

Application

04774 - 2016 Roadway Modernization		
05085 - CSAH 18 Reconstruction Project - Phase 2A		
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements		
Status:	Submitted	
Submitted Date:	07/14/2016 9:14 AM	

Primary Contact

Name:*	Salutation	Darin First Name	Neil Middle Name	Mielke Last Name
Title:	Deputy County Engineer			
Department:	Public Works			
Email:	dmielke@co.carver.mn.us			
Address:	11360 Highway 212, Suite 1			
*	Cologne ^{City}	Minneso State/Provinc		55322 Postal Code/Zip
Phone:*	952-466-5200			
	Phone		Ext.	
Fax:				
What Grant Programs are you most interested in?	Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements			ng Multimodal

Organization Information

Name:

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):			
Organization Type:	County Government		
Organization Website:			
Address:	PUBLIC WORKS		
	11360 HWY 212 W #1		
*	COLOGNE	Minnesota	55322-9133
	City	State/Province	Postal Code/Zip
County:	Carver		
Phone:*			
		Ext.	
Fax:			
PeopleSoft Vendor Number	0000026790A12		

Project Information

Project Name	CSAH 18 (TH 41 to CSAH 15)
Primary County where the Project is Located	Carver
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):	

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

The proposed project will reconstruct CSAH 18 (Lyman Boulevard) from TH 41 (Hazeltine Boulevard) to CSAH 15 (Galpin Boulevard) in Carver County (see Figure 1 & 2). CSAH 18 was recently reconstructed to a four-lane roadway to the east of CSAH 15, and this project will reconstruct the remainder of CSAH 18 west of CSAH 15 to TH 41. This A-Minor Expander is currently a two lane undivided facility and will be rebuilt to accommodate roundabouts at key intersections and a trail along the north side of the road (see Figure 2 for existing conditions). Roundabouts will replace the CSAH 18 intersections at TH 41, Peavey Road, and Norex Boulevard. The design (roundabouts) are based on recent planning and traffic analysis efforts to ensure they operate efficiently and effectively without impacting mainline traffic on TH 41. These planning efforts have involved a robust planning process and coordination with MnDOT, Carver County, City of Chaska, City of Chanhassen, and local stakeholders/businesses.

The proposed project is a result of continuous growth in the area; average AADT is currently 5,200 vehicles and is expected to double (11,100) by 2040. A large portion of today's traffic (approximately 10 to 13 percent) is comprised of heavy commercial vehicles. Based on current volumes of traffic and expected demand, the existing two-lane facility creates a bottleneck that poses significant safety and congestion issues during peak periods. The proposed project will address these issues and complete the final link in the larger CSAH 18 project.

The improvements will provide improved access to over 8,110 jobs and 12,000 residents. The area's economy is highly freight dependent; of the 8,110 jobs, 63% are in manufacturing and distribution. The majority of these jobs are located immediately

north of the proposed improvement and will directly benefit from the improvements. The facility will also improve access and safety to/from Chanhassen High School.

The improvements will also provide a number of multimodal benefits. For example, the project will support existing transit service (SW Transit Express Route #685) by improving the corridor's mobility and safety. Trail improvements associated with the project will provide safer routes for pedestrians accessing the transit stops along the corridor. Transit and trail connections are vital to the project area and the vitality of local businesses.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.

TIP Description Guidance (will be used in TIP if the project is	Reconstruction of CSAH 18 from TH 41 to CSAH 15 in Carver
selected for funding)	County, Minnesota
Project Length (Miles)	0.77

Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?	No	
If yes, please identify the source(s)		
Federal Amount	\$5,511,600.00	
Match Amount	\$1,377,900.00	
Minimum of 20% of project total		
Project Total	\$6,889,500.00	
Match Percentage	20.0%	
Minimum of 20% Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project tota	I	
Source of Match Funds	Carver County	
A minimum of 20% of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; sources	additional match funds over the 20% minimum can come from other federal	
Preferred Program Year		
Select one:	2020	
For TDM projects, select 2018 or 2019. For Roadway, Transit, or Trail/Pedestrian projects, select 2020 or 2021.		
Additional Program Years:	2019	

Specific Roadway Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$287,000.00
Removals (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$116,000.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.)	\$1,418,000.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving)	\$1,798,000.00
Subgrade Correction (muck)	\$204,000.00
Storm Sewer	\$474,000.00
Ponds	\$100,000.00
Concrete Items (curb & gutter, sidewalks, median barriers)	\$288,000.00
Traffic Control	\$286,000.00
Striping	\$23,000.00
Signing	\$18,000.00
Lighting	\$75,000.00
Turf - Erosion & Landscaping	\$236,000.00
Bridge	\$0.00
Retaining Walls	\$613,000.00
Noise Wall (do not include in cost effectiveness measure)	\$0.00
Traffic Signals	\$160,000.00
Wetland Mitigation	\$60,000.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection	\$0.00
RR Crossing	\$0.00
Roadway Contingencies	\$575,000.00
Other Roadway Elements	\$31,500.00
Totals	\$6,762,500.00

Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Path/Trail Construction	\$115,000.00
Sidewalk Construction	\$0.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction	\$0.00

Totals	\$127,000.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements	\$0.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies	\$0.00
Wayfinding	\$0.00
Streetscaping	\$0.00
Pedestrian-scale Lighting	\$0.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK)	\$0.00
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA)	\$12,000.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00

Specific Transit and TDM Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements	\$0.00
Stations, Stops, and Terminals	\$0.00
Support Facilities	\$0.00
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)	\$0.00
Vehicles	\$0.00
Contingencies	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Other Transit and TDM Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$0.00

Transit Operating Costs

Number of Platform hours	0
Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost)	\$0.00
Substotal	\$0.00
Other Costs - Administration, Overhead, etc.	\$0.00

Totals

Total Cost	\$6,889,500.00
Construction Cost Total	\$6,889,500.00
Transit Operating Cost Total	\$0.00

Requirements - All Projects

All Projects

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan, the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2015), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan objectives and strategies that relate to the project.

Goal B: Safety and Security (2040 TPP, pg. 2.7)? The regional transportation system is safe and secure for all users.

Objectives:

Reduce crash rates and improve safety and security for all modes of passenger travel and freight transport.

Strategies:

B1 - Regional transportation partners will incorporate safety and security considerations for all modes and users throughout the processes of planning, funding, construction, operation.

B3 - Regional transportation partners should monitor and routinely analyze safety and security data by mode and severity to identify priorities and progress.

B6 - Regional transportation partners will use best practices to provide and improve facilities for safe walking and bicycling, since pedestrians and bicyclists are the most vulnerable users of the transportation system.

Goal D:Competitive Economy (2040 TPP, pg. 2.11) - The regional transportation system supports the economic competitiveness, vitality, and prosperity of the regions and state.

Objectives:

Support the region?s economic competitiveness through the efficient movement of freight.

List the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:

Strategies:

D5 - The Council and MnDOT will work with transportation partners to identify the impacts of highway congestion on freight and identify costeffective mitigation.

Goal F:

Leveraging Transportation Investment to Guide Land Use (2040 TPP, pg. 2.14) The region leverages transportation investments to guide land use and development patterns that advance the regional vision of stewardship, prosperity, livability, equity, and sustainability.

Objectives:

Encourage local land use design that integrates highways, streets, transit, walking, and bicycling.

Strategies:

F7 - Local governments should include bicycle and pedestrian elements in local comprehensive plans.

3. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

Carver County Roadway System Plan (2014 Amendment): Page 5 - CSAH 18 recommended as an urban section.

List the applicable documents and pages:

Chaska Comprehensive Plan: Page 29 & 33 -CSAH 18 recognized as reaching its design capacity from TH 41 to East City Limits. 4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of bicycle/pedestrian projects, transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

5. Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

7. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below.

Roadway Expansion: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Roadway System Management \$250,000 to \$7,000,000

Bridges Rehabilitation/ Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

9. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

10. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

11. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

12. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

13. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A-Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Roadway Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization projects only:

2. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:

3. Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

4. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that <u>are exclusively</u> for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

5. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

6. The bridge must have a sufficiency rating less than 80 for rehabilitation projects and less than 50 for replacement projects. Additionally, the bridge must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Project Information-Roadways

County, City, or Lead Agency	Carver County
Functional Class of Road	A Minor Expander
Road System	CSAH
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET	
Road/Route No.	18
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53	
Name of Road	Lyman Boulevard
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE	
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed	55317
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date	06/01/2019
(Approximate) End Construction Date	10/01/2020
TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any wo	rk)
From: (Intersection or Address)	TH 41
To: (Intersection or Address)	CSAH 15

DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Or At

Primary Types of Work

GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF, SIDEWALK, CURB AND UTTER, STORM SEWER, SIGNALS, LIGHTING, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS, LANDSCAPING

Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF, SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER, SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS, BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.

BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)

Old Bridge/Culvert No.:

New Bridge/Culvert No.:

Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name):

Expander/Augmentor/Connector/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:	Expander
Area	2.167
Project Length	0.782
Average Distance	2.7711
Upload Map	

Reliever: Relieves a Principal Arterial that is a Freeway Facility

Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report) 0

Reliever: Relieves a Principal Arterial that is a Non-Freeway Facility

Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below) 0

Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

Hour	NB/EB Volume	SB/WB Volume	Capacity	Volume exceeds capacity
12:00am - 1:00am			0	
1:00am - 2:00am			0	

2:00am - 3:00am	0
3:00am - 4:00am	0
4:00am - 5:00am	0
5:00am - 6:00am	0
6:00am - 7:00am	0
7:00am - 8:00am	0
8:00am - 9:00am	0
9:00am - 10:00am	0
10:00am - 11:00am	0
11:00am - 12:00pm	0
12:00pm - 1:00pm	0
1:00pm - 2:00pm	0
2:00pm - 3:00pm	0
3:00pm - 4:00pm	0
4:00pm - 5:00pm	0
5:00pm - 6:00pm	0
6:00pm - 7:00pm	0
7:00pm - 8:00pm	0
8:00pm - 9:00pm	0
9:00pm - 10:00pm	0
10:00pm - 11:00pm	0
11:00pm - 12:00am	0

Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Existing Employment within 1 Mile:	8110
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1 Mile:	5099
Existing Students:	0
Upload Map	1467910440515_MC_Economy.pdf

Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location:	TH 41 and CSAH 18
Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume:	1102
Date heavy commercial count taken:	2016

Measure D: Freight Elements

This project will directly impact manufacturing and distribution operations in the area. The area's economy is highly freight dependent; of the 8,110 jobs, 63% are in manufacturing and distribution. The majority of these jobs are located immediately north of the proposed improvement and will directly benefit from the improvements.

