

Application

04751 - 2016 Roadway Expansion

05178 - CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) convert Icenic Trail/Heritage Dr to 3/4 intersection and construct multi-lane roundabout at CSAH 50 in Lakeville

Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status:

Submitted

Submitted Date:

07/14/2016 4:08 PM

Primary Contact

Name:*	Ms.	Holly	Jo	Anderson
	Salutation	First Name	Middle Name	Last Name
Title:	P.E.S.			
Department:	Dakota County Transportation Dept			
Email:	Holly.Anderson@co.dakota.mn.us			
Address:	14955 Galaxie Avenue			
*	Apple Valley	Minnesota	a 55	5124
	City	State/Province	Po	stal Code/Zip
Phone:*	952-891-7090			
	Phone		Ext.	
Fax:				
What Grant Programs are you most interested in?	Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements		lultimodal	

Organization Information

Name:	DAKOTA COUNTY		
Jurisdictional Agency (if different):			
Organization Type:	County Government		
Organization Website:			
Address:	TRANSPORTATION DEPT		
	14955 GALAXIE AVE	E	
*	APPLE VALLEY	Minnesota	55124
	City	State/Province	Postal Code/Zip
County:	Dakota		
Phone:*	952-891-7100		
		Ext.	
Fax:			
PeopleSoft Vendor Number	0000002621A15		

Project Information

Project Name	CSAH 9 at CSAH 50 Multilane Roundabout, CSAH 9 at Icenic Tr/Heritage Dr (convert to 3/4 intersection) in Lakeville
Primary County where the Project is Located	Dakota
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):	

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

The proposed project includes upgrading two intersections along CSAH 9 (A-Minor Arterial Expander) roadway in the City of Lakeville. The first proposed intersection project, CSAH 9 at CSAH 50 (A-Minor Arterial Expander) includes upgrading the existing signalized intersection to a urban multilane roundabout with four (4) approaches with eight (8) approach lanes, two circulatory lanes and pedestrian/bike accommodations. The current intersection is deficient and does not meet current standards for this area that provides interstate access to Downtown Lakeville, Airlake Industrial Park, a developing retail area and medium to long suburb-to-suburb trips. The project also includes the intersection of CSAH 9 at Icenic Trail/Heritage Drive to convert the full access intersection to a $\frac{3}{4}$ intersection to address crashes and reduce points of conflict to better conform to access spacing guidelines in the area.

The multilane roundabout project addresses preservation and management needs, mitigates congestion, improves safety and optimizes the roadway arterial performance of both CSAH 9 and CSAH 50.

The project objectives are to improve safety and mobility, and facilitate transit, bicycle and pedestrian movements through the area.

The project includes the following elements:

10-Ton pavement design, intersection improvements, removal of aged Traffic Signal, replacement of concrete median, ADA compliant pedestrian facilities & lighting. The existing pavement is generally in poor condition, with severe cracking, patching and potholes. Reconstruction of the intersections will provide smooth surface & improve drainage. Drainage structures & utility manholes require adjustment to address settlement and deterioration that has occurred over the years. Existing curb & gutter is in

need of replacement due to settlement and impacts from snow maintenance.

The project will remove identified sidewalk/trail obstructions that are currently located within the pedestrian access route. The CSAH 9 (Dodd) and CSAH 50 (Kenwood) corridors are both identified on the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (RBTN) Corridors as Tier 2 Regional Bicycle Transportation Corridors. The project area trails connect users to recreational opportunities, educational, commercial, business and industrial areas.

Dakota County commits to operate/maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.

<u>TIP Description Guidance</u> (will be used in TIP if the project is selected for funding) Project Length (Miles) CSAH 9 at CSAH 50 Multilane Roundabout, CSAH 9 at Icenic Tr/Heritage Dr (convert to 3/4 intersection) in Lakeville

0.19

Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?	No	
If yes, please identify the source(s)		
Federal Amount	\$2,495,000.00	
Match Amount	\$630,000.00	
Minimum of 20% of project total		
Project Total	\$3,125,000.00	
Match Percentage	20.16%	
Minimum of 20% Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total		
Source of Match Funds	Dakota County & City of Lakeville	
A minimum of 20% of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the 20% minimum can come from other federal sources		
Preferred Program Year		

Select one:

2020

For TDM projects, select 2018 or 2019. For Roadway, Transit, or Trail/Pedestrian projects, select 2020 or 2021.

Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

Project Information: Roadway Projects	
County, City, or Lead Agency	Dakota County - 19
Functional Class of Road	A-Minor Arterial Expander
Road System	CSAH
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET	
Road/Route No.	9
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53	
Name of Road	Dodd Boulevard
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE	
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed	55044
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date	02/01/2020
(Approximate) End Construction Date	11/24/2020
TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any	work)
From: (Intersection or Address)	At CSAH 50 (Kenwood tr) Multi-lane Roundabout
To: (Intersection or Address)	At Icenic Tr/Heritage Dr (convert to 3/4 intersection)
DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
Or At	
Primary Types of Work	Grade, Agg Base, Bit Base, Bit Surf, Stormsewer/Watermain, Sidewalk, Lighting, Bike Path, Ped Ramps,
Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF, SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER, SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS, BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.	
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)	
Old Bridge/Culvert No.:	n/a
New Bridge/Culvert No.:	
Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name):	

Specific Roadway Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	ELEMENTS/COST
ESTIMATES	

Mobilization (approx. 5% of total cost)

\$112,000.00

Cost

Removals (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$92,500.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.)	\$403,000.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving)	\$703,750.00
Subgrade Correction (muck)	\$0.00
Storm Sewer	\$925,000.00
Ponds	\$0.00
Concrete Items (curb & gutter, sidewalks, median barriers)	\$262,500.00
Traffic Control	\$136,750.00
Striping	\$44,000.00
Signing	\$91,750.00
Lighting	\$0.00
Turf - Erosion & Landscaping	\$58,250.00
Bridge	\$0.00
Retaining Walls	\$248,000.00
Noise Wall (do not include in cost effectiveness measure)	\$0.00
Traffic Signals	\$0.00
Wetland Mitigation	\$0.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection	\$0.00
RR Crossing	\$0.00
Roadway Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Roadway Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$3,077,500.00

Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Path/Trail Construction	\$26,750.00
Sidewalk Construction	\$0.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA)	\$20,750.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK)	\$0.00
Pedestrian-scale Lighting	\$0.00
Streetscaping	\$0.00
Wayfinding	\$0.00

Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$47,500.00

Specific Transit and TDM Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements	\$0.00
Stations, Stops, and Terminals	\$0.00
Support Facilities	\$0.00
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)	\$0.00
Vehicles	\$0.00
Contingencies	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Other Transit and TDM Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$0.00

Transit Operating Costs

Number of Platform hours	0
Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost)	\$0.00
Substotal	\$0.00
Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc.	\$0.00

Totals	
Total Cost	\$3,125,000.00
Construction Cost Total	\$3,125,000.00
Transit Operating Cost Total	\$0.00

Requirements - All Projects

All Projects

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan, the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2015), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan objectives and strategies that relate to the project.

Goal: B Safety and Security (p. 2.20) The regional transportation system is safe and secure for all users.

Objectives: A. Reduce crashes and improve safety and security for all modes of passenger travel and freight transport.

Strategies:

B1. Regional transportation partners will incorporate safety and security considerations for all modes and users throughout the processes of planning, funding, construction, operations. (p. 2.20)

B6. Regional transportation partners will use best practices to provide and improve facilities for safe walking and bicycling, since pedestrians and bicyclists are the most vulnerable users of the transportation system. (p. 2.23)

Goal: C. Access to Destinations

People and businesses prosper by using a reliable, affordable, and efficient multimodal transportation system that connects them to destinations throughout the region and beyond.

