

Application

01967 - 2014 Roadway Expansion		
02293 - CSAH 54 Expansion		
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements		
Status:	Submitted	
Submitted Date:	11/26/2014 12:23 PM	

Primary Contact

Name:*	Salutation	Jack First Name	L Middle Name	Forslund Last Name
Title:	Multimodal Planning Manager			
Department:	Anoka County Transportation Division			
Email:	jack.forslund@co.anoka.mn.us			
Address:	1440 Bunker Lake Boulevard NW			
*	Andover	Minnesota	a	55304-4005
	City	State/Province	•	Postal Code/Zip
Phone:*	763-862-4230 Phone		Ext.	
Fax:	763-862-4201			
What Grant Programs are you most interested in?	Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements			

Organization Information

Name:

ANOKA COUNTY

 Jurisdictional Agency (if different):
 County Government

 Organization Type:
 County Government

 Address:
 1440 BUNKER LAKE BLVD

 *
 ANDOVER
 Minnesota
 55304

 City
 State/Province
 Postal Code/Zip

County: Anoka
Phone:*
Fax:
PeopleSoft Vendor Number
O000003633A15

Project Information

Project Name Primary County where the Project is Located Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):

Anoka County CSAH 54 Expansion

Anoka

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

Anoka County proposes an expansion and realignment of 0.77 miles of CSAH 54, an A Minor Reliever roadway within the City of Columbus. Existing CSAH 54 runs parallel along the west side of I-35. It provides relief to I-35 and local access throughout the City of Columbus, as well important connections to the Cities of Lino Lakes, Centerville, and Ramsey County not otherwise served by I-35 interchanges.

The proposed project will realign the existing CSAH 54 corridor 0.15 miles to the west. The project includes an expansion of the current two-lane undivided design to a four-lane divided roadway, including capacity to add future through-lanes, turnlanes, and signalization to connect to the planned expansion of CSAH 23 and the I-35/CSAH 23/TH 97 interchange. The southern end of the project will include a full-access intersection which will connect to the southeastern corner of the Running Aces Harness Park and the Running Aces Park and Ride. This intersection will also connect to the existing alignment of CSAH 54 (which will function as a frontage road) for access to existing businesses and parcels. A 10-foot bituminous multiuse trail will be constructed along the west side of the project to provide safe transportation and recreational opportunities for travelers near CSAH 54.

The project will address growing safety concerns and congestion issues along the CSAH 54 and CSAH 23 corridors. Current PM peak queues for the I-35 ramps on CSAH 23 frequently extend past the existing CSAH 54 intersection. These queues prevent safe turning movements from freight and vehicular traffic traveling between CSAH 54 and CSAH 23. Realignment of CSAH 54 west out of the interchange area will significantly improve traffic

operations at the CSAH 54/CSAH 23 intersection, and will also help to bring CSAH 23 into compliance with Anoka Countys access spacing guidance for a 55 mph arterial roadway. Improved traffic operations will also help Metro Transit service (Routes 285 and 288) operate more efficiently on the corridor and will positively impact transit users traveling to and from Minneapolis and St. Paul.

The expansion and realignment of CSAH 54 also support the City of Columbus 2030 Comprehensive Plan and the Quad 35 interchange study, which envision the land surrounding CSAH 54 and the CSAH 23/TH 97/I-35 for near and mid-term commercial and industrial development. CSAH 54 plays a crucial role in successful near-term land development, as the parcels immediately surrounding the project area are city-owned and slated to be the first to develop. Timely reconstruction and relocation of CSAH 54 will ensure appropriate and safe access to these commercial and industrial parcels, which will add to the 1,600 existing jobs within TAZs located a mile around the project area.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.