Over 20 percent of traffic on this segment represents heavy commercial vehicles. A large portion of these heavy commercial vehicle trips are being generated by the large number of industrial, manufacturing and distribution centers located along the corridor. The corridor is also relied on as a major east-west freight route providing direct access to TH 41. The CSAH 18 corridor also provides regional freight access to TH 212 (via TH 41) and TH 5 (via TH 41 or Powers Boulevard).

The reconstruction of CSAH 18 and the corresponding intersection improvements have been designed to handle truck volumes. For example, the roundabout has the proper truck aprons to ensure the safety for both truck and passenger movement. The facility will continue to be serve as a freight route and be designed as a ten-ton route. Other freight improvements include the widening of paved shoulders and turning lanes designed for trucks.

Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Location	CSAH 18 East of TH 41
Current AADT Volume	5200
Existing Transit Routes on the Project	684

For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will be moved to the new roadway

Response: Current Daily Person Throughput					
6760.0					
inty Travel Demand Model					

Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty with 50% or more of residents are people of color (ACP50):

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty:

Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color:

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly:

Yes

The proposed project is unique from a social equity and housing perceptive. First, it is important to recognize the project area is comprised primarily of industrial and manufacturing land uses. Over 63 percent of the area's jobs are comprised of manufacturing and distribution related businesses. The manufacturing businesses (mechanical, technology and biomedical based) produce and develop a wide range of products. These businesses have created a diverse job center and logistics park that appeals to all skill levels, while offering well-paying jobs.

The type of jobs offered within the project area are well paying and do not typically require a postsecondary education. For example, the logistics industry is one of the last fields where someone with a high school education can still get an entry level job and work their way to the top. This project will improve the availability and connectivity to these "ladders of opportunity". Furthermore, these types of jobs are critical in supporting the economic vitality of Chaska and Chanhassen. The proposed project will also help achieve the Metropolitan Council's 2040 TPP goals. For example, the 2040 TPP recognizes that industrial land uses adjacent to A-Minor Arterials are key connections to jobs and accessibility.

The vast range of land uses support thousands of jobs that can be accessed by the proposed project. Better access to these jobs will help link regional populations that are above the regional average of race or poverty. More importantly, these populations can access the project area by transit. Transit routes from the site also provide access to downtown Minneapolis/Saint Paul, and the University of Minnesota for nearby residents. The proposed improvements will improve transit headway times and enhance the pedestrian connections between transit stops and jobs.

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

Overall, the CSAH 18 corridor plays a significant role from a social equity and housing perspective. This is further supported by Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) Census data. Based on this data, the project area includes the following social equity characteristics (using a one-mile buffer), which further demonstrates its importance to the region:

- 13.4 percent of the work force is non-white.

- 22.3 percent of the work force holds a high school or equivalent degree, and no college.

- 6.1 percent of the work force holds less than high school education.

- 99.4 percent of the work force lives outside the study area, and is traveling more ten miles to access their job.

The response should address the benefits, impacts, and mitigation for the populations affected by the project.

U	pl	0	a	l k	M	а	р	
---	----	---	---	-----	---	---	---	--

1467911925819_MC_Socio.pdf

Measure B: Affordable Housing

	City/Township	Segment Length in Miles (Population)
Chaska		0.55
Chanhassen		0.22
		1

Total Project Length

Total Pro	ject Length	(Total Po	pulation)
10101110	Joor Longin	(1010110	paration

City/Township	Segment Length (Miles)	Total Length (Miles)	Score	Segment Length/Total Length	Housing Score Multiplied by Segment percent	
		0	0	0	0	
Affordable	Housing Scor	ing - To Be Co	mpleted By	Metropolitar	n Council Staff	
Total Project Leng	th (Miles)		0.77			
Total Housing Sco	pre		0			
Measure A:	Year of Road	way Construc	tion			
Year of Origi Roadway Const or Most Rec Reconstruct	ruction ent Segme	nt Length	Calculation	Calculat	ion 2	
	1982	0.77	1526.4	14	1982.0	
		1	152	26	1982	
Average Co	nstruction Ye	ar				
Weighted Year			1982			
Total Segm	ent Length (N	liles)				
Total Segment Lei	ngth		0.77			
Measure B:	Geometric, S	tructural, or In	frastructure	Improveme	nts	
Improving a non-1	0-ton roadway to a ²	0-ton roadway:	Yes			
			•	,	will be a 10-ton de	0

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

The proposed project will be a 10-ton design to better accommodate the significant heavy commercial traffic that uses this corridor to access the many industrial and manufacturing land uses. Constructing this roadway to a 10-ton design will better tie into the future improvements further east along county roads.

Improved clear zones or sight lines:

Yes

Intersection improvements (signals and roundabouts) incorporated into the proposed project will be designed to provide adequate intersection sight distance (sight lines for all vehicle types). While the majority of corridor has adequate clear zones, the existing rural section of narrow two-lane road has some adjacent areas that will have better clear zones with the proposed roadway improvements.

Yes

The existing two-lane roadway does not provide turn lanes at Peavey Road and Norex Drive, which creates traffic queues on CSAH 18. Heavy commercial vehicles currently use both lanes to make right/left-turn movements into their respective sites. The proposed roundabouts will provide better roadway geometrics to facilitate turning movements and improve mobility.

Yes

Access management enhancements will be made by the proposed roundabouts.

Yes

There are no significant vertical or horizontal alignment corrections associated with this project. Yes

The current rural cross section will be updated to an urban section with curb and gutter to gather stormwater. The storm sewers will meet current state aid drainage standards and additional storm water mitigation will be incorporated in the design, where necessary.

Yes

The signal will be replaced at the TH 41 and CSAH 18 intersection with a roundabout. The appropriate lighting will be installed at all intersections throughout the corridor.

Improved roadway geometrics:

Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

Access management enhancements:

Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

Vertical/horizontal alignments improvements:

Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

Improved stormwater mitigation:

Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

Signals/lighting upgrades:

Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

Yes

Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

A multiuse trail will be constructed on the north side of CSAH 18.

Measure A: Congestion Reduction/Air Quality **EXPLANATIO** N of **Total Peak Total Peak Total Peak** methodology **Total Peak Hour Delay Hour Delay Hour Delay** Volume used to **Hour Delay** Synchro or **Per Vehicle Per Vehicle Per Vehicle** (Vehicles per calculate **Reduced by HCM Reports** Without The With The **Reduced by** hour) railroad the Project: Project Project Project crossing delay, if applicable. 14684367533 28.0 9.1 18.9 1792 33868.8 05_CSAH 18 Synchro.pdf

Total Delay

Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced

33868.8

Measure B:Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced Per Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms):	Volume (Vehicles Per Hour):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	
3.73	3.57	0.16	1794.0	287.04	
4	4		1794	287	
Total Total Emissions Reduc Upload Synchro Report			287.04 1468418486084_CS	AH 18 Synchro.pdf	

Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not include railroad grade-separation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced Per Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms):	Volume (Vehicles Per Hour):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):
3.73	3.57	0	1792.0	0
4	4		1792	0

Total Parallel Roadways

Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways	0
Upload Synchro Report	

New Roadway Portion:

Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project:	0
Total delay in hours with the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project:	0
Fuel consumption in gallons:	0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):	0
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)	
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	0.0

Measure B:Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements

Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled without the project:	0
Total delay in hours without the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project:	0
Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project:	0

Total delay in hours with the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project:	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F1)	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F2)	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F3)	0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	0
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)	

Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)	
Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred	Yes
100%	
Stakeholders have been identified	
40%	
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted	
0%	
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)	
Layout or Preliminary Plan completed	Yes
100%	
Layout or Preliminary Plan started	
50%	
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	
3)Environmental Documentation (5 Percent of Points)	
EIS	
EA	
PM	Yes

Document Status:

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)	100%	
Document submitted to State Aid for review	75%	date submitted
Document in progress; environmental impacts identified; review request letters sent		
50%		
Document not started	Yes	
0%		
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval	12/01/2018	
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (10 Percent of I	Points)	
No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge	Yes	
100%		
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated		
80%		
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated		
40%		
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological resources in the project area		
0%		
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:		
Project is located on an identified historic bridge		
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (10 Percent of Points)		
4(f) Does the project impacts any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild & scenic rivers or public private historic proper 6(f) Does the project impact any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild & scenic rivers or historic property that was purchased or improved with federal funds?	ties?	
No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area	Yes	
100%		
No impact to 4f property. The project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received		
100%		
Section 4f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects		

80% Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely coordination/documentation has begun 50% Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely coordination/documentation has not begun 30% Unsure if there are any impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area 0% 6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points) Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements not required 100% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements has/have been acquired 100% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, offers made 75% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, appraisals made 50% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, Yes parcels identified 25% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels not identified 0% Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements identification has not been completed 0% Anticipated date or date of acquisition 12/01/2018 7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points) Yes No railroad involvement on project 100% Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page) 100% Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated 60%

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

40%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement	
8)Interchange Approval (15 Percent of Points)*	
*Please contact Karen Scheffing at MnDOT (Karen.Scheffing@state to determine if your project needs to go through the Metropolitan Co Interchange Request Committee.	
Project does not involve construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps	Yes
100%	
Interchange project has been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee	
100%	
Interchange project has not been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee	I
0%	
9)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)	
Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)	
100%	
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review	
75%	
Construction plans in progress; at least 30% completion	Yes
50%	
Construction plans have not been started	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	12/01/2018
10)Letting	

Measure A: Roadway Projects that do not Include Railroad Grade-Separation Elements

Crash Modification Factor Used:

0.92

	TH 41
	CR1 = Convert Signal to Multilane RAB
	CR 2 = Improve Pavement Friction
	Peavey Intersection
Rationale for Crash Modification Selected:	CR1 = Convert Signal to Multilane RAB
	CR 2 = Improve Pavement Friction
	The crash modifications are based on the proposed improvements and is consistent with application guidelines. See attachment for more information.
(Limit 1400 Characters; approximately 200 words)	
Project Benefit (\$) from B/C Ratio	\$1,699,804.00
Worksheet Attachment	1468353363747_CSAH18CompleteAnalysis.pdf

Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:

Current AADT volume:	0
Average daily trains:	0
Crash Risk Exposure eliminated:	0

Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

The proposed project integrates all modes of transportation.

