**General Hydrologic Characteristics of Gaining Streams**

Most stream reaches in the metro area are gaining reaches (i.e. the stream flow increases in the downstream direction due to inflow of groundwater). Trout streams are a specialized type of gaining stream, due to their biota and the high percentage of flow attributed to groundwater inflows (resulting in cooler stream waters). But many streams that do not support trout are locations where groundwater discharges – however, other conditions may not serve to maintain trout habitat. Some of these conditions include: a large watershed (resulting in a greater percentage of overland flow to the stream, which is generally warmer than the groundwater); unsuitable geomorphology; unsuitable vegetation; and the presence of competing species (to name but a few conditions). The contribution of groundwater inflows into gaining streams is likely an important water-balance aspect to the overall character of the stream. But, in general, gaining streams that are not trout streams likely are less sensitive to reductions in the groundwater contribution to baseflow.

In many setting, a stream will be a losing stream near it’s headwaters as overland flows in the upper reaches of the watershed combine to form ephemeral tributaries, wetlands, or ponds. Further downstream, there is sufficient storage in the watershed to maintain continuous flow. Down-cutting of the stream bed by intermittent, high-energy flows results in the intersection of the stream bed with the water table. At this location, the stream changes from a losing stream (leaking water down to the water table) to a gaining stream (receiving flow from the water-table aquifer). Flow in the stream increases in a downstream direction due to groundwater inflows but also due to the contribution of additional overland flow and tributary flow. From a stream-flow monitoring point of view, this hydrology poses a challenge because the groundwater contribution to stream flow must be calculated by subtracting out the other flow components (which may be much larger than the groundwater inflow component).

**Generalized Monitoring Plan**

Although every setting is unique – monitoring plans must take into account local conditions, existing monitoring programs, and coordination among overlapping governmental units – all monitoring should incorporate the following elements:

- Stream flow monitoring
- Groundwater withdrawal rate monitoring
- Potentiometric level monitoring
- Monitoring of other parameters, as a local need is identified

**Coordination and Reporting**

Monitoring related to gaining streams will require coordination with DNR, nearby water appropriators, WMO’s (if applicable), and Metropolitan Council (if applicable). Data should be compiled annually in a report to coordinating agencies. All monitoring data should be compiled in electronic form. Alternatives to annual reporting could be a dedicated web site where data can be downloaded.
Typical Monitoring Costs
The following are approximate costs for a generalized monitoring program, as described above. It is important to recognize that local settings may differ from the generalized assumptions. For example, drilling costs for piezometers will vary, depending on the depth to the pumped aquifer (or if there is more than one pumped aquifer) and the need for well screens (instead of open hole intervals). There may be some cost savings realized if existing monitoring installations are already present (e.g., there is an established gauging station or a piezometer). These cost estimates should only be used as a starting point for estimating the actual cost of a particular monitoring program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Justification</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Capitol Cost</th>
<th>Annual Cost</th>
<th>Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stream Flow</td>
<td>Change in stream flow can affect habitat, navigation, and recreational use.</td>
<td>Twice Per Year (July and October)</td>
<td>2 stream gauging sites</td>
<td>$3,000 to $5,000</td>
<td>Availability of suitable cross-sections; access; stage vs. Doppler measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Withdrawal</td>
<td>Accurate and precise data are crucial to understand the relationship between pumping, changes in water level (i.e. drawdown), and stream flows.</td>
<td>5 minutes or less</td>
<td>SCADA-controlled data loggers in all pumped wells</td>
<td>$0 to $40,000 (assumes existing SCADA)</td>
<td>Electronic tabulation of flow rates for each well at 5-minute intervals may require modifications to existing system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentiometric Levels</td>
<td>Change in aquifer water level is a key indicator of potential effect on stream flow.</td>
<td>15 minutes or less</td>
<td>A piezometer, pressure transducer, and data logger at each site</td>
<td>$15,000 to $30,000</td>
<td>Depth of piezometers; need for well screens (assumes 2-inch diameter piezometers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Parameters</td>
<td>These data provide indicators of the overall health of the stream and its capability to support trout habitat</td>
<td>Hourly or less</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000 to $4,000</td>
<td>Assumes dedicated pressure transducers and data loggers. Remote telemetry estimated to cost additional $3,000 per piezometer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1The above costs do not include costs for conducting aquifer testing (which may be a Water Appropriation Permit requirement for a new pumping well). Annual costs include an estimate of staff costs to the well owner for data handling and maintenance.
To facilitate the discussion of monitoring programs, a generalized hydrologic setting for a gaining stream is presented. The gaining stream is assumed to:

1. originate at its headwaters at some point upstream of the pumping centers;
2. flow toward a larger river that is downstream of the pumping center;
3. continue to gain flow (both groundwater and surface-water runoff);
4. have high-capacity groundwater pumping in the region near the gaining stream (in this example, pumping wells on either side of the stream); and
5. pumping is from an aquifer deeper than the surficial water-table aquifer.
A generalized monitoring system and program consists of the following:

**Stream-Flow Monitoring**

*Purpose*
Periodic stream flows measurements are made at two gauging locations: above and below the gaining reach of interest. Gauging at these two locations will obtain data on: (1) upstream contribution to stream flow (and temporal variability); (2) groundwater contribution to baseflow along the remainder of the stream reach; (3) contribution of surface-water inflows and duration of hydrograph influence. In general, habitat on a non-trout stream reach is less susceptible to small changes in the groundwater contribution to baseflow than on a trout stream. While continuous measurement of stream flow would be preferred (and in some cases, may be more cost effective), periodic measurements during low-flow periods will likely be sufficient for most settings.

*Location(s)*
Periodic stream flows measurements should be made at two gauging locations: upstream of the reach that may be affected by pumping and downstream of this reach.

*Measurement Frequency*
Stream gauging should be conducted at least twice per year during low-rainfall periods such as July and October. Additional measurements are desirable.

*Equipment/Method*
Both accuracy and precision are important in stream-flow measurements. Stable stream cross sections should be identified or established. Automated measurement devices can be used but manual stream gauging is acceptable. Where a reliable rating curve (i.e. relationship between stage height and stream flow) can be developed, stage height can be monitored using bubblers or pressure transducers in stilling wells.

**Groundwater Withdrawal Rates**

*Purpose*
Accurate and precise pumping rate measurements for individual wells are crucial to understanding the relationship between pumping, changes in potentiometric levels in the aquifer (i.e. drawdown), and stream flows. Before a causal link can be established, correlations need to be developed between pumping and responses in the aquifer and stream. These correlations cannot be relied upon unless the data are accurate, precise, and frequent. These types of data are important not only in establishing a potential causal effect but also to refute the existence of a hypothesized effect.
Location(s)
Each high-capacity pumped well

Measurement Frequency
Groundwater withdrawal rates must be accurately measured and recorded on a continuous basis for each high-capacity pumped well. At a minimum, measurements should be made every 5 minutes or less.

Equipment/Method(s)
SCADA systems should be capable of measuring and tabulating flow measurements on an interval of approximately 1 minute or less. Electronic tabulation (such as spreadsheets) should be developed and maintained for each well that provides a continuous record of pumping rate at the above interval. Each flow measurement should correspond with an accurate date and time.

Potentiometric Levels
Purpose
In general, high-capacity pumping will affect surface water bodies only if the pumping also affects potentiometric levels in the aquifer that is being pumped and the water-table aquifer. In other words, in order to affect stage elevation and/or flows into/out of surface water bodies, pumping must induce drawdown. But, it is important to understand that the absence of observed drawdown effects near gaining surface water features is not, by itself, an indicator that pumping is not affecting the gaining feature. It is possible that pumping even far away from a gaining feature can capture groundwater that would otherwise flow into the gaining feature without ever inducing measurable drawdown in the groundwater system at the gaining feature.

