Application

13861-2020 Roadway Modernization
14014 - University Avenue (I-35E to Lafayette Rd)
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status:
Submitted Date:

Submitted
05/14/2020 3:01 PM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  | Donald |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Engineer IV |  |  |  |
| Department: | Public Works |  |  |  |
| Email: | don.pflaum@ci.stpaul.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 900 City Hall Annex |  |  |  |
|  | 25 West 4th Street |  |  |  |
| * | St. Paul | Minnesota |  | 55401 |
|  | City | State/Province |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 651-266-9147 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements |  |  |  |

## Organization Information

| Jurisdictional Agency (if different): |  | City |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Organization Type: |  |  |
| Organization Website: | DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS-CITY HALL ANNEX |  |
| Address: | 25 W 4TH ST \#1500 |  |

## Project Information



## Project Funding

Are you applying for competitive funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)
Federal Amount
\$6,880,000.00
Match Amount
\$1,720,000.00

Minimum of $20 \%$ of project total

Project Total
\$8,600,000.00
For transit projects, the total cost for the application is total cost minus fare revenues.
Match Percentage
20.0\%

Minimum of $20 \%$
Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total

Source of Match Funds
City of St. Paul funding
A minimum of $20 \%$ of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the $20 \%$ minimum can come from other federal sources

Preferred Program Year
Select one:
2025
Select 2022 or 2023 for TDM projects only. For all other applications, select 2024 or 2025.
Additional Program Years:
Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

## Project Information-Roadways

| County, City, or Lead Agency | City of Saint Paul |
| :---: | :---: |
| Functional Class of Road | Minor Arterial Reliever |
| Road System | MSAS |
| TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET |  |
| Road/Route No. | 137 |
| i.e., 53 for CSAH 53 |  |
| Name of Road | University Avenue |
| Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE |  |
| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55101 |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date | 04/07/2025 |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date | 10/10/2025 |
| TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work) |  |
| From: <br> (Intersection or Address) | 12th Street |
| To: <br> (Intersection or Address) | Lafayette Road |
| DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION |  |
| Or At |  |
| Miles of Sidewalk (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0.6 |
| Miles of Trail (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0.3 |
| Miles of Trail on the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0 |

Primary Types of Work

Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF,
SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER,
SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS,
BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)
Old Bridge/Culvert No.:
New Bridge/Culvert No.:
Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name):

Curb and Gutter, Bituminous Pavement, Lighting, Ped Ramps, Signals, Storm Sewer, Bike path

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2018), the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2018), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan goals, objectives, and strategies that relate to the project.

Briefly list the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:

This project will provide safer routes for bicyclists.
By providing an off-road trail for bikes and pedestrians, non-drivers will be allowed more and safer access to downtown destinations west of 35E. This project will also connect regional bike transportation networks tier one and two alignments creating a better trail overall.

Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words
3. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

List the applicable documents and pages:
The City of Saint Paul Bike Plan calls for an off street bicycle trail on University Avenue similar to what is constructed on an adjacent segment of University Avenue west of 12 th street.

Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words
4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5. Applicants that are not State Aid cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below.
Strategic Capacity (Roadway Expansion): \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000
Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Traffic Management Technologies (Roadway System Management): \$250,000 to \$3,500,000
Spot Mobility and Safety: \$1,000,000 to \$3,500,000
Bridges Rehabilitation/Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.In order for a selected project to be included in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and approved by USDOT, the public agency sponsor must either have a current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) self-evaluation or transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation, as required under Title II of the ADA. The plan must be completed by the local agency before the Regional Solicitation application deadline. For the 2022 Regional Solicitation funding cycle, this requirement may include that the plan is updated within the past five years.

The applicant is a public agency that employs 50 or more people and has a completed ADA transition plan that covers the public Yes right of way/transportation.

Date plan completed:

Link to plan:

The applicant is a public agency that employs fewer than 50 people and has a completed ADA self-evaluation that covers the public right of way/transportation.

Date self-evaluation completed:
Link to plan:
Upload plan or self-evaluation if there is no link
Upload as PDF
10.The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
11.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project year-round for the useful life of the improvement, per FHWA direction established 8/27/2008 and updated 6/27/2017.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

