Application

13860-2020 Roadway Expansion
14344 - CSAH 9 (Dodd Boulevard) reconstruction project and 179th Street realignment project in the City of Lakeville.
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements
Status: Submitted
Submitted Date:
05/15/2020 11:41 AM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  | Matthew |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Senior Project Manager |  |  |  |
| Department: | Transportation |  |  |  |
| Email: | matthew.parent@co.dakota.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 14955 Galaxie Avenue |  |  |  |
| * | Apple Valley | Minn |  | 55124 |
|  | City | State/P |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 952-891-7271 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements |  |  |  |

## Organization Information

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):
Organization Type: County Government
Organization Website:
Address:
TRANSPORTATION DEPT
14955 GALAXIE AVE

| * | APPLE VALLEY | Minnesota <br> State/Province | City <br> Postal Code/Zip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County: | Dakota |  |  |
| Phone:* | $952-891-7100$ |  |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |
| PeopleSoft Vendor Number | 0000002621 A 15 |  |  |

## Project Information

Project Name
Primary County where the Project is Located
Cities or Townships where the Project is Located:
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):
Brief Project Description (Include location, road name/functional
class, type of improvement, etc.)

CSAH 9 (179th Street) Realignment Project
Dakota
City of Lakeville

Realignment and construction of the new segment of CSAH 9 (179th Street) from east of Highview Avenue to Cedar Avenue (CSAH 23). This roadway will function as an A-minor Expander. 179th Street will be designed as a four-lane divided urban roadway with pedestrian trails along both sides of the roadway between Hayes Avenue and Cedar Avenue. Access modifications and intersection improvements will be included at the intersections of Cedar Avenue (CSAH 23) at Glacier Way, Dodd Boulevard and 179th Street.

The proposed project is needed to complete an important East-West County Highway to better serve the needs of a growing region. The alignment proposed will be a more efficient and safe corridor for vehicles and pedestrians.

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) DESCRIPTION - will be used in TIP if the project is selected for funding. See MnDOT's TIP description guidance.

Project Length (Miles)
Realignment and construction of the new segment of CSAH 9 (179th Street)
0.9
to the nearest one-tenth of a mile

## Project Funding

Are you applying for competitive funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)
Federal Amount \$3,920,000.00
Match Amount \$980,000.00
Minimum of $20 \%$ of project total
Project Total \$4,900,000.00
For transit projects, the total cost for the application is total cost minus fare revenues.
Match Percentage 20.0\%
Minimum of 20\%
Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total
Source of Match Funds
County Capital Improvement Program, CSAH, City Funding
A minimum of $20 \%$ of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the $20 \%$ minimum can come from other federal sources

Preferred Program Year
Select one:
2024
Select 2022 or 2023 for TDM projects only. For all other applications, select 2024 or 2025.
Additional Program Years:
2022
Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

## Project Information-Roadways

County, City, or Lead Agency
Functional Class of Road

Road System
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET
Road/Route No.
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53

Name of Road
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE

Dakota County
A Minor Expander
CSAH 9 and MSAS 179th Street

9

179th Street

| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55044 |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date | $04 / 01 / 2022$ |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date | $10 / 31 / 2023$ |
| TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work) |  |
| From: <br> (Intersection or Address) | Hayes Avenue |
| To: <br> (Intersection or Address) | CSAH 23 |
| DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION |  |
| Or At | 0 |
| Miles of Sidewalk (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0.9 |
| Miles of Trail (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0.9 |

Primary Types of Work

Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF,
SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER,
SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS,
BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)
Old Bridge/Culvert No.:
New Bridge/Culvert No.:
Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name):

New construction of four lane divided road, grading, trail construction, turn lanes, signal reconstruction, curb and gutter, storm sewer

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2018), the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2018), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan goals, objectives, and strategies that relate to the project.

Briefly list the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:

Dakota County and the City of Lakeville have partnered on the current County Road 9 and 179th Street Corridor reconstruction project to coordinate efforts and identify required improvements to serve as a basis for the jurisdictional transfer of two roadway segments in the City of Lakeville - County Road 9 (Dodd Boulevard) and 179th Street between Highview Avenue and Pilot Knob Road. Dodd Boulevard will be turned back to the City and 179th Street will be turned up to the County. In order to meet the safety standards and traffic needs, both corridors will be reconstructed so they can function appropriately. The planned improvements to these two roadways will provide for improved safety, traffic operations and increasing traffic levels that can better serve the region in the future.

This project meets the following goals of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan:

B6 ? The project will include a new separated trail for pedestrians and bicyclists as part of the realignment project.

C1 / C2 / F5 / E3? This project will include a new separated trail for pedestrians and bicyclists that will connect to existing, off road trails along CSAH 23 and 179th street. This project will make connections to the larger trail and sidewalk system within the City of Lakeville.

C6 ? Right-of-way is needed for this project. With that in mind, the City of Lakeville and Dakota County coordinated future development to dedicate the necessary right-of-way needed for the new alignment of 179th years in advance of construction.

C7 / C8 ? The City of Lakeville and Dakota County have coordinated planning and construction efforts to efficiently move throughput along this corridor by reconstructing intersections as well as adding through lanes to add capacity and reduce congestion in this region.

C9 / C17 ? The 179th Project will increase the capacity of the principal arterial system and support access to jobs within the City of Lakeville and the surrounding commercial development adjacent to the project.

C15 / C16 ? By adding additional trail connections as part of this project, the regional bicycle network will be improved with direct connections to the local networks of trails and sidewalks.

D1 / D2 ? This project will provide safer and more reliable access to jobs by providing a multimodal corridor that is usable by freight modes.

E6 ? This project underwent a corridor study with a robust public involvement plan. Prior to the corridor study adoption, the plan for improvements in the project area has been included in studies dating back to the 1990?s which included many different types of engagement lead by the City and County.

# Dakota County East-West Corridor Preservation Study completed June 2003 

List the applicable documents and pages:

## City of Lakeville Comprehensive Plan

## County Road 9 and 179th Street Corridor Study

Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words
4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.Applicants that are not State Aid cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below.
Strategic Capacity (Roadway Expansion): \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000
Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Traffic Management Technologies (Roadway System Management): \$250,000 to \$3,500,000
Spot Mobility and Safety: \$1,000,000 to \$3,500,000
Bridges Rehabilitation/Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.In order for a selected project to be included in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and approved by USDOT, the public agency sponsor must either have a current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) self-evaluation or transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation, as required under Title II of the ADA. The plan must be completed by the local agency before the Regional Solicitation application deadline. For the 2022 Regional Solicitation funding cycle, this requirement may include that the plan is updated within the past five years.

The applicant is a public agency that employs 50 or more people and has a completed ADA transition plan that covers the public Yes right of way/transportation.

Date plan completed:
06/01/2018
https://www.co.dakota.mn.us/Transportation/Transp ortationStudies/Past/Documents/ADATransitionPla
Link to plan:
n.pdf

The applicant is a public agency that employs fewer than 50
people and has a completed ADA self-evaluation that covers the
public right of way/transportation.
Date self-evaluation completed:
Link to plan:
Upload plan or self-evaluation if there is no link
Upload as PDF
10. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
11.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project year-round for the useful life of the improvement, per FHWA direction established 8/27/2008 and updated 6/27/2017.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
12.The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
13. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
14.The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a principal arterial (non-freeway facilities only) or A-minor arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Roadway Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization and Spot Mobility projects only:
2.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement and Strategic Capacity projects only:
3.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a principal arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4.The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
5.The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must have a National Bridge Inventory Rating of 6 or less for rehabilitation projects and 4 or less for replacement projects.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Roadway Expansion, Reconstruction/Modernization, and Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
7. All roadway projects that involve the construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps must have approval by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Interchange Planning Review Committee prior to application submittal. Please contact Michael Corbett at MnDOT ( Michael.J.Corbett@state.mn.us or 651-234-7793) to determine whether your project needs to go through this process as described in Appendix F of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## Specific Roadway Elements

## CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES <br> Cost

Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost)
\$169,000.00
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) \$160,100.00

Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) \$299,500.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving) \$691,200.00
Subgrade Correction (muck) \$0.00
Storm Sewer \$200,000.00
Ponds \$125,000.00
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) \$360,000.00
Traffic Control \$101,000.00
Striping
$\$ 3,400.00$
Signing
\$23,800.00
Lighting
\$136,000.00
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping $\quad \$ 173,000.00$
Bridge
Retaining Walls \$0.00
Noise Wall (not calculated in cost effectiveness measure) \$0.00
Traffic Signals \$330,000.00

Wetland Mitigation \$0.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... \$761,000.00
Other Roadway Elements ..... \$913,000.00
Totals \$4,446,000.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... \$280,000.00
Sidewalk Construction ..... \$160,000.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... \$14,000.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$454,000.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$0.00

## Transit Operating Costs

| Number of Platform hours | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc. | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 4,908,045.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 4,908,045.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Congestion within Project Area:

The measure will analyze the level of congestion within the project area. Council staff will provide travel speed data on the "Level of Congestion" map. The analysis will compare the peak hour travel speed within the project area to fee-flow conditions.

