

Application

05276 - Nicollet Avenue Bridge over Minnehaha Creek		
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements		
Submitted		
07/15/2016 2:39 PM		

Primary Contact

Name:*	Mr.	Steven	Middle Name	Hay Last Name
Title:			Middle Name	Last Name
	Transportation	Fidilitei		
Department:	Public Works			
Email:	steven.hay@m	ninneapolismn.g	IOV	
Address:	City of Minnea	polis		
	309 2nd Avenu	ue South		
	Room 300			
*	Minneapolis	Minneso	ta	55401
	City	State/Province	ce	Postal Code/Zip
Dhawart	612-673-3884			
Phone:*	Phone		Ext.	
Fax:	612-673-2048			
What Grant Programs are you most interested in?	Regional Solic	itation - Bicycle	and Pedest	rian Facilities

Organization Information

Name:

MINNEAPOLIS, CITY OF

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):

Organization Type:	City		
Organization Website:	http://www.ci.minnea	polis.mn.us/	
Address:	DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS		
	309 2ND AVE S #300		
*	MINNEAPOLIS	Minnesota	55401
	City	State/Province	Postal Code/Zip
County:	Hennepin		
Phone:*	612-673-3884		
i none.		Ext.	
Fax:			
PeopleSoft Vendor Number	0000020971A2		

Project Information

Project Name	Nicollet Avenue Bridge over Minnehaha Creek
Primary County where the Project is Located	Hennepin
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):	City of Minneapolis

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

This project is for the rehabilitation of Bridge No. 90591. The multiple span bridge carries Nicollet Avenue South over Minnehaha Creek and Minnehaha Parkway in the City of Minneapolis. The roadway is classified as an A minor arterial roadway. Project limits are between W 52nd Street and East Minnehaha Parkway (total project length of 1,020 ft., and a bridge length of 818 ft.).

The 818 foot bridge was built in 1923, repaired in 1973, has a sufficiency rating of 66.1 in the most recent MnDOT structural inventory report, and is functionally obsolete. Bridge 90591, which has a total roadway width of 36 ft., carries one lane of traffic in either direction with a center striped median and turn lane.

MnDOT indicates that the AADT in 2014 was 11,000. The Thrive MSP 2040 states that the Nicollet Avenue South bridge will potentially carry a Bus Rapid Transit line in the future. The BRT would offer circulation through the core of the city from American Boulevard to at least 3rd Street and Nicollet Avenue. Further, the bridge would connect with the METRO Blue and Green lines in downtown, and it will provide connection to the Orange Line BRT. In addition, the Minneapolis Bike Master Plan includes a planned on-street bikeway over Bridge 90591.

The bridge was last inspected by the City of Minneapolis on July 13, 2015. Cracks, concrete spalls and exposed reinforcement were found on the underside of the deck, spandrel columns, and pier walls. The arches have cracks where they were previously repaired as do the spandrel cantilevers. Many of these cracks have rust stains. The bridge satisfies Section 15.4 of MnDOT Bridge Design Manual, which directs owners to reduce the capacity of their bridge due to deterioration. The funds from the Met Council regional solicitation will go toward the repairs and rehabilitation of bridge 90591. Rehabilitation is the City's preferred solution as it will allow the bridge to successfully continue as an important transportation artery for over 30 more years. In general, the funds will support deck removal and replacement, repairs of concrete surfaces and structures, sidewalk replacement, a new drainage system, new floor beams, and a new lighting system. These costeffective actions will save taxpayers millions of dollars and improve the safety conditions for drivers, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.

<u>TIP Description Guidance</u> (will be used in TIP if the project is selected for funding)

Project Length (Miles)

The project proposes to rehabilitate the bridge over Minnehaha Creek and Minnehaha Parkway. It will preserve the major capital investment by replacing the deck and repairing deteriorated concrete areas on the spandrel columns, floor beams, and arches.

0.2

Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?	Yes
If yes, please identify the source(s)	State Bridge Bonds
Federal Amount	\$7,000,000.00
Match Amount	\$15,180,000.00
Minimum of 20% of project total	
Project Total	\$22,180,000.00
Match Percentage	68.44%
Minimum of 20% Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project tota	r
Source of Match Funds	State Bridge Bonds (\$10,000,000), Local/State Aid Funds (\$5,180,000)

A minimum of 20% of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the 20% minimum can come from other federal sources

Preferred Program Year

Select one:

For TDM projects, select 2018 or 2019. For Roadway, Transit, or Trail/Pedestrian projects, select 2020 or 2021.

Additional Program Years:

Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

Project Information-Roadways		
County, City, or Lead Agency	Minneapolis	
Functional Class of Road	A Minor Arterial	
Road System	MSAS	
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET		
Road/Route No.	430	
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53		
Name of Road	Nicollet Avenue over Minnehaha Creek	
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE		
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed	55419	
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date	04/01/2020	
(Approximate) End Construction Date	10/29/2021	
TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work)		
From: (Intersection or Address)	W 52nd Street	
To: (Intersection or Address)	East Minnehaha Parkway	
DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION		
Or At		
Primary Types of Work	Bridge	
Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF, SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER, SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS, BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.		
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)		
Old Bridge/Culvert No.:	90591	
New Bridge/Culvert No.:	90591	
Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name):	Over: Minnehaha Creek and Minnehaha Parkway	

Specific Roadway Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$1,680,000.00

Removals (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$3,000,000.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.)	\$0.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving)	\$0.00
Subgrade Correction (muck)	\$0.00
Storm Sewer	\$0.00
Ponds	\$0.00
Concrete Items (curb & gutter, sidewalks, median barriers)	\$0.00
Traffic Control	\$0.00
Striping	\$0.00
Signing	\$0.00
Lighting	\$0.00
Turf - Erosion & Landscaping	\$0.00
Bridge	\$17,500,000.00
Retaining Walls	\$0.00
Noise Wall (do not include in cost effectiveness measure)	\$0.00
Traffic Signals	\$0.00
Wetland Mitigation	\$0.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection	\$0.00
RR Crossing	\$0.00
Roadway Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Roadway Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$22,180,000.00

Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Path/Trail Construction	\$0.00
Sidewalk Construction	\$0.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA)	\$0.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK)	\$0.00
Pedestrian-scale Lighting	\$0.00
Streetscaping	\$0.00
Wayfinding	\$0.00

Totals	\$0.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements	\$0.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies	\$0.00

Specific Transit and TDM Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements	\$0.00
Stations, Stops, and Terminals	\$0.00
Support Facilities	\$0.00
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)	\$0.00
Vehicles	\$0.00
Contingencies	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Other Transit and TDM Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$0.00

Transit Operating Costs

Number of Platform hours	0
Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost)	\$0.00
Substotal	\$0.00
Other Costs - Administration, Overhead, etc.	\$0.00

Totals	
Total Cost	\$22,180,000.00
Construction Cost Total	\$22,180,000.00
Transit Operating Cost Total	\$0.00

Requirements - All Projects

All Projects

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan, the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2015), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan objectives and strategies that relate to the project.