Objectives:

A. Increase the availability of multimodal travel options, especially in congested highway corridors.

B. Increase travel time reliability and predictability for travel on highway and transit systems.

Strategies:

C2. Local units of government should provide a system of interconnected arterial roads, streets, bicycle facilities, and pedestrian facilities to meet local travel needs using Complete Streets

List the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:

principles. (p. 2.25)

Goal: E. Healthy Environment

The regional transportation system advances equity and contributes to communities' livability and sustainability while protecting the natural, cultural, ad developed environments. (p. 2.42)

Objectives:

Reduce transportation related air emissions.

Reduce impacts of transportation construction, operations, and use on the natural, cultural, and developed environments.

Increase the availability and attractiveness of transit, bicycling, and walking to encourage healthy communities and active car-free lifestyles.

Strategies:E3. Regional transportation partners will plan and implement a transportation system that considers the needs of all potential users, including children, senior citizens, and persons with disabilities, and that promotes active lifestyles and cohesive communities. A special emphasis should be placed on promoting the environmental and health benefits of alternatives to single-occupancy vehicle travel. (p. 2.44)

E4. Regional transportation partners will protect, enhance and mitigate impacts on natural resources when planning, constructing, and operation transportation systems. (p.2.44-2.45)

3. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

Dakota County 2030 Transportation Plan:

2030 Capacity Deficiencies Figure 5, p. 2-16

Policy M.10 Intersection Traffic Control Changes, install or remove intersection controls (such as traffic signals, roundabouts, stop signs and channelization) based on a County engineering study that indicates the best measure for the safety and operation of an intersection. Dakota County Road Age, Figure 39

Goal 4 Management to Increase Transportation System Efficiency, Improve Safety and Maximize Existing Highway Capacity

Polity M.2 Access Guidelines -Local Streets and Driveways

Pursue spacing and configuration of intersection local streets and driveways in accordance with access management principles and with the County's adopted access guidelines through the plat approval process, in conjunction with construction projects, corridor studies, or as required by safety and operation of the highway. p. 7-12

Strategy Intersection Traffic Control Study, The County will study or monitor intersection s on a case-by-case basis to determine the most appropriate traffic control to install. p. 7-26

Goal 5 Replace Deficient Elements of the System; Highway Replacement and Reconstruction

The County will reconstruct highways when they have exceeded their functional lives. The highway useful life is based on the adequacy of structural, operational or functional highway elements. Safety and operational improvements are also

List the applicable documents and pages:

incorporated into reconstruction projects when appropriate. p. 8-2

Policy R.1 Highway Replacement

Reconstruct highways or highway elements that have exceeded their useful life based on structural, functional, operational or safety factors. p. 8-2

4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of bicycle/pedestrian projects, transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

5.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

7. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below.

Roadway Expansion: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Roadway System Management \$250,000 to \$7,000,000

Bridges Rehabilitation/ Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

9. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

10. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

11. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

12. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

13. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A-Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Roadway Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization projects only:

2. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:

3.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

4. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that <u>are exclusively</u> for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

5. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

6. The bridge must have a sufficiency rating less than 80 for rehabilitation projects and less than 50 for replacement projects. Additionally, the bridge must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Expander/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:	Expander
Area	0.658
Project Length	0.187
Average Distance	3.5187
Upload Map	1467992290720_CSAH9-RADmap.pdf

Reliever: Relieves a Principle Arterial that is a Freeway Facility

Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report) 0

Reliever: Relives a Principle Arterial that is a Non-Freeway Facility

Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below) 0

Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

Hour	NB/EB Volume	SB/WB Volume	Capacity	Volume exceeds capacity
12:00am - 1:00am			0	
1:00am - 2:00am			0	
2:00am - 3:00am			0	
3:00am - 4:00am			0	
4:00am - 5:00am			0	
5:00am - 6:00am			0	
6:00am - 7:00am			0	
7:00am - 8:00am			0	
8:00am - 9:00am			0	
9:00am - 10:00am			0	
10:00am - 11:00am			0	
11:00am - 12:00pm			0	
12:00pm - 1:00pm			0	
1:00pm - 2:00pm			0	
2:00pm - 3:00pm			0	
3:00pm - 4:00pm			0	
4:00pm - 5:00pm			0	
5:00pm - 6:00pm			0	
6:00pm - 7:00pm			0	
7:00pm - 8:00pm			0	
8:00pm - 9:00pm			0	
9:00pm - 10:00pm			0	
10:00pm - 11:00pm			0	
11:00pm - 12:00am			0	

Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Existing Employment within 1 Mile:	6202
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1 Mile:	1059
Existing Students:	0
Upload Map	1467992340755_CSAH9-REmap.pdf

Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location:	CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) south of CSAH 50 (Kenwood Trail) in Lakeville
Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume:	322
Date heavy commercial count taken:	12/10/2015

Measure D: Freight Elements

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Freight will be safely integrated within the corridor. Utilizing the following freight elements will improve efficiency, security & safety - the CSAH 9 (Dodd) & CSAH 50 roadway will be designed/constructed to 10-ton standards. Signalized intersection at CSAH 9/CSAH 50 is reconstructed to a multi-lane roundabout. CSAH 9/Icenic/Heritage intersection is converted from full to 3/4 intersection reducing points of conflict. All intersections will accommodate turning radius of larger trucks. City of Lakeville Fire Station No. 1 is located 1/2 mile east of CSAH 9/CSAH 50 intersection. This is the southernmost fire station and protects the southerly Lakeville region. Airlake Industrial Park is 1.25 miles south of the project. Airlake Industrial Park is the second largest industrial park by acreage in the Twin Cities metropolitan area & one of the major generator s of truck trips in the Region. Airlake Industrial Park includes Airlake Airport, performing reliever functions for the Metropolitan Airports commission. Trucks are the predominate mode for most regional and short-haul freight trips. The reconstruction of the CSAH 9 intersections will provide safe, timely, convenient, and efficient connections between communities, activity generators and employment concentrations. Dakota County 2030 Transportation Plan identifies proposed 10-ton highway system.

Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

Location	CSAH 9 south of CSAH 50		
Current AADT Volume	10000		
Existing Transit Routes on the Project	N/A		
For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will be moved to the new roadway			
Upload Transit Map	1467991942663_CSAH9-TCmap.pdf		

Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership

Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume	No
If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume	
OR	
Identify the approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume	Methodology: 2015 count and 2030 Model, does a straight line projection out to 2040. Dak Co Traffic Engineer compared results to reasonable capacity of each roadway. (Refer to 07.01.2016 e-mails between Dak Co (Brian Sorenson) & Met Council (Elaine Koutsoukos)
Forecast (2040) ADT volume	25000

Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty with 50% or more of residents are people of color (ACP50):

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty:

Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color:

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly:

Yes

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

The project will improve mobility and safety along the roadway, removal of the signalized intersection at CSAH 9 & CSAH 50 and constructing a multilane roundabout will improve throughput, decrease delay, reduce vehicle emissions/air pollution and decrease the severity of crashes. Converting the full intersection at CSAH 9 & Icenic/Heritage to a ³/₄ intersection will reduce points of conflict to enhance safety. This project will effectively enhance linkages between existing and future jobs, education & housing. The project is located approx. 1 mile from Lakeville North High School, Lake Marion Elementary School, McGuire Junior High School and Kennedy Elementary School. Airlake Industrial Park is 1.25 miles to the south of the project. The shared multi-use trail along both sides of the project will fill gaps in the existing sidewalk/trail system. The project will add multi-use trails and pedestrian ramps which will provide a benefit to those who rely on walking as a mode of transportation, ADA compliant pedestrian ramps will be installed to provide smooth transitions form the sidewalk to the roadway at intersections. The Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (RBTN) Corridors map shows the CSAH 9/CSAH 50 project area as a Tier 2 Regional Bicycle Transportation Corridor.