Freight - Over 20 percent of traffic on this segment represents heavy commercial vehicles. A large portion of these heavy commercial vehicle trips are being generated by the large number of industrial, manufacturing and distribution centers located along the corridor. The corridor is also relied on as a major east-west freight route providing direct access to TH 41. The CSAH 18 corridor also provides regional freight access to TH 212 (via TH 41) and TH 5 (via TH 41 or Powers Boulevard).

The CSAH 18 improvements and the corresponding intersections have been designed to handle truck volumes. For example, the roundabout at TH 41/CSAH 18 will have the proper truck aprons to ensure the safety for both truck and passenger movement. The facility will continue to serve as a freight route and be designed as a tenton route. Other freight improvements include the widening of paved shoulders and turning lanes designed for trucks.

Bike/Ped - The project is designed to improve pedestrian and bicycle access to the Chanhassen High School, employment centers, existing/planned trails along TH 41, and the regional trail network. Trails and sidewalks have been integrated as part of the past CSAH 18 reconstruction east of CSAH 15. The pedestrian/bike improvements as part of this project will complete the final gap and provide a safe route to school for those traveling to the high school. Finalizing the gap will also help provide better connections to the Tier 1 and Tier 2 Regional Bike Transportation Networks (RTBN): Cedar Lakes LRT and the Lake Minnetonka LRT Trail.

Transit - The SW Transit Express #684 travels along this alignment as it travels from TH 41 onto

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

CSAH 18. The route has several stops in project area, and a park and ride is located just to the south. The route provides job access to area residents and reverse commute employees of the manufacturing and distribution facilities served by this project. In particular, this route provides access to/from following communities, connections and points in-between: Chaska, Chanhassen, Eden Prairie, SouthWest Station, Edina (Southdale Transit Center), I-35W and Lake Street, Downtown Minneapolis (Marquette Avenue, 2nd Avenue), and the University of Minnesota.

Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form):	\$6,889,500.00
Enter Amount of the Noise Walls:	\$0.00
Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls:	\$6,889,500.00
Points Awarded in Previous Criteria	
Cost Effectiveness	\$0.00

Other Attachments

File Name	Description	File Size
CSAH 18 - Phase 2A MnDOT letter of support.pdf	MnDOT Letter of Support	105 KB
CSAH 18 Attachments.pdf	Figure 1 - Layout	8.2 MB
CSAH18ChanhassenResolution.pdf	Chanhassen Resolution of Support	39 KB
CSAH18ChaskaResolution.pdf	Chaska Resolution of Support	51 KB
Figure 2_Street Views.docx	Figure 2 - Street Views	3.5 MB
Figure 3.pdf	Figure 3 - Project Locations	1.2 MB
RADCsah18CarvRM.pdf	RADCsah18CarvRM	196 KB







2: TH 41 (Hazeltine Blvd) & Lyman Blvd

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1792	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	28	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.61	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.51	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.61	

2: TH 41 (Hazeltine Blvd) & Lyman Blvd

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1794	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.50	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.49	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.58	

Intersection									
Intersection Delay, s/veh	9.1								
Intersection LOS	А								
Approach		EB		WB		NB		SB	
Entry Lanes		2		2		2		2	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		2		2		2		2	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		175		495		708		614	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		182		514		736		639	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		975		682		159		358	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		22		213		998		838	
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186		3.186		3.186		3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0		0		0		0	
Ped Cap Adj		1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		8.8		11.8		7.7		8.6	
Approach LOS		А		В		А		А	
Lane	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	
Designated Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	
Assumed Moves	LT	TR	L	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	
RT Channelized									
Lane Util	0.473	0.527	0.665	0.335	0.470	0.530	0.469	0.531	
Critical Headway, s	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	
Entry Flow, veh/h	86	96	342	172	346	390	300	339	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	544	571	678	701	1003	1011	864	879	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.957	0.967	0.962	0.963	0.961	0.962	0.962	0.960	
Flow Entry, veh/h	82	93	329	166	333	375	289	326	
	520	552	652	675	964	972	831	845	
Cap Entry, veh/h									
V/C Ratio	0.158	0.168	0.505	0.245	0.345	0.386	0.347	0.385	
V/C Ratio Control Delay, s/veh	0.158 9.0	8.7	13.5	8.3	0.345 7.4	7.9	0.347 8.4	8.8	
V/C Ratio	0.158								

CSAH 18 (TH 41 to CSAH 19) Regional Solicitation Existing Conditions-PM

	×	Þ	4	1	4	$= \bigvee_{i=1}^{n}$
Phase Number	1	2	4	5	6	8
Movement	SBL	NBT	EBTL	NBL	SBT	WBTL
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	None	C-Max	None
Maximum Split (s)	15	45	40	15	45	40
Maximum Split (%)	15.0%	45.0%	40.0%	15.0%	45.0%	40.0%
Minimum Split (s)	15	27.5	18	15	27.5	18
Yellow Time (s)	3	5	4	3	5	4
All-Red Time (s)	2	1.5	2	2	1.5	2
Minimum Initial (s)	7	20	10	7	20	10
Vehicle Extension (s)	3	5.5	3.5	3	5.5	2.5
Minimum Gap (s)	3	5.5	3.5	3	5.5	2.5
Time Before Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time To Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Walk Time (s)		7	7		7	7
Flash Dont Walk (s)		14	14		14	14
Dual Entry	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Inhibit Max	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Start Time (s)	0	15	60	45	0	60
End Time (s)	15	60	0	60	45	0
Yield/Force Off (s)	10	53.5	94	55	38.5	94
Yield/Force Off 170(s)	10	39.5	80	55	24.5	80
Local Start Time (s)	0	15	60	45	0	60
Local Yield (s)	10	53.5	94	55	38.5	94
Local Yield 170(s)	10	39.5	80	55	24.5	80
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length			100			
Control Type	Actu	ated-Coo	rdinated			
Natural Cycle			90			
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	:NBT and	6:SBT, 5	Start of 1s	t Green	

Splits and Phases: 2: TH 41 (Hazeltine Blvd) & Lyman Blvd

Ø1	Ø2 (R)		₩ 04
15 s	45 s		40 s
Ø6 (R)		▲ Ø5	
45 s		15 s	40 s

2: TH 41 (Hazeltine Blvd) & Lyman Blvd

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1792	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	28	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.61	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.51	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.61	
2: TH 41 (Hazeltine Blvd) & Lyman Blvd

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1794	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.50	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.49	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.58	

Intersection									
Intersection Delay, s/veh	9.1								
Intersection LOS	А								
Approach		EB		WB		NB		SB	
Entry Lanes		2		2		2		2	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		2		2		2		2	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		175		495		708		614	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		182		514		736		639	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		975		682		159		358	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		22		213		998		838	
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186		3.186		3.186		3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0		0		0		0	
Ped Cap Adj		1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		8.8		11.8		7.7		8.6	
Approach LOS		А		В		А		А	
Lane	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	
Designated Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	
Assumed Moves	LT	TR	L	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	
RT Channelized									
Lane Util	0.473	0.527	0.665	0.335	0.470	0.530	0.469	0.531	
Critical Headway, s	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	
Entry Flow, veh/h	86	96	342	172	346	390	300	339	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	544	571	678	701	1003	1011	864	879	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.957	0.967	0.962	0.963	0.961	0.962	0.962	0.960	
Flow Entry, veh/h	82	93	329	166	333	375	289	326	
Con Entry yoh/h	520	552	652	675	964	972	831	845	
Cap Entry, veh/h					0.245	0.00/	0.047	0 205	
V/C Ratio	0.158	0.168	0.505	0.245	0.345	0.386	0.347	0.385	
V/C Ratio Control Delay, s/veh	0.158 9.0	8.7	13.5	8.3	0.345 7.4	7.9	0.347 8.4	8.8	
V/C Ratio	0.158								

