# **Transportation Committee**

Meeting date: January 8, 2018

For the Metropolitan Council meeting of January 24, 2018

Subject: Review of Metropolitan Airports Commission 2018-2024 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

**District(s), Member(s):** All Districts and Members

Policy/Legal Reference: MN Statutes 473.145, 473.165, 473.181 and 473.621 Sd 6&7

Staff Prepared/Presented: Russell Owen (651) 602-1724, Senior Planner – MTS

Amy Vennewitz (651) 602-1058, Deputy Director - MTS

**Division/Department: Metropolitan Transportation Services** 

# **Proposed Action**

That the Metropolitan Council find:

- That the Metropolitan Airports Commission (MAC) had an adequate public participation process for the development and review of its 2018-2024 Capital Improvement Program (CIP), including preparation of an assessment of environmental effects (AOEE) for 2018 projects with potential environmental effects.
- 2) That there are no projects that need to be approved in the CIP since no projects meet both the funding level and "significant effects" criteria.
- 3) That the 2018 CIP projects are in conformance with the region's Aviation System Plan and consistent with Council policy.

#### **Background**

The MAC annually prepares a CIP for projects at MSP International Airport and their six General Aviation reliever airports. Under state statutes 473.181 and 473.621 the Council must:

- Determine adequacy of public participation in the CIP process,
- Approve CIP projects meeting certain dollar thresholds, \$5 Million at MSP and \$2 Million at all reliever airports and "significant effects" criteria (referenced in Table 4, A-H),
- Review and comment on all projects for consistency with the Transportation Policy Plan (TPP), including planning and environmental concerns.

Attachment 1 explains the CIP implementation schedule which outlines MAC and Metropolitan Council responsibilities and timelines. An Assessment of Environmental Effects (AOEE) has been prepared for 2018 projects with potential environmental effects, and MAC has in place an adequate public participation process for development and review of its AOEE and CIP. MAC held a public hearing on the AOEE on November 6, 2017.

Attachment 2 identifies all projects requiring an environmental review. All 2018 MSP projects were evaluated in the 2020 EA for MSP, which received a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) in March of 2013 from the Federal Aviation Administration.

Attachment 3 shows projects that are anticipated to meet the \$5M and \$2M thresholds in the 2018-2024 CIP. The following 2018 projects meet the dollar



threshold levels but do not meet the other "significant effects" criteria specified in state law to trigger project approval by Metropolitan Council:

- MSP Terminal 1, Mezzanine HVAC/AHU Replacements \$ 16.2M
- MSP Terminal 1, Taxiway S Reconstruction \$ 10.0M
- MSP Terminal 1, Baggage Handling System \$ 34.0M
- MSP Terminal 1, South Security Exit and Expansion \$41.3M
- MSP Terminal 1, Restroom Upgrade Program \$8.6M
- MSP Terminal 1, Concourse G Moving Walks \$ 5.0M
- MSP Terminal 1, Main Mall Food Court Expansion \$ 12.5M
- MSP Freight, DHL Building Remodel \$ 5.25M
- MSP Freight, Consolidated Loading Dock Facility \$ 10.0M
- MSP Noise Mitigation \$ 6.5M
- Flying Cloud, Runway 10L/28R Modifications \$2.0M

Initial analysis of the future years (2019-2024) of the CIP shows that some projects will meet the dollar threshold of review but do not appear to meet the significant effects criteria. These projects will be reevaluated on an annual basis.

All projects in the 2018 CIP appear consistent with the Transportation Policy Plan (TPP). Attachment 4 identifies no projects meeting statutory review criteria and requiring approval.

#### Rationale

Annual oversight review is needed to meet statutory requirements and to ensure that proposed project scopes and costs are responsive to system needs and conditions. Seven "significant effects" criteria (see criteria A-H in Attachment 4) determine projects that effect the orderly and economic development of the metropolitan area. There are no projects in 2018 that exceed the financial threshold and significant effects criteria that require Council approval.

## **Thrive Lens Analysis**

This analysis does not apply to the Council's action of reviewing the MAC CIP.

#### **Funding**

No funding implications for the Council. Federal, state and MAC funding has been identified by the MAC for most projects in the 2018 CIP.

#### **Known Support / Opposition**

On December 20, 2017 the TAB reviewed the analysis by Council staff and recommended it be forwarded to the Metropolitan Council for its consideration.

