



Webinar Series for Comprehensive Plan Updates

Transit Planning Basics, Market Areas, and Comprehensive Planning

Presented by Mike Larson and Michael Mechtenberg August 18, 2016



### **Webinar Overview**

- Transit Planning Basics
- Transit Market Areas
- Regional Planning for Transit Improvements
- Comprehensive Planning for Transit







# Fundamentals of Service Planning

• Trade-off in allocating limited resources







## Fundamentals of Service Planning

- Trade-off in allocating limited resources
- Maximize ridership









# Fundamentals of Service Planning

- Trade-off in allocating limited resources
- Maximize ridership
- Maintaining coverage









#### Encourage population and activity density

Density supports transit because there are more people and activities within walking distance of nodes. Additionally, people living in dense areas are more likely to use transit because better transit options can be provided in order to be more competitive with driving.



More Transit Supportive

Less Transit Supportive







#### Encourage population and activity density



More transit supportive

Less transit supportive







#### Design for a pedestrian-friendly environment

All transit users are pedestrians for at least some portion of the beginning and end of their trip. A pedestrian-friendly environment encourages transit use by providing a comfortable walking environment and minimizing the walking distance from the transit stop to front doors.



More Transit Supportive



Less Transit Supportive







Design for a pedestrian-friendly environment



More transit supportive

Less transit supportive







#### Encourage a mixed-use land use pattern

Transit is most effective when it serves a variety of trip purposes and destinations. Mixeduse development patterns encourage travel patterns with many origins and destinations throughout the day, making transit more effective and easy to provide for a variety of purposes.



#### More Transit Supportive

Less Transit Supportive







#### Encourage a mixed-use land use pattern



More transit supportive

Less transit supportive







Develop an interconnected street network that maximizes pedestrian and bicycle access and allows for simple route design

An interconnected street network minimizes barriers and maximizes the area that is accessible within a short walk or bike to a transit stop, allowing each stop to serve more people. In addition, it supports the design of simple, direct routes that are efficient and easy to understand..





More Transit Supportive







#### Develop an interconnected street network





More transit supportive

Less transit supportive







#### Support travel options that encourage or complement using transit

Transit is more effective in areas where the cost of driving and parking are comparable to the cost of using transit, and alternatives like car-sharing, bicycling, and walking are available and convenient.







Less Transit Supportive







Support travel options that encourage or complement using transit



More transit supportive

Less transit supportive







#### Plan for linear growth in nodes along corridors

A linear pattern of development along corridors is easier to serve with transit. Transit routes that are linear and consistent are most effective to provide and easier for customers to understand. This also requires coordination across community boundaries.





More Transit Supportive

Less Transit Supportive







Plan for linear growth in nodes along corridor



More transit supportive

Less transit supportive







# **Other Design Factors**

- Park and rides create artificial density
- Reduce variables for better service (traffic, stops, signals, etc.)
- Frequency matters!
  - Reduces waiting
  - Facilitates connections
  - Backstop for problems of reliability
- Network effect





#### $\bigcirc$

# Summary

### • Maximize public investment

- Efficient, productive routes serve the most riders
- Always looking for refinements
- Seek new funding to improve service
- Flexible approach to service planning
  - Know market, goals
  - Transit is a public service, not a business











- Population density
- Employment density





- Population density
- Employment density
- Intersection density
- Automobile availability







| Market Area | Typical Transit Service   | <b>Community Designations</b>     |
|-------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| I           | Dense network of local<br>routes with highest levels of<br>service accommodating a<br>wide variety of trip<br>purposes. | Core of<br>Minneapolis / St. Paul |













| Market Area | Typical Transit Service   | <b>Community Designations</b> |
|-------------|---|-------------------------------|
| II          | Similar network structure to<br>Market Area I with reduced<br>level of service as demand<br>warrants. | Urban Center<br>Urban         |















| Market Area | Typical Transit Service  | <b>Community Designations</b>      |
|-------------|--|------------------------------------|
| III         | Primary emphasis is on<br>commuter express bus<br>service. Suburban local<br>routes providing basic<br>coverage. | Urban<br>Suburban<br>Suburban Edge |















| Market Area | Typical Transit Service  | <b>Community Designations</b>           |
|-------------|--|---|
| IV          | Peak period express service<br>is appropriate as local<br>demand warrants. | Suburban Edge<br>Emerging Suburban Edge |