Free-Flow Travel Speed: 39
Peak Hour Travel Speed: 32
Percentage Decrease in Travel Speed in Peak Hour compared to Free-Flow:
17.95\%

Upload Level of Congestion map: 1588979324805_Level Of Congestion Map.pdf

## Congestion on adjacent Parallel Routes:

Adjacent Parallel Corridor
160th Street
Adjacent Parallel Corridor Start and End Points:

| Start Point: | Highview Avenue <br> Cedar Avenue |
| :--- | :--- |
| End Point: | 45 |
| Free-Flow Travel Speed: | 34 |
| The Free-Flow Travel Speed is black number. |  |
| Peak Hour Travel Speed: | $24.44 \%$ |
| The Peak Hour Travel Speed is red number. | 1588979324805 _Level Of Congestion Map.pdf |
| Percentage Decrease in Travel Speed in Peak Hour Compared to <br> Free-Flow: <br> Upload Level of Congestion Map: |  |

## Principal Arterial Intersection Conversion Study:

Proposed interchange or at-grade project that reduces delay at a High Priority Intersection:
(80 Points)
Proposed at-grade project that reduces delay at a Medium Priority Intersection:
(60 Points)
Proposed at-grade project that reduces delay at a Low Priority Intersection:
(50 Points)
Proposed interchange project that reduces delay at a Medium Priority Intersection:
(40 Points)
Proposed interchange project that reduces delay at a Low Priority Intersection:
(0 Points)
Not listed as a priority in the study: Yes
(0 Points)

## Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Existing Employment within 1 Mile:
555
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1 Mile:34

Existing Post-Secondary Students within 1 Mile: 0
Upload Map 1588979958689_Regional Economy Map.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

RESPONSE: Select one for your project, based on the Regional Truck Corridor Study:
Along Tier 1:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
Along Tier 2:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
Along Tier 3:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
The project provides a direct and immediate connection (i.e.,
intersects) with either a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 corridor:
Yes
None of the tiers:

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | CSAH 23 and 179th Street |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current AADT Volume | 6100 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | 2 |
| For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will likely be diverted to the new proposed roadway (if applicable). |  |
| Upload Transit Connections Map | 1588986588300 _Trainsit Connections Map.pdf |
| Please upload attachment in PDF form. |  |

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership 0

Current Daily Person Throughput
7930.0

## Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT Yes
volume
volume
If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume
OR

Identify the approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

Forecast (2040) ADT volume

## Measure A: Connection to disadvantaged populations and projects benefits, impacts, and mitigation

1.Sub-measure: Equity Population Engagement: A successful project is one that is the result of active engagement of low-income populations, people of color, persons with disabilities, youth and the elderly. Engagement should occur prior to and during a projects development, with the intent to provide direct benefits to, or solve, an expressed transportation issue, while also limiting and mitigating any negative impacts. Describe and map the location of any low-income populations, people of color, disabled populations, youth or the elderly within a $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. Describe how these specific populations were engaged and provided outreach to, whether through community planning efforts, project needs identification, or during the project development process. Describe what engagement methods and tools were used and how the input is reflected in the projects purpose and need and design. Elements of quality engagement include: outreach and engagement to specific communities and populations that are likely to be directly impacted by the project; techniques to reach out to populations traditionally not involved in community engagement related to transportation projects; feedback from these populations identifying potential positive and negative elements of the proposed project through engagement, study recommendations, or plans that provide feedback from populations that may be impacted by the proposed project. If relevant, describe how NEPA or Title VI regulations will guide engagement activities.

The intent of public engagement for this project has been to bring people together to inform, educate, and engage area stakeholders including landowners, businesses, and the public at large regarding the proposed improvements to the roadway segments.

A Public Engagement Plan was developed to determine engagement goals,
identify stakeholders, address key considerations, and determine appropriate outreach strategies.

Dakota County and the City of Lakeville were committed to implementing thoughtful, effective, and convenient public engagement to help shape the improvement recommendations and design outcomes.

A project specific website was established to inform the public about the background and purpose, study schedule, opportunities for public participation and serve as a repository for meeting materials, contact information and showcasing the study area map. The website also provided an additional tool for agency staff, stakeholders and the community to keep up with key milestones of the project as it progressed.

To identify and gather the needs, concerns, and desires of the public as well as document their input, a survey was conducted near the beginning of the study coinciding with the first open house meeting. This allowed the public an additional opportunity to share their thoughts if that was the preferred method of communicating with them or if they were unable to attend in person engagement.

Paper copies were provided at the open house meeting as well for those not inclined to use the internet. The survey was available from March 7, 2019 to March 29, 2019. Over 200 members of the community participated.

Two public open houses were held. These meetings provided staff an in-person opportunity to present information to members of the public, collect feedback and answer questions regarding key aspects of the design and analysis of the corridors.

Display boards, surveys, comment forms, visualizations, and corridor layouts were used. The open house meetings were held at the Lakeville Central Maintenance Facility near the project area.

The first public open house was held on March 12, 2019. The first open house introduced the project, its purpose, and provided an overview of known existing conditions.

The public was asked to share feedback and comments regarding their thoughts of the two roadways and corridor trail needs, plus opportunities for varying improvements.

The second public open house (August 1, 2019) offered an opportunity for residents to review and provide input on the draft corridor concepts for Dodd Boulevard and 179th Street. Community members were encouraged to fill out comment forms, add comments to corridor layouts, and view visualization models that demonstrated potential changes to the corridor.
2.Sub-measure: Equity Population Benefits and Impacts: A successful project is one that has been designed to provide direct benefits to lowincome populations, people of color, persons with disabilities, youth and the elderly. All projects must mitigate potential negative benefits as required under federal law. Projects that are designed to provide benefits go beyond the mitigation requirement to proactively provide transportation benefits and solve transportation issues experienced by Equity populations.
a.Describe the projects benefits to low-income populations, people of color, children, people with disabilities, and the elderly. Benefits could relate to pedestrian and bicycle safety improvements; public health benefits; direct access improvements for residents or improved access to destinations such as jobs, school, health care or other; travel time improvements; gap closures; new transportation services or modal options, leveraging of other beneficial projects and investments; and/or community connection and cohesion improvements. Note that this is not an exhaustive list.

Response:

> The implementation of the proposed reconstruction will install a four-lane divided raised median typical section that includes two 10-foot shared-use trails along the north and south edges of the new project. Sub-measure 1, discussed above, highlighted the population demographic and the measures taken during public engagement to be all inclusive. Pedestrian facilities will exist along the corridor that will connect to the Red Line which is a Regional Transitway.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
b. Describe any negative impacts to low-income populations, people of color, children, people with disabilities, and the elderly created by the project, along with measures that will be taken to mitigate them. Negative impacts that are not adequately mitigated can result in a reduction in points.
Below is a list of negative impacts. Note that this is not an exhaustive list.
Increased difficulty in street crossing caused by increased roadway width, increased traffic speed, wider turning radii, or other elements that negatively impact pedestrian access.
Increased noise.
Decreased pedestrian access through sidewalk removal / narrowing, placement of barriers along the walking path, increase in auto-oriented curb cuts, etc.
Project elements that are detrimental to location-based air quality by increasing stop/start activity at intersections, creating vehicle idling areas, directing an increased number of vehicles to a particular point, etc.
Increased speed and/or cut-through traffic.
Removed or diminished safe bicycle access.
Inclusion of some other barrier to access to jobs and other destinations.
Displacement of residents and businesses.
Mitigation of temporary construction/implementation impacts such as dust; noise; reduced access for travelers and to businesses; disruption of utilities; and eliminated street crossings.
Other

The implementation of multi-modal pedestrian facilities adjacent to the project and within our project area creates recreation and commuting opportunity previously not available. Providing access to the public facilities in the area and access for non-vehicle mobility will open recreational opportunities for those without means of transportation. Persons with disabilities, youth and elderly will be provided facilities that create safe locations to commute along and cross CSAH 23.

