Application

01968-2014 Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
01986 - CSAH 10 (Waconia) Reconstruction
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements
Status: Submitted

Original Submitted Date:
12/01/2014 4:09 PM
Last Submitted Date:
12/16/2014 2:59 PM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* | Kate |  |  | Miner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Transportation Manager |  |  |  |
| Department: | Public Works |  |  |  |
| Email: | kminer@co.carver.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 11360 Highway 212 |  |  |  |
|  | Suite 1 |  |  |  |
| * | Cologne | Minnesota |  | 55322 |
|  | City | State/Province |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 952-466-5208 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: | 952-466-5 |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional Elements | ation - Roadway | s Includin | Multimodal |

## Organization Information

## Name:

CARVER COUNTY
Jurisdictional Agency (if different):

| Organization Type: | County Government |
| :--- | :---: |
| Organization Website: |  |
| Address: | PUBLIC WORKS |
|  | 11360 HWY $212 \mathrm{~W} \# 1$ |

* | COLOGNE | Minnesota | 55322-9133 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | City | State/Province | Postal Code/Zip |

County:
Carver

Phone:*

Fax:
PeopleSoft Vendor Number
0000026790A12

## Project Information

| Project Name | CSAH 10 (Waconia) Reconstruction |
| :--- | :--- |
| Primary County where the Project is Located | Carver |
| Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant): |  |

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

The proposed project is a reconstruction of the CSAH 10 A Minor Expander roadway corridor, located in Carver County within Waconia Township and the City of Waconia. The project extends south from the CSAH 10/CSAH 30 (Waconia Parkway North) intersection for approximately 2.02 miles and terminates at the TH 5 intersection in the City of Waconia near the Waconia Senior High School and Clearwater Middle School campus (see attached Figure 1).

The project includes the full reconstruction of an existing two-lane undivided roadway to a two-lane divided urban corridor between CSAH 30 and Oak Avenue. The Oak Avenue intersection will be reconstructed as a roundabout and will transition the roadway into a three-lane urban corridor with a dedicated center left-turn lane through the TH 5 intersection. Both the two-lane and three-lane sections will include paved shoulders, curb, gutter, and stormwater treatment ponds. The project will also construct a paved, multiuse trail adjacent to the east and north sides of the corridor.

The reconstruction and reconfiguration of the CSAH 10 corridor through the City of Waconia will improve congestion and fill a critical capacity gap. It will improve safety in this important corridor in Carver County as the community of Waconia and the Waconia School District continues to grow. Increased capacity on the CSAH 10 corridor is identified as a significant mobility need in the 2030 Carver County Transportation Plan, and the reconstruction of this corridor is crucial to meet the needs of the rapidly growing school district enrollment, 2016 high school and middle school expansions (passed in a November 2014 referendum), and the 2030 forecasted traffic volumes, which are more than double the current
daily volumes on the corridor. The projects improvements will also provide appropriate continuity from a 2015 reconstruction project stretching from the projects northern termini at CSAH 30 north to TH 7 (additional information can be found here: http://goo.gl/J3T4Kf), as well as important connections to Ridgeview Medical Center and commercial development.

Furthermore, construction of the CSAH 10 trail will make a crucial stride in meeting an identified need for cross-county bicycle and pedestrian linkages to the City of Waconia and future regional trails. An extension of the CSAH 10 Trail corridor to the north of the project area is programmed for construction in 2015 and will connect directly to the paved Dakota Rail Regional Trail, which extends along a scenic route east and west to the communities of Wayzata and Lester Prairie, respectively. This connection also opens up several additional regional trail connections, including the Luce Line State Trail, which extends westward to Hutchinson and east through Plymouth to Minneapolis.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.
Project Length (Miles) 2.02

Connection to Local Planning:
Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

Connection to Local Planning
Carver County 2030 Transportation Plan (page 7,
Financial Plan) and Carver County 2030 Trail
System Plan (page 14, Figure 4.5)

## Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)

| Match Amount | \$3,110,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minimum of $20 \%$ of project total |  |
| Project Total | \$10,110,000.00 |
| Match Percentage | 30.76\% |
| Minimum of 20\% |  |
| Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total |  |
| Source of Match Funds | Carver County, City of Waconia |
| Preferred Program Year |  |
| Select one: | 2019 |
| MnDOT State Aid Project Information: Roadway Projects |  |
| County, City, or Lead Agency | Carver County |
| Functional Class of Road | "A" Minor Arterial Expander |
| Road System | CSAH |
| TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET |  |
| Name of Road | CSAH 10 |
| Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE |  |
| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55387 |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date | 04/01/2019 |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date | 06/01/2020 |
| LOCATION |  |
| From: (Intersection or Address) | CSAH 10/CSAH 30 Intersection |
| Do not include legal description; Include name of roadway if majority of facility runs adjacent to a single corridor. |  |
| To: <br> (Intersection or Address) | Up to CSAH 10/TH 5 Intersection |
| Type of Work | Grading, storm sewer, ponding, traffic control, striping, bituminous bicycle path, ped ramps |
| Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface, sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge, Park \& Ride, etc.) |  |
| Old Bridge/Culvert? | No |
| New Bridge/Culvert? | No |
| Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name): |  |