Project Length (Miles)

0.77

Connection to Local Planning:

Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

Connection to Local Planning

City of Columbus 2030 Comprehensive Plan (pages 9 and 38); Anoka County CIP, Page 1 (https://www.anokacounty.us/DocumentCenter/Vie w/4510)

Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)

Federal Amount	\$3,247,440.00
Match Amount	\$811,860.00
Minimum of 20% of project total	
Project Total	\$4,059,300.00
Match Percentage	20.0%
Minimum of 20% Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total	
Source of Match Funds	Anoka County Highway Fund
Preferred Program Year	
Select one:	2018

MnDOT State Aid Project Information: Roadway Projects

County, City, or Lead Agency	Anoka County
Functional Class of Road	"A" Minor Arterial Reliever
Road System	CSAH
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET	
Name of Road	CSAH 54
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE	
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed	55025
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date	03/01/2018
(Approximate) End Construction Date	11/30/2018
LOCATION	
From: (Intersection or Address)	CSAH 23/CSAH 54 intersection
Do not include legal description; Include name of roadway if majority of facility runs adjacent to a single corridor.	
To: (Intersection or Address)	Immediately north of Gander Drive
Type of Work	Grading, aggregates/paving, storm sewer, bituminous bike path
Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface, sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge, Park & Ride, etc.)	
Old Bridge/Culvert?	No
New Bridge/Culvert?	No
Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name):	

Specific Roadway Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$134,000.00
Removals (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$134,000.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.)	\$191,800.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving)	\$1,370,500.00
Subgrade Correction (muck)	\$0.00
Storm Sewer	\$297,000.00
Ponds	\$0.00
Concrete Items (curb & gutter, sidewalks, median barriers)	\$347,300.00
Traffic Control	\$80,000.00
Striping	\$4,100.00
Signing	\$4,100.00
Lighting	\$0.00
Turf - Erosion & Landscaping	\$198,000.00
Bridge	\$0.00
Retaining Walls	\$0.00
Noise Wall	\$0.00
Traffic Signals	\$0.00
Wetland Mitigation	\$76,000.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection	\$0.00
RR Crossing	\$0.00
Roadway Contingencies	\$515,000.00
Other Roadway Elements	\$637,000.00
Totals	\$3,988,800.00

Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Path/Trail Construction	\$70,500.00
Sidewalk Construction	\$0.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00

Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA)	\$0.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK)	\$0.00
Pedestrian-scale Lighting	\$0.00
Streetscaping	\$0.00
Wayfinding	\$0.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$70,500.00

Specific Transit and TDM Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements	\$0.00
Stations, Stops, and Terminals	\$0.00
Support Facilities	\$0.00
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)	\$0.00
Vehicles	\$0.00
Transit and TDM Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Transit and TDM Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$0.00

Transit Operating Costs

OPERATING COSTS	Cost
Transit Operating Costs	\$0.00
Totals	\$0.00

Totals

Total Cost	\$4,059,300.00
Construction Cost Total	\$4,059,300.00
Transit Operating Cost Total	\$0.00

Requirements - All Projects

All Projects

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

2. Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

4. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between \$1,000,000 and \$7,000,000. Roadway system management must be between \$250,000 and \$7,000,000.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

5. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

7. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

9. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

10. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only

1. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

2. Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.

The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Bridge Projects Only

3. The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

4. Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

5. Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

6. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

7. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

8. Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

9. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

Bridge Replacement Projects Only

10. The bridge must have a sufficiently rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only

11. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

Other Attachments

File Name	Description	File Size
2293 Anoka Co HSIP.pdf	Crash B/C	249 KB
City of Columbus Resolution of Support.pdf	City of Columbus Resolution of Support	440 KB
Figure 1_ProjectLocation&Layout.pdf	Figure 1 (SRF)	52.2 MB
RdwayAreaDef.pdf	Roadway Area Definition	1.5 MB
RegionalEcon.pdf	Regional Economy	884 KB
SocioEcon.pdf	Socio Economic	884 KB
TransitCon.pdf	Transit Connections	879 KB

Reliever: Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved	I-35
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report)	0

Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below) 0

Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

Hour	NB/EB Volume	SB/WB Volume	Capacity	Volume exceeds capacity
12:00am - 1:00am			0	
1:00am - 2:00am			0	
2:00am - 3:00am			0	
3:00am - 4:00am			0	
4:00am - 5:00am			0	
5:00am - 6:00am			0	
6:00am - 7:00am			0	
7:00am - 8:00am			0	
8:00am - 9:00am			0	
9:00am - 10:00am			0	
10:00am - 11:00am			0	