Response:
The implementation of shared-use trails along 179th provides a local community benefit for those adjacent to the corridor or that live in proximity to it. Additionally, it closes a trail gap that creates opportunity for the commuting public that desires to use non-vehicle means of travel. The trails from CSAH 23 and along Dodd Boulevard will connect into existing transportation trail networks. Closing this gap creates a commuting benefit for those who currently attempt to commute on the minimal roadway shoulders or are forced to take longer routes to bypass the trail gap.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

## Select one:

3.Sub-measure: Bonus Points Those projects that score at least $80 \%$ of the maximum total points available through sub-measures 1 and 2 will be awarded bonus points based on the geographic location of the project. These points will be assigned as follows, based on the highestscoring geography the project contacts:
a. 25 points to projects within an Area of Concentrated Poverty with 50\% or more people of color
b. 20 points to projects within an Area of Concentrated Poverty
c. 15 points to projects within census tracts with the percent of population in poverty or population of color above the regional average percent
d. 10 points for all other areas

Project is located in an Area of Concentrated Poverty where 50\%
or more of residents are people of color (ACP50):
Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty:
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color:

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or Yes includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly:

Upload the "Socio-Economic Conditions" map used for this measure. The second map created for sub measure A1 can be uploaded on the Other Attachments Form, or can be combined with the "Socio-Economic Conditions" map into a single PDF and uploaded here.

Upload Map
1589060409194_Socio-Economic Conditions Map.pdf

## Measure B: Part 1: Housing Performance Score

|  | Segment Length <br> (For stand-alone <br> projects, enter <br> population from <br> Regional Economy <br> map) within each <br> City/Township | Segment <br> Length/Total <br> Project Length | Score | Housing Score <br> Multiplied by <br> Segment percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lakeville | 13014.0 | 1.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 |

## Total Project Length

## Housing Performance Score

| Total Project Length (Miles) or Population | 13014.0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Housing Score | 68.0 |

## Affordable Housing Scoring

## Part 2: Affordable Housing Access

Reference Access to Affordable Housing Guidance located under Regional Solicitation Resources for information on how to respond to this measure and create the map.
If text box is not showing, click Edit or "Add" in top right of page.

## Measure A: Infrastructure Age

Year of Original
Roadway Construction
or Most Recent
Segment Length
Calculation
Calculation 2

Reconstruction
2022.0

## Average Construction Year

Weighted Year
2022.0

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Total Segment Length

## Measure A: Congestion Reduction/Air Quality

| Total Peak | Total Peak | Total Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | Hour | Hour | Volume | Volume |
| Delay Per | Delay Per | Delay Per | without | with the |
| Vehicle | Vehicle | Vehicle | the Project | Project |
| Without | With The | Reduced | (Vehicles | (Vehicles |
| The | Project | by Project | per hour) | Per Hour): |
| Project | (Seconds/ | (Seconds/ |  |  |
| (Seconds/ | Vehicle) | Vehicle) |  |  |
| Vehicle) |  |  |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{cccc} & & \text { EXPLANA } \\ \text { TION of }\end{array}\right]$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158921015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | We used | 7524_CSA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro | H 9 from |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AM and PM | Hayes Ave |
| 118.0 | 131.0 | -13 | 3552 | 3552 | -46176 | -46176 |  | to CSAH |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ues | 31-AM |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | calculations | roposed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Report.pdf |

-46176

## Vehicle Delay Reduced

Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced

## Measure B:Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements

| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) | Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) | Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour Emissions | Peak Hour Emissions with | Peak Hour Emissions |
| without the Project | the Project (Kilograms): | Reduced by the Project |
| (Kilograms): |  | (Kilograms): |

Total
Total Emissions Reduced:
Upload Synchro Report
Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

## Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not include railroad grade-separation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only): <br> Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) <br> Peak Hour Emissions without the Project (Kilograms): <br> Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions with the Project (Kilograms): <br> Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) <br> Peak Hour Emissions <br> Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):

## Total Parallel Roadway

Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways
Upload Synchro Report
Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

## New Roadway Portion:

Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: 55.0

Vehicle miles traveled with the project: 1.0
Total delay in hours with the project: 81.0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: 2976.0
Fuel consumption in gallons: 114.683
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):


## Measure A: Benefit of Crash Reduction

Crash Modification Factor Used:
(Limit 700 Characters; approximately 100 words)

Rationale for Crash Modification Selected:

None

The intersection of Dodd and Cedar is currently signalized. As part of this project this intersection will be converted to a $3 / 4$ intersection. There have been 34 crashes at this intersection between 2016 2018.
(Limit 1400 Characters; approximately 200 words)

```
Project Benefit ($) from B/C Ratio:\(\$ 0.00\)
Total Fatal (K) Crashes:
Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes:
Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes:
Total Crashes:
Total Fatal (K) Crashes Reduced by Project:
Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes Reduced by Project:
Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes Reduced by
Project:
Total Crashes Reduced by Project:
```

Worksheet Attachment
1589316709209_CSAH 9 (Dodd Boulevard) from Hayes
Avenue to CSAH 23 (Cedar Ave.).pdf

Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:

Current AADT volume:

Average daily trains:
Crash Risk Exposure eliminated:

0
0

0

## Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

This project will include a new separated trail for pedestrians and bicyclists that will connect to existing, off road trails along CSAH 23 and 179th street. Another important connection this project will make will be connections to the existing Red Line Transit Corridor as the new trail sections will connect to the existing trail sections already in place along CSAH 23.

This project will also make connections to the larger trail and sidewalk system within the City of Lakeville. Adding additional trail connections as part of this project, the regional bicycle network will be improved with direct connections to the local networks of trails and sidewalks.

## Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

The project construction area from CSAH 23 to Hayes Avenue will include bicycle and pedestrian facilities adjacent to the roadway. The inclusion of 10-foot shared-use trails along both the north and south edges of the 1-mile reconstruction will create new trail within the County system.

The proposed 10 -foot shared-use trails created with this project will provide vital safe connections to parks located within reconstruction project.

Transit service along CSAH 23 is adjacent to this project and will provide commuting benefits by introducing the adjacent shared-use trails. Commuters and recreational users will have connections to the Red Line.

The 179th construction area does not directly contain a Major River Bicycle Barrier Crossing (MRBBC). The construction that is tied to this solication will close a bicycle trail gap and create an opportunity to connect more users to transit service more safely.

The Dakota County ADA Transition Plan (June 2018) inventoried County highways within municipalities and determined that 390 miles of highway are considered viable for pedestrian facilities on both sides of the roadway. It also identified that $25 \%$ of the 3165 pedestrian ramps are non-compliant for ADA. The 179th construction will apply shared-use trails to both sides of the roadway and will replace all non-compliant ADA ramps.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.
Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.
Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment - Construction Projects

1)Layout ( 25 Percent of Points)

Layout should include proposed geometrics and existing and proposed right-of-way boundaries.
Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties that the project goes through or agencies that maintain the roadway(s)). A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

100\%
Attach Layout
1589139764443_CP 9-56 LAYOUT.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Layout completed but not approved by all jurisdictions. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

50\%
Attach Layout
Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Layout has not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
2)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and Yes project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
There are historical/archeological properties present but determination of no historic properties affected is anticipated.
$100 \%$
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological properties in the project area.

## $0 \%$

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
3)Right-of-Way (25 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements either not required or all have been acquired

Yes

100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, plat, legal descriptions, or official map complete

50\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels identified

25\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels not all identified

0\%
Anticipated date or date of acquisition
4)Railroad Involvement (15 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project or railroad Right-of-Way agreement is executed (include signature page, if applicable) Yes

100\%

Signature Page
Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

50\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have not begun.

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
5) Public Involvement (20 percent of points)

Projects that have been through a public process with residents and other interested public entities are more likely than others to be successful. The project applicant must indicate that events and/or targeted outreach (e.g., surveys and other web-based input) were held to help identify the transportation problem, how the potential solution was selected instead of other options, and the public involvement completed to date on the project. List Dates of most recent meetings and outreach specific to this project:

Meeting with general public:
08/01/2019

Meeting with partner agencies:
12/17/2019
Targeted online/mail outreach:
03/07/2019
Number of respondents:
200
Meetings specific to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the project Yes need.