> 12. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.
> Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
> 13. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.
> Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
> 14. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a principal arterial (non-freeway facilities only) or A-minor arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Roadway Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization and Spot Mobility projects only:
2. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement and Strategic Capacity projects only:
3.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a principal arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
5. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The bridge must have a National Bridge Inventory Rating of 6 or less for rehabilitation projects and 4 or less for replacement projects.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Roadway Expansion, Reconstruction/Modernization, and Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
7. All roadway projects that involve the construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps must have approval by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Interchange Planning Review Committee prior to application submittal. Please contact Michael Corbett at MnDOT ( Michael.J.Corbett@state.mn.us or 651-234-7793) to determine whether your project needs to go through this process as described in Appendix F of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Specific Roadway Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES
Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... \$343,750.00
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... \$343,750.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) ..... $\$ 300,000.00$
Roadway (aggregates and paving) ..... \$1,500,000.00
Subgrade Correction (muck) ..... \$50,000.00
Storm Sewer ..... \$600,000.00
Ponds ..... $\$ 0.00$
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) ..... $\$ 350,000.00$
Traffic Control ..... \$250,000.00
Striping ..... \$60,000.00
Signing ..... \$75,000.00
Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping ..... \$60,000.00
Bridge ..... $\$ 0.00$
Retaining Walls ..... \$500,000.00
Noise Wall (not calculated in cost effectiveness measure) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Signals ..... \$1,000,000.00
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... \$22,500.00
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... \$500,000.00
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$5,955,000.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES
Sidewalk Construction ..... \$100,000.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... $\$ 130,000.00$
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... \$300,000.00
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... \$30,000.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$910,000.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES
Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... \$10,000.00
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, ..... $\$ 0.00$
fare collection, etc.)
fare collection, etc.)
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$10,000.00
Transit Operating Costs

| Number of Platform hours | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc. | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Totals

Total Cost

Construction Cost Total
\$6,875,000.00
Transit Operating Cost Total

| Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Existing Employment within 1 Mile: | 86307 |
| Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1 | 3577 |
| Mile: | 8879 |
| Existing Post-Secondary Students within 1 Mile: | 1585921458715 _Regional Economy Map.pdf |
| Upload Map |  |

## Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

RESPONSE: Select one for your project, based on the Regional Truck Corridor Study:
Along Tier 1:
Miles:
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
Along Tier 2:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
Along Tier 3:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
The project provides a direct and immediate connection (i.e., intersects) with either a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 corridor:

Yes

None of the tiers:

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | Halfway between Pine Street and Olive Street |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 15700 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | 2 |
| For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will likely be diverted to the new proposed roadway (if applicable). |  |
| Upload Transit Connections Map | 1585858604254 _Transit Connections Map.pdf |
| Please upload attachment in PDF form. |  |

Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume

## OR

Identify the approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

Forecast (2040) ADT volume

Ramsey county has determined that we will have a traffic growth percentage of $.5 \%$. Therefore forecasting our 2040 ADT to be 15779

15779

## Measure A: Connection to disadvantaged populations and projects benefits, impacts, and mitigation

1.Sub-measure: Equity Population Engagement: A successful project is one that is the result of active engagement of low-income populations, people of color, persons with disabilities, youth and the elderly. Engagement should occur prior to and during a projects development, with the intent to provide direct benefits to, or solve, an expressed transportation issue, while also limiting and mitigating any negative impacts. Describe and map the location of any low-income populations, people of color, disabled populations, youth or the elderly within a $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. Describe how these specific populations were engaged and provided outreach to, whether through community planning efforts, project needs identification, or during the project development process. Describe what engagement methods and tools were used and how the input is reflected in the projects purpose and need and design. Elements of quality engagement include: outreach and engagement to specific communities and populations that are likely to be directly impacted by the project; techniques to reach out to populations traditionally not involved in community engagement related to transportation projects; feedback from these populations identifying potential positive and negative elements of the proposed project through engagement, study recommendations, or plans that provide feedback from populations that may be impacted by the proposed project. If relevant, describe how NEPA or Title VI regulations will guide engagement activities.

> This project is in an area of concentrated poverty and race. The community engagement was compiled into a greater project called the Saint Paul Bike Plan. This plan is a three phase plan that included community engagement, letters, and online questionnaires.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
2.Sub-measure: Equity Population Benefits and Impacts: A successful project is one that has been designed to provide direct benefits to lowincome populations, people of color, persons with disabilities, youth and the elderly. All projects must mitigate potential negative benefits as required under federal law. Projects that are designed to provide benefits go beyond the mitigation requirement to proactively provide transportation benefits and solve transportation issues experienced by Equity populations.
a.Describe the projects benefits to low-income populations, people of color, children, people with disabilities, and the elderly. Benefits could relate to pedestrian and bicycle safety improvements; public health benefits; direct access improvements for residents or improved access to destinations such as jobs, school, health care or other; travel time improvements; gap closures; new transportation services or modal options, leveraging of other beneficial projects and investments; and/or community connection and cohesion improvements. Note that this is not an exhaustive list.