11:00am - 12:00pm	0
12:00pm - 1:00pm	0
1:00pm - 2:00pm	0
2:00pm - 3:00pm	0
3:00pm - 4:00pm	0
4:00pm - 5:00pm	0
5:00pm - 6:00pm	0
6:00pm - 7:00pm	0
7:00pm - 8:00pm	0
8:00pm - 9:00pm	0
9:00pm - 10:00pm	0
10:00pm - 11:00pm	0
11:00pm - 12:00am	0

Expander/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:	
Area	3.271
Project Length	0.757
Average Distance	4.321
Upload Map	RoadwayAreaDefinition.pdf

Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location	CSAH 54 south of CSAH 23
Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume	540.0

Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Select all that apply	
Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration	
Direct connection to or within a mile of a Manufacturing/Distribution Location	
Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution	
Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or city plan	Yes

County or City Plan Reference (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words) Example 2 approximately 100 words approximately

Upload Map

The proposed project provides a direct connection to the Running Aces Harness Park, identified in the City of Columbus 2030 Comprehensive Plan as a local activity center. The project is also adjacent to the Running Aces Park and Ride, Rice Creek Regional Park, and commercial and industrial development, including construction services, landscaping, warehousing, light manufacturing, floral production, and vehicle sales/service companies. This development provides nearly 1,600 existing jobs in the project area. The CSAH 54 corridor is poised for future commercial development, with the parcels at the southeast quadrant of the CSAH 54 and CSAH 23 intersection anticipated to develop first. RegionalEconomy.pdf

Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

Location	CSAH 54 south of CSAH 23
Current AADT Volume	3504.0
Existing Transit Routes on the Project	285, 288

Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership	0
Current Daily Person Throughput	4555.0

Measure B: 2030 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume	
METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume	0
OR	
Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume	Yes
Forecast (2030) ADT volume	5300.0

Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:

Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty

Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty

Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

Yes

The CSAH 54 expansion and realignment will improve travel times and economic efficiencies for local, commuter, freight, and recreational travel on CSAH 54 and the parallel I-35 corridor, all of which support the health and growth of northern Anoka Countys local economy. These benefits help to provide opportunities for job growth and stability for low-income households (10%) living around the project and immediately northeast of the project (15%) (above the County and 7-county average, respectively). The projects connection to the Running Aces Park and Ride and I-35 will also enable efficient transit connections to job concentrations and manufacturing centers in and near Minneapolis and St. Paul for low-income populations taking advantage of the service.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

The multiuse trail facility included in the proposed project will improve access, local and regional connectivity to nearby Forest Lake HS and Century Jr. HS, transportation choice, and recreational opportunities for all populations living in proximity to the project, including the elderly (10%) and children (22%), which are above and equal to county averages.

Finally, right-of-way acquisition will not result in displacement or full takings from property owners. Project construction will incorporate proper noise, dust, and traffic mitigation and will not negatively impact the disadvantaged populations in the project area.

Upload Map

SocioEcon.pdf

Measure B: Affordable Housing

City/Township

Segment Length (Miles)

City of Columbus

Total Project Length

Total Project Length

0.77

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

City/Township	Segment Length (Miles)	Total Length (Miles)	Score	Segment Length/Total Length	Housing Score Multiplied by Segment percent
City of Columbus	0.77	0.77	21.0	1.0	21.0
		1	21	1	21

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Total Project Length (Miles)	0.77
Total Housing Score	21.0

Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original Roadway Construction or Most Recent Reconstruction	Roadway Segment Length (Miles)	Calculation	Calculation 2	
1961.0	0.77	1509.97	1961.0	
	1	1510	1961	
Average Construc	ction Year	1961.0		
Total Segment Le	ngth (Miles)	0.77		
		-		

Measure A: Cost Effectiveness of Vehicle Delay Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet	\$4,059,300.00
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project	2158.0
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project	1978.0
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project	180.0
Cost Effectiveness	\$22,551.67
Synchro or HCM Reports	Synchro Analysis Results.pdf

Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet	\$4,059,300.00
Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project	0.04
Cost Effectiveness	\$101,482,500.00
Synchro or HCM Reports	Synchro Analysis Results.pdf

Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

Project Benefit/Cost Ratio	0.02
Worksheet Attachment	CSAH 54 Safety Analysis.zip

Measure A: Transit Connections

Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project	285, 288					
Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP)	N/A					
Upload Map	TransitConnections.pdf					
Response						
Met Council Staff Data Entry Only						

Route Ridership Transitway Ridership 168115.0 0

Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

The proposed 10-foot multiuse trail on the west side of the CSAH 54 corridor will connect to the CSAH 23/Lake Avenue corridor and the existing Hardwood Creek Trail. The project will enable travelers from Columbus, Forest Lake, and other surrounding communities in Washington and Anoka Counties to more safely travel to Running Aces Harness Park, a casino, music venue, restaurant, event center, and employer of nearly 400 people (identified in the Columbus 2030 Comprehensive Plan).