# ATTACHMENT 1) MAC PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS:

# MAC - 2018 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	RESPONSIBILITY	SCHEDULE
PROJECTS DEFINITION Initial CIP Discussions	MAC Airport Development MAC Departments MAC Dept's & Airport Dev. Airport Development	January 2018 January 1 <sup>st</sup> - June 1 <sup>st</sup> January 1 <sup>st</sup> - May 1 <sup>st</sup> Feb. 1 <sup>st</sup> - July 31 <sup>st</sup> Feb. 1 <sup>st</sup> - July 31 <sup>st</sup>
PROJECTS ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW Prepare AOEEs and EAWs as required Notice of September PD&E Meeting mailed to Affected Municipalities Recommendation by PD&E Committee to Commission of Preliminary CIP for Environmental	Environment Airport Development	July 31 – Oct. 7 <sup>th</sup> August 31 <sup>st</sup>
Review/Authorization to Hold Public Hearing on AOEEs and EAWs Minutes of September PD&E Committee Meeting and Notice of September Commission Meeting mailed to Affected Communities	Airport Development Airport Development	September 5 <sup>th</sup> September 26 <sup>th</sup>
Public Hearing on AOEEs and EAWs Preliminary CIP Mailed to Affected Communities AOEEs and EAWs to EQB	Airport Development Airport Development Environment	September 25 <sup>th</sup> September 26 <sup>th</sup> October 2 <sup>nd</sup>
Public Hearing Notice Published in EQB Monitor, starting the 30-Day Comment Period Minutes of September Commission Meeting mailed to Affected Communities Public Hearing on AOEEs and EAWs at November FD&E Committee Meeting	Environment Airport Development Environment	October 10 <sup>th</sup> November 2 <sup>nd</sup> November 6 <sup>th</sup>
Thirty-Day Comment Period on AOEEs and EAWs ends Final Date for Affected Municipalities Comments on Preliminary CIP to MAC Metro Council TAC Planning Review Metro Council – TAC	Environment Affected Communities TAC-Planning TAC	November 9 <sup>th</sup> November 8 <sup>th</sup> November 9 <sup>th</sup> December 6 <sup>th</sup>
Notice of December PD&E Committee Meeting mailed to Affected Communities	Airport Development Airport Development	November 30 <sup>th</sup> December 5 <sup>th</sup>
mailed to Affected Communities Metro Council – TAB Policy Committee & TAB	Airport Development TAB	December 14 <sup>th</sup> December 20 <sup>th</sup>

PROJECTS PLANNING and FINANCIAL REVIEW		
Approval of Final CIP by Commission	Airport Development	December 18 <sup>th</sup>
Notification of Commission action to EQB	Airport Development	December 21st
CIP Distributed to MAC Departments, Met Council, State Historical Society and Affected	·	
Municipalities	Airport Development	December 21st
Metro Council – Committee Action	Transportation Committee	January 8th
Metro Council – Council Action	Metro Council	January 24th
Minutes of December Commission Meeting mailed to Affected Communities	Airport Development	

Note: 1) All dates are tentative and subject to change. 2) Shaded items represent actions/dates which pertain to the Affected Communities as defined in Minnesota Statutes § 473.621, Subd. 6, as amended. 3) MAC = Metropolitan Airports Commission 4) PD&E = MAC Planning, Development and Environment Committee 5) AOEE = Assessment Of Environmental Effects 6) EAW = Environmental Assessment Work Sheet 7) EQB = [MN] Environmental Quality Board