Targeted outreach to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the project need.

75\%
At least one meeting specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
At least one meeting specific to this project with key partner agencies has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
No meeting or outreach specific to this project was conducted, but the project was identified through meetings and/or outreach related to a larger planning effort.

25\%
No outreach has led to the selection of this project.
0\%

A project-specific website was established to inform the public about the background and purpose, schedule, opportunities for public participation and serve as a repository for meeting materials, contact information and showcasing the project area map. The website also provided an additional tool for agency staff, stakeholders and the community to keep up with key milestones.

Two public open houses were held at key milestones. These meetings provided the project team an in-person opportunity to present information to members of the public, collect
feedback and answer questions regarding key aspects of the design and analysis of the corridors. Display boards, surveys, comment forms, visualizations, and corridor layouts were used. The open house meetings were held at the Lakeville Central Maintenance Facility near the study area. The first public open house was held early in the study process (March 12, 2019). The first open house introduced the project, its purpose, and provided an overview of known existing conditions.

The public was asked to share feedback and comments regarding their thoughts of the two roadways and corridor trail needs, plus opportunities for varying improvements.

At the second open house meeting (August 1), visualizations were presented to provide attendees an opportunity to see how the corridors will look under reconstructed conditions. These visualization videos were an eye-catching and effective use of technology that helped residents conceptualize proposed changes.

To identify and gather the needs, concerns, and desires of the public as well as document their input, a survey was conducted near the beginning of the study coinciding with the first open house
meeting. The survey was conducted online using ?SurveyMonkey.? This allowed the public an
additional opportunity to share their thoughts if that was the preferred method of communicating
with them or if they were unable to attend in person engagement. Paper copies were provided at the open house meeting as well for those not inclined to use the internet. The survey was available from March 7, 2019 to March 29, 2019. Over 200 members of the community participated.

Newsletters and social media posts were shared with area stakeholders in advance of both open
houses. The newsletter addressed why the study was needed, what was happening, and how to stay
involved. The newsletter also served as an invitation to both open houses and was sent to property owners along Dodd Boulevard and 179th Street within the study limits. In addition to the
newsletter, the open houses were promoted using the City of Lakeville and Dakota County?s social
media pages (Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram where available) and the Nextdoor app.

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

| Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls: | $\$ 4,900,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enter amount of any outside, competitive funding: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Attach documentation of award: |  |
| Points Awarded in Previous Criteria | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 200505CP2105_City Letter of Support <br> County Project 9-56.pdf | City of Lakeville Letter of Support | 162 KB |
| 2020-2024 CIP FINAL 10.7.19 <br> Lakeville.pdf <br> 2020- <br> 2024CapitallmprovementProgram_CP <br> 9_56.pdf | City of Lakeville CIP | Dakota County CIP |
| CP 9-56 LAYOUT.pdf | Proposed Layout | 674 KB |
| CP 9-56 RegSolic Summary.pdf | Project Summary | 1.7 MB |
| Executive Summary.pdf | Corridor Study Executive Summary | 235 KB |







## HousingLink \%\%



Show Results
Clear All


* AMI level and units are estimated if not provided, set to least restrictive AMI for largest number of units.
** Obligation expiration dates are estimated based on program definition if not provided.
*** There may be other funders. This funder provided for reference.

5: 179th St/Hayes Ave.

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 1399 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 9 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.36 |
| NOX Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.27 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.32 |

7: 175th St. W

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1236 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.79 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.15 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.18 |

48:

| Direction | All |
| :--- | :---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0.00 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.00 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.00 |

627: CSAH 23/Cedar Ave \& CSAH 9/Dodd Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2378 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 32 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 3.81 |
| NOX Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.74 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.88 |

## 727: CSAH 23 \& 179th St

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 1685 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 17 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.82 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.55 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.65 |

Network Totals

| Number of Intersections | 5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 8.78 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.71 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.04 |
| Performance Index | 39.6 |


| objectid | Incident ID Date and T |  | Hour | Crash Seve |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1777227 | 388094 10/20/201 | 2016 |  | 8 Property D | 0 |
| 1811114 | 626348 8/8/2018, | 2018 |  | 11 Property D | 0 |
| 1816559 | 382569 9/28/2016, | 2016 |  | 17 Property D | 0 |
| 1824317 | 651027 10/10/201: | 2018 |  | 17 Property D | 0 |
| 1855174 | 325800 2/3/2016, | 2016 |  | 9 Property D | 0 |
| 1915161 | 605721 6/20/2018, | 2018 |  | 16 Property D | 0 |
| 1940017 | 377946 9/9/2016, | 2016 |  | 19 Property D | 0 |
| 1952629 | 342771 4/16/2016, | 2016 |  | 12 Property D | 0 |
| 1972861 | 447721 4/26/2017, | 2017 |  | 6 Property D | 0 |
| 2019433 | 402777 12/9/2016, | 2016 |  | 10 Possible Inj | 0 |
| 2046676 | 322803 1/23/2016, | 2016 |  | 18 Property D | 0 |
| 2051391 | 634493 9/13/2018, | 2018 |  | 12 Property D | 0 |
| 2052026 | 603621 6/11/2018, | 2018 |  | 17 Minor Injur | 0 |
| 2071058 | 430552 3/20/2017, | 2017 |  | 17 Possible Inj | 0 |
| 2072559 | 316738 1/4/2016, | 2016 |  | 18 Property D | 0 |
| 2096701 | 509669 10/18/201 | 2017 |  | 0 Property D | 0 |
| 2100048 | 606855 6/26/2018, | 2018 |  | 11 Possible Inj | 0 |
| 2107072 | 428107 3/10/2017, | 2017 |  | 15 Property D | 0 |
| 2111483 | 384891 10/5/2016, | 2016 |  | 14 Property D | 0 |
| 2165636 | 651931 10/14/201: | 2018 |  | 16 Possible Inj | 0 |
| 2211736 | 321985 1/20/2016, | 2016 |  | 17 Possible Inj | 0 |
| 2237238 | 317393 1/6/2016, | 2016 |  | 23 Property D | 0 |
| 2286857 | 407132 12/21/201 | 2016 |  | 9 Property D | 0 |
| 2292083 | 388121 10/20/2011 | 2016 |  | 1 Property D | 0 |
| 2368168 | 603907 6/12/2018, | 2018 |  | 17 Property D | 0 |
| 2368269 | 623638 7/26/2018, | 2018 |  | 17 Property D | 0 |
| 2412201 | 357866 6/20/2016, | 2016 |  | 8 Property D | 0 |
| 2416827 | 650637 10/9/2018, | 2018 |  | 17 Possible Inj | 0 |
| 2428285 | 495890 8/22/2017, | 2017 |  | 17 Property D | 0 |
| 2430321 | 667611 12/12/201: | 2018 |  | 7 Property D | 0 |
| 2527151 | 318158 1/8/2016, | 2016 |  | 18 Property D | 0 |
| 2532486 | 604905 6/17/2018, | 2018 |  | 9 Property D | 0 |
| 2558552 | 652051 10/15/201: | 2018 |  | 8 Property D | 0 |
| 2579083 | 328870 2/13/2016, | 2016 |  | 18 Property D | 0 |
| 2584830 | 663110 11/27/201: | 2018 |  | 9 Possible Inj | 0 |

CSAH 9 @ CSAH 23 (201t
Number of Vehicles

## 5-2018)

Officer Narrative
Constructic
On 10/20/2016 at approximately 0820 hours, a City of Lakeville CSO observed two MV's off th M The witness was behind vehicle 2 in the westbound lanes of Dodd Blvd at the intersection of CM On September 28, 2016 at approximately 17:20 I Officer Hanson 4820 responded to a two ver M Vehicle 1 and 2 were merging from two lanes down to 1 . Vehicle 2 merged in and traffic stopr $M$ Lakeville Police Case File \#16-000427Statement of Lakeville Police Officer Johannes \#4881On (M Responded to minor property damage accident near intersection of Cedar Avenue and Dodd E M UNIT \#1 WAS IN THE \#1 LANE N/B CEDAR AT A RED LIGHT AT DODD BLVD. UNIT\#2 WAS BEHIN M On 04/17/2016 at approximately 1209 hours, a property damage hit and run crash was report M Vehicle 1 was northbound Cedar Ave. in the left lane approaching Dodd Blvd. and traveling at M V1 WAS TRAVELING WB ON DODD APPROACHING THE INTERSECTION OF DODD AND CEDAR I M