The comprehensive plan designates the area near the roadway as mixed use. The vision for this area is to establish a neighborhood area that integrates higher density residential uses with neighborhood commercial services. The opportunity exists to integrate variety of land uses making neighborhood commercial areas truly accessible to the surrounding residential neighborhood both due to the close proximity of the uses and a pedestrian sidewalk/trial system that provides direct linkages to residential, recreational, and commercial and employment. This project is in a desirable area of Lakeville with close (non-motorized) access to education, employment and affordable housing.

Transit Link & Metro Mobility dial-a-ride service provides mobility to the elderly, disabled that reside in the area. Winsor Plaza Senior Housing (Dakota County CDA) is located within ³/₄ mile, accepts Section 8 housing, and has senior nutrition programs thru the Dakota County CAP Agency. Fairfield Terrace Senior Housing is located within 1 mile, offers beautifully maintained apartments in a relaxed retirement atmosphere for seniors 62 and older. Rents are affordable to income qualified seniors. Advantage Services provides support services designed to help residents live independently in a caring community. Rental Programs such as Section 8 & Section 202 housing are accepted.

The response should address the benefits, impacts, and mitigation for the populations affected by the project.

U.	nl	oad	Mai	n
	μ	Jau	IVICI	

1467992554977_CSAH9-SEmap.pdf

Measure B: Affordable Housing						
City/Township	Segment Length in Miles (Population)					
City of Lakeville	0.187					
	0					
Total Project Length						
Total Project Length (Total Population)	0.19					

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

City/Township	Segment Length (Miles)	Total Length (Miles)	Score	Segment Length/Total Length	Housing Score Multiplied by Segment percent	
		0		0 0	0	I

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Total Project Length (Miles)

0.187

Measure A: Infrastructure Age

Year of Original Roadway Construction or Most Recent Reconstruction	Year of Original adway Construction or Most Recent Reconstruction		Calculation 2	
1998.0	0.077	153.846	822.706	
2000.0	0.11	220.0	1176.471	
	0	374	1999	
Average Construc Weighted Year	tion Year	1999.177		
Total Segment Ler	ngth (Miles)			
Total Segment Length		0.187		

Measure A: Vehicle Delay Reduction

Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle Without The Project	Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle With The Project	Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle Reduced by Project	Volume (Vehicles Per Hour)	Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced by the Project (Seconds)	EXPLANATIO N of methodology used to calculate railroad crossing delay, if applicable:	Synchro or HCM Reports
8.0	2.0	6.0	1186.0	7116.0	n/a	14684282559 97_HCM 2010-Synchro PM - Peak Hour Report.pdf
27.0	11.9	15.1	2208.0	33340.8	n/a	14684282897 81_HCM 2010-Synchro PM - Peak Hour Report.pdf

Total Delay

Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced

40456.8

Measure B:Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced Per Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms):	Volume (Vehicles Per Hour):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	
0.001	0.001	0	1186.0	0	
0.002	0.002	0	2208.0	0	
0	0		3394	0	
Total					
Total Emissions Reduc	ced:		0		

Upload Synchro Report

1468428581519_HCM 2010-Synchro PM - Peak Hour Report.pdf

Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not include railroad grade-separation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only):

(Kilograms): 0	(Kilograms): 0	Project (Kilograms):	0	(Kilograms): 0	
Per Vehicle without the Project	Per Vehicle with the Project	Vehicle by the	Per Hour):	Reduced by the Project	
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions	Volume (Vehicles	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions	

Total Parallel Roadways

Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways

Upload Synchro Report

New Roadway Portion:

Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project:	0
Total delay in hours with the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project:	0
Fuel consumption in gallons:	0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):	0
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)	
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	0.0

Measure B:Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements

Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled without the project:	0
Total delay in hours without the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project:	0
Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project:	0
Total delay in hours with the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project:	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F1)	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F2)	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F3)	0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	0
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)	

Measure A: Benefit of Crash Reduction

4195 **Crash Modification Factor Used:** CSAH 9 at Icenic/Heritage: CMF 5465 (See attachments) (Limit 700 Characters; approximately 100 words) Methodology: Benefit Worksheets for each intersection were developed separately. Crash Modification Factors from CMF Clearinghouse were used with the exception of one. A CMF of 0.17 was assumed to apply to right angle crashes because none was available. Crossing conflict points are reduced from 24 to 4, or 83%, so an 83% reduction in crashes seems reasonable. **Rationale for Crash Modification Selected:** The two separate Benefit Worksheets were then combined into one. The crashes and percent change in crashes are reported in a "CSAH 9 & CSAH 50 / CSAH 9 & Heritage/Icenic" format. The values from each sheet were combined at the Change in Crashes section to avoid the need to combine CMFs and crashes from different intersections and intersection treatments. The combined benefit from the sum of the change in crashes is the total project benefit. (See attachments for additional information) (Limit 1400 Characters; approximately 200 words) Project Benefit (\$) from B/C Ratio: 4584176.0 1468427059021_benefit-cost-worksheet-CSAH 50-Worksheet Attachment aug2015.xls

CSAH 9 & CSAH 50 Roundabout: CMF 4194 &

Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:

Current AADT volume:	0
Average daily trains:	0

Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

This project replaces the signalized intersection of CSAH 9 (Dodd) & CSAH 50 (Kenwood) with a multi-lane roundabout and converts the intersection to the north (CSAH 9 & Icenic/Heritage) from a full intersection to a ³/₄ intersection. The roadway pavement is in need of repair with uneven surface, cracking & potholes. There are gaps in the existing sidewalk/trail system in this area. This project fills in trail system gaps along both sides of CSAH 9 (east side of CSAH 9 south of CSAH 50) and CSAH 50 (north side of CSAH 50 west of CSAH 9). Trails along the CSAH 9 & CSAH 50 roadways are identified on the proposed Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (RBTN) Corridors as Tier 2 Transportation Corridors. Off road trails will provide separation & reduce conflict between nonmotorized & motorized traffic. The trails/sidewalk will have lighting to increase visibility and safety from sundown to sunup. The multi-use trails will connect into the existing trail system & connect to local city trails/sidewalks at cross streets. This will provide a non-automobile option and connectivity to Lakeville's central downtown, Heritage Commons commercial area. Airlake Industrial Park and Airlake Airport.

Dakota County is developing a comprehensive transit system, bicycle and pedestrian network and other non-automobile modes for people to maximize the efficiency of the transportation system by providing safe, timely & efficient connections between communities, activity generators & employment centers. Increasingly, pedestrian & bicycle facilities in the developing cities of Dakota County are serving the dual role of providing recreational value as well as viable options for commuters (for work or shopping). The expansion of commuter pedestrian & bicyclist use is expected into the future with the expansion of transit facilities and expected continued increases in automobile cost. To better develop opportunities for Dakota County residents to walk & bike for

transportation and for recreation, the county is working closely with local communities to improve walkability.

Lakeville will promote the provision of transit services and facilities, to meet the transportation needs of persons who cannot or choose not to use automobile transportation. Transit Link (formerly Dial-A-Ride) is a curb-to-curb minibus or van service for the general public. Transit Link customers can transfer to a Metro Transit bus without paying a separate fare. Transit Link & Metro Mobility dial-a-ride service provides mobility to the elderly, disabled that reside in the area.

Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)	
Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred	
100%	
Stakeholders have been identified	Yes
40%	
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted	
0%	
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)	
Layout or Preliminary Plan completed	
100%	
Layout or Preliminary Plan started	Yes
50%	
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	08/01/2019

3)Environmental Documentation (5 Percent of Points)

EIS		
EA		
РМ	Yes	
Document Status:		
Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)	100%	
Document submitted to State Aid for review	75%	date submitted
Document in progress; environmental impacts identified; review request letters sent		
50%		
Document not started	Yes	
0%		
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval	07/01/2019	
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (10 Percent of	Points)	
No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge	Yes	
100%		
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated		
80%		
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated		
40%		
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological resources in the project area		
0%		
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:	06/01/2019	
Project is located on an identified historic bridge		
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (10 Percent of Points)		
4(f) Does the project impacts any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild & scenic rivers or public private historic proper 6(f) Does the project impact any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild & scenic rivers or historic property that was purchased or improved with federal funds?	rties?	
No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area	Yes	

100%

No impact to 4f property. The project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received 100% Section 4f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects 80% Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely coordination/documentation has begun 50% Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely coordination/documentation has not begun 30% Unsure if there are any impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area 0% 6) Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points) Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements not required 100% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements has/have been acquired 100% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, offers made 75% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, appraisals made 50% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, Yes parcels identified 25% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels not identified 0% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements identification has not been completed 0% Anticipated date or date of acquisition 12/01/2019 7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points) No railroad involvement on project 100% Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature

100%

page)

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has bee initiated	n
60%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun	Yes
40%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement	10/01/2019
8)Interchange Approval (15 Percent of Points)*	
*Please contact Karen Scheffing at MnDOT (Karen.Scheffing@state to determine if your project needs to go through the Metropolitan Co Interchange Request Committee.	e.mn.us or 651-234-7784) buncil/MnDOT Highway
Project does not involve construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps	Yes
100%	
Interchange project has been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee	
100%	
Interchange project has not been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee	
0%	
9)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)	
Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)	
100%	
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review	
75%	
Construction plans in progress; at least 30% completion	Yes
50%	
Construction plans have not been started	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	09/01/2019
10)Letting	
Anticipated Letting Date	02/01/2020

Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form):	\$3,125,000.00
Enter Amount of the Noise Walls:	\$0.00

Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls:

\$3,125,000.00

Points Awarded in Previous Criteria

Cost Effectiveness

\$0.00

Other Attachments

File Name	Description	File Size
2040Forecast.pdf	2040 Dakota County Traffic Methodology - 07.01.2016 E-Mail	647 KB
4194.pdf	Crash Modification Factor 4194	129 KB
4195.pdf	Crash Modification Factor 4195	129 KB
5465.pdf	Crash Modification Factor 5465	133 KB
benefit-cost-worksheet-CSAH 9 & CSAH 50-aug2015.xls	B/C worksheet CSAH 9 & CSAH 50	83 KB
benefit-cost-worksheet-CSAH 9 & Heritage-aug2015.xls	B/C worksheet CSAH 9 & Icenic/Heritage	83 KB
CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) @ Heritage Dr (2013 -2015).xls	MnDOT Crash CSAH 9 at Icenic/Heritage	142 KB
CSAH 9 from CSAH 50 to Ideal Way (2013 - 2015).xls	MnDOT Crash CSAH 9 Corridor	150 KB
CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) @ CSAH 50 (202nd St) 2013 -2015.xls	MnDOT Crash CSAH 9 at CSAH 50	140 KB
CSAH50Cover1998.pdf	CSAH 50 at CSAH 9 Plan Cover Sheet 1998 Assumes appox 400' of (987' total proj length = 0.187 mile) constructed with this project.	219 KB
CSAH9atCSAH50-Trucks.pdf	CSAH 9 Heavy Commercial Count	40 KB
CSAH9Cover2000.pdf	CSAH 9 north of CSAH 50 intersection Plan Cover 2000 Assumes 587' constructed (of 987' total project length =0.187 mile)	1.4 MB
Dakota County Resolution June 21 2016.pdf	Dakota County Resolution	178 KB
DODD HERITAGE.pdf	Layout CSAH 9 at CSAH 50 Roundabout; at Icenic/Heritage 3/4 intersection conversion in Lakeville	609 KB
LvilleSupport.pdf	City of Lakeville - letter of support	54 KB









1: CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic Trail/Heritage Dr

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1243	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	8	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.83	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.16	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.19	

2: Dodd Blvd/CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CH 50

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	2107	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	27	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.57	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.50	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.60	

1: CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic Trail/Heritage Dr

Direction	All
Future Volume (vph)	1186
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	2
CO Emissions (kg)	0.67
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.13
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.15

2: CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CSAH 50 (Kenwood Trl)

Direction	All
Future Volume (vph)	2208
Total Delay / Veh (e/v)	<u> </u>
CO Emissions (kg)	2.40
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.47
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.56

5. Congestion Reduction / Air Quality RESPONSE B (Calculation):
CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.00099kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0008 kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced/Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.00019 kg</u> •Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): <u>1186 vph</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.23 kg</u>
CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CSAH 50 •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0017 kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0016 kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced/Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0001 kg</u> •Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): <u>2208 veh</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.24 kg</u>
0.23 kg + 0.24 kg = 0.47 kg

Intersection								
Intersection Delay, s/veh	11.9							
Intersection LOS	В							
Approach		EB		WB		NB	SB	
Entry Lanes		2		2		2	2	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		2		2		2	2	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		621		775		497	508	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		633		790		507	518	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		624		615		695	748	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		642		587		562	657	
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186		3.186		3.186	3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0		0		0	0	
Ped Cap Adj		1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		11.3		13.8		10.4	11.2	
Approach LOS		В		В		В	В	
Lane	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left Right	
Designated Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT TR	
Assumed Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT TR	
RT Channelized								
Lane Util	0.471	0.529	0.470	0.530	0.469	0.531	0.469 0.531	
Critical Headway, s	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293 4.113	
Entry Flow, veh/h	298	335	371	419	238	269	243 275	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	708	730	712	735	671	695	645 669	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.979	0.982	0.982	0.980	0.981	0.979	0.983 0.979	
Flow Entry, veh/h	292	329	364	411	233	263	239 269	
Cap Entry, veh/h	693	717	699	720	658	680	634 655	
V/C Ratio	0.421	0.459	0.521	0.570	0.355	0.387	0.377 0.411	
Control Delay, s/veh	11.0	11.5	13.2	14.3	10.2	10.5	11.0 11.3	
LOS	В	В	В	В	В	В	B B	
95th %tile Queue, veh	2	2	3	4	2	2	2 2	

5. Congestion Reduction / Air Quality RESPONSE A (Calculation):

CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle without the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 8 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle with the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 2 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle Reduced by the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 6 sec/veh

•Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): 1186 vph

•Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced by the Project (Seconds): 7116 sec

CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CSAH 50

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle without the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 27 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle with the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 11.9 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle Reduced by the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 15.1 sec/veh

•Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): 2208 vph

•Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced by the Project (Seconds): 33341 sec

<u>7116 sec + 33341 sec = 40457 sec</u>

1: CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic Trail/Heritage Dr

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1243	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	8	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.83	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.16	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.19	

2: Dodd Blvd/CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CH 50

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	2107	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	27	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.57	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.50	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.60	

1: CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic Trail/Heritage Dr

Direction	All
Future Volume (vph)	1186
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	2
CO Emissions (kg)	0.67
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.13
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.15

2: CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CSAH 50 (Kenwood Trl)

Direction	All
Future Volume (vph)	2208
Total Delay / Veh (e/v)	<u> </u>
CO Emissions (kg)	2.40
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.47
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.56

5. Congestion Reduction / Air Quality RESPONSE B (Calculation):
CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.00099kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0008 kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced/Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.00019 kg</u> •Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): <u>1186 vph</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.23 kg</u>
CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CSAH 50 •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0017 kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0016 kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced/Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0001 kg</u> •Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): <u>2208 veh</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.24 kg</u>
0.23 kg + 0.24 kg = 0.47 kg

