Application

13861-2020 Roadway Modernization
14031 - Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements
Status: Submitted
Submitted Date:
05/15/2020 3:03 PM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* | Mr. | Kelsey | Fogt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Litle: | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name |
| Last Name |  |  |  |

## Organization Information

## Name:

Organization Type:
Organization Website:
Address:

| * | MINNEAPOLIS | Minnesota | 55401 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| City | State/Province | Postal Code/Zip |  |

County:

Phone:*

Fax:
PeopleSoft Vendor Number
City
http://www.ci.minneapolis.mn.us/
DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS
309 2ND AVE S \#300

Hennepin
612-673-3884
Ext.

0000020971A2

## Project Information

| Project Name | Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction |
| :--- | :--- |
| Primary County where the Project is Located | Hennepin |
| Cities or Townships where the Project is Located: | Minneapolis |
| Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant): |  |

The proposed project includes the reconstruction of Nicollet Avenue from Minnehaha Parkway to 61st Street to improve the overall operations, safety and travel experience for all transportation modes. The Nicollet Avenue corridor is identified as a key component in the City's pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and freight networks and is a A-Minor Reliever that serves its regional role as a reliever to l-35W as well as a local corridor with a mix of residential, industrial and commercial uses. The proposed project will improve safety, access and mobility through:

- Enhanced street lighting, pedestrian crossing improvements and ADA upgrades
- An opportunity to upgrade the existing on-street bicycle lanes to a protected bikeway

Brief Project Description (Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.)

- Traffic signal, sight line and visibility improvements at intersections and along the corridor

Nicollet Avenue is a 66-year old roadway with aging and deficient infrastructure, including deteriorating pavement and curbs, non-compliant ADA pedestrian curb ramps, and narrow sidewalks with frequent obstructions and little or no boulevard. The two-lane roadway currently has on-street bike lanes that position bicyclists in the door zone of parked vehicles and adjacent to high volumes of moving vehicles and trucks. There are many commercial and industrial driveways along the corridor, introducing additional conflict points between pedestrians and vehicles. The majority of traffic signals lack APS and overhead mast arms.

The corridor serves an important regional role and local role with connections to l-35W near the
northern and southern termini, and a connection to Hwy 62 near the southern terminus. It is served by three transit routes including one high-frequency transit route and provides bikeway connections to the Minnehaha Parkway Regional Trail, part of the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network. The corridor also provides access to a variety of destinations such as a post office, grocery stores, restaurants, multiple churches, convenience stores, a fire station, auto repair, industrial businesses and other employment areas. The commercial and industrial uses in the southern end of the corridor generate a substantial amount of freight traffic.

The corridor has had five crashes involving a pedestrian or a bicyclist between 2016-2018 and is identified as a crash concentration corridor for pedestrians and vehicles. Within a 0.25 -mile area of the corridor there are census blocks with a high percentage of minority populations, elderly populations, and low-income populations including a census block that is comprised of 78 percent minority populations.

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)
DESCRIPTION - will be used in TIP if the project is selected for funding. See MnDOT's TIP description guidance.

Project Length (Miles)

Nicollet Avenue from Minnehaha Parkway to 61st Street, reconstruct roadway, curb and gutter, sewer, sidewalk, ADA improvements, bikeway, traffic signals, striping, signage
1.0

## Project Funding

Are you applying for competitive funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)

Yes

This corridor received HSIP funds which will be returned if Regional Solicitation funds are awarded.
\$5,040,800.00

| Match Amount | \$1,260,200.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minimum of $20 \%$ of project total |  |
| Project Total | \$6,301,000.00 |
| For transit projects, the total cost for the application is total cost minus fare revenues. |  |
| Match Percentage | 20.0\% |
| Minimum of 20\% |  |
| Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total |  |
|  | City of Minneapolis (Muni |
| Source of Match Funds | Special Assessment Bond Funds, and Stormwater |
| A minimum of $20 \%$ of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the 202 sources |  |
| Preferred Program Year |  |
| Select one: | 2024 |
| Select 2022 or 2023 for TDM projects only. For all other applications, select 2024 or 2025. |  |
| Additional Program Years: |  |
| Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available. |  |
| Project Information-Roadways |  |
| County, City, or Lead Agency | City of Minneapolis |
| Functional Class of Road | A-Minor Arterial |
| Road System | MSAS |
| TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET |  |
| Road/Route No. | 430 |
| i.e., 53 for CSAH 53 |  |
| Name of Road | Nicollet Avenue |
| Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE |  |
| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55419 |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date | 04/01/2024 |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date | 11/30/2024 |
| TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work) |  |
| From: (Intersection or Address) | 61st Street |
| To: (Intersection or Address) | Minnehaha Parkway |
| DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION |  |
| Or At |  |
| Miles of Sidewalk (nearest 0.1 miles) | 1.9 |


| Miles of Trail (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Miles of Trail on the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network <br> (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0 |

Primary Types of Work
AGG BASE, PAVEMENT, CURB AND GUTTER, SIGNALS, SIGNS, STORM SEWER, DRIVEWAY APRON, SIDEWALKS, PED RAMPS, BIKEWAY, LIGHTING, LANDSCAPING

Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF,<br>SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER,<br>SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS,<br>BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.

BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)
Old Bridge/Culvert No.:
New Bridge/Culvert No.:
Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name):

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2018), the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2018), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan goals, objectives, and strategies that relate to the project.

Briefly list the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:

Goal B: Safety and Security - The regional transportation system is safe and secure for all users.
-Objective: Reduce crashes and improve safety and security for all modes of passenger travel and freight transport.
-Strategy B6: Regional transportation partners will use best practice to provide and improve facilities for safe walking and bicycling, since pedestrians and bicyclists are the most vulnerable users of the transportation system (page 2.7).

Goal C: Access to Destinations - People and businesses prosper by using a reliable, affordable, and efficient multimodal transportation system that connects them to destinations throughout the region and beyond.
-Objective: Increase the availability of multimodal travel options, especially in congested highway corridors.
-Objective: Improve multimodal travel options for people of all ages and abilities to connect to jobs and other opportunities, particularly for historically under-represented populations.
-Strategy C1: Regional transportation partners will continue to work together to plan and implement transportation system that are multimodal and provide connections between modes. The Council will prioritize regional projects that are multimodal and cost-effective and encourage investments to include appropriate provisions for bicycle and pedestrian travel (page 2.8).

Goal E: Healthy Environment - The regional transportation system advances equity and
contributes to communities' livability and sustainability while protecting the natural, cultural, and developed environments.
-Objective: Increase the availability and attractiveness of transit, bicycling, and walking to encourage healthy communities and active car-free lifestyles.
-Strategy E3: Regional transportation partners will plan and implement a transportation system that considers the needs of all potential users, including children, senior citizens, and persons with disabilities, and that promotes active lifestyles and cohesive communities. A special emphasis should be place on promoting the environment and health benefits of alternative to single-occupancy vehicle travel (page 2.12).

Goal F: Leveraging Transportation Investment to Guide Land Use - The region leverages transportation investments to guide land use and development patterns that advance the regional vision of stewardship, prosperity, livability, equity, and sustainability.
-Objective: Encourage local land use design that integrates highways, streets, transit, walking, and bicycling.
-Strategy F7: Local Governments should include bicycle and pedestrian elements in local comprehensive plans (page 2.16).

Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words
3. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

List the applicable documents and pages:
City of Minneapolis Master Bicycle Plan, pages 121-122, 148, 171, 174, 198, 201

Transportation Action Plan, pages 12, 14, 15, 18-19
Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words
4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.Applicants that are not State Aid cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below.
Strategic Capacity (Roadway Expansion): \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000
Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Traffic Management Technologies (Roadway System Management): \$250,000 to \$3,500,000
Spot Mobility and Safety: \$1,000,000 to \$3,500,000
Bridges Rehabilitation/Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.In order for a selected project to be included in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and approved by USDOT, the public agency sponsor must either have a current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) self-evaluation or transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation, as required under Title II of the ADA. The plan must be completed by the local agency before the Regional Solicitation application deadline. For the 2022 Regional Solicitation funding cycle, this requirement may include that the plan is updated within the past five years.

The applicant is a public agency that employs 50 or more people and has a completed ADA transition plan that covers the public Yes right of way/transportation.

Date plan completed:
03/02/2020

Link to plan:
http://www.minneapolismn.gov/www/groups/public/
@publicworks/documents/webcontent/wcmsp207494.pdf

The applicant is a public agency that employs fewer than 50 people and has a completed ADA self-evaluation that covers the public right of way/transportation.

