota River Crossing |  |  |  |  | \$388,000 | \$97,000 | \$485,000 | \$14,604,800 | 804 |
| 5 | 13983 | Three Rivers PD | Hennepin | Golden Valley | Bassett Creek Reg Trail Gap / Duluth St |  |  |  |  | \$2,561,876 | \$640,469 | \$3,202,345 | \$17,166,676 | 786 |
| 6-T | 14302 | Brooklyn Park | Hennepin | Brooklyn Park | 63rd Avenue Multiuse Trail |  |  |  |  | \$744,000 | \$186,000 | \$930,000 | \$17,910,676 | 783 |
| 6-T | 14350 | Washington Co | Washington | Oakdale | Century-Greenway Trail |  |  |  |  | \$825,865 | \$206,466 | \$1,032,331 | \$18,736,541 | 783 |
| 8 | 14131 | West St Paul | Dakota | West St Paul | CSAH 73 Oakdale Multiuse Trail |  |  |  | \$20,522,141 | \$1,785,600 | \$446,400 | \$2,232,000 | \$20,522,141 | 779 |
| 9 | 14026 | Coon Rapids | Anoka | Coon Rapids | Coon Creek Reg Trail and Bridge over Coon Rapids Blvd |  |  |  | Overprogram | \$2,400,000 | \$2,350,000 | \$4,750,000 | \$22,922,141 | 775 |
| 10 | 14287 | Chaska | Carver | Chaska | Circle the Brick Trail Connection | \$24,167,773 | \$24,167,773 | \$24,167,773 |  | \$1,245,632 | \$315,408 | \$1,561,040 | \$24,167,773 | 750 |
| 11 | 14062 | Minnetonka | Hennepin | Minnetonka | Hopkins Crossroad Multi-Use Trail |  | Overprogram | Overprogram |  | \$2,364,700 | \$591,100 | \$2,955,800 | \$26,532,473 | 731 |
| 12 | 14113 | St Paul | Ramsey | St Paul | Point Douglas Regional Trail Phase 1 Construction |  |  |  |  | \$5,040,930 | \$1,260,233 | \$6,301,163 | \$31,573,403 | 726 |
| 13 | 14092 | Ramsey Co | Ramsey | White Bear Lake, Vadnais Hts, White Bear Twp | Bruce Vento Regional Trail Extension |  |  |  |  | \$4,688,000 | \$1,172,000 | \$5,860,000 | \$36,261,403 | 725 |
| 14-T | 14097 | Burnsville | Dakota | Burnsville | Multiuse Trail Along Nicollet Avenue Between Trunk Highway 13 and CSAH 32 (Cliff Road) |  |  |  |  | \$760,000 | \$190,000 | \$950,000 | \$37,021,403 | 723 |
| 14-T | 14367 | Woodbury | Washington | Woodbury | Woodbury Gold Line Station Trail \& Pedestrian Connections |  |  |  |  | \$1,113,500 | \$278,375 | \$1,391,875 | \$38,134,903 | 723 |
| 16 | 14322 | Anoka (City) | Anoka | Anoka | City of Anoka T.H. 169/Ferry Street Underpass |  |  |  |  | \$1,440,000 | \$360,000 | \$1,800,000 | \$39,574,903 | 711 |
| 17 | 14341 | Inver Grove Hts | Dakota | Inver Grove Hts | Inver Grove Heights Babcock Trail |  |  |  |  | \$383,040 | \$95,760 | \$478,800 | \$39,957,943 | 710 |
| 18 | 14389 | Washington Co | Washington | Woodbury | Valley Creek Road Multiuse Trail Project |  |  |  |  | \$508,000 | \$127,000 | \$635,000 | \$40,465,943 | 701 |
| 19 | 13971 | Dakota Co | Dakota | Eagan | MN River Regional Greenway - Ft Snelling State Park UP Rail Overpass |  |  |  |  | \$3,777,940 | \$944,485 | \$4,722,425 | \$44,243,883 | 694 |
| 20 | 14057 | Fridley | Anoka | Fridley | 53rd Avenue Trail and Sidewalk |  |  |  |  | \$1,843,313 | \$460,829 | \$2,304,142 | \$46,087,196 | 684 |
| 21 | 14073 | Dakota Co | Dakota | Mendota Heights | TH 149 Trail and Underpass |  |  |  |  | \$2,104,100 | \$526,025 | \$2,630,125 | \$48,191,296 | 669 |
| 22 | 14175 | Anoka Co | Anoka | Fridley | MRRT Connection / 44th Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements |  |  |  |  | \$1,832,000 | \$458,000 | \$2,290,000 | \$50,023,296 | 666 |
| 23 | 14342 | Farmington | Dakota | Farmington | North Creek Greenway Regional Trail - Downtown Farmington to 195th Street |  |  |  |  | \$1,411,200 | \$352,800 | \$1,764,000 | \$51,434,496 | 664 |
| 24-T | 14034 | Bloomington | Hennepin | Bloomington | Normandale Boulevard Multiuse Trail |  |  |  |  | \$4,000,000 | \$1,476,128 | \$5,476,128 | \$55,434,496 | 661 |
| 24-T | 14290 | Arden Hills | Ramsey | Arden Hills | Mounds View High School Trail |  |  |  |  | \$974,936 | \$243,734 | \$1,218,670 | \$56,409,432 | 661 |
| 26 | 14072 | Dakota Co | Dakota | Rosemount | Rosemount CSAH 42 Multiuse Trail and Underpass |  |  |  |  | \$2,480,000 | \$620,000 | \$3,100,000 | \$58,889,432 | 651 |
| 27 | 14070 | Scott Co | Scott | Carver, Louisville Twp | Merriam Junction Trail |  |  |  |  | \$5,500,000 | \$4,900,000 | \$10,400,000 | \$64,389,432 | 626 |
| 28 | 14104 | Lino Lakes | Anoka | Lino Lakes | Main Street (CSAH 14)/Central Anoka County Regional Trail |  |  |  |  | \$976,000 | \$244,000 | \$1,220,000 | \$65,365,432 | 622 |
| 29 | 13972 | Shakopee | Scott | Shakopee | TH 169 Bridge/Quarry Lake Trail |  |  |  |  | \$3,139,200 | \$784,800 | \$3,924,000 | \$68,504,632 | 618 |
| 30 | 14404 | Washington Co | Washington | Forest Lake | CSAH 32 Multiuse Trail |  |  |  |  | \$928,000 | \$232,000 | \$1,160,000 | \$69,432,632 | 613 |
| 31 | 14063 | Carver Co | Carver, Hennepin | Chanhassen, Eden Prairie | MN River Bluffs Regional Trail |  |  |  |  | \$1,594,720 | \$398,680 | \$1,993,400 | \$71,027,352 | 608 |
| 32 | 14349 | Minneapolis | Hennepin | Minneapolis | 22nd Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridge Replacement |  |  |  |  | \$3,145,000 | \$786,250 | \$3,931,250 | \$74,172,352 | 607 |
| 33 | 14161 | Cottage Grove | Washington | Cottage Grove | 70th Street (CSAH 22) Pedestrian Underpass |  |  |  |  | \$1,389,690 | \$347,425 | \$1,737,115 | \$75,562,042 | 586 |
| 34 | 14085 | Apple Valley | Dakota | Apple Valley | Apple Valley CSAH 38 Trail |  |  |  |  | \$4,000,000 | \$1,000,000 | \$5,000,000 | \$79,562,042 | 580 |
| 35 | 14297 | Arden Hills | Ramsey | Arden Hills | Old Snelling Trail Extension |  |  |  |  | \$1,692,160 | \$423,040 | \$2,115,200 | \$81,254,202 | 566 |
| 36 | 14162 | Cottage Grove | Washington | Cottage Grove | Keats Avenue (CSAH 19) Underpass at Ravine Regional Park |  |  |  |  | \$1,793,936 | \$448,485 | \$2,242,421 | \$83,048,138 | 508 |
| 37 | 14336 | Rogers | Hennepin | Rogers | Rogers High School and Middle School Pedestrian Tunnel |  |  |  |  | \$1,083,331 | \$270,833 | \$1,354,164 | \$84,131,469 | 492 |