Intersection								
Intersection Delay, s/veh	11.9							
Intersection LOS	В							
Approach		EB		WB		NB	SB	
Entry Lanes		2		2		2	2	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		2		2		2	2	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		621		775		497	508	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		633		790		507	518	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		624		615		695	748	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		642		587		562	657	
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186		3.186		3.186	3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0		0		0	0	
Ped Cap Adj		1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		11.3		13.8		10.4	11.2	
Approach LOS		В		В		В	В	
Lane	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left Right	
Designated Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT TR	
Assumed Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT TR	
RT Channelized								
Lane Util	0.471	0.529	0.470	0.530	0.469	0.531	0.469 0.531	
Critical Headway, s	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293 4.113	
Entry Flow, veh/h	298	335	371	419	238	269	243 275	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	708	730	712	735	671	695	645 669	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.979	0.982	0.982	0.980	0.981	0.979	0.983 0.979	
Flow Entry, veh/h	292	329	364	411	233	263	239 269	
Cap Entry, veh/h	693	717	699	720	658	680	634 655	
V/C Ratio	0.421	0.459	0.521	0.570	0.355	0.387	0.377 0.411	
Control Delay, s/veh	11.0	11.5	13.2	14.3	10.2	10.5	11.0 11.3	
LOS	В	В	В	В	В	В	B B	
95th %tile Queue, veh	2	2	3	4	2	2	2 2	

5. Congestion Reduction / Air Quality RESPONSE A (Calculation):

CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle without the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 8 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle with the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 2 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle Reduced by the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 6 sec/veh

•Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): 1186 vph

•Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced by the Project (Seconds): 7116 sec

CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CSAH 50

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle without the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 27 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle with the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 11.9 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle Reduced by the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 15.1 sec/veh

•Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): 2208 vph

•Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced by the Project (Seconds): 33341 sec

<u>7116 sec + 33341 sec = 40457 sec</u>

1: CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic Trail/Heritage Dr

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1243	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	8	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.83	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.16	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.19	

2: Dodd Blvd/CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CH 50

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	2107	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	27	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.57	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.50	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.60	

1: CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic Trail/Heritage Dr

Direction	All
Future Volume (vph)	1186
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	2
CO Emissions (kg)	0.67
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.13
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.15

2: CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CSAH 50 (Kenwood Trl)

Direction	All
Future Volume (vph)	2208
Total Delay / Veh (e/v)	<u> </u>
CO Emissions (kg)	2.40
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.47
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.56

5. Congestion Reduction / Air Quality RESPONSE B (Calculation):
CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.00099kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0008 kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced/Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.00019 kg</u> •Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): <u>1186 vph</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.23 kg</u>
CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CSAH 50 •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0017 kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions/Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0016 kg</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced/Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.0001 kg</u> •Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): <u>2208 veh</u> •Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): <u>0.24 kg</u>
0.23 kg + 0.24 kg = 0.47 kg

Intersection								
Intersection Delay, s/veh	11.9							
Intersection LOS	В							
Approach		EB		WB		NB	SB	
Entry Lanes		2		2		2	2	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		2		2		2	2	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		621		775		497	508	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		633		790		507	518	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		624		615		695	748	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		642		587		562	657	
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186		3.186		3.186	3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0		0		0	0	
Ped Cap Adj		1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		11.3		13.8		10.4	11.2	
Approach LOS		В		В		В	В	
Lane	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left Right	
Designated Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT TR	
Assumed Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT TR	
RT Channelized								
Lane Util	0.471	0.529	0.470	0.530	0.469	0.531	0.469 0.531	
Critical Headway, s	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293 4.113	
Entry Flow, veh/h	298	335	371	419	238	269	243 275	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	708	730	712	735	671	695	645 669	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.979	0.982	0.982	0.980	0.981	0.979	0.983 0.979	
Flow Entry, veh/h	292	329	364	411	233	263	239 269	
Cap Entry, veh/h	693	717	699	720	658	680	634 655	
V/C Ratio	0.421	0.459	0.521	0.570	0.355	0.387	0.377 0.411	
Control Delay, s/veh	11.0	11.5	13.2	14.3	10.2	10.5	11.0 11.3	
LOS	В	В	В	В	В	В	B B	
95th %tile Queue, veh	2	2	3	4	2	2	2 2	

5. Congestion Reduction / Air Quality RESPONSE A (Calculation):

CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & Icenic

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle without the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 8 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle with the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 2 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle Reduced by the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 6 sec/veh

•Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): 1186 vph

•Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced by the Project (Seconds): 7116 sec

CSAH 9 (Dodd Blvd) & CSAH 50

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle without the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 27 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle with the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 11.9 sec/veh

•Total Peak Hour Delay/Vehicle Reduced by the Project (Seconds/Vehicle): 15.1 sec/veh

•Volume (Vehicles Per Hour): 2208 vph

•Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced by the Project (Seconds): 33341 sec

<u>7116 sec + 33341 sec = 40457 sec</u>



For the best experience, open this PDF portfolio in Acrobat X or Adobe Reader X, or later.

Get Adobe Reader Now!



CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 4194

Conversion of signalized intersection into single- or multi-lane roundabout

Description:

Prior Condition: Signalized intersection

Category: Intersection geometry

Study: <u>Safety Effectiveness of Converting Signalized Intersections to Roundabouts</u>, <u>Gross et al., 2012</u>

Star Quality Rating:	★★★★★ [View score details]

Crash Modification Factor (CMF)		
Value:	0.81	
Adjusted Standard Error:		
Unadjusted Standard Error:	0.06	

Crash Reduction Factor (CRF)

Value:	19 (This value indicates a decrease in crashes)
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	6

Applicability		
Crash Type:	All	
Crash Severity:	All	
Roadway Types:	Not Specified	
Number of Lanes:	2	
Road Division Type:		
Speed Limit:	15-35 mph	
Area Type:	Urban and suburban	
Traffic Volume:		
Time of Day:	All	
If coun	termeasure is intersection-based	
Intersection Type:	Roadway/roadway (not interchange related)	
Intersection Geometry:	3-leg,4-leg	
Traffic Control:	Roundabout	

Major Road Traffic Volume: 5300 to 52500 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)

Development Details		
Date Range of Data Used:	2000 to 2009	
Municipality:		
State:	CO, FL, IN, MD, MI, NY, NC, SC, VT, WA	
Country:		
Type of Methodology Used:	Before/after using empirical Bayes or full Bayes	
Sample Size Used:	Sites	
Before Sample Size Used:	16 Sites	
After Sample Size Used:	16 Sites	

Other Details		
Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No	
Date Added to Clearinghouse:		
Comments:	Conversion to 2-lane roundabout	

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.



CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 4195

Conversion of signalized intersection into single- or multi-lane roundabout

Description:

Prior Condition: Signalized intersection

Category: Intersection geometry

Study: <u>Safety Effectiveness of Converting Signalized Intersections to Roundabouts</u>, <u>Gross et al., 2012</u>

Star Quality Rating:	★★★★★★ [View score details]

Crash Modification Factor (CMF)		
Value:	0.29	
Adjusted Standard Error:		
Unadjusted Standard Error:	0.07	

Crash Reduction Factor (CRF)

Value:	71 (This value indicates a decrease in crashes)
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	7

Applicability	
Crash Type:	All
Crash Severity:	Serious injury, Minor injury
Roadway Types:	Not Specified
Number of Lanes:	2
Road Division Type:	
Speed Limit:	15-35 mph
Area Type:	Urban and suburban
Traffic Volume:	
Time of Day:	All
If countermeasure is intersection-based	
Intersection Type:	Roadway/roadway (not interchange related)
Intersection Geometry:	3-leg,4-leg
Traffic Control:	Roundabout

Development Details	
Date Range of Data Used:	2000 to 2009
Municipality:	
State:	CO, FL, IN, MD, MI, NY, NC, SC, VT, WA
Country:	
Type of Methodology Used:	Before/after using empirical Bayes or full Bayes
Sample Size Used:	Sites
Before Sample Size Used:	16 Sites
After Sample Size Used:	16 Sites

Other Details	
Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	
Comments:	Conversion to 2 lane roundabout

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.



CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 5465

Convert an open median to a left-in only median

Description: Convert a full median opening to a left-in only median

Prior Condition: Roadways with full median openings

Category: Access management

Study: <u>Safety Effects of Median Treatments Using Longitudinal Channelizers:</u> <u>Empirical Bayesian Before-and-After Study</u>, Zhou et al., 2013

Star Quality Rating:	☆☆☆☆☆ [<u>View score details</u>]

Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	
Value:	0.55
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	0.1183

Crash Reduction Factor (CRF)

Value:	45 (This value indicates a decrease in crashes)
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	11.83

Applicability	
Crash Type:	Left turn
Crash Severity:	All
Roadway Types:	Principal Arterial Other
Number of Lanes:	4 to 6
Road Division Type:	Divided by Median
Speed Limit:	40mph to 55mph
Area Type:	Urban and suburban
Traffic Volume:	45000 to 75000 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)
Time of Day:	Not specified

If countermeasure is intersection-based

Intersection Type:	
Intersection Geometry:	
Traffic Control:	
Major Road Traffic Volume:	

Development Details	
Date Range of Data Used:	2003 to 2010
Municipality:	Tampa
State:	FL
Country:	
Type of Methodology Used:	Before/after using empirical Bayes or full Bayes
Sample Size Used:	Crashes
After Sample Size Used:	5 Crashes

Other Details	
Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Apr-30-2014
Comments:	CMF for left-turn crashes (based on the KABCO scale). The SPF only included VMT. It was not clear if annual calibration factors or other methods were used to account for trends.

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety

Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.



GOVERNING SPECIFICATIONS

THE 1988 EDITION OF THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION "STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION" AS AMENDED BY THE "SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS" DATED MAY 2, 1994, SHALL APPLY.

INDEX

SHEET NO.	DESCRIPTION
1	TITLE SHEET
2-3	ESTIMATED QUANTITIES
4-5	TYPICAL SECTIONS & SUPERELEVATION
6-7	DETAIL PLATES
8-14	STREET CONSTRUCTION
15-21	DRAINAGE PLAN
22-25	CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE & TRAFFIC CONTROL
26	STREET LIGHTING
27-29	SIGNING & STRIPING
30-34	CROSS SECTIONS
35-36	EROSION CONTROL & TURF EST.
37-40	TRAFFIC SIGNAL
(NOTE	: THIS PLAN SET CONTAINS 40 SHEETS)

NOTE ALL TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AND SIGNING SHALL CONFORM TO THE MMUTCO. INCLUDING FIELD MANUAL FOR TEMPORARY TRAFFIC CONTROL ZONE LAYOUTS, APRIL 1995

ALL APPLICABLE FEDEBAL, STATE AND LOCAL LAWS AND ORDINANCES WILL BE COMPLIED WITH IN THE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT MM ADDDOVED CITY OF LAKEVILLE ENGINEER APPROVED Chence - mars KOTA COUNTY RECOMMENDED FOR APP DIVISION ENGINEER-STATE AID 98 m IVI (ASSISTANT 19/0-1-98 15 Maryly PAPPROVED FOR STATE AND FUNDING Q33 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER NY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE STATE OF MINNESOTA. LAWS OF onde unas 98 DATE 3 12393 DZ REG. NO. OF 40 SHEETS SHEET NO. 1 TITLEDG



Traffic Data Inc

PO Box 16296 St. Louis Park, MN 55416

> File Name : 71 - CSAH 50 & CSAH 9, 12-10-15, 12am-12am Site Code : 71 Start Date : 12/10/2015 Page No : 5

CSAH 9 Out 4788 In Total 5089 9877 162 5251 293 10170 131 4919 51 0 02 23 UTm Peds 3193 72 1251 51 616 39 655 Right 3265 Thru 1302 Left ┥ 000 North 12/10/2015 12:00 AM 12/10/2015 11:45 PM Cars + Trucks 0 2 ds 8 - 1 - 1 Passenger Cars: 12650 (98%) Trucks: 322 (2%) Total: 12972 ⊾| UTrn
 Thru
 Right
 Peds

 2871
 1170
 7

 75
 32
 0

 2946
 1202
 7
 Left 1427 11 0 1 1438 2946 5712 125 5476 118 11188 243 5594 In CSAH 9 5837 11431 Out Total

CSAH 50 & CSAH 9 Lakeville, MN



STATE LINE TOWNSHIP OR RANGE LINE. SECTION LINE QUARTER LINE RICHT-OF-WAY LINE. PRESENT RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE CONTROL OF ACCESS LINE PROPERTY LINE (Except Lond Lines). VACATED PLATTED PROPERTY CORPORATE OR CITY LINITS 100 m TRUNK HIGHWAY CENTER LINE CONC. RETAINING WALL ______ RALROAD RALROAD RICHT-OF-WAY LINE RIVER OR CREEK. Service States ~~~ DRY RUN SZE 🛶 DRAINAGE DITCH DRAN TILE CLX VERT DROP INLET @========= GUARD RAIL . -P-++--BARBED WIRE FENCE .. . <u>w, , w</u>, WOVEN WIRE FENCE CHAN LINK FENCE .. RAILROAD SNOW FENCE ... 89696969.49. 9 STONE WALL OR FENCE ... , 2000000000 RAILROAD CROSSING BELL .. ELECTRIC WARNING SIGN CROSSING GATE MEANDER CORNER .. MAL BOX SPRINGS فصر MARSH TMBER my ORCHARD (TMBER) BRUSH NURSERY wwws CATCH BASIN. C.8.0 FIRE HYDRANT, · 🛆 , bly CATTLE G OVERPASS #=== 4 <u>[</u>] BUILDING (One Story Frame). F-FRAME S-STONE C-CONCRETE T-THE B-BRICK ST-STUCCO RON PIPE OR ROD. MONUMENT (STONE, CONCRETE, OR METAL) WOODEN HUB GRAVEL PIT .. SAND PIT ... BORROW PIT.

PLAN SYMBOLS

UTILITY SYMBOLS

ROCK QUARRY.

POWER POLE LINE TELEPHONE OR TELEGRAPH POLE LINE JOINT TELEPHONE AND POWER ON POWER POLES ON TELEPHONE POLES	
ANCHOR STEEL TOWER	$\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\Delta}$
STREET LIGHT PEDESTAL (TELEPHONE CABLE TERMINAL.)	ъ Т
GAS MAIN WATER MAIN CONDUIT	C C C C
TELEPHONE CABLE IN CONDUCT ELECTRIC CABLE IN CONDUCT	<u>1</u> P
TELEPHONE MANHOLE ELECTRIC MANHOLE BURED TELEPHONE CABLE BURED ELECTRIC CABLE	СС СРЭ Р+ВИЯ
AERIAL TELEPHONE CABLE SEWER, (SANITARY) SEWER, (STORM) SEWER MANHOLE	
HANDHOLE	ЧH

S.A.P. 188-020-10 S.A.P. 19-609-11

50'

2000'

100'