Date self-evaluation completed:
Link to plan:
Upload plan or self-evaluation if there is no link
Upload as PDF
10.The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
11.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project year-round for the useful life of the improvement, per FHWA direction established 8/27/2008 and updated 6/27/2017.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
12.The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
13.The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
14.The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a principal arterial (non-freeway facilities only) or A-minor arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Roadway Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization and Spot Mobility projects only:
2.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement and Strategic Capacity projects only:
3.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a principal arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4.The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
5.The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must have a National Bridge Inventory Rating of 6 or less for rehabilitation projects and 4 or less for replacement projects.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Roadway Expansion, Reconstruction/Modernization, and Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
7. All roadway projects that involve the construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps must have approval by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Interchange Planning Review Committee prior to application submittal. Please contact Michael Corbett at MnDOT ( Michael.J.Corbett@state.mn.us or 651-234-7793) to determine whether your project needs to go through this process as described in Appendix F of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## Specific Roadway Elements

## CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES <br> Cost

Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) \$210,000.00

Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) \$210,000.00

Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) \$294,400.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving) $\quad \$ 561,600.00$
Subgrade Correction (muck) \$0.00
Storm Sewer \$661,000.00
Ponds \$0.00
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) \$267,000.00
Traffic Control \$131,000.00
Striping \$50,000.00
Signing \$35,000.00
Lighting \$250,000.00
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping \$171,000.00
Bridge \$0.00
Retaining Walls \$0.00
Noise Wall (not calculated in cost effectiveness measure) \$0.00
Traffic Signals $\quad \$ 1,450,000.00$
Wetland Mitigation \$0.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection \$0.00
RR Crossing \$0.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES
Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... $\$ 241,500.00$
Sidewalk Construction ..... \$290,000.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... \$73,500.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... \$50,000.00
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... \$150,000.00
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... \$160,000.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$965,000.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST EStIMATES Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Transit Operating Costs

| Number of Platform hours | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc. | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 6,301,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 6,301,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Existing Employment within 1 Mile:
8621
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1
Mile:
521

Existing Post-Secondary Students within 1 Mile:
0
Upload Map
1589400828789_RegionalEconomy.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

RESPONSE: Select one for your project, based on the Regional Truck Corridor Study:
Along Tier 1:

Miles:
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
Along Tier 2:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
Along Tier 3:
Miles:
0
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
The project provides a direct and immediate connection (i.e., intersects) with either a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 corridor:

None of the tiers:
Yes

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | North of 58th Street |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current AADT Volume | 11800 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | 18,554 |
| For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will likely be diverted to the new proposed roadway (if applicable). |  |
| Upload Transit Connections Map | 1589400949439 _Transit.pdf |
| Please upload attachment in PDF form. |  |

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership |
| :--- |
| Current Daily Person Throughput |
| Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT |
| Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT |
| volume Yes |
| If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume |
| OR |

## Measure A: Connection to disadvantaged populations and projects benefits, impacts, and mitigation

1.Sub-measure: Equity Population Engagement: A successful project is one that is the result of active engagement of low-income populations, people of color, persons with disabilities, youth and the elderly. Engagement should occur prior to and during a projects development, with the intent to provide direct benefits to, or solve, an expressed transportation issue, while also limiting and mitigating any negative impacts. Describe and map the location of any low-income populations, people of color, disabled populations, youth or the elderly within a $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. Describe how these specific populations were engaged and provided outreach to, whether through community planning efforts, project needs identification, or during the project development process. Describe what engagement methods and tools were used and how the input is reflected in the projects purpose and need and design. Elements of quality engagement include: outreach and engagement to specific communities and populations that are likely to be directly impacted by the project; techniques to reach out to populations traditionally not involved in community engagement related to transportation projects; feedback from these populations identifying potential positive and negative elements of the proposed project through engagement, study recommendations, or plans that provide feedback from populations that may be impacted by the proposed project. If relevant, describe how NEPA or Title VI regulations will guide engagement activities.

Broad public engagement activities began in 2018 with the kick-off of the Transportation Action Plan and continued into 2020. Events included an open house on the corridor in addition to 4 open houses in other areas of Minneapolis and 10 targeted dialogues with community organizations and underrepresented groups.

Minneapolis Public Works introduced this regional solicitation application to City Council and received support in spring 2020 (see attachments).

The project area has high populations of lowincome, elderly, and persons with limited English proficiency. Future engagement with these populations will occur during project development.
Response:
Project managers will strategically choose engagement methods that target populations traditionally not involved in community engagement who use the corridor such as communities of color, low-income populations, transit riders, renters, and persons with disabilities as well as identified focus groups and neighborhood organizations. Significant effort will be made to engage the identified populations at pop-up events, bringing public engagement to the people at a time that is convenient to them and in an environment that they are comfortable with instead of seeking input primarily through public meetings. Furthermore, the City will seek input through the Minneapolis advisory committees and neighborhood groups along the corridor.

The Nicollet Avenue reconstruction project provides safety, access and public health benefits to the City's low-income populations, people of color, children, the elderly, and people with disabilities.

## Safety

The proposed project provides a safer corridor through pedestrian crossing improvements, enhanced lighting, and upgrading existing bike lanes to a protected bikeway. Separated bicycle facilities eliminate the need for bicyclists and families to share the roadway with vehicular traffic. The project area is identified as a Pedestrian Crash Concentration Corridor and Vehicle Crash Concentration Corridor (Minneapolis Pedestrian Crash Study, Vision Zero Crash Study). As noted in the Socio-Economic Conditions map, this area is identified as having above the regional average concentration of race and poverty. In some areas, over 66 percent of the households live below the poverty line with 83 percent minority population. The proposed project will provide safe, healthy and economical transportation options for these populations who are more likely to rely on transit, walking or biking to get around.

## Access

Many low-income, minority and elderly residents may not have access to a personal vehicle or may not have a driver's license, placing enormous importance on ensuring that transit, walking and bicycling infrastructure is safe, connected and comfortable to use to fulfill transportation and access needs. The proposed improvements will benefit the equity populations by improving connections throughout the area. For example, the proposed project improves access to educational destinations such as Windom Spanish Immersion School, Mayflower Early Childhood Development

Center, Justice Page Middle School, and Washburn High School. In addition, improved access to recreational opportunities include Diamond Lake Park and popular regional trails along Minnehaha Parkway.

## Public Health

The proposed project will improve local and regional connectivity, enhance livability and access, and provide transportation modal choices and recreational opportunities for all populations living in proximity to the project. Multimodal corridors provide important transportation options that encourage exercise and family activities and increase access to destinations such as grocery stores, health clinics and other public health essentials.

Overall, the project will benefit underrepresented populations by improving connections throughout the Nicollet Avenue corridor for walkers, bikers, transit-users and motorists. Furthermore, the proposed project will also provide greater opportunities to link these populations to job concentration centers and residential, educational, and industrial uses on the corridor.
b. Describe any negative impacts to low-income populations, people of color, children, people with disabilities, and the elderly created by the project, along with measures that will be taken to mitigate them. Negative impacts that are not adequately mitigated can result in a reduction in points.
Below is a list of negative impacts. Note that this is not an exhaustive list.
Increased difficulty in street crossing caused by increased roadway width, increased traffic speed, wider turning radii, or other elements that negatively impact pedestrian access

Increased noise.
Decreased pedestrian access through sidewalk removal / narrowing, placement of barriers along the walking path, increase in auto-oriented curb cuts, etc.
Project elements that are detrimental to location-based air quality by increasing stop/start activity at intersections, creating vehicle idling areas, directing an increased number of vehicles to a particular point, etc.
Increased speed and/or cut-through traffic.
Removed or diminished safe bicycle access.
Inclusion of some other barrier to access to jobs and other destinations.
Displacement of residents and businesses.
Mitigation of temporary construction/implementation impacts such as dust; noise; reduced access for travelers and to businesses; disruption of utilities; and eliminated street crossings.

Other
The Nicollet Avenue reconstruction project will not generate any negative impacts to low-income populations, people of color, children, people with disabilities and the elderly. Access to businesses and residential neighborhoods will be maintained, and construction nuisances will be minimized through the proper mitigation of noise, dust and traffic. During construction, alternate routes will be provided for safe bicycle and pedestrian travel with appropriate detour signage as needed.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

## Select one:

3.Sub-measure: Bonus Points Those projects that score at least $80 \%$ of the maximum total points available through sub-measures 1 and 2 will be awarded bonus points based on the geographic location of the project. These points will be assigned as follows, based on the highestscoring geography the project contacts:
a. 25 points to projects within an Area of Concentrated Poverty with 50\% or more people of color
b. 20 points to projects within an Area of Concentrated Poverty
c. 15 points to projects within census tracts with the percent of population in poverty or population of color above the regional average percent
d. 10 points for all other areas

Project is located in an Area of Concentrated Poverty where 50\%
or more of residents are people of color (ACP50):
Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty:
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color:

Yes

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly:
(up to $40 \%$ of maximum score )
Upload the "Socio-Economic Conditions" map used for this measure. The second map created for sub measure A1 can be uploaded on the Other Attachments Form, or can be combined with the "Socio-Economic Conditions" map into a single PDF and uploaded here.

## Measure B: Part 1: Housing Performance Score

|  | Segment Length <br> (For stand-alone <br> projects, enter <br> population from <br> Regional Economy <br> map) within each <br> City/Township | Segment <br> Length/Total <br> Project Length | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Housing Score |
| :---: |
| Multiplied by |
| Segment percent |

## Total Project Length

Total Project Length 1.0
Project length entered on the Project Information - General form.

## Housing Performance Score

| Total Project Length (Miles) or Population | 1.0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Housing Score | 100.0 |

## Affordable Housing Scoring

## Part 2: Affordable Housing Access

Reference Access to Affordable Housing Guidance located under Regional Solicitation Resources for information on how to respond to this measure and create the map.
If text box is not showing, click Edit or "Add" in top right of page.

The proposed project will improve access for the following affordable housing locations within $1 / 2$ mile of the project as shown on the attached map:
-City Limits (127 59th Street): Existing site with 198 affordable units ( 38 1BR, 158 2BR), rent based on 60 percent income. The site has a 4 percent Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC).
-Creekside Commons (103 and 115 54th Street): Existing site with 30 affordable units (4 BR, 14 2BR, 9 3BR, 3 4BR), rent based on 30 percent income. This site several tax credits and is subsidized. Funding includes FHF, MHFA (Section 42), MHFA (TCAP), AHTF, City Housing Authority, EDHA, and Housing Tax Credits at 9 percent.

