

Regional Solicitation Unique Projects Development Process – Meeting #5

TAB Unique Projects Policy Work Group – July 9, 2021



How are we going to operate?

BE ACTIVE

RESPECT OUR TIME

KEEP AN OPEN MIND

ALLOW FOR EVERYONE

HAVE FUN

USE TECHNOLOGY

OTHERS?

Come prepared to engage (you all volunteered!)

Limit the side chatter, stay focused on topic

Be considerate of others' opinions

Don't dominate the conversation

This is an exciting topic, let your creative side loose!

Use Webex tools and Mentimeter to communicate

Will improve monitoring chat and hand raising

Cole Hiniker

Host, me

Participants (1)

Search

Raise hand

CH Cole Hiniker
Host, me

Mute all Unmute all ...

Chat

Everyone

Enter chat message here

Unmute Start video Share Record ... X

Participants Chat ...

Agenda

1. Recap of Recommendations to Date
2. Evaluation Metrics and Technical Committee Recommendations
3. Criteria Weighting Exercise
4. Next Steps

Recap of Recommendations to Date



Recommendations to Date

Two-Step Application Process

Unique Projects	Timeframe
Adopt unique projects draft application	September 2021
Regional Solicitation public comment	Sept – Nov 2021
Initial project interest form due	November 2021
Consultation w/ applicants	Nov – Jan 2022
Release Final Regional Solicitation	February 2022
Applications due	April 2022
Evaluation	May – August 2022
Project selection	Sept – Nov 2022

Overlap public comment period with initial project interest form

Recommendations to Date

Evaluation Process

- First Step – Applicants provide:
 - Project title and description
 - List of project tasks or elements
 - Approximate budget and potential match sources
 - Description of project location or impacted areas
 - Brief description of how project will advance Unique Project goals: multiple responses with short word limit
- Staff review for eligibility and provide technical feedback to potential applicants
- Share information with Regional Solicitation Policy Work Group

Recommendations to Date

Evaluation Process

- Second Step – Applicants provide:
 - Detailed description of project, including documented need and approach
 - Detailed list of project elements
 - Line-item budget and confirmed match sources
 - Detailed description of project location and affected populations
 - Responses to criteria and metrics, both qualitative and quantitative
- Staff review for errors and provide technical input to evaluators
- Regional Solicitation Policy Work Group members evaluate metrics and rank projects

Recommendations to Date

Rules

- Minimum and maximum funding limits
 - Maximum Recommendation: no limit for this round, could be revisited for future solicitations
 - Minimum Recommendation: \$500k to ensure cost-effectiveness for federal requirements
- Match requirements
 - Considered as part of scoring for partnerships and collaboration
- TDM innovation funding
 - Recommend keeping pot separate until TDM Study complete in 2023

Recommendations to Date

Evaluation Criteria

- Red text represents from technical feedback on the criteria titles

Criteria
Reduce Adverse Environmental Impacts
Improve Racial Equity
Support Multimodal Communities
Innovation
Regional Impact / Scalability (Expandability)
Partnerships / Collaboration

Evaluation Metrics and Technical Committee Recommendations



Metrics Introduction

- Technical recommendation was to keep the questions qualitative in format but encourage applicants to use data and numbers to make their case; i.e., quantified answers likely get more points
- Within each criteria, the metrics are currently equally weighted
 - Do you agree with the relative importance of each metric for each of the criteria?
 - Should some be combined?
 - Should additional metrics be added?
- Are there additional considerations to add under any of the metrics that would help guide applicants and scorers?

Evaluation Metrics #1

How does the project reduce adverse environmental impacts of transportation?

- Improve air quality
 - Reduce single-occupant vehicle (SOV) trips
 - Access to charging stations
 - Reduce peak hour trips
- Contribution to climate change improvement
 - Reduce greenhouse gas emissions
- Reduce noise or light pollution
- Improve surface or ground water quality
 - Reduction in stormwater runoff; onsite stormwater management
- Other environmental improvements
 - Wildlife
 - Natural vegetation

How does the project improve racial equity?

- Improve connectivity and access for BIPOC communities
 - Connecting people to places, but also demonstrating an understanding of the places they want to go
 - Connecting communities where known gaps exist (document why connection is needed and source of info)
 - Outreach to, and involvement from these communities in project selection, development, or delivery.
- Removing barriers
 - Physical barriers being addressed (directly or indirectly)
 - Cultural barriers being addressed (language, etc.)
 - Engagement barrier being addressed (improving systemic outreach issues)
- Contributions to quality-of-life improvements
 - Placemaking
 - Safety
 - Job creation, economic development
 - Access to green space
 - Public health

Evaluation Metrics #2

How does the project support multimodal communities?

- Improve multiple non-SOV modes within the system (e.g., transit, biking, walking)
 - Creating interconnectivity between modes
 - Creating “bridges” that serve all modes
 - Multimodal trip planning
- Land use and development strategies that are conducive to multimodal transportation
 - Supporting growth of dense, mixed-use communities or neighborhoods
 - Reducing demand or need for parking
- Support first and last mile solutions for people connecting to places they need to go
 - Mobility hubs and centralized connections for multiple modes
 - Increasing shared trips/shared mobility

How is the project innovative?

- New approach to existing and/or emerging challenge(s)
 - Solving problems that have been a long-term challenge
 - New idea that hasn't been piloted or deployed in Minnesota/Twin Cities/State/Upper Midwest or uses new technology
 - Leveraging connected and automated (CAV) vehicle technology
 - Integrating new technologies or practices into existing infrastructure
 - Risk assessment, mitigation strategy to management innovation/risks (and identify who mitigates)

Evaluation Metrics #3

How does the project have regional impact or how could it be expanded to more of the region?

- Regional impact
 - How many people does the project impact?
 - Percent of people (in a given community/area) impacted?
 - Project's geographic reach
- Expandability
 - How can the idea be used regionwide?
 - Is it a replicable project (i.e., could it be adapted elsewhere)?
 - (Full credit if it already covers the whole region)

How does the project build partnerships or collaboration?

- Number of stakeholder groups that helped develop the project
 - How many involved in the project? (The more partners, the more points awarded)
 - Public/private (or 4P; Public, Private, Philanthropic, and People)
 - Percent or number of partners that are small/minority-owned business (DBE/TGB/MCUB).
- Match contribution
 - More points for high amount or percentage (e.g., % of partnership contributions compared to total dollar amount)

Questions?

Evaluation Criteria Weighting

Mentimeter Evaluation Metrics Discussion Exercise

www.menti.com

Code: 2851 8725

Meeting Reflection

- What worked?
- What didn't work?
- Any meeting rules to add or revisit for next time?

Contact:

Cole Hiniker, Multimodal Planning Manager

612-743-2215

Cole.Hiniker@metc.state.mn.us