CSAH 18 (TH 41 to CSAH 19) Regional Solicitation Existing Conditions-PM

	1	Þ	4	1	4	*
Phase Number	1	2	4	5	6	8
Movement	SBL	NBT	EBTL	NBL	SBT	WBTL
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	None	C-Max	None
Maximum Split (s)	15	45	40	15	45	40
Maximum Split (%)	15.0%	45.0%	40.0%	15.0%	45.0%	40.0%
Minimum Split (s)	15	27.5	18	15	27.5	18
Yellow Time (s)	3	5	4	3	5	4
All-Red Time (s)	2	1.5	2	2	1.5	2
Minimum Initial (s)	7	20	10	7	20	10
Vehicle Extension (s)	3	5.5	3.5	3	5.5	2.5
Minimum Gap (s)	3	5.5	3.5	3	5.5	2.5
Time Before Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time To Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Walk Time (s)		7	7		7	7
Flash Dont Walk (s)		14	14		14	14
Dual Entry	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Inhibit Max	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Start Time (s)	0	15	60	45	0	60
End Time (s)	15	60	0	60	45	0
Yield/Force Off (s)	10	53.5	94	55	38.5	94
Yield/Force Off 170(s)	10	39.5	80	55	24.5	80
Local Start Time (s)	0	15	60	45	0	60
Local Yield (s)	10	53.5	94	55	38.5	94
Local Yield 170(s)	10	39.5	80	55	24.5	80
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length			100			
Control Type	Actu	ated-Coo	rdinated			
Natural Cycle			90			
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	:NBT and	6:SBT, 5	Start of 1s	t Green	

Splits and Phases: 2: TH 41 (Hazeltine Blvd) & Lyman Blvd

Ø1	Ø2 (R)		₩ Ø4
15 s	45 s		40 s
Ø6 (R)		▲ Ø5	
45 s		15 s	40 s

				-									
			Control Section	T.H. / Roadway		Location	1		Beginning Ref. Pt.	Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
WORKS	snee	t		CSAH 18	CSAH 18 and Pe	avy					Carver	1/1/2013	12/31/2015
			Descripti Proposed		Minor Stop to RA	В							
Accid			1 Rear End		2 Sideswipe Same Direction		n Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,7 Ran off Road	8, 9 Head On/ Sideswipe -		6, 90, 99	
						9				Opposite Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F	_										
	ry (PI)	A											
Study Period:	Personal Injury (PI)	В											
Number of Crashes	Persoi	С		1									1
	Property Damage	PD		4	1			2					7
% Change	Fatal	F											
in Crashes		Α											
*Llas Orașt	PI	В											
<u>*Use Crash</u> Modification Factors		С		-92%									
<u>Clearinghouse</u>	Property Damage	PD		-83%	-83%			-100%					
	Fatal	F											
		A											
Change in Crashes	PI	В											
= No. of		С		-0.92									-0.92
crashes X % change in crashes	Property Damage	PD		-3.32	-0.83			-2.00					-6.15
Year (Safety l	Improv	remen	t Construct	tion)	2020								
Project Cost	(exclu	de Ri	ght of Way	·)	\$ 6,889,500	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes	Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.10

TOJECT COST (exclude Right of Way)	\$ 0,889,500	Crash	Clashes	Clasiles	Crash	_	Denem	
Right of Way Costs (optional)		F			\$ 1,400,000			Using present worth values,
Traffic Growth Factor	3%	Α			\$ 570,000			B= <u>\$</u> 718,490
Capital Recovery		В			\$ 170,000			C= \$ 6,889,500
1. Discount Rate	4.5%	С	-0.92	-0.31	\$ 83,000	\$	25,477	See "Calculations" sheet for amortization.
2. Project Service Life (n)	20	PD	-6.15	-2.05	\$ 7,600	\$	15,594	
		Total				\$		Office of Traffic, Safety and Technology 2015

											-			
HS			Control Section	T.H. / Roadway			Location			Beginning Ref. Pt.	Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
works	hee	t		CSAH 13	CSAH	18 and TH	[41					Carver	1/1/2013	12/31/2015
			Descripti Proposed		Conver	t signal to	multilane	RAB						
Accid		igram	1 Rear End		2 Sidesw	vipe			5 Right Angle	4,7 Ran off Road	8,9 Head On/		6, 90, 99	
	(Codes			Same Dir	rection					Sideswipe - Opposite Direction			
			}			→	ſ					Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F												
	y (PI)	A												
Study Period:	Personal Injury (PI)	В												
Number of Crashes	Persor	С		1										1
	Property Damage	PD		4				1						5
	Fatal]													
% Change in Crashes	Fa	F												
		Α												
<u>*Use Crash</u>	PI	B												
Modification Factors	> e	C		-87%										
<u>Clearinghouse</u>	Property Damage	PD		-69%				-69%						
	Fatal	F												
		A												
Change in Crashes	PI	В												
= No. of		С		-0.87										-0.87
crashes X % change in crashes	Property Damage	PD		-2.76				-0.69						-3.45
			t Construct					-0.09						-3.43
Year (Safety I	mprov	emen	t Construct			2020		Study						
Project Cost	(exclu	de Ri	ght of Way	<i>'</i>)	\$6	5,889,500	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes	Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.08

TOJECT COST (exclude Right of Way)	\$ 0	,889,500	Crash	Crashes	Clashes	Crash	_	Denent			
Right of Way Costs (optional)			F			\$ 1,400,000			Using present	worth	values,
Traffic Growth Factor	3	3%	Α			\$ 570,000			B =	\$	574,500
Capital Recovery			В			\$ 170,000			C=	\$	6,889,500
1. Discount Rate	4.	.5%	С	-0.87	-0.29	\$ 83,000	\$	24,092	See "Calculat	ions" s	cheet for amortization.
2. Project Service Life (n)		20	PD	-3.45	-1.15	\$ 7,600	\$	8,748			
			Total				\$		Office of Tra Technology		afety and 015

HS			Control Section	T.H. / Roadway			Location			Beginning Ref. Pt.	Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
works	shee	t		CSAH 18	CSAH	18 Segmer	nt					Carver	1/1/2013	12/31/2015
			Descripti Proposed		Reconst	truct Paver	ment							
Accid			1 Rear End		2 Sidesw Same Dir	vipe		n Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,7 Ran off Road	8, 9 Head On/ Sideswipe -		6, 90, 99	
							9		\		Opposite Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F												
	y (PI)	A												
Study Period:	Personal Injury (PI)	В							1					1
Number of Crashes	Person	C												
	Property Damage	PD												
% Change	Fatal	F												
in Crashes		A												
	PI	в							-41%					
<u>*Use Crash</u> Modification Factors		С												
<u>Clearinghouse</u>	Property Damage	PD												
	Fatal	F												
		Α												
Change in Crashes	PI	В							-0.41					-0.41
= No. of		С												
crashes X % change in crashes	Property Damage	PD												
Year (Safety l	Improv	remen	t Construct	tion)		2020								
Project Cost	(exclu	de Ri	ght of Way	7)	\$ 6	5,889,500	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes	Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.06

roject Cost (exclude Right of Way)	\$ 6,889,500	Crash	Clasiles	Clashes	Crash	_	Denent		
Right of Way Costs (optional)		F			\$ 1,400,000			Using present worth valu	es,
Traffic Growth Factor	3%	Α			\$ 570,000			B = <u></u> \$	406,814
Capital Recovery		В	-0.41	-0.14	\$ 170,000	\$	23,255	C= \$	6,889,500
1. Discount Rate	4.5%	С			\$ 83,000			See "Calculations" sheet	for amortization.
2. Project Service Life (n)	20	PD			\$ 7,600				
		Total				\$	23,255	Office of Traffic, Safety Technology 2015	and

Countermeasure: Improve pavement friction (increase skid resistance)

	CMF	CRF(%)		Crash Type	Crash	Area	Reference	Comments
	0.799	20.1	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.667	33.3 🔶	***	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.819	18.1 🔺	***	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.797	20.3 🔶	***	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	1.271	27.1	ninini ni n	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.426	57.4 🔺	****	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.372	62.8 🔺	****	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud,	

	0.575	42.5	****	Rear end,Wet road	All		Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
	0.59	41	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
\langle	0.589	41.1	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		>
	0.361	63.9	****	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
\langle	0.304	69.6	****	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	>	
	0.943	5.7	****	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
	0.504	49.6	****	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		

	0.221	77.9	****	Rear end,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
<	0.787	21.3	****	Angle	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	>
	0.828	17.2	****	Angle	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.898	10.2	****	Angle	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.799	20.1	****	Angle,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.47	53	****	Angle,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.828	17.2	****	Angle,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								

Compare	СМГ	: CF	RF(%)	Quality	v	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Reference	Comments
	0.56 [B]	j	44	****	nir	All	All	All	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [<i>read more</i>]
	0.18 [B]	82	***	ninir	All	Inj	Serious ury,Minor Injury	All	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [read more]
	0.29 [В]	71	××	***	All		All	Rural	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [<i>read more</i>]
	0.13 [B]	87	***	**	All	Inju	erious ry,Minor njury	Rural	Rodegerdts et 과, 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [<i>read more</i>]
	0.71 [В]	29	XXX	ŔŔŔ	All		All	Urban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [read more]
	0.19 [B]	81	XXX	k ik	All	Inju	erious ry,Minor njury	Urban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [<i>read more</i>]
	0.61 [В]	39	XXX	ŔŔŔ	All		All	Urban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [read more]
	0.22 [B]	78	XXXX	ŔŔ	All	Inju	erious ry,Minor njury	Urban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [<i>read more</i>]

Countermeasure: Convert intersection with minor-road stop control to modern roundabout

"NOTE: You can compare CMFs across countermeasures, subcategories, and categories.