A future extension of the projects proposed trail approximately 1.8 miles to the south will directly connect the facility to the Cities of Lino Lakes, Centerville, and a future Tier 1 Route on the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network. This connection to the growing regional bicycle trail network will allow travelers a broader array options for commuting and recreation. Furthermore, this southern extension of the trail will provide a local connection to Rice Creek Chain of Lakes Regional Park Reserve. This 5,500 acre park is one of the largest in the seven-county metropolitan area and contains some of the most significant native wildlife habitat and water resources in the regional area. The park offers a wide variety of amenities, including the Wargo Nature Center, biking and hiking trails, playgrounds, the Chomonix Golf Course, Rice Creek Campground, and Centerville Lake Beach.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

The project includes a proposed 10-foot multiuse bituminous trail located on the west side of the CSAH 54 corridor. The trail will extend the full length of the proposed roadway project, 4,075 feet, and will connect to the CSAH 23/Lake Avenue corridor and the existing Hardwood Creek Trail. The project will enable travelers from Columbus, Forest Lake, and surrounding communities in Washington and Anoka Counties to more safely travel to Running Aces Harness Park, Gander Mountain, and other businesses and future development in the project area.

The roadway will include an access to the Running Aces Harness Park, which will also serve as an entrance to the Running Aces Park and Ride (P&R) facility. This 300-space lot serves two Metro Transit routes (285 and 288), and directly connect commuters from the City of Columbus and surrounding areas to job concentrations in Minneapolis and St. Paul. Furthermore, the Heartland Express rural transit service of Chisago & Isanti Counties directly serves the Running Aces P&R and enables two-seat, handicap accessible trips from the County Road 17/I-35 P&R outside of the City of North Branch to the core cities.

Finally, the relocation of existing CSAH 54 approximately 0.15 miles to the west will improve congestion on the corridor, which will positively impact transit operations and travel time for vehicles traveling to and from I-35 on CSAH 23.

Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)	
Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred	Yes
100%	
Stakeholders have been identified	
40%	
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted	
0%	
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)	
Layout or Preliminary Plan completed	Yes
100%	
Layout or Preliminary Plan started	
50%	
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	11/01/2014
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)	
EIS	
EA	
PM	Yes
Document Status:	
Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)	100%
Document submitted to State Aid for review	75%
Document in progress: environmental impacts identified	
50%	
Document not started	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval	
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of	Points)
No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic	
resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not	
located on an identified historic bridge	

Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated	
80%	
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated	
40%	
Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources	Yes
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:	
Project is located on an identified historic bridge	
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)	
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or we Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development	aterfowl refuges; 6f is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water nt of the property)
No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area	Yes
100%	
Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received	
100%	
Section 4f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects	
80%	
Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources likely	
30%	
Unknown impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area	
0%	
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)	
Right-of-way or easements not required	
100%	
Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired	
100%	
Right-of-way or easements required, offers made	
75%	
Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made	
50%	
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified	Yes
25%	
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified	
0%	

Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of acquisition	
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)	
No railroad involvement on project	Yes
100%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)	100%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated	
60%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun	
40%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement	
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)	
Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)	
100%	
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review	
75%	
Construction plans in progress; at least 30% completion	
50%	
Construction plans have not been started	Yes
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	05/01/2017
9)Letting	
Anticipated Letting Date	12/01/2017