	 Goal: Transportation System Stewardship (Pages 58-59 in Overview) Sustainable investments in the transportation system are protected by strategically preserving, maintaining, and operating system assets. Objectives: A. Efficiently preserve and maintain the regional transportation system in a state of good repair. B. Operate the regional transportation system to efficiently and cost-effectively connect people and freight to destinations.
	Goal: Access to Destinations (Pages 62-63 in Overview)
	People and businesses prosper by using a reliable, affordable, and efficient multimodal
List the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:	transportation system that connects them to destinations throughout the region and
	beyond.
	Objectives:
	A. Increase the availability of multimodal travel options, especially in congested highway corridors.
	B. Increase travel time reliability and predictability for travel on highway and transit systems.
	D. Increase transit ridership and the share of trips taken using transit, bicycling and walking.
	E. Improve multimodal travel options for people of all ages and abilities to connect to jobs and other opportunities, particularly for historically underrepresented populations.

Goal: Competitive Economy (Pages 64-65 in Overview)

The regional transportation system supports the economic competitiveness, vitality, and prosperity of the region and state.

Objectives:

A. Improve multimodal access to regional job concentrations identified in Thrive MSP 2040.

B. Invest in a multimodal transportation system to attract and retain businesses and residents.

Goal: Leveraging Transportation Investment to Guide Land Use (Pages 70-72 in Overview)

The region leverages transportation investments to guide land use and development patterns that advance the regional vision of stewardship, prosperity, livability, equity,

and sustainability.

Objectives:

A. Focus regional growth in areas that support the full range of multimodal travel.

C. Encourage local land use design that integrates highways, streets, transit, walking, and bicycling.

Implementing a system of 11 arterial bus rapid transit projects including the three in the Current Revenue Scenario: -Nicollet Avenue. (Page 88 in Overview) 3. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

2030 Hennepin County Transportation System Plan (pages 1-10 through 1-12, 4-14)

Hennepin County 2030 Comprehensive Plan Update (pages 5-2 through 5-4)

List the applicable documents and pages:

Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth (pages 2-2 through 2-8)

Minneapolis Bicycle Master Plan (pages 52, 122, 131-134, 146, 151, 153 172, 199)

4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of bicycle/pedestrian projects, transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

5.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

7. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below.

Roadway Expansion: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Roadway System Management \$250,000 to \$7,000,000

Bridges Rehabilitation/ Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

9. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

10. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

11. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

12. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

13. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A-Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Roadway Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization projects only:

2. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:

3.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

4. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that <u>are exclusively</u> for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

5. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

6. The bridge must have a sufficiency rating less than 80 for rehabilitation projects and less than 50 for replacement projects. Additionally, the bridge must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Measure A: Functional Classification

Area	0.117
Project Length	0.179
Average Distance	0.6536
Upload Map	1467383548948_RoadAreaDef_Nic_over_Minn.pdf

Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Existing Employment within 1 Mile:	7017
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1 Mile:	531
Existing Students:	0
Upload Map	1467383746519_RegionalEcon_Nic_over_Minn.pdf

Measure C: Current Daily Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location	1.7 MI N OF JCT CSAH 53
Current Daily Heavy Commercial Traffic Volume	220.0
Date Heavy Commercial Count Taken:	

Measure D: Freight Elements

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Currently, no freight elements are involved in the rehabilitation of the Nicollet Avenue Bridge over Minnehaha Creek.

Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

Location	1.7 MI N OF JCT CSAH 53
Current AADT Volume	11000.0
Existing Transit Routes on the Project:	18
Upload Transit Map	1467384034723_TransitConnections_Nic_over_Minn.pdf

Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership	0
Current Daily Person Throughput	14300.0

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume	No
METC Staff - Forecast (2040) ADT volume	0
OR	
Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume	Yes
Forecast (2040) ADT volume	12100.0

Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty with 50% or more of residents are people of color (ACP50):

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty:

Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color:

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly:

Yes

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

The rehabilitation project of the Nicollet Avenue Bridge over Minnehaha Creek is located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color. However, the Socio-Economic Conditions map shows that the project is very close to two different census tracts with above the regional average of concentration of race/poverty. Continuing, the low income population, which consists primarily of people of color, will benefit from the proposed rehabilitated bridge as it serves as a link between Richfield and the south side of Minneapolis. Bridge 90591 carries local transit route 18, which busses passengers between Bloomington and downtown Minneapolis and helps low-income individuals travel around the metro. According to THRIVE MSP 2040, there are plans to install a Bus Rapid Transit along Nicollet Avenue and across bridge 90591. The alignment would connect to both the Blue and Green lines of Light Rail, and it will provide connection to the Orange Highway BRT. In addition, the Nicollet BRT would provide connection to the potential Rapid Bus Corridor on Lake Street. This region of Lake Street contains nearly 3 miles of concentrated poverty and over 50% people of color.

Peds and Bikes will continue to benefit from the wide sidewalks, with the added benefit and comfort in the knowledge the bridge is safe and stable. Also, efficiently rehabilitating the bridge will continue to allow children to walk and commute to their schools quickly and safely, as there are 8 schools within the 1.2 mile radius of the project area.

During construction, ped/bike and bus facilities will be negatively impacted. Negative impacts will be alleviated by temporarily relocating bus service to other unaffected streets and an installation of a fully

ADA compliant Temporary Pedestrian Access route (TPAR). Also, the City will require the contractor to protect Minnehaha Parkway trail bicycle and ped traffic underneath the bridge.

Once completed, this project will have no negative impacts on low-income populations, people of color, children, people with disabilities, and the elderly.

The response should address the benefits, impacts, and mitigation for the populations affected by the project.

14

1467403649278_SocioEcon_Nic_over_Minn.pdf

Measure B: Affordable Housing

City/Township	Segment Length in Miles (Population)	
	0	
Total Project Length		
Total Project Length (Total Population)	0.2	

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

City/Township	Segment Length (Miles)	Total Length (Miles)	Score	Segment Length/Total Length	Housing Score Multiplied by Segment percent	1
		0		0	0 0)

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Total Project Length (Miles)	0
Total Housing Score	0

Measure A: Bridge Condition

Bridge Sufficiency Rating

Measure B: Project Improvements

Load Posted (Check box if the bridge is load-posted):

Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

The rehabilitation of Nicollet Avenue South Bridge over Minnehaha Creek will benefit several multimodal elements in the transportation network. The rehabilitation will replace the deck, which will accommodate the planned Nicollet Avenue On-Street-Avenue Bicycle Corridor. The plan is described in the 2011 Minneapolis Bicycle Master Plan. Also, the repairs will replace the existing sidewalks on both the east and west sides of the bridge, creating a lasting and safe travel surface for pedestrians.

Bridge 90591 crosses over a major bike path on Minnehaha Parkway. The proposed rehabilitation will improve the safety for both bicyclists and pedestrians, as the rehabilitation will eliminate the risk of falling debris from an obsolete and deteriorating bridge onto the pathways below. City of Minneapolis Bicycle counts indicate that over 500 cyclists travel beneath the bridge each day. Also, approximately 500 pedestrians travel beneath the bridge each day as well.

The Nicollet Avenue South bridge over Minnehaha creek currently carries local Metro Transit route 18, which carries passengers from Bloomington to downtown Minneapolis. Route 18 is a high frequency service route. Owl Service has been announced for Route 18. The THRIVE MSP 2040's Transportation Policy Plan stipulates that the Nicollet Avenue South bridge will potentially carry a Bus Rapid Transit line in the future. The BRT would offer circulation through the core of the city from American Boulevard in Bloomington to 3rd Street and Nicollet Avenue. Further, the bridge would connect with the METRO Blue and Green lines in downtown, and it will provide connection to the Orange Line BRT.