Countermeasure: Convert signalized intersection to modern roundabout

Compare	СМР	CRF(%) Qualit	y Cra y Typ		Area v Type	Reference	Comments
	0.68	3 32	****	rin Al	Serious I injury,Min injury	or Not specifie	De Brabander and Vereeck, 2007	Countermeasure name has been slightly [read more]
	0.4 [B]	60 📩	nininik	All	Serious Injury,Minor Injury	Urban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed to match [read more]
	0.33 [B]	67 🦻	nininini	All	All S	Guburban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed to match [read more]
	0.52 [B]	48	XXXXXX	All	All	All	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed to match [read /Nore]
	0.22 [B]	78 🙀	nicioică	All	Serious Injury,Minor Injury	All	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed to match [read more]
	0.79	21	*****	All	All	Urban and suburban	Gross et al., 2012	Countermeasure name has been slightly [read more]
	0.34	66 🙀	nininini il	All	Serious injury,Minor injury	Urban and suburba	Gross et al., n 2012	Countermeasure name has been slightly [read more]
	0.58	42	*****	All	All	Suburbar	Gross et al., 2012	Countermeasure name has been slightly [read more]

Dual CRF for CSAH 18 between TH 41 and Norex Dr

Improvements include a converting the signal at TH 41 and CSAH 18 to a multilane RAB and converting the intersections of Norex Dr and Peavy Ln to roundabouts

TH 41 Intersection

CR1=Convert Signal to Multilane RAB CR2=Improve Pavement Friction

CR=1-(1-CR1)*(1-CR2)

All = CR = 1 - (1-.48)*(1-.41)= .69 All (injury): CR = 1 - (1-.78)*(1-.41) = .87

Peavy Intersection

CR1=Convert minor street stop to RAB CR2=Improve Pavement Friction

CR=1-(1-CR1)*(1-CR2)

All: CR=1 - (1-.71)*(1-.41) = .83 All (injury): CR=1 - (1-.87)*(1-.41) = .92

CSAH 18 (Lyman Blvd) from TH 41 to CSAH 15 (2013 - 2015) - created on 06-17-2016 by rile1c Crash data is managed by the Mn/DOT Office of Traffic, Safety, and Operations.

<u>orasii ua</u>	ata 15 managet	a by the will/DOT	Office of frame, of	arety, and ope	ations.				
SYS	NUM	REF_POINT	GIS_ROUTE	GIS_TM	RD_DIR	ELEM	RELY	INV	R_U
10	06450094	000+00.720	1006450094	0.720	Z		1	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.347	0410000018	3.347	W		1	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.353	0410000018	3.353	Z		1	1	U
04	10000018	003+00.446	0410000018	3.446	Z		1	2	U
04	10000018	003+00.459	0410000018	3.459	E		1	1	U
04	10000018	003+00.461	0410000018	3.461	Z		1	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.461	0410000018	3.461	Z		1	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.475	0410000018	3.475	Z		1	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.517	0410000018	3.517	Z		2	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.908	0410000018	3.908	Z	_	3	θ	Ų
04	10000018	004+00.111	0410000018	4.111	Z	_	1	2	Ų
04	10000018	004+00.111	0410000018	4.111	Z	_	1	2	Ĥ
04	10000018	004+00.111	0410000018	4 .111	Z	_	1	2	Ų

•	n	$\mathbf{\Omega}$
,		С

ATP	СО	CITY	DOW	MONTH
DRIVER OF V1 WAS STOPPED ON NB PEAVEY RD AT STOP SIGN WAITING TO MAKE A LEFT TURN ONTO LYMAN BLVD W	10	0645	6-Fri	2
UNIT 2 WAS TRAVELING WEST ON LYMAN BLVD. DRIVER OF UNIT 1 WAS ON PEAVEY RD AND ENTERING LYMAN BLVD	10	0645	4-Wed	6
THE DRIVER OF THE GMC YUKON REAR ENDED THE TOYOTA PUSHING IT INTO THE LEXUS. NO INJURIES REPORTED.	10	0645	6-Fri	12
UNIT 1 WAS W/B ON 82ND STREET ABOUT 75 FEET WEST OF PEAVEY ROAD WHEN IT STRUCK A PARKED ORANGE SKID	10	0645	5-Thu	6
V2 WAS IN LEFT THROUGH LANE EAST BOUND, V1 WAS IN RIGHT LANE. V2 STATED HE RELIZED HE NEEDED TO TUR	10	0645	3-Tue	12
DRIVER OF U1 STATED SHE WAS STOPPED ON LYMAN BLVD. @ PEAVEY ROAD, FACING WEST, WAITING TO MAKE A LE	10	0645	2-Mon	10
UNIT 1 WAS TRAVELING WB ON LYMAN BOULEVARD MAKING A SOUTHBOUND TURN ONTO PEAVEY RD. A SCHOOL BUS WA	10	0645	2-Mon	2
UNIT 2 WAS STOPEPD IN TRAFFIC WHILE WAITING FOR A VEHICLE TO TURN NORTH. UNIT 1 STRUCK THE REAR OF	10	0645	4-Wed	11
DRIVER OF U1 STATED SHE WAS TRAVELING WB ON LYMAN BLVD. WHEN EB U2 LOST CONTROL, ENTERED HER LANE C	10	0645	3-Tue	12
	10	0645	5-Thu	12
ON 10/21/2014 AT 1517 HOURS, THERE WAS A TWO VEHICLE PROPERTY DAMAGE CRASH AT THE INTERSECTION OF L	10	0640	3 Tue	10
VEHS WERE EB ON CO RD 18. VEH CROSSED OVER CO RD 15. DRIVER#1 STATED TRAFFIC WAS SLOWED AND SHE HAD	10	0640	3 Tue	4
ON 01/06/2015 AT 0800 HOURS, THERE WAS A SINGLE VEHICLE ACCIDENT ON LYMAN BLVD. AT THE INTERSECTION	10	0640	3-Tue	1

DAY	YEAR	TIME	SEV
8	2013	1152	Ν
3	2015	1905	Ν
13	2013	1722	Ν
27	2013	0313	С
22	2015	1246	Ν
27	2014	0720	Ν
4	2013	0627	Ν
13	2013	1521	Ν
16	2014	0735	В
10	2015	0700	N
21	2014	1517	N
7	2015	1519	N
6	2015	0800	N

NUM_KILLED	NUM_VEH	JUNC	SL	TYPE	DIAG	LOC1	TCD	LIT	WTHR1	WTHR2	SURF	CHAR	DESGN
0	2	4	30	1	1	1	4	1	2	0	2	1	6
0	2	2	45	1	5	1	4	1	1	3	2	2	8
0	3	1	30	1	1	1	1	4	2	0	3	2	8
0	1	1	30	21	1	1	98	99	1	0	1	1	8
0	2	4	45	1	2	1	4	1	2	0	1	1	90
0	2	4	40	1	1	1	4	4	1	0	1	1	6
0	2	4	40	1	5	1	98	4	1	0	5	1	5
0	2	1	30	1	1	1	98	1	1	0	1	1	8
0	2	1	45	1	5	1	98	1	2	4	5	4	8
θ	1	θ	45	8	90	θ	98	2	2	θ	1	θ	θ
θ	2	4	45	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3
θ	3	4	50	1	1	1	98	1	1	1	1	1	5
θ	1	2	45	26	8	3	1	2	1	θ	5	5	3

	PERSON1		
ACC_NUM	VTYPE	DIR	ACT
130390074	1	1	11
151540146	3	1	6
133510536	3	7	11
131790004	1	7	1
153560329	1	3	5
143000063	1	7	11
130350048	1	3	1
133170186	3	3	1
143500103	1	7	1
160120015	3	7	1
142940077	8	7	11
150970095	1	3	10
150060066	1	3	6

								PERSON2							
FAC1	FAC2	POSN	INJ	EQP	PHYS	AGE	SEX	VTYPE	DIR	ACT	FAC1	FAC2	POSN	INJ	EQP
1	0	1	Ν	4	1	65	М	1	1	1	15	0	1	Ν	4
15	0	1	Ν	4	1	28	М	1	7	1	1	0	1	Ν	4
1	0	1	Ν	4	1	41	F	1	7	11	1	0	1	Ν	4
15	0	1	С	1	1	33	F								
8	0	1	Ν	4	1	22	М	1	3	1	1	0	1	Ν	4
1	0	1	Ν	4	1	48	F	3	7	1	15	0	1	Ν	4
8	0	1	Ν	4	1	27	F	35	7	6	0	0	1	Ν	4
15	0	1	Ν	4	1	20	М	1	3	11	1	0	1	Ν	4
1	0	1	В	4	1	56	F	4	3	1	61	46	1	Ν	4
θ	θ	1	N	4	θ	54	M								
1	θ	1	N	4	1	64	M	3	7	10	15	θ	1	N	4
4	θ	1	N	4	1	17	÷	1	3	10	1	θ	1	N	4
3	θ	1	N	4	1	17	ŧ								

			PERSON3
PHYS	AGE	SEX	VTYPE
1	27	Μ	
1	16	Μ	
1	20	F	3
1	19	F	
1	61	М	
1	38	Μ	
1	34	Μ	
1	48	F	
1	16	М	
1	18	М	1

										PERSON4					
DIR	ACT	FAC1	FAC2	POSN	INJ	EQP	PHYS	AGE	SEX	VTYPE	DIR	ACT	FAC1	FAC2	POSN

7

INJ	EQP	PHYS	AGE	SEX

TH 41 From 350' North and South of CSAH 18 (2013 -2015) - created on 06-17-2016 by Crash data is managed by the Mn/DOT Office of Traffic, Safety, and Operations.