 $\bigcirc$ 

| Market Area                 | Typical Transit Service                           | Community Designations/<br>Communities                                 |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| V                           | Dial-a-Ride only                                  | Diversified Rural<br>Rural Residential<br>Rural Center<br>Agricultural |
| Freestanding<br>Town Center | Dial-a-Ride / limited potential for other service | Forest Lake<br>Hastings<br>Scandia<br>Stillwater                       |











 $\bigcirc$ 



# **Emerging Market Areas**

- Stronger for transit than surrounding area
  - Historic development patterns
  - Recent intensification
- Focus on further development and connections









# **Emerging Market Areas**

- Stronger for transit than surrounding area
  - Historic development
    patterns
  - Recent intensification
- Focus on further development and connections









### What is Metro Transit's Service Improvement Plan (SIP)?

- Bus service expansion plan
  - Builds on existing network
  - Identifies potential new routes, frequency and span improvements on existing routes
- Prioritized, specific list of how to grow and improve local and express bus service in both near and long-term
- Establish a framework of when and how to expand the bus network
- Implementation requires additional operating funds
- Informs the legislative program, regional SIP, and other transit funding opportunities







# **Regional Transit Providers**




### Metro Transit SIP Evaluation Criteria

- **Productivity** criteria demonstrate the ridership potential of service improvements using land use and density factors (50% weight)
- **Social equity** criteria evaluate how well improvements serve people most reliant on transit (25% weight)
- **System connectivity** criteria establish how well projects improve connections and service throughout the Metro Transit service area (25% weight)







# Metro Transit SIP Scoring

- Three project priority categories
  - High 75 percent of possible points or greater
  - Medium 55 percent of possible points or greater
  - Low less than 55 percent of possible points
- High and Medium projects prioritized for implementation
  - Represent resource requirements and ridership growth in SIP
  - Arterial BRT included in resources but not evaluated under SIP
- Implementation Phases
  - 2015-2017; 2018-2020; 2021-2030





### **Evaluation Results**

48 Low projects 50 High projects 9.7 Million new rides • 2.7 Million new rides 87 Medium projects 8.8 Million new rides **11** Arterial BRT projects 10.2 Million new rides 148 projects on 94 routes **58** expand coverage/ improve connectivity 71 improve frequency on existing service **Recommended for** Implementation 52 expand span of service 7 add reverse commute service Plant

### Metro Transit SIP Project by Score



### Metro Transit SIP Project by Score



### **Sample Service Improvement**



### Route 721

- Increase weekend frequency to every 30 minutes
- Project scored well in subsidy, productivity, access to low wage jobs, access for people of color, and connections to key destinations and educational institutions
- Implemented December 2015







### Service Expansion Considerations

Ensure existing service works

- Correct running time, trip times, bus type
- Maintain connections
- Long-term detours, routing changes
- Incremental expansion of service
  - Add service where it's warranted
  - Careful consideration for entirely new service







#### $\bigcirc$

### Current Revenue Scenario









#### $\mathcal{O}$

### Increased Revenue Scenario







# **Comprehensive Planning**

- General requirements for transit
- How to get more out of your plan
- Requirements for transitways and high frequency routes
- New policy requirements and timing







#### **LOCAL PLANNING** H A N D B O O K

PLANNING

OVERVIEW

Land Use

Transportation

Water Resources

Parks & Trails

Housing

Resilience

Implementation COMMUNITY PAGES

**REVIEW PROCESS** 

LOCAL PLANNING

HIGHLIGHTS

RESOURCES

CONTACT US

Economic Competitiveness

PLAN ELEMENTS

#### TRANSPORTATION

The 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2040 TPP) outlines the plans for regional facilities including principal arterial highways, metropolitan transit services and facilities, and the region's aviation facilities that communities should reflect in updating your local comprehensive plan. It includes chapters on the characteristics of the existing transportation system; goals, objectives and strategies; transportation finance and the plans and policy direction for each mode. The appendices also provide important resources.