Response:

> The bike trail will connect the are to downtown Saint Paul, to hospitals and to commercial areas. Thus providing access to health services and retail employment opportunities too non-drivers.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
b. Describe any negative impacts to low-income populations, people of color, children, people with disabilities, and the elderly created by the project, along with measures that will be taken to mitigate them. Negative impacts that are not adequately mitigated can result in a reduction in points.
Below is a list of negative impacts. Note that this is not an exhaustive list.
Increased difficulty in street crossing caused by increased roadway width, increased traffic speed, wider turning radii, or other elements that negatively impact pedestrian access.
Increased noise.
Decreased pedestrian access through sidewalk removal / narrowing, placement of barriers along the walking path, increase in auto-oriented curb cuts, etc.
Project elements that are detrimental to location-based air quality by increasing stop/start activity at intersections, creating vehicle idling areas, directing an increased number of vehicles to a particular point, etc.
Increased speed and/or cut-through traffic.
Removed or diminished safe bicycle access.
Inclusion of some other barrier to access to jobs and other destinations.
Displacement of residents and businesses.
Mitigation of temporary construction/implementation impacts such as dust; noise; reduced access for travelers and to businesses; disruption of utilities; and eliminated street crossings.
Other

Response:

> Some minor negative affects will be mitigation of temporary construction impacts such as dust and noise. There will also be temporary reduced access for travelers and to businesses and disruption of utilities.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

## Select one:

3.Sub-measure: Bonus Points Those projects that score at least $80 \%$ of the maximum total points available through sub-measures 1 and 2 will be awarded bonus points based on the geographic location of the project. These points will be assigned as follows, based on the highestscoring geography the project contacts:
a. 25 points to projects within an Area of Concentrated Poverty with $50 \%$ or more people of color
b. 20 points to projects within an Area of Concentrated Poverty
c. 15 points to projects within census tracts with the percent of population in poverty or population of color above the regional average percent
d. 10 points for all other areas

Project is located in an Area of Concentrated Poverty where 50\%
or more of residents are people of color (ACP50): Yes
Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty:
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for
population in poverty or population of color:

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly:
(up to $40 \%$ of maximum score )
Upload the "Socio-Economic Conditions" map used for this measure. The second map created for sub measure A1 can be uploaded on the Other Attachments Form, or can be combined with the "Socio-Economic Conditions" map into a single PDF and uploaded here.

Upload Map
1585916625926_Socio-Economic Conditions Map.pdf

## Measure B: Part 1: Housing Performance Score

| Segment Length <br> (For stand-alone <br> projects, enter <br> population from | Segment <br> Length/Total | Score | Housing Score <br> Megional Economy <br> map) within each <br> City/Township |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Length |  |  |  |$\quad$| Segment percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Total Project Length

Total Project Length 0.32

Project length entered on the Project Information - General form.

## Housing Performance Score

Total Project Length (Miles) or Population 0.32

Total Housing Score 100.0

## Affordable Housing Scoring

## Part 2: Affordable Housing Access

Reference Access to Affordable Housing Guidance located under Regional Solicitation Resources for information on how to respond to this measure and create the map.
If text box is not showing, click Edit or "Add" in top right of page.

The modernization of University Avenue from a four lane road to a three lane road with a bike lane will support the affordable housing development on the west side of the project. The affordable house development was built in 2015 and has 302 units. The area north of our construction limits is an area of concentrated poverty that has $50 \%$ or greater residents of color.
(Limit 2,100 characters; approximately 300 words)
Upload map:
1585923460475_Socio-Economic Conditions Map.pdf

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original
Roadway Construction
or Most Recent
Reconstruction
1998

599.4
1998.0

0
599
1998

## Total Project Length

Total Project Length (as entered in "Project Information" form) 0.32

## Average Construction Year

Weighted Year
1998

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Total Segment Length0.3

## Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

Improved roadway to better accommodate freight movements:

The project will improved the roadway to accommodate freight movements by constructing new private driveways and access points for private properties. This will improve the turn radius for freight and decrease the time while completing their turns.
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Improved clear zones or sight lines:

Response:
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Improved roadway geometrics:

Response:
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Access management enhancements:

Response:
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Vertical/horizontal alignment improvements:
Response:
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Improved stormwater mitigation:

Response:
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Signals/lighting upgrades:

Yes
By installing a boulevard we are improving the sight lines to adjacent streets. We will also being re placing all the signs in the boulevard and off of the sidewalks.

Yes
As part of the design process will consider a roundabout or reconfigure the Lafayette intersection to be safer for pedestrians. We will also tighten up the geometry of the roadway providing a more efficient corridor.

Yes
Wherever possible we will try to minimize the number of duplicative driveways.