Data from piezometers located between pumping centers and the trout stream will provide an indication of how extensive the pumping center’s cone of depression is and how it changes over the course of a year. The purpose of the well nests adjacent to the trout stream is to correlate changes in the potentiometric surface of both the pumped aquifer and the water table aquifer with stream flows from the headwaters springs. These data are useful in developing a causal relationship between pumping, potentiometric heads, and stream flow. They are also useful in determining if changes in stream flow are in response to local pumping or to regional effects, such as regional pumping (many miles away) or climatic/meteorological conditions.

Location(s)
It is recommended that a nest piezometer be installed approximately next to the river, in direct line with each pumping center (i.e. well field) and the stream and completed in the pumped aquifer. The piezometer nest should consist of one piezometer screened at approximately the same interval as pumping wells in the area and one piezometer screened across or just below the water table.
Measurement Frequency
Such systems are capable of recording head measurements at intervals of 15 minutes or less – a frequency level that is recommended. At a minimum, measurements should be made every 15 minutes or less.

Equipment/Method(s)
Piezometers in bedrock units (i.e. pumped aquifers) should have screens or open-hole intervals that span at least one transmissive zone (e.g., significant horizontal fracture) but should not span the entire aquifer. A screen or open hole interval of less than 20 feet would be preferred. The screen or open hole should preferably be at approximately the same stratigraphic horizon as the mid-point of the open hole portion of the pumped well(s). Water-table piezometers should have screens no longer than 10 feet. In all cases, piezometers should be equipped with pressure transducers and automated data logging systems. They are typically much more cost effective and precise than manual measurements. A system for a single piezometer is usually less than $2,000 and requires download of data on a quarterly basis. Remote telemetry and/or interface with SCADA systems is also possible.

Other Parameters
Purpose
Temperature, dissolved oxygen, and specific conductance data provide indicators of the overall health of the stream.

Aquifer test pumping of new wells can allow for quantification of aquifer parameters and regional drawdown effects.

Groundwater flow modeling is useful in evaluating the vast amount of data that will be collected over time.

Location(s)
Temperature, dissolved oxygen, and specific conductance data may be collected at stream gauging stations.

Aquifer testing may be done on existing or new pumped wells.

Measurement Frequency
Temperature, dissolved oxygen, and specific conductance data may be collected using automated measuring equipment for relatively low cost.

Equipment/Method(s)
New wells should have some sort of aquifer (pumping) test performed to quantify aquifer parameters and regional drawdown effects.
Gaining Stream: Generalized Monitoring Strategy

Monitoring Case Study/Example: Cedar Creek, Anoka County
Cedar Creek flows through Oak Grove and East Bethel in northern Anoka County.

System to Monitor Effects of Pumping on Cedar Creek
Irregular stream gauging has taken place in Cedar Creek in the past.

Stream-Flow Monitoring
Stream flows are currently not monitored routinely. As municipal pumping comes about in East Bethel, periodic stream gauging upstream and downstream of the pumping (at established cross sections) should begin – preferably before pumping so that a record of non-pumping fluctuations can be established. Gauging should be done 4 to 6 times per year and not after precipitation events.

Groundwater Withdrawal Rates
Continuous monitoring of pumping rates for high-capacity wells within about 3 miles of the Creek should be performed in order to establish correlations (or lack thereof) to groundwater levels and stream flow rates.

Potentiometric Levels
One piezometer nest (with one piezometer completed in the water-table aquifer and one completed in the Franconia-Ironton-Galesville aquifer) should be installed within about 100 feet of the creek and approximately in direct line with pumping centers. Continuous water-level recorders should be installed in these piezometers.

Other Parameters
Periodic measurement of stream conditions for habitat would be useful. Examples include temperature, turbidity, BOD, and periodic macroinvertebrate surveys.