Application

01968-2014 Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
02296 - CSAH 11 Reconstruction from CSAH 1 to CSAH 3
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status:
Submitted Date:

Submitted
11/26/2014 12:13 PM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  | Jack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Multimodal Planning Manager |  |  |  |
| Department: | Anoka County Transportation Division |  |  |  |
| Email: | jack.forslund@co.anoka.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 1440 Bunker Lake Boulevard NW |  |  |  |
| * | Andover | Min |  | 55304-4005 |
|  | City | State |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 763-862-4230 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: | 763-862-4 |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional Elements | tation - Ro | s Includin | Multimodal |

## Organization Information

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):
Organization Type: County Government
Organization Website:
Address: 1440 BUNKER LAKE BLVD

| * | ANDOVER | Minnesota |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County: | City |  |
| State/Province |  |  |
| Phone:* | Anoka |  |
| Fax: | $763-862-4200$ | Ext. |
| PeopleSoft Vendor Number | $0000003633 A 15$ |  |

## Project Information

Project Name
Primary County where the Project is Located
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):

CSAH 11 Reconstruction from CSAH 1 to CSAH 3
Anoka

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

Anoka County proposes the reconstruction and modernization of CSAH 11 (Foley Blvd) from CSAH 1 (East River Rd) to 750 feet north of CSAH 3 (Coon Rapids Blvd). The project area contains the Foley Blvd Park \& Ride lot and a future Northstar Commuter Rail Line station. The project is a truly multimodal effort offering a variety of safety and access benefits to travelers of all modes. CSAH 11 is a four-lane minor arterial expander with a speed limit of 40 mph and an annual average daily traffic (AADT) of 7,000 vehicles. Figures $1-3$ describe the project area and detail the proposed improvements.

The primary component of the project is an overpass of two BNSF tracks that carry over 70 trains per day at an approved speed of 75 mph . The existing at-grade crossing is a safety concern due to the high vehicle and rail traffic volumes, compounded by identified sight line limitations for northbound trains. The average daily train exposure is 490,000 , which exceeds the minimum standard for constructing a grade-separation by 40 percent. This train exposure risk will more than triple by 2030 as traffic volumes increase. Plus, the frequent trains act as a barrier to mobility causing significant delays. The proposed overpass will include four lanes and non-motorized crossings on each side of CSAH 11, providing safe, uninterrupted travel for all types of travelers.

The grade-separated crossing also sets the stage for new commuter rail service at the Foley Blvd Park \& Ride lot. The Northstar Corridor Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and Environmental Assessment (EA) identify a stop at the Park \& Ride for the Northstar Commuter Rail Line.
traffic volumes (AADT of 22,900 vehicles in 2030), the project will add turning lanes in key locations to aid in the efficient movement of vehicles, especially those accessing the Park \& Ride lot or TH 610.
And, a central median will reduce the vehicle/vehicle and pedestrian/vehicle crash risk.

The CSAH 11 project will also greatly improve mobility and accessibility for non-motorized travelers through the area. Presently, there is a sidewalk on the north side of CSAH 11 and a sidewalk on a portion of the south side. However, neither crosses the railroad tracks, and the southern sidewalk does not connect travelers to destinations along CSAH 1 to the west. The project includes the construction of a trail on the north side of the highway and a sidewalk on the south. Both will run the length of the project area and dramatically improve pedestrian access to transit, employment and social service destinations. Crosswalk enhancements at the intersections with CSAH 1 and CSAH 3 and a new intersection with crosswalks near the Park \& Ride will also enhance pedestrian mobility.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.
Project Length (Miles)
0.77

## Connection to Local Planning:

Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

Connection to Local Planning

## Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)

Specific Roadway Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST
ESTIMATES
Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... $\$ 800,000.00$
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... $\$ 800,000.00$
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) ..... \$635,000.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving) ..... \$961,000.00
Subgrade Correction (muck) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Storm Sewer ..... \$350,000.00
Ponds ..... \$150,000.00
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) ..... \$567,000.00
Traffic Control ..... \$100,000.00
Striping ..... \$40,000.00
Signing ..... \$40,000.00
Lighting ..... \$100,000.00
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping ..... \$35,000.00
Bridge ..... \$11,500,000.00
Retaining Walls ..... \$300,000.00
Noise Wall ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Signals ..... \$400,000.00
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... \$1,123,000.00
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$17,901,000.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Operating Costs
OPERATING COSTS ..... Cost
Transit Operating Costs ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Totals

Total Cost
\$17,901,000.00
Construction Cost Total
Transit Operating Cost Total
\$17,901,000.00
$\$ 0.00$

