Application

01969-2014 Roadway System Management
02111 - TH 61 CMAQ
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status:
Submitted Date:

Submitted
11/26/2014 11:29 AM

## Primary Contact



## Organization Information

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):
Organization Type: State Government
Organization Website:

| Address: | MN DOT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MS725 |  |  |
|  | 1500 W COUNTY RD B2 \#250 |  |  |
| * | ROSEVILLE | Minnesota | 55113 |
|  | City | State/Province | Postal Code/Zip |
| County: | Ramsey |  |  |
| Phone:* | 651-366-3452 |  |  |
|  | Ext. |  |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |
| PeopleSoft Vendor Number | 0000024577A36 |  |  |

## Project Information

| Project Name | TH 61 CMAQ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Primary County where the Project is Located | Dakota |
| Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant): |  |

The Signal Re-timing and Coordination Project will execute a very timely signal coordination project for TH 61 in the city of Hastings. The proposed scope of this project is as follows:

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

Advanced signal coordination and re-timing of 5 signal as well as cabinet upgrades; and deployment of 5 Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) cameras to support real-time signal timing plan changes to be executed by the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) Arterial Signals Group.

TH 61 is a Non-Freeway Principal Arterial.
Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.

Project Length (Miles)

```
Connection to Local Planning:
Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.
```


## Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement No
this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)

| Federal Amount | $\$ 204,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Match Amount | $\$ 51,000.00$ |

Minimum of $20 \%$ of project total
Project Total \$255,000.00

Match Percentage 20.0\%
Minimum of 20\%
Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total
Source of Match Funds Safet;y Capacity (State Funds)

Preferred Program Year
Select one:
2019

## MnDOT State Aid Project Information: Roadway Projects

County, City, or Lead Agency

Functional Class of Road

Road System
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET
Name of Road
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date
(Approximate) End Construction Date

## LOCATION

From:
(Intersection or Address)

MnDOT
Non-Freeway Principal Arterial
Trunk Highway

TH 61

55033
07/02/2018
06/28/2019

CSAH 47
Do not include legal description;
Include name of roadway if majority of facility
runs adjacent to a single corridor
To:
(Intersection or Address)
4th Street
Type of Work ..... Signal
Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface,sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge,
Park \& Ride, etc.)
Old Bridge/Culvert? ..... No
New Bridge/Culvert? ..... No
Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name):
Specific Roadway Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... \$12,750.00
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway (aggregates and paving) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Subgrade Correction (muck) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Storm Sewer ..... $\$ 0.00$
Ponds ..... $\$ 0.00$
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Control ..... \$12,750.00
Striping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Signing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bridge ..... $\$ 0.00$
Retaining Walls ..... $\$ 0.00$
Noise Wall ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Signals ..... \$229,500.00
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES
Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... \$0.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$0.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Operating Costs
OPERATING COSTS ..... Cost
Transit Operating Costs ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 255,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 255,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between $\$ 1,000,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$. Roadway system management must be between \$250,000 and \$7,000,000.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only

1. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
2.Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.
The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Bridge Projects Only

3.The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4.Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
5.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
7.The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
8.Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
9. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Bridge Replacement Projects Only

10. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2111 State of Mn HSIP.pdf | Crash B/C | 31 KB |
| RdwayAreaDef.pdf | Roadway Area Definition | 1.8 MB |
| RegionalEcon.pdf | Regional Economy | 687 KB |
| SocioEcon.pdf | Socio Economic | 705 KB |
| TransitCon.pdf | Transit Connections | 714 KB |

## Measure A: Functional Classification

Address how the project fulfills its role in the regional economy as identified by its current functional classification. If the project serves a system of routes, respond using the route with the highest functional classification. This system must include a Non-Freeway Principal Arterial or an "A" Minor Arterial.

Reference the Roadway Area Definition map generated at the beginning of the application process. Report the total area and project length, as depicted on the Roadway Project Summary map, to calculate the average distance between the project route (highest functional classification) and the closest parallel A Minor Arterials or Principal Arterials on both sides of the project.
Upload the "Roadway Area Definition" map used for this measure.

| Area | 16.288 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Length | 1.264 |
| Average Distance | 12.8861 |
| Upload Map | TH 61 CMAQ Roadway Area.pdf |

## Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location

Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume

TH 61 @ TH 55/Wallgreens Entrance
1450.0

## Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing and Education

Select all that apply:
Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration
Direct connection to or within a mile of a
Manufacturing/Distribution Location
Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution Yes

Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or city plan

County or City Plan Reference
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Upload Map
TH 61 CMAQ Regional Economy.pdf

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | TH 61 @ TH 55/Wallgreens Entrance |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 29000.0 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | N/A |

## Response - Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership
Current Daily Person Throughput

0
37700.0

## Measure B: 2030 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT Yes
volume
METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume 38000.0

## OR

Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

Forecast (2030) ADT volume
0

## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:
Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
Upload Map
TH 61 CMAQ Socio-Economic.pdf

## Measure B: Affordable Housing

City/Township
Segment Length (Miles)
Hastings
1.2

1

## Total Project Length

Total Project Length

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| City/Township | Segment <br> Length (Miles) | Total Length <br> (Miles) | Score | Segment <br> Length/Total <br> Length | Housing Score <br> Multiplied by <br> Segment <br> percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hastings | 1.2 | 1.2 | 70.0 | 1.0 | 70.0 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| Total Project Length (Miles) | 1.2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Housing Score | 70.0 |

## Measure A: Equipment Improvements and Installation Year

Equipment to be Improved
Date of Equipment Installation

Signal Cabinets, Comm Equipment, and Controllers
04/15/2005

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness of Vehicle Delay Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project
Cost Effectiveness
Synchro or HCM Reports
\$255,000.00
210.0
170.0
40.0
\$6,375.00
TH 61.pdf

## Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet
Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project
Cost Effectiveness

Synchro or HCM Reports
\$255,000.00
0.5
\$510,000.00
TH 61 - Before.syn

## Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

Project Benefit/Cost Ratio
Worksheet Attachment
3.81

TH61, CSAH 47 to 4th St.xls

## Measure A: Transit Connections

Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project
N/A
Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP)

Upload Map

## Response

Met Council Staff Data Entry Only
Route Ridership
0
Transitway Ridership 0

## Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

The Mississippi Regional Trail has access to the TH 61 corridor near 4th Street. The Vermillion River Trail has access to the TH 61 corridor near CSAH 47. Pedestrian accommodations are provided at the

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
following intersections (most of which are ADA compliant): 4th, 10th, TH 55, 15th, and CSAH 47 with TH 61. Throughout the corridor there are numerous commercial and mixed use attractions including Historic Downtown Hastings.

Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

There are no bicycle, pedestrian, or transit elements included as part of this project. The Mississippi Regional Trail has access to the TH 61 corridor near 4th Street. The Vermillion River Trail has access to the TH 61 corridor near CSAH 47. Pedestrian accommodations are provided at the following intersections (most of which are ADA compliant): 4th, 10th, TH 55, 15th, and CSAH 47 with TH 61. There are no Park and Ride or transit facilities on this corridor.

# Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction 

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)

Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred
100\%
Stakeholders have been identified
40\%
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted
0\%
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)

Layout or Preliminary Plan completed
Yes

## 100\%

Layout or Preliminary Plan started
50\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)

EIS

EA

Document Status:

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)
$100 \%$

Document submitted to State Aid for review
$75 \%$
Document in progress; environmental impacts identified
50\%
Document not started Yes

0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not Yes located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated 80\%

Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; $6 f$ is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area
Yes
100\%
Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section 4 f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80\%
Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources likely

Unknown impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area
0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required
Yes
100\%
Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired 100\%

Right-of-way or easements required, offers made
75\%
Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made
50\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified 25\%

Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified
0\%
Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed 0\%

Anticipated date or date of acquisition
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project
100\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

40\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)
100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review
$75 \%$
Construction plans in progress; at least $30 \%$ completion
50\%
Construction plans have not been started
Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
9)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date


Updated 9-5-2014

## Roadway Area Definition

## Results

Project Length: 1.264 miles
Project Area: 16.288 sq mi


Project
Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice..aspx


Regional Economy Roadway System Management Project: TH 61 CMAQ | Map ID: 1419960872892 Results

Project NOT IN area of Job Concentration.
Project NOT IN to area of Manufacturing and Distribution.

Project CONNECTED to area of
Education Institutions.


Project
Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx
METROPOLITAN

Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway System Management Project: TH 61 CMAQ | Map ID: 1419960872892

Results
Project IN area of above average concentration of race or poverty.

$\square$

Project
$\square$

Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty
Project Area
Concentrated area of poverty
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx
MEIROPNOLITAN

Transit Connections Roadway System Management Project: TH 61 CMAQ | Map ID: 1419960872892

Results
Transit with a Direct Connection to project: - NONE --
*indicates Planned Alignments


Project
$\square$ Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
Ftp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

## Roadway Area Definition

## Roadway System Management Project: TH 61 CMAQ | Map ID: 1414613382962

Results
Project Length: 1.22 miles
Project Area: 0.907 sq mi


Project
Project Area
0.4
0.8
 [ $\square$

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice..aspx


Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway System Management Project: TH 61 CMAQ | Map ID: 1414613382962

Project IN area of above average concentration of race or poverty.