The 2040 Transportation Policy Plan describes two funding scenarios for the highway and transit improvements to the metropolitan transportation system.



- Current Revenue Scenario: This is the adopted metropolitan transportation system plan which includes affordable improvements. Local comprehensive plans will be reviewed for conformance with this "fiscally constrained" plan.
- Increased Revenue Scenario: This scenario includes regional projects that could be implemented if additional
  revenues are made available for transportation. While the local comprehensive plans can include these
  improvements, they must be described as unfunded and the uncertainty of their implementation clearly
  distinguished from the rest of the plan.

#### Transportation Plan

| >   | TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS ZONES |
|-----|-------------------------------|
| ->- | ROADWAYS                      |

#### \* TRANSIT

A strong system of public transit is an essential part of a prosperous, livable, sustainable, and equitable region. Transitway investments are being made in corridors where there is likely to be significant ridership, as well as the potential for future concentrations of growth. Other types of transit services complement that investment, including local bus service. To ensure a cost-effective regional transit system, it is a policy of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2040 TPP) that communities guide growth at higher densities near stations for light rail, bus rapid transit, commuter rail, and high-frequency bus corridors.

#### Minimum Requirements:





# LOCAL PLANNING PLANNING OVERVIEW PLAN ELEMENTS Land Use Transportation

Water Resources

Parks & Trails Housing

#### Resilience

Economic Competitiveness

Implementation

#### COMMUNITY PAGES REVIEW PROCESS LOCAL PLANNING HIGHLIGHTS

RESOURCES

CONTACT US

.

#### TRANSPORTATION

The 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2040 TPP) outlines the plans for regional facilities including principal arterial highways, metropolitan transit services and facilities, and the region's aviation facilities that communities should reflect in updating your local comprehensive plan. It includes chapters on the characteristics of the existing transportation system; goals, objectives and strategies; transportation finance and the plans and policy direction for each mode. The appendices also provide important resources.

The 2040 Transportation Policy Plan describes two funding scenarios for the highway and transit improvements to the metropolitan transportation system.



- Current Revenue Scenario: This is the adopted metropolitan transportation system plan which includes affordable improvements. Local comprehensive plans will be reviewed for conformance with this "fiscally constrained" plan.
- Increased Revenue Scenario: This scenario includes regional projects that could be implemented if additional
  revenues are made available for transportation. While the local comprehensive plans can include these
  improvements, they must be described as unfunded and the uncertainty of their implementation clearly
  distinguished from the rest of the plan.

#### Transportation Plan

| > ROADWAYS   |  |
|--|--|
| N DATA MARKAN DE CONTRACTOR DE C |  |
| ₩ TRANSIT  |  |

A strong system of public transit is an essential part of a prosperous, livable, sustainable, and equitable region. Transitway investments are being made in corridors where there is likely to be significant ridership, as well as the potential for future concentrations of growth. Other types of transit services complement that investment, including local bus service. To ensure a cost-effective regional transit system, it is a policy of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2040 TPP) that communities guide growth at higher densities near stations for light rail, bus rapid transit, commuter rail, and high-frequency bus corridors.