Response:
As shown on the attached map, there are several other affordable housing developments that are within one mile of the project corridor. The Mayflower Early Childhood Center near the corridor also provides education and childcare for lowincome families in the area.

The project improves access for affordable housing residents by widening sidewalks, narrowing pedestrian crossings, upgrading bicycle facilities, and improving ADA infrastructure. Wider sidewalks, narrower pedestrian crossings and separated bikeways will reduce potential for conflicts with vehicular traffic and enhance access to transit. This will improve opportunities to access employment, healthcare and education. Improving active transportation networks and pedestrian and bicyclist connections to transit will provide a safer and less congested corridor with improved travel time reliability. Residents can expect cost and travel time savings from higher travel time reliability
and fewer crashes.

Upload map:
1589553983459_SocioEconNicollet.pdf

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original
Roadway Construction or Most Recent Reconstruction

## Total Project Length

Total Project Length (as entered in "Project Information" form) 1.0

## Average Construction Year

Weighted Year
1954

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Total Segment Length

## Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

Improved roadway to better accommodate freight movements:
Yes
Freight movements along and through the corridor will be benefited by a new street surface.
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Improved clear zones or sight lines:

Response:

Yes
Adding curb extensions to the intersections and replacing pedestal traffic signals with overhead mast arms will improve sight lines for pedestrians, bicyclists and drivers crossing Nicollet or cross streets.

Improved roadway geometrics: Yes

Response:
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Access management enhancements:

Response:
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Vertical/horizontal alignment improvements:

Response:
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Improved stormwater mitigation:

Response:
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Signals/lighting upgrades:

Response:
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Other Improvements


#### Abstract

Existing bicycle lanes on Nicollet Avenue will be upgraded to a protected bikeway creating a safer roadway design by providing a separated space for bicyclists. The roadway will be narrowed and curb extensions added to intersections to encourage slower vehicular speeds, reduce pedestrian crossing distances and create a safer space for all users.


Yes
The project will explore options to tighten, consolidate or remove commercial driveways in the corridor to reduce conflicts with pedestrians and bicyclists.

Yes
Reconfiguring the roadway to accommodate a protected bikeway and extended space for pedestrians and transit users will provide opportunities to straighten the existing horizontal alignment of the roadway.

## Yes

The project will explore adding planted boulevards, native plantings, street trees or other stormwater treatments during project design to improve the pedestrian environment and mitigate stormwater.

Yes
The project will upgrade existing signals to overhead mast arms, install APS, and improve lighting along the corridor to improve safety and access for all users.

Yes

The project will implement ADA curb ramp, APS, and sidewalk improvements including additional space for transit stops and amenities to ensure that all corridor users who live, work, or play in Minneapolis will be able to safely and comfortably use the transportation network.

## Measure A: Congestion Reduction/Air Quality

| Total Peak |  |  |  |  |  | EXPLANA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | Total Peak | Total Peak |  |  |  | TION of |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1294 | 1295 |


$1294.0 \quad 1295.0$ N/A $\quad$| 158940155 |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| hro Nicollet |
| Ave.pdf |

## Vehicle Delay Reduced

Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced
Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced

## Measure B:Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC)
Peak Hour Emissions
without the Project
(Kilograms):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC)
Peak Hour Emissions with the Project (Kilograms):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC)
Peak Hour Emissions
Reduced by the Project
(Kilograms):
0.5

1
0.44

0

0

## Total

Total Emissions Reduced:
Upload Synchro Report
Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

# Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not include railroad grade-separation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only): 

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC)
Peak Hour Emissions without the Project (Kilograms):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC)
Peak Hour Emissions with the Project (Kilograms):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC)
Peak Hour Emissions
Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):

0

0

0

## Total Parallel Roadway

Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways
Upload Synchro Report

0
1589401652295_Synchro Nicollet Ave.pdf

Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

## New Roadway Portion:

Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: 0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project: 0
Total delay in hours with the project: 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: 0
Fuel consumption in gallons: 0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or 0
Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):
Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit
1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):

## Measure B:Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements

Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project:
Vehicle miles traveled without the project:
0
Total delay in hours without the project:
0

| Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project: | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: | 0 |
| Vehicle miles traveled with the project: | 0 |
| Total delay in hours with the project: | 0 |
| Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F1) | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F2) | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F3) | 0 |
| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the | 0 |
| Project (Kilograms): |  |
| EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit |  |
| 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words) |  |

## Measure A: Roadway Projects that do not Include Railroad Grade-Separation Elements

Several different CMFs were used for the project. At the Diamond Lake Road intersection, a dual CMF of changing left-turn phasing from permissive to protected/permissive and changing the signals from pedestals to mast arms was used. For the intersections with Minnehaha Pkwy, 56th St, and 57th St, a dual CMF of illumination and curb extensions was used, for the intersections with 54thSt, 58th St, and 59th St, a CMF was used for changing the signals from pedestals to mast arms was used. For the intersections at 60th St and 61st St, a CMF was used for Curb Extensions. Note, the curb extensions CMF was obtained from MnDOT, not the CMF Clearinghouse website.
(Limit 700 Characters; approximately 100 words)

Rationale for Crash Modification Selected:
(Limit 1400 Characters; approximately 200 words)
Project Benefit (\$) from B/C Ratio
Total Fatal (K) Crashes:
\$10,926,565.00

Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes:
These were used as they fit the most accurate descriptions of the proposed work to be performed at the locations. Crashes included one serious injury bicycle crash.

| Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes: | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Crashes: | 84 |
| Total Fatal (K) Crashes Reduced by Project: | 0 |
| Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes Reduced by Project: | 1 |
| Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes Reduced by <br> Project: | 1 |
| Total Crashes Reduced by Project: | 29 |
| Worksheet Attachment | 1589401719255 NicolletSafety.pdf |

Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:

Current AADT volume:
Average daily trains:
Crash Risk Exposure eliminated:

0
0

0

Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

The Nicollet Avenue reconstruction project will improve pedestrian safety along the corridor for all ages and abilities by providing a wider sidewalk and/or pedestrian realm, curb extensions or other pedestrian crossing improvements, better lighting, and a narrower roadway to encourage slower speeds and reduce the likeliness and severity of pedestrian crashes. As noted in MnDOT's Best Practices for Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety these features can minimize crashes up to 90 percent.

Existing sidewalks have little or no boulevard and have multiple deficiencies including narrow or heaved sections, non-compliant pedestrian curb ramps, and many potential conflict points at wide commercial and industrial driveways. The corridor has been identified as a Pedestrian Crash Concentration Corridor, a network that includes $10 \%$ of streets in Minneapolis where $80 \%$ of all pedestrian crashes occurred (2017 Minneapolis Pedestrian Crash Study). Nicollet Avenue is currently on the draft Pedestrian Priority Network, a network of streets that have important destinations for pedestrians, high crash rates, and provide crucial connections to transit. Nicollet Avenue provides access to a high-frequency transit route and an express commuter route with direct service to downtown Minneapolis, Richfield and the South Bloomington Transit Center and connects to several transit routes with service to the Mall of America, the Minneapolis International Airport (MSP), St. Paul, and many other commercial areas, universities, and employment centers.

## Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

The project will improve the travel experience, safety, and security of all transportation modes and address the safe integration of these modes:

Pedestrians: The project will provide an improved pedestrian experience by providing boulevards where feasible, enhance safety and security through pedestrian crossing treatments and better lighting, and create a more appealing and accessible corridor for accessing destinations along Nicollet and elsewhere. The existing sidewalk has little or no boulevard and has multiple deficiencies including narrow or heaved sections, non-compliant pedestrian curb ramps, and many potential conflict points at wide commercial and industrial driveways.

According to Minneapolis' ADA Transition Plan, pedestrian curb ramps for three intersections in the corridor are in "Very Poor" condition, one intersection is in "Poor" condition and the remaining are in "Fair" condition but are in need of replacement to provide greater access for users. Nicollet Avenue is currently on the draft Pedestrian Priority Network as identified through the Transportation Action Plan and is identified as a Pedestrian Crash Concentration Corridor in the Minneapolis Pedestrian Crash Study. Land uses within the project area include residential, industrial, and several commercial nodes which provide important destinations for residents separated from destinations to the east by l-35W.

Bicyclists: As a part of this project, a protected bikeway would be provided to create a safer environment for those commuting to work, school or running errands, connecting to nearby transit routes, or using the route for recreation or exercise. The corridor provides important bicycle connections to Minnehaha Parkway, a Tier 1 corridor in the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (RBTN)

Existing on-street bike lanes on Nicollet Avenue position bicyclists in the door zone of parked vehicles and adjacent to heavy truck and vehicle traffic on an arterial roadway. The Nicollet Avenue route is in the Minneapolis Bicycle Master Plan as an important north-south route and is part of the draft All Ages and Abilities Network (Minneapolis Transportation Action Plan).

Transit: Two transit routes provide service on Nicollet Avenue, including a high-frequency route and an express commuter route with direct service to downtown Minneapolis and the South Bloomington Transit Center. The design of the project would improve ADA access to transit through sidewalk and curb ramp improvements and allow more space for people to wait at transit stops. Several additional transit routes make stops near Nicollet Ave, including an Orange Line station at 46th Street with enhanced service to downtown Minneapolis, Richfield, Bloomington and Burnsville.

# Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction 

If the applicant is completing a transit application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.
Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.
Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment - Construction Projects

1)Layout ( 25 Percent of Points)

Layout should include proposed geometrics and existing and proposed right-of-way boundaries.
Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties that the project goes through or agencies that maintain the roadway(s)). A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

## Attach Layout

Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Layout completed but not approved by all jurisdictions. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

50\%
Attach Layout
Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Layout has not been started Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion 10/01/2022
2)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and Yes project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
There are historical/archeological properties present but determination of no historic properties affected is anticipated. 100\%

Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological properties in the project area.