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

In order to maintain the current multimodal elements of bridge 90591 and provide the planned future services, rehabilitation of the bridge is necessary.

Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment. Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)		
Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred		
100%		
Stakeholders have been identified	Yes	
40%		
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted		
0%		
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)		
Layout or Preliminary Plan completed		
100%		
Layout or Preliminary Plan started	Yes	
50%		
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started		
0%		
Anticipated date or date of completion	12/31/2018	
3)Environmental Documentation (5 Percent of Points)		
EIS		
EA		
PM	Yes	
Document Status:		
Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)	100%	
Document submitted to State Aid for review	75%	date submitted

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified; review request letters sent 50% Document not started Yes 0% Anticipated date or date of completion/approval 4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (10 Percent of Points) No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100%

80%

Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated Yes

Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40%

Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological resources in the project area

0%

Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review: 10/31/2017

Project is located on an identified historic bridge

Yes

Yes

5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (10 Percent of Points)

4(f) Does the project impacts any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild & scenic rivers or public private historic properties?6(f) Does the project impact any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild & scenic rivers or historic property that was purchased or improved with federal funds?

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area

100%

No impact to 4f property. The project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100%

Section 4f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80%

Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely coordination/documentation has begun

50%

Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely coordination/documentation has not begun

30% Unsure if there are any impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area 0% 6) Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points) Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements not required 100% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements has/have been acquired 100% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, offers made 75% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, appraisals made 50% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels identified 25% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, Yes parcels not identified 0% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements identification has not been completed 0% Anticipated date or date of acquisition 10/31/2018 7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points) No railroad involvement on project Yes 100% Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page) 100% Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated 60% Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun 40% Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun 0%

Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement

8)Interchange Approval (15 Percent of Points)*

*Please contact Karen Scheffing at MnDOT (Karen.Scheffing@state. to determine if your project needs to go through the Metropolitan Cou Interchange Request Committee.	· · · · · ·
Project does not involve construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps	Yes
100%	
Interchange project has been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee	
100%	
Interchange project has not been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee	
0%	
9)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)	
Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)	
100%	
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review	
75%	
Construction plans in progress; at least 30% completion	
50%	
Construction plans have not been started	Yes
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	12/31/2019
10)Letting	
Anticipated Letting Date	03/02/2020

Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form):	\$22,180,000.00
Enter Amount of the Noise Walls:	\$0.00
Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls:	\$22,180,000.00
Points Awarded in Previous Criteria	
Cost Effectiveness	\$0.00

Other Attachments

File Name	Description	File Size
2016 Regional Solication Application Letter Signed.pdf	Letter of commitment of local match funding.	350 KB
Bridge Inspection and Inventory Attachment.pdf	Inventory and Inspection	109 KB
Concrete Deterioration Images.pdf	Concrete Condition Photos	959 KB
Construction Sequence and Repair Areas.pdf	Construction Sequence and Repair Areas	985 KB
Nicollet_BRT.pdf	BRT for Nicollet Avenue	1007 KB
Parks_Rec_letter_of_support.pdf	Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board Letter of Support	124 KB
proposed_nicollet_bikeway.pdf	Nicollet Bikeway Plan	227 KB











Public Works 350 S. Fifth St. - Room 203 Minneapolis, MN 55415 TEL 612.673.2352

www.minneapolismn.gov

July 5, 2016

Ms. Elaine Koutsoukos Metropolitan Council 390 North Robert Street St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

RE: 2016 Regional Solicitation Applications

Dear Ms. Koutsoukos,

The City of Minneapolis Department of Public Works is submitting a series of applications for the 2016 Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds. The applications and the required matching funds have been authorized by the Minneapolis City Council as described in the Official Proceedings of the Council meeting on June 17, 2016. The relevant action is excerpted below:

The TRANSPORTATION & PUBLIC WORKS and WAYS & MEANS Committees submitted the following reports: The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes the submission of a series of applications for federal transportation funds through Metropolitan Council's 2016 Regional Solicitation Program and further authorizes the commitment of local funds to provide the required match for federal funding, as set forth in File No. 16-00737 on file in the Office of the City Clerk. On roll call, the result was: Ayes: Reich, Gordon, Frey, Yang, Warsame, Goodman, Glidden, Cano, Bender, Quincy, Palmisano, President Johnson (12) Noes: (0) Absent: A. Johnson (1) The report was adopted.

The specific applications are described in the attached "Request for City Council Committee Action."

Thank you for the opportunity to submit these applications.

Sincerely,

Lisa Cerney, P.E. Deputy Director of Public Works

City of Minneapolis Request for Committee Action

To:	Transportation & Public Works
Date:	6/7/2016
Referral:	Ways & Means
From:	Public Works Department
Lead Staff:	Steven Hay, Transportation Planner, Transportation Planning and Programming
Presented by:	Steven Hay, Transportation Planner, Transportation Planning and Programming
File Type:	Action
Subcategory:	Grant

Subject:

Application for 2016 Met Council Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds

Description:

Authorizing the submission of a series of applications for federal transportation funds through Metropolitan Council's Regional Solicitation Program and the commitment of local funds to provide the required match for federal funding.

Previous Actions:

None.

Background/Analysis:

The City will prepare a series of applications for the 2016 Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds in response to the current Metropolitan Council solicitation. This request includes a summary of the eligible project areas, a brief description of city projects, estimated costs, and the requested amounts. Each project requires a minimum local match for construction in addition to the costs for design, engineering, administration and any additional construction costs to fully fund the project. These applications will maximize the use of federal funding. The funding to be awarded is for projects to be constructed in 2020 and 2021.

The 2016 Regional Solicitation for federal transportation funding is part of Metropolitan Council's federally-required continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative transportation planning process for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. The funding program and related rules and requirements are established by the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) and administered locally through collaboration with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT).

Applications are grouped into three primary modal evaluation categories with each category including several sub-categories as detailed below:

- 1. Roadways Including Multimodal Elements
 - Roadway Expansion
 - Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
 - Roadway System Management
 - Bridges
- 2. Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities
 - Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities
 - Pedestrian Facilities
 - Safe Routes to School Infrastructure

- 3. Transit and Travel Demand Management (TDM) Projects
 - Transit Expansion
 - Travel Demand Management
 - Transit System Modernization

The City is recommending the submission of up to six applications, which are summarized below:

Project Name	Category	Requested Federal Amount	Minimum Local Match Required
Hennepin Avenue (Washington Avenue to 12 th St S)	Roadways	\$7,000,000	\$1,750,000
37 th Avenue NE (Central Avenue to Stinson Boulevard)	Roadways	\$7,000,000	\$1,750,000
Nicollet Avenue Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	Roadways	\$7,000,000	\$1,750,000
Prospect Park Trail	Bicycle & Pedestrian Facilities	\$535,000	\$855,000
Queen Avenue N Bike Boulevard	Bicycle & Pedestrian Facilities	\$1,000,000	\$250,000
36 th Street West Pedestrian Enhancements	Bicycle & Pedestrian Facilities	\$1,000,000	\$565,000
Totals		\$23,535,000	\$6,920,000

Details of the proposed applications are described below:

Hennepin Avenue - Washington Avenue to 12th Street South

The proposed project is a complete reconstruction of Hennepin Avenue from Washington Avenue to 12th St S, a distance of approximately 0.75 miles. The proposed reconstruction project proposes to remove and replace the pavement surface, curb and gutter, signage, storm drains, driveway approaches, traffic signals, striping, sidewalks, and street trees. *Program Category: Roadways including Multimodal Elements*

37th Avenue NE – Central Avenue to Stinson Boulevard

The proposed project is a complete reconstruction of 37th Avenue NE from Central Avenue to Stinson Avenue, a distance of approximately 1.0 mile. This section of 37th Avenue NE is along the border between Minneapolis and Columbia Heights. The application and proposed project will be done in collaboration with the City of Columbia Heights. The proposed project will reconstruct the pavement surface, curb and gutter, traffic signals, lighting, some sidewalks, as well as construction of a bicycle facility.

