## Application

## 01968-2014 Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization - Final Application

02006 - CSAH 42/TH 13 Intersection Improvements
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status: Submitted $\quad$| Submitted |
| :--- |
| Date: | 12/01/2014 12:45 PM

## Applicant Information

## Primary Contact:

Name:*

Department:
Email:*
Address:*
Title:*

Phone:*

Salutation
Senior Planner

Jordan City

952-496-8839 Phone

Andy First Name
ahingeveld@co.scott.mn.us
600 Country Trail East

$$
0
$$

Minnesota
State/Province

Hingeveld Last Name

55352
Postal Code/Zip

Fax:

What Grant Programs are you most interested in?*

Organization Information
Name:*
Jurisdictional Agency (if different):

Organization Type:
Organization Website:
Address:*

Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## SCOTT COUNTY

County Government


City Scott
County:*
Phone:* 612-496-8355

Minnesota
State/Province

55352
Postal Code/Zip

Fax:

## Project Information

Project Name* CSAH 42 and TH 13 Intersection Reconstruction
Primary County where the Scott
Project is Located*
Jurisdictional Agency (If
Different than the
Applicant):

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)*

The proposed project will modernize the CSAH 42 and TH 13 intersection to improve operations and complete gaps in the bike/ped network at this major intersection of commercial activity in Savage and Prior Lake. Improvements include constructing dual left turn lanes on CSAH 42 at TH 13, signal upgrades at the intersections of CSAH 42/TH 13, CSAH 42/Rutgers Avenue, and CSAH 42/Quebec Avenue, median reconstruction, pavement rehabilitation, and access modifications. Trails and sidewalks along both sides of CSAH 42 are proposed to complete gaps in the pedestrian and bike system in the area. Utility relocations are also part of the proposed project.

The reconstruction will implement the CSAH 42 Access Management Plan/CSAH 42 Corridor Study completed in February 1999. When implemented the improvements will improve safety and provide greater mobility for both the CSAH 42 and TH 13 corridors.

The overall project objective is to improve operations and multi-modal access by investing in the intersection of these two important arterials. TH 13 is the only north-south A-Minor Arterial connecting to TH 13/101 principal arterial east-west corridor between CSAH 18 on the west and Dakota County CH 5 on the east, a span of five miles. Additional northsouth arterials in this area are unlikely due to environmental constraints caused by the Minnesota River Valley bluff line and the Savage Fen. CSAH 42 is also of significant regional importance, as it is one of the few major east-west highways in the south metro and the only continuous east-west Principal Arterial which crosses the entire south metro area, from TH 169 (via CSAH 78) to TH 52/55. Adding the dual left turn lanes allows for increased green time for TH 13 and reduced delay for CSAH 42.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.

## Project Length (Miles)* 1.0

## Connection to Local Planning:

Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

Connection to Local Planning*

Scott County 2014-2023 Transportation Improvement Plan (Page 41)
Scott County 2030 Comprehensive Plan (Page VI-49)
City of Savage 2030 Comprehensive Plan (Page 5.21, 5.26)

## Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?*

If yes, please identify the source(s)
Federal Amount* $\$ 5,600,000.00$

Match Amount* ${ }^{\$} \quad$| $\$ 1,400,000.00$ |
| :--- |
| Minimum of $20 \%$ of project total |

Project Total* $\quad \$ 7,000,000.00$

Match Percentage* 20.0\%
Minimum of $20 \%$
Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total
Source of Match Funds* Local
Preferred Program Year
Select one:*
2018

## MnDOT State Aid Project Information: Roadway Projects

| County, City, or Lead Agency* | Scott County |
| :---: | :---: |
| Functional Class of Road* | Principal Arterial Non Freeway |
| Road System* | CSAH |
|  | TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET |
| Name of Road* | Egan Drive |
|  | Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE |
| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed* | 55378 |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date* | 05/04/2018 |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date* | 10/26/2018 |
| LOCATION |  |
| From: <br> (Intersection or Address)* | Boone Ave <br> Do not include legal description; Include name of roadway if majority of facility runs adjacent to a single corridor. |