#### Minimum Requirements:







Map and describe transit services







Map and describe transit services

**Discussion of Transit Market Area** 







Map and describe transit services

**Discussion of Transit Market Area** 

Corridor and station area planning







Map and describe transit services

**Discussion of Transit Market Area** 

Corridor and station area planning

Transit station area density and activity level policies







Map and describe transit services

**Discussion of Transit Market Area** 

Corridor and station area planning

Transit station area density and activity level policies

Access by bicycling and walking















 $\mathcal{O}$ 

### **Transit System Mapping**









 $\bigcirc$ 

# **Transit System Mapping**









 $\bigcirc$ 





 $\mathcal{O}$ 











 $\bigcirc$ 

















 $\bigcirc$ 









 Improve conditions related to Transit Market Area









- Improve conditions related to Transit Market Area
- Creating a more walkingand bicycling-friendly community









- Improve conditions related to Transit Market Area
- Creating a more walkingand bicycling-friendly community
- Partnering with other communities









 Improving mobility and reducing cost of living low- and moderate-income households











- Improving mobility and reducing cost of living low- and moderate-income households
- Economic competitiveness











- Improving mobility and reducing cost of living low- and moderate-income households
- Economic competitiveness
- Resilience and sustainability













#### TRANSITWAY STATION STATUS: DEFINITIONS AND EXPECTATIONS GUIDANCE FOR LOCAL COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING

The purpose of this matrix is to outline expectations for communities around station-area planning during different phases of developing a regional transitway. Because the process often takes many years, the nature of local planning and support moves from general planning to specific implementation efforts, and the expectations of the Council and the Federal Transit Administration follow a similar path. Corridor planning and local land use planning provide guidance for early design and engineering. Over time, aspects of the transitway become more certain, including the alignment, the number and location of stations, the certainty of funding commitments, the scheduling of construction, and the opening of transitway service. As the transitway and its station locations become more certain, communities must adopt local plans, policies, and programs that support these important regional investments. The 2040 Transportation Policy Plan identifies requirements and guidance on station-area planning and policies. This matrix attempts to clarify the timing of those expectations for local governments. The Metropolitan Council is also developing resources, such as the Transit-oriented Development Guide, to provide more-specific guidance to local government about how to best plan for and implement land use that supports transitway investments.

|  |  | Milestone S  | tatuses   |   |
|--|--|--|---|---|
|  | Corridor Alternatives<br>and Initial Planning<br>Planning, analysis of<br>modes and alignments,<br>before or including early<br>environmental work   | Project Development<br>Early design,<br>environmental work in<br>progress or complete  | Engineering<br>Final design,<br>construction bid<br>packages  | Construction and<br>Operation   |
| Milestone<br>Status for<br>the Corridor                    | <ul> <li>Recommendation<br/>of locally preferred<br/>mode and<br/>alignment, including<br/>preliminary station<br/>locations</li> <li>Adoption of locally<br/>preferred alternative<br/>in Transportation<br/>Policy Plan</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Completion of<br/>environmental review<br/>and progression<br/>of design and<br/>engineering from 1%<br/>to 30% completion</li> <li>Submit first New<br/>Starts application for<br/>entry into engineering<br/>(if applicable)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Completion of<br/>final design</li> <li>Submit final New<br/>Starts or Small<br/>Starts application<br/>for funding<br/>(if applicable)</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Completion of<br/>construction and<br/>begin operation of<br/>transitway service</li> </ul>  |
| Milestone<br>Status for the<br>Stations on<br>the Corridor | <ul> <li>Corridor mode and<br/>alignment (LPA)<br/>recommendation<br/>included in<br/>Transportation<br/>Policy Plan</li> <li>General station<br/>locations proposed<br/>through LPA<br/>adoption</li> </ul>                         | <ul> <li>Preliminary designs<br/>of station locations<br/>by lead agency<br/>working with<br/>communities</li> <li>Communities<br/>asked for municipal<br/>approval for station<br/>locations to advance<br/>into final design</li> </ul>          | <ul> <li>Station locations<br/>and designs<br/>finalized for<br/>construction</li> <li>Agreements for<br/>local financial<br/>participation<br/>in transitway<br/>finalized (e.g. local<br/>enrichments)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Stations<br/>constructed and<br/>transitway service<br/>operational</li> <li>Future infill<br/>stations,<br/>extensions, or<br/>reconfiguration<br/>of stations<br/>would need to<br/>be considered<br/>through separate<br/>projects</li> </ul> |