HS		P	Control Section	T.H. / Roadway		Location	I]	Beginning Ref. Pt.	Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
worksheet				CSAH 54	CSAH 23 to north	1 of Gande	er Rd					Anoka County	1/1/2011	12/31/2013
			Descript Propose	ion of d Work	Roadway reconst	adverse reconstruction (and expansion) and installation of left turn lange								
Accie	dent Di	agram Codos	1 Rear End	d	2 Sideswipe Same Direction	3 Left Tur	n Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,7	Ran off Road	8, 9 Head On/		6, 90, 99	
		Coues				4	←]				Opposite Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	atal									X				
	(PI) Fa	F												
Study	Injury (A												
Period: Number of Crashes	Personal	C												
Crushes	Property Damage	PD					1							1
% Change	Fatal I	F												
in Crashes		A												
	PI	в												
*Use Crash Modification		с												
<u>Clearinghouse</u>	Property Damage	PD					-87%							
	Fatal	F												
		A												
Change in Crashes	PI	В												
= No. of		С												
crashes X % change in crashes	Property Damage	PD					-0.87							-0.87
Year (Safety	Improv	vemen	t Construct	tion)	2019						1	I	I	
Project Cost (exclude Right of Way)			\$ 3,838,500	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes		Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.01		
Right of Way Costs (optional)				F			\$	1,100,000		Using present	worth value	? <i>s</i> ,		
Traffic Grov	wth Fa	actor			3%	А			\$	550,000		B=	\$	37,542
Capital Rec	overy					В			\$	160,000		C=	\$	3,838,500
1. Discour	nt Rat	e			4.5%	с			\$	81,000		See "Calculat	ions" sheet f	or amortization.
2. Project	Servi	ce Lif	fe (n)		20	PD	-0.87	-0.29	\$	7,400	\$ 2,146			
					Total					\$ 2,146	Office of Tra Technology	ffic, Safety : Septer	and mber 2014	

HS		P	Control Section	T.H. / Roadway		Location	l		I	Beginning Ref. Pt.	Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends				
worksheet				CSAH 54	CSAH 23 to north	CSAH 23 to north of Gander Rd							1/1/2011	12/31/2013				
			Descript Proposed	ion of 1 Work	Roadway Expansi	advau Evenneion from 2 to 4 lance including a full reconstruction												
Accie	lent Di	agram Codes	1 Rear End	1	2 Sideswipe Same Direction	3 Left Tur	n Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,71	Ran off Road	8, 9 Head On/ Sideswipe -		6, 90, 99					
		Coucs					>			4	←				Opposite Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	al	\geq	-					> *		শ								
) Fat	F																
Study	jury (PI	A																
Period:	onal In	В																
Crashes	Pers	С																
	Property Damage	PD								1				1				
% Change	Fatal	F																
in Crashes		A																
	PI	В																
<u>*Use Crash</u> Modification		С																
<u>Clearinghouse</u>	Property Damage	PD								-67%								
	Fatal I	F																
		Δ																
Change in	PI	B																
crashes X % change in	perty nage																	
crashes	Pro _J Dar	PD								-0.67				-0.67				
Year (Safety	Improv	vemen	t Construct	tion)	2019													
Project Cost (exclude Right of Way) \$			\$ 3,838,500	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes		Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.01						
Right of Way Costs (optional)				F			\$	1,100,000		Using present	worth value	? <i>S</i> ,						
Traffic Growth Factor 3%			Α			\$	550,000		B=	\$	28,912							
Capital Reco	overy					В			\$	160,000		C=	\$	3,838,500				
1. Discour	t Rate	e			4.5%	С			\$	81,000		See "Calculat	ions" sheet f	or amortization.				
2. Project	Servi	ce Lif	če (n)		20	PD	-0.67	-0.22	\$	7,400	\$ 1,653							
					Total					\$ 1,653	Office of Tra Technology	ffic, Safety Septer	and nber 2014					

CSAH 54 from CSAH 23 to Gander Drive (2011 - 2013) - created on 11-17-2014 by rile1che Crash data is managed by the Mn/DOT Office of Traffic, Safety, and Operations.