## Requirements - All Projects

All Projects
1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between $\$ 1,000,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$. Roadway system management must be between $\$ 250,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only
1.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.
The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Bridge Projects Only

3. The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8.Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Bridge Replacement Projects Only

10. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only
11.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Other Attachments

File Name<br>CSAH 11 Attachments - FINAL.pdf

## Description

Figure 1: Project Limits and Context Figures 2-3: Proposed Improvements

File Size
3.7 MB

## Reliever: Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report)

## Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below)

## Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

| Hour |
| :---: |
| 12:00am - 1:00am |
| 1:00am-2:00am |
| 2:00am-3:00am |
| 3:00am-4:00am |
| 4:00am-5:00am |
| 5:00am-6:00am |
| 6:00am-7:00am |
| 7:00am-8:00am |
| 8:00am-9:00am |
| 9:00am-10:00am |
| 10:00am-11:00am |
| 11:00am-12:00pm |
| 12:00pm-1:00pm |
| 1:00pm-2:00pm |
| 2:00pm-3:00pm |
| 3:00pm - 4:00pm |
| 4:00pm - 5:00pm |
| 5:00pm -6:00pm |
| 6:00pm-7:00pm |
| 7:00pm - 8:00pm |
| 8:00pm-9:00pm |

NB/EB Volume
SB/WB Volume

12:00am-1:00am
1:00am-2:00am
2:00am-3:00am
3:00am-4:00am
4:00am-5:00am
5:00am - 6:00am
6:00am - 7:00am
7:00am - 8:00am
8:00am - 9:00am
9:00am-10:00am
10:00am-11:00am
11:00am - 12:00pm
12:00pm - 1:00pm
1:00pm - 2:00pm
2:00pm-3:00pm
3:00pm-4:00pm
4:00pm - 5:00pm
5:00pm - 6:00pm
6:00pm-7:00pm
7:00pm - 8:00pm
8:00pm -9:00pm

## Expander/Connector/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:
Area
Project Length
Average Distance
Upload Map

Expander
1.9
0.77
2.4675

Definition.pdf

## Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

| Location | CSAH 11, south of CSAH 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume | 1480.0 |

## Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Select all that apply

Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration
Yes
Direct connection to or within a mile of a
Manufacturing/Distribution Location
Yes

Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution
Yes
Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or Yes city plan

County or City Plan Reference (Limit 700 characters;
approximately 100 words)
Upload Map
Economy.pdf

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | CSAH 11, south of CSAH 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 7000.0 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | $850,852,865,887,888$-Northstar Commuter Rail |

Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership | 5754.0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current Daily Person Throughput | 14854.0 |

## Measure B: $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume
0
OR
Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

Forecast (2030) ADT volume 22900.0

## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:
Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

The proposed project will provide benefits to all travelers, especially those who cannot drive (low income, children, elderly, or disabled people).

The project will expand access to the Anoka County Community Action Program, Inc. (ACCAP), which runs programs for those in poverty, including some directed at youth and the elderly. The ACCAP serves over 30,000 people per year, of whom 75 percent are minorities (2012 ACCAP Annual Report).

By constructing an overpass of the railroad, people are able to safely cross the busy tracks on foot, by bicycle or in a wheelchair. The current pedestrian facilities dump travelers into the gravel surrounding the tracks, making crossing difficult for children or elderly and nearly impossible for those using wheelchairs or otherwise disabled. The tracks carry approximately 60 trains per day.

The projects improvements to pedestrian facilities will also make traveling to the nearby Arona Academy High School and Adams Elementary School markedly safer for children.

Grade separation will also allow the addition of a Northstar Commuter Rail Line station at CSAH 11, offering access to jobs, education and services throughout the Twin Cities region.

Short-term construction impacts will be mitigated through phasing to maintain access to the Park \& Ride. The County will work with Metro Transit to keep riders informed of conditions during construction.
Measure B: Affordable Housing
City/Township
Coon Rapids Segment Length (Miles)

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| Total Project Length (Miles) | 0.77 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Housing Score | 89.0 |

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original

| Roadway Construction | Roadway Segment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| or Most Recent | Length (Miles) | Calculation | Calculation 2 |

Reconstruction
1988.0
0.77

1
1531
1988.0

1988

## Average Construction Year

# Total Segment Length (Miles) 

Total Segment Length

Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

Reconstructing CSAH 11 will remedy many design deficiencies afflicting the project area. The project will accomplish the following:

Grade-separate the crossing of the BNSF tracks to eliminate a conflict point between travelers of different modes and address sight line issues for northbound trains. With an AADT of 7,000 and a daily train volume of 70 , the average daily train exposure is 490,000 , well above the warrant for grade separation.