Project Area $\square$ Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty Concentrated area of poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
ttpp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \％ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume（vph） | 300 | 96 | 398 | 32 | 88 | 28 | 328 | 720 | 36 | 16 | 858 | 289 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1724 | 1583 | 1770 | 1796 | 0 | 1770 | 3514 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.974 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.152 |  |  | 0.158 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1724 | 1583 | 1770 | 1796 | 0 | 283 | 3514 | 0 | 294 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 462 |  | 14 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 72 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Growth Factor | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） | 35\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 226 | 233 | 462 | 37 | 134 | 0 | 380 | 877 | 0 | 19 | 995 | 335 |
| Turn Type | Split |  | NA | Split |  |  | pm＋pt |  |  | pm＋pt |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 27.0 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 17.0 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 7.5 |  | 45.3 | 44.3 |  | 31.5 | 30.5 | 30.5 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 |  | 0.50 | 0.49 |  | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.71 | 0.71 | 1.00 | 0.25 | 0.82 |  | 1.06 | 0.51 |  | 0.10 | 0.83 | 0.57 |
| Control Delay | 46.2 | 46.1 | 48.2 | 43.2 | 74.0 |  | 99.1 | 18.5 |  | 22.7 | 36.2 | 24.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 46.2 | 46.1 | 48.2 | 43.2 | 74.0 |  | 99.1 | 18.5 |  | 22.7 | 36.2 | 24.7 |
| LOS | D | D | D | D | E |  | F | B |  | C | D | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 47.2 |  |  | 67.3 |  |  | 42.9 |  |  | 33.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（tt） | 127 | 131 | 0 | 20 | 68 |  | ～162 | 151 |  | 7 | 272 | 121 |
| Queue Length 95th（tt） | 197 | 203 | \＃185 | 50 | \＃170 |  | \＃396 | 278 |  | 24 | \＃427 | 226 |
| Internal Link Dist（tt） |  | 5750 |  |  | 766 |  |  | 2611 |  |  | 2039 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（tt） |  |  | 150 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  | 100 |  | 25 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 402 | 412 | 462 | 148 | 163 |  | 357 | 1733 |  | 191 | 1198 | 584 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.57 | 1.00 | 0.25 | 0.82 |  | 1.06 | 0.51 |  | 0.10 | 0.83 | 0.57 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 90
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBTL and 6：SBTL，Start of 1st Green
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.06

Intersection Signal Delay： 41.5
Intersection LOS：D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 86．8\％
Analysis Period（min） 15
ICU Level of Service E
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 108: TH 55(195) \& TH 61(214_216)


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 300 | 96 | 398 | 32 | 88 | 28 | 328 | 720 | 36 | 16 | 858 | 289 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1724 | 1583 | 1770 | 1796 | 0 | 1770 | 3514 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.974 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.189 |  |  | 0.198 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1724 | 1583 | 1770 | 1796 | 0 | 352 | 3514 | 0 | 369 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 462 |  | 16 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 84 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Growth Factor | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ | 116\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 348 | 111 | 462 | 37 | 102 | 32 | 380 | 835 | 42 | 19 | 995 | 335 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） | 35\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 226 | 233 | 462 | 37 | 134 | 0 | 380 | 877 | 0 | 19 | 995 | 335 |
| Turn Type | Split |  | Perm | Split |  |  | pm＋pt |  |  | pm＋pt |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 39.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 |  | 40.2 | 39.2 |  | 26.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.09 |  | 0.50 | 0.49 |  | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.87 | 0.88 | 0.73 | 0.23 | 0.74 |  | 0.93 | 0.51 |  | 0.09 | 0.89 | 0.60 |
| Control Delay | 65.9 | 66.3 | 11.0 | 37.3 | 56.9 |  | 60.4 | 16.0 |  | 19.5 | 38.0 | 22.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 65.9 | 66.3 | 11.0 | 37.3 | 56.9 |  | 60.4 | 16.0 |  | 19.5 | 38.0 | 22.5 |
| LOS | E | E | B | D | E |  | E | B |  | B | D | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 38.5 |  |  | 52.6 |  |  | 29.4 |  |  | 33.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 117 | 121 | 0 | 17 | 58 |  | 109 | 131 |  | 6 | 248 | 104 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃246 | \＃251 | 87 | 46 | \＃146 |  | \＃318 | 233 |  | 21 | \＃364 | 191 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 5750 |  |  | 766 |  |  | 2611 |  |  | 2039 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  | 150 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  | 100 |  | 25 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 263 | 269 | 637 | 166 | 183 |  | 407 | 1727 |  | 209 | 1117 | 557 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.73 | 0.22 | 0.73 |  | 0.93 | 0.51 |  | 0.09 | 0.89 | 0.60 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBTL and 6：SBTL，Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 34.4 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 86．8\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.


Transit Connections Roadway System Management Project: TH 61 CMAQ | Map ID: 1414613382962

Results
Transit with a Direct Connection to project: -- NONE --
*indicates Planned Alignments