GOVERNING SPECIFICATIONS: THE 1988 EDITION OF THE MINNESOTA DEPAR "STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR CONSTRUCT MAY 02, 1994 SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATION:	RTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ION" AS AMENDED BY THE S SHALL GOVERN.		
ALL TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AND SIGNING MMUTCD INCLUDING "FIELD MANUAL FOR TEM LAYOUTS", DATED JANUARY 1998.	SHALL CONFORM TO THE PORARY TRAFFIC CONTROL ZONE		
INDEXSHEET NO.DESCRIPTION1TITLE SHEET2GENERAL LAYOUT3-5STATEMENT OF ESTIL6CONSTRUCTION/SOLDSEARTHWORK SUMMAR7-8TABULATIONS9TYPICAL SECTIONS10-16MISCELLANEOUS DET.17-21STAGING AND TRAFFI22-23ALIGNMENT PLAN AND24-25REMOVALS PLAN26-30CONSTRUCTION PLAN31-34DRAINAGE PLAN AND35-36MISCELLANEOUS PROJ37-38WATERMAIN/SAN. PLAN39-40TURF EST. AND EROS41-42SIGNING AND STRIPIN43-66CROSS SECTIONSTHIS PLAN CONTAINS E	MATED QUANTITIES 5 NOTES, STANDARD PLATES, Y AILS C CONTROL - D TABULATION AND PROFILE PROFILE FILES N AND PROFILE SION CONTROL G PLAN 36 SHEETS		
R ADT 2000 ADT 2020 PAVEMENT DESIGN FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION NO. OF TRAFFIC LANES SIGMA N 18 (20) DESIGN SPEED × BASED ON SIGHT DISTANCE HEIGHT OF EYE HEIGHT OF OBJECT NO. OF PARKING LANES DESIGN SPEED NOT ACHIEVED AT: * NORTH OF STATION 232-55 DES SPEED IS 55 MPH	14 7000 16000 9 TON MINOR ARTERIAL 4 1,760,000 45 MPH STOPPING 3.5 FT. 0 N/A		
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA. ENGR. Michael C. Caron Reg. No. 25721 Date 04-24-00 SERF CONSULTING GROUP, INC.			
APPROVED: CITY OF LAKEVILLE ENGINEER APPROVED: DAKOTA COUNTY ENGINEER COMPLIANCE WITH STATE AID RULES AND POLICY: APPROVED FOR COMPLIANCE OF APPROVED FOR COMPLIANCE WITH STATE AID RULES AND POLICY: APPROVED FOR COMPLIANCE OF COMPLIANCE OF COMPLI	$\frac{\frac{4}{25}}{\frac{5}{400}}$ $\frac{5.4.00}{DATE}$ $\frac{5-17-00}{DATE}$		
STATE AD FUNDING: STATE AD FURDING: STATE AD FUNDING: STATE AD STATE AD FUNDING: STATE AD FUNDING: STATE AD STA	40 1 OF 66 SHEFTS		



DESIGN FILE: h:\c|v|1\D47\3353\3353.GLB PFF FILE: h:\c|v|1\047\3353\PFF\0LB.prf PLOTTER: MS-PPSS.MX PLOTTER: MS-PPSS.MX PLOT DATE/TIME: 06/J9/2000 14:31:23



IGN FILE: h:\c!v11\D4/\35b5\35b.isA FILE: h:\cv1v11\D4/\3553\PRF\T5A.prf TFIL: h:\cv1v1\047\3353\PRF\T5A.prf TFIL: h:\cv1b2\041\56 DATE/T1ME: D6/19/2000 D9:04:56 PESIG PRF F PLOTT PLOT

 Λ



DESIGN FILE: h:\c|V|1\047\3353\3353.AFB PFF FILE: h:\c|V|1\047\3353\3353.prf PLOTTER: M-PF55|MX PLOTTER: 05/08/2000 08:55:17 PLOT DATE/71ME: 05/08/2000 08:55:17

110	POINT	STATION	-		CURY	E DATA			COORDI	NATES	AZIMUTH
Ę.	N.B.			Δ	U				X [Y	
						1	1	1 1		T	
1200	POT	C/L N.B. DODD BLVD.	207+70.22						516.645.114	167 114 457	1. 54. 3
	PC		209+00.01						516,649,438	167.244.180	1 37 3.
B1-1	PI		211+32.14	1* 53' 53' LT	0* 24' 32*	14,012.000'	232.121'	464.200'	516,657,172	167,476.172	PI
			213+64.22						516,657,217	167,708.294	0* 00' 40
	PC				Contraction of the Annual State				502,645.217	167,711.015	
	PI		216+42.26	11 E 41 000 L T	<u> </u>				516,657.271	167,986.340	
B1-2	PT		219+17 38	1 54 28 LI	0-41-37	8,262.000	137.571	275.116	516,657.298	168,123.911	PI
	CC		213-11.30					-	516,652.744	168,261,407	358 06' 1
	PC		219+91.61						516 650 287	167,987,945	
B1-3	PI		221+30.52	1° 55' 55' RT	0" 41' 44"	8.238.000'	138,907	277.787	516,645,689	168,474,433	PI
	PT		222+69.40						516,645,775	168,613,340	0" 02' 0
	CC								524,883.773	168,608.270	
	PC		233+67.33						516,646.451	169,711.269	
B1-4	PT		240+76.39	3° 32′ 52° RT	0* 15' 01*	22,895.328'	709.063′	1,417.672'	516,646.887	170,420.331	PI
	00		247+85.00						516,691.199	171,128.008	3* 34' 59
206	POT		251+40.00						539,541.774	169,697.179	
207	POT		251+40.00						516,/13.385	1/1,482.311	71 754 0
400	POT		252+04,51						516 705 442	171 547 447	3* 35' 04
401	POT		257+54.64						516 727 838	172 097 122	2 20 00
402	POT		162+04.06						516.755.924	172,545,661	J J1 J
402	POT		162+04.06						516,755.924	172,545.661	
Ę	S.B.	DODD BLVD.									
										Т	
300	POT	C/L S.B. DODD BLVD.	107+70.73						516,611.100	167,114.861	0* 00' 40
	PC		111+82.48						516,611,181	167,526.615	
B1-1			112+79.40	1° 56' 32' LT	1* 00' 08*	5,717.600'	96.911′	193,803'	516,611.200	167,623.526	PI
			113+76.29						516,607.934	167,720.382	358* 04' 09
	PC		115+36 15						510,893.581	167,527.730	
	PI		116+33.47	1* 56' 32' BT	0* 59' 52*	5 741 6001	1225 70	104 6321	516,602,548	167,880.151	01
31-2	PT		117+30.78	1 50 52 111	0 55 52	3,141.000	51,520	134.032	516 599 288	167,977,422	PI 01 00/ 4
	CC								522.340.888	168 073 612	0 00 4
303	PI		119+65.16						516,599,334	168.309.123	0' 03' 2
304	PI		126+58.22						516,600.008	169,002.190	0* 02' 09
	PC		133+67,48						516,600.450	169,711.443	
1-3	PI PT		140+77.88	3• 32' 50• RT	0* 14' 59'	22,941.328'	710.408'	1,420.362′	516,600.893	170,421.851	P1
-	A PT ON	C/L S.B. DODD BLVD POT	141+81.84=						516,645.290	171,130.870	3* 34' 59
	CC		111103.00						E30 E41 777	100 007 145	
308	POT		151+40.01						516 667 477	171 495 196	
	A PT. 12	.00' RT. C/L S.B. DODD BLVD. P	OT 151+40.01=						510,001.411	111,403,100	
309	POT	C/L S.B. DODD BLVD.	151+40.01						516,679,453	171.484.436	
500	POT		152+04.52						516,683.485	171,548.822	
501	POT 1	C/L S.B. DODD BLVD.	157+54.64=						516,727.838	172,097,122	
	A PI.UN	C/L N.B. DODD BLVD. POT	257+54.64								
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
£	195T	H ST. W.				LI		I			
500	POT	C/L 195TH ST. W.	33+72.54						516 026 085	171 302 350	1424 05/ 20
	PC		35+66.82						516,145,456	171,229,071	142 UJ 20
SCL1	PI		38+15.57	52° 00' 00" LT	11* 14' 04*	510.000′	248.744'	462.861	516.298.288	171.032.816	PI
	PT		40+29.69						516,547.031	171,032,423	
	CC								516,547.837	171,542.423	90* 05' 2
<u>~</u>	D07		41+21.98						516,639.329	171,032.277	
02	POT		1								
02	POT			1							
02	POT									1	
02	POT										
02	POT										
02	POT										
02	POT										
502	POT										
02											
02											
02	DRAWN I V. GRAF	BY DATE 3-00					CITY	OF LA	KEVILLE		
	DRAWN I V. GRAF DE SIGNEI M. AARO'	BY DATE D BY <u>3-00</u> N 3-00	Cons	GULTING				OF LA			
40.	DRAWN I V. GRAF DE SIGNEI M. AARO CHECKEL	BY DATE D BY 3-00 D BY 3-00 D BY 3-00 D BY 3-00	Cons	GULTING				OF LA		o) <i>840</i>	SHI 2