Provide non-motorized crossings of the tracks on both sides of CSAH 11, where none currently exist.

Replace a five-foot sidewalk with an eight-foot trail along the north side of CSAH 11.

Complete a sidewalk on the south side of CSAH 11, where none currently exists.

Realign pedestrian crosswalks at CSAH 1 and CSAH 3 to minimize crossing distance for pedestrians as specified in the City of Coon Rapids Comprehensive Plan.

Signalize the entrance to the Foley St Park \& Ride lot to better manage traffic and provide a nonmotorized crossing of CSAH 11 near the lot.

Create room for a new Northstar Commuter Rail station at CSAH 11 as identified in the Northstar Corridor DEIS and EA without blocking the road.

Install ADA-compliant ramps at pedestrian crossings where none currently exist.

Improve outdated utilities by rebuilding the sanitary sewer system and water main.

Construct a detention pond to better manage stormwater runoff.

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness of Vehicle Delay Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project

Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project

Cost Effectiveness

Synchro or HCM Reports
\$17,901,000.00
16380.0

0
16380.0
\$1,092.86
CSAH 11 Reconstruction - HCM.pdf

Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet
Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project
Cost Effectiveness

Synchro or HCM Reports
\$17,901,000.00
0.41
\$43,660,975.61
CSAH 11 Reconstruction - HCM.pdf

## Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

Project Benefit/Cost Ratio

Worksheet Attachment
0.15

CSAH 11 Completed Analysis.pdf

Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP)

Upload Map

850, 852, 865, 887, 888-Northstar Commuter Rail

Transit.pdf

## Response

Met Council Staff Data Entry Only
Route Ridership

Transitway Ridership

```
0
```

Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

As identified in the City of Coon Rapids 2030 Comprehensive Plan, there are existing pedestrian activity centers in and around the project area including the following:

A mixed-use area at the intersection of CSAH 11 and CSAH 3 with retail and office space.

Medium and high density housing north of the project.

The transit center at the Foley Blvd Park \& Ride.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

In addition, the proposed project is a key part of a planned Northstar station at CSAH 11 as identified in the Northstar Corridor DEIS and EA. In preparation for the station, the Metropolitan Council and the City of Coon Rapids crafted the Foley Boulevard Station Area Plan in 2014. The plan designates the entire project area as a high pedestrian-traffic area most suitable for dense mixed-use transit-oriented development, which will include housing, commercial and industrial uses. Elements of the CSAH 11 reconstruction will provide vital connections within the planned development and to surrounding activity centers.

The project will connect to an existing sidewalk network carrying bicyclists and pedestrians on routes perpendicular to CSAH 11 on CSAH 1 and CSAH 3 , as well as extending along CSAH 11 to the northeast. There are also several miles of multiuse trails in the Coon Rapids Dam Regional Park to the west offering a pedestrian crossing of the Mississippi River, linking to the Rush Creek Regional Trail.

## Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

The CSAH 11 reconstruction will dramatically improve the travel experience, safety and security for all modes of travel.

Transit Elements:

The grade-separated rail crossing is necessary to support a new commuter rail station as identified in the Northstar Corridor DEIS and EA.

Grade separation will reduce delays and enhance safety for existing Northstar service.

The project includes enhancements to the existing Foley Blvd Park \& Ride lot to improve bus movement and increase pedestrian safety and travel experience within the facility.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements:

Reconstruction will add an eight-foot wide trail and a sidewalk along the north and south sides of CSAH 11, respectively. There is currently a narrow sidewalk on the north and an incomplete sidewalk on the south.

The projects trail crosses a Regional Bicycle Transportation Network Tier 1 corridor and is positioned to provide access to the Foley Blvd Park \& Ride from a future regional trail.

Multimodal Integration:

Grade separation of CSAH 11 will dramatically reduce conflicts between modes, allowing rail, vehicle, bus, and non-motorized traffic to flow more safely.

A new signalized intersection with crosswalks near
the Park \& Ride will improve interactions between travelers of different modes while providing greater pedestrian access to transit service.

