

3 plans coordination: Possible changes based on engagement so far

These are suggestions for possible changes based on input received from local stakeholders so far. We acknowledge that while some of these are small changes, others would require significant investment to further explore or implement, and not everything that does move forward would happen simultaneously. Furthermore, for things that do move forward for exploration, not everything will then lead to a change, or a change in time for the next planning cycle.

Strongly disagree

Move to electronic submissions when able, with any data already reported to a state agency or Met Council already pre-populated in the plans

4.4

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Provide better explanation about the rationale and purpose of different plan elements

3.9

Strongly agree



Strongly disagree

Consider pros and cons of changing the due dates for local water supply plans versus due date of local comp plans, including impacts to agency review

3.3



Strongly disagree

DNR, MDH, and MC update and develop cooperative definitions and standard operating procedures for reviews and approvals

Strongly agree

4.5




Strongly disagree


Improve the info and technical assistance to water suppliers, to understand how surface waters may be impacted by pumping

3.8


alligencia.UrlRewriter.Rewn
























Ranking





Nothing

No. Great workshop

Nothing to add.

Thank you MetC

Stronger partnership with MnDNR. Need this agency to be more accountable

Not at this time

How about a data system to manage all the data the 3 plans require

Private well users drink groundwater, any resources to help them or explain contamination or quantity and how aquifers work would be helpful





Great process since first meeting. Amongst the most worthwhile meeting I go to.

2

Nothing

Not at this time

Climate change should be not just tracked but try to enforce changes before problems affect the communities Wellhead protection plans on ground watershed scale vs political boundary

We do a great job of collaborating on local education but sure could be more efficient and widespread if done by state and/or met council

Thank you for being the regional facilitator...

This has been an enlightening day. Please continue these efforts.

If you're not at the table, you're probably on the menu. Thanks for the invite to the former.

Good job!

Put in clear writing: No MC plan or intent to take over local water supply

Don't screw this up. (26), kidding. Great collaborative tone.

Nothing to add

Thank you

Regional approach and policy regarding private well permitting





Thank you for the informative evevt. Well done. Appreciate the good food too - snacks are important!

Satisfied

Nothing

Retirement

Funny