To:
(Intersection or Address)* Louisiana Ave

| Type of Work | grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface, concrete, signal, lighting, <br> path, signage, utilities, ped ramps <br> Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface, <br> sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge, <br> Park \& Ride, etc.). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Old Bridge/Culvert? | No |
| New Bridge/Culvert? | No |
| Structure is Over/Under <br> (Bridge or culvert name): | n/a |

## Specific Roadway Elements

| CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES | Cost |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) | $\$ 306,000.00$ |
| Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) | $\$ 176,200.00$ |
| Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) | $\$ 561,000.00$ |
| Roadway (aggregates and paving) | $\$ 1,632,000.00$ |
| Subgrade Correction (muck) | $\$ 224,400.00$ |
| Storm Sewer | $\$ 459,000.00$ |
| Ponds | $\$ 229,500.00$ |
| Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) | $\$ 331,500.00$ |
| Traffic Control | $\$ 61,200.00$ |
| Striping | $\$ 56,100.00$ |
| Signing | $\$ 20,400.00$ |
| Lighting | $\$ 290,700.00$ |
| Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping | $\$ 153,000.00$ |
| Bridge | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Retaining Walls | $\$ 867,000.00$ |
| Noise Wall | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Traffic Signals | $\$ 765,000.00$ |
| Wetland Mitigation | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection | $\$ 0.00$ |
| RR Crossing | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Roadway Contingencies | $\$ 612,000.00$ |
| Other Roadway Elements | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  | $\$ 6,745,000.00$ |

## Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements

| CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES | Cost |
| :--- | ---: |
| Path/Trail Construction | $\$ 204,000.00$ |
| Sidewalk Construction | $\$ 0.00$ |On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction$\$ 0.00$

Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... \$51,000.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$255,000.00

## Specific Transit and TDM Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... \$0.00
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals $\$ 0.00$

## Transit Operating Costs

| OPERATING COSTS | Cost |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Transit Operating Costs | $\$ 0.00$ |  |
|  | Totals | $\$ 0.00$ |

Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 7,000,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 7,000,000.00$ |
|  |  |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this Yes requirement.*
2. Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

## Check the box to indicate that the project meets this Yes requirement.*

3. Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

## Check the box to indicate that the project meets this Yes requirement.*

4. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between \$1,000,000 and \$7,000,000. Roadway system management must be between $\$ 250,000$ and \$7,000,000.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this Yes requirement.*
5. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this Yes requirement.*
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate
that the project meets this Yes requirement.*
7. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

## Check the box to indicate that the project meets this Yes

 requirement.*8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term "independent utility" means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

## Check the box to indicate that the project meets this Yes requirement.*

9. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

## Check the box to indicate that the project meets this Yes requirement.*

10. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate
that the project meets this Yes requirement.*

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## Expansion and Reconstruction / Modernization Projects Only

1. The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this Yes requirement.
2. Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.

The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this Yes requirement.

## Bridge Projects Only

3. The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or " $A$ " Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

## Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

4. Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994-2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

## Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

5. Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOT's "Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities" manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
7. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
8. Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
9. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

## Check the box to indicate

that the project meets this requirement.

## Bridge Replacement Projects Only

10. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only

11. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80 . Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CSAH_42_Layout.pdf (2.2 MB) | Project Layout | 2.2 MB |
| Prior Lake Letter of Support-CH 42 and TH 13.pdf ( 31 KB ) | Letter of Support - Prior Lake | 31 KB |
| RdwyAreaDef.pdf (1.2 MB) | Roadway Area Definition | 1.2 MB |
| RgnIEcon.pdf ( 740 KB ) | Regional Economy | 740 KB |
| Savage Letter of Support-CH 42 and TH 13.pdf ( 41 KB$)$ | Letter of Support - Savage | 41 KB |
| Scott County Resolution.pdf (82 KB) | Scott County Resolution | 82 KB |
| SocioEcon.pdf (769 KB) | Socio Economic | 769 KB |
| TH 13_CSAH 42 intersection MnDOT letter of support.pdf (38 KB) | Letter of Support - MnDOT | 38 KB |
| TransitCon.pdf (766 KB) | Transit Connections | 766 KB |
| wg_42at13benefitcostworksheet.pdf ( 31 KB ) | Crash B/C | 31 KB |
| wg_CH42TH13RoadwayAreaMap.pdf (1.1 MB) | Roadway Area Map | 1.1 MB |
| wg_TH13-CH42SynchroReport.pdf ( 53 KB$)$ | Synchro | 53 KB |

