Transportation Committee

Meeting date: June 25, 2018

For the Metropolitan Council meeting of July 11, 2018

Subject: 2019-2022 TIP Amendment: Anoka County Hanson Boulevard Expansion

District(s), Member(s): 9 – Reynoso **Policy/Legal Reference:** TAB Action

Staff Prepared/Presented: Amy Vennewitz, Deputy Director, Finance & Planning (651-602-1508)

Steve Peterson, Manager of Highway Planning and TAB/TAC Process (651-602-1819)

Joe Barbeau, Senior Planner (651-602-1705)

Division/Department: Transportation / Metropolitan Transportation Services (MTS)

Proposed Action

That the Metropolitan Council concur with the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) action to amend the 2019-2021 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to eliminate the widening of a bridge and change the cost of Anoka County's Hanson Boulevard Expansion.

Background

Anoka County was awarded \$7,560,000 in the 2014 Regional Solicitation. This TIP amendment is needed to amend the project description to eliminate reference to widening of a bridge, as the bridge will no longer be widened. A cost reduction, which includes \$376,000 of TAB-programmed federal funding, is also cited. This action item is related to a scope change request, approved by TAB on June 20, 2018.

This amendment is requested to be approved pending approval of the 2019-2022 TIP by the USDOT. The 2019-2022 TIP is schedule for approval by the Metropolitan Council on September 26, 2018, after which time it will be provided to MnDOT and then subject to federal review. This amendment will not be official until after that approval is granted.

Rationale

The Transportation Advisory Board approves formal amendments to the TIP and the Metropolitan Council concurs with the action of the TAB. The project is consistent with the Transportation Policy Plan (TPP) and meets fiscal constraint because the federal and local funds are sufficient to fully fund the project. The Minnesota Interagency Air Quality and Transportation Planning Committee determined that the project is exempt from air quality conformity analysis.

Thrive Lens Analysis

This action promotes *stewardship* by reducing cost while maintaining the purpose of the underlying project.

Funding

The project is fully funded with federal and local funds.

Known Support / Opposition

No known opposition.



Please amend the 2019-2022 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to amend the following project in program year 2019.

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION:

Seq#	State Fiscal Year	A T P	Dist	Route System	Project Number (S.P. #) (Fed # if available)	Agency	Description include location, description of all work, & city (if applicable)	Miles
-	2019	М	М	CSAH 78	002-678-	Anoka	**MN159**CSAH 78, from 139th Ln NW	1.52
					022	County	TO 0.1 mile N of CSAH 18 in Andover–	
							Expand from 2 to 4 lanes , widen one	
							bridge (repurposing)	

Prog	Type of work	Prop Funds	Total \$	FHWA \$	AC \$	Demo	TH\$	Bond	Other \$
MC	Grade and Surface	STPGB	12,532,320	7,560,000	-	42,951	-	-	4,929,369
			12,060,320	7,184,000					4,833,369

PROJECT BACKGROUND:

1. Briefly describe why amendment is needed (e.g., project in previous TIP but not completed; illustrative project and funds now available; discretionary funds received; inadvertently not included in TIP).

This request is to amend the project description to eliminate reference to widening of a bridge, as the bridge will no longer be widened. A cost reduction is also cited.

- 2. How is Fiscal Constraint Maintained as required by 23 CFR 450.216 (check all that apply)?
 - New Money
 - Anticipated Advance Construction
 - ATP or MPO or MnDOT Adjustment by deferral of other projects ✓
 - Earmark or HPP not affecting fiscal constraint
 - Other

Total project cost is being reduced. Therefore, fiscal constraint is maintained.

CONSISTENCY WITH MPO LONG RANGE PLAN:

This amendment is consistent with the Metropolitan Council Transportation Policy Plan, adopted by the Metropolitan Council on January 14, 2015, with FHWA/FTA conformity determination established on March 13, 2015.

AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY:

- Subject to conformity determination
- Exempt from regional level analysis ✓
- N/A (not in a nonattainment or maintenance area

Exempt due to a lack of impact on the amount or length of capacity added.