A raised median will reduce crash risks.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)

Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred
Yes
100\%
Stakeholders have been identified
40\%
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted
0\%
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)

Layout or Preliminary Plan completed
Yes
100\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan started
50\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)

EIS
EA
PM
Yes
Document Status:

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)

Document submitted to State Aid for review

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified Yes
50\%
Document not started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval

## 4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; $6 f$ is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water
Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)
No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area

> Yes

100\%
Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section 4 f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80\%
Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources likely
$30 \%$
Unknown impacts to Section $4 \mathrm{f} / 6 \mathrm{f}$ resources in the project area
0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required
100\%

Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired
Yes
100\%
Right-of-way or easements required, offers made
75\%
Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made
50\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified
25\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified
0\%
Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed
0\%
Anticipated date or date of acquisition
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project
100\%

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)

100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review 75\%

Construction plans in progress; at least 30\% completion

Construction plans have not been started
$0 \%$
Anticipated date or date of completion
9)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date
11/12/2018


## Project Limits

CSAH 11 Reconstruction/Modernization from CSAH 1 to CR 3
Figure 1
Anoka County


Proposed Improvements - West
CSAH 11 Reconstruction/Modernization from CSAH 1 to CR 3
Figure 2
Anoka County


Proposed Improvements - East
CSAH 11 Reconstruction/Modernization from CSAH 1 to CR 3
Figure 3
Anoka County

## Roadway Area Definition

Results
Project Length: 0.767 miles
Project Area: 1.896 sq mi


Project
Project Area
Principal Arterials
Principal Arterials Planned
A Minor Arterials -- A Minor Arterials Planned

Regional Economy Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 11 Reconstruction/Modernization from CSAH 1 to CO. RD. | Map ID: 1415 2934

Results
Project IN area of Job Concentration.
Project IN area of
Manufacturing and Distribution.
Project WITHIN ONE MI of area of Education Institutions.


PostSecondary Education Centers $\square$ Job Concentration Centers

Project Area $\square$ Manfacturing/Distribution Centers

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice..aspx

Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 11 Reconstruction/Modernization from CSAH 1 to CO. RD. | Map 1中: 14

Project IN area of above average concentration of race or poverty.

Project Area $\square$

Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty Concentrated area of poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit

## 3: RR Crossing \& CSAH 11

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 546 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 30 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.51 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.10 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.12 |

## 3: RR Crossing \& CSAH 11

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 543 |
| Total Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.23 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.04 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.05 |

## 3: RR Crossing \& CSAH 11

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 546 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 30 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.51 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.10 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.12 |

## 3: RR Crossing \& CSAH 11

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 543 |
| Total Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.23 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.04 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.05 |




| $\underset{\text { werssheet }}{\mathbf{H S}}$ |  |  |  |  | batur |  |  |  | momen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Come | dever |  |  | man | unan |  |  |
|  |  | ， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | 5 |  | ⿶ | \％ | natam |  |  | ${ }_{\text {ramat }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| seme |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 边 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －mom |  | ．1098 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm \pm 1 \mathrm{mo}$ |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 边 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＝ |  |
|  | S namam |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | s | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ |  | S． |  |  |  |  |  |
| coinlemem | \％ | ： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | ${ }_{20}$ | $\stackrel{\text { co }}{\text { mom }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\underset{\text { worksheet }}{\mathbf{H S S P}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | cimem |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | canas |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\longrightarrow$ |  | 5 |  | 4 | $\cdots$ | Pastime | oma | ${ }^{\text {router }}$ |
| : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{n} \stackrel{y}{c}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| = $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{m}}$ |  | (ama |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\min _{m} \frac{1}{n}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm \pm 11 \mathrm{mo}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}=0.07$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , smamme |  |  |  | cmime |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{3}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S Smamem |  |  |  |  |
| Comeneme |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CSAH 11 - created on 11-03-2014 by imsd1jac
Crash data is managed by the Mn/DOT Office of Traffic, Safety, and Operations.

| SYS | NUM | REF_POINT | GIS_ROUTE | GIS_TM | RD_DIR | ELEM | RELY | INV | R_U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.000 | 0402000011 | 0.000 | E |  | 3 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.000 | 0402000011 | 0.000 | W |  | 3 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.000 | 0402000011 | 0.000 | Z |  | 3 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.000 | 0402000011 | 0.000 | z |  | 3 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.000 | 0402000011 | 0.000 | z |  | 3 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.000 | 0402000011 | 0.000 | S |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.007 | 0402000011 | 0.007 | Z |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.111 | 0402000011 | 0.111 | N |  | C | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.174 | 0402000011 | 0.174 | N |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.174 | 0402000011 | 0.174 | W |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.174 | 0402000011 | 0.174 | W |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.180 | 0402000011 | 0.180 | z |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.224 | 0402000011 | 0.224 | z |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.428 | 0402000011 | 0.428 | S |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.599 | 0402000011 | 0.599 | N |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | S |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | s |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | S |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | Z |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | N |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | S |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | s |  | 1 | 3 | $\cup$ |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | z |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $\cup$ |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | N |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | Z |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | $z$ |  | 1 | 3 | $\cup$ |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | s |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | Z |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | $z$ |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 02000011 | 000+00.601 | 0402000011 | 0.601 | S |  | 1 | 3 | U |