SYS	NUM	REF_POINT	GIS_ROUTE	GIS_TM	RD_DIR	ELEM	RELY	INV	R_U
03	00000041	006+00.224	030000041	6.219	Ν		2	2	U
03	00000041	006+00.230	030000041	6.225	Е		1	3	U
03	00000041	006+00.230	030000041	6.225	Z		1	1	U
03	00000041	006+00.230	030000041	6.225	Z		1	3	U
03	00000041	006+00.230	030000041	6.225	Z		1	3	U
03	00000041	006+00.230	030000041	6.225	S		1	1	U

y rile1che

IE SEV
0 C
9 N
5 N
6 N
9 N
9 N
170 155 172 152 162 172

NUM_KILLED	NUM_VEH	JUNC	SL	TYPE	DIAG	LOC1	TCD	LIT	WTHR1	WTHR2	SURF	CHAR	DESGN	ACC_NUM
0	2	1	50	1	1	1	1	1	3	2	2	2	8	152640134
0	2	4	40	1	3	1	1	1	2	0	2	1	3	130740171
0	2	1	55	1	1	1	98	1	3	0	2	1	8	141900238
0	2	4	50	1	1	1	1	1	4	0	3	1	5	150340220
0	2	4	50	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	152330136
0	3	4	50	1	1	1	1	4	1	0	1	1	8	153480317

PERSON1				
VTYPE	DIR	ACT	FAC1	FAC2
1	1	10	1	0
1	3	1	1	0
2	3	10	1	0
3	5	11	1	0
2	1	1	15	15
1	5	11	1	0

						PERSON2											PERSON3			
POSN	INJ	EQP	PHYS	AGE	SEX	VTYPE2	DIR3	ACT4	FAC15	FAC26	POSN7	INJ8	EQP9	PHYS10	AGE11	SEX12	VTYPE13	DIR14	ACT15	FAC116
1	С	4	1	61	М	2	1	10	9	0	1	Ν	4	1	44	М				
1	Ν	4	1	53	Μ	1	5	6	2	0	1	Ν	4	1	30	F				
1	Ν	4	1	30	Μ	1	3	10	4	0	1	Ν	4	1	26	М				
1	Ν	4	1	33	F	4	5	10	61	15	1	Ν	4	1	56	М				
1	Ν	4	1	35	Μ	1	1	11	1	1	1	Ν	4	1	23	F				
1	Ν	4	1	33	F	3	5	11	1	0	1	Ν	4	1	41	Μ	3	5		

							PERSON4								
FAC217	POSN18	INJ19	EQP20	PHYS21	AGE22	SEX23	VTYPE24	DIR25	ACT26	FAC127	FAC228	POSN29	INJ30	EQP31	PHYS32





July 8, 2016

Darin Mielke PE LSIT PMP Assistant Public Works Director, Deputy County Engineer Carver County Public Works 11360 Highway 212, Suite 1 Cologne, MN 55322

RE: Regional Solicitation Application for CSAH 18 Reconstruction Project - Phase 2A

Dear Mr. Mielke:

Thank you for requesting a letter of support from MnDOT for the Metropolitan Council/Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) 2016 Regional Solicitation. Your application for the CSAH 18 Reconstruction Project - Phase 2A impacts MnDOT right of way on TH 41.

MnDOT, as the agency with jurisdiction over TH 41, would allow the improvements included in the application for CSAH 18 Reconstruction Project - Phase 2A. Details of a future maintenance agreement with the City would be determined during project development to define how the improvements will be maintained for the project's useful life.

This project has no funding from MnDOT. In addition, the Metro District currently has no discretionary funding in year 2020 of the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) or year 2021 of the Capital Highway Investment Plan (CHIP) to assist with construction or assist with MnDOT services such as the design or construction engineering of the project. Please continue to work with MnDOT Area staff to assist in identifying additional project funding if needed.

Sincerely,

Scott McBride, P.E. Metro District Engineer

Cc: Elaine Koustsoukos, Metropolitan Council Jon Solberg, MnDOT Metro District – South Area Manager





1.\Projects\09000\9282\CAD_BIM\Graphics\9282_gr04.dgr

Consulting C Job # 9282 6/9/2016

CSAH 18 CSAH 18 from TH 41 to CSAH 15 Carver County

Figure 1

Figure 2 (Street Views)

CSAH 18 (west) at TH 41



CSAH 18 (west) at Peavey Road



CSAH 18 (east) at Norex Drive



CSAH 18 (east) at CSAH 15











				-									
HS			Control Section	T.H. / Roadway		Location	1		Beginning Ref. Pt.	Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
works	snee	t		CSAH 18	CSAH 18 and Pe	avy					Carver	1/1/2013	12/31/2015
			Descripti Proposed		Minor Stop to RA	В							
Accid			1 Rear End		2 Sideswipe Same Direction		n Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,7 Ran off Road	8, 9 Head On/ Sideswipe -		6, 90, 99	
						9				Opposite Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F	_										
	ry (PI)	A											
Study Period:	Personal Injury (PI)	В											
Number of Crashes	Persoi	С		1									1
	Property Damage	PD		4	1			2					7
% Change	Fatal	F											
in Crashes		Α											
*Llas Orașt	PI	В											
<u>*Use Crash</u> Modification Factors		С		-92%									
<u>Clearinghouse</u>	Property Damage	PD		-83%	-83%			-100%					
	Fatal	F											
		A											
Change in Crashes	PI	В											
= No. of		С		-0.92									-0.92
crashes X % change in crashes	Property Damage	PD		-3.32	-0.83			-2.00					-6.15
Year (Safety Improvement Construction)			2020										
Project Cost (exclude Right of Way			·)	\$ 6,889,500	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes	Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.10	

			Total				\$		Office of Traffic, Safety and Technology 2015
2. Project Service Life (n)		20	PD	-6.15	-2.05	\$ 7,600	\$	15,594	
1. Discount Rate		4.5%	С	-0.92	-0.31	\$ 83,000	\$	25,477	See "Calculations" sheet for amortization.
Capital Recovery			В			\$ 170,000			C= \$ 6,889,500
Traffic Growth Factor		3%	А			\$ 570,000			B= <u>\$ 718,490</u>
Right of Way Costs (optional)			F			\$ 1,400,000			Using present worth values,
Toject Cost (exclude Right of Way)	Ф	0,889,500	CIASII	Clashes	Clashes	Clash	1	Jenenit	

											-			
HS			Control Section	T.H. / Roadway			Location			Beginning Ref. Pt.	Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
works	hee	t		CSAH 13	CSAH	18 and TH	[41					Carver	1/1/2013	12/31/2015
			Descripti Proposed		Conver	t signal to	multilane	RAB						
Accid		igram	1 Rear End		2 Sidesw	vipe			5 Right Angle	4,7 Ran off Road	8,9 Head On/		6, 90, 99	
	(Codes			Same Dir	rection					Sideswipe - Opposite Direction			
			}			→	ſ					Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F												
	y (PI)	A												
Study Period:	Personal Injury (PI)	В												
Number of Crashes	Persor	С		1										1
	Property Damage	PD		4				1						5
	Fatal]													
% Change in Crashes	Fa	F												
		Α												
<u>*Use Crash</u>	PI	B												
Modification Factors	> e	C		-87%										
<u>Clearinghouse</u>	Property Damage	PD		-69%				-69%						
	Fatal	F												
		A												
Change in Crashes	PI	В												
= No. of		С		-0.87										-0.87
crashes X % change in crashes	Property Damage	PD		-2.76				-0.69						-3.45
						-0.09						-3.43		
Year (Safety Improvement Construction)				2020		Study								
Project Cost (exclude Right of Way)			<i>'</i>)	\$6	5,889,500	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes	Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.08	

TOJECT COST (exclude Right of Way)	\$ 0	,889,500	Crash	Crashes	Clashes	Crash	_	Denent			
Right of Way Costs (optional)			F			\$ 1,400,000			Using present	worth	values,
Traffic Growth Factor	3	3%	Α			\$ 570,000			B =	\$	574,500
Capital Recovery			В			\$ 170,000			C=	\$	6,889,500
1. Discount Rate	4.	.5%	С	-0.87	-0.29	\$ 83,000	\$	24,092	See "Calculat	ions" s	cheet for amortization.
2. Project Service Life (n)		20	PD	-3.45	-1.15	\$ 7,600	\$	8,748			
			Total				\$		Office of Tra Technology		afety and 015

HS			Control Section	T.H. / Roadway			Location			Beginning Ref. Pt.	Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
works	shee	t		CSAH 18	CSAH	18 Segmer	nt					Carver	1/1/2013	12/31/2015
			Descripti Proposed		Reconst	truct Paver	ment							
Accid			1 Rear End		2 Sidesw Same Dir	vipe		n Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,7 Ran off Road	8, 9 Head On/ Sideswipe -		6, 90, 99	
							9		\		Opposite Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F												
	y (PI)	A												
Study Period:	Personal Injury (PI)	В							1					1
Number of Crashes	Person	C												
	Property Damage	PD												
% Change	DI Eatal Fatal Damage A A													
in Crashes		A												
	PI	в							-41%					
<u>*Use Crash</u> Modification Factors		С												
<u>Clearinghouse</u>	Property Damage	PD												
	Fatal	F												
		Α												
Change in Crashes	PI	В							-0.41					-0.41
= No. of		С												
crashes X % change in crashes	Property Damage	PD												
Year (Safety Improvement Construction			tion)		2020									
Project Cost (exclude Right of Way)			7)	\$ 6	5,889,500	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes	Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.06	

roject Cost (exclude Right of Way)	\$ 6,889,500	Crash	Clasiles	Clasiles		Crash	_	Denent	
Right of Way Costs (optional)		F			\$	1,400,000			Using present worth values,
Traffic Growth Factor	3%	Α			\$	570,000			B= <u>\$</u> 406,81
Capital Recovery		В	-0.41	-0.14	\$	170,000	\$	23,255	C= \$ 6,889,50
1. Discount Rate	4.5%	С			\$	83,000			See "Calculations" sheet for amortization
2. Project Service Life (n)	20	PD			\$	7,600			
		Total \$ 23,255					Office of Traffic, Safety and Technology 2015		

Countermeasure: Improve pavement friction (increase skid resistance)

	CMF	CRF(%)		Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area	Reference	Comments
	0.799	20.1	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.667	33.3 🔶	***	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
	0.819	18.1 🖌	***	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.797	20.3 🔶	***	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	1.271	27.1	AAA A	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.426	57.4 🔺	****	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.372	62.8 🔺	****	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud,	

	0.575	42.5	****	Rear end,Wet road	All		Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
	0.59	41	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
\langle	0.589	41.1	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
	0.361	63.9	****	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
\langle	0.304	69.6	****	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
	0.943	5.7	****	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
	0.504	49.6	****	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	