Program Category: Roadways including Multimodal Elements

Nicollet Avenue Bridge over Minnehaha Creek

This project proposes the major repair and renovation of the Nicollet Avenue Bridge over Minnehaha Parkway and Minnehaha Creek. The existing bridge is a 16-span open-spandrel concrete arch bridge, 818 feet long and 63 feet wide. The original bridge was built in 1923 and renovated in 1974. Although the bridge does not need to be replaced, numerous bridge components are significantly deteriorated, in poor condition and should be repaired or replaced in order to extend the useful life of the structure.

Program Category: Roadways including Multimodal Elements

Prospect Park Trail – Franklin Avenue SE to 27th Avenue SE

The proposed project involves the construction of a multi-use trail between Franklin Avenue SE and 27th Avenue SE. The project involves grading, subgrade work, paving, lighting, signage, and striping.

Program Category: Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

Queen Avenue Bike Boulevard

The proposed project will construct bicycle boulevards on Queen Ave N (or parallel routes) from 44th Ave N to the Harrison neighborhood. The City will continue to coordinate with Hennepin County as a partner agency to evaluate the project and determine if the proposed project is suitable for submission.

Program Category: Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

36th Street W Pedestrian Enhancements

The proposed project involves sidewalk gap infill and construction of an off-street protected bikeway to replace the temporary bollard protected bikeway and pedestrian path between Richfield Rd and Dupont Ave S.

Program Category: Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

Financial Review:

No additional appropriation required, amount included in current budget.

MINNESOTA STRUCTURE INVENTORY REPORT

Bridge ID: 90591

NICOLLET AVE S over MINNEHAHA PKWY; CREEK

Date: 06/14/2016

Page 2 of 10

[
+ GENERAL +	+ ROADWAY +	+ INSPECTION +
Agency Br. No. 4511	Bridge Match ID (TIS) 1	Deficient Status F.O.
District METRO Maint. Area	Roadway O/U Key 1-ON	Sufficiency Rating 66.1
County 27 - HENNEPIN	Route Sys/Nbr MSAS 430	Last Inspection Date 07-13-2015
City MINNEAPOLIS	Roadway Name or Description	Inspection Frequency 24
Township	NICOLLET AVE S	Inspector Name CITY MINNEAPOLIS
Desc. Loc. 1.7 MI N OF JCT CSAH 53	Roadwav Function MAINLINE	Status A-OPEN
Sect., Twp., Range 15 - 028N - 24W	Roadway Type 2 WAY TRAF	+ NBI CONDITION RATINGS +
Latitude 44d 54m 27.36s	Control Section (TH Only)	Deck 5
Longitude 93d 16m 41.10s	Ref. Point	Superstructure 5
Custodian CITY	Date Opened to Traffic 01-01-1974	Substructure 5
Owner CITY	Detour Length 1 mi.	Channel 7
Inspection By CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS	Lanes 4 Lanes ON Bridge	Culvert N
Year Built 1923	ADT (YEAR) 11,000 (2014)	+ NBI APPRAISAL RATINGS +
MN Year Remodeled 2002	HCADT	Structure Evaluation 5
FHWA Year Reconstructed	Functional Class. URB/MINOR ART	Deck Geometry 2
Bridge Plan Location MUNICIPAL	+ RDWY DIMENSIONS +	Underclearances 6
Potential ABC N.A.	If Divided NB-EB SB-WB	Waterway Adequacy 8
	Roadway Width 36.0 ft	Approach Alignment 8
+ STRUCTURE +	Vertical Clearance	+ SAFETY FEATURES +
Service On HWY;PED	Max. Vert. Clear.	Bridge Railing 1-MEETS STANDARDS
Service Under HWY;STREAM	Horizontal Clear. 49.9 ft	GR Transition N-NOT REQUIRED
Main Span Type CONC ARCH	Lateral Cir Lt/Rt	Appr. Guardrail N-NOT REQUIRED
		GR Termini N-NOT REQUIRED
Appr. Span Type CONC SLAB SPAN	Bridge Roadway Width 36.0 ft	
Appr. Span Detail	Median Width on Bridge	Frac. Critical
Skew		Underwater
Culvert Type		Pinned Asbly.
Barrel Length	Parallel Structure NONE	Spec. Feat.
Number of Spans	Field Conn. ID	+ WATERWAY +
MAIN: 9 APPR: 7 TOTAL: 16	Cantilever ID	Drainage Area
Main Span Length 93.6 ft	Foundations	Waterway Opening 99999 sq ft
Structure Length 818.0 ft	Abut. CONC - SPRD SOIL	Navigation Control NO PRMT REQD
Deck Width 62.3 ft	Pier CONC - FTG PILE	Pier Protection
Deck Material C-I-P CONCRETE	Historic Status ELIGIBLE	Nav. Vert./Horz. Clr.
Wear Surf Type MONOLITHIC CONC	On - Off System ON	Nav. Vert. Lift Bridge Clear.
Wear Surf Install Year	+ PAINT +	MN Scour Code I-LOW RISK
Wear Course/Fill Depth	Year Painted Pct. Unsound	Scour Evaluation Year 1991
Deck Membrane NONE	Painted Area	+ CAPACITY RATINGS +
Deck Rebars NONE	Primer Type	Design Load H 20
Deck Rebars Install Year	Finish Type	Operating Rating HS 29.80
Structure Area 50,961 sq ft	+ BRIDGE SIGNS +	Inventory Rating HS 17.90
Roadway Area 29,448 sq ft	Posted Load NOT REQUIRED	Posting
Sidewalk Width - L/R 12.0 ft 12.0 ft	Traffic NOT REQUIRED	Rating Date 04-01-2013
Curb Height - L/R 0.75 ft 0.75 ft	Horizontal NOT REQUIRED	Overweight Permit Codes
Rail Codes - L/R 17 17	Vertical NOT APPLICABLE	A: N B: N C: N