| 04 | 02000011 | $000+00.601$ | 0402000011 | 0.601 | S | 1 | 3 | U |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04 | 02000011 | $000+00.611$ | 0402000011 | 0.611 | N | 1 | 3 | U |
| 04 | 02000011 | $000+00.611$ | 0402000011 | 0.611 | Z | 1 | 3 | U |
| 05 | 08200116 | $000+00.740$ | 0508200116 | 0.740 | W | 1 | 3 | U |
| 05 | 08200116 | $000+00.756$ | 0508200116 | 0.756 | W | 1 | 3 | U |
| 05 | 08200116 | $000+00.760$ | 0508200116 | 0.760 | Z | 3 | 0 | $U$ |
| 05 | 08200116 | $000+00.760$ | 0508200116 | 0.760 | E | 1 | 3 | U |
| 05 | 08200116 | $000+00.760$ | 0508200116 | 0.760 | N | 1 | 3 | $U$ |

ATP
VEHICLE 1 EB NORTHDALE BLVD NW, IN THE LEFT LANE, NEAR THE 2100 BLOCK, STOPPED IN TRAEFIC. VEHICLE D1 SAID THAT HE WAS TURNING LEFT ONTO E/B ON NORTHDALE BLVD WHEN A CAR TURNING RIGHT ONTO E/B NORTH UNIT 1 N/B FOLEY BLVD NW WHEN DRIVER 1 LOST CONTROL CAUSING THE VEHICLE TO TRAVEL OFF THE ROADWAY S D1 WAS TRAVELING N/B ON NORTHDALE BLVD NW ENTERING A CURVE IN THE ROAD. D1 LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHI DRIVER 1 WAS MAKING A LEFT TURN FROM THE NB LOT OF CUB FOODS (PARTRIDGE ST) ONTO WB NORTHDALE BLVD SEE SUPPLEMENT.
D-1 SB FOLEY TURNING LEFT INTO PARK \& RIDE. D-2 NB FOLEY GOING STRAIGHT. D-1 DID NOT SEE D-2. D-1 D-1 CROSSING CROSSWALK AGAINST DO NOT WALK LIGHT.
DRIVER 1 HAD STOPPED QUICKLY FOR THE RR ARMS AND WAS THEN STRUCK BY UNIT 2. DRIVER 2 STATED THAT D V \#S 1
WWAS DISPATCHED TO A PD ACCIDENT ON WESTBOUND NORTHDALE BLVD NW, EAST OF THE RAILROAD TRACKS. HUM BOTH VEHICLES WERE STOPPED FOR A TRAIN AT LOCATION. ONCE THE TRAIN HAD PASSED VEHICLE \#1 STARTED TO ALL THREE VEHICLES WERE NORTH BOUND ON FOLEY BLVD. \#1 AND \#2 WERE STOPPED DUE TO \#1 MAKING A LEFT T \#1 WAS SB FOLEY BLVD NW IN THE LEFT LN PASSING BY CRAYON BOX CHILDCARE WHEN HE WAS STRUCK IN THE RI UNIT \# 1 WAS NB HANSON BLVD UUST SOUTH OF COON RAPIDS BLVD NW. UNIT \# 2 WAS DIRECTLY BEHIND UNIT \# \#1 SB FOLEY BLVD AT COON RAPIDS BLVD, MAKING A LEFT TURN TO EB COON RAPIDS BLVD. \#2 NB FOLEY BLVD \#1 AND \#2 SB HANSON BLVD MAKING A RIGHT TURN TO WB COON RAPIDS BLVD. \# 2 WAS STOPPED, WAITING-FORT VEH 1 WAS STOPPED WAITING FOR TRAFFIC TO CLEAR WHEN HIT BY VEH 2. DRIVER 2 SAID SHE WAS LOOKING TO UNITS=VEHICLES V1 WAS ON S/B FOLEY BLVD MAKING A LEFT HAND TURN ONTO E/B COON RAPIDS BLVD WITH A GR VEHICLE \#1 WAS NB ON FOLEY BLVD,LEFT LN, ENTERING THE INTERSECTION. VEHICLE \#2 WAS SB ON FOLEY BLVD DRIVER 1 WAS IN THE LEFT TURN LANE OF SB FOLEY BLVD AT THE INTERSECTION OF COON RAPIDS BLVD NW. WH VEHICLE 2 IS A SCHOOL DISTRICT 11 DRIVERS EDUCATION VEHICLE. VEHICLES WERE SOUTHBOUND HANSON BLVD' VEH \#1 N/B ON FOLEY BLVD IN THE LEFT TURN LN. VEH \#2 S/B FOLEY BLVD IN THE LEFT LN. DRIVER \#1 STA DRIVER IN UNIT \#1 WAS GOING TO TURN EB ONTO COON RAPIDS BLVD NW FROM NB HANSON BLVD NW. DRIVER INU UNIT \# 1 WAS STOPPED IN THE LANE TO GO NB HANSON BLVD NW. UNIT \# 2 WAS STOPPED IN THE LEFT TURN LA DRIVER \#2 STATED SHE WAS TRAVELING NB ON FOLEY BLVD NW IN THE LEFT LANE. DRIVER \#2 STATED SHE PROC VEH 1 AND VEH 2 WERE N/B HANSON BLVD, TURNING TO E/B COON RAPIDS BLVD NW. VEH 2 STOPPED AT A YIELD UNIT \#1 WAS BEHIND UNIT \#2 SOUTH BOUND HANSON BLVD IN THE RIGHT TURN LANE TO WEST BOUND COON RAPIDS DRIVER 1 WAS NB FOLEY BLVD AND DROVE THRU THE INTERSECTION AT COON RAPIDS BLVD WHEN VEHICLE 2 TURNE VEH 1 AND VEH 2 WERE TRAVELING S/B HANSON BLVD NW, AND TURNING TO GO W/B ON COON RAPIDS BLVD NW. V DRIVER NUMBER ONE SAID SHE WAS SB FOLEY BLVD ON A GREEN LIGHT AND STARTED TO MAKE A LEFT TURN ONTO

