Application

04776-2016 Bridges
04884 - County Road C (CSAH 23) Replacement of Bridge No. 62519
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status: Submitted
Submitted Date:
07/15/2016 7:44 AM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  | Joseph |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Senior Planner |  |  |  |
| Department: | Ramsey County Public Works |  |  |  |
| Email: | joseph.lux@co.ramsey.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive |  |  |  |
| * | Arden Hills | Minnesota |  | 55112 |
|  | City | State/Province |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 651-266-7114 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: | 651-266-711 |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional So Elements | ation - Roadway | s Includin | Multimodal |

## Organization Information

Name:

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):
Organization Type: County Government
Organization Website:
Address:
DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS
1425 PAUL KIRKWOOD DR

|  | ARDEN HILLS | Minnesota | 55112 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | City | State/Province | Postal Code/Zip |
| County: | Ramsey |  |  |
| Phone:* | 651-266-7100 |  |  |
|  |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |
| PeopleSoft Vendor Number | 0000023983A30 |  |  |

## Project Information

Project Name
Primary County where the Project is Located
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):
Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately
400 words) 400 words)

County Road C Bridge No. 62519 Replacement Ramsey

Applicant
This project will replace Bridge No. 62519, which carries County Road C, Ramsey County State Aid Highway 23, over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad. Bridge 62519 was constructed in 1970 and now has a structural rating of 44.6. It is a fracture-critical structure, lacking redundancy to prevent its collapse in the event of the failure of a structural member. This project would retain still viable structural members and replace the failing deck and beams. The bridge piers would be evaluated and rehabilitated or replaced, as necessary It would be designed to add structural redundancy to eliminate the fracture-critical deficiency.

Bridge No. 62519 Replacement, Ramsey CSAH 23
0.05

## Project Funding

| Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project? | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| If yes, please identify the source(s) |  |
| Federal Amount | \$4,471,200.00 |
| Match Amount | \$1,117,800.00 |
| Minimum of 20\% of project total |  |
| Project Total | \$5,589,000.00 |
| Match Percentage | 20.0\% |
| Minimum of 20\% |  |
| Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total |  |
| Source of Match Funds | CSAH and local funds. |
| A minimum of $20 \%$ of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the $20 \%$ minimum can come from other federal sources |  |
| Preferred Program Year |  |
| Select one: | 2020 |
| For TDM projects, select 2018 or 2019. For Roadway, Transit, or Trail/Pedestrian projects, select 2020 or 2021. |  |
| Additional Program Years: |  |

Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

## Project Information-Roadways

County, City, or Lead Agency

Functional Class of Road

Road System
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET
Road/Route No.
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53

Name of Road
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date

Ramsey County Public Works

1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive

Arden Hills, MN 55112
Class A Minor Arterial- Augmenter
CSAH

23

County Road C

55113
05/18/2020

| (Approximate) End Construction Date | $09 / 25 / 2020$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work) |  |
| From: <br> (Intersection or Address) | 900 feet west of Victoria Street |
| To: |  |
| (Intersection or Address) |  |
| DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION | 675 feet west of Victoria Street |
| Or At | BNSF Railroad |
| Primary Types of Work | Bridge Reconsruction |
| Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF, |  |
| SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER, |  |
| SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS, |  |
| BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC. |  |$\quad$| BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) |
| :--- |
| OId Bridge/Culvert No.: |

## Specific Roadway Elements

## CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES <br> Cost

Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) $\quad \$ 243,000.00$
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) \$243,000.00

Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) \$0.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving) \$0.00
Subgrade Correction (muck) \$0.00
Storm Sewer \$0.00
Ponds \$0.00
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) \$0.00
Traffic Control \$243,000.00
Striping \$0.00
Signing \$0.00
Lighting \$0.00
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping \$0.00
Bridge
\$4,860,000.00
Retaining Walls \$0.00
Noise Wall (do not include in cost effectiveness measure) \$0.00
Traffic Signals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$5,589,000.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST
ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Transit Operating Costs

| Number of Platform hours | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Substotal | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc. | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 5,589,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 5,589,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Requirements - All Projects


#### Abstract

All Projects 1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan, the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2015), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes 2.The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan objectives and strategies that relate to the project.