## Yes

Storm water BMP's will be installed with this project in accordance with the requirements of the Watershed District.

Yes

For this project we will be installing four new signals and also lighting will be installed along the corridor.

Response:
All the signals will have APS technology and will be ADA compliant.
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Other Improvements

Response:
Yes
Converting the corridor from a four land to a three lane road will give space for a boulevard that will occupy signs and trees. This will remove them from the sidewalk and make it more safe for pedestrians.

Off-road bicycle/pedestrian path will be constructed.

## Measure A: Congestion Reduction/Air Quality

| Total Peak |  |  |  |  |  | EXPLANA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | Total Peak | Total Peak |  |  |  | TION of |

## Vehicle Delay Reduced

Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced
Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced

0
0

Measure B:Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements

| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) <br> Peak Hour Emissions <br> without the Project <br> (Kilograms): | Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) <br> Peak Hour Emissions with <br> the Project (Kilograms): | Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) <br> Peak Hour Emissions <br> Reduced by the Project <br> (Kilograms): |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 |

## Total

Total Emissions Reduced:
Upload Synchro Report
Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

## Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not include railroad grade-separation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only):

| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) | Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour Emissions | Peak Hour Emissions with |
| without the Project | the Project (Kilograms): |
| (Kilograms): |  |

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC)
Peak Hour Emissions (Kilograms):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions with the Project (Kilograms):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC)
Peak Hour Emissions
Reduced by the Project
(Kilograms):

## Total Parallel Roadway

Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways
Upload Synchro Report
Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

## New Roadway Portion:

Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: 0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project: 0
Total delay in hours with the project: 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: 0
Fuel consumption in gallons: 0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):

EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit
1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

It was determined by city traffic staff that while the project has significant safety benefits there is no congestion reduction or emissions reductions. Therefore a SYNCRO analysis was not conducted.

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):
0.0

| Measure B:Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project: | 0 |
| Vehicle miles traveled without the project: | 0 |
| Total delay in hours without the project: | 0 |
| Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project: | 0 |
| Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: | 0 |
| Vehicle miles traveled with the project: | 0 |
| Total delay in hours with the project: | 0 |
| Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F1) | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F2) | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F3) | 0 |
| Total (CO, NOX, and voC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the | 0 |
| Project (Kilograms): |  |
| EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit |  |

## Measure A: Roadway Projects that do not Include Railroad Grade-Separation Elements

Crash Modification Factor Used:
(Limit 700 Characters; approximately 100 words)

Rationale for Crash Modification Selected:
(Limit 1400 Characters; approximately 200 words)
Project Benefit (\$) from B/C Ratio
Total Fatal (K) Crashes:
Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes:
Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes:
Total Crashes:
.56 and .49

These values were used from the grant submitted for Robert Street.
\$394,267.00
0
0
0
17

| Total Fatal (K) Crashes Reduced by Project: | 0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes Reduced by Project: | 0 |
| Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes Reduced by |  |
| Project: | 5 |
| Total Crashes Reduced by Project: | 158 |
| Worksheet Attachment | 15 |
| Please upload attachment in PDF form. |  |

0
0

5
1589484905005_HSIP Worksheet combined (1).pdf

Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:

| Current AADT volume: | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Average daily trains: | 0 |
| Crash Risk Exposure eliminated: | 0 |

## Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

The project would include a four to three lane conversion while adding a boulevard to separate traffic. The conversion will reduce crosswalk lengths for pedestrians while making all sidewalks ADA compliant. Bump outs on the side streets will be considered as it will also decrease lengths of crosswalks. This project would also include an offstreet pedestrian/bicycle trail on the north side of University Avenue providing a safer route for pedestrians and bicyclist.

This project will include a four to three lane conversion separated by a boulevard. By reducing the lanes, the crosswalk distances will be shortened to increase safety. All sidewalks will be ADA compliant. There is currently no existing bike trail along this segment of University Avenue. This trail will provide links for cyclists to the new Capital City Bikeway on Jackson Street and the DNR's Gateway Trail. It will also provide connectivity to bicycle facilities on Tedesco Street and a future phase of this corridor will link to the Bruce Vento Trail.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.
Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.
Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment - Construction Projects

1)Layout (25 Percent of Points)

Layout should include proposed geometrics and existing and proposed right-of-way boundaries.
Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties that the project goes through or agencies that maintain the roadway(s)). A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

## 100\%

Attach Layout
Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Layout completed but not approved by all jurisdictions. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

Yes

50\%
Attach Layout
Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Layout has not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
2)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National
Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and Yes
project is not located on an identified historic bridge
100\%
There are historical/archeological properties present but determination of no historic properties affected is anticipated.
$100 \%$

Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological properties in the project area.