UNIT 1 HAD SLOWED FOR TRAFFIC AND WAS TRYING TO MERGE WHEN SHE WAS HIT FROM BEHIND BY UNIT 2. UNIT CAUSING THE VEHICLES TO COLLIDE. DRIVER 2 ADMITTED SOLE FAULT TO THE ACCIDENT.
DRIVER\#1 STATED SHE WAS IN THE LEFT TURN LN SB FOLEY BLVD AT COON RAPIDS BLVD WHEN VEHICLE \#2 CAME D1 SAID THAT HE WAS TRAVELING W/B ON COON RAPIDS BLVD AND WAS STOPPING FOR THE RED LIGHT WITH SEVER VEHICLES 2 AND 3 WERE IN THE RIGHT HAND TURN LANE TO GO N ON FOLEY BLVD FROM COON RAPIDS BLVD. THE

DRIVER 1 STATED HE ENTERED THE INTERSECTION, WITH THE GREEN LIGHT. DRIVER 1 STATED AS HE WAS APPRO \#1 SAID SHE JUST MADE A RIGHT TURN ON RED FROM W/B COON RAPIDS BLVD TO N/B FOLEY BLVD WHEN SHE HIT

| CO | CITY | Dow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z$ | 0820 | 4-Wed |
| 2 | 0820 | 6-Fri |
| 2 | 0820 | 2-Mon |
| 2 | 0820 | 2-Mon |
| $z$ | 0820 | 1-Sun |
| 2 | 0820 | 5-Thu |
| 2 | 0820 | 5-Thu |
| 2 | 0820 | 3-Tue |
| 2 | 0820 | 5-Thu |
| 2 AND 3 ARE W/B NORTHDALE BLVD AT THE RAILROAD TRACKS. V \#'S 2 AND 3 ARE STOPPED IN TRAFFIC' | 02 | 82-MonO |
| $z$ | 0820 | 5-Thu |
| 2 | 0820 | 4-Wed |
| 2 | 0820 | 6-Fri |
| 2 | 0820 | 3-Tue |
| $z$ | 0820 | 7 -Sat |
| 2 | 0820 | 5-Thu |
| $z$ | 0820 | Z-Mon |
| 2 | 0820 | 4-Wed |
| 2 | 0820 | 7-Sat |
| 2 | 0820 | 7-Sat |
| 2 | 0820 | 2-Mon |
| $z$ | 0820 | 6-Eri |
| 2 | 0820 | 3-Tue |
| $z$ | 0820 | 1-Sun |
| 2 | 0820 | 1-Sun |
| 2 | 0820 | 6-Fri |
| $z$ | 0820 | 7 -Sat |
| 2 | 0820 | 4-Wed |
| 2 | 0820 | 7-Sat |
| $z$ | 0820 | 3-Tue |
| 2 | 0820 | 5-Thu |


| 2 | 0820 | 6-Fri |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0820 | 4-Wed |
| 2 | 0820 | 2-Mon |
| 2 | 0820 | 6-Fri |
| 2 | 0820 | 6-Fri |
| 2 | 0820 | 2-Mon |
| 2 | 0820 | 7-Sat |
| 2 | 0820 | 2-Mon |