# ATTACHMENT 2) PROJECTS REQUIRING AN ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS (AOEE's):

Are the Effects of		Environmental Categories Affected by the Project												
Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?	Air Quality	Compatible Land Use	Fish Wild- life and Plants	Flood- plains and Flood- ways	Hazardous Materials, Pollution Prevention and Solid Waste	Historical, Architectural, Archaeological and Cultural Resources	Light Emissions and Visual Effects	Parks & Rec. Areas and Trails	Noise	Water Quality (Storm, Waste and Ground Water)	Wet lands	Infra- structure and Public Services	Farm land	Erosion and Sedimentation
T PROJECTS														
MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.		No Effects												
ROJECTS														
N/A	N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A												
	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  T PROJECTS  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  T PROJECTS  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  T PROJECTS  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  ROJECTS	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  ROJECTS  Air Quality  Air Quality  Compatible Land Use  Wild-life and Plants  Fish Wild-life and Plants	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  T PROJECTS  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  ROJECTS	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  ROJECTS  EITVIOIMMENTAL Category  EITVIOIMMENTAL Category  EITVIOIMMENTAL Category  Historical, Architectural, Archaeological and Plants ways and Solid Waste Resources  Provention and Cultural Resources  EITVIOIMMENTAL Category  Air Quality Solid Use Sign of Solid Waste Solid Wa	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  EITVITOIIMENTAL Categories Affective and the project Wild-life and Plants Pollution Prevention and Solid Waste Plants Pollution Prevention and Solid Waste Plants Prevention and Solid Waste Prevention Prevention and Solid Waste Plants P	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  Einvironmental Categories Affected by Materials, Architectural, Archaeological and Cultural Resources Effects  No Effects  No Effects  ROJECTS	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  EIVITORIMENTAL Categories Affected by the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  EIVITORIMENTAL Categories Affected by the project Addressed in an Approved Eam (Plants and Plants)  Fish Wild-plains Architectural, Archaeological and Prevention and Cultural Resources  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  ROJECTS	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  MSP 2020 Environment al Air Assessment findings.  EINTOIMIEITAL Categories Affected by the Froject Addressed in Air Quality	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  The PROJECTS  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  EINTROMMENTAL Categories Affected by the Froject Addressed ways and the project Wild-plains and plains and Solid Waste and Solid Waste and Solid Waste and Solid Waste and Assessment findings.  EINTROMMENTAL Categories Affected by the Froject Water Addressed ways and Solid Waste and Solid	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  EINTOINMENTAL Categories Affected by the Froject  Addressed the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  EINTOINMENTAL Categories Affected by the Froject  Hazardous Materials, Architectural, Archaeological and Cultural Resources  Historical, Architectural, Archaeological and Cultural Resources  Historical, Architectural, Archaeological and Cultural Resources  Historical, Architectural, Archaeological and Cultural Resources  No Effects  Noise Quality (Storm, Waste and and Trails)  Noise Materials, Architectural, Archaeological and and Trails  Noise Materials, Architectural, Archaeological and Trails  Noise Materials, Architectural, Archaeological and Trails  Noise Materials, Architectural, Architectural, Architectural, Architectural, Architectural, Architectural, Architectural, Archaeological and Trails  Noise Materials, Architectural, Architectural	Effects of the project Addressed in an Approved EAW, EA or EIS?  MSP 2020 Environment al Assessment findings.  EINTOINMENTAL Categories Affected by the Project  Air Quality   Compatible Quality   Categories Affected by the Project  Air Quality   Compatible Quality   Categories Affected by the Project  Air Quality   Compatible Quality   Categories Affected by the Project  Air Quality   Compatible Quality   Categories Affected by the Project  Air Quality   Compatible Quality   Cistom, Architectural, Architectural, Architectural, Architectural, Archaeological and Cultural Resources   Categories Affected by the Project  Air Quality   Cistom, Waste and Cultural Resources   Categories Affected by the Project  Air Quality   Compatible Quality   Cistom, Waste and Cultural Resources   Categories Affected by the Project  Air Quality   Compatible Quality   Cistom, Waste and Cultural Resources   Categories Affected by the Project  Architectural, Arc