0\%
Project is located on an identified historic bridge
3)Right-of-Way (25 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements either not required or all have been acquired

Yes

100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, plat, legal descriptions, or official map complete

50\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels identified

25\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels not all identified

0\%

Anticipated date or date of acquisition
4)Railroad Involvement (15 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project or railroad Right-of-Way
agreement is executed (include signature page, if applicable) Yes
$100 \%$
Signature Page
Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

50\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have not begun.

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
5) Public Involvement ( 20 percent of points)

Projects that have been through a public process with residents and other interested public entities are more likely than others to be successful. The project applicant must indicate that events and/or targeted outreach (e.g., surveys and other web-based input) were held to help identify the transportation problem, how the potential solution was selected instead of other options, and the public involvement completed to date on the project. List Dates of most recent meetings and outreach specific to this project:

Meeting with general public:
04/09/2019
Meeting with partner agencies:
Targeted online/mail outreach:
Number of respondents:
Meetings specific to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the project Yes need.

100\%
Targeted outreach to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the project need.

75\%
At least one meeting specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
At least one meeting specific to this project with key partner agencies has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
No meeting or outreach specific to this project was conducted, but the project was identified through meetings and/or outreach related to a larger planning effort.

25\%
No outreach has led to the selection of this project.

The Minneapolis Transportation Action Plan update has involved three years of public engagement and built upon relationships and engagement conducted as part of Minneapolis 2040, the City's comprehensive plan. Minneapolis staff conducted outreach throughout the City including in Ward 11 where this project takes place. Key goals of public engagement for the Minneapolis Transportation Plan included engaging a broad spectrum of people and stakeholders, prioritizing engagement with traditionally underrepresented groups, and providing many ways for people to provide input. A variety of types of engagement were utilized as part of this project including online materials (websites, surveys, and social media), in-person events (community dialogues, street festivals, and neighborhood meetings), large events (open houses and conferences), and Creative Tools (infographics and digital media communications). Project materials were translated into many languages and translators were made available at large events and by demand at smaller gatherings. With portions of this project within areas with significant low-income and minority populations, access to translated materials was at the forefront of engagement efforts.

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

| Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form): | $\$ 6,301,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enter Amount of the Noise Walls: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls: | $\$ 6,301,000.00$ |
| Enter amount of any outside, competitive funding: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Attach documentation of award: |  |
| Points Awarded in Previous Criteria | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ExistingConditions_Photo.pdf | Existing Conditions Photo | 321 KB |
| Minneapolis Support Letter.pdf | Minneapolis Support Letter | 5.6 MB |
| Nicollet_OnePageSummary.pdf | Project Summary | 1.2 MB |
| Nicollet_ProjectMap.pdf <br> Pedestrian Crash Concentration <br> Corridor.pdf <br> Vehicle Crash Concentration <br> Corridors.pdf$\quad$ Project Map | 1.4 MB |  |




Results
Project census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color:
( 0 to 18 Points)
Tracts within half-mile:
120032440024700
111500111600


Points
Lines

Area of Concentrated Povertry >50\% residents of color

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissite/notice.aspx

METROPOULTAN



1: Nicollet Av S \& Goodwill

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1295 |
| Total Delay $/ \mathrm{Veh}(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 1 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 0.31 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.06 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.07 |

1: Nicollet Av S \& Goodwill

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1294 |
| Total Delay /Veh (s/v) | 2 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 0.35 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.07 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.08 |

1: Nicollet Av S \& Goodwill

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1295 |
| Total Delay $/ \mathrm{Veh}(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 1 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 0.31 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.06 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.07 |

1: Nicollet Av S \& Goodwill

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1294 |
| Total Delay /Veh (s/v) | 2 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg}$ | 0.35 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.07 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.08 |

Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## A. Roadway Description

| Route | Nicollet Ave | District | County | Hennepin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin RP |  | End RP | Miles |  |
| Location | Intersection with Diamond Lake Rd |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work <br> Project Cost* | Change LTPhasing from Perm to Prot/Perm and signals from pedestal to mast arms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$6,301,500 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 0.5\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.62 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference | CMF Clearinghouse |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.62 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.62 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type | Rear Ends |  |
| 0.62 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.62 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

| 0.23 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference | CMF Clearinghouse |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.23 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.23 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes |  | Crash Type Left Turn and Angles |  |  |
| 0.23 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.23 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |


| E. Crash Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin Date <br> Data Source | 1/1/2016 |  | 12/31/2018 | 3 years |
|  | MnDOT |  |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity | Rear Ends | Left Turn and Angles |  |
|  | K crashes |  |  |  |
|  | A crashes |  |  |  |
|  | B crashes |  |  |  |
|  | C crashes |  | 2 |  |
|  | PDO crashes | 1 | 4 |  |
| F. Benefit-Cost Calculation |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,317,459 |  | Benefit (present value) | $B / C$ Ratio = 0.21 |  |
|  | 301,500 | Cost |  |  |
|  | Proposed project expected to reduce 2 crashes annually, o of which involving fatality or serious injury. |  |  |  |

F. Analysis Assumptions

Crash Severity

| K crashes | $\$ 1,360,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| A crashes | $\$ 680,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 210,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 110,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 12,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

Real Discount Rate 1.2\%
Traffic Growth Rate 0.5\%
Project Service Life 20 years

## G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| C crashes | 1.54 | 0.51 | $\$ 56,467$ |
| PDO crashes | 3.46 | 1.15 | $\$ 13,840$ |

\$70,307

| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |  |
| 2024 | \$70,307 | \$70,307 | Total $=$ | \$1,317,459 |
| 2025 | \$70,658 | \$69,820 |  |  |
| 2026 | \$71,011 | \$69,337 |  |  |
| 2027 | \$71,367 | \$68,858 |  |  |
| 2028 | \$71,723 | \$68,382 |  |  |
| 2029 | \$72,082 | \$67,909 |  |  |
| 2030 | \$72,442 | \$67,439 |  |  |
| 2031 | \$72,805 | \$66,972 |  |  |
| 2032 | \$73,169 | \$66,509 |  |  |
| 2033 | \$73,534 | \$66,049 |  |  |
| 2034 | \$73,902 | \$65,592 |  |  |
| 2035 | \$74,272 | \$65,138 |  |  |
| 2036 | \$74,643 | \$64,688 |  |  |
| 2037 | \$75,016 | \$64,240 |  |  |
| 2038 | \$75,391 | \$63,796 |  |  |
| 2039 | \$75,768 | \$63,355 |  |  |
| 2040 | \$76,147 | \$62,917 |  |  |
| 2041 | \$76,528 | \$62,481 |  |  |
| 2042 | \$76,910 | \$62,049 |  |  |
| 2043 | \$77,295 | \$61,620 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |

Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## A. Roadway Description

| Route | Nicollet Ave | District | County | Hennepin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin RP |  | End RP | Miles |  |
| Location | Intersection with Diamond Lake Rd |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed WorkProject Cost* | Change LTPhasing from Perm to Prot/Perm and signals from pedestal to mast arms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$6,301,500 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 0.5\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.51 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference CMF Clearinghouse |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.51 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.51 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type All |  |
| 0.51 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.47 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

| Fatal ( $K$ ) Crashes | Reference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
| Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |


F. Analysis Assumptions

Crash Severity

| K crashes | $\$ 1,360,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| A crashes | $\$ 680,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 210,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 110,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 12,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

Real Discount Rate 1.2\%
Traffic Growth Rate 0.5\%
Project Service Life 20 years
G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.49 | 0.16 | $\$ 111,067$ |
| B crashes | 0.49 | 0.16 | $\$ 34,300$ |
| C crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| PDO crashes | 3.18 | 1.06 | $\$ 12,720$ |

\$158,087

| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| 2024 | \$158,087 | \$158,087 | Total $=\$ 2,962,346$ |
| 2025 | \$158,877 | \$156,993 |  |
| 2026 | \$159,671 | \$155,907 |  |
| 2027 | \$160,470 | \$154,829 |  |
| 2028 | \$161,272 | \$153,758 |  |
| 2029 | \$162,079 | \$152,694 |  |
| 2030 | \$162,889 | \$151,638 |  |
| 2031 | \$163,703 | \$150,589 |  |
| 2032 | \$164,522 | \$149,548 |  |
| 2033 | \$165,345 | \$148,513 |  |
| 2034 | \$166,171 | \$147,486 |  |
| 2035 | \$167,002 | \$146,466 |  |
| 2036 | \$167,837 | \$145,453 |  |
| 2037 | \$168,676 | \$144,447 |  |
| 2038 | \$169,520 | \$143,447 |  |
| 2039 | \$170,367 | \$142,455 |  |
| 2040 | \$171,219 | \$141,470 |  |
| 2041 | \$172,075 | \$140,491 |  |
| 2042 | \$172,936 | \$139,520 |  |
| 2043 | \$173,800 | \$138,555 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

Dual CRF for Nicollet Ave and Diamond Lake Rd

Improvements include changing signal phasing to protected/permissive from permissive and adding overhead mast arms.