#### TRANSITWAY STATION GUIDANCE LOCAL PLANNING ΗΑΝΟΒΟΟΙ **Milestone Statuses Corridor Alternatives** Construction and **Project Development** Engineering and Initial Planning Early design, Final design, Operation environmental work in construction bid Planning, analysis of modes and alignments, progress or complete packages before or including early environmental work **Recommendation** . Completion of 0 Completion of Completion of . . of locally preferred environmental review final design construction and mode and and progression Submit final New begin operation of transitway service alignment, including of design and Starts or Small Milestone preliminary station engineering from 1% Starts application Status for locations to 30% completion for funding the Corridor Adoption of locally Submit first New . . (if applicable) preferred alternative Starts application for in Transportation entry into engineering Policy Plan (if applicable) working with Future infill Transportation communities Agreements for Milestone Policy Plan Communities local financial stations. Status for the General station asked for municipal participation extensions, or Stations on in transitway reconfiguration locations proposed approval for station the Corridor through LPA of stations locations to advance finalized (e.g. local adoption into final design enrichments) would need to be considered through separate projects Planlt **METROPOLITAN**

2.000

| <ul> <li>Undertake station-<br/>area plan with<br/>policies supportive of<br/>transit that address<br/>requirements in<br/>the TPP and other<br/>funding criteria (e.g.<br/>New Starts)</li> <li>Incorporate small<br/>area plan into<br/>comprehensive<br/>plan and submit<br/>amendment to<br/>Council for review</li> <li>Request forecast<br/>changes and adjust<br/>forecast allocations<br/>in conjunction<br/>with comp plan<br/>amendment</li> <li>Begin implementing<br/>elements of station-<br/>area plan, such as<br/>updating zoning<br/>ordinances, adopting<br/>overlay districts, and<br/>updating Capital<br/>Improvement Plans</li> </ul> | • | Complete zoning<br>studies and<br>adopt zoning and<br>other regulatory<br>changes<br>supportive of<br>station-area plan<br>implementation<br>Schedule<br>improvements<br>in local Capital<br>Improvement<br>Plan; coordinate<br>opening-<br>day, station-<br>supportive capital<br>improvements<br>with transitway<br>construction,<br>including Locally<br>Requested Capital<br>Investments<br>(LRCIs)<br>If undertaking<br>FTA Joint<br>Development<br>project, finalize<br>agreement<br>with pather | • | Local opening-<br>day, station-<br>supportive<br>improvements<br>completed,<br>including LRCIs<br>Ongoing<br>implementation<br>of the adopted<br>zoning and<br>regulatory<br>changes<br>Completion<br>of Joint<br>Development<br>projects |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| <ul> <li>Identify potential FTA<br/>Joint Development<br/>opportunities</li> </ul>  |   | with partner<br>jurisdictions and<br>developers on<br>participation  |   |   |

Council Expectations for Communities at Milestone – Station-Area Planning

Garder





| <ul> <li>Undertake station-<br/>area plan with<br/>policies supportive of<br/>transit that address<br/>requirements in<br/>the TPP and other<br/>funding criteria (e.g.<br/>New Starts)</li> <li>Incorporate small<br/>area plan into<br/>comprehensive<br/>plan and submit<br/>amendment to<br/>Council for review</li> <li>Request forecast<br/>changes and adjust<br/>forecast allocations<br/>in conjunction<br/>with comp plan<br/>amendment</li> <li>Begin implementing<br/>elements of station-<br/>area plan, such as<br/>updating zoning<br/>ordinances, adopting<br/>overlay districts, and<br/>updating Capital<br/>Improvement Plans</li> <li>Identify potential FTA<br/>Joint Development<br/>opportunities</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Complete zoning<br/>studies and<br/>adopt zoning and<br/>other regulatory<br/>changes<br/>supportive of<br/>station-area plan<br/>implementation</li> <li>Schedule<br/>improvements<br/>in local Capital<br/>Improvement<br/>Plan; coordinate<br/>opening-<br/>day, station-<br/>supportive capital<br/>improvements<br/>with transitway<br/>construction,<br/>including Locally<br/>Requested Capital<br/>Investments<br/>(LRCIs)</li> <li>If undertaking<br/>FTA Joint<br/>Development<br/>project, finalize<br/>agreement<br/>with partner<br/>jurisdictions and<br/>developers on<br/>participation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Local opening-<br/>day, station-<br/>supportive<br/>improvements<br/>completed,<br/>including LRCIs</li> <li>Ongoing<br/>implementation<br/>of the adopted<br/>zoning and<br/>regulatory<br/>changes</li> <li>Completion<br/>of Joint<br/>Development<br/>projects</li> </ul> |
|---|---|--|
|---|---|--|