Specific Roadway Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST
ESTIMATES
Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... $\$ 600,000.00$
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... $\$ 600,000.00$
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) ..... \$1,500,000.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving) ..... \$2,300,000.00
Subgrade Correction (muck) ..... \$525,000.00
Storm Sewer ..... \$2,500,000.00
Ponds ..... \$100,000.00
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) ..... \$885,000.00
Traffic Control ..... \$120,000.00
Striping ..... \$60,000.00
Signing ..... \$60,000.00
Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping ..... $\$ 100,000.00$
Bridge ..... $\$ 0.00$
Retaining Walls ..... $\$ 0.00$
Noise Wall ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Signals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wetland Mitigation ..... \$50,000.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$9,400,000.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... \$635,000.00
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... \$75,000.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$710,000.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Operating Costs
OPERATING COSTS ..... Cost
Transit Operating Costs ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 10,110,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 10,110,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Requirements - All Projects

All Projects
1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between $\$ 1,000,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$. Roadway system management must be between $\$ 250,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only
1.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.
The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Bridge Projects Only

3. The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4.Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
5.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
7. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
8.Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
9. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Bridge Replacement Projects Only

10. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only
11.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1986 Carver HSIP.pdf | Crash B/C | 271 KB |
| Figure1_CSAH10_Recon_Waconia.pdf | Figure 1 | 695 KB |
| Waconia letter of support.pdf | Letter of Support City of Waconia | 28 KB |

## Reliever: Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report)

## Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below)

## Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

| Hour | NB/EB Volume | SB/WB Volume | Capacity | Volume exceeds capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00am - 1:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 1:00am-2:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00am-3:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 3:00am-4:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00am-5:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00am-6:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 6:00am-7:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00am-8:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00am-9:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 9:00am-10:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00am - 11:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00am-12:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00pm-1:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 1:00pm-2:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00pm-3:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 3:00pm - 4:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00pm - 5:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00pm -6:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 6:00pm-7:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00pm-8:00pm |  |  |  |  |

```
8:00pm - 9:00pm
```

9:00pm - 10:00pm
10:00pm - 11:00pm

11:00pm - 12:00am

## Expander/Connector/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

| Select one: | Expander |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area | 7.12 |
| Project Length | 2.02 |
| Average Distance | 3.5248 |
| Upload Map | RoadwayAreaDefinition.pdf |

## Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

| Location | CSAH 10 south of CSAH 32 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume | 1822.0 |

## Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Select all that apply
Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration
Direct connection to or within a mile of a
Manufacturing/Distribution Location
Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution
Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or Yes city plan

County or City Plan Reference (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

Upload Map
The project provides a gateway connection into the City of Waconia, a growing and thriving community of over 11,000, and is located within one mile of downtown Waconia, as identified in the City of Waconia 2030 Comp Plan. This area includes commercial office, residential, and mixed land uses. The proposed project also provides an enhanced connection to the Ridgeview Medical Center campus, the citys largest employer (1,700+ jobs) and the heart of the regional healthcare network. The Waconia Public Schools campuses which passed a 2014 referendum to support rapidly increasing growth are located less than a mile from the project and benefit from the travel time savings and trail connection.

RegionalEconomy.pdf

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | CSAH 10 south of CSAH 32 intersection |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 7700.0 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | N/A |

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current Daily Person Throughput | 10010.0 |

## Measure B: 2030 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume

## OR

Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

Forecast (2030) ADT volume

Yes
15000.0

Select one:
Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

Yes

The CSAH 10 expansion will improve travel times and economic efficiencies for commuter, freight, and student travel on the corridor, which support the health and growth of Waconias local economy and provide opportunities for job growth and stability for low-income households (7\%) and minority populations (6\%) living near the project. The corridors enhanced direct connections to TH 5 and TH 7 will enable efficient connections to job concentrations and manufacturing centers in and near Minneapolis and St. Paul for these disadvantaged population groups.