Reliever: Freeway Facility or

## Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion 0 Report)

## Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or

## Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity 0 (based on the table below)

## Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

| Hour | NB/EB Volume | SB/WB Volume | Capacity | Volume exceeds capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00am-1:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 1:00am - 2:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00am - 3:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 3:00am - 4:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00am - 5:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00am-6:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 6:00am - 7:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00am - 8:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00am - 9:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 9:00am-10:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00am - 11:00am |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00am - 12:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00pm - 1:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 1:00pm - 2:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00pm - 3:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 3:00pm - 4:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00pm - 5:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00pm - 6:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 6:00pm - 7:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00pm - 8:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00pm - 9:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 9:00pm - 10:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00pm - 11:00pm |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00pm - 12:00am |  |  |  |  |

## Expander/Connector/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:

Area
Project Length
Average Distance
Upload Map

Non-Freeway Principal Arterial
17.571
1.0
17.571

CH 42 TH 13 Roadway Area Map.pdf

## Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

## Select all that apply

Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration

Direct connection to or within a mile of a Manufacturing/Distribution Location

Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution

Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an existing local activity center identified in Yes an adopted county or city plan

County or City Plan Reference (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

Upload Map*

This project provides a direct connection to the community commercial center at CSAH 42 and TH 13, a local activity center identified in the City of Prior Lake 2030 Comprehensive Plan and the City of Savage 2030 Comprehensive Plan. The project is also within a mile of Lower Prior Lake, a local activity center identified in the City of Prior Lake 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

Location
Current AADT Volume
Existing Transit Routes on the Project

CSAH 42 east of TH 13
25000.0

N/A

CH 42 TH 13 Economy Map.pdf

```
Use Metropolitan Council
model to determine forecast
(2030) ADT volume
METC Staff - Forecast (2030) 0
ADT volume
OR
Approved county or city
travel demand model to
determine forecast (2030)
ADT volume
Forecast (2030) ADT volume 39000.0
```


## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

## Select one:

Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty

Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty

Project's census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or Yes includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

The CSAH 42 and TH 13 project is a major intersection in the County. Each quadrant has significant commercial and businesses located there. There are apartment buildings in the project area that accommodate workforce housing. The County owns five single family affordable rental homes. There is an abundance of senior housing located near the intersection. A new 48 unit workforce apartment building was recently completed and 12 workforce townhomes. A new 288 unit market rate apartment complex is under construction. These residents all need to access to the commercial and businesses in the area. Currently the trail and sidewalk system is incomplete along CSAH 42 . The project will close the gaps on these trails and provide opportunity for residents, including seniors and children to access these destinations without walking or biking in the grass or in the travel lane of the highway. The upgraded signal system and ADA crossing will improve the ability to navigate this barrier.

The project is not anticipated to negatively impact low-income populations, populations of color, or the elderly. All facilities will be upgraded to current ADA standards to improve access for people with disabilities.

Upload Map*
CH 42 TH 13 Socio Economic Map.pdf

Measure B: Affordable Housing
City/Township Segment Length (Miles)

Savage

## Total Project Length

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| City/Township | Segment Length <br> (Miles) | Total Length Score <br> (Miles) | Segment Length/Total <br> Length | Housing Score Multiplied by Segment <br> percent |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Savage | 1.0 | 1.0 | 52.0 | 1.0 | 52.0 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 52.00 | 1.00 | 52.00 |

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| Total Project Length (Miles) | 1.0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Housing Score | 52.0 |

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original Roadway Construction or Most Recent Reconstruction 1988.0

Roadway Segment Length Calculation Calculation (Miles)
$1.0 \quad 1988.0 \quad 1988.0$
$1.00 \quad 1,988.00 \quad 1,988.00$

## Average Construction Year

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

## Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)*

The intersection of CSAH 42 and TH 13 currently has wooden signal poles. The poles and wires are aging and need to be replaced with permanent steel poles, pedestrian countdown times and upgraded ADA ped ramps. The intersection is in need of reconstruction to install dual left turn lanes on CSAH 42. The permanent signal system cannot be installed until the capacity improvements are added to this intersection. Pavement condition on CSAH 42 is poor and continuing to deteriorate.