	0.221	77.9	****	Rear end,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008			
•										
<	0.787	21.3	****	Angle	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	>		
	0.828	17.2	****	Angle	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008			
•										
	0.898	10.2	****	Angle	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008			
•										
	0.799	20.1	****	Angle,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008			
•										
	0.47	53	****	Angle,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008			
•										
	0.828	17.2	****	Angle,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008			
•										
Compare	СМГ	: CF	RF(%)	Quality	v	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Reference	Comments
---------	-------------	------	-------------	-------------	-----	---------------	--------------------------------	--------------	----------------------------	---
	0.56 [B]	j	44	****	nir	All	All	All	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [<i>read more</i>]
	0.18 [B]	82	***	ninir	All	Inj	Serious ury,Minor Injury	All	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [read more]
	0.29 [В]	71	××	***	All		All	Rural	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [<i>read more</i>]
	0.13 [B]	87	***	**	All	Inju	erious ry,Minor njury	Rural	Rodegerdts et 과, 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [<i>read more</i>]
	0.71 [В]	29	XXX	ŔŔŔ	All		All	Urban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [read more]
	0.19 [B]	81	XXX	k ik	All	Inju	erious ry,Minor njury	Urban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [<i>read more</i>]
	0.61 [B]	39	XXX	ŔŔŔ	All		All	Urban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [read more]
	0.22 [B]	78	XXXX	ŔŔ	All	Inju	erious ry,Minor njury	Urban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed from "convert [<i>read more</i>]

Countermeasure: Convert intersection with minor-road stop control to modern roundabout

"NOTE: You can compare CMFs across countermeasures, subcategories, and categories.

Countermeasure: Convert signalized intersection to modern roundabout

Compare	СМР	CRF(%) Qualit	y Cra y Typ		Area v Type	Reference	Comments
	0.68	3 32	****	rin Al	Serious I injury,Min injury	or Not specifie	De Brabander and Vereeck, 2007	Countermeasure name has been slightly [read more]
	0.4 [B]	60 📩	nininik	All	Serious Injury,Minor Injury	Urban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed to match [read more]
	0.33 [B]	67 🦻	nininini	All	All S	Guburban	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed to match [read more]
	0.52 [B]	48	XXXXXX	All	All	All	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed to match [read /Nore]
	0.22 [B]	78 🙀	nicioică	All	Serious Injury,Minor Injury	All	Rodegerdts et al., 2007	Countermeasure name changed to match [read more]
	0.79	21	*****	All	All	Urban and suburban	Gross et al., 2012	Countermeasure name has been slightly [read more]
	0.34	66 🙀	nininini il	All	Serious injury,Minor injury	Urban and suburba	Gross et al., n 2012	Countermeasure name has been slightly [read more]
	0.58	42	*****	All	All	Suburbar	Gross et al., 2012	Countermeasure name has been slightly [read more]

Dual CRF for CSAH 18 between TH 41 and Norex Dr

Improvements include a converting the signal at TH 41 and CSAH 18 to a multilane RAB and converting the intersections of Norex Dr and Peavy Ln to roundabouts

TH 41 Intersection

CR1=Convert Signal to Multilane RAB CR2=Improve Pavement Friction

CR=1-(1-CR1)*(1-CR2)

All = CR = 1 - (1-.48)*(1-.41)= .69 All (injury): CR = 1 - (1-.78)*(1-.41) = .87

Peavy Intersection

CR1=Convert minor street stop to RAB CR2=Improve Pavement Friction

CR=1-(1-CR1)*(1-CR2)

All: CR=1 - (1-.71)*(1-.41) = .83 All (injury): CR=1 - (1-.87)*(1-.41) = .92

CSAH 18 (Lyman Blvd) from TH 41 to CSAH 15 (2013 - 2015) - created on 06-17-2016 by rile1c Crash data is managed by the Mn/DOT Office of Traffic, Safety, and Operations.

<u>orasii ua</u>	ata 15 managet	a by the will/DOT	Office of frame, of	arety, and ope	ations.				
SYS	NUM	REF_POINT	GIS_ROUTE	GIS_TM	RD_DIR	ELEM	RELY	INV	R_U
10	06450094	000+00.720	1006450094	0.720	Z		1	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.347	0410000018	3.347	W		1	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.353	0410000018	3.353	Z		1	1	U
04	10000018	003+00.446	0410000018	3.446	Z		1	2	U
04	10000018	003+00.459	0410000018	3.459	Е		1	1	U
04	10000018	003+00.461	0410000018	3.461	Z		1	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.461	0410000018	3.461	Z		1	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.475	0410000018	3.475	Z		1	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.517	0410000018	3.517	Z		2	3	U
04	10000018	003+00.908	0410000018	3.908	Z	_	3	θ	Ų
04	10000018	004+00.111	0410000018	4.111	Z	_	1	2	Ų
04	10000018	004+00.111	0410000018	4.111	Z	_	1	2	Ĥ
04	10000018	004+00.111	0410000018	4 .111	Z	_	1	2	Ų

•	n	$\mathbf{\Omega}$
,		С

ATP	СО	CITY	DOW	MONTH
DRIVER OF V1 WAS STOPPED ON NB PEAVEY RD AT STOP SIGN WAITING TO MAKE A LEFT TURN ONTO LYMAN BLVD W	10	0645	6-Fri	2
UNIT 2 WAS TRAVELING WEST ON LYMAN BLVD. DRIVER OF UNIT 1 WAS ON PEAVEY RD AND ENTERING LYMAN BLVD	10	0645	4-Wed	6
THE DRIVER OF THE GMC YUKON REAR ENDED THE TOYOTA PUSHING IT INTO THE LEXUS. NO INJURIES REPORTED.	10	0645	6-Fri	12
UNIT 1 WAS W/B ON 82ND STREET ABOUT 75 FEET WEST OF PEAVEY ROAD WHEN IT STRUCK A PARKED ORANGE SKID	10	0645	5-Thu	6
V2 WAS IN LEFT THROUGH LANE EAST BOUND, V1 WAS IN RIGHT LANE. V2 STATED HE RELIZED HE NEEDED TO TUR	10	0645	3-Tue	12
DRIVER OF U1 STATED SHE WAS STOPPED ON LYMAN BLVD. @ PEAVEY ROAD, FACING WEST, WAITING TO MAKE A LE	10	0645	2-Mon	10
UNIT 1 WAS TRAVELING WB ON LYMAN BOULEVARD MAKING A SOUTHBOUND TURN ONTO PEAVEY RD. A SCHOOL BUS WA	10	0645	2-Mon	2
UNIT 2 WAS STOPEPD IN TRAFFIC WHILE WAITING FOR A VEHICLE TO TURN NORTH. UNIT 1 STRUCK THE REAR OF	10	0645	4-Wed	11
DRIVER OF U1 STATED SHE WAS TRAVELING WB ON LYMAN BLVD. WHEN EB U2 LOST CONTROL, ENTERED HER LANE C	10	0645	3-Tue	12
	10	0645	5-Thu	12
ON 10/21/2014 AT 1517 HOURS, THERE WAS A TWO VEHICLE PROPERTY DAMAGE CRASH AT THE INTERSECTION OF L	10	0640	3 Tue	10
VEHS WERE EB ON CO RD 18. VEH CROSSED OVER CO RD 15. DRIVER#1 STATED TRAFFIC WAS SLOWED AND SHE HAD	10	0640	3 Tue	4
ON 01/06/2015 AT 0800 HOURS, THERE WAS A SINGLE VEHICLE ACCIDENT ON LYMAN BLVD. AT THE INTERSECTION	10	0640	3-Tue	1

DAY	YEAR	TIME	SEV
8	2013	1152	Ν
3	2015	1905	Ν
13	2013	1722	Ν
27	2013	0313	С
22	2015	1246	Ν
27	2014	0720	Ν
4	2013	0627	Ν
13	2013	1521	Ν
16	2014	0735	В
10	2015	0700	N
21	2014	1517	N
7	2015	1519	N
6	2015	0800	N

NUM_KILLED	NUM_VEH	JUNC	SL	TYPE	DIAG	LOC1	TCD	LIT	WTHR1	WTHR2	SURF	CHAR	DESGN
0	2	4	30	1	1	1	4	1	2	0	2	1	6
0	2	2	45	1	5	1	4	1	1	3	2	2	8
0	3	1	30	1	1	1	1	4	2	0	3	2	8
0	1	1	30	21	1	1	98	99	1	0	1	1	8
0	2	4	45	1	2	1	4	1	2	0	1	1	90
0	2	4	40	1	1	1	4	4	1	0	1	1	6
0	2	4	40	1	5	1	98	4	1	0	5	1	5
0	2	1	30	1	1	1	98	1	1	0	1	1	8
0	2	1	45	1	5	1	98	1	2	4	5	4	8
θ	1	θ	45	8	90	θ	98	2	2	θ	1	θ	θ
θ	2	4	45	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3
θ	3	4	50	1	1	1	98	1	1	1	1	1	5
θ	1	2	45	26	8	3	1	2	1	θ	5	5	3

	PERSON1		
ACC_NUM	VTYPE	DIR	ACT
130390074	1	1	11
151540146	3	1	6
133510536	3	7	11
131790004	1	7	1
153560329	1	3	5
143000063	1	7	11
130350048	1	3	1
133170186	3	3	1
143500103	1	7	1
160120015	3	7	1
142940077	8	7	11
150970095	1	3	10
150060066	1	3	6