NO DATE BY CKD APPR REVISION NAME: 3353.ATA DATE: Mar. 13, 2000 APPR REVISION	I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I dan a duly Registered Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of Minnesota. Mail and Company Company Date 5-10-00 Reg. No.25721	STATE AD PROJECT NO. 188-020-10 <u>19-609-11</u> CITY PROJECT NO. <u>99-05</u> COUNTY PROJECT NO. 9-27	DRAWN BY DATE V. GRAF 3-0 DESIGNED BY M. AARON 3-0 CHECKED BY MMHMASESIN 3-00 COMM. NO. 0993353	SRF Consulting Group, Inc.	
	Key. No. 9 - F	9-27	0993323		1



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS DAKOTA COUNTY, MINNESOTA

Approval Of Grant Application Submittals For Transportation Advisory Board 2016 Federal Funding Solicitation Process

WHEREAS, the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) is requesting project submittals for federal funding under the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act; and

WHEREAS, these federal programs fund up to 80 percent of project construction costs; and

WHEREAS, federal funding of projects reduces the burden local taxpayers for regional improvements; and

WHEREAS, non-federal funds must be at least 20 percent of the project costs; and

WHEREAS, project submittals are due on July 15, 2016; and

WHEREAS, all projects proposed are consistent with the adopted Dakota County Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, subject to federal funding award, the Dakota County Board of Commissioners would be asked to consider authorization to execute a grant agreement at a future meeting.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Dakota County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the following County led projects for submittal to the TAB for federal funding:

- 1. 179th Street Extension from ½ mile west of County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 31 to CSAH 31 and the existing 179th Street intersection with Flagstaff Avenue in Lakeville
- 2. CSAH 9 (Dodd Boulevard) from Heritage Way to CSAH 50 in Lakeville
- 3. CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road/70th Street) from Trunk Highway (TH) 55 to TH 3 (Robert Street) in Eagan and Inver Grove Heights
- 4. CSAH 32 (Cliff Road) at its intersection with CSAH 31 (Pilot Knob Road) in Eagan
- 5. CSAH 23 (Foliage Avenue) from CSAH 86 (280th Street) to County Road 96 (320th Street) in Greenvale Township
- 6. CSAH 50 (202nd Street) from Holyoke Avenue to CSAH 23 (Cedar Avenue) in Lakeville
- 7. CSAH 86 (280th Street) from CSAH 23 (Galaxie Avenue) to TH 3 in Eureka, Greenvale, Castle Rock, and Waterford Townships
- 8. Minnesota River Greenway Eagan Gap Segment in Eagan
- 9. River to River Greenway TH 149 Underpass in Mendota Heights
- 10. River to River Greenway Robert Street Crossing Connections in West St Paul
- 11. North Creek Greenway CSAH 42 Underpass east of Flagstaff in Apple Valley; and

STATE OF	MINNESOTA
County	of Dakota

	VOTE	CE
Slavik	Yes	pr
Gaylord	Yes	- se
Egan	Yes	D.
Schouweiler	Yes	W
Workman	Yes	
Holberg	Yes	
Gerlach	Yes	

I, Jennifer Reynolds, Clerk to the Board of the County of Dakota, State of Minnesota, do hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy of a resolution with the original minutes of the proceedings of the Board of County Commissioners, Dakota County, Minnesota, at their session held on the 21st day of June, 2016, now on file in the County Administration Department, and have found the same to be a true and correct copy thereof.

Witness my hand and official seal of Dakota County this 23rd day of June, 2016.

Jen Reynold

Clerk to the Board

12. CSAH 14 - Southview Boulevard from 20th Avenue to 3rd Avenue and 3rd Avenue from Southview Boulevard to Marie Avenue in South St. Paul; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Dakota County Board of Commissioners hereby supports the following submittals by others:

- 13. 117th Street from CSAH 71 (Rich Valley Boulevard) to TH 52 Lead Agency: Inver Grove Heights
- 14. Orange Line Extension Lead Agency: Metro Transit
- 15. CSAH 73 (Oakdale Avenue) from CSAH 14 (Mendota Road) to CSAH 8 (Wentworth Avenue) Lead Agency: West

St. Paul

- 16. TH 149 (Dodd Road) from Mendota Heights Road to Decorah Lane and from Maple Street to Smith Avenue – Lead Agency: Mendota Heights
- 17. North Creek Greenway Farmington Gap Lead Agency: Farmington
- 18. CSAH 8 (Wentworth Avenue) from CSAH 63 (Delaware Avenue) to Humboldt Avenue Lead Agency: West St. Paul
- 19. CSAH 8 (Wentworth Avenue) from TH 52 to 15th Avenue Lead Agency: South St Paul; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That, subject to federal funding award of the city led projects, the Dakota County Board of Commissioners will provide the local match for regional greenway projects, and for non-greenway projects will provide Dakota County's share of the matching funds consistent with Dakota County transportation cost share policies.

STATE OF MINNESOTA County of Dakota

	VOTE
Slavik	Yes
Gaylord	Yes
Egan	Yes
Schouweiler	Yes
Workman	Yes
Holberg	Yes
Gerlach	Yes

I, Jennifer Reynolds, Clerk to the Board of the County of Dakota, State of Minnesota, do hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy of a resolution with the original minutes of the proceedings of the Board of County Commissioners, Dakota County, Minnesota, at their session held on the 21st day of June, 2016, now on file in the County Administration Department, and have found the same to be a true and correct copy thereof.

Witness my hand and official seal of Dakota County this 23rd day of June, 2016.

Jen Reynold

Clerk to the Board

C.S.A.H. 50 (KENWOOD TR.) & C.S.A.H 9 (DODD BLVD.) & C.S.A.H. 9 (DODD BLVD.) & HERITAGE DRIVE/ICENIC TR. INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS







July 12, 2016

Mr. Mark Krebsbach, P.E. Transportation Director/County Engineer 14955 Galaxie Avenue, 3rd Floor Apple Valley, MN 55124

SUBJECT:Federal FAST Act Letter of Support for Dakota CountyCounty State Aid Highway (CSAH) 9 A-Minor Arterial Expander Project

Dear Mark,

This City of Lakeville supports Dakota County's application for federal funding for the County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 9 (Dodd Boulevard) A-Minor Arterial Expander Project. The City of Lakeville understands the project is a joint effort between the City and County, and that the Dakota County Board of Commissioners is committed to fund and construct the project in cooperation with the City.

The City of Lakeville is aware of and understands the proposed project includes the construction of a roundabout at the CSAH 9 and CSAH 50 (202nd Street) intersection, and the conversion of CSAH 9 at Heritage Drive/Icenic Trail to a ¾ intersection. The project also integrates other modes of transportation with the highway project. Dakota County has jurisdiction over CSAH 9 and CSAH 50 and commits to operate and maintain these roadways for their design life.

The City supports this project for federal funding and agrees to provide a financial commitment for the improvements directly related to CSAH 9 and CSAH 50, consistent with the current County cost participation policy. Thank you for making us aware of this application effort and the opportunity to provide support.

Respectfully, Zach Johnson,

City Engineer

C: Justin Miller, City Administrator

20195 Holyoke Avenue, Lakeville, MN 55044 952-985-4400 • 952-985-4499 fax www.lakevillemn.gov