### ATTACHMENT 3) MAC PROJECTS ANTICIPATED TO MEET THE \$5M AND \$2M THRESHOLDS FROM 2018 – 2024:

AITACHMENT	2018	2019	2020	W AND \$ZW THRESHC	2022	2023	2024
MSP Environmental	Noise Mitigation	Noise Mitigation	Noise Mitigation				
MSP Terminal 1 Lindbergh	-Mezzanine HVAC/AHU Replacements - \$16.2 M  -Baggage Handling System - \$ 34 M  -South Security Exit and Façade Expansion - \$41.3 M  -Concourse G Moving Walks -\$5 M  -Restroom Upgrade Program - \$8.6 M  -Terminal 1, Parking Main Mall Food Court Expansion - \$12.5 M  -Consolidated Loading Dock Facility - \$ 10 M  -Freight Building Remodel for DHL -\$5 .25M	-IT Modifications - \$8.4 M  -Baggage Claim/Ticket Lobby Operational Improvements - \$74.4 M  -Taxiway C1 Construction - \$6.0 M  -Terminal 1, Parking Ramp, Modifications - \$5 M  -Taxiway B/Q Centerline lights - \$6.4 M  -MAC Storage Facility \$9.0 M	-ARFF #2 Facility - \$10.5 M -IT Modifications - \$5.5 M -FIS Operational Improvements - \$8.4 M -Baggage Handling System - \$ 20.6 M -Baggage Claim/Ticket Lobby Operational Improvements - \$48.6 M -Folded Plate Repairs -\$8.9 M -Police, Safety and Ops Center -\$35 M	-Passenger Boarding Bridge Replacements - \$ 5.0 M -IT Modifications - \$9 M -Baggage Claim/Ticket Lobby Operational Improvements - \$28 M -Lower Level Curbside Check-In expansion- \$12.0 M -Folded Plate Repairs - \$8.9 M	-IT Modifications - \$10.5 M -D Pod Outbound Baggage System - \$ 5.0 M Folded Plate Repairs -\$8.9 M -Perimeter Gate Security Improvements - \$5.5 M -Concourse G Rehabilitation \$5 M	-Recarpeting Program -\$7.0 M -IT Modifications - \$10 M -Folded Plate Repairs -\$8.9 M -Concourse G Rehabilitation \$5 M	-Recarpeting Program - \$7.0 M -IT Modifications - \$10 M -Checkpoint Expansion - \$11 M -Concourse G Rehabilitation \$5 M
MSP Airfield	-Taxiway S Reconstruction - \$10 M		-Taxiway D Reconstruction - \$10 M				

MSP Terminal 2 Humphrey						-T2 North Gate Expansion Design Fees-\$5 M	
Lake Elmo Airport		Runway 14/32 Replacement- \$3.0 M	Runway 14/32 Replacement- \$2.0 M Airfield Modifications - \$3.0 M	Runway 14/32 Replacement- \$2.0 M			
Airlake Airport					Runway 12/30 Improvements \$ 3.5 M		
Flying Cloud Airport	Runway 10L/28R Modifications - \$2 M						
Anoka County-Blaine Airport							
St. Paul Downtown Airport		MAC Building Improvements - \$2.3 M			Runway 13/31 Pavement Reconstruction - \$5 M		Runway 14/32 Reconstruction - \$5 M
Crystal Airport			Runway 14R/32L & Taxiway "E" Mods - \$ 3.8 M				

# ATTACHMENT 4) 2018 PROJECTS MEETING STATUTORY REVIEW CRITERIA AND REQUIRING APPROVAL:

	Prior Review			Capit			Review		Criteria *	
2018 CIP PROJECTS	LTCP	AOEE***	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)**
AIRPORT / PROJECT	Review Action	<ul> <li>EA-EAW Prepared</li> <li>EIS Reviewed</li> <li>NPDES Approved</li> <li>Legislative Requirement</li> <li>Regulatory Requirement</li> <li>Legal Requirement</li> </ul>	Project meets Dollar threshold at:  MSP = \$5M Relievers = \$2M	Loc. of a New Airp ort	New Runw ay at an Existin g Airport	A Runway Extension at an Existing Airport	Runway Strengthening other than routine Maintenance.	New or Expanded Passenger Handling or Parking Facilities for 25% or more capacity Increase.	Land Acquisition associated with the other criteria, or that would cause relocation of residential or business Activities.	Project information made available by the MAC to affected cities for review.
MSP INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT 2018 PROGRAM	2030 LTCP     Update Approved     in 2010		<ul> <li>Passenger         Boarding         Bridge         Replacements</li> <li>Baggage         Handling         System</li> <li>Vertical         Circulation         Improvements</li> <li>East Curbside         Check-In</li> <li>Concourse G         Rehabilitation</li> <li>Parking Ramp-         Structure RAC         Facility</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

ST. PAUL DOWNTOWN	2025 LTCP Approved in 2010		None							
FLYING CLOUD	2025 LTCP Approved in 2010	MAC-City Agreement concluded; FAA review of Agreement & R.O.D. on FEIS completed as part of MAC/Airline Agreement. 2010 Plan being implemented.	Equipment Storage Building	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
CRYSTAL	2035 LTCP     Approval     expected in 2017	(EA will begin in 2018).	None							
ANOKA COBLAINE	2025 LTCP Approved in 2010		None							
LAKE ELMO	• 2035 LTCP Approved 2016	(EA will begin in 2017).	None							Y
AIRLAKE	2035 LTCP     Approval     expected in 2018	(negotiations on sewer & water service).	None				Environmental Acc			Υ

<sup>\*</sup> Criteria as defined under MS 473.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Requirements defined under MS 473.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Per AOEE 2018-2024 Summary Environmental Assessment