0\%

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
3)Right-of-Way (25 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements either not
required or all have been acquired

100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, plat, legal descriptions, or official map complete

50\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels identified

25\%

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels not all identified

0\%
Anticipated date or date of acquisition
4)Railroad Involvement (15 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project or railroad Right-of-Way agreement is executed (include signature page, if applicable)

100\%

Signature Page
Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

50\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have not begun.

## 0\%

Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
5) Public Involvement (20 percent of points)

Projects that have been through a public process with residents and other interested public entities are more likely than others to be successful.
The project applicant must indicate that events and/or targeted outreach (e.g., surveys and other web-based input) were held to help identify the transportation problem, how the potential solution was selected instead of other options, and the public involvement completed to date on the project. List Dates of most recent meetings and outreach specific to this project:

Meeting with general public:

Meeting with partner agencies:

Targeted online/mail outreach:

Number of respondents:
Meetings specific to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the project need.

100\%
Targeted outreach to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the project need.

75\%
At least one meeting specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
At least one meeting specific to this project with key partner agencies has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
No meeting or outreach specific to this project was conducted, but the project was identified through meetings and/or outreach
related to a larger planning effort.
25\%
No outreach has led to the selection of this project.
0\%

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words):

12/08/2014

Yes

This project was part of a broader meeting conducted for the Saint Paul Bike Plan. A public hearing was held in December of 2014. You can find the summary of the meeting at www.stpaul.gov/bikeplan.

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form): ..... $\$ 0.00$
Enter Amount of the Noise Walls: ..... $\$ 0.00$

| Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enter amount of any outside, competitive funding: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Attach documentation of award: |  |
| Points Awarded in Previous Criteria | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crash_Case_Listing_20200512 (3) <br> (2).pdf | Crash Listing | 86 KB |
| Crash_Summary_20200512 (3) (2).pdf | Crash Summary | 67 KB |
| resolution.pdf | Resolution | 362 KB |
| SPBP Fig 4 Facility Type.pdf | Saint Paul Bike Plan. | 879 KB |
| University Ave Layout.pdf | University Avenue Layout | 2.7 MB |
| University Fact Sheet.pdf | University Fact Sheet | 249 KB |







Amortizing...

year $(n)=1,2,3, \ldots$.
discount rate $(\mathrm{i})=7 \%$
$\underset{(@) \text { year } \mathrm{n})}{\text { Crash Benefits }}=(\text { Crash Benefits })_{\mathrm{n}-1} \quad \mathrm{X}(1+$ Traffic Growth Factor $)$


| Type of Crash | Crash Severity | Cost per Crash |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Fatal | K | $\$$ | $1,140,000$ |
| Personal Injury | A Incapacitating | $\$$ | 570,000 |
|  | B Non-Incapacitating | $\$$ | 170,000 |
|  | C Possible | $\$$ | 83,000 |
|  | Property Damage | PDO or N | $\$$ |

Source: MnDOT Office of Transportation System Management (July 2015)


Amortizing...

year $(n)=1,2,3, \ldots$
discount rate $(\mathrm{i})=7 \%$
$\underset{(@) \text { year } \mathrm{n})}{\text { Crash Benefits }}=(\text { Crash Benefits })_{\mathrm{n}-1} \quad \mathrm{X}(1+$ Traffic Growth Factor $)$


| Type of Crash | Crash Severity | Cost per Crash |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Fatal | K | $\$$ | $1,140,000$ |
| Personal Injury | A Incapacitating | $\$$ | 570,000 |
|  | B Non-Incapacitating | $\$$ | 170,000 |
|  | C Possible | $\$$ | 83,000 |
|  | Property Damage | PDO or N | $\$$ |

Source: MnDOT Office of Transportation System Management (July 2015)


Amortizing...

| Year | Crash Benefits |  | Present Worth Benefits |  | Present Worth Costs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 | S | 2,548 | \$ | 2,548 | \$ | 8,600,000 |
| 2026 | \$ | 2,561 | \$ | 2,511 |  |  |
| 2027 | \$ | 2,574 | \$ | 2,474 |  |  |
| 2028 | \$ | 2,586 | \$ | 2,437 |  |  |
| 2029 | \$ | 2,599 | \$ | 2,401 |  |  |
| 2030 | \$ | 2,612 | \$ | 2,366 |  |  |
| 2031 | \$ | 2,625 | \$ | 2,331 |  |  |
| 2032 | \$ | 2,639 | \$ | 2,297 |  |  |
| 2033 | \$ | 2,652 | \$ | 2,263 |  |  |
| 2034 | \$ | 2,665 | \$ | 2,230 |  |  |
| 2035 | \$ | 2,678 | \$ | 2,197 |  |  |
| 2036 | \$ | 2,692 | \$ | 2,165 |  |  |
| 2037 | \$ | 2,705 | \$ | 2,133 |  |  |
| 2038 | \$ | 2,719 | \$ | 2,102 |  |  |
| 2039 | \$ | 2,732 | \$ | 2,071 |  |  |
| 2040 | \$ | 2,746 | \$ | 2,040 |  |  |
| 2041 | \$ | 2,760 | \$ | 2,010 |  |  |
| 2042 | \$ | 2,773 | \$ | 1,981 |  |  |
| 2043 | \$ | 2,787 | \$ | 1,952 |  |  |
| 2044 | \$ | 2,801 | \$ | 1,923 |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | , | \$ | 1, |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| Totals = |  |  | \$ | $\text { (B) }^{44,431}$ | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,600,000 \\ & \text { (C) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