The multiuse trail facility included in the proposed project will increase livability, access, connectivity, transportation choice, and recreational opportunities for all populations living in proximity to the project, including the elderly (11\%) and children (32\%), which are above county and regional averages. The project also integrates ADA intersection improvements at Oak Avenue, enabling safe travel for students and others traveling to the Waconia High School/Clearwater Middle School campus, nearby parks, and downtown Waconia.

Right-of-way acquisition will not result in displacement or takings from property owners. Project construction will incorporate proper noise, dust, and traffic mitigation and will not negatively impact the aforementioned disadvantaged populations present in the project area.

## Measure B: Affordable Housing

| City/Township |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waconia Township |  |  | 1.05 |  |
| City of Waconia |  |  | 0.97 |  |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Total Project Length |  |  |  |  |
| Total Project Length | 2.02 |  |  |  |
| Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staf |  |  |  |  |
| City/TownshipSegment <br> Length (Miles) | Total Length (Miles) | Score | Segment Length/Total Length | Housing Score Multiplied by Segment percent |
| City of Waconia 2.02 | 2.02 | 62.0 | 1.0 | 62.0 |
|  | 2 | 62 | 1 | 62 |

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Total Project Length (Miles)
Total Housing Score62.0

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original

Roadway Construction or Most Recent Reconstruction

Roadway Segment Length (Miles)

Calculation
Calculation 2

Average Construction Year

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Total Segment Length

## Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

The project includes the full reconstruction of an existing two-lane, undivided 1.45 mile roadway nearing the end of its useful life to a divided twolane urban roadway between the CSAH 10/ CSAH 30 and CSAH 10/Oak Avenue intersections. The Oak Avenue intersection will be reconstructed as a one-lane roundabout. The roundabout configuration will provide an appropriate transition from the twolane corridor to the second segment of the reconstruction project, a 0.56 mile divided threelane urban corridor with a dedicated left-turn lane from Oak Avenue to TH 5. The reconstructed corridor will also include paved shoulders to enhance safety for passenger and freight vehicles.

The east-west segment of the CSAH 10 corridor also has one of the highest crash frequency and severity rates of all Carver County roadway facilities (2030 Transportation Plan), and the dedicated turn lanes and roundabout construction at the CSAH 10/Oak Avenue intersection will improve safety by reducing the risk for and severity of rear-end and other types of crashes.

Stormwater management will be increased significantly along the corridor with the addition of curb, gutter, and stormwater treatment ponds along the length of the project. Overhead electric utilities will be relocated to accommodate for increased right-of-way near Oak Avenue.

| Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet | $\$ 10,110,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project | 31200.0 |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project | 9776.0 |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project | 21424.0 |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 471.90$ |
| Synchro or HCM Reports | CSAH 10 Reconstruction Synchro Analysis Files.pdf |

Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet
Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project

Cost Effectiveness
Synchro or HCM Reports
\$10,110,000.00
0.65
\$15,553,846.15
CSAH 10 Reconstruction Synchro Analysis Files.pdf

## Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

| Project Benefit/Cost Ratio | 0.26 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Worksheet Attachment | CSAH 10 Waconia Safety Analysis Files.zip |

## Measure A: Transit Connections

Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project
N/A
Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP)

Upload Map

TransitConnectivity.pdf

## Response

Met Council Staff Data Entry Only
Route Ridership 0
Transitway Ridership 0

## Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

Multiple planned and existing multiuse trails will directly connect to the proposed CSAH 10 Trail (see attached Figure 1). First, an extension of the CSAH 10 Trail corridor to the north of the project area is programmed for construction in 2015 and identified in the 2030 Carver County Trails System Plan. The northern extension of the CSAH 10 Trail will connect directly to the paved Dakota Rail Regional Trail, which extends along a scenic route east and west to the communities of Wayzata and Lester Prairie, respectively. This connection also opens up several additional regional trail, including the Luce Line State Trail, which extends westward to Winsted and Hutchinson and east through Plymouth to Minneapolis.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Secondly, the proposed project will connect to an existing multiuse trail at Oak Avenue and a robust network of other existing trails and sidewalks that provide safe connections for bicyclists and pedestrians traveling throughout Waconia. Access to destinations such as Waconia High School, which enrolls nearly 1,000 students and connections to Waconias residential neighborhoods, commercial nodes, major employers such as Ridgeview Medical Center, and pedestrian-friendly destinations such as Lake Waconia Regional Park via the planned Lake Waconia Trail (identified in the Carver County Trails System Plan), and the Crown College campus will also be enhanced by the project.

## Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

The proposed CSAH 10 expansion project includes the construction of a paved multiuse trail, located in the right-of-way immediately east/north of the roadway. The trail will be available to bicyclists, pedestrians, and other non-motorized recreational users. 2030 forecasted volumes on the CSAH 10 corridor (15,000 ADT), which will serve as a critical thoroughfare for travel into and out of the growing city of Waconia and the TH 5 freight corridor preclude the safe operation of on-road bicycle facilities. A separate roadway and trail facility is optimal for all users to avoid collisions between modes and protect the safety of non-motorized travelers and drivers. This separated multiuse trail corridor is also supported by the Carver County Trails System Plan.

Pedestrians will benefit from increased ease of crossing and safety at the one-lane roundabout to be constructed at the CSAH 10/Oak Avenue intersection. This intersection is currently configured as a four-way stop with marked crosswalks, but peak AM queues of four to seven vehicles on each leg of the intersection prevent efficient and easy crossing opportunities for pedestrians. The low vehicular speeds through a roundabout will also allow more time for drivers and pedestrians to react to one another and to reduce the consequences of error.

Finally, there is no transit service in the project area.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

```
1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)
Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred
100%
Stakeholders have been identified Yes
40%
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted
0%
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)
Layout or Preliminary Plan completed Yes
100%
Layout or Preliminary Plan started
50%
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started
0%
Anticipated date or date of completion
    11/01/2014
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)
EIS
EA
PM
Yes
Document Status:
Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)
    100%
Document submitted to State Aid for review
Document in progress; environmental impacts identified
50%
Document not started
Yes
0%
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval
No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge
100\%
```

Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated 80\%

Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources
Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; $6 f$ is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area
100\%
Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section $4 f$ resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

Yes

80\%
Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources
likely
30\%
Unknown impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area
0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required
100\%
Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired
100\%
Right-of-way or easements required, offers made
75\%
Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made
50\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified Yes

25\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified
0\%

Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed 0\%

Anticipated date or date of acquisition
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project
100\%

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)

100\%

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

40\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun

0\%

Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)

100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review 75\%

Construction plans in progress; at least 30\% completion 50\%

Construction plans have not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
Yes

10/01/2017
9)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date

Yes
01/01/2017



csah 102 - created on 10-31-2014 by imsd1jac
Crash data is managed by the Mn/DOT Office of Traffic, Safety, and Operations.

| SYS | NUM | REF_POINT | Location | Type | GIS_ROUTE | GIS_TM | RD_DIR | ELEM | RELY | INV | R_U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04 | 10000010 | 009+00.860 | CSAH 32 | RE | 0410000010 | 9.860 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | R |
| 04 | 10000010 | 009+00.892 |  | ROR | 0410000010 | 9.892 | z |  | 1 | 2 | R |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.269 |  | ROR | 0410000010 | 10.269 | z |  | 1 | 2 | R |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.272 |  | ROR | 0410000010 | 10.272 | z |  | 2 | 2 | R |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.318 |  | ROR | 0410000010 | 10.318 | z |  | 2 | 2 | R |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.320 |  | ROR | 0410000010 | 10.320 | z |  | 1 | 2 | R |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.519 | Pond Ln | Left Turn | 0410000010 | 10.519 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | R |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.519 | Pond Ln | ROR | 0410000010 | 10.519 | Z |  | 2 | 2 | R |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.524 |  | RE | 0410000010 | 10.524 | z |  | 1 | 2 | R |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.740 |  | ROR | 0410000010 | 10.740 | Z |  | 2 | 2 | R |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.840 | Oak Ave | RA | 0410000010 | 10.840 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.840 | Oak Ave | RE | 0410000010 | 10.840 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.840 | Oak Ave | RE | 0410000010 | 10.840 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 010+00.840 | Oak Ave | RA | 0410000010 | 10.840 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.032 |  | RE | 0410000010 | 11.032 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.068 |  | RE | 0410000010 | 11.068 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.070 | Farm Line Rd | HO | 0410000010 | 11.070 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.070 | Farm Line Rd | RA | 0410000010 | 11.070 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.070 | Farm Line Rd | RE | 0410000010 | 11.070 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.155 | Heather Ln | Other | 0410000010 | 11.155 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.270 | Countryside Rd | RE | 0410000010 | 11.270 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.270 | Countryside Rd | RA | 0410000010 | 11.270 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.270 | Countryside Rd | HO | 0410000010 | 11.270 | E |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.370 | MN 5 | Left Turn | 0410000010 | 11.370 | Z |  | A | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.370 | Mn 5 | Left Turn | 0410000010 | 11.370 | z | - | A | $z$ | $\forall$ |
| 04 | 10000010 | $011+00.370$ | An 5 | Left Turn | 0410000010 | 11.370 | z | - | A | $z$ | $\forall$ |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.370 | An 5 | Left Turn | 0410000010 | 11.370 | z | - | A | $z$ | $\forall$ |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.370 | Mn 5 | RE | 0410000010 | 11.370 | z | - | 1 | $z$ | $\forall$ |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.370 | MN 5 | Left Turn | 0410000010 | 11.370 | z |  | 1 | 2 | U |
| 04 | 10000010 | 011+00.370 | MN 5 | но | 04100000 | 11.370 | Z |  | 1 | 2 | U |