List the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:
This project is consistent with the Transportation System Stewardship goals of the Transportation Policy Plan (pages 58 and 161). It is an existing corridor in the Regional Bikeway Plan and the Ramsey County Pedestrian and Bike Plan.
3.The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

List the applicable documents and pages:
The project is in the County's 2016-2020
Transportation Improvement Program. It is a community corridor in the Ramsey County Pedestrian and Bike Plan. Roseville's Comp Plan shows an extension of the Mpls. NE Corridor
Busway along the rail corridor.
4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of bicycle/pedestrian projects, transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below.
Roadway Expansion: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Roadway System Management \$250,000 to \$7,000,000
Bridges Rehabilitation/ Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
11.The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
12. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
13. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A-Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Roadway Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization projects only:
2.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
3.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The bridge must have a sufficiency rating less than 80 for rehabilitation projects and less than 50 for replacement projects. Additionally, the bridge must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## Measure A: Functional Classification

| Area | 0.0128 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Length | 0.081 |
| Average Distance | 0.158 |
| Upload Map | $1474485755484 \_$RAD04884RamsCoCrC.pdf |

## Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Existing Employment within 1 Mile:
7238
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1264
Mile:

0

Upload Map
1466171705140_Regional Economy Map.pdf

## Measure C: Current Daily Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location

## Measure D: Freight Elements

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

As a freight route, County Road $C$ is an east/west link between Highway 88 to the west and I-35E to the east. It provides interchange access to I-35W and at-grade access to TH 51, from which westbound I-694 and TH 10 can be accessed. At its west end are numerous truck terminals, refinery storage tanks, trucking companies, and manufacturing facilities. The BNSF railroad, which runs parallel to County Road $C$ and under Bridge 62519 is operated by the Minnesota Commercial Railroad.

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | between Lexington Ave. and Victoria St. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 8500.0 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project: | N/A |

Upload Transit Map 1468272946060_Transit Connections Map.pdf

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership 0

Current Daily Person Throughput 11050.0

## Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT

| Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT <br> volume | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| METC Staff - Forecast (2040) ADT volume | 0 |

OR
Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

Forecast (2040) ADT volume

## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:
Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty with 50\% or more of residents are people of color (ACP50):

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty:
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color:

Yes
Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly:

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
The project area abuts areas of Roseville and Little Canada that are above the regional average for population in poverty or of color. The area immediately adjacent to the project is Roseville's Central Park, to the south, which serves these areas. To the north, the area is dominated by senior housing, with another large senior living complex now under development.

The response should address the benefits, impacts, and mitigation for the populations affected by the project.
Upload Map 1466167937781_Socio Economic Map.pdf

## Measure B: Affordable Housing

City/Township Segment Length in Miles (Population)
Roseville 0.04

## Total Project Length

Total Project Length (Total Population) 0.05

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| City/Township | Segment | Total Length |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Length (Miles) | (Miles) |  |

Score

Housing Score
Multiplied by
Segment
percent

$\square$

# Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff <br> <div class="inline-tabular"><table id="tabular" data-type="subtable">
<tbody>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: left; border-left: none !important; border-right: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">Total Project Length (Miles)</td>
<td style="text-align: left; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: left; border-left: none !important; border-right: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">Total Housing Score</td>
<td style="text-align: left; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
<table-markdown style="display: none">| Total Project Length (Miles) | 0.04 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Housing Score | 0 |</table-markdown></div> 

## Measure A: Bridge Condition

Bridge Sufficiency Rating
44.6

## Measure B: Project Improvements

Load Posted (Check box if the bridge is load-posted):
Yes

## Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

County Road C is included as an existing route in the Regional Bikeway Plan and in the Ramsey County Pedestrian and Bike Plan. It is adjacent to and provides non-motorized access to Roseville's Central Park. There is an existing ten-foot trail on the north side that is constrained by the five-foot sidewalk on the bridge, which will be replaced with ten-foot sidewalks on each side. County Road C will be studied for conversion to a three-lane section between Lexington Avenue and Rice Street when it is repaved in conjunction with the bridge replacement.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.
Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.
Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)

Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred
Yes

Stakeholders have been identified
40\%
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted
0\%
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)