Theodore

With

0

 $\bigcirc$ 

| Expectations<br>for       plan and submit<br>amendment to<br>Council for review       Improvement       changes         Communities<br>at Milestone –<br>Station-Area<br>Planning       • Completion<br>of Joint       of Joint         Development<br>projects       • Development<br>projects       • Development<br>projects         Improvement<br>plan and submit<br>amendment to<br>council for review       • Completion<br>of Joint<br>projects         Begin implementing<br>elements of station-<br>area plan, such as<br>updating Zoning<br>overlay districts, and<br>updating Capital<br>Improvement Plans       • If undertaking<br>FTA Joint<br>Development<br>project, finalize<br>agreement<br>with partner<br>jurisdictions and<br>developers on<br>participation |
|--|
|--|

1 100

 $\bigcirc$
|--|

OR MO

.

4

4

Sec. Wes

教会で、行政

Mycephila -

1 100

692

 $\bigcirc$ 

#### **Growth Near Transit**





 $\mathcal{O}$ 





#### **Growth Near Transit**





 $\bigcirc$ 

- Applicable Transit Types
  - Light Rail Transit (LRT)
  - Commuter Rail
  - Highway Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)
  - Arterial BRT
  - High Frequency Bus Routes
- Does Not Apply
  - Local bus routes
  - Express bus routes







 Existing transitways and high frequency routes









- Existing transitways and high frequency routes
- Transitways under construction









- Existing transitways and high frequency routes
- Transitways under construction
- Current Revenue Scenario
  - Alignment certainty
  - Station certainty
  - Funding certainty









- <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile or 10-minute walk
  - Fixed-Guideway Transit
  - Highway BRT









- <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile or 10-minute walk
  - Fixed-Guideway Transit
  - Highway BRT
- 1/4 mile or 5-minute walk
  - Arterial BRT
  - High Frequency Bus Route









- <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile or 10-minute walk
  - Fixed-Guideway Transit
  - Highway BRT
- 1/4 mile or 5-minute walk
  - Arterial BRT
  - High Frequency Bus Route
- Only areas identified for new development or redevelopment









#### • Where It Doesn't Apply

- Established areas not guided for change
- Areas guided for non-residential uses







|                     | Suburban Suburban Urban Urban |   |    |    |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|----|----|
|                     | Edge Center                   |   |    |    |
| Community Wide      | 3-5                           | 5 | 10 | 20 |
| LRT / Commuter Rail | 15 20 25 50                   |   |    |    |
| Highway BRT         | 8 10 12 25                    |   |    |    |
| Arterial BRT        | 15                            |   |    |    |
| High Frequency Bus  | 10                            |   |    |    |







|                     | Suburban Suburban Urban Urban |   |    |        |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|----|--------|
|                     | Edge                          |   |    | Center |
| Community Wide      | 3-5                           | 5 | 10 | 20     |
| LRT / Commuter Rail | 15 20 25 50                   |   |    |        |
| Highway BRT         | 8 10 12 25                    |   |    |        |
| Arterial BRT        | 15                            |   |    |        |
| High Frequency Bus  | 10                            |   |    |        |







|                     | Suburban Suburban Urban Urban |   |    |        |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|----|--------|
|                     | Edge                          |   |    | Center |
| Community Wide      | 3-5                           | 5 | 10 | 20     |
| LRT / Commuter Rail | 15 20 25 <mark>50</mark>      |   |    |        |
| Highway BRT         | 8 10 12 25                    |   |    |        |
| Arterial BRT        | 15                            |   |    |        |
| High Frequency Bus  | 10                            |   |    |        |