VEH \#2 WAS NB ON CO RD 10, TURNING WB ONTO CO RD 32. DRIVER \#2 STATED SHE HAD TURN SIGNAL ON. VEH \# I WAS DISPATCHED TO A HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT IN WACONIA TWP. I WAS ADVISED THAT THE VEHICLE LEFT THE DRIVER 1 STATED ANOTHER VEHICLE DRIFTED INTO HIS LANE. DRIVER 1 STATED HE SWERVED TO AVOID OTHER VE DRIVER OF \#1 WAS SOUTHBOUND ON CSAH 10. AS SHE WAS GOING THROUGH THE CURVE SHE LOST CONTROL SPUN AR UNIT 1 WAS SOUTHBOUND ON COUNTY ROAD 10 AND WAS PART WAY AROUND THE CURVE ABOUT 0.2 MILES WEST OF P UNIT 1 WAS WESTBOUND ON CR 10/WACONIA PARKWAY SOUTH, AND ROUNDING THE CURVE ABOUT 0.2 MILES WEST OF DRIVER OF UNIT \#1 WAS PULLING OUT FROM STOP SIGN ON POND CURVE. DRIVER OF UNIT \#1 DID NOT SEE UNIT UNIT 1 WAS EASTBOUND IN CENTER OF CURVE ON CO RD 10/WACONIA PARKWAY S., ABOUT 0.2 MILES WEST OF PON DRIVER\#2 STATED HE WAS HEADED WB ON CO RD 10 WHEN HE STOPPED FOR UNIT\#3, WHICH WAS ATTEMPTING TO TU UNIT ONE WAS TRAVELING WESTBOUND ON CO RD 10 FROM OAK AVE. DRIVER STATED HE REMEMBERS STOPPING FOR
$\not 1$ DRIVER STATED SHE WAS AT A FOUR WAY STOP. \#1 DRIVER STATED IT WAS HER TURN AND SHE PROCEEDED EA
AWAY WHILE FOLLOING UNIT 1. NO INJURIES. PASSENGER IN UNIT 1 ALSO STATED THAT UNIT 2 WAS FOLLOWI UNIT \#1 AND UNIT \#2 WERE BOTH WESTBOUND ON COUNTY ROAD 10. UNIT \#2 WAS DIRECTLY IN FRONT OF UNIT \# BOTH UNITS WERE CAME TO A STOP AT THE SAME TIME AT THE 4 WAY INTERSECTION OF OAK AVE AND WACONIA PK VEHICLE 1 WAS TRAVELLING WESTBOUND ON WACONIA PKWY S. V1 WAS FOLLOWING VEHICLE 2. DUE TO AN APPROAC UNITS 1, 2, AND 3 WERE ALL EASTBOUND ON CR 10/WACONIA PARKWAY SOUTH BY FARMLINE RD IN RESPECTIVE OR UNIT \#1 WAS E/B ON CR 10 AND WAS SLOWING TO MAKE A LEFT HAND TURN ONTO FARM LINE ROAD. UNIT \#2 WAS UNIT \#1 WAS SOUTHBOUND ON FARM LINE ROAD AND WAS STOPPED AT THE STOP SIGN AND WAITING TO MAKE A LEF UNIT\#3 AND UNIT\#2 WERE STOPPED FACING EASTBOUND AND WERE WAITING TO TURN LEFT (N) ONTO FARM LINE RO DRIVER SAID SHE MADE WRONG TURN AND DROVE THROUGH FENCE AND OFF RETAINING WALL. DRIVER WAS ARRESTED VEH \#2 WAS WB ON CO RD 10 NEAR STRONG DR. RACHEL (A PEDESTRIAN) WAS CROSSING CO RD 10 IN A CROSSWAL
UNIT \#1 WAS WESTBOUND ON COUNTY ROAD 10 FROM HIGHWAY 5. UNIT \#1 PULLED INTO THE LEFT TURN LANE TO V1 WAS TRAVELING EAST ON WACONIA PKWY S. D1 SAID SHE THOUGHT SHE SAW SOME FRIENDS AND BECAME DISTR VEHICLE \#1 WAS SOUTHBOUND ON CSAH 10 TO GO EAST ON
\#1 DRIVER STATED SHE HAD A GREEN LIGHT AND WAS TUR
\#1 DRIVER STATED SHE WAS DRIVING AT POSTED SPEEDO
DRIVEROF H1 WAS NORTHBOUND ON CSAH 10 CROSSING MN $\qquad$ ——
VEHICLE \#1 AND \#2 WERE BOTH EASTBOUND ON HIGHWAY 5. BOTH VEHICLES WERE STOPPED IN A LONG LINE OF T
V-1 WAS S/B CO RD 10 TURNING LEFT TO GO E/B HWY 5 WITH GREEN YEILD LIGHT. V-2 WAS N/B CO RD 10 IN D\#2 STATED SHE WAS SB CO RD 10, APPROACHING HWY 5. D\#2 STATED SHE BELIEVED SHE HAD GREEN LIGHT AT H