Driver 2 was traveling northbound Cedar Ave stopped in the turn lane to turn left onto westbı M On 09.13.2018 at 12:00 hours, I responded to Cedar Ave and Dodd Blvd. on the report of a prc M On 6/11/18 at approximately 17:25 hours I Officers Field, Danielson and myself were dispatch، $M$ Vehicle 1 and vehicle 2 were stopped for red light at intersection of Northbound Cedar Avenu $M$ Unit \#1 and unit \#2 stopped on red light at Cedar Ave(NB) \& Dodd Blvd intersection. Both veh M On the listed date and time I was dispatched to a MV Crash without injuries.Upon arrival I con M I, Off. M. Field \#4893, responded to an accident with no reported injuries on westbound Dodd M On the listed date and time I responded to the intersection of Dodd Blvd and Cedar Ave on the $M$ On October 7, 2016 I spoke to driver \#2 who advised that on October 5, 2016 he was involved M On October 14, 2018 at approximately 16:00 hours, Officer's were dispatched to the area of C1 M Vehicle 1 was traveling north in the left lane of Cedar Avenue. Driver stated he saw the green M Unit 1 was traveling EB Dodd Blvd, when the traffic light changed from green to yellow. Unit 1 M Vehicle 2 was on Dodd Blvd just west of Cedar Ave when the vehicle in front of him slowed to M Vehicle 1 was traveling north through the parking lot within the travel lane. The driver stated $\leq M$ Vehicle 1 was traveling nb cedar avenue near intersection with dodd blvd when driver indicate $M$ I, Off. M. Field \#4893 responded to an accident with no reported injuries near the intersection M On 06/20/2016 at approximately 0804 hours, I was dispatched to a Property Damage Crash Io M Vehicle 1 southbound Cedar Ave in the right turn lane to westbound Dodd Blvd. Vehicle 2 sout M On the listed date and time, I responded to the listed location in reference to a two-vehicle pr M I, Off. M. Field \#4893 responded to an accident with no reported injuries at the intersection of M On 01.08.2016 at 1840 hours, I Officer Jacobson was dispatched to the listed location in regarc M I, Off. M. Field \#4893, was dispatched to take the report of an accident with possible injuries tı M Upon arrival at the intersection of Dodd Blvd and Cedar Ave, I observed both vehicles south of M According to both witnesses, Driver 1 was facing e/b Dodd Blvd @ Cedar Ave in the lane to coı M Upon arrival to the intersection of Cedar Ave and Dodd Blvd, I observed Vehicle 1 in the inters M

| County | City | Township | Route Typ¢ Route ID | Route Mea | Roadway N Divided | rsectio\| |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.6027 | DODD BLVISouth | CEDAR AVE |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.88507 | CEDAR AVE | DODD BLVI |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.613903 | DODD BLVI North |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.56899 | DODD BLVD |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.61247 | DODD BLVIEast | CEDAR AVE |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.87128 | CEDAR AVE North | DODD BLVI |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.88582 | CEDAR AVE North | DODD BLVI |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.602046 | DODD BLVD | CEDAR AVE |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.86403 | CEDAR AVE North |  |
| Dakota | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.91659 | CEDAR AVE |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.607715 | dodd blvd | CEDAR AVE |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.89738 | CEDAR AVE South |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.89079 | CEDAR AVE North | DODD BLVI |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.8793 | CEDAR AVE | DODD BLVI |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.88576 | CEDAR AVE North |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.603834 | DODD BLVISouth | CEDAR AVE |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.576298 | DODD BLVIWest |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.602353 | DODD BLVD |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.611316 | DODD BLVD |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.598237 | DODD BLVIEast | CEDAR AVE |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.86419 | CEDAR AVE |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.88817 | CEDAR AVE East | DODD BLVI |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.555461 | DODD BLVD |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | Non-Trafficway | -- NOT ON INot Applicable |  |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.88787 | CEDAR AVE North | DODD BLVI |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.608441 | DODD BLVIEast | CEDAR AVE |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.598018 | DODD BLVI North | CEDAR AVE |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.89088 | CEDAR AVE | DODD BLVI |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.610634 | DODD BLVD |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.89444 | CEDAR AVE West | DODD BLVI |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.8976 | CEDAR AVE South |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.594984 | DODD BLVIEast | CEDAR AVE |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.623298 | DODD BLVINot Ap | able |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 9.629644 | DODD BLVI North |  |
| DAKOTA | Lakeville |  | County Sta 040000659 | 16.89301 | CEDAR AVE South |  |


| Sideswipe - Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | Road Circuiroad_circui Road Circuiroad_cir <br> None | Four-Way I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angle Motor Veh On Roadwã Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Frı Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwé Sunset | None | Not at Inte |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | Road Surface Condition (wet, icy, snow, slush, | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwe Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Sideswipe - Motor Veh On Roadwã Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Sideswipe - Motor Veh On Roadwê Sunrise | None | Not at Inte |
| Angle Motor Veh On Roadwã Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Angle Motor Veh On Roadwã Dark (Stree | None | Four-Way I |
| Angle Motor Veh On Roadwã Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwé Dark (Stree | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | None | Not at Inte |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwà Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwe Daylight | Unknown | Intersectio |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwa Dark (Stree | None | Four-Way I |
| Other Motor Veh On Roadwà Dark (Stree | Road Surface Condition (wet, icy, snow, slush | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | None | Not at Inte |
| Front to Fri Motor Veh Parking Lot Daylight | None | Not at InteI |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwa Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Other Motor Veh On Roadwã Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwé Sunset | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwá Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Angle Motor Veh On Roadwã Daylight | Road Surface Condition (wet, icy, snow, slush, | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwà Dark (Stree | Road Surface Condition (wet, icy, snow, slush, | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwà Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Re Motor Veh On Roadwe Daylight | None | Four-Way I |
| Front to Fri Motor Veh On Roadwã Dark (Stree | None | Five-Way Ir |
| Angle Motor Veh On Roadwã Daylight | None | Four-Way I |


| Traffic Con Weather Pı Weather St Surface Coı Work Zone Work Zone Work Zone Workers Pr Unit1 Type |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Traffic Con Clear | Dry | 2 | NOT APPLICABLE | Motor Veh |
| Traffic Con Clear | Dry | 2 | NOT APPLICABLE | Motor Veh |
| Traffic Con Cloudy | Unknown | Dry | 2 | NOT APPLICABLE | Motor Veh



| t1 No | Unit1 Injur Unit1 Phys | Unit1 Sex | Unit2 Type Unit2 Vehic Unit2 Direc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 77 Male | Motor Veh Passenger I Southboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 29 Female | Motor Veh Passenger I Westbounc |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 55 Female | Motor Veh Sport Utilit Eastbound |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 37 Female | Motor Veh Passenger I Westbounc |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 40 Female | Motor Veh Sport Utilit Eastbound |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 52 Male | Motor Veh Sport Utilit Northboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 22 Female | Motor Veh Passenger I Northboun |
| Level | No Appareı Unknown | 20 Male | Motor Veh Passenger I Southboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 40 Male | Motor Veh Passenger I Northboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 21 Male | Motor Veh Other Light Southboun |
| Level | No Apparel Apparently | 28 Male | Motor Veh Passenger I Northboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 74 Male | Motor Veh Pickup Southboun |
| Level | Suspected Apparently | 29 Female | Motor Veh Passenger I Northboun |
| Level | Possible Inj Apparently | 55 Female | Motor Veh Passenger I Northboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 16 Male | Motor Veh Passenger ' Northboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 31 Male | Motor Veh Sport Utilit Southboun |
| Level | Possible Inj Apparently | 31 Male | Motor Veh Passenger I Westbounc |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 22 Male | Motor Veh Pickup Eastbound |
| Level | No Appareı Unknown | 44 Male | Motor Veh Passenger I Northboun |
| Level | Possible Inj Apparently | 42 Female | Motor Veh Passenger I Eastbound |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 52 Male | Motor Veh Passenger 'Northboun |
| Sag (Bottom) | No Apparel Apparently | 21 Male | Motor Veh Passenger I Southboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 20 Female | Motor Veh Passenger I Westbounc |
|  | No Appareı Apparently | 21 Female | Motor Veh Passenger Car |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 19 Female | Motor Veh Sport Utilit Northboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 51 Male | Motor Veh Passenger I Eastbound |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 68 Male | Motor Veh Pickup Northboun |
| Level | Possible Inj Apparently | 56 Male | Motor Veh Pickup Southboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 37 Male | Motor Veh Passenger I Northboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 17 Female | Motor Veh Passenger I Southboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 19 Male | Motor Veh Passenger I Southboun |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 25 Female | Motor Veh Passenger I Eastbound |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 64 Male | Motor Veh Passenger I Westbounc |
| Level | No Appareı Apparently | 75 Female | Motor Veh Sport Utilit Northboun |
| Level | Possible Inj Apparently | 62 Male | Motor Veh Medium / I Westbounc |