Layout or Preliminary Plan completed
$100 \%$
Layout or Preliminary Plan started
50\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
09/29/2017
3)Environmental Documentation (5 Percent of Points)

EIS
EA
PM
Yes
Document Status:

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)

Document submitted to State Aid for review

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified; review request letters sent
50\%
Document not started
Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (10 Percent of Points)

No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and Yes
project is not located on an identified historic bridge
100\%
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological resources in the project area

Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (10 Percent of Points)

4(f) Does the project impacts any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild \& scenic rivers or public private historic properties?
6(f) Does the project impact any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild \& scenic rivers or historic property that was purchased or improved with federal funds?

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area
100\%
No impact to 4 f property. The project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section 4 resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80\%
Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely
coordination/documentation has begun
50\%
Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely
coordination/documentation has not begun
$30 \%$
Unsure if there are any impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area

0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements not required Yes
100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements has/have been acquired

100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, offers made

75\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, appraisals made

50\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels identified

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels not identified

0\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements identification has not been completed

0\%
Anticipated date or date of acquisition
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project
100\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)

100\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun
40\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8)Interchange Approval (15 Percent of Points)*
*Please contact Karen Scheffing at MnDOT (Karen.Scheffing@state.mn.us or 651-234-7784) to determine if your project needs to go through the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee.

Project does not involve construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps

100\%
Interchange project has been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee

100\%
Interchange project has not been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee

0\%
9)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)

100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review
75\%
Construction plans in progress; at least $30 \%$ completion

50\%
Construction plans have not been started
$0 \%$

Anticipated date or date of completion 11/03/2017
10)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date 02/07/2020

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

| Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form): | $\$ 5,589,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enter Amount of the Noise Walls: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls: | $\$ 5,589,000.00$ |
| Points Awarded in Previous Criteria |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Other Attachments



Posted Load Limits
7.6 MB


Bridge 62519 Deck Condition

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Co Rd C bridge over RR RCPW 11x17 <br> (1).pdf | Concept Layout | 1.2 MB |
| CoRdCBRoverBNSFRR Location <br> Map.pdf | Project Location Map | 859 KB |
| County Maintenance Letter Bridge <br> 62519.pdf | Ramsey County Commitment to Maintain | 56 KB |
| Roseville Letter of Support.pdf <br> Roseville Master Trail Plan Map.pdf | City of Roseville Letter of Support | 298 KB |







## County Road C (23) Bridge over BNSF RR



Map Produced 7/12/2016 by Ramsey County Public Works

Public Works

July 11, 2016
Elaine Koutsoukos, TAB Coordinator
Metropolitan Council
390 Robert St. N.
Saint Paul, MN 55101

## SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM FUNDING APPLICATION FOR RECONSTRUCTION OF BRIDGE NO. 62519, ON COUNTY ROAD C, RAMSEY COUNTY STATE AID HIGHWAY (CSAH 23)- INTENT TO MAINTAIN

Dear Ms. Koutsoukos:
Ramsey County, as the political subdivision with jurisdiction over Bridge No. 62519 on County Road C (CSAH 23) hereby states its intention to operate and maintain the facility, including any improvements funded through the Surface Transportation Program, for the full design life of the facility and planned improvements.

The application for Surface Transportation Program funds that we have submitted would not replace any regionally-funded improvements that were opened to traffic within the last five years.

Sincerely,


James E. Tolaas, P.E.
Director of Public Works/County Engineer
Enclosure

July 13, 2016

Joseph Lux
Ramsey County Public Works
1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive
Arden Hills, MN 55112-3933
Re: STP System Management Funds
Dear Joe:
The City of Roseville, Minnesota is happy to support Ramsey County's request for federal Surface Transportation Program Federal Funds in the Program Bridge category for the County Road C (CSAH 23) bridge over the Burlington Northern Railroad tracks between Lexington Ave and Victoria Street in the City of Roseville.

The existing bridge over the railroad tracks is structurally deficient per the FHWA bridge rating system and is considered fracture critical. This stretch of roadway is a vital corridor for the City of Roseville for several modes of transportation including bikes and pedestrians.

Good luck on the grant application.



Pathway Master Plan