|                     | SuburbanSuburbanUrbanEdgeCenter |                     |                      |                      |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Community Wide      | 3-5 5 10 20                     |                     |                      |                      |
| LRT / Commuter Rail | 15<br><b>40-75+</b>             | 20<br><b>40-75+</b> | 25<br><b>50-100+</b> | 50<br><b>75-150+</b> |
| Highway BRT         | 8<br><b>20-40+</b>              | 10<br><b>20-40+</b> | 12<br><b>25-50+</b>  | 25<br><b>40-75+</b>  |
| Arterial BRT        | 15<br><b>20-60+</b>             |                     |                      |                      |
| High Frequency Bus  | 10<br><b>15-60+</b>             |                     |                      |                      |







#### **Activity Levels for Station Areas**

- Stations as focal points of activity
- Encourage a mix of uses
- Meet multiple needs of transit riders
- Maximize return on transitway investment
- Guideline of 7,000 residents, employees, or students
- Informed by market demand or readiness for redevelopment











✓ COMMUNITIES A PARKS A TRANSPORTATION A WASTEWATER & WATER A HOUSING A PLANNING

#### TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT GUIDE





Implementation



FUNDAMENTALS

Density-Diversity-Design

w

Connections

Parking & Travel Demand Management

Land Use Regulations



#### TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT GUIDE

Investing in transit and supporting transit oriented development (TOD) are priorities for the region. These efforts support the five outcomes of Thrive MSP 2040: Stewardship, Prosperity, Equity, Livability, and Sustainability.

The Metropolitan Council's **TOD** Policy states that the Metropolitan Council will play a leadership role in planning and implementing TOD. We will continue to support local communities to ensure that growth supports a reliable and cost-effective transit system, help cities leverage private investment, and advance regional equity.

#### Purpose of TOD Guide

For local planners, elected officials, planning commissioners, and others interested in planning, this TOD Guide is intended to:



Minneapolis, University of Minnesota, East Bank. The METRO Green Line spurred and supported investment along University Avenue and at the University of Minnesota.

Explain the roles and responsibilities of each level of government in planning and implementing TOD.

- Address the importance of market demand and the needs of people most dependent on transit.
- · Emphasize implementation.
- Share case studies and best practices that demonstrate how cities overcame challenges and achieved successful outcomes.
- Help local planners build and share knowledge of TOD planning and implementation.
- Reflect topics of interest to local planners working on TOD planning and implementation.

#### Suggestions on Resources



St. Paul, Snelling Avenue. A new station for the A Line Rapid Bus resulted from coordination between the City of St. Paul, Metro Transit, and the developer of the Vintage on Selby, the Excelsior Group.

We want this resource to be current and constantly improving. Can you suggest resources that you think would help others? Do you have ideas about how this guide could be more helpful? Contact us at TODGuide@metc.state.mn.us

Sign up for email updates when new information and resources become available in the TOD Guide.









# Resources

http://metrocouncil.org/Handbook.aspx



LOCAL PLANNING

ΝΟΒΟΟΚ

http://www.metrocouncil.org/Handbook/Planlt.aspx



http://www.metrocouncil.org/Transportation/Planning-2/Key-Transportation-Planning-Documents/Transportation-Policy-Plan-(1).aspx



http://metrocouncil.org/Communities/Planning/TOD.aspx



https://gisdata.mn.gov/



# Questions?

Michael Larson, AICP, Senior Planner Michael.Larson@metc.state.mn.us

Michael Mechtenberg, Senior Planner <u>Michael.Mechtenberg@metrotransit.org</u>





# Upcoming Events

Local Planning, the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network & Regional Trails Presented by Steve Elmer and Jan Youngquist Thursday, October 13, 2016

#### **Housing Requirements Discussion**

Presented by Lisa Barajas Thursday, October 27, 2016