	SE 9059	TY OF MINNEAPOLIS 1 NICOLLET AVE S OVI	ER MINNEHAHA PKW	Y; CREEK	INSP.	DATE: 07-	13-2015	
City: N Townsh Section	: 15 Tow	POLIS Ro Co		CSAH 53 Pt.: 001+00.040 /laint. Area: 4511	Length: 818. Deck Width: Rdwy. Area / P Paint Area / Po Culvert : N/A	62.3 ft Pct. Unsnd: ct. Unsnd:	29,448 sq 1	ft
Apprais	sal Rating	uper: 5 Sub: 5 Chan: 7 Culv: N s - Approach: 8 Waterway: 8 Signs - Load Posting: NOT REQUIR Horizontal: NOT REQUIRED	Open, Posted MN Scour Co ED Traffic: NOT REQU	de: I-LOW RISK IRED	Def.	Stat: F.O.	Suff. Rate:	66.1
ELE NBF		ELEMENT NAME	INSP. DATE	QUANTITY	QTY CS 1	QTY CS 2	QTY CS 3	QT CS
300		CAL DEFS OR SAFETY HAZARDS	07-13-2015	1 EA	1	0	0	00
	Notes:	NO CRITICAL FINDINGS.						
12	REINF	ORCED CONCRETE DECK	07-13-2015	50,961 SF	45,865	0	5,096	
	Notes:	MANY DELAMINATIONS, LARGE OF REINFORCEMENT AND LONG JOINTS TO N. ABUTMENT. STAIN REPAIR OVER ROADWAY.	ITUDINAL CRACKS WITH ING AND EFFLORESCEN	AREAS OF INCRUST CE. OLD FORM WOR	ATION, LOCATI K EXPOSED AT	ED AROUND / S. CAP.SHO ^T	ALL THE ICRETE	
510) WEARI Notes:	NG SURFACE Top of Concrete Deck with Uncoat UNSEALED TRANSVERSE AND AND JOINTS HAVE NOT BEEN S [2015] 30 SPALLS THROUGHOU	LONGITUDINAL CRACKS EALED. MANY OF THE PA	ON ENTIRE DECK. TH ATCHES ARE SCALIN	HE CENTER STI G AT THE EDGI	RIPPED AREA ES. ASPHALT		
810	CONC	WEAR SURF-CRACKING SEALING		0 LF	0	0	0	
301	Notes: POUR	THERE IS 8256 LIN. FT. OF DECK	CRACKING. 07-13-2015	2,164 LF	1,082	1,082	0	
	Notes:	LONGITUDINAL AND TRANSVER	SE JOINTS HAVE SEPARA	TION AND LOSS OF	ADHESION.			
802	COMP	RESSION DECK JOINT	07-13-2015	1,197 LF	0	0	0	1,19
	Notes:	FULL OF SAND AND LOOSE RUB DELAMINATION. STEEL EXTRUS SATURATION BELOW. FOAM OF AREAS OF THE JOINTS, SPALLS	ON BROKEN AND PUSHE TWO JOINTS FROM NOR	D IN AND MOST SHO TH HAS NO PARA PL	WING RUST, C	ORROSION A	ND	
330	META	BRIDGE RAILING	07-13-2015	1,637 LF	0	1,637	0	
	Notes:	[2016] Migrator assumed concrete/r THE CONCRETE PARAPET HAS I REBAR EXPOSED AT THE FASCI	MANY FINE SIZE MAP CRA	ACKS, RUST STAINS,	DELAMINATIO	N, SMALL SP/	ALLS WITH	
			07-13-2015	999 SF	999	0	0	
515	5 STEEL Notes:	PROTECTIVE COATING [2016] Migrator assumed CS1 and						
	Notes:			1,637 LF	0	1,637	0	
<i>515</i> 331	Notes:	[2016] Migrator assumed CS1 and	a quantity of 999 SF. 07-13-2015 netal combination type rail. MANY FINE SIZE MAP CRA					