								PERSON2							
FAC1	FAC2	POSN	INJ	EQP	PHYS	AGE	SEX	VTYPE	DIR	ACT	FAC1	FAC2	POSN	INJ	EQP
1	0	1	Ν	4	1	65	М	1	1	1	15	0	1	Ν	4
15	0	1	Ν	4	1	28	М	1	7	1	1	0	1	Ν	4
1	0	1	Ν	4	1	41	F	1	7	11	1	0	1	Ν	4
15	0	1	С	1	1	33	F								
8	0	1	Ν	4	1	22	М	1	3	1	1	0	1	Ν	4
1	0	1	Ν	4	1	48	F	3	7	1	15	0	1	Ν	4
8	0	1	Ν	4	1	27	F	35	7	6	0	0	1	Ν	4
15	0	1	Ν	4	1	20	М	1	3	11	1	0	1	Ν	4
1	0	1	В	4	1	56	F	4	3	1	61	46	1	Ν	4
θ	θ	1	N	4	θ	54	M								
1	θ	1	N	4	1	64	M	3	7	10	15	θ	1	N	4
4	θ	1	N	4	1	17	÷	1	3	10	1	θ	1	N	4
3	θ	1	N	4	1	17	ŧ								

			PERSON3
PHYS	AGE	SEX	VTYPE
1	27	Μ	
1	16	Μ	
1	20	F	3
1	19	F	
1	61	М	
1	38	Μ	
1	34	Μ	
1	48	F	
1	16	М	
1	18	М	1

										PERSON4					
DIR	ACT	FAC1	FAC2	POSN	INJ	EQP	PHYS	AGE	SEX	VTYPE	DIR	ACT	FAC1	FAC2	POSN

7

INJ	EQP	PHYS	AGE	SEX

TH 41 From 350' North and South of CSAH 18 (2013 -2015) - created on 06-17-2016 by Crash data is managed by the Mn/DOT Office of Traffic, Safety, and Operations.

SYS	NUM	REF_POINT	GIS_ROUTE	GIS_TM	RD_DIR	ELEM	RELY	INV	R_U
03	00000041	006+00.224	030000041	6.219	Ν		2	2	U
03	00000041	006+00.230	030000041	6.225	Е		1	3	U
03	00000041	006+00.230	030000041	6.225	Z		1	1	U
03	00000041	006+00.230	030000041	6.225	Z		1	3	U
03	00000041	006+00.230	030000041	6.225	Z		1	3	U
03	00000041	006+00.230	030000041	6.225	S		1	1	U

y rile1che

E SEV
0 C
9 N
5 N
6 N
9 N
9 N
L70 L55 L72 L52 L62 L72

NUM_KILLED	NUM_VEH	JUNC	SL	TYPE	DIAG	LOC1	TCD	LIT	WTHR1	WTHR2	SURF	CHAR	DESGN	ACC_NUM
0	2	1	50	1	1	1	1	1	3	2	2	2	8	152640134
0	2	4	40	1	3	1	1	1	2	0	2	1	3	130740171
0	2	1	55	1	1	1	98	1	3	0	2	1	8	141900238
0	2	4	50	1	1	1	1	1	4	0	3	1	5	150340220
0	2	4	50	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	152330136
0	3	4	50	1	1	1	1	4	1	0	1	1	8	153480317

PERSON1				
VTYPE	DIR	ACT	FAC1	FAC2
1	1	10	1	0
1	3	1	1	0
2	3	10	1	0
3	5	11	1	0
2	1	1	15	15
1	5	11	1	0

						PERSON2											PERSON3			
POSN	INJ	EQP	PHYS	AGE	SEX	VTYPE2	DIR3	ACT4	FAC15	FAC26	POSN7	INJ8	EQP9	PHYS10	AGE11	SEX12	VTYPE13	DIR14	ACT15	FAC116
1	С	4	1	61	Μ	2	1	10	9	0	1	Ν	4	1	44	М				
1	Ν	4	1	53	М	1	5	6	2	0	1	Ν	4	1	30	F				
1	Ν	4	1	30	М	1	3	10	4	0	1	Ν	4	1	26	М				
1	Ν	4	1	33	F	4	5	10	61	15	1	Ν	4	1	56	М				
1	Ν	4	1	35	М	1	1	11	1	1	1	Ν	4	1	23	F				
1	Ν	4	1	33	F	3	5	11	1	0	1	Ν	4	1	41	Μ	3	5		

							PERSON4								
FAC217	POSN18	INJ19	EQP20	PHYS21	AGE22	SEX23	VTYPE24	DIR25	ACT26	FAC127	FAC228	POSN29	INJ30	EQP31	PHYS32



2: TH 41 (Hazeltine Blvd) & Lyman Blvd

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1792	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	28	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.61	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.51	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.61	

2: TH 41 (Hazeltine Blvd) & Lyman Blvd

Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1794	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.50	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.49	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.58	

Intersection									
Intersection Delay, s/veh	9.1								
Intersection LOS	А								
Approach		EB		WB		NB		SB	
Entry Lanes		2		2		2		2	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		2		2		2		2	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		175		495		708		614	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		182		514		736		639	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		975		682		159		358	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		22		213		998		838	
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186		3.186		3.186		3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0		0		0		0	
Ped Cap Adj		1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		8.8		11.8		7.7		8.6	
Approach LOS		А		В		А		А	
Lane	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	
Designated Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	
Assumed Moves	LT	TR	L	TR	LT	TR	LT	TR	
RT Channelized									
Lane Util	0.473	0.527	0.665	0.335	0.470	0.530	0.469	0.531	
Critical Headway, s	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	4.293	4.113	
Entry Flow, veh/h	86	96	342	172	346	390	300	339	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	544	571	678	701	1003	1011	864	879	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.957	0.967	0.962	0.963	0.961	0.962	0.962	0.960	
Flow Entry, veh/h	82	93	329	166	333	375	289	326	
	520	552	652	675	964	972	831	845	
Cap Entry, veh/h									
V/C Ratio	0.158	0.168	0.505	0.245	0.345	0.386	0.347	0.385	
V/C Ratio Control Delay, s/veh	0.158 9.0	8.7	13.5	8.3	0.345 7.4	7.9	0.347 8.4	8.8	
V/C Ratio	0.158								

CITY OF CHANHASSEN CARVER AND HENNEPIN COUNTIES, MINNESOTA

June 27, 2016 **RESOLUTION NO:** DATE: 2016-45

MOTION BY: Tjornhom SECONDED BY: **McDonald**

APPROVE APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL FUNDING FOR CSAH 18 (LYMAN BLVD.) FROM TH 41 (HAZELTINE BLVD.) TO CSAH 15 (GALPIN BLVD.)

WHEREAS, County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 18 is an A Minor Expander from TH 41 in the City of Chaska to CSAH 15 in the City of Chanhassen; and

WHEREAS, the 2030 Carver County Road System Plan recognizes the need to improve transportation connections and operations in order to provide a safe and efficient transportation system that meets the anticipated future needs and demands; and

WHEREAS, said transportation plan demonstrates the need to improve and modernize CSAH 18; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Chanhassen, City of Chaska, Carver County and the Minnesota Department of Transportation are working cooperatively to meet the future needs to CSAH 18 and adjacent highways and city streets; and

WHEREAS, the improvement and modernization of CSAH 18 will create a highly accessible facility that will help reduce traffic congestion, improve reliability to highway users, improve safety and enhance the economic vitality of the community.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Chanhassen City Council:

- 1. That the City of Chanhassen endorses Carver County's regional solicitation application submittal to the Metropolitan Council for federal funding for the improvement and modernization of CSAH 18 from approximately TH 41 (Hazeltine Blvd.) and CSAH 15 (Galpin Blvd.).
- 2. That the City of Chanhassen agrees to financially participate with the City of Chaska, the County of Carver and the Minnesota Department of Transportation in providing the matching funding at such time that the project is awarded federal funding subject to agreement on the project details.

Passed and adopted by the Chanhassen City Council this 27th day of June, 2016.

ATTEST

Toda Gerhardt, City Manager

YES Laufenburger Campion McDonald Ryan Tjornhom

Denny Laufenburger, Mayo

NO None

ABSENT None

CITY OF CHASKA CARVER COUNTY, MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION

DATE	JUNE 20, 2016	RESOLUTION NO.	16-39
			10-00

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER BOE SECOND BY COUNCILMEMBER SCHULZ

A RESOLUTION ENDORSING CARVER COUNTY'S APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL FUNDING FOR CSAH 18 (LYMAN BOULEVARD) EXPANSION FROM TH 41 (HAZELTINE BOULEVARD) TO CSAH 15 (GALPIN BOULEVARD)

WHEREAS, County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 18 is an A Minor Expander from TH 41 in the City of Chaska to CSAH 15 in the City of Chanhassen:

WHEREAS, the 2030 Carver County Road System Plan recognizes the need to improve transportation connections and operations in order to provide a safe and efficient transportation system that meets the anticipated future needs and demands;

WHEREAS, said transportation plan demonstrates the need to improve and modernize CSAH 18;

WHEREAS, the City of Chanhassen, City of Chaska, Carver County and the Minnesota Department of Transportation are working cooperatively to meet the future needs to CSAH 18 and adjacent highways and city streets, and

WHEREAS, the improvement and modernization of CSAH 18 will create a highly accessible facility that will help reduce traffic congestion, improve reliability to the highway users, improve safety and enhance the economic vitality of the community;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City of Chaska endorses Carver County's regional solicitation application submittal to the Metropolitan Council for federal funding for the improvement and modernization of CSAH 18 (Lyman Boulevard) from approximately TH 41 (Hazeltine Boulevard) and CSAH 15 (Galpin Boulevard); and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City of Chaska agrees to financially participate with the City of Chanhassen, the County of Carver and the Minnesota Department of Transportation in providing the matching funding at such time that the project is awarded federal funds subject to agreement on the project details.

Passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Chaska, Minnesota, this 20th day of June, 2016.

Attest: Chaska Deputy

11/ant ark Windschitl, Mavor



Project Location CSAH 18 from TH 41 to CSAH 15 Carver County