There is a lack of pedestrian/bike connectivity along CSAH 42 in this area. Currently any bikes or pedestrians that want to visit the commercial and business centers located at this intersection, they have to walk in the grass or walk/bike on CSAH 42 which does not have any shoulder in this area. The project will install trails and sidewalk with the project.

The project will be constructed to a 10-ton standard.

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness of Vehicle Delay Reduction

| Total Project Cost from Cost <br> Sheet $^{*}$ | $\$ 7,000,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle <br> Delay Without The Project* | 169746.0 |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle <br> Delay With The Project* | 151878.0 |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle <br> Delay Reduced by Project * | 17868.0 |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 391.76$ |
| Synchro or HCM Reports* | TH13-CH42 Synchro Report.pdf |

## Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction

| Total Project Cost from Cost | $\$ 7,000,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sheet $^{*}$ |  |

## Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

Project Benefit/Cost Ratio*
0.93

Worksheet Attachment*

42 at 13 benefitcostworksheet.xls

## Measure A: Transit Connections

| Existing Routes Directly |
| :--- |
| Connected to the Project* |$\quad$ N/A


| Planned Transitways directly |
| :--- |
| connected to the project |
| (alignment and mode |
| determined and identified in |
| the 2030 TPP)* |

Upload Map*

CH 42 TH 13 Transit Connections Map.pdf

## Response

## Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)*

This project is located within the primary commercial center for Savage and Prior Lake. The project will complete the trail connections between all four quadrants, greatly improving non-motorized access between businesses. The trails connect to higher density residential outside of the commercial area in the northeast, southeast, and southwest quadrants of the intersection. Beyond the intersection are hundreds of single family homes. The commercial properties have major anchors but also have many strip commercial or standalone retail, service, or restaurant establishments. There is also office buildings and a small commercial strip center on the southwest corner of the intersection that houses service businesses and some food establishments.

The recreation oriented Prior Lake is a major destination for many near the project and the beach/park is located within one mile of the project area. A large community park is within one mile of the project area. Glendale Elementary school is northeast of the project area.

CSAH 42 is identified as a Tier 2 Defined Alignment Corridor in the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (RBTN). The CSAH 42 Vision and Implementation Study envisions a complete trail system on both sides of CSAH 42. This project helps implement the goal by completing trail facilities at this major intersection, currently a barrier to bike and pedestrian traffic.

## Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

Response (Limit 1,400
characters; approximately 200 words)*

There are no pedestrian or bicycle facilities on the north and south side along CSAH 42 east of TH 13. Users currently must use the road or walk in the grass boulevard. There are missing segments of trail/sidewalk on the north and south side of CSAH 42 west of TH 13. New sections will be constructed along CSAH 42 both north and south of CSAH 42 and will include a grass boulevard. The addition of pedestrian continuity along CSAH 42 in the project area will help facilitate a safer environment for non-motorized use, hence shifting some shorter trips to these modes from current automobile use. The upgraded signal and ADA improvements at the major CSAH 42 and TH 13 intersection will provide a more efficient and safer environment for bicycle and pedestrian activity across the intersection.

There are no fixed service transit routes in the project area. ADA and dial-a-ride service is provided by SmartLink. Additional transit opportunities may increase with the merger of Minnesota Valley Transit Agency into Shakopee and Prior Lake. MVTA is currently studying enhanced local circulator service between Shakopee, Prior Lake and Savage. This area is a major draw for the three communities.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

## 1) Project Scope ( 5 Percent of Points)

| Meetings or contacts with <br> stakeholders have occurred | Yes <br> 100 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stakeholders have been <br> identified | $40 \%$ |
| Stakeholders have not been <br> identified or contacted | $0 \%$ |
| 2) Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points) |  |
| Layout or Preliminary Plan | Yes |
| completed | $100 \%$ |