							P	age 6 of 10
822	BITUN	IINOUS APPROACH ROADWAY	07-13-2015	1 EA	0	1	0	0
	Notes:	[2015]ASPHALT SETTLED DOWN AT APPROACH. 3" OF THE STEEL AT T				LEMENT AT S		
144	REINF	FORCED CONCRETE ARCH	07-13-2015	1,371 LF	371	1,000	0	0
	Notes:	THERE ARE LONGITUDINAL CRACK SPALLS WITH REBAR EXPOSED, M SIDES OF THE ARCHES, SPALLS W ARCHES. [2013]SHOTCRETE REPAI	ANY OF THE CRACKS H. ITH REBAR EXPOSED, L	AVE RUST STAINS. AL ONGITUDINAL CRACK	SO LONGITU	DINAL CRACK	S ON THE	
		Arch Spandrel Column Notes: MANY C SPALLS WITH REBARS EXPOSED. (ON AND EFFL	ORESCENCE,	<mark>, MANY</mark>	
205	REINF	ORCED CONCRETE COLUMN	07-13-2015	20 EA	0	20	0	0
	Notes:	COLUMNS HAVE FINE TO MEDIUM SEVERE SCALE AT THE SCUPPER			NS, SPALLS, F	REBAR EXPOS	SED AND	
210	REINF	FORCED CONCRETE PIER WALL	07-13-2015	200 LF	0	100	100	0
	Notes:	THERE ARE SPALLS, REBAR EXPO LOCATIONS ON PIER WALL. EXTEN					PER	
215	REINF	FORCED CONCRETE ABUTMENT	07-13-2015	165 LF	0	40	125	0
	Notes:	[2016] Migrator added 40 LF to abutme THERE ARE SIGNS OF SEEPAGE, S						
234			EXPOSED AT N.W. THE	RE ARE SIGNS OF SEE	EPAGE AND A	AREAS OF SC.	ALING,	0
234		THERE ARE SIGNS OF SEEPAGE, S THE NORTH, SPALLS WITH REBAR SPALLS WITH REBAR EXPOSED ON Wingwall notes: THERE ARE AREAS ORCED CONCRETE PIER CAP THERE ARE SPALLS WITH RUST ST CONCRETE EXTENSIONS. SPALLS EFFLORESCENCE, HEAVY DELAMIN EXPANSION JOINTS. ONE STEEL SI DETERIORATING AND SHOWING PA WEST AND ONE IN SPAN 2 ON THE	EXPOSED AT N.W. THEI I THE SOUTH. OF MEDIUM SIZE MAP C 07-13-2015 AINS, INCRUSTATION, F ON THE ENDS OF THE C VATIONS, LARGE SPALL JPPORT WAS INSTALLE ACK RUST. TWO CRACK	RE ARE SIGNS OF SEE CRACKS AND DELAMIN 3,346 LF CAPS ARE THE MOST S WITH REBARS EXPO D ON ONE KNEE BRA MONITORS WERE INS	EPAGE AND A IATIONS. HE/ 0 FINE & MEDIL SEVERE. THE DSED AND RI CE (BOTH SIL STALLED. ON	AREAS OF SC AVY VEGETAT 2,008 JM SIZE CRAC ERE IS SEEPA UST STAINS U DES), WHICH I E IN SPAN 3 C	ALING, 1,339 KS AT THE GE, INDER THE S DN THE	0
	REINF Notes:	THERE ARE SIGNS OF SEEPAGE, S THE NORTH, SPALLS WITH REBAR SPALLS WITH REBAR EXPOSED ON Wingwall notes: THERE ARE AREAS FORCED CONCRETE PIER CAP THERE ARE SPALLS WITH RUST ST CONCRETE EXTENSIONS. SPALLS EFFLORESCENCE, HEAVY DELAMIN EXPANSION JOINTS. ONE STEEL SI DETERIORATING AND SHOWING PA	EXPOSED AT N.W. THEI I THE SOUTH. OF MEDIUM SIZE MAP C 07-13-2015 AINS, INCRUSTATION, F ON THE ENDS OF THE C VATIONS, LARGE SPALL JPPORT WAS INSTALLE ACK RUST. TWO CRACK	RE ARE SIGNS OF SEE CRACKS AND DELAMIN 3,346 LF CAPS ARE THE MOST S WITH REBARS EXPO D ON ONE KNEE BRA MONITORS WERE INS	EPAGE AND A IATIONS. HE/ 0 FINE & MEDIL SEVERE. THE DSED AND RI CE (BOTH SIL STALLED. ON	AREAS OF SC AVY VEGETAT 2,008 JM SIZE CRAC ERE IS SEEPA UST STAINS U DES), WHICH I E IN SPAN 3 C	ALING, 1,339 KS AT THE GE, INDER THE S DN THE	0
	REINF Notes:	THERE ARE SIGNS OF SEEPAGE, S THE NORTH, SPALLS WITH REBAR SPALLS WITH REBAR EXPOSED ON Wingwall notes: THERE ARE AREAS FORCED CONCRETE PIER CAP THERE ARE SPALLS WITH RUST ST CONCRETE EXTENSIONS. SPALLS EFFLORESCENCE, HEAVY DELAMIN EXPANSION JOINTS. ONE STEEL SU DETERIORATING AND SHOWING P/ WEST AND ONE IN SPAN 2 ON THE DUE TO PACK RUST[2015].	EXPOSED AT N.W. THEN I THE SOUTH. OF MEDIUM SIZE MAP C 07-13-2015 AINS, INCRUSTATION, F ON THE ENDS OF THE C NATIONS, LARGE SPALL JPPORT WAS INSTALLE ACK RUST. TWO CRACK EAST. (SEE FILE FOR C 07-13-2015	RE ARE SIGNS OF SEE CRACKS AND DELAMIN 3,346 LF CAPS ARE THE MOST S WITH REBARS EXPO D ON ONE KNEE BRAN MONITORS WERE INS RACK MONITOR SHEE 1 EA	EPAGE AND A IATIONS. HE/ 0 FINE & MEDIL SEVERE. THE DSED AND RI CE (BOTH SIL STALLED. ON ETS). ONE CR	AREAS OF SC AVY VEGETAT 2,008 JM SIZE CRAC ERE IS SEEPA UST STAINS U DES), WHICH I IE IN SPAN 3 C RACK MONITO	ALING, 1,339 KS AT THE GE, NDER THE S DN THE R BROKE 0	
383	REINF Notes:	THERE ARE SIGNS OF SEEPAGE, S THE NORTH, SPALLS WITH REBAR SPALLS WITH REBAR EXPOSED ON Wingwall notes: THERE ARE AREAS FORCED CONCRETE PIER CAP THERE ARE SPALLS WITH RUST ST CONCRETE EXTENSIONS. SPALLS EFFLORESCENCE, HEAVY DELAMIN EXPANSION JOINTS. ONE STEEL SU DETERIORATING AND SHOWING P/ WEST AND ONE IN SPAN 2 ON THE DUE TO PACK RUST[2015] CRETE SHEAR CRACKING Use this element to monitor the preser pier caps.	EXPOSED AT N.W. THEN I THE SOUTH. OF MEDIUM SIZE MAP C 07-13-2015 AINS, INCRUSTATION, F ON THE ENDS OF THE C NATIONS, LARGE SPALL JPPORT WAS INSTALLE ACK RUST. TWO CRACK EAST. (SEE FILE FOR C 07-13-2015	RE ARE SIGNS OF SEE CRACKS AND DELAMIN 3,346 LF CAPS ARE THE MOST S WITH REBARS EXPO D ON ONE KNEE BRAN MONITORS WERE INS RACK MONITOR SHEE 1 EA	EPAGE AND A IATIONS. HE/ 0 FINE & MEDIL SEVERE. THE DSED AND RI CE (BOTH SIL STALLED. ON ETS). ONE CR	AREAS OF SC AVY VEGETAT 2,008 JM SIZE CRAC ERE IS SEEPA UST STAINS U DES), WHICH I IE IN SPAN 3 C RACK MONITO	ALING, 1,339 KS AT THE GE, NDER THE S DN THE R BROKE 0	
383	REINF Notes: CONC	THERE ARE SIGNS OF SEEPAGE, S THE NORTH, SPALLS WITH REBAR SPALLS WITH REBAR EXPOSED ON Wingwall notes: THERE ARE AREAS FORCED CONCRETE PIER CAP THERE ARE SPALLS WITH RUST ST CONCRETE EXTENSIONS. SPALLS EFFLORESCENCE, HEAVY DELAMIN EXPANSION JOINTS. ONE STEEL SU DETERIORATING AND SHOWING P/ WEST AND ONE IN SPAN 2 ON THE DUE TO PACK RUST[2015] CRETE SHEAR CRACKING Use this element to monitor the preser pier caps.	EXPOSED AT N.W. THEN I THE SOUTH. OF MEDIUM SIZE MAP C 07-13-2015 AINS, INCRUSTATION, F ON THE ENDS OF THE C NATIONS, LARGE SPALL JPPORT WAS INSTALLE ACK RUST. TWO CRACK EAST. (SEE FILE FOR C 07-13-2015 Ince of shear cracking on c 07-13-2015	RE ARE SIGNS OF SEE CRACKS AND DELAMIN 3,346 LF PATCHES AND MANY F CAPS ARE THE MOST S WITH REBARS EXPO D ON ONE KNEE BRAN MONITORS WERE INS RACK MONITOR SHEE 1 EA 0 norrete elements. Pay p 1 EA	EPAGE AND A IATIONS. HE/ 0 FINE & MEDIU SEVERE. THE DSED AND RI CE (BOTH SIL STALLED. ON ETS). ONE CR 1 particular atten	AREAS OF SC AVY VEGETAT 2,008 JM SIZE CRAC TRE IS SEEPA UST STAINS U DES), WHICH I IE IN SPAN 3 C ACK MONITO 0 tion to the conc	ALING, ION. 1,339 CKS AT THE GE, INDER THE S DN THE R BROKE 0 crete	0
383	REINF Notes: CONC Notes: SCOU	THERE ARE SIGNS OF SEEPAGE, S THE NORTH, SPALLS WITH REBAR SPALLS WITH REBAR EXPOSED ON Wingwall notes: THERE ARE AREAS FORCED CONCRETE PIER CAP THERE ARE SPALLS WITH RUST ST CONCRETE EXTENSIONS. SPALLS EFFLORESCENCE, HEAVY DELAMIN EXPANSION JOINTS. ONE STEEL SI DETERIORATING AND SHOWING P/ WEST AND ONE IN SPAN 2 ON THE DUE TO PACK RUST[2015]. FRETE SHEAR CRACKING Use this element to monitor the presen pier caps. IR THERE IS MINOR SCOUR ON THE S ES & SLOPE PROTECTION	EXPOSED AT N.W. THEN I THE SOUTH. OF MEDIUM SIZE MAP C 07-13-2015 AINS, INCRUSTATION, F ON THE ENDS OF THE C VATIONS, LARGE SPALL UPPORT WAS INSTALLE ACK RUST. TWO CRACK EAST. (SEE FILE FOR C 07-13-2015 ance of shear cracking on c 07-13-2015 G.W. & N.E. HIGH WATER 07-13-2015	RE ARE SIGNS OF SEE CRACKS AND DELAMIN 3,346 LF PATCHES AND MANY F CAPS ARE THE MOST S WITH REBARS EXPO D ON ONE KNEE BRAN MONITORS WERE INS RACK MONITOR SHEE 1 EA 0 norrete elements. Pay p 1 EA	EPAGE AND A IATIONS. HE/ 0 FINE & MEDIU SEVERE. THE DSED AND RI CE (BOTH SIL STALLED. ON ETS). ONE CR 1 particular atten	AREAS OF SC AVY VEGETAT 2,008 JM SIZE CRAC TRE IS SEEPA UST STAINS U DES), WHICH I IE IN SPAN 3 C ACK MONITO 0 tion to the conc	ALING, ION. 1,339 CKS AT THE GE, INDER THE S DN THE R BROKE 0 crete	0
383 385 392	REINF Notes: CONC Notes: SCOU Notes: SLOP Notes:	THERE ARE SIGNS OF SEEPAGE, S THE NORTH, SPALLS WITH REBAR SPALLS WITH REBAR EXPOSED ON Wingwall notes: THERE ARE AREAS ORCED CONCRETE PIER CAP THERE ARE SPALLS WITH RUST ST CONCRETE EXTENSIONS. SPALLS EFFLORESCENCE, HEAVY DELAMIN EXPANSION JOINTS. ONE STEEL SI DETERIORATING AND SHOWING P/ WEST AND ONE IN SPAN 2 ON THE DUE TO PACK RUST[2015]. CRETE SHEAR CRACKING Use this element to monitor the presen pier caps. IR THERE IS MINOR SCOUR ON THE S ES & SLOPE PROTECTION DIRT SLOPE ERODED BOTH SIDES.	EXPOSED AT N.W. THEN I THE SOUTH. OF MEDIUM SIZE MAP C 07-13-2015 AINS, INCRUSTATION, F ON THE ENDS OF THE C VATIONS, LARGE SPALL UPPORT WAS INSTALLE ACK RUST. TWO CRACK EAST. (SEE FILE FOR C 07-13-2015 ance of shear cracking on c 07-13-2015 G.W. & N.E. HIGH WATER 07-13-2015	RE ARE SIGNS OF SEE CRACKS AND DELAMIN 3,346 LF PATCHES AND MANY F CAPS ARE THE MOST S WITH REBARS EXPO D ON ONE KNEE BRAY MONITORS WERE INS RACK MONITOR SHEE 1 EA 1 EA 5 LOW INTO PIER FOO 2 EA	EPAGE AND A IATIONS. HEA 0 FINE & MEDIL SEVERE. THE DSED AND RI CE (BOTH SII STALLED. ON ETS). ONE CR 1 particular atten 1 DTINGS. 0	AREAS OF SC AVY VEGETAT 2,008 JM SIZE CRAC ERE IS SEEPA UST STAINS U DES), WHICH I E IN SPAN 3 C ACK MONITO 0 tion to the cond 0 2	ALING, ION. 1,339 KS AT THE GE, INDER THE S DN THE R BROKE 0 crete 0 0	0
234 8883 8885 8892	REINF Notes: CONC Notes: SCOU Notes: SLOP Notes:	THERE ARE SIGNS OF SEEPAGE, S THE NORTH, SPALLS WITH REBAR SPALLS WITH REBAR EXPOSED ON Wingwall notes: THERE ARE AREAS FORCED CONCRETE PIER CAP THERE ARE SPALLS WITH RUST ST CONCRETE EXTENSIONS. SPALLS EFFLORESCENCE, HEAVY DELAMIN EXPANSION JOINTS. ONE STEEL SI DETERIORATING AND SHOWING P/ WEST AND ONE IN SPAN 2 ON THE DUE TO PACK RUST[2015]. FRETE SHEAR CRACKING Use this element to monitor the presen pier caps. IR THERE IS MINOR SCOUR ON THE S ES & SLOPE PROTECTION	EXPOSED AT N.W. THEN I THE SOUTH. OF MEDIUM SIZE MAP C 07-13-2015 AINS, INCRUSTATION, F ON THE ENDS OF THE C VATIONS, LARGE SPALL UPPORT WAS INSTALLE ACK RUST. TWO CRACK EAST. (SEE FILE FOR C 07-13-2015 ance of shear cracking on c 07-13-2015 G.W. & N.E. HIGH WATER 07-13-2015	RE ARE SIGNS OF SEE CRACKS AND DELAMIN 3,346 LF PATCHES AND MANY I CAPS ARE THE MOST S WITH REBARS EXPO D ON ONE KNEE BRAY MONITORS WERE INS RACK MONITOR SHEE 1 EA 1 EA 0 norrete elements. Pay p 1 EA	EPAGE AND A ATIONS. HE/ 0 FINE & MEDIU SEVERE. THE DSED AND RI CE (BOTH SII STALLED. ON ETS). ONE CR 1 particular atten 1 DTINGS.	AREAS OF SC AVY VEGETAT 2,008 JM SIZE CRAC FRE IS SEEPA UST STAINS U DES), WHICH I IE IN SPAN 3 C ACK MONITO 0 tion to the cond	ALING, 10N. 1,339 CKS AT THE GE, INDER THE S DN THE R BROKE 0 crete 0	0
383 385 392	REINF Notes: CONC Notes: SCOU Notes: SLOP Notes:	THERE ARE SIGNS OF SEEPAGE, S THE NORTH, SPALLS WITH REBAR SPALLS WITH REBAR EXPOSED ON Wingwall notes: THERE ARE AREAS ORCED CONCRETE PIER CAP THERE ARE SPALLS WITH RUST ST CONCRETE EXTENSIONS. SPALLS EFFLORESCENCE, HEAVY DELAMIN EXPANSION JOINTS. ONE STEEL SI DETERIORATING AND SHOWING P/ WEST AND ONE IN SPAN 2 ON THE DUE TO PACK RUST[2015]. CRETE SHEAR CRACKING Use this element to monitor the presen pier caps. IR THERE IS MINOR SCOUR ON THE S ES & SLOPE PROTECTION DIRT SLOPE ERODED BOTH SIDES.	EXPOSED AT N.W. THEN I THE SOUTH. OF MEDIUM SIZE MAP C 07-13-2015 AINS, INCRUSTATION, F ON THE ENDS OF THE C NATIONS, LARGE SPALL JPPORT WAS INSTALLE ACK RUST. TWO CRACK EAST. (SEE FILE FOR C 07-13-2015 S.W. & N.E. HIGH WATER 07-13-2015 07-13-2015	RE ARE SIGNS OF SEE CRACKS AND DELAMIN 3,346 LF PATCHES AND MANY F APS ARE THE MOST S WITH REBARS EXPO D ON ONE KNEE BRAY MONITORS WERE INS RACK MONITOR SHEE 1 EA 1 EA FLOW INTO PIER FOO 2 EA 1 EA	EPAGE AND A IATIONS. HE/ 0 FINE & MEDIL SEVERE. THE DSED AND RI CE (BOTH SIE STALLED. ON ETS). ONE CR 1 particular atten 1 DTINGS. 0	AREAS OF SC AVY VEGETAT 2,008 JM SIZE CRAC ERE IS SEEPA UST STAINS U DES), WHICH I E IN SPAN 3 C ACK MONITO 0 tion to the cond 0 2	ALING, ION. 1,339 KS AT THE GE, INDER THE S DN THE R BROKE 0 crete 0 0	0