year $(n)=1,2,3, \ldots$
discount rate $(\mathrm{i})=7 \%$
$\underset{(@) \text { year } \mathrm{n})}{\text { Crash Benefits }}=(\text { Crash Benefits })_{\mathrm{n}-1} \quad \mathrm{X}(1+$ Traffic Growth Factor $)$


| Type of Crash | Crash Severity | Cost per Crash |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Fatal | K | $\$$ | $1,140,000$ |
| Personal Injury | A Incapacitating | $\$$ | 570,000 |
|  | B Non-Incapacitating | $\$$ | 170,000 |
|  | C Possible | $\$$ | 83,000 |
|  | Property Damage | PDO or N | $\$$ |

Source: MnDOT Office of Transportation System Management (July 2015)

Crash Case Listing

| Route System | Route Number | Measure | Co | City | Incident Number | Date | Time | Day of Week | Basic Type | Num <br> Veh | Sev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.330 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00454770 | 05/25/17 | 1036 | THU | SSS | 2 | N |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.375 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00448562 | 04/27/17 | 1557 | THU | Rear End | 2 | N |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.375 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00513489 | 10/30/17 | 1617 | MON | Rear End | 3 | N |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.382 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00514113 | 11/03/17 | 1605 | FRI | Angle | 2 | B |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.392 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00634367 | 08/10/18 | 1526 | FRI | Angle | 2 | C |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.401 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00335621 | 03/14/16 | 1825 | MON | Rear End | 2 | N |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.416 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00662063 | 11/16/18 | 1650 | FRI | Rear End | 2 | N |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.418 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00409094 | 12/16/16 | 1927 | FRI | Rear End | 2 | N |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.419 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00495841 | 08/22/17 | 1547 | TUE | Rear End | 2 | C |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.463 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00531228 | 01/01/18 | 0925 | MON | SVROR | 1 | N |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.466 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00341545 | 04/11/16 | 0725 | MON | Rear End | 2 | N |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.475 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00607363 | 06/22/18 | 1211 | FRI | Left Turn | 3 | C |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.591 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00398521 | 11/28/16 | 1225 | MON | Head On | 2 | N |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.593 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00320325 | 01/14/16 | 1655 | THU | Left Turn | 2 | N |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.596 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00634293 | 09/12/18 | 1436 | WED | Ped | 1 | N |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.684 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00486892 | 07/14/17 | 1635 | FRI | Rear End | 2 | C |
| 05-MSAS | 137 | 0.686 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00487895 | 07/18/17 | 1406 | TUE | Bike | 1 | N |
| 22-RAMP | 105 | 0.034 | 62 | Saint Paul | 00606485 | 06/24/18 | 1600 | SUN | SVROR | 1 | N |

Selection Filter:
WORK AREA: County('659507') - FILTER: Year('2016','2017','2018') - ROUTE FILTER APPLIED
Analyst: Notes:

## Eric McCarthy

| Crash Severity/Crash Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crash Severity | Total | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |  |
| K - Fatal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A - Serious Injury | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| B - Minor Injury | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| C - Possible Injury | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| N Prop Dmg Only | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| U - Unkown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Crash Severity/Number of Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Severity | Total | 0 | 1 | 2 | $3+$ |
| K - Fatal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A - Serious Injury | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B - Minor Injury | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| C - Possible Injury | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| N - Prop Dmg Only | 13 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 1 |
| U - Unkown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 18 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 2 |
| Basic Type Summary |  |  |  | Total | \% |
| Pedestrian |  |  |  | 1 | 5.6 |
| Bike |  |  |  | 1 | 5.6 |
| Single Vehicle Run Off Ro |  |  |  | 2 | 11.1 |
| Single Vehicle Other |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sideswipe Same Direction |  |  |  | 1 | 5.6 |
| Sideswipe Opposing |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rear End |  |  |  | 8 | 44.4 |
| Head On |  |  |  | 1 | 5.6 |
| Left Turn |  |  |  | 2 | 11.1 |
| Angle |  |  |  | 2 | 11.1 |
| Other |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total |  |  |  | 18 | 100.0 |
| First Harmful Event Summary |  |  |  | Total | \% |
| Pedestrian |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Bicyclist |  |  |  | 1 | 5.6 |
| Motor Vehicle In Transport |  |  |  | 15 | 83.3 |
| Parked Motor Vehicle |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Train |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Deer/Animal |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other - Non Fixed Object |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Collision Fixed Object |  |  |  | 2 | 11.1 |
| Non-Collision Harmful Events |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Non-Harmful Events |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other/Unknown |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total |  |  |  | 18 | 100.0 |


| Relationship to Intersection Summary | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not at Intersection/Interchange | 7 | 38.9 |
| Four-Way Intersection | 7 | 38.9 |
| T or Y Intersection | 1 | 5.6 |
| Five-Way Intersection or More | 0 | 0.0 |
| Roundabout | 0 | 0.0 |
| Intersection Related | 0 | 0.0 |
| Driveway Access Related | 0 | 0.0 |
| At School Crossing | 0 | 0.0 |
| Railway Grade Crossing | 0 | 0.0 |
| Shared Use Path or Trail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Interchange or Ramp | 2 | 11.1 |
| Crossover Related | 0 | 0.0 |
| Acceleration/Deceleration Lane | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other/Unknown | 1 | 5.6 |
| Total | 18 | 100.0 |
| Weather 1 Summary | Total | \% |
| Clear | 10 | 55.6 |
| Cloudy | 5 | 27.8 |
| Rain | 1 | 5.6 |
| Snow | 2 | 11.1 |
| Sleet, Hail (Freezing Rain/Drizzle) | 0 | 0.0 |
| Fog/Smog/Smoke | 0 | 0.0 |
| Blowing Sand/Soil/Dirt/Snow | 0 | 0.0 |
| Severe Crosswinds | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other/Unknown | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 18 | 100.0 |
| Light Condition Summary | Total | \% |
| Daylight | 16 | 88.9 |
| Sunrise | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sunset | 0 | 0.0 |
| Dark (Str Lights On) | 2 | 11.1 |
| Dark (Str Lights Off) | 0 | 0.0 |
| Dark (No Str Lights) | 0 | 0.0 |
| Dark (Unknown Light) | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other/Unknown | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 18 | 100.0 |


| Time of Day/Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From To | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 00:00 } \\ & 01: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 02:00 } \\ & 03: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 04:00 } \\ & 05: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 06:00 } \\ & 07: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 08:00 } \\ & 09: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:00 } \\ & 11: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 00 \\ & 13: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 00 \\ & 15: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:00 } \\ & \text { 17:59 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:00 } \\ & \text { 19:59 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 00 \\ & 21: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:00 } \\ & \text { 23:59 } \end{aligned}$ | Total | \% |
| SUN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.6 |
| MON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 27.8 |
| TUE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11.1 |
| WED | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.6 |
| THU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16.7 |
| FRI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 33.3 |
| SAT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 100.0 |
| \% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 27.8 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |


| Driver \& Non-Motorist Age/Gender Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{N R}$ | No Value | Total | $\%$ |
| $\mathbf{< 1 4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.8 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 4}$ | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13.9 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 16.7 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 4}$ | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13.9 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 3 9}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.8 |
| $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8.3 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 4}$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8.3 |
| $\mathbf{5 5 - 5 9}$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11.1 |
| $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 4}$ | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13.9 |
| $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 9}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.6 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 - 7 4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{7 5 - 7 9}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.8 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 - 8 4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{8 5 - 8 9}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{9 0 - 9 4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{9 5 +}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| No Value | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 20 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 100.0 |
| \% | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |


| Month Summary | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| January | 2 | 11.1 |
| February | 0 | 0.0 |
| March | 1 | 5.6 |
| April | 2 | 11.1 |
| May | 1 | 5.6 |
| June | 2 | 11.1 |
| July | 2 | 11.1 |
| August | 2 | 11.1 |
| September | 1 | 5.6 |
| October | 1 | 5.6 |
| November | 3 | 16.7 |
| December | 1 | 5.6 |
| Total | 18 | 100.0 |
|  | Physical Condition Summary | Total |
| Apparently Normal (Including No Drugs/Alcohol) | 35 | 97.2 |
| Physical Disability (Short Term or Long Term) | 0 | 0.0 |
| Medical Issue (III, Sick or Fainted) | 0 | 0.0 |
| Emotional (Depression, Angry, Disturbed, etc.) | 0 | 0.0 |
| Asleep or Fatigued | 0 | 0.0 |
| Has Been Drinking Alcohol | 1 | 2.8 |
| Has Been Taking Illicit Drugs | 0 | 0.0 |
| Has Been Taking Medications | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other/Unknown | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not Applicable | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 36 | 100.0 |

Selection Filter:
WORK AREA: County('659507') - FILTER: Year('2016','2017','2018') - ROUTE FILTER APPLIED

Analyst:
Eric McCarthy

| Live Meetings | S City Home R | Research Legislation | Calendar | City Council |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boards or Commissions |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (1) OShare@RSS |
| Details Reports |  |  |  |  |
| File \#: | RES 20-146 | Version: 1 | Name: | 2020 Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation Program Projects |
| Type: | Resolution |  | Status: | Passed |
|  |  |  | In control: | City Council |
|  |  |  | Final action: | 2/12/2020 |

Authorizing the Departments of Public Works and Parks and Recreation to submit nine
Title: project applications for federal funding into the 2020 Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation Program and to authorize the commitment of a twenty percent local funding match plus engineering for any project that is awarded federal funding.
Sponsors:
Amy Brendmoen
History (2) Text Public Comments (0)

## Title

Authorizing the Departments of Public Works and Parks and Recreation to submit nine project applications for federal funding into the 2020 Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation Program and to authorize the commitment of a twenty percent local funding match plus engineering for any project that is awarded federal funding.

## Body

WHEREAS, The Departments of Public Works and Parks and Recreation are proposing to submit nine project applications for federal funding into the 2020 Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation Program for funding in years 2024 and 2025; and

WHEREAS, there is a required twenty percent local funding match to any project awarded to an agency under the Regional Solicitation Program; and

WHEREAS, the City commits to ensuring that all sidewalks and bikeways included in these project applications will be fully open for use and cleared of snow throughout the winter, either by City staff or by adjacent property owners per existing City ordinances; and

WHEREAS, the projects to be submitted by the City under the Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
- & \text { Kellogg } / 3^{\text {rd }} \text { Street Bridge Replacement } \\
- & \text { Capital City Bikeway Construction - Kellogg Blvd from St. Peter to John Ireland } \\
- & \text { Robert Street Reconstruction - Kellogg to 11th }
\end{array}
$$

- University Avenue Reconstruction - 35E to Lafayette
- $\quad$ Crossroads Elementary Safe Routes to School Project
- Burns/Suburban Sidewalk Infill Project
- Saint Paul Traffic Signal Enhancement and Modernization Phase 5
- Sam Morgan Regional Trail Segments 1 \& 4 Reconstruction
- Point Douglas Regional Trail Phase 1 Construction

WHEREAS, these projects fall within appropriate funding categories and meet the conditions and requirements specified for eligibility of federal funding; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Council of the City of Saint Paul authorizes submission of the project applications for possible award of federal transportation funds through the Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation Program; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Council of the City of Saint Paul authorizes the commitment of local funds on a twenty percent match basis plus engineering for any project awarded federal funding under the Regional Solicitation Program.


## University Avenue Reconstruction

(I-35E to Lafayette Road)

N


## Corridor Improvements:

- 4-Lane to 3-Lane conversion with turn lanes
- Multi-use trail on north side of roadway
- Boulevards on both sides of the roadway with pedestrian scale lighting
- ADA compliant pedestrian ramps and ADA compliant sidewalk on south side of roadway
- New signals at intersections
- Posted 25 -mph speed limit
- Relocate bus stop currently in median at Lafayette intersection


## Project Summary

Project Name: University Avenue Reconstruction
Applicant: City of Saint Paul
Project Location: University Avenue between Interstate 35E to Lafayette Rd
Total Project Cost: $\$ 6,875,000$
Requested Federal Dollars: \$5,500,000

## Project Map:



## Before Photo:



Project Description: The proposed project will reconstruct University Avenue between I-35E and Lafayette Road. The corridor will include a four-lane to three-lane conversion with ADA compliant sidewalks, boulevards with streetscaping, a multi-use trail, pedestrian-scale lighting, drainage structures, and new traffic signals.

Project Benefits: The reconstruction of University Avenue is an opportunity to modernize an important minor arterial within St. Paul and will provide the following benefits:

- Improved safety along the corridor
- Better facilities for all users and abilities
- Improved transit accommodations
- Enhanced pedestrian accommodations with ADA compliant sidewalks, pedestrian-scaled lighting, and streetscaping
- Connection to the regional bikeway network
- Improved roadway operations and safety with upgraded traffic signals
- Better conditions for freight and truck movements accessing adjacent properties