R_U R R R R R

<u>JIASII U</u>	ala is manayeu	i by the will/DUT	Unice of Trainc, Sa	iety, and Oper	<u>alions.</u>			
SYS	NUM	REF_POINT	GIS_ROUTE	GIS_TM	RD_DIR	ELEM	RELY	INV
04	02000054 -	008+00.001	0402000054	8.001	Z	_	1	2
04	02000054 -	008+00.011	0402000054 -	8.011	Z	_	1	2
04	02000054	008+00.260	0402000054	8.260	Z	_	3	2
04	02000054	008+00.500	0402000054	8.500	Z		1	2
04	02000054	008+00.510	0402000054	8.510	Z		3	2

ATP	СО	CITY	DOW	MONTH
UNIT 1 TRAVELING NORTH AS UNIT 2 IS TRAVELING SOUTH CROSSES OVER THE CENTER LINE. UNIT 1 ATTEMPTS T	2	0792	5-Thu	11
UNIT #1 WAS DRIVING SB ON W FREEWAY DR NE APPROACHING 147 AVE NE WHEN UNIT #2 PULLED OUT FROM THE S	2	0792	4-Wed	12
KAIDEN HALL WALKED AWAY FROM HIS FATHER AND INTO THE PATH OF THE VEHICLE DRIVEN BY THOMAS HAGSTROM.	2	0792	6 Fri	5
VEHICLE ONE WAS SOUTHBOUND ON W. FREEWAY DR. VEHICLE TWO WAS NORTHBOUND AND MAKING A LEFT TURN INTO	2	0792	7-Sat	1
VEHICLE WAS NORTHBOUND ON W. FREEWAY DRIVE. DRIVER WAS DRIVING TOO FAST FOR ROAD CONDITIONS AND LO	2	0792	1-Sun	1

DAY	YEAR	TIME	SEV
15	2012	0524	A
18	2013	1800	N
24	2013	1010	B
26	2013	1918	Ν
27	2013	1630	Ν

NUM_KILLED	NUM_VEH	JUNC	SL	TYPE	DIAG	LOC1	TCD	LIT	WTHR1	WTHR2	SURF	CHAR	DESGN
θ	2	1	50	1	8	1	98	5	1	1	1	1	8
θ	2	2	50	1	5	1	4	6	1	θ	2	1	8
θ	2	90	10	90	5	6	98	1	1	θ	1	1	10
0	2	1	55	1	3	1	98	6	2	0	1	1	8
0	1	1	55	35	7	90	98	1	4	5	3	1	8

	PERSON1		
ACC_NUM	VTYPE	DIR	ACT
123210020	1	1	1
133520230	1	3	6
131440114	2	3	1
130270177	1	5	1
130270176	3	1	1

								PERSON2							
FAC1	FAC2	POSN	INJ	EQP	PHYS	AGE	SEX	VTYPE	DIR	ACT	FAC1	FAC2	POSN	INJ	EQP
1	1	1	A	4	1	49	M	3	5	1	6	18	1	N	4
2	θ	1	N	4	1	29	₩	4	5	1	1	θ	1	N	4
1	θ	1	N	99	1	72	M								
1	0	1	Ν	4	1	22	М	1	1	6	10	0	1	Ν	4
3	0	1	Ν	4	1	20	М								

			PERSON3
PHYS	AGE	SEX	VTYPE
2	28	₩	
1	16	₩	
1	18	Μ	

Desktop Reference fo	r Crash Re	duction F	actors					Roadw	ay Departu	re Crashes
					Daily Traffic		Effectiven	ess		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Tvpe	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type		Ref	Crash Reduction Factor	Std	Range	Study Type
					(veh/day)		/ Function	Error	Low High	
	AII	AII			<5,000/lane	15	20			
	All	All			>5,000/lane	15	31			
	All	All				15	OL			
	All	AII				15	20			
	All	All				15	22			
	All	AII				15	25			
	All	All				15	25			
	All	AII				15	25			
	AII	Fatal				15	39			
	All	Injury				15	23			
	AII	PDO				15	27			
	Head-on	All			<5,000/lane	15	38			
	Head-on	All			>5,000/lane	15	(44)			
	Head-on	All				15	53			
	Head-on	AII				15	53			
Increase number of	Head-on	PDO				15	50			
lanes	Left-turn	AII				15	(11)			
	Left-turn	PDO				15	67			
	ROR	All				15	44			
	ROR	All				15	26			
	ROR	IIV				15	74			
	ROR	IIA				15	44			
	ROR	PDO				15	50			
	Overturn	AII			<5,000/lane	15	42			
	Overturn	All			>5,000/lane	15	52			
	Rear-end	All			<5,000/lane	15	42			
	Rear-end	IIA			>5,000/lane	15	52			
	Rear-end	All				15	32			
	Rear-end	AII				15	32			
	Rear-end	AII				15	40			
	Rear-end	AII				15	53			
	Rear-end	PDO				15	53			