> Unit2 Factc Unit2 Factc Unit2 Most Unit2 Vehic Unit2 Nonr Unit2 Injur Unit2 Physi Unit2 Age Unit2 Sex No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Moving Forward No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Moving Forward No Clear Contributing Action Moving Forward No AppareıApparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Vehicle Stopped or Sta No Appareı Apparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Vehicle Stopped or Sta No Appareı Apparently Following Too Closely Motor Veh Moving Forward No AppareıApparently Operated Motor Vehic Motor Veh Vehicle Stopped or Sta No Appareı Apparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Moving Forward Improper Turn/Merge Motor Veh Changing Lanes Failure to Yield Right-o Motor Veh Moving Forward No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Turning Left Operated Motor Vehic Motor Veh Moving Forward No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Slowing Other Contributing Act Motor Veh Moving Forward No AppareıApparently No AppareıApparently Possible Inj Apparently No AppareıApparently No AppareıApparently No AppareıApparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Vehicle Stopped or Sta No AppareıApparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Vehicle Stopped or Sta No Appareı Apparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Vehicle Stopped or Sta No Appareı Apparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Turning Right No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Other Following Too Closely Slowing No Appareı Apparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Vehicle Stopped or Sta No Appareı Apparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Moving Forward No AppareıApparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Slowing
> No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Moving Forward Following Too Closely Motor Veh Moving Forward Operated Motor Vehic Motor Veh Moving Forward No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Moving Forward No AppareıApparently No AppareıApparently No AppareıApparently No Appareı Apparently No Appareı Apparently Other Contributing Act Motor Veh Moving Forward No AppareıApparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Vehicle Stopped or Sta No Appareı Apparently Driver Speє Operated ^ Motor Veh Turning Right No Appareı Apparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Vehicle Stopped or Sta No Appareı Apparently Operated Motor Vehicle in Carele: Moving Forward No Appareı Apparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Vehicle Stopped or Sta No AppareI Apparently No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Moving Forward No Clear Contributing ، Motor Veh Moving Forward No AppareıApparently No AppareıApparently
> 17 Male
> 20 Female
> 33 Male
> 52 Female
> 23 Female
> 26 Male
> 38 Male
> 19 Female
> 16 Female
> 37 Male
> 57 Female
> 34 Male
> 40 Female
> 35 Female
> 39 Female
> 53 Female
> 66 Female
> 40 Male
> 49 Male
> 18 Male
> 45 Female
> 54 Female
> 78 Male
> 68 Female
> 24 Female
> 16 Male
> 41 Female
> 42 Male
> 60 Female
> 43 Male
> 22 Male
> 17 Male
> 49 Male
> 44 Female
> 38 Male

Unit3 Type Unit3 Vehic Unit3 Direc Unit3 Factc Unit3 Factc Unit3 Most Unit3 Vehic Unit3 Nonr Unit3 Injur

Unit3 Physi Unit3 Age Unit3 Sex Unit4 Type Unit4 Vehic Unit4 Direc Unit4 Factc Unit4 Factc Unit4 Most

Apparently 44 Female

Apparently 31 Female

Unit4 Vehic Unit4 Nonr Unit4 Injur Unit4 Physi Unit4 Age Unit4 Sex interchangıotst_inters city_sectiol DODD BLVD AND CED/ DODD BLVD AND CED/ DODD BLVD AND CED/

DODD BLVD AND CED/

DODD BLVD AND CEDf
DODD BLVD AND CED•

DODD BLVD AND CED/ DODD BLVD AND CED/ DODD BLVD AND CED/ DODD BLVD AND CEDf DODD BLVD AND CEDf DODD BLVD AND CED/

DODD BLVD AND CED/ DODD BLVD AND CED/

DODD BLVD AND CED/

DODD BLVD AND CED/ DODD BLVD AND CEDf

DODD BLVD AND CED/ DODD BLVD AND CED/ DODD BLVD AND CED/ DODD BLVD AND CED/

DODD BLVD AND CED/ DODD BLVD AND CED $/$ DODD BLVD AND CED•

| utmx | utmy |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 482754.9 | 4949074 | 482754.9 | 4949074 |
| 482757.8 | 4949069 | 482757.8 | 4949069 |
| 482772.4 | 4949078 | 482772.4 | 4949078 |
| 482696.8 | 4949042 | 482696.8 | 4949042 |
| 482771.1 | 4949070 | 482771.1 | 4949070 |
| 482779 | 4949047 | 482779 | 4949047 |
| 482776.4 | 4949071 | 482776.4 | 4949071 |
| 482753.6 | 4949075 | 482753.6 | 4949075 |
| 482775.8 | 4949036 | 482775.8 | 4949036 |
| 482766.1 | 4949120 | 482766.1 | 4949120 |
| 482762.6 | 4949076 | 482762.6 | 4949076 |
| 482774.7 | 4949089 | 482774.7 | 4949089 |
| 482776.8 | 4949079 | 482776.8 | 4949079 |
| 482781.1 | 4949060 | 482781.1 | 4949060 |
| 482778.5 | 4949071 | 482778.5 | 4949071 |
| 482755.4 | 4949084 | 482755.4 | 4949084 |
| 482703.8 | 4949060 | 482703.8 | 4949060 |
| 482753.6 | 4949077 | 482753.6 | 4949077 |
| 482768.4 | 4949077 | 482768.4 | 4949077 |
| 482738.7 | 4949067 | 482738.7 | 4949067 |
| 482776.6 | 4949036 | 482776.6 | 4949036 |
| 482757.9 | 4949074 | 482757.9 | 4949074 |
| 482681.8 | 4949053 | 482681.8 | 4949053 |
| 482818.1 | 4949052 | 482818.1 | 4949052 |
| 482780.8 | 4949074 | 482780.8 | 4949074 |
| 482753.6 | 4949074 | 482753.6 | 4949074 |
| 482747.1 | 4949074 | 482747.1 | 4949074 |
| 482755.4 | 4949078 | 482755.4 | 4949078 |
| 482766.3 | 4949085 | 482766.3 | 4949085 |
| 482755.3 | 4949084 | 482755.3 | 4949084 |
| 482760.5 | 4949089 | 482760.5 | 4949089 |
| 482733.2 | 4949067 | 482733.2 | 4949067 |
| 482777.5 | 4949075 | 482777.5 | 4949075 |
| 482795.3 | 4949088 | 482795.3 | 4949088 |
| 482755.9 | 4949081 | 482755.9 | 4949081 |



City of Lakeville
Positioned to Thrive

May 5, 2020
Mr. Mark Krebsbach
Dakota County Transportation Director/ County
Engineer 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, MN 55124

## RE: $\quad 2020$ Regional Solicitation Letter of Support for Dakota County CP 09-56 - CSAH 9 (179 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street) Realignment Project

Dear Mr. Krebsbach:

The City of Lakeville supports Dakota County's application for federal funding for the realignment and construction of the new segment of CSAH 9 (179 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street) from east of Highview Avenue to Cedar Avenue (CSAH 23). 179 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street will be designed as a four-lane divided urban roadway with pedestrian trails along both sides of the roadway between Hayes Avenue and Cedar Avenue. Access modifications and intersection improvements will be included at the intersections of Cedar Avenue (CSAH 23) at Glacier Way, Dodd Boulevard and 179th Street.

The City of Lakeville understands the project is a joint effort between the City of Lakeville and Dakota County and that the Dakota County Board of Commissioners is committed to fund and construct the project in cooperation with the City of Lakeville.

The City of Lakeville concurs with the improvements in the geometric layout and is supportive of the implementation of the project. The City also supports this project for federal funding and agrees to provide a financial commitment for the improvements, consistent with the polices included in the current adopted Dakota County Transportation Plan and Joint Powers Agreement. Thank you for making us aware of this application effort and the opportunity to provide support.

Sincerely,


City Engineer

based on applicable County policies, including $15 \%$ maximum cap of total Countyeligible project costs. Bond funding anticipated for this project. Programmed for 2020 construction. Kenrick Avenue programmed as a 2-lane undivided collector roadway consistent with City Transportation Plans. Project to be completed with Developer-installed improvements in conjunction with adjacent development.

## CP 20-10: 185 th St/Future CSAH 60 (Highview Ave - Hamburg Ave)

Programmed for 2020/2021 construction. Identified as a future transfer to Dakota County jurisdiction, $185^{\text {th }}$ Street will be constructed as a 2-lane divided highway consistent with City and County Transportation Plans. Project to be completed with Developer-installed improvements in conjunction with adjacent development (Pinnacle Reserve at Avonlea). City's estimated project construction cost-share and final payment programmed for 2023. Project is subject to development.
Programmed for 2022 construction. Identified as a future transfer to City jurisdiction, Dodd Boulevard designed as a 2-lane undivided collector roadway, consistent with City Transportation Plans (see further discussion above under project 20-08). Cost estimate based on applicable County policies.

## CP 21-06: $209^{\text {th }}$ Street (Kenrick Ave $-1 / 8^{\text {th }}$ mile west of Kensington Blvd)

Programmed for 2021 construction. 209 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (Kenrick Avenue) to be realigned from the existing $210^{\text {th }}$ Street/CSAH 70 intersection (permanently removing access) to existing terminus $1 / 8^{\text {th }}$ mile west of Kensington Boulevard to improve intersection geometrics and operations, make safety improvements and provide for increasing traffic levels. 209 th Street programmed as a 2-lane undivided collector roadway, consistent with City Transportation Plans. Project to be completed with Developerinstalled improvements in conjunction with adjacent development. Cost estimate based on applicable County policies.

## CP 23-04: 179th St/Future CSAH 9 (Hayes Ave - Cedar Ave)

Programmed for 2023 construction. Identified as a future transfer to Dakota County urisdiction, $179^{\text {th }}$ Street will be constructed as a 4-lane divided highway consistent with City and County Transportation Plans. Cost estimate based on applicable County policies. Bond funding anticipated for this project.


Project Type
$3 / 4$ Intersection
Roundabout
Collector Rehabilitation


$\simeq \quad$ z

| CIP \# | Financing / Project | Status* | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { 2020-2024 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipal State Aid/Municpal State Aid Bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XX-01 | Pavement Management - Maintenance | R | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 600,000 |
| 14-10 | Kenwood Tr/CSAH 50 (185th St-Dodd Blvd) | IP | 1,000,000 | - | - | - | - | 1,000,000 |
| 15-12 | 202nd St/CSAH 50 (Holyoke Ave-Cedar Ave/CSAH 23)- Note 1 (bonds) | IP | 1,403,750 | - | - | - | - | 1,403,750 |
| 20-05 | County Road 70 Expansion Project - Note 1 (bonds) | IP | - | - | 656,250 | - | 3,323,250 | 3,979,500 |
| 20-07 | Dodd Blvd/CSAH 9 \& Heritage Drive/Icenic Trail | IP | 45,000 | - | - | - | - | 45,000 |
| 20-08 | 179th St \& Flagstaff Ave Roundabout | IP | - | - | - | 3,066,280 | - | 3,066,280 |
| 20-10 | 185th St/Future CSAH 60 (Highview Ave- Street 7) | IP | - | - | - | 165,682 | - | 165,682 |
| 20-12 | Dodd Blvd/CSAH 9 and 194th St | $N$ | - | - | 247,500 | - | - | 247,500 |
| 21-05 | Dodd Blvd/CSAH 9 (Gerdine Path - Dodd Ln) | N | - | - | - | 700,000 | - | 700,000 |
| 23-04 | 179th St/Future CSAH 9 (Hayes Ave - Cedar Ave) | IP | - | - | 105,859 | 685,680 | - | 791,539 |
| 24-04 | 185th St/Future CSAH 60 (Dodd Blvd - Highview Ave) - Note 1 (bonds) | IP | - | - | 119,984 | 578,592 | 1,151,942 | 1,850,518 |
| 24-05 | Holyoke Ave \& 207th St |  | - | - | - | 9,750 | 315,250 | 325,000 |
| 24-06 | Ipava Ave \& 165th St | $N$ | - | - | - | 26,700 | 780,000 | 806,700 |
|  | Total Municipal State Aid |  | 2,568,750 | 120,000 | 1,249,593 | 5,352,684 | 5,690,442 | 14,981,469 |
|  | Property Taxes and Fund Balance Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XX-02 | Street Reconstruction | R | 293,019 | 273,559 | 303,324 | 285,671 | 288,893 | 1,444,466 |
| XX-01 | Pavement Management - Maintenance | R | 1,422,074 | 1,451,757 | 1,508,627 | 1,567,772 | 1,629,283 | 7,579,513 |
|  | Total Property Taxes and Fund Balance Reserves |  | 1,715,093 | 1,725,316 | 1,811,951 | 1,853,443 | 1,918,176 | 9,023,979 |
|  | Tax Increment Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-09 | Kenrick Ave Extension (181st St to Canadian Pacific Railroad) | N | 200,000 | - | - | - | - | 200,000 |
| 21-06 | 209th St (Kenrick Ave- Kensington Blvd) | N | - | 200,000 | - | - | - | 200,000 |
|  | Total Property Taxes and Fund Balance Reserves |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | - | - | - | 400,000 |
|  | Dakota County (Transportation projects only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-09 | 179th St/Future CSAH 9 (Fieldcrest Ave- Pilot Knob Rd) | IP | 690,000 | - | - | - | - | 690,000 |
| 20-07 | Other grant- Dodd Blvd/CSAH 9 \& Heritage Drive/Icenic Trail |  | 100,000 | - | - | - | - | 100,000 |
| 20-08 | 179th St \& Flagstaff Ave Roundabout | IP | 4,245,120 | - | - | - | - | 4,245,120 |
| 20-10 | 185th St/Future CSAH 60 (Highview Ave- Street 7) | IP | 262,103 | - | - | - | - | 262,103 |
| 21-06 | 209th St (Kenrick Ave- Kensington Blvd) | $N$ | - | 890,152 | - | - | - | 890,152 |
|  | Total Dakota County (transportation contributions) |  | 5,297,223 | 890,152 | - | - | - | 6,187,375 |
|  | Total Transportation Projects |  | 23,812,011 | 13,439,281 | 13,586,967 | 17,476,669 | 14,717,568 | 83,032,496 |
|  | Water Trunk Fund - Fees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-12 | $202 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{St/CSAH} 50$ (Holyoke Ave-Cedar Ave/CSAH 23) | IP | 625,000 | - | - | - | - | 625,000 |
| 20-05 | County Road 70 Expansion Project | IP | 600,000 | 600,000 | - | - | - | 1,200,000 |
| 22-03 | Lakeville Blvd (Holyoke Ave - Cedar Ave), 210th Street (Kensington Blvd - Holyoke Ave) | IP | - | - | 165,000 | - | - | 165,000 |
| 24-04 | 185th St/Future CSAH 60 (Dodd Blvd - Highview Ave) | IP | - | - | - | - | 425,000 | 425,000 |
| U-4 | Well Construction | R | - | - | - | 50,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,050,000 |
| U-5 | Watermain Trunk Extensions | R | 265,000 | 265,000 | 265,000 | 265,000 | 265,000 | 1,325,000 |
| U-6 | Water Distribution Features | N | 150,000 | - | 150,000 | - | 150,000 | 450,000 |
|  | Total |  | 1,640,000 | 865,000 | 580,000 | 315,000 | 1,840,000 | 5,240,000 |
|  | Total Water Trunk Fund |  | 1,640,000 | 865,000 | 580,000 | 315,000 | 1,840,000 | 5,240,000 |





- 





 | $70,046,900$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |

 | $3,0,0000$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |





COUNTY

May 15, 2020

## Regional Solicitation Funding Application for Roadway Expansion Project of $179^{\text {th }}$ Street (CP 9-56)

The Dakota County Transportation Capital Improvements Program (CIP) identifies County Project (CP) 9-56, the reconstruction of CSAH 9 (Dodd Boulevard) from Gerdine Path to CSAH 31 (Pilot Knob Road) in Lakeville; and the portion of 179th Street (new alignment) from Hayes Avenue to CSAH 23 (Cedar Avenue) in Lakeville. This project will bring CSAH 9 (179th Street) to current County standards and Dodd Boulevard to current City standards in preparation for future turnback to the City of Lakeville. Once 179th Street provides connection from Hayes to CSAH 23 it will become the new County Road/County State Aid Highway. Additionally, the signal at the intersection of CSAH 9 and CSAH 23 will be removed and reconfigured to a $3 / 4$ directional access intersection condition.