CURB; LARGE CRACK, UNDERMINING THROUGHOUT AT THE INTERFACE OF THE SIDEWALK. THE SIDEWALK Notes: SUBSURFACE HAS DELAMINATION AND SPALLS WITH REBARS EXPOSED AT SPANDREL COLUMN CAPS. THE APPROACH SIDEWALK ON THE N.E. HAS LARGE SPALLS WITH REBAR EXPOSED. STEEL PLATES SHOWING HEAVY RUST. THE SIDEWALK JOINTS ON THE NE & NW HAS FOAM WITH NO SEAL. PARA PLASTIC STICKING UP FROM SIDEWALK JOINTS CAUSING TRIP HAZARDS. THE N.W. SIDEWALK TOWER IS SPALLED WITH SCRAPE MARKS, OTHERS SHOWING VERTICAL CRACKS, THE ORNAMENTAL STEEL AT TOP HAS SURFACE RUST. VEGETATION IN OPEN JOINTS. 899 MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS 07-13-2015 1 EA 0 1 0 0 Notes: LIGHTING: LIGHT BASE OF MANY PAINTED OVER RUST, STAINING RAIL PARAPET, PEELING AND FLAKING. [2015] LIGHT BASES HEAVY CORROSION AND HOLES. CONDUIT AT N.E. UNDER FASCIA. 900 **PROTECTED SPECIES** 07-13-2015 1 EA 1 0 0 0 Use this element to track the presence of protected species living on this structure. Notes:

General ROADWAY UNDER, THERE ARE A FEW CRACKS IN THE ASPHALT SURFACE. CURB UNDER, STANDARD PARK BOARD Notes: CURB AND GUTTER. THE SIDEWALK RUN UNDER THE FOURTH SPAN FROM THE NORTH. FULL OF DIRT FROM THE EROSION OF THE SLOPE TO THE NORTH. WOODEN STAIRWAY ON THE N. IS WEATHERED AND CHECKED. 2013 MAINTENANCE CREWS REMOVING HAZARDOUS LOOSE CONCRETE UNDER STRUCTURE AND N.E. SHOTCRETE REPAIR.

RECOMMENDED REPAIRS:

-FIX THE SPALLS ON THE DECK -REPLACE OPEN JOINTS BOTH SIDES -REPLACE N. POURED JOINTS AT N. APPROACH. -ADD RIPRAP AT N.W AND S.W OF THE CHANNEL -MILL AND OVERLAY ALL OVER COMPRESSED JOINTS.





Figure 1: Major Crack on Arch



Figure 2: Cracks on Arch





Figure 3: Spalls on Arch



Figure 4: Spall on Pier at Downspout Location





Figure 5: Spalls and Cracks on All Elements



Figure 6: Spall on Spandrel Column and Cantilever Bracket





Figure 7: Floorbeam Spall Adjacent to Previous Repair



Figure 8: Previous Floorbeam Repair with Rust Seeping Through





Figure 9: Spall on Underside of Deck



Figure 10: Crack on Cantilever Bracket







Corridor Demographics

	Within ¼ Mile of Rapid Bus Stations	Within ½ Mile of Rapid Bus Stations
Population (2010)	46,900	91,300
Housing Units (2010)	26,100	47,900
Total Jobs (2008)	143,900	164,200
<i>Outside Downtown</i> <i>Minneapolis</i>	12,800	23,800



Nicollet and 4th- Downtown Minneapolis

Current Bus Service	
Route	18
Average Weekday Bus Speed	10.9 miles per hour
Average Weekday Riders in Corridor	13,600
On-time Performance	90.4%
Frequency (Rush Hours)	7.5 Minutes



Diamond Lake Road and Nicollet



Overview

Corridor Length: 8.8 Miles Number of Stations: 28 Stations per Mile: 3.2

Frequency and Stop Spacing Weekday Rush Hours



Route 18: 15-minute Service



Rapid Bus Travel Time







38th and Nicollet



66th and Nicollet



	Rapid Bus Alignment & Station
- 2	Other Potential Rapid Bus Corridor
	LRT
	Highway BRT
=0=	Northstar Commuter Rail
-	Connecting Bus Route



AMERICAN BLVD TO 3RD & NICOLLET



ICOLLET	
	49 Minutes
	39 Minutes
	20% Faster





Administrative Offices 2117 West River Road Minneapolis, MN 55411-2227

Operations Center 3800 Bryant Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55409-1000

> Phone 612-230-6400 Fax 612-230-6500

www.minneapolisparks.org

President Liz Wielinski

Vice President Scott Vreeland

Commissioners Brad Bourn John Erwin Meg Forney Steffanie Musich Jon C. Olson Anita Tabb M. Annie Young

Superintendent Jayne Miller

Secretary to the Board Jennifer B. Ringold



July 5, 2016

Steven Hay, P.E. City of Minneapolis, Department of Public Works 309 2nd Ave S, Rm 300 Minneapolis, MN 55401

Re: Letter of Support for City of Minneapolis's Regional Solicitation Application and Project MSAS 430 (Nicollet Avenue South) Bridge Rehabilitation Project over Minnehaha Creek Parkway

Dear Mr. Hay:

The City of Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board supports the City of Minneapolis's federal funding application through the Regional Solicitation for the proposed MSAS 430 (Nicollet Avenue South) bridge improvement project over Minnehaha Parkway.

The Nicollet Avenue South Bridge is an important resource within the Grand Rounds Parkway in South Minneapolis. The large number of pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles that use the trails and parkways along Minnehaha Creek below the bridge would benefit from a rehabilitated bridge. The repair of deteriorated concrete elements will improve the safety of the parkway and trail and will greatly improve the aesthetics of the bridge. These bridge improvements will enhance the livability and quality of life for Minneapolis residents.

Thank you for making us aware of this application effort and the opportunity to provide support. The Park & Recreation board looks forward to working with you on this project.

Sincerely,

Michael Schroeder Associate Superintendent, Planning

A0th St E to 61st St E

Project Background

In the summer of 2016, Minneapolis Public Works will be sealcoating Nicollet Avenue South from East Minnehaha Parkway to 61st Street. There is also an opportunity to continue the project north of East Minnehaha Parkway to 40th Street without significant modifications. Both segments of Nicollet Avenue South are identified in the Minneapolis Bicycle Master Plan. The sealcoat project provides an opportunity to implement the planned bikeway consistent with adopted policy.

Proposed Concept

There is currently parking on both sides of Nicollet Avenue South along the entire project corridor. In order to install dedicated bike lanes, initial review has found that impacts to existing parking would be minimal. Pending preliminary support from the applicable City Council Offices and impacted stakeholders, Public Works staff would develop the design and provide updates regarding any changes.

Contact Information

Becca Hughes, Minneapolis Public Works rebecca.hughes@minneapolismn.gov or 612-673-3594 Website: www.minneapolismn.gov/bicycles/projects





For reasonable accommodations or alternative formats please contact Becca Hughes, Minneapolis Public Works Department at 612-673-3594 or rebecca.hughes@minneapolismn.gov. People who are deaf or hard of hearing can use a relay service to call 311 at 612-673-3000. TTY users call 612-673-2157.

Minneapolis City of Lakes

Para asistencia 612-673-2700 - Rau kev pab 612-673-2800 - Hadii aad Caawimaad u baahantahay 612-673-3500.

Updated January 15, 2016