| Pedestrian Facilities |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Historical Process Funding Scenario 2. More Projects |  |  |  | Federal Requested | Local Match | Total Proj Cost | Federal Cumulative | Total Scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | ID | Applicant | County | City | Project Name | 1A: Each County Gets a Project | 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding | 1C: Additional Low Cost Projects | 2A: Continue Modal MidPoints |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 14095 | Minneapolis | Hennepin | Minneapolis | Phillips Neighborhood Pedestrian Safety Improvements |  |  |  |  | \$1,000,000 | \$608,000 | \$1,608,000 | \$1,000,000 | 947 |
| 2 | 13987 | Hennepin Co | Hennepin | Minneapolis | Accessibility improvements to complement BRT/LRT projects |  |  |  |  | \$1,000,000 | \$265,000 | \$1,265,000 | \$2,000,000 | 642 |
| 3 | 14355 | St. Paul | Ramsey | St. Paul | Burns Avenue Sidewalk Infill - White Bear Avenue to McKnight Road | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 |  | \$1,000,000 | \$250,000 | \$1,250,000 | \$3,000,000 | 603 |
| 4 | 14288 | Chaska | Carver | Chaska | Highway 41 Pedestrian Improvements in Historic Downtown Chaska | Overprogram | Overprogram | Overprogram |  | \$1,000,000 | \$754,000 | \$1,754,000 | \$4,000,000 | 587 |
| 5 | 14356 | Inver Grove Hts | Dakota | Inver Grove Hts | Inver Grove Heights ADA Ped Ramp Improvements |  | Overprogram | Overprogram |  | \$250,240 | \$62,560 | \$312,800 | \$4,250,240 | 557 |
| 6 | 14129 | Ramsey Co | Ramsey | St. Paul | Maple Street/l-94 Pedestrian Bridge Replacement |  | Overprogram | Overprogram |  | \$1,000,000 | \$3,858,000 | \$4,858,000 | \$5,250,240 | 512 |
| 7 | 14091 | Oakdale | Washington | Oakdale | Multiuse Sidewalk on the west side of Greenway Avenue North from Hudson Boulevard to 7th Street |  | Overprogram | Overprogram |  | \$400,000 | \$100,000 | \$500,000 | \$5,650,240 | 503 |
| 8 | 14363 | Washington Co | Washington | Grant Twp | CSAH 12 Pedestrian Facility |  | Overprogram |  | \$5,907,040 | \$256,800 | \$64,200 | \$321,000 | \$5,907,040 | 468 |

$\$ 5,907,040 \quad \$ 5,961,760 \quad \$ 11,868,800$

| Safe R | Routes to | to School |  |  |  | 1. Historical Process Funding Scenario 2. More Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | ID | Applicant | County | City | Project Name | 1A: Each County Gets a Project | 1B: Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding | 1C: Additional Low Cost Projects | 2A: Continue Modal MidPoints | Federal Requested | Local Match | Total Proj Cost | Federal Cumulative | Total Scores |
| 1 | 14393 | Columbia Heights | Anoka | Columbia Heights | 49th Avenue Area SRTS Improvements |  |  |  |  | \$484,400 | \$121,100 | \$605,500 | \$484,400 | 902 |
| 2 | 14133 | West St. Paul | Dakota | West St. Paul | Bidwell Street Sidewalk Improvements |  |  |  |  | \$640,000 | \$160,000 | \$800,000 | \$1,124,400 | 869 |
| 3 | 14362 | Chaska | Carver | Chaska | MN 41 Safe Routes to School Pedestrian Underpass Project |  |  |  |  | \$933,360 | \$233,340 | \$1,166,700 | \$2,057,760 | 757 |
| 4 | 14045 | Minneapolis | Hennepin | Minneapolis | Green Central Safe Routes to School Improvements | \$3,057,760 | \$3,057,760 | \$3,057,760 |  | \$1,000,000 | \$991,000 | \$1,991,000 | \$3,057,760 | 745 |
| 5 | 14358 | St. Paul | Ramsey | St. Paul | Crossroads Elementary SRTS |  | Overprogram | Overprogram |  | \$720,000 | \$180,000 | \$900,000 | \$3,777,760 | 657 |
| 6 | 13973 | Mahtomedi | Washington | Mahtomedi | Warner Road and 72nd Street North SRTS Improvements |  | Overprogram | Overprogram | \$4,113,343 | \$335,583 | \$83,896 | \$419,479 | \$4,113,343 | 656 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$30,225,533 | \$30,225,533 | \$30,225,533 | \$30,542,524 | \$4,113,343 | \$1,769,336 | \$5,882,679 |  |  |

$10 \%$ Overprogramming or $+\$ 3 \mathrm{M}$ for Bike/Pedestrian: Increases midpoint to $\$ 31 \mathrm{M} \$ 31,225,533 \quad \$ 36,552,856$ \$ $\mathbf{\$ 3 6 , 2 9 6 , 0 5 6 ~} \mathbf{\$ 3 2 , 9 4 2 , 5 2 4}$

Highlighted ID numbers = Equity Bonus Points

Figure 1. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects
Scenario 1A
Each County Gets a Project

Figure 2. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects
Scenario 1B
Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding

Figure 3. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects
Scenario 1C

## Additional Low Cost Projects

Figure 4. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects
Scenario 2A
Continue Modal Mid-Points

Figure 5. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects by Quadrant
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Figure 6. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects by Quadrant

Scenario 1B
Each County Gets a Project, Partial Funding
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Figure 7. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects by Quadrant

Scenario 1C Additional Low Cost Projects
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Figure 8. Locations of 2020 Regional Solicitation Projects by Quadrant

Scenario 2A
Continue Modal Mid-Points


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Population and jobs from Metropolitan Council Community Profiles, 2019

[^1]:    Highlighted ID numbers = Equity Bonus Points
    highest Spot Mobility and Safety project was also submitted as an exact duplicate project in the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) solicitation, but the project sponsor prefers the Regional Soliciation funding.

[^2]:    * Gold Line BRT projects are top scores in both transit categories. Gold Line project partners indicated preference for Transit Modernization project if only one can be funded.