On May 20, 2003 (Resolution No. 03-285), the Dakota County Board of Commissioners adopted the East West Corridor Preservation Study, which defined the general location of three new county roadways. The study identified the 179th Street alignment through the City of Lakeville, as a future county minor arterial route as Alignment B. This alignment serves the transportation needs across multiple local jurisdictions including Lakeville, Empire Township and the City of Farmington by eventually connecting Dodd Boulevard (CSAH 9) to Trunk Highway 3. Dodd Boulevard north and east of Highview Avenue is planned as a City Major Collector roadway, following jurisdictional transfer.

CSAH 9 (Dodd Boulevard) was constructed in 1948 from Highview Avenue to CSAH 31 (Pilot Knob Road) as a two-lane rural roadway. In 2003 CSAH 9 was reconstructed as a four-lane divided urban section from a point 600 feet west to a point 3,000 feet east of CSAH 23. In addition, 179th street was constructed in 2003 from CSAH 23 to Flagstaff Avenue as part of the Crossroads Development. The project included the reconstruction of the CSAH 9 and CSAH 23 and CSAH 9 and 179th Street intersections as a signalized intersection.

In 2019, the City and County completed an updated Corridor Study of the CSAH 9 corridor between Highview Avenue and Pilot Knob Road. The Study included updates to the regional traffic model to better predict the traffic volume and pattern changes once the transportation improvements are completed along Dodd Boulevard and 179th Street, including the intersection modifications at Dodd/Cedar. Design alternatives were prepared for both Dodd Boulevard (Gerdine to Pilot Knob Road) and 179th Street (Cedar to Flagstaff). In 2020, final construction documents were prepared for the reconstruction of 179th Street between Cedar Avenue to Fieldcrest Avenue, including a roundabout at Flagstaff Avenue. These improvements will be constructed in 2020. Additionally, 179th Street will be extended to Pilot Knob Road from Fieldcrest Avenue in 2020 through a private development improvement project

- Total Construction Cost: \$10,600,000
- Requested Award Amount: \$7,000,000



## Executive Summary

## Background/Introduction

For nearly two decades, Dakota County and the City of Lakeville have been planning for an East-toWest roadway that would help accommodate an area wide system and travel network. The Dakota County East-West Corridor Preservation Study was completed in June 2003. This study assessed the transportation system needs for the rapidly growing area in the Lakeville, Farmington and Empire Township communities in southern Dakota County. The East-West Corridor Preservation Study was to address east-west transportation system deficiencies and to identify preservation corridors for future east-west roadway connections. Five preservation corridors were identified and adopted by the affected communities. 179th Street was the corridor identified within Lakeville; it was identified as a corridor to preserve for future east-west arterial roadway system connectivity between I-35 on the west and TH 3 on the east.

Dakota County and the City of Lakeville have partnered on the current County Road 9 and 179th Street Corridor Study to coordinate planning efforts and identify required improvements to serve as a basis for the jurisdictional transfer of two roadway segments in the City of Lakeville - County Road 9 (Dodd Boulevard) and 179th Street between Highview Avenue and Pilot Knob Road. The planned improvements to these two roadways will provide for improved safety, traffic operations and increasing traffic levels that can better serve the region in the future.

The County Road 9 and 179th Street Corridor Study includes preliminary feasibility study and analysis of intersection and roadway improvements, preliminary engineering designs, necessary surveying and cost estimates to better inform Dakota County and the City of Lakeville on how the two roadway segments need to be improved to meet anticipated future traffic demands.

The jurisdictional transfer limits of County Road 9 (Dodd Boulevard) and future County Road 9 (179th Street) are from Highview Avenue to Pilot Knob Road. The focus of the preliminary feasibility study and traffic analysis is from Gerdine Path to Dodd Lane along Dodd Boulevard, including intersection improvement analysis at Dodd Boulevard and Cedar Avenue; and the 179th Street segment from Cedar Avenue through the Flagstaff Avenue intersection (see Figure 1).

## Study Objectives and Major Tasks

The key outcome of the County Road 9 and 179th Street Corridor Study was to identify, technically evaluate, and develop visual concepts for geometric design layouts of Dodd Boulevard and 179th Street. The Study evaluated existing and future transportation conditions, including:

- Jurisdictional Classification
- Functional Classification
- Trail/Sidewalk Systems
- Natural Resource Constraints
- Corridor Access
- Safety
- Traffic Analysis
- Roadway Design Needs

The County Road 9 and 179th Street Corridor Study began in January 2019 as a cooperative effort between Dakota County and Lakeville. SRF Consulting Group (SRF) was retained to assist with technical analysis, public engagement, and corridor design recommendations for the two corridors within the study limits.

The study partners and consultant team collaboratively engaged the public during the process to understand their perspective regarding roadway needs, issues, and opportunities. The resultant recommendations contained herein were developed with input from the public and fortified by the technical analysis. The sections that follow provide an overview of the input received from the public, outcomes of the analysis, and final recommendations to be implemented with upcoming programmed or planned projects.

## Dakota County/Lakeville Planned Improvement Projects

Based on the results of the Corridor Study, Dakota County and the City of Lakeville are partnering on several projects along 179th Street and Dodd Boulevard over the next few years to improve intersection operations, make safety improvements and provide for increasing traffic levels. The reconstruction of 179th Street from Cedar Avenue to Fieldfare Way is programmed to begin in the Spring/Summer of 2020. Proposed improvements include:
a) reconstruction to a two-lane divided highway;
b) roundabout at the 179 th Street/Flagstaff Avenue intersection;
c) dedicated turn lanes at intersections; and
d) geometric modifications to meet County roadway standards.

179th Street will be constructed and extended between Fieldcrest Avenue and Pilot Knob Road by November 2020 through a private development agreement with the City of Lakeville. In 2022, improvements are programmed along 179th Street and Dodd Boulevard. The 179th Street improvements include the extension and realignment of 179th Street as a four-lane divided roadway west of Cedar Avenue connecting with Dodd Boulevard at Hayes Avenue. In conjunction with the realignment, the existing Dodd Boulevard roadway will be removed between Hayes Avenue and 175th Street.

The Dodd Boulevard improvements include reconstruction between Gerdine Path and Dodd Lane to a City standard collector roadway. The programmed improvements include:
a) reconstruction and widening of the roadway to a two-lane undivided urban road (i.e., curbs installed), with turn lanes at certain intersections;
b) pedestrian trails along the north and south side of the roadway; and
c) potential traffic calming measures will be reviewed during preliminary design (i.e., raised median in the center of the road, curb "bump outs" at intersections, mid-block pedestrian/bicycle crossing(s), etc.).

Additionally, upon completion of the 179th Street realignment and Dodd Boulevard improvements, the traffic signal at Cedar Avenue and Dodd Boulevard will be removed and replaced by a $3 / 4$ directional access intersection. The intersection will allow all movements from Cedar Avenue onto Dodd Boulevard, but will restrict access to right turns only from Dodd Boulevard to Cedar Avenue.

Following completion of the transportation improvement projects, the City and County will transfer jurisdiction (who owns and oversees the roads) of 179th Street (will become a County roadway) and Dodd Boulevard (will become a City roadway). This ownership and oversight change of the two roadways will indicate who plows the road, maintains the road, handles future project costs, etc.


Planned Transportation Improvement Projects

The documentation contained herein supports the improvement projects outlined for Dodd Boulevard and 179th Street within the limits of this study.


SRH Sumptee
CR 9 \& 179th Street Corridor Study
Figure 1