Layout or Preliminary Plan started

Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started

0\%
12/15/2008

Anticipated date or date of completion

## 3) Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)

## EIS

EA
PM Yes

## Document Status:

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)

$$
100 \%
$$

Document submitted to State Aid for review

75\%
Document in progress; environmental impacts identified

Document not started

Anticipated date or date of completion/approval

Yes
50\%

## 0\%

12/15/2016

## 4) Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the

National Register of Historic

Yes
100\% Places located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge

Historic/archeological review under way; determination of "no historic properties affected" or "no adverse effect" anticipated

Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of "adverse effect" anticipated

Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources

Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an

identified historic bridge

## 5) Review of Section 4f/6f Resources ( 15 Percent of Points)

(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; $6 f$ is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)

| No Section 4f/6f resources | Yes |
| :--- | ---: |
| located in the project area | $100 \%$ |
| Project is an independent |  |
| bikeway/walkway project |  |
| covered by the |  |
| bikeway/walkway Negative |  |
| Declaration statement; letter <br> of support received |  |
| Section 4f resources <br> present within the project <br> area, but no known adverse <br> effects | $80 \%$ |
| Adverse effects (land |  |
| conversion) to Section 4f/6f |  |
| resources likely | $30 \%$ |
| Unknown impacts to Section |  |
| 4f/6f resources in the project <br> area |  |

## 6) Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements
not required 100\%

Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired 100\%

Right-of-way or easements required, offers made 75\%

Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made $50 \%$

Right-of-way or easements Yes required, parcels identified $25 \%$

Right-of-way or easements
required, parcels not identified
Right-of-way or easements
identification has not been $\quad 0 \%$
completed
Anticipated date or date of $\quad 12 / 03 / 2017$
acquisition
7) Railroad Involvement ( 25 Percent of Points)

| No railroad involvement on | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| project | $100 \%$ |

Railroad Right-of-Way
Agreement is executed
(include signature page)
Railroad Right-of-Way
Agreement required;
Agreement has been initiated

Railroad Right-of-Way
Agreement required;
negotiations have begun
40\%

Railroad Right-of-Way
Agreement required; negotiations not begun

Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8) Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)
$100 \%$

Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review

| Construction plans in |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| progress; at least $30 \%$ | Yes |
|  | $50 \%$ | progress; at least 30\% 50\% completion

Construction plans have not been started 0\%

Anticipated date or date of completion

10/02/2017

## 9) Letting

Anticipated Letting Date 02/15/2018

## Existing Conditions

## 3: TH 13 \& CH 42

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 4467 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 38 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.71 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.50 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.79 |

## 3: TH 13 \& CH 42

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 4467 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 34 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 7.47 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.45 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.73 |

Roadway Area Definition

## Results

Project Length: 0.939 miles
Project Area: 17.571 sq mi


Project
Project Area

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc. state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

Transit Connections Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Csah 42/TH 13 Intersection Improvement 14170227 | Map ID: 1421095217462 Results

Transit with a Direct Connection to project: -- NONE --
*indicates Planned Alignments


## Project

Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Csah 42/TH 13 Intersection Improvement 14170227 | Map ID: 142109521462

## Results

Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.

Project Area $\square$

Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty Concentrated area of poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

Regional Economy Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Csah 42/TH 13 Intersection Improvement 14170227| Map ID: 1421095217462

Results
Project NOT IN area of Job Concentration.
Project NOT IN to area of
Manufacturing and Distribution.
Project NOT CONNECTED to area of Education Institutions.


## Project

Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx


November 25, 2014

## Lisa Freese

Transportation Program Director
Scott County
600 Country Trail East
Jordan, MN 55352
RE: Regional Solicitation Application for Highway 13/CSAH 42 intersection improvements
Dear Ms. Lisa:
Thank you for requesting a letter of support from MnDOT for the Metropolitan Council's 2014 Regional Solicitation. Your application for Highway 13/CSAH 42 intersection improvements impacts MnDOT right of way on Highway 13.

MnDOT, as the agency with jurisdiction over Highway 13, supports the application for the intersection improvements. Details of a future maintenance agreement with the county will be determined during project development to define how the project will be maintained for the project's useful life.