September 2007

FHWA-SA-07-015

Page 61

Crash Crash Area CMF CRF(%) Quality Reference **Comments Severity** Type Type Countermeasure Harwood 0.52 [B] name changed 48 **** All All Rural et al., to match ... [read 2002 more] Countermeasure Harwood 0.53 [B] name changed to **** All 47 All Urban et al., match ... [read 2002 more] Countermeasure Harwood 0.58 name changed to ***** 42 All All Urban et al., [B] match ... [read 2002 more] Countermeasure Fatal,Serious Harwood 0.42 [B] name changed to et al., 58 **** All Injury, Minor Rural match ... [read Injury 2002 more] Countermeasure Harwood Fatal,Serious name changed to 0.83 ***** 17 All Injury, Minor Urban et al., [B] match ... [read 2002 Injury more] Countermeasure Fatal,Serious Harwood 0.5 [B] name changed to **** 50 All Injury, Minor Urban et al., match ... [read 2002 Injury more] Harwood Countermeasure Fatal,Serious 0.52 **** 48 All Injury, Minor Urban et al., name changed to [B] 2002 Injury match ... [read

Countermeasure: Provide a left-turn lane on both major-road approaches

Countermeasure: Improve pavement friction (increase skid resistance)

	CMF	CRF(%)) Quality	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Reference	Comments
	0.799	20.1	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.667	33.3	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.819	18.1 y	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.797	20.3	kakaka k	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	1.271	27.1	ininini i	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.426	57.4 ¶	****	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.372	62.8 Y	****	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud,	

		0.575	42.5	****	Rear end,Wet road	All		Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
	•									
		0.59	41	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
<		0.589	41.1	***	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		>
		0.361	63.9	***	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
\langle		0.304	69.6	****	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	>	
		0.943	5.7	****	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
	•									
		0.504	49.6	***	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
	-									

Dual CRF for CSAH 54

Improvements include constructing turn lanes at the upgraded Running aces entrance, reconstructing the roadway from 2 to 4 lanes (different from expansion), and converting roadway to an urban section.

For Left-turn crash: CR1=Increase Number of Lanes CR2=Install a left turn lane

CR=1-(1-CR1)*(1-CR2)

Left-Turn: CR=1 – (1-.71)*(1-.47) = .87

For Run off Road: CR1=Increase Number of Lanes CR2=Improve Pavement Friction

Run off Road/Head On/Sideswipe: CR=1 - (1-.44)*(1-.41) = .67

CITY OF COLUMBUS MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION NO. 14-32

SUPPORTING ANOKA COUNTY FEDERAL FUNDING APPLICATION FOR CSAH 54

WHEREAS, CSAH 54 is an "A" minor arterial reliever route that provides an important north-south transportation connection through eastern Anoka County, and,

WHEREAS, existing and future traffic volumes on CSAHs 54, 23, TH 97, and I-35 have been increasing and are projected to continue to increase as the area develops, and,

WHEREAS, existing travel safety is a concern at the intersection of CSAH 54 and CSAH 23/TH 97, and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County has identified the need to realign CSAH 54 to the west to provide better spacing between intersections and to provide better access to future areas of development, and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County and the City of Columbus have worked together in the past to improve the area's transportation system, and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County would like to submit an application to the Transportation Advisory Board to the Metropolitan Council for 2017 - 2019 to receive federal transportation funds to improve CSAH 54.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COLUMBUS, MINNESOTA:

That the City of COLUMBUS supports Anoka County in preparing and submitting an application for to receive federal funds to improve CSAH 54.

Adopted by the Columbus City Council this 12th day of November, 2014

David J. Povolny/Mayor

Elizabeth Mursko, City Clerk/Administrator



Project Location and Layout





Anoka County Regional Solicitation Roadway Expansion Application















Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	1079	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	2	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.41	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.08	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.09	

Discoller	A 11	
Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	989	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	2	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.38	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.07	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.09	

Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	1079	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	2	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.41	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.08	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.09	

Discoller	A 11	
Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	989	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	2	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.38	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.07	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.09	