| MONTH | DAY | YEAR | time | SEV | NUM_KILLED | NUM_VEH | JUNC | SL | TYPE | DIAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 16 | 2011 | 0802 | N | 0 | 3 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 17 | 2012 | 1550 | N | 0 | 4 | 4 | 35 | 1 | 8 |
| 2 | 20 | 2012 | 2252 | N | 0 | 1 | 1 | 40 | 30 | 7 |
| $z$ | 20 | 2012 | 2146 | c | 0 | 1 | $z$ | 45 | 22 | 90 |
| $z$ | 26 | 2012 | 2008 | E | 0 | $z$ | 4 | 35 | 1 | 5 |
| 4 | 19 | 2012 | 1600 | N | 0 | 2 | 10 | 45 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 28 | 2013 | 0657 | B | 0 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 1 | 8 |
| 7 | 17 | 2012 | 1330 | C | 0 | 1 | 4 | 35 | 6 | 98 |
| 8 | 25 | 2011 | 1800 | N | 0 | 2 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 5 | 12 | 2012 | 1718 | N | 0 | 3 | 1 | 40 | 1 |
| 11 | 22 | 2012 | 2140 | N | 0 | $z$ | 1 | 35 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 31 | 2013 | 1247 | N | 0 | 2 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 7 | 2011 | 1304 | C | 0 | 3 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 12 | 2013 | 1620 | N | 0 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 1 | 3 |
| $z$ | 5 | 2011 | 1653 | N | 0 | $z$ | 4 | 30 | 1 | $z$ |
| 1 | 13 | 2011 | 2007 | C | 0 | 2 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 5 |
| 3 | 28 | 2011 | 1440 | N | 0 | $z$ | 4 | 45 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 30 | 2011 | 1215 | C | 0 | 2 | 7 | 40 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 25 | 2011 | 1014 | C | 0 | 2 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 5 |
| 6 | 25 | 2011 | 1653 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 45 | 1 | 5 |
| 8 | 1 | 2011 | 0810 | C | 0 | 2 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 12 | 2011 | 1350 | N | 0 | $z$ | 4 | 40 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 16 | 2011 | 1355 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 90 |
| 9 | 18 | 2011 | 1718 | N | 0 | $z$ | 4 | 45 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | $z$ | 2011 | 1759 | A | 0 | 2 | 4 | 45 | 1 | 3 |
| 10 | 7 | 2011 | 1415 | C | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 90 |
| 11 | 5 | 2011 | 1221 | 6 | 0 | $z$ | 4 | 40 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 14 | 2011 | 1600 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 45 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 25 | 2012 | 1855 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 45 | 1 | 5 |
| 10 | 23 | 2012 | 0843 | 6 | 0 | $z$ | 4 | 40 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 14 | 2013 | 0713 | $N$ | 0 | 3 | 4 | 35 | 1 | 5 |


| 9 | 20 | 2013 | 0830 | C | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 12 | 2012 | 1902 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 9 |
| 4 | 15 | 2013 | 1057 | N | 0 | 2 | 7 | 40 | 1 | 2 |
| 9 | 28 | 2012 | 1938 | N | 0 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 17 | 2013 | 1331 | B | 0 | 3 | 4 | 45 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 31 | 2011 | 1030 | N | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 15 | 2013 | 1848 | C | 0 | 2 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 5 |
| 8 | 5 | 2013 | 1521 | N | 0 | 2 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 2 |

- Countermeasure: Improve pavement friction (increase skid resistance)

| CMF | CRF(\%) Quality | Crash <br> Type | Crash <br> Severity | Area <br> Type | Reference | All | All | Lyon and <br> Persaud, <br> 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.799 | 20.1 | All | All |  |  |  |  |  |

0.667 All All Allan | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.81918 .1 All All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

- 


All
Lyon
and
Persaud, 2008
-

| 1.271 | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27.1 | All | All | Lyon <br> and |
| Persaud, |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |

- 

0.426 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.37262 .8 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |

0.575

Rear end,Wet road
All
Lyon
and
Persaud,
2008

| 0.59 | 41 |  | All | All | All | Lyon and Persaud, 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



0.36163 .9 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |



0.943 Rear end All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.50449 .6 Rear end All Allation | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |




|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.898 | Angle | AllLyon <br> and <br> Persaud, <br> 2008 |

- 



0.4753 Angle,Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.828 | Angle,Wet road All AllanLyon <br> and <br> Persaud, <br> 2008 |

Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors
Intersection Crashes

| Countermeasure(s) | Crash <br> Type | Crash Severity | Area Type | Config | Control | Major Minor <br> Daily Traffic  <br> Volume (veh/day)  |  | Ref | Obs | Effectiveness |  |  |  | Study Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Crash Reduction |  | Std |  | ge |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Factor / Function |  | Error | Low | High |  |
| Install left-turn lane (cont'd) | Left-turn | All | Rural | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 4-Leg } \\ \text { (2 app) } \end{gathered}$ | Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,100- \\ & 32,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25- \\ 11,800 \end{gathered}$ |  | 21 | 23 | 60 |  |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | No signal |  |  |  | 15 |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | No signal |  |  | 15 |  | 55 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | No signal |  |  | 28 |  | 68 |  | 50 | 86 |  |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal | >5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 24 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | All | Urban | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-Leg } \\ (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{gathered}$ | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & 4,600- \\ & 55,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100- \\ 26,000 \end{gathered}$ | 21 | 35 | 13 |  |  |  | Yorked Comparison Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | All | Urban | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-Leg } \\ \text { (1 app) } \end{gathered}$ | Stop | $\begin{aligned} & 1,520- \\ & 40,600 \end{aligned}$ | 80-8,000 | 21 | 7 | 26 |  |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Left-turn | All | Urban | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-Leg } \\ (2 \mathrm{app}) \end{gathered}$ | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & 4,600- \\ & 55,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100- \\ 26,000 \end{gathered}$ | 21 | 35 | 24 |  |  |  | Yorked Comparison Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | All | Urban | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-Leg } \\ (2 \mathrm{app}) \end{gathered}$ | Stop | $\begin{aligned} & 1,520- \\ & 40,600 \end{aligned}$ | 80-8,000 | 21 | 7 | 45 |  |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Night | All |  |  | Signal | >5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 28 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  | Signal | >5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 28 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
| Install left-turn lane (double) | Head-on | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 75 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 47 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | PDO |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 71 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | ROR | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 8 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | ROR | PDO |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 13 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | $29$ |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | PDO |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | $32$ |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |

Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors
Intersection Crashes

| Countermeasure(s) | Crash <br> Type | Crash Severity | Area Type | Config | Control | Major Minor <br> Daily Traffic  <br> Volume (veh/day)  |  | Ref | Obs | Effectiveness |  |  |  | Study Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Crash Reduction |  | Std |  | nge |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Factor / Function |  | Error | Low | High |  |
| Install left-turn lane (double) (cont'd) | Rightangle | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rightangle | PDO |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 8 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Sideswipe | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
| Install left-turn lane (painted separation) | All | All |  |  |  | <5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural | 3-Leg |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | 13 |  | 22 | 14 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural | 4-Leg |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | 13 |  | -28 | 27 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | PDO | Rural | 3-Leg |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 13 |  | 20 | 19 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | PDO | Rural | 4-Leg |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 13 |  | 26 | 12 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  |  | <5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 57 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  |  | >5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 35 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  |  | <5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 54 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  |  | >5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 39 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  | <5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 54 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  | >5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 39 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rightangle | All |  |  |  | <5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 62 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rightangle | All |  |  |  | >5,000/lane(Total) |  | 15 |  | 49 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
| Install left-turn lane (physical channelization) | All | All | All |  | No signal |  |  | 1 |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | All |  | Signal |  |  | 1 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Rural | 3-Leg | No signal |  |  | 28 |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |

## CRF for CSAH 11 at RR Crossing

The project includes grade separation of the RR crossing. Therefore all RR crossing related crashes would be eliminated (100\%)

## CRF for CSAH 11 between the RR Crossing and Coon Rapids Boulevard

The project includes a median along this segment. The two reported crashes included vehicles making a left-turn from CSAH 11. Therefore these crashes would be eliminated (100\%)

## Dual CRF for CSAH 11 at Coon Rapids Boulevard

Improvements include dual northbound and southbound left-turn lanes on CSAH 11 and reconstruction of the roadway with pavement improvements.

CR1=Install dual left-turn lanes
CR2=Pavement improvement
$C R=1-(1-C R 1) *(1-C R 2)$

Rear-End Property Damage Crash: CR=1 - (1-.32)*(1-.70) $=.80$
Rear-End Injury Crash: CR=1 - (1+.29)*(1-.70) = . 79
Right-Angle Property Damage Crash: CR=1 - (1-.08)*(1-.21) $=.27$
Right-Angle Injury Crash: CR=1 - (1-.20)*(1-.21) = . 37

Transit Connections Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 11 Reconstruction/Modernization from CSAH 1 to CO. RD. | Map ID: 1415294464

Results
Transit with a Direct Connection to project: 850852865887888
*indicates Planned Alignments

.
Project Area
Transit Rou

## Planned Alignments

$\longrightarrow$ Arterial BRT
ht
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit itp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