CR1=Change from permissive to permissive/protective left-turn phasing CR2=Change signals from pedestal to overhead mast arms

## CMF=CMF1xCMF2

All (Injury): 0.91*0.56=0.51
All (PDO): 0.96*0.49=0.47
Angle/Left Turn: 0.79*0.26=0.23
Rear End: 1.05*0.59=0.62

## Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation

Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

## A. Roadway Description

| Route <br> Begin RP <br> Location | Nicollet Ave | District | County | Hennepin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | End RP | Miles |  |
|  | Intersections with Minnehaha Pkwy, 56th St, and 57th St |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed WorkProject Cost* | Illumination and Curb Extensions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$6,301,500 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 0.5\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.31 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference CMF Clearinghouse |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.69 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.69 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type All |  |
| 0.69 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.68 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  |
| Www.CMFclearinghouse.org |  |  |  |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

| 0.37 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference CMF Clearinghouse and MnDOT |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.37 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.37 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
| 0.37 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.37 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | WWW.CMFclearinghouse.org |


F. Analysis Assumptions

Crash Severity

| K crashes | $\$ 1,360,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| A crashes | $\$ 680,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 210,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 110,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 12,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

Real Discount Rate 1.2\%
Traffic Growth Rate 0.5\%
Project Service Life 20 years
G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 1.26 | 0.42 | $\$ 88,200$ |
| C crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| PDO crashes | 1.92 | 0.64 | $\$ 7,680$ |

$\$ 95,880$

| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |  |
| 2024 | \$95,880 | \$95,880 | Total $=$ | \$1,796,671 |
| 2025 | \$96,359 | \$95,217 |  |  |
| 2026 | \$96,841 | \$94,558 |  |  |
| 2027 | \$97,325 | \$93,904 |  |  |
| 2028 | \$97,812 | \$93,255 |  |  |
| 2029 | \$98,301 | \$92,610 |  |  |
| 2030 | \$98,793 | \$91,969 |  |  |
| 2031 | \$99,287 | \$91,333 |  |  |
| 2032 | \$99,783 | \$90,701 |  |  |
| 2033 | \$100,282 | \$90,074 |  |  |
| 2034 | \$100,783 | \$89,451 |  |  |
| 2035 | \$101,287 | \$88,832 |  |  |
| 2036 | \$101,794 | \$88,217 |  |  |
| 2037 | \$102,303 | \$87,607 |  |  |
| 2038 | \$102,814 | \$87,001 |  |  |
| 2039 | \$103,328 | \$86,400 |  |  |
| 2040 | \$103,845 | \$85,802 |  |  |
| 2041 | \$104,364 | \$85,208 |  |  |
| 2042 | \$104,886 | \$84,619 |  |  |
| 2043 | \$105,410 | \$84,034 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |

Dual CRF for Nicollet Ave and 56th St and 57th St

Improvements include adding illumination and curb extensions

CR1=Illumination
CR2=Curb Extensions

## CMF=CMF1xCMF2

Pedestrian Crashes (Injury): 0.69*0.54=0.37
Pedestrian Crashes: (PDO): 0.68*0.54=0.37

Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## A. Roadway Description

| Route | Nicollet Ave | District | County | Hennepin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin RP |  | End RP | Miles |  |
| Location | Intersections with 54th St, 58th St, and 59th St |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work | Upgrade pedestal signals to mast arms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$6,301,500 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 0.5\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.26 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference CMF Clearinghouse |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.26 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.26 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type Angle |  |
| 0.26 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.26 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  |
| Www.CMFclearinghouse.org |  |  |  |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

| 0.59 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference CMF Clearinghouse |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.59 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes | Crash Type Rear Ends |  |  |
| 0.59 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.59 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.59 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |


F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity | Crash Cost |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,360,000$ | Link: | mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html |
| A crashes | $\$ 680,000$ |  |  |
| B crashes | $\$ 210,000$ | Real Discount Rate | $1.2 \%$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 110,000$ | Traffic Growth Rate | $0.5 \%$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 12,000$ | Project Service Life | 20 years |

G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 0.41 | 0.14 | $\$ 28,700$ |
| C crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| PDO crashes | 2.38 | 0.79 | $\$ 9,520$ |


| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| 2024 | \$38,220 | \$38,220 | Total $=$ \$716,195 |
| 2025 | \$38,411 | \$37,956 |  |
| 2026 | \$38,603 | \$37,693 |  |
| 2027 | \$38,796 | \$37,432 |  |
| 2028 | \$38,990 | \$37,173 |  |
| 2029 | \$39,185 | \$36,916 |  |
| 2030 | \$39,381 | \$36,661 |  |
| 2031 | \$39,578 | \$36,407 |  |
| 2032 | \$39,776 | \$36,156 |  |
| 2033 | \$39,975 | \$35,905 |  |
| 2034 | \$40,175 | \$35,657 |  |
| 2035 | \$40,375 | \$35,410 |  |
| 2036 | \$40,577 | \$35,166 |  |
| 2037 | \$40,780 | \$34,922 |  |
| 2038 | \$40,984 | \$34,681 |  |
| 2039 | \$41,189 | \$34,441 |  |
| 2040 | \$41,395 | \$34,203 |  |
| 2041 | \$41,602 | \$33,966 |  |
| 2042 | \$41,810 | \$33,731 |  |
| 2043 | \$42,019 | \$33,498 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## A. Roadway Description



## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work | Upgrade pedestal signals to mast arms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$6,301,500 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 0.5\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.56 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference CMF Clearinghouse |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.56 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.56 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type All |  |
| 0.56 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.49 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

| Fatal ( $K$ ) Crashes | Reference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
| Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |


F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity | Crash Cost |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,360,000$ | Link: | mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html |
| A crashes | $\$ 680,000$ |  |  |
| B crashes | $\$ 210,000$ | Real Discount Rate | $1.2 \%$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 110,000$ | Traffic Growth Rate | $0.5 \%$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 12,000$ | Project Service Life | 20 years |

## G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| C crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| PDO crashes | 2.55 | 0.85 | $\$ 10,200$ |


| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| 2024 | \$10,200 | \$10,200 | Total = \$191,136 |
| 2025 | \$10,251 | \$10,129 |  |
| 2026 | \$10,302 | \$10,059 |  |
| 2027 | \$10,354 | \$9,990 |  |
| 2028 | \$10,406 | \$9,921 |  |
| 2029 | \$10,458 | \$9,852 |  |
| 2030 | \$10,510 | \$9,784 |  |
| 2031 | \$10,562 | \$9,716 |  |
| 2032 | \$10,615 | \$9,649 |  |
| 2033 | \$10,668 | \$9,582 |  |
| 2034 | \$10,722 | \$9,516 |  |
| 2035 | \$10,775 | \$9,450 |  |
| 2036 | \$10,829 | \$9,385 |  |
| 2037 | \$10,883 | \$9,320 |  |
| 2038 | \$10,938 | \$9,255 |  |
| 2039 | \$10,992 | \$9,191 |  |
| 2040 | \$11,047 | \$9,128 |  |
| 2041 | \$11,103 | \$9,065 |  |
| 2042 | \$11,158 | \$9,002 |  |
| 2043 | \$11,214 | \$8,940 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

Dual CRF for Nicollet Ave and 54th St, 58th St, and 59th St

Improvements include adding illumination and curb extensions
CR1=Convert pedestals to mast arm signals CR2=Curb Extensions

## CMF=CMF1xCMF2

Pedestrian Crashes (Injury): 0.56*0.54=0.30
Pedestrian Crashes: (PDO): 0.49*0.54=0.26

Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## A. Roadway Description

| Route | Nicollet Ave | District | County | Hennepin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin RP |  | End RP | Miles |  |
| Location | Intersections with 60th and 61st St |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work | Curb Extensions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Cost* | \$6,301,500 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 0.5\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.54 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference MnDOT |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.54 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.54 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type Pedestrian |  |
| 0.54 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.54 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

|  | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
|  | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
|  |  |  | www.CMFClearinghouse.org |


| E. Crash Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin Date <br> Data Source | 1/1/2 | End Date | 12/31/2018 | 3 years |
|  | MnDOT |  |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity | Pedestrian | < optional 2nd CMF > |  |
|  | K crashes |  |  |  |
|  | A crashes |  |  |  |
|  | B crashes | 1 |  |  |
|  | C crashes | 3 |  |  |
|  | PDO crashes |  |  |  |
| F. Benefit-Cost Calculation |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,551,569 |  | Benefit (present value) | $B / C$ Ratio $=0.25$ |  |
|  | 301,500 | Cost |  |  |  |
|  | Proposed project expected to reduce 1 crashes annually, o of which involving fatality or serious injury. |  |  |  |

F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity | Crash Cost |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,360,000$ | Link: | mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html |
| A crashes | $\$ 680,000$ |  |  |
| B crashes | $\$ 210,000$ | Real Discount Rate | $1.2 \%$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 110,000$ | Traffic Growth Rate | $0.5 \%$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 12,000$ | Project Service Life | 20 years |

## G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 0.46 | 0.15 | $\$ 32,200$ |
| C crashes | 1.38 | 0.46 | $\$ 50,600$ |
| PDO crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |

\$82,800

| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |  |
| 2024 | \$82,800 | \$82,800 | Total $=$ | \$1,551,569 |
| 2025 | \$83,214 | \$82,227 |  |  |
| 2026 | \$83,630 | \$81,659 |  |  |
| 2027 | \$84,048 | \$81,094 |  |  |
| 2028 | \$84,468 | \$80,533 |  |  |
| 2029 | \$84,891 | \$79,976 |  |  |
| 2030 | \$85,315 | \$79,423 |  |  |
| 2031 | \$85,742 | \$78,873 |  |  |
| 2032 | \$86,171 | \$78,328 |  |  |
| 2033 | \$86,601 | \$77,786 |  |  |
| 2034 | \$87,034 | \$77,248 |  |  |
| 2035 | \$87,470 | \$76,713 |  |  |
| 2036 | \$87,907 | \$76,183 |  |  |
| 2037 | \$88,346 | \$75,656 |  |  |
| 2038 | \$88,788 | \$75,133 |  |  |
| 2039 | \$89,232 | \$74,613 |  |  |
| 2040 | \$89,678 | \$74,097 |  |  |
| 2041 | \$90,127 | \$73,584 |  |  |
| 2042 | \$90,577 | \$73,075 |  |  |
| 2043 | \$91,030 | \$72,570 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |

## Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation

Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## A. Roadway Description

| Route <br> Begin RP <br> Location | Nicollet Ave | District | County | Hennepin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | End RP | Miles |  |
|  | Non intersection related crashes from Minnehaha Pkwy to 61st St |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work <br> Project Cost* | Illumination |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$6,301,500 | Installation Year | 2024 |
| Project Service Life | 20 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 0.5\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.31 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference CMF Clearinghouse |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.69 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.69 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type All |  |
| 0.69 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.68 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

|  | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  |  |
|  | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes | Crash Type |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  | www.CMFClearinghouse.org |


| E. Crash Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin Date <br> Data Source | 1/1/201 | End Date | 12/31/2018 | 3 years |
|  |  | MnDOT |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity | All | < optional |  |
|  | K crashes |  |  |  |
|  | A crashes |  |  |  |
|  | B crashes | 4 |  |  |
|  | C crashes | 1 |  |  |
|  | PDO crashes | 23 |  |  |
| F. Benefit-Cost Calculation |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,391,189 |  | Benefit (present value) | $B / C$ Ratio $=0.38$ |  |
|  | 301,500 | Cost |  |  |  |
|  | Proposed project expected to reduce 3 crashes annually, o of which involving fatality or serious injury. |  |  |  |

F. Analysis Assumptions

Crash Severity

| K crashes | $\$ 1,360,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| A crashes | $\$ 680,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 210,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 110,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 12,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

Real Discount Rate 1.2\%
Traffic Growth Rate 0.5\%
Project Service Life 20 years

## G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| B crashes | 1.24 | 0.41 | $\$ 86,800$ |
| C crashes | 0.31 | 0.10 | $\$ 11,367$ |
| PDO crashes | 7.36 | 2.45 | $\$ 29,440$ |


| H. Amortized Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| 2024 | \$127,607 | \$127,607 | Total = \$2,391,189 |
| 2025 | \$128,245 | \$126,724 |  |
| 2026 | \$128,886 | \$125,847 |  |
| 2027 | \$129,530 | \$124,977 |  |
| 2028 | \$130,178 | \$124,113 |  |
| 2029 | \$130,829 | \$123,254 |  |
| 2030 | \$131,483 | \$122,401 |  |
| 2031 | \$132,140 | \$121,555 |  |
| 2032 | \$132,801 | \$120,714 |  |
| 2033 | \$133,465 | \$119,879 |  |
| 2034 | \$134,132 | \$19,050 |  |
| 2035 | \$134,803 | \$118,226 |  |
| 2036 | \$135,477 | \$117,409 |  |
| 2037 | \$136,155 | \$116,596 |  |
| 2038 | \$136,835 | \$115,790 |  |
| 2039 | \$137,520 | \$114,989 |  |
| 2040 | \$138,207 | \$114,194 |  |
| 2041 | \$138,898 | \$113,404 |  |
| 2042 | \$139,593 | \$112,619 |  |
| 2043 | \$140,291 | \$111,840 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

* Countermeasure: Changing left turn phasing on more than one approach from permissive to protected-permissive

| Compare | CMF | CRF(\%) | Quality | Crash Type | CrashSeverity | Area Type | Reference | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | 0.958 | 4.2 |  | All | All | Urban | SRINIVASAN, ET AL., 2011 |  |
| $\square$ | 0.914 | 8.6 | minhor | All | Fatal.Serious injury.Minor injury | Urban | SRINIVASAN, <br> ET AL., 2011 |  |
| $\square$ | 0.787 | 21.3 | minke | Left turn | All | Urban | SRINIVASAN, <br> ET AL., 2011 |  |
| $\square$ | 1.05 | -5 | Mnmon | Rear end | All | Urban | SRINIVASAN, <br> ET AL., 2011 |  |
|  |  |  | *NOTE: Yeu can | CMpare | Compare | atesories. |  |  |

* Countermeasure: Convert signal from pedestal-mounted to mast arm
Compare


## Compare Reset Compare

[^0]- Countermeasure: Illumination



## Document Information and Disclaimer (2 of 4)

- Tried Strategies have been implemented in a number of locations where the results of the evaluations have not been fully evaluated or are inconsistent.
- Experimental Strategies are ideas that have been suggested and at least one agency has considered sufficiently promising to try on a small scale in at least one location.
- Typical Characteristics of Candidate Locations-The appropriate use of the strategy based on roadway characteristics
- Typical Costs—A summary of the typical costs for installation of the safety strategies and any applicable maintenance costs based on available past projects
- Design Features-Information on the latest design of the safety strategy and the appropriate design criteria to be used during implementation
- Best Practice-A short summary of the current best practice relating to the safety strategy
- Sources—Related resources and cited materials

|  | Strategies | Pages | Crash Reduction/ Crash Features | Proven/Tried/ Experimental | Operational Effects (Mobility) | Candidate Locations | Design Features | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Construction } \\ & \text { Costs } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sidewalks | 1-2 | 50 to $90 \%$ reduction in "walking in roadway" pedestrian crashes | Proven | N/A | Urban arterials \& collectors | Curb ramps, cross slope, buffer zones | \$4 to \$5 per square foot |
|  | Crosswalks and Crosswalk Enhancements | 3-8 | Varies | Proven/Tried | N/A | Intersections | Should be part of package including crosswalk enhancements | \$200 per crosswalk |
|  | Medians and Crossing Islands | 9-10 | 39 to 46\% | Proven | May provide operational benefits | Wide 2-lane roads and multi-lane roadways | 4 to 8 feet wide | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,000 \text { to } \$ 30,000 \text { per } \\ & 100 \text { feet } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Curb Extensions | 11-12 | 39 to 46\% | Proven | Potential reduction in speeds | Urban arterials and collectors with curb parking | Roadway with parking or shoulder | $\$ 5,000-\$ 10,000$ per extension |
|  | Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon System | 13-15 | 60\% | Tried | Additional delay for vehicles stopping for pedestrians | Mid-Block Crosswalk locations - Not at intersections | Pedestrian activated | \$80,000 |
|  | Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon | 16-17 | 78 to $100 \%$ yield to pedestrian rate | Tried | Additional delay for vehicles stopping for pedestrians | Mid-Block Crosswalk | Passive or active pedestrian activation | \$10K to \$15K |
|  | Crosswalk Lighting | 18-19 | 33 to 44\% | Proven | N/A | Isolated crosswalks not along a continuously lit roadway | Require a power source | \$10k to \$25K per intersection |
|  | Traffic Signals | 20-22 | Leading Pedestrian Interval - 60\% | Tried | Increases delay and reduces mobility of major roadway | Intersections that meet signal warrants | Short cycle lengths, countdown timers, easy accessibility | New Signal - $\$ 175,000$ to more than $\$ 300,000$ per intersection |




| 54 female |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | rchangiotst_inters city_sectio NICOLLET AVE AND MI NICOLLET AVE AND MI |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 478037.9 \\ & 478049.5 \\ & 478049.7 \\ & 478046.6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | rchangıotst_inters city_sectiol NICOLLET AVE AND 57 NICOLLET AVE AND 57 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unn } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| - | - | x 8077 | (152 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | interchangiotst_inters city_sectio 60TH ST AND NICOLLE' 60TH ST AND NICOLLE' 60TH ST AND NICOLLE' 60TH ST AND NICOLLE' 60TH ST AND NICOLLE' NICOLLET AVE AND 60 60TH ST AND NICOLLE' 60TH ST AND NICOLLE' NICOLLET AVE AND 60 NICOLLET AVE AND 60 60TH ST AND NICOLLE 60TH ST AND NICOLLE' |  |  |  |
| 4 Age Unit4 Sex |  | 478047.4 478047.2 478047.1 478042.2 478037.4 478046.9 478044.2 478035.6 |  |  |



Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction

Looking south from Minnehaha Parkway at Nicollet Ave

May 15, 2020

## Ms. Elaine Koutsoukos <br> Metropolitan Council <br> 390 North Robert Street <br> St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

Re: 2020 Regional Solicitation Applications

Dear Ms. Koutsoukos,

The City of Minneapolis Department of Public Works is submitting a series of applications for the 2020 Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds. The applications and the required matching funds have been authorized by the Minneapolis City Council as described in the Official Proceedings of the Council meetings on February 28, 2020 and May 8, 2020. The City is submitting applications for 10 projects, as listed in the table below, and commits to operate and maintain these facilities through their design life.

| Project Name | Met Council Category |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nicollet Avenue - Minnehaha Parkway to 61st Street East | Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization |
| 42nd Street East - Nicollet Avenue to Cedar Avenue | Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization |
| Johnson Street Northeast/I-35W Ramps | Spot Mobility |
| Intelligent Transportation System Upgrades and Enhancements | Traffic Management Technologies |
| Hennepin Avenue \& Dunwoody Boulevard Bikeway | Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities |
| Augsburg Bridge over I-94 | Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities |
| Phillips Neighborhood Pedestrian Safety Improvements | Pedestrian Facilities |
| Green Central - Safe Routes to School | Safe Routes to School |
| Citywide Signal Retiming Project | Traffic Management Technologies |
| Nicollet Avenue Bridge over Minnehaha Creek | Bridge Rehabilitation/ Replacement |

The specific applications are described in the attached "Request for City Council Committee Action." Thank you for the opportunity to submit these applications.