This project has funding from MnDOT in the STIP from Traffic Engineering for signal replacement, in the amount of \$150,000 in fiscal year 2017.

Sincerely,


Scott McBride, P.E.
Metro District Engineer
Cc: Elaine Koustsoukos, Metropolitan Council Jon Solberg, MnDOT Metro District - South Area Manager

## BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS SCOTT COUNTY, MINNESOTA

| Date: | November 18, 2014 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Resolution No.: | $2014-204$ |
| Motion by Commissioner: | Ulrich |
| Seconded by Commissioner: | Menden |

## RESOLUTION NO. 2014-204; AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF TRANSPORTATION

 PROJECTS TO THE TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY BOARD (TAB) FOR CONSIDERATION IN THE 2014 REGIONAL SOLICITATION PROCESSWHEREAS, the TAB is requesting project submittals for federal funding under Surface Transportation Program (STP), Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP), and Congestions Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ); and

WHEREAS, funding is available in the 2017-2019 federal fiscal years; and
WHEREAS, funding provides up to 80 percent of project construction costs; and
WHEREAS, this federal funding of projects reduces the burden on local taxpayers for regional improvements; and

WHEREAS, Scott County has identified projects that improve the safety and transportation system of the region; and

WHEREAS, the Scott County Board of Commissioners desires to support these projects.

# BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS SCOTT COUNTY, MINNESOTA 

| Date: | November 18, 2014 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Resolution No.: | $2014-204$ |
| Motion by Commissioner: | Ulrich |
| Seconded by Commissioner: | Menden |

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Scott County Board of Commissioners hereby supports the submittal of the following projects to the Transportation Advisory Board for consideration in the 2014 Regional Solicitation process:

1. $\mathrm{CH} 21 / \mathrm{TH} 13$ Intersection Improvements
2. $\mathrm{CH} 42 / \mathrm{TH} 13$ Intersection Improvements
3. CH 8 Reconstruction from CH 27 to CH 91
4. CH 16 Expansion from CH 83 to CH 21
5. CH 27 Expansion from CH 44 to CH 21
6. CH 42 Expansion from CH 17 to CH 83
7. TH $169 / \mathrm{TH} 41 / 78$ Interchange
8. TH 169 System Management
9. TH 169 Connector Transit Service


## State of Minnesota) <br> County of Scott

I, Gary L. Shelton, duly appointed qualified County Administrator for the County of Scott, State of Minnesota, do hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy of a resolution with the original minutes of the proceedings of the Board of County Commissioners, Scott County, Minnesota, at their session held on the 18th day of November, 2014 now on file in my office, and have found the same to be a true and correct copy thereof.
Witness my hand and official seal at Shakopee, Minnesota, this18th day of Novémber, 2014.

Naturally Resourceful

November 21, 2014

Craig Jenson
Transportation Planner
Scott County Highway Department
600 Country Trail East
Jordan, MN 55352

Re: Intersection Improvement - CSAH 42 \& TH 13

Dear Mr, Jenson:
The City of Savage is aware Scott County is applying for funding through the Regional Solicitation for intersection improvements at CSAH 42/TH 13 under the Roadways Reconstruction/Modernization category. These improvements are endorsed by the City of Savage and we are supportive of the Regional Solicitation application.

Please let me know if there is any additional information you need from us regarding this funding application.

Sincerely,

City offSavage
$1 / 4 \mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Na} 4$
John M. Powell
Public Works Director / City Engineer


November 24, 2014

Craig Jenson
Transportation Planner
Scott County Highway Department
600 Country Trail East
Jordan, MN 55352

Re: Intersection Improvement - CSAH 42 \& TH 13

Dear Mr. Jenson:

The City of Prior Lake is aware Scott County is applying for funding through the Regional Solicitation for intersection improvements at CSAH 42/TH 13 under the Roadways Reconstruction/Modernization category. These improvements are supported by the City of Prior Lake and we are supportive of the Regional Solicitation application.

Please let me know if there is any additional information you need from us regarding this funding application.

Sincerely,


Larry Poppler
City Engineer/Inspections Director
City of Prior Lake



