

## Transportation Committee

Meeting date: September 14, 2015

For the Metropolitan Council meeting of September 23, 2015

**Subject:** Rejection of all proposals for contract 15P014 MTS Electronic Equipment Maintenance  
**District(s), Member(s):**

**Policy/Legal Reference:** Council Policy 3.3 Expenditures

**Staff Prepared/Presented:** Arlene McCarthy, Director MTS (651) 602-1754

Micky Gutzmann, Director of Contracts and Procurement (651) 602-1741

Gerri Sutton, Asst. Director of Contracted Transit Services (651) 602-1672

John Harper, Manager of Contracted Transit Services (6551) 602-1744

**Division/Department:** Contracts and Procurement/Metropolitan Transportation Services (MTS)

### Proposed Action

That the Metropolitan Council authorizes the Regional Administrator to reject all proposals for contract 15P014 titled MTS Electronic Equipment Maintenance.

### Background

Metropolitan Transportation Services (MTS) operates more than 600 revenue vehicles equipped with technology systems including security cameras, Go-To card readers, vehicle routers, 800 Mhz radios and Mobile Data Computers. This technology is used in the delivery of regular-route, Metro Mobility and Transit Link service. MTS sought a vendor to provide ongoing maintenance, installation and removal of the equipment located at eleven private contractor garages throughout the Twin Cities area.

### Rationale

Procurement and MTS released a request for proposals (RFP) for electronic equipment maintenance for MTS buses. The five-year contract had an estimated value of \$1.7M. Two proposals were received on July 6, 2015 and were evaluated by a three member panel. In reviewing the proposals, the panel determined that there was insufficient detail in the RFP scope of work for proposers to adequately understand the proposed work and for the panel to adequately evaluate proposals.

The current contractor will continue to provide the service under a sole source agreement for up to one year to allow improvements to the RFP scope of work, reissuance of the RFP and selection of a vendor through a new competitive process.

### Funding

There is no funding or budgetary impact.

### Known Support / Opposition

There is no known opposition to this action.