| CITY | DOW | MONTH | DAY | YEAR | TIME | SEV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0000 | 4-Wed | 4 | 6 | 2011 | 2020 | C |
| 0000 | 4-Wed | 7 | 25 | 2012 | 2100 | N |
| 0000 | 6-Fri | 1 | 20 | 2012 | 1547 | N |
| 0000 | 6-Fri | 3 | 15 | 2013 | 0445 | C |
| 0000 | 1-Sun | 1 | 27 | 2013 | 1218 | C |
| 0000 | 3-Tue | 12 | 31 | 2013 | 0200 | N |
| 0000 | 2-Mon | 10 | 1 | 2012 | 0742 | C |
| 0000 | 7-Sat | 1 | 19 | 2013 | 0813 | N |
| 0000 | 1-Sun | 5 | 1 | 2011 | 1925 | N |
| 0000 | 4-Wed | 8 | 1 | 2012 | 0100 | C |
| 3930 | 4-Wed | 9 | 14 | 2011 | 0730 | N |
| 3930 | 3-Tue | 1 | 3 | 2012 | 1801 | N |
| 3930 | 6-Fri | 4 | 20 | 2012 | 1455 | N |
| 3930 | 5-Thu | 8 | 16 | 2012 | 2115 | N |
| 3930 | 5-Thu | 9 | 5 | 2013 | 1715 | N |
| 3930 | 2-Mon | 9 | 30 | 2013 | 0750 | C |
| 3930 | 6-Fri | 5 | 11 | 2012 | 0746 | B |
| 3930 | 4-Wed | 6 | 20 | 2012 | 0829 | N |
| 3930 | 2-Mon | 8 | 6 | 2012 | 1730 | N |
| 3930 | 1-Sun | 6 | 9 | 2013 | 0250 | C |
| 3930 | 6-Fri | 12 | 2 | 2011 | 2038 | N |
| 3930 | 6-Fri | 3 | 2 | 2012 | 1324 | B |
| 3930 | 6-Fri | 11 | 9 | 2012 | 2206 | N |
| 3930 | 4-Wed | 1 | 19 | 2011 | 0739 | N |
| 3930 | 4-Wed | 3 | $Z$ | 2011 | 1732 | E |
| 3930 | 4-Wed | 4 | 13 | 2011 | 0800 | N |
| 3930 | 5-Thu | 5 | 19 | 2011 | 1526 | N |
| 3930 | 3-Tue | 10 | 11 | 2011 | 1508 | N |
| 3930 | 6-Fri | 7 | 13 | 2012 | 1818 | N |
| 3930 | 7-Sat | 7 | 14 | 2012 | 1847 | B |


| NUM KILLED | NUM_VEH | JUNC | SL | TYPE | DIAG | LOC1 | TCD | LIT | WTHR1 | WTHR2 | SURF | CHAR | DESGN | PERSON1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ACC_NUM | VTYPE | DIR | ACT |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 55 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 110980016 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 55 | 25 | 7 | 90 | 98 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 122080007 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 30 | 7 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 120250028 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 55 | 30 | 7 | 2 | 98 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 130740045 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 30 | 7 | 8 | 98 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 130270062 | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 35 | 7 | 4 | 98 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 133650171 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 55 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 122750134 | 11 | 8 | 6 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 26 | 7 | 4 | 98 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 130190040 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 3 | 2 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 111210114 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 26 | 4 | 2 | 98 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 122140017 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 112580116 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 120030211 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 121120055 | 1 | 7 | 10 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 90 | 122290170 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 132490054 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| 0 | 3 | 2 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 132730069 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 45 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 121320073 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 45 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 121730085 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| 0 | 3 | 4 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 122200017 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 35 | 90 | 1 | 98 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 131600017 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 35 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 113360213 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 35 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 120620128 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 26 | 8 | 3 | 98 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 123140167 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 110200238 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| $\theta$ | $z$ | 4 | 35 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z$ | $z$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 110620037 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| $\theta$ | $z$ | 4 | 35 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z$ | $z$ | 1 | 1 | 5 | 111040078 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| $\theta$ | $z$ | 4 | 50 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z$ | $z$ | 1 | 1 | 8 | 111410065 | 1 | 1 | 54 |
| $\theta$ | $z$ | 4 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 112840175 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 7 | 35 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 121950156 | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 35 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 121960116 | 1 | 1 | 6 |



- Countermeasure: Install TWLTL (two-way left turn lane) on two lane road

| CMF | CRF(\%) Quality | Crash <br> Type | Crash <br> Severity | Area <br> Type | Reference | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.797 | 20.3 | All | All | All | Lyon et <br> al., 2008 |  |


| 0.739 | 26.1 | AllFatal, Serious <br> injury,Minor <br> injury | AllLyon et <br> al., <br> 2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.613 | 38.7 Rear end All AllLyon et <br> al., <br> 2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

0.775
22.5
All
All
Lyon et
al., 2008
-
0.686 All All Allan et
al.,

Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors
Intersection Crashes

| Countermeasure(s) | Crash Type | Crash Severity | Area Type | Config | Control | Major | Minor | Ref | Obs | Effectiveness |  |  |  | Study Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day) |  |  |  | Crash Reduction Factor / Function | Std <br> Error | Range |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | High |  |
| OTHER GEOMETRIC COUNTERMEASURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convert four-leg to two T-intersections | All | All |  | 4-Leg | No signal |  |  |  | 28 |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 4-Leg |  | < $70 \%$ * | >30\%* | 13 |  | 33 | 6 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 4-Leg |  | >85\%* | <15\%* | 13 |  | -35 | 15 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 4-Leg |  | 70-85\%* | 15-30\%* | 13 |  | 25 | 5 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | PDO | Urban | 4-Leg |  | < $70 \%$ * | >30\%* | 13 |  | 10 | 5 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | PDO | Urban | 4-Leg |  | >85\%* | <15\%* | 13 |  | -15 | 6 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | PDO | Urban | 4-Leg |  | 70-85\%* | 15-30\%* | 13 |  | 0 | 5 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | All |  | 4-Leg |  |  |  | 51 |  | 57 |  |  |  | Meta-analysis |
| Convert intersection to roundabout | All | All | All |  | All |  |  | 50 | 55 | 35 | 3 |  |  | EB Before- <br> After |
|  | All | All | All |  | Signal |  |  | 50 | 9 | 48 | 5 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | All | All | All |  | Signal |  |  | 21 | 23 | 40 |  |  |  | EB Before- <br> After |
|  | All | All | All |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stop } \\ \text { (2-way) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 50 | 36 | 44 | 4 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | All | All | All |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stop } \\ & \text { (4-way) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 50 | 10 | -3 | 15 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | All | All | Rural | 1-lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stop } \\ \text { (2-way) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 50 | 9 | 72 | 4 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | All | All | Rural |  | Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7,185- \\ & 17,220 \end{aligned}$ |  | 44 |  | $58$ | 7 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | All | All |  | 3-Leg |  |  |  | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | All |  | 4-Leg |  |  |  | 15 |  | 75 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |

* Percentage of Total Daily Traffic Volume
- Countermeasure: Improve pavement friction (increase skid resistance)

| CMF | CRF(\%) Quality | Crash <br> Type | Crash <br> Severity | Area <br> Type | Reference | All | All | Lyon and <br> Persaud, <br> 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.799 | 20.1 | All | All |  |  |  |  |  |

0.667 All All Allan | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.81918 .1 All All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

- 


All
Lyon
and
Persaud, 2008
-

| 1.271 | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27.1 | All | All | Lyon <br> and |
| Persaud, |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |

- 

0.426 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.37262 .8 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |

0.575

Rear end,Wet road
All
Lyon
and
Persaud,
2008

| 0.59 | 41 |  | All | All | All | Lyon and Persaud, 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



0.36163 .9 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |



0.943 Rear end All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.50449 .6 Rear end All Allation | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |



## Project Limits

## CSAH 10 Reconstruction

Carver County Regional Solicitation Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Application

## City of Waconia

## December 1, 2014

Ms. Elaine Koutsoukos, TAB Coordinator
Metropolitan Council
390 North Robert Street
Saint Paul, MN 55101

## SUBJECT: APPLICATION FOR REGIONAL SOLICITATION FUNDS FOR CSAH 10 RECONSTRUCTION

Dear Ms. Koutsoukos,
The City of Waconia has been notified that Carver County is submitting an application for regional solicitation funding for the proposed CSAH 10 reconstruction between TH 5 and CSAH 30, which travels through the City of Waconia. The proposed project will reconstruct the existing roadway and include a multiuse trail to improve bicycle and pedestrian safety, provide additional capacity through the use of a roundabout at Oak Avenue, and correct existing safety issues.

The project is supported in local and Carver County planning documents and is significant to the Minneapolis/St. Paul Metropolitan region. Therefore, we support funding to help this project move forward.

If you should have any questions, feel free to contact me at 952-442-3100.
Sincerely,

## Cusmnitasutz

Susan MH Arntz<br>City Administrator

City Hall
201 South Vine Street
Waconia, MN 55387
952-442-2184
Public Services
$\mathbf{3 1 0} 10^{\text {th }}$ Street East
Waconia, MN 55387
$\mathbf{9 5 2 - 4 4 2 - 2 6 1 5}$

Fire Station
26 Maple Street South
Waconia, MN 55387
952-442-2316
Safari Island Community Center
1600 Community Drive
Waconia, MN 55387
$952-442-0695$

Ice Arena 1250 Oak Avenue Waconia, MN 55387 952-442-RINK (7465)

Roadway Area Definition

## Results

Project Length: 2.019 miles
Project Area: 7.118 sq mi


Project
Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx


Regional Economy Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 10 Reconstruction - Waconia | Map ID: 1414698353891 Results

Project NOT IN area of Job Concentration.
Project NOT IN to area of
Manufacturing and Distribution.
Project NOT CONNECTED to area of Education Institutions.


## Project

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
ttpp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx


Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 10 Reconstruction - Waconia | Map ID: 1414698353891

Results
Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.


Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty Concentrated area of poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx
METROPOLITTAN

3: Oak Ave \& CSAH 10

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 1040 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 30 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.24 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.24 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.29 |

## 3: Oak Ave \& CSAH 10

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 1041 |
| Total Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.79 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.15 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.18 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |
| Entry Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 323 |  | 598 |  | 168 |  | 42 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 329 |  | 609 |  | 170 |  | 43 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 98 |  | 106 |  | 280 |  | 674 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 619 |  | 344 |  | 147 |  | 41 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s |  | 3.186 |  | 3.186 |  | 3.186 |  | 3.186 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 6.9 |  | 11.9 |  | 6.3 |  | 7.2 |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | A |
| Lane | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  |
| Designated Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 5.193 |  | 5.193 |  | 5.193 |  | 5.193 |  |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 329 |  | 609 |  | 170 |  | 43 |  |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1024 |  | 1016 |  | 854 |  | 576 |  |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.981 |  | 0.981 |  | 0.986 |  | 0.988 |  |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 323 |  | 598 |  | 168 |  | 42 |  |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1005 |  | 997 |  | 842 |  | 569 |  |
| V/C Ratio | 0.321 |  | 0.599 |  | 0.199 |  | 0.075 |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 6.9 |  | 11.9 |  | 6.3 |  | 7.2 |  |
| LOS | A |  | B |  | A |  | A |  |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 1 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |

[^0]3: Oak Ave \& CSAH 10

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 1040 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 30 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.24 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.24 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.29 |

## 3: Oak Ave \& CSAH 10

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 1041 |
| Total Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.79 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.15 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.18 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |
| Entry Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 323 |  | 598 |  | 168 |  | 42 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 329 |  | 609 |  | 170 |  | 43 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 98 |  | 106 |  | 280 |  | 674 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 619 |  | 344 |  | 147 |  | 41 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s |  | 3.186 |  | 3.186 |  | 3.186 |  | 3.186 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 6.9 |  | 11.9 |  | 6.3 |  | 7.2 |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | A |
| Lane | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  |
| Designated Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 5.193 |  | 5.193 |  | 5.193 |  | 5.193 |  |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 329 |  | 609 |  | 170 |  | 43 |  |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1024 |  | 1016 |  | 854 |  | 576 |  |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.981 |  | 0.981 |  | 0.986 |  | 0.988 |  |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 323 |  | 598 |  | 168 |  | 42 |  |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1005 |  | 997 |  | 842 |  | 569 |  |
| V/C Ratio | 0.321 |  | 0.599 |  | 0.199 |  | 0.075 |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 6.9 |  | 11.9 |  | 6.3 |  | 7.2 |  |
| LOS | A |  | B |  | A |  | A |  |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 1 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |

[^1]Transit Connections Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 10 Reconstruction - Waconia | Map ID: 1414698353891
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