Sincerely,



Robin Hutcheson
Director of Public Works

| RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNCIL MEMBER | AYE | NAY | ABSTAIN | ABSENT |
| Bender | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Jenkins | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Johnson | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Gordon | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Reich | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Fletcher | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Cunningham |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| Ellison | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Warsame | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Goodman |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| Cano | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Schroeder | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Palmisano | $\times$ |  |  |  |

Certified an official action of the City Council

Received from Mayor: Presenect to Mavor: FEB 282020


## $\qquad$ <br> MAR 032020



The Minneapolis City Council hereby:

1. Approves the submission of a series of applications for federal transportation funds through Metropolitan Council's 2020 Regional Solicitation Program.
2. Authorizes the commitment of local funds to provide the required local match for the federal funding.

Grant applications for 2020 Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation for federal transportation funds (RCA-2020-00136)

## ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT

Public Works Department
To Committee(s)

| \# | Committee Name | Meeting Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Transportation \& Public Works <br> Committee | Feb 18, 2020 |
| 2 | Ways \& Means Committee | Feb 25, 2020 |


| LEAD | Mike Samuelson, | PRESENTED | Mike Samuelson, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STAFF: | Transportation Planner, | BY: | Transportation Planner, |
|  | Transportation Planning \& |  |  |
|  | Programming |  | Programming |

## Action Item(s)

| \# | File Type | Subcategory | Item Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Action | Grant | Approving the submission of a series of <br> applications for federal transportation funds <br> through Metropolitan Council's 2020 Regional <br> Solicitation Program. |
| 2 | Action | Grant | Authorizing the commitment of local funds to <br> provide the required local match for the federal <br> funding. |


| $\#$ | Ward | Neighborhood | Address |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | All Wards |  |  |

## Background Analysis

The City will prepare a series of applications for the 2020 Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds in response to the current Metropolitan Council solicitation. This request includes a summary of the eligible project areas, a brief description of city projects, estimate of requested amounts, and the minimum local match. Each project requires a minimum $20 \%$ local match for construction in addition to the costs for design, engineering, administration, and right-of-way acquisition, and any additional construction costs to fully fund the project. These applications will maximize the use of federal funding. The funding is for projects to be constructed in federal fiscal years 2024 and 2025.

Public Works identifies projects that meet the eligibility requirements for federal funding and closely evaluates which applications to submit in a manner that is consistent with the equity-based approach used to select and prioritize projects as a part of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Additional consideration is given to the criteria used in application scoring, such as: role in the regional transportation system and economy, equity, affordable housing, asset condition, safety, connectivity, cost-benefit, operational benefits, number of users and multimodal elements. Public Works also considers project readiness, cost, deliverability, and alignment with adopted plans, policies and initiatives (e.g., Minneapolis 2040, 20 Year Street Funding Plan, Complete Streets Policy and Vision Zero).

The 2020 Regional Solicitation for federal transportation funding is part of Metropolitan Council's federally-required continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative transportation planning process for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. The funding program and related rules and requirements are established by the U.S. Department of Transportation and administered locally through collaboration with the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, and the Minnesota Department of Transportation.

Applications are grouped into three primary modal evaluation categories as provided by the Metropolitan Council; each category includes several sub-categories as detailed below.

1. Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

- Strategic Capacity (Roadway Expansion)
- Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
- Traffic Management Technologies (Roadway System Management)
- Bridges Rehabilitation/Replacement
- Spot Mobility and Safety

2. Transit and Travel Demand Management (TDM) Projects

- Arterial Bus Rapid Transit Project
- Transit Expansion
- Transit System Modernization
- Travel Demand Management

3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

- Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities
- Pedestrian Facilities
- Safe Routes to School (Infrastructure Projects)

The City is recommending the submittal of up to eight applications, which are summarized below. See attachment for specific project locations. The City is not planning to submit in categories that don't align with our goals (Road Expansion), where we do not have competitive applications (Bridges Rehabilitation/Replacement), or where partner agencies will be submitting (Transit and TDM).

| Project Name | Met Council Category | Maximum <br> Federal Amount | Minimum Local <br> Match Required <br> $(20 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Nicollet Avenue - <br> Minnehaha Parkway to <br> 61st Street East | Roadway Reconstruction/ <br> Modernization | $\$ 7,000,000$ | $\$ 1,400,000$ |
| 42nd Street East - Nicollet <br> Avenue to Cedar Avenue | Roadway Reconstruction/ <br> Modernization | $\$ 7,000,000$ | $\$ 1,400,000$ |
| Johnson Street <br> Northeast/l-35W Ramps | Spot Mobility | $\$ 3,500,000$ | $\$ 700,000$ |
| Intelligent Transportation <br> System Upgrades and <br> Enhancements | Traffic Management |  |  |
| Technologies |  |  |  |$\quad \$ 3,500,000 ~ \$ 700,000$

Details of the proposed applications are described below.

## Nicollet Avenue - Minnehaha Parkway to 61st Street East

The proposed project is a complete reconstruction of Nicollet Avenue from Minnehaha Parkway to 61st Street East, approximately 1.0 mile. Nicollet Avenue has been identified as a future reconstruction candidate, driven primarily by deteriorating and aging infrastructure conditions. This segment will be programmed in the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for reconstruction in 2025. The proposed project will reconstruct the pavement surface, curb and gutter, signage, storm drains, driveway approaches, traffic signals,
striping, lighting, street trees, sidewalks, and ADA ramps. The project will also provide an opportunity for pedestrian and transit enhancements along the street, as well as upgrading the existing bicycle facility to provide separation between vehicles and bicycles.

## Program Category: Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization

## 42nd Street - Nicollet Avenue to Cedar Avenue

The proposed project is a complete reconstruction of 42nd Street East from Nicollet Avenue to Cedar Avenue, approximately 1.5 miles. 42nd Street East has been identified as a future reconstruction candidate, driven primarily by deteriorating and aging infrastructure conditions. This section of 42nd Street East is also identified as a High Injury Street in the City's Vision Zero Action Plan, meaning it is a corridor that experiences a disproportionate share of citywide crashes. The proposed project will reconstruct the pavement surface, curb and gutter, traffic signals, lighting, ADA ramps, some sidewalks, as well as construct a bicycle facility. Further, the reconstruction of this section of 42nd Street East will provide an opportunity for the creation of comprehensive safety improvements for all modes of travel to address the disproportionately high number of crashes which occur on this street. This segment will be programmed in the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for reconstruction in 2024.

## Program Category: Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization

## Johnson Street Northeast/I-35W Ramps

This project proposes a major renovation of the intersection between Johnson Street Northeast and the I-35W ramps. This section of Johnson Street Northeast is also identified as a High Injury Street in the City's Vision Zero Action Plan, meaning it is a corridor that experiences a disproportionate share of citywide crashes. The existing intersection, which also serves as a driveway for the adjacent Quarry shopping center, currently features slip lanes on all four approaches, and does not have sidewalks or pedestrian ramps on two corners. Johnson Street Northeast between 18th Street Northeast and Broadway Street Northeast is planned to be a low-stress bikeway, and the renovation of the intersection will allow for safe bikeway facilities for users of all ages and abilities. The project would work with MnDOT to improve safety for all modes of travel and create a dedicated bike facility. The project will be programmed into the City's CIP in 2024.

## Program Category: Spot mobility.

## Intelligent Transportation System Upgrades \& Enhancements

The purpose of the project is to upgrade the City's traffic management systems. Key features of the project include installing fiber optic cable to create a higher bandwidth and
more reliable traffic communication network, deploying additional cameras to monitor congestion, upgrading detection systems, and installing infrastructure for advancements in connected vehicle to infrastructure technology in locations throughout the city. The City is collaborating with Hennepin County on the project.

## Program Category: Traffic Management Technologies

## Hennepin Avenue \& Dunwoody Boulevard Bikeway.

The proposed project would fill a gap in the protected bikeway network between 12th Street South and the new light rail station on the METRO Green Line Extension at Van White Memorial Boulevard west of I-394 (currently under construction). This project would improve the existing bikeway on Hennepin Avenue west of 12th Street South and create a new bikeway facility on Dunwoody Boulevard. The result would be a 0.9 mile protected bikeway that connects to the new protected bikeway being built during the Hennepin Avenue reconstruction. This bikeway would connect to two regional education destinations, Dunwoody College of Technology and Minneapolis Community and Technical College. Together, these two institutions have approximately 12,000 students and hundreds of additional staff and faculty. The project would also provide an opportunity to improve safety for all modes of travel, make ADA upgrades, improve transit stops, and upgrade traffic signals. The project will be programmed into the City's CIP in 2024.

## Program Category: Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities

## Aussburg Bridge over I-94

The City is partnering with MnDOT to submit an application that would replace the nonmotorized bridge over I-94 near Augsburg University connecting the Riverside and Seward neighborhoods. MnDOT is leading the development of the application and the City will be the local sponsor with financial participation following the adopted cost participation policy. The scope of the project will include a multimodal bridge in the general vicinity of $21 \mathrm{st} / 22 \mathrm{nd} / 23$ rd Ave, with full ADA accommodations. Engagement and preliminary engineering will help further guide the design when project financing is finalized.

## Program Category: Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities

## Phillips Neighborhood Pedestrian Safety Improvements

The proposed project would include the implementation of pedestrian focused safety improvements at select intersections along 24th Street, 26th Street, and 28th Street in the broader Phillips Neighborhood. All three of these streets have been identified as High Injury Streets in the City's Vision Zero Action Plan. The prioritization of this project supports the

City's commitment to Vision Zero to eliminate serious and fatal crashes within 10 years. Intersection improvements may include signal upgrades, ADA-compliant curb ramps, bump outs, medians, signage, traffic control devices, and pavement markings at select locations.

## Program Category: Pedestrian Facilities

## Green Central - Safe Routes to School

The proposed project would include pedestrian and bicycle-related improvements along two connected corridors:

- 34th Street East from 3rd Avenue South to 10th Avenue South
- 10th and/or 11th Avenues South from 34th Street East to the Midtown Greenway The project will connect to Green Central Elementary School, Wellstone High School, and Andersen United Community School. Pedestrian and bicycle improvements may include ADA-compliant curb ramps, traffic circles, speed bumps, speed tables, bump outs, medians, diverters, signage, traffic control devices, and pavement markings at select locations.


## Program Category: Safe Routes to School

The proposed projects were presented to the Pedestrian Advisory Committee on February 5th, 2020, and to the Bicycle Advisory Committee on January 22nd, 2020. The Bicycle Advisory Committee passed a resolution in support of submitting for all projects described above.

## FISCAL NOTE

- No fiscal impact anticipated


## Attachments

2020 Regional Solicitation Project Submissions Map

| RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNCIL MEMBER | AYE | NAY | ABSTAIN | ABSENT |
| Bender | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |
| Jenkins | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |
| Johnson | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |
| Gordon | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |
| Reich | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  |  |
| Fletcher | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |
| Cunningham | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |
| Ellison | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |
| Goodman | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |
| Cano | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |
| Schroeder | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |
| Palmisano | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |

[^1]

Certified an official action of the City Council


MAY 112020

The Minneapolis City Council hereby:

1. Authorizes the submittal of up to two additional grant applications to the Metropolitan Council for federal transportation funds through Metropolitan Council's 2020 Regional Solicitation Program.
2. Authorizes the commitment of local funds to provide the required local match for the federal funding.

Grant applications for 2020 Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation for federal transportation funds (RCA-2020-00447)

## ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT

Public Works Department

## To Committee(s)

| \# | Committee Name | Meeting Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Policy \& Government Oversight Committee | May 6, 2020 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { LEAD STAFF: } & \text { Mike Samuelson, Transportation Planner, } \\ & \text { Transportation Planning \& Programming }\end{array}$

## PRESENTED BY: Mike Samuelson, Transportation Planner, Transportation Planning \& Programming

## Action Item(s)

| \# | File Type | Subcategory | Item Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Action | Grant | Authorizing the submittal of up to two additional grant applications to <br> the Metropolitan Council for federal transportation funds through <br> Metropolitan Council's 2020 Regional Solicitation Program. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Action | Grant | Authorizing the commitment of local funds to provide the required local <br> match for the federal funding. |

## Previous Actions

2020-00225-Grant applications for 2020 Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation for federal transportation funds

## Ward / Neighborhood / Address

| $\#$ | Ward | Neighborhood | Address |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | All Wards |  |  |

## Background Analysis

The City will prepare a series of applications for the 2020 Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds in response to the current Metropolitan Council solicitation. Council previously approved the submission of eight grant applications for the 2020 cycle (RCA 2020-00225), which will still be submitted, along with grant applications for up to two additional projects as outlined below.

This request includes a summary of the eligible project areas, a brief description of city projects, estimate of requested amounts, and the minimum local match. Each project requires a minimum $20 \%$ local match for construction in addition to the costs for design, engineering, administration, and right-of-way acquisition, and any additional construction costs to fully fund the project. These applications will maximize the use of federal funding. The funding is for projects to be constructed in federal fiscal years 2024 and 2025.

Public Works identifies projects that meet the eligibility requirements for federal funding and closely evaluates which applications to submit in a manner that is consistent with the equity-based approach used to select and prioritize projects as a part of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Additional consideration is given to the criteria used in application scoring, such as: role in the regional transportation system and economy, equity, affordable housing, asset condition, safety, connectivity, cost-benefit, operational benefits, number of users and multimodal elements. Public Works also considers project readiness, cost, deliverability, and alignment with adopted plans, policies and initiatives (e.g., Minneapolis 2040, 20 Year Street Funding Plan, Complete Streets Policy and Vision Zero).

The 2020 Regional Solicitation for federal transportation funding is part of Metropolitan Council's federally-required continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative transportation planning process for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. The funding program and related rules and requirements are established by the U.S. Department of Transportation and administered locally through collaboration with the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, and the Minnesota Department of Transportation.

Applications are grouped into three primary modal evaluation categories; each category includes several sub-categories as detailed below.

1. Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

- Strategic Capacity (Roadway Expansion)
- Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
- Traffic Management Technologies (Roadway System Management)
- Bridges Rehabilitation/Replacement
- Spot Mobility and Safety

2. Transit and Travel Demand Management (TDM) Projects

- Arterial Bus Rapid Transit Project
- Transit Expansion
- Transit System Modernization
- Travel Demand Management

3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

- Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities
- Pedestrian Facilities
- Safe Routes to School (Infrastructure Projects)

The City is recommending the submittal of up to 10 applications. Eight of these applications were included in a previous RCA (RCA 202000225). The additional two applications are summarized below, along with the total federal funding requested and the total minimum local match for all 10 applications. See attachment for specific project locations. The City is not planning to submit in categories that don't align with our goals (Road Expansion) or where partner agencies will be submitting (Transit and TDM).

| Project <br> Name | Category | Maximum Federal Amount | Minimum Local Match Required (20\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Citywide <br> Signal <br> Retiming <br> Project | Traffic Management Technologies | \$3,500,000 | \$700,000 |
| Nicollet Avenue Bridge over Minnehaha Creek | Bridge Rehabilitation/ Replacement | \$7,000,000 | \$1,400,000 |
|  | Totals | \$10,500,000 | \$2,100,000 |
|  | tal Approved by Council in February | \$34,000,000 | \$6,800,000 |
|  | Grand Total | \$44,500,000 | \$8,900,000 |

Details of the proposed applications are described below.

## Citywide Signal Retiming Project

The purpose of this project is to install traffic management equipment to support the operation of our traffic signals and to retime all 820 signals in the City of Minneapolis. The new timing patterns will change the paradigm of auto-centric signal timing that has historically been used in major cities throughout the United States to one that is guided by recent City of Minneapolis policies and initiatives such as Minneapolis 2040, Complete Streets, Vision Zero and the draft Transportation Action Plan. The reframed timing plans will incorporate strategies to improve transit efficiency and reliability, to better manage speeds on the city network and to enhance bike and pedestrian comfort and safety. The new signal timings will also reflect the recent change to speed limits on city-controlled streets.
Program Category: Traffic Management Technologies

## Nicollet Avenue Bridge over Minnehaha Creek

This project proposes the major repair and renovation of the Nicollet Avenue Bridge over Minnehaha Parkway and Minnehaha Creek and is programmed in the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for major rehabilitation in 2025. The existing bridge is a 16 -span open-spandrel concrete arch bridge, 818 feet long and 63 feet wide. The original bridge was built in 1923 and renovated in 1974.
Numerous bridge components are significantly deteriorated, in poor condition and should be repaired or replaced in order to extend the useful life of the structure.
Program Category: Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement

## FISCAL NOTE

- No fiscal impact anticipated


## Attachments

2020 Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation Project Map

## Project Background

The proposed project will reconstruct Nicollet Avenue from Minnehaha Parkway to 61st Street. This segment of Nicollet Avenue provides important network connections for people walking, biking, taking transit and driving and contains a mix of residential, commercial and industrial uses. The proposed project will replace deteriorating and aging infrastructure, provide safety improvements, and enhance access and mobility for all users.

This corridor is identified in the Minneapolis Pedestrian Crash Study as a Pedestrian Crash Concentration Corridor and in the Vision Zero Crash Study as a Vehicle Crash Concentration Corridor. Nicollet Avenue also serves as a high-frequency transit corridor in an area with an above average rate of low-income and minority households, providing crucial transportation connections to downtown Minneapolis and the surrounding areas.

## Project Area



## Existing Conditions

## Average Number of Daily Users

介 150 pedestrians
(i) 100 bicyclists

2 Metro Transit bus routes on Nicollet 1 Metro Transit bus route crosses Nicollet

- 9,000-12,000 motor vehicles

Source: Minneapolis Bicycle \& Pedestrian Counts (2016) and Minneapolis Public Works (2015), Metro Transit.

## Corridor Context



Typical existing cross section with a narrow sidewalk located at the back of curb, parking lanes, onstreet bike lanes and two vehicle lanes.

## Identified Issues

84 Reported crashes between 2016-2018:
4 Pedestrian crashes
1 Bicyclist seriously injured as a result of a traffic crash

## Project Goals

The proposed project aims to create a safer, more welcoming corridor for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users while encouraging slower vehicle speeds and improving visibility and sightlines for motorists. Improvements may include:


Upgraded Traffic Signals and Enhanced Lighting


ADA Curb Ramps and APS


Curb Extensions


Protected Bikeway




Figure 5-8. Vehicle Crash Concentration Corridors


[^0]:    *NOTE: You can compare CMFs across countermeasures, subcategories, and categories.

[^1]:    Presented to Mayor:

