Application

01967-2014 Roadway Expansion
02294 - CSAH 78 Expansion from 139th Ln to CSAH 18
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status:
Submitted Date:

Submitted
11/26/2014 12:28 PM

## Primary Contact



## Organization Information

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):
Organization Type: County Government
Organization Website:
Address: 1440 BUNKER LAKE BLVD

| * | ANDOVER | Minnesota |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County: | City |  |
| State/Province |  |  |
| Phone:* | Anoka |  |
| Fax: | $763-862-4200$ | Ext. |
| PeopleSoft Vendor Number | $0000003633 A 15$ |  |

## Project Information

Project Name
Primary County where the Project is Located
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):

CSAH 78 Expansion from 139th Ln to CSAH 18
Anoka

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

Anoka County proposes the expansion of CSAH 78 (Hanson Blvd) in Andover to four lanes from 139th Ln to CSAH 18 (Crosstown Blvd) to improve mobility and safety in the corridor. With only two existing lanes for through traffic, the road is woefully underbuilt to handle the traffic it currently sees, let alone forecasted volumes for 2030. The road currently has an annual average daily traffic (AADT) count of 16,500 , and by 2030 AADT is expected to jump to nearly 30,000 vehicles. Due to the lack of arterials in this part of Anoka County, CSAH 78 (an A Minor Expander Arterial) serves as the primary north-south roadway for the City and the large travelshed to the north.

Beyond serving as a roadway for regional trips and major commuter route, traffic along CSAH 78 is also driven by a series of destinations along the roadway segment including the largest elementary school in the state (Andover Elementary), Oakview Middle School, the most visited YMCA in the Metro, City Hall, two major commercial nodes. And, The roadway connects to DSTI, named by Inc. Magazine as one of the fastest growing manufacturers in the country. The roadway also serves Bunker Hills Regional Park, Andover High School, two fire stations, and the Anoka County Sheriffs Office and Highway Department. These destinations combine to create high levels of congestion, especially during the morning commute when school traffic (over 4,300 students in the three schools combined) mixes with commuters.

The CSAH 78 project will expand the heavily congested two-lane roadway to a four-lane divided facility with six-foot paved shoulders, thereby extending an existing four-lane section to make it four lanes from CSAH 1 (Coon Rapids Blvd) to CSAH 18. The project will also include improvements to the multiuse trail adjacent to

CSAH 78 along with a reconfiguration of intersections along the segment.

The proposed project will result in myriad benefits, including the following:

Lower emissions and reduced peak hour delay. Reduced emissions will also improve the travel quality of pedestrians and bicyclists using the adjacent trail.

Improved safety from lessened travel delay for emergency vehicles traveling through the corridor. Andover Fire Stations No. 1 and 3 and the Anoka County Sheriffs Office are on either end of the project area.

Greater resiliency due to the increased capacity in the corridor. When an incident happens, traffic will be able to flow around it using the additional lanes.

Overall, the CSAH 78 expansion will vastly improve the quality of peoples lives by allowing them to travel without the delay and congestion they currently face. Further, the improved multimodal facilities will continue to serve as a critical link between education, employment, and civic centers.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.
Project Length (Miles)
1.52

Connection to Local Planning:
Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

## Project Funding

| If yes, please identify the source(s) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Federal Amount | \$7,000,000.00 |
| Match Amount | \$4,604,000.00 |
| Minimum of 20\% of project total |  |
| Project Total | \$11,604,000.00 |
| Match Percentage | 39.68\% |
| Minimum of 20\% |  |
| Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total |  |
| Source of Match Funds | Anoka County |
| Preferred Program Year |  |
| Select one: | 2019 |
| MnDOT State Aid Project Information: Roadway Projects |  |
| County, City, or Lead Agency | Anoka County |
| Functional Class of Road | A Minor Expander Arterial |
| Road System | CSAH |
| TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET |  |
| Name of Road | CSAH 78 (Hanson Blvd) |
| Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE |  |
| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55304 |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date | 03/01/2019 |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date | 11/30/2019 |
| LOCATION |  |
| From: <br> (Intersection or Address) | 139th Ln |
| Do not include legal description; Include name of roadway if majority of facility runs adjacent to a single corridor. |  |
| To: <br> (Intersection or Address) | CSAH 18 (Crosstown Blvd |
| Type of Work | Bridge, Multiuse trails, Storm sewer, Grade, Paved Surface, ADA ramps |
| Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface, sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge, Park \& Ride, etc.) |  |
| Old Bridge/Culvert? | Yes |
| New Bridge/Culvert? | Yes |
| Structure is Over/Under <br> (Bridge or culvert name): | Coon Creek |

Specific Roadway Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES

## Cost

Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... $\$ 475,000.00$
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... \$475,000.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) ..... \$1,883,000.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving) ..... \$2,824,000.00
Subgrade Correction (muck) ..... \$700,000.00
Storm Sewer ..... \$2,375,000.00
Ponds ..... \$100,000.00
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) ..... \$718,000.00
Traffic Control ..... \$50,000.00
Striping ..... \$80,000.00
Signing ..... \$80,000.00
Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping ..... \$160,000.00
Bridge ..... $\$ 1,000,000.00$
Retaining Walls ..... $\$ 0.00$
Noise Wall ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Signals ..... \$500,000.00
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$11,420,000.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES
CostPath/Trail Construction$\$ 120,000.00$
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... \$64,000.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$184,000.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST
ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Operating Costs
OPERATING COSTS Cost
Transit Operating Costs ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Totals

Total Cost
\$11,604,000.00
Construction Cost Total
\$11,604,000.00
Transit Operating Cost Total
$\$ 0.00$

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between $\$ 1,000,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$. Roadway system management must be between \$250,000 and \$7,000,000.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only

1. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.
The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Bridge Projects Only

3. The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8.Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Bridge Replacement Projects Only

10. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only
11.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Other Attachments

## File Name

City of Andover Resolution of Support.pdf

CSAH 78 Attachments - FINAL.pdf

Description

Resolution of Support: City of Andover

Figure 1: Project Limits and Context
Figures 2-3: Roadway Improvements

57 KB
File Size
2.9 MB

## Reliever: Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report)

## Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below)

## Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

| Hour | NB/EB Volume | SB/WB Volume | Capacity | Volume exceeds capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00am - 1:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 1:00am-2:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 2:00am-3:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 3:00am-4:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 4:00am-5:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 5:00am-6:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 6:00am-7:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 7:00am-8:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 8:00am-9:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 9:00am-10:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 10:00am-11:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 11:00am-12:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 12:00pm - 1:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 1:00pm-2:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 2:00pm-3:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 3:00pm-4:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 4:00pm - 5:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 5:00pm-6:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 6:00pm-7:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 7:00pm - 8:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |

```
8:00pm-9:00pm 0
9:00pm-10:00pm 0
10:00pm-11:00pm 0
11:00pm-12:00am 0
```


## Expander/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

| Select one: | Expander |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area | 6.47 |
| Project Length | 1.52 |
| Average Distance | 4.2566 |
| Upload Map | Definition.pdf |

## Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

| Location | 141st to CR 16 (Andover Blvd) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume | 4020.0 |

## Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Select all that apply
Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration
Direct connection to or within a mile of a
Manufacturing/Distribution Location
Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution
Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or Yes city plan

County or City Plan Reference (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

The CSAH 78 expansion will connect the two identified activity centers of Andover City Center Complex/Clocktower Commons on the north end of the project area and the Andover Station North on the south of the project area (City of Andover 2008 Comprehensive Plan Update). The activity centers contain high concentrations of commercial and industrial jobs, as well as local government services, schools, and recreational facilities.

Economy.pdf

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | 141st to CR 16 (Andover Blvd) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 16500.0 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership 0

Current Daily Person Throughput 21450.0

## Measure B: 2030 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume 0
OR
Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

Forecast (2030) ADT volume 29700.0

## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:
Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or Yes includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

The CSAH 78 expansion will greatly aid children and people accessing jobs and public services. The project is in a census tract (502.22) with 36.5 percent of its residents under 18 years old, far above the Metros average of 24.9 percent (2012 5Year ACS).

A primary benefit is a decrease in congestion on a road surrounded by three schools, which collectively have over 4,300 students. The road is roughly 35 percent over capacity and is expected to dramatically worsen by 2030. The congestion greatly increases the travel time to the schools, especially in the morning, and reduces the air quality near the schools.

The travel delays also impact children and others accessing the most-used YMCA in the Metro and City Hall within the project area. Plus, congestion increases response times from the areas fire stations or sheriffs office, which is particularly concerning for populations at higher risk for emergencies such as disabled people or the elderly.

CSAH 78s large travelshed includes an area above the regional average concentration of race/poverty, and the project will improve access to several job centers in the area.

The trail along CSAH 78 and other pedestrian facilities will enhance non-motorized access through the corridor. Bicycle and pedestrian facilities are particularly important for low-income residents, children, and others since the area lacks transit service.

Socio-Economic.pdf
Measure B: Affordable Housing
City/Township (Miles)

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| Total Project Length (Miles) | 1.52 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Housing Score | 47.0 |

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original
Roadway Construction
or Most Recent
Reconstruction

| 1982.0 | 0.34 | 673.88 | 443.342 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1983.0 | 0.56 | 1110.48 | 730.579 |
| 2002.0 | 0.26 | 520.52 | 342.447 |
| 2007.0 | 0.36 | 722.52 | 475.342 |
|  | 2 | 3027 | 1992 |

Average Construction Year

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Total Segment Length 1.52

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness of Vehicle Delay Reduction

| Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet | $\$ 11,604,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project | 65743.0 |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project | 31738.0 |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project | 34005.0 |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 341.24$ |
| Synchro or HCM Reports | CSAH 78 - HCM.pdf |

## Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction

| Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet | $\$ 11,604,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project | 0.82 |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 14,151,219.51$ |
| Synchro or HCM Reports | CSAH 78 - HCM.pdf |

## Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

Project Benefit/Cost Ratio 0.59

Worksheet Attachment
CSAH 78 Complete Analysis.pdf

## Measure A: Transit Connections

Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project
N/A
Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP)

Upload Map Transit.pdf

## Response

Met Council Staff Data Entry Only
Route Ridership
0

Transitway Ridership

Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

As shown in Figure 1, the CSAH 78 corridor currently contains a multiuse trail adjacent to the road throughout the project area. The trail is part of a larger trail system connecting users to all parts of Andover and the greater region. The CSAH 78 portion of the trail provides access to an existing regional trail running along CSAH 116 (Bunker Lake Blvd) on the south edge of the project.

A sidewalk along CR 16 provides pedestrian access between the projects trails and Andover High School to the west.

The CSAH 78 bridge offers a non-motorized crossing of Coon Creek. All signalized intersections include crosswalks on all sides of the intersection, and minor intersections have them running parallel to CSAH 78.
Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
The projects non-motorized facilities will provide direct access to high pedestrian-traffic activity centers identified in the City of Andover 2008 Comprehensive Plan Update:

Andover Station and Andover Station North, a walkable mixed-use neighborhood on the south end of the project with commercial, residential, recreational, industrial and civic destinations. The development area includes an internal network of sidewalks to aid pedestrian mobility between destinations.

Andover City Center Complex and Clocktower Commons, an area on the north end of the project with commercial Andover City Hall, a YMCA, Andover Elementary School and Sunshine Park.

## Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

The existing high quality multiuse trail adjacent to CSAH 78 and crosswalks throughout the corridor will be improved as part of the project to ensure that the safety, security and traveling comfort of non-motorized travelers are enhanced. The expanded bridge in the project area will provide a non-motorized crossing of Coon Creek, and intersections will include marked ADA compliant crosswalks.

The projects shoulders will provide a level of resiliency to the non-motorized network, offering an alternate path through the corridor in the event of an incident requiring a temporary closure of the trail.

A median will be included to help provide a pedestrian refuge in the center of CSAH 78.

The proposed project is in a Transit Market Area IV according to the Metropolitan Councils 2030 Transportation Policy Plan, meaning that the area can only support Dial-a-Ride and limited peak period express service. This project does not directly incorporate transit facilities, but the included trail does help provide non-motorized access to transit service approximately two miles away and the Northstar Commuter Rail Line station at Riverdale Blvd in Coon Rapids.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)

Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred
100\%

Stakeholders have been identified Yes

40\%

Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted
0\%
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)

Layout or Preliminary Plan completed
Yes
100\%

Layout or Preliminary Plan started
50\%

Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)

EIS

EA

PM

Document Status:

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified
50\%

Document not started Yes

0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not Yes located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no
historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; 6f is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area
100\%
Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section $4 f$ resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80\%
Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources
likely
$30 \%$
Unknown impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area
0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required Yes
100\%
Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired
100\%
Right-of-way or easements required, offers made
75\%
Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made
50\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified
25\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified
0\%
Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed

## 0\%

Anticipated date or date of acquisition
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project
Yes
100\%

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun
40\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)

100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review
75\%
Construction plans in progress; at least $30 \%$ completion 50\%

Construction plans have not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
9)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date

100\%

Yes

# ANDODONR 参 

November 18, 2014

```
Douglas W. Fischer, P.E.
County Engineer
Anoka County Highway Department
1440 Bunker lake Blvd. NW
Andover, MN 5304
RE: REGIONAL FUNDING SOLICITATION - CSAH 78
```


## Dear Doug,

The City of Andover is writing this letter in regards to this year's federal funding solicitation. We understand that Anoka County would like to submit an application for the expansion and reconstruction of CSAH 78 in our community.

This letter is in support of the project and for Anoka County to pursue federal funding. The City of Andover and Anoka County continue to coordinate their efforts in improving the area's transportation issues. We feel this project will help address safety and mobility issues occurring in the area.

If you have any further questions in regard to the project on the city's end, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,


Michael R. Gamache
Mayor
City of Andover

## CITY OF ANDOVER COUNTY OF ANOKA STATE OF MINNESOTA

RES. NO. R089-14

## SUPPORTING ANOKA COUNTY FEDERAL FUNDING APPLICATION FOR CSAH 78

WHEREAS, CSAH 78 is an " $A$ " minor arterial reliever route that provides an important north-south transportation connection in Anoa County, and,

WHEREAS, traffic volumes on CSAH 78 have been increasing over the past decade and are expected to continue to increase in the future as the cities in and around the roadway continue to grow, and,

WHEREAS, existing and future traffic volumes are such that safety is a concern at intersections and along some segments of the corridor, and,

WHEREAS, existing and future traffic volumes are such that congestion is and will continue to negatively impact the ability of the corridor to move traffic, and

WHEREAS, Anoka County has identified this corridor as needing safety and capacity improvements, and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County and the City of Andover have worked together in the past to improve the area's transportation system, and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County would like to submit an application to the Transportation Advisory Board to the Metropolitan Council for 2017-2019 to receive federal transportation funds to make capacity and safety improvements on CSAH 78.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ANDOVER, MINNESOTA:

That the City of ANDOVER supports Anoka County in preparing and submitting an application for CSAH 78 in the Roadway Expansion category.

Adopted by the Andover City Council this $18^{\text {th }}$ day of November, 2014


Michael R. Gamache - Mayor


## Project Limits

CSAH 78 Expansion from 139th Ln to CSAH 18
Figure 1
Anoka County


Proposed Improvements - North
CSAH 78 Expansion from 139th Ln to CSAH 18
Figure 2
Anoka County


Roadway Area Definition

## Results

Project Length: 1.523 miles
Project Area: 6.465 sq mi

Project
Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

Regional Economy Roadway Expansion Project: CSAH 78 Expansion from 139th Ln to Crosstown Blvd | Map ID: 1415294166966 Results

Project NOT IN area of Job Concentration.
Project NOT IN to area of
Manufacturing and Distribution.
Project NOT CONNECTED to area of Education Institutions.


## Project

Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Expansion Project: CSAH 78 Expansion from 139th Ln to Crosstown Blvd | Map ID: 1415294166966

## Results

Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.

Project
$\square$ Project Area $\square$
$\square$

Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty Concentrated area of poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc. state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

## 3: CSAH 78 \& CSAH 16

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 2267 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 29 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.48 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.48 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.57 |

## 3: CSAH 78 \& CSAH 16

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 2267 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 14 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.90 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.37 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.44 |

## 3: CSAH 78 \& CSAH 16

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 2267 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 29 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.48 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.48 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.57 |

## 3: CSAH 78 \& CSAH 16

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 2267 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 14 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.90 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.37 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.44 |



| $\underset{\text { worksheet }}{\mathbf{H S I}}$ | Lematem |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { sumu }}$ | comb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow$ | $\Rightarrow$ | 5 |  | * | - | natain | omar | ${ }_{\text {ramat }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $={ }^{-1 / \mathrm{mo}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $=m 0_{0}^{c}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1,2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 边 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B/C= |  |
|  | 5 uramem | come |  | , | \%mem |  |  |  |
|  | s | $\stackrel{ }{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  | S12,48 |
|  | \% | : |  | s ${ }_{\text {comem }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PD |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CSAH 78 - created on 11-03-2014 by imsd1jac
Crash data is managed by the Mn/DOT Office of Traffic, Safety, and Operations.

| SYS | NUM | REF_POINT | GIS_ROUTE | GIS_TM | RD_DIR | ELEM | RELY | INV | R_U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04 | 02000078 | 004+00.559 | 0402000078 | 4.559 | S |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 004+00.559 | 0402000078 | 4.559 | N |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 004+00.928 | 0402000078 | 4.928 | Z |  | 1 | 0 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.118 | 0402000078 | 5.118 | z |  | 2 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.120 | 0402000078 | 5.120 | Z |  | 2 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.138 | 0402000078 | 5.138 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.178 | 0402000078 | 5.178 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.178 | 0402000078 | 5.178 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.178 | 0402000078 | 5.178 | S |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.178 | 0402000078 | 5.178 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.178 | 0402000078 | 5.178 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.178 | 0402000078 | 5.178 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.178 | 0402000078 | 5.178 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.215 | 0402000078 | 5.215 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.425 | 0402000078 | 5.425 | Z |  | 2 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.463 | 0402000078 | 5.463 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.640 | 0402000078 | 5.640 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.644 | 0402000078 | 5.644 | S |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.660 | 0402000078 | 5.660 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.825 | 0402000078 | 5.825 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 02000078 | 005+00.883 | 0402000078 | 5.883 | Z |  | 1 | 3 | U |

ATPCO
VEH 1 WAS SB HANSON BLVD AND WENT THRU RED LIGHT AT 139 LN NW CRASHING INTO VEH 2 WHICH WAS WB 139 ..... 2
AT 0838 HOURS ON 3/20/13 I WAS DISAPTCHED TO A PROPERTY DAMAGED ACCIDENT WITH TWO CARS INVOLVED AT ..... 2
UNIT \#1 WAS STOPPING IN TRAFFIC FOR THE RED LIGHT AT THE INTERSECTION OF HANSON BLVD AND ANDOVER BL2
DRIVER OF VEHICLE 1 MN PLATE 803AJV IDENTIFIED BY MN DL. DRIVER STATED HE WAS TRAVELING NORTH ON H ..... 2
UNIT 1 WAS NORTBOUND ON HANSON BLVD NW WHEN THEY STARTED TO MOVE INTO THE TURN LANE TO GO EASTBOUND ..... 2
UNIT 3 REAR ENDED UNIT 2 WHICH CAUSED UNIT 2 TO REAR END UNIT 1. ..... 2
VEH \#1 FAILED TO STOP FOR THE RED LIGHT AND T-BONED VEH \#2. DRIVER VEH \#1 CITED. ..... 2
GROUP OF VEHICLES WERE HEADED S/B HANSON BLVD NW WHEN FIRST FEW VEHICLES STOPPED AS THE SEMAPHORE L ..... 2
UNIT 1 TURNED LEFT IN FRONT OF UNIT 2 AND THEY COLLIDED. UNIT 2 TRIED TO CORRECT PATH AND OVERTURN ..... 2
DRIVER OF VEHICLE 1 MN VEH. 130JWM IDENTIFIED DRIVER BY MN DL. DRIVER STATED SHE WAS TRAVELING NOR ..... 2
V1 MN PLATE 179GYL D1 IDENTIFIED BY MN DL. D1 STATED HE WAS TRAVELING NORTH ON HANSON BLVD AND WAS ..... 2
AT 1343 HOURS ON 11/16/13 I WAS DISPATCHED TO THE LOCATION OF A PERSONAL INJURY ACCIDENT. UPON ARR ..... 2
VEHICLES \#1, \#2 AND \#3 WERE ALL ON SOUTH BOUND HANSON BLVD NW, STOPPED IN TRAFFIC DUE TO THE RED SI ..... 2
VEH 1 REARENDED VEH 2 AS IT WAS STOPPED WAITING IN TRAFFIC. SEE LOCAL ICR ..... 2
UNIT\#1 WAS WAITING FOR A VEHICLE TO TURN LEFT ONTO 148TH LN NW. UNIT\#3 CRASHED INTO UNIT\#2. UNIT\# ..... 2
AT 1632 HOURS ON 9/6/12 I WAS DISPATCHED TO A PROPERTY DAMAGE ACCIDENT AT HANSON BLVD NW AND 148TH ..... 2
UNIT\#2 WAS STOPPED IN TRAFFIC BEHIND A LINE OF OTHER VEHICLES WAITING TO TURN LEFT INTO ANDOVER ELE ..... 2
V1 STOPPED WAITING TO TURN WEST INTO SCHOOL LOT V2 STOPPED BEHIND V1 V3 SLOWING TO STOP BEHIND V2 ..... 2
V \# 2 IS STOPPED IN TRAFFIC N/B ON HANSON BLVD JUST SOUTH OF CROSSTOWN BLVD. V \# 1 IS BEHIND V \# 2. ..... 2

| CITY | DOW | MONTH | DAY | YEAR | TIME | SEV | NUM_KILLED | NUM_VEH | JUNC | SL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0088 | 4-Wed | 2 | 15 | 2012 | 0720 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 55 |
| 0088 | 4-Wed | 3 | 20 | 2013 | 0838 | N | 0 | 2 | 7 | 55 |
| 0088 | 3-Tue | 4 | 3 | 2012 | 1730 | N | 0 | 2 | 0 | 55 |
| 0088 | 2-Mon | 1 | 7 | 2013 | 1820 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 55 |
| 0088 | 3-Tue | 2 | 12 | 2013 | 1757 | C | 0 | 3 | 2 | 55 |
| 0088 | 7-Sat | 11 | 19 | 2011 | 1357 | N | 0 | 1 | 1 | 55 |
| 0088 | 3-Tue | 1 | 25 | 2011 | 0738 | C | 0 | 3 | 4 | 55 |
| 0088 | 2-Mon | 6 | 6 | 2011 | 2013 | C | 0 | 2 | 4 | 55 |
| 0088 | 7-Sat | 10 | 29 | 2011 | 1214 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 55 |
| 0088 | 3-Tue | 9 | 25 | 2012 | 1900 | B | 0 | 2 | 4 | 50 |
| 0088 | 2-Mon | 2 | 11 | 2013 | 1826 | B | 0 | 3 | 2 | 55 |
| 0088 | 3-Tue | 10 | 8 | 2013 | 1729 | N | 0 | 2 | 1 | 55 |
| 0088 | 7-Sat | 11 | 16 | 2013 | 1343 | A | 0 | 2 | 5 | 55 |
| 0088 | 4-Wed | 7 | 13 | 2011 | 1645 | N | 0 | 3 | 1 | 55 |
| 0088 | 3 -Tue | 3 | 26 | 2013 | 0723 | C | 0 | 4 | 1 | 55 |
| 0088 | 5-Thu | 1 | 12 | 2012 | 0730 | C | 0 | 2 | 4 | 55 |
| 0088 | 2-Mon | 3 | 26 | 2012 | 1552 | C | 0 | 4 | 4 | 55 |
| 0088 | 5-Thu | 9 | 6 | 2012 | 1632 | N | 0 | 2 | 7 | 30 |
| 0088 | 3-Tue | 1 | 17 | 2012 | 1625 | C | 0 | 2 | 1 | 55 |
| 0088 | 2-Mon | 4 | 23 | 2012 | 1529 | N | 0 | 4 | 1 | 35 |
| 0088 | 2-Mon | 8 | 22 | 2011 | 1749 | C | 0 | 2 | 4 | 50 |


| TYPE | DIAG | LOC1 | TCD | LIT | WTHR1 | WTHR2 | SURF | CHAR | DESGN | ACC_NUM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 120460106 |
| 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 130810116 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 121280032 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 99 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 130090004 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 130430215 |
| 51 | 7 | 2 | 98 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 113240111 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 110250281 |
| 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 111580003 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 113040071 |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 122690214 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 130430214 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 132850034 |
| 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 133200112 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 112340054 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 130900041 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 120120110 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 120860110 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 122510131 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 120170144 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 121220139 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 112340255 |


\section*{co CITY Dow MONTH | 0088 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 2-MMn } \\ 0088 \\ \text { 2-Mon }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |}

Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors


| Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Roadway Departure Crashes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crash <br> Type | Crash Severity | Area Type | Road Type | Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day) | Ref | Effectiveness |  |  |  | Study Type |
| Countermeasure(s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Crash Reduction Factor | Std | Ra | nge |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nation | Error | Low | High |  |
| Increase number of lanes (cont'd) | Rightangle | All |  |  | <5,000/lane | 15 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rightangle | All |  |  | >5,000/lane | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rightangle | All |  |  |  | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rightangle | PDO |  |  |  | 15 | 46 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sideswipe | All |  |  | <5,000/lane | 15 | 38 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sideswipe | All |  |  | >5,000/lane | 15 | 44 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sideswipe | All |  |  |  | 15 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sideswipe | All |  |  |  | 15 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sideswipe | All |  |  |  | 15 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sideswipe | PDO |  |  |  | 15 | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| Increase vertical grade by $1 \%$ | All | All | Rural | 2-lane |  | 23 | -1.6P; P=percent grade ( | solut | valu |  |  |
| Install acceleration/ deceleration lanes | All | All |  |  |  | 15 | 26 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | All | All |  | 1 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  | 15 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  | 15 | 75 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  | 15 | 75 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sideswipe | All |  |  |  | 15 | 75 |  |  |  |  |
| Install channelized lane | All | All |  |  |  | 15 | 67 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | PDO |  |  |  | 15 | 62 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  | 15 | 93 |  |  |  |  |
| Install climbing lane (where large difference between car and truck speed) | All | Fatal/ Injury | Rural | 2-lane |  | 38 | 33 |  |  |  |  |

- Countermeasure: Instaltraised median


0.5644 All \begin{tabular}{c}
Fatal, Serious <br>
injury

 

Schultz <br>
et al., <br>
2011
\end{tabular}

0.29 All All Urban | Schultz |
| :---: |
| et al., |
| 2008 |

0.45 Angle All Urban | Schultz |
| :---: |
| et al., |
| 2008 |

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.86 | 14 | AllYanmaz- <br> Tuzel <br> and |
| Ozbay, |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |

- Countermeasure: Improve pavement friction (increase skid resistance)

| CMF | CRF(\%) Quality | Crash <br> Type | Crash <br> Severity | Area <br> Type | Reference | All | All | Lyon and <br> Persaud, <br> 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.799 | 20.1 | All | All |  |  |  |  |  |

0.667 All All Allan | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.81918 .1 All All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

- 


All
Lyon
and
Persaud, 2008
-

| 1.271 | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27.1 | All | All | Lyon <br> and |
| Persaud, |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |

- 

0.426 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.37262 .8 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |

0.575

Rear end,Wet road
All
Lyon
and
Persaud,
2008

| 0.59 | 41 |  | All | All | All | Lyon and Persaud, 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



0.36163 .9 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |



0.943 Rear end All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.50449 .6 Rear end All Allation | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |




|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.898 | Angle | AllLyon <br> and <br> Persaud, <br> 2008 |

- 



0.4753 Angle,Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.828 | Angle,Wet road All AllanLyon <br> and <br> Persaud, <br> 2008 |

## Dual CRF for CSAH 78 between 139th Lane and 150th Avenue

Improvements include the expansion from a 2 to 4 lane facility and installation of a median.

CR1=Increase number of lanes
CR2=Install a raised median
$C R=1-(1-C R 1)^{*}(1-C R 2)$

Run off Road/Head On/Sideswipe: CR=1 - (1-.44)*(1-.39) = . 65
Right Angle: CR=1 - (1-.45)* $(1-.39)=.66$
Left-Turn: CR=1 - (1-.71)*(1-.39) $=.82$
Rear End: CR=1 - (1-.52)* $(1-.39)=.71$

Dual CRF for CSAH 78 at CR 18

Improvements include the expansion from a 2 to 4 lane facility and pavement improvement.

CR1=Increase number of lanes
CR2=Pavement improvement
$C R=1-(1-C R 1) *(1-C R 2)$

Rear End: CR=1 - (1-. 52$)^{*}(1-.70)=.86$

Transit Connections Roadway Expansion Project: CSAH 78 Expansion from 139th Ln to Crosstown BIvd | Map ID: 1415294166966

Results
Transit with a Direct Connection to project: -- NONE --
*indicates Planned Alignments

$\square$ Project Transitway

Project Area $\overline{\overline{ }}$ Northstar Line
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit

