Application

04774-2016 Roadway Modernization
05264 - I-694/Rice Street (CSAH 49) Interchange Reconstruction
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status: Submitted
Submitted Date:
07/15/2016 11:29 AM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  | Joseph |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Senior Planner |  |  |  |
| Department: | Ramsey County Public Works |  |  |  |
| Email: | joseph.lux@co.ramsey.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive |  |  |  |
| * | Arden Hills | Minnesota |  | 55112 |
|  | City | State/Province |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 651-266-7114 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: | 651-266-71 |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional So Elements | ation - Roadways | s Includin | Multimodal |

## Organization Information

Name:
Organization Type: County Government

Organization Website:
Address:
DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS
1425 PAUL KIRKWOOD DR

| * | ARDEN HILLS | Minnesota | M5112 <br> Postal Code/Zip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County: | City | Ramsey |  |
| Phone:* |  |  |  |
| Fax: | $651-266-7100$ | Ext. |  |
| PeopleSoft Vendor Number |  |  |  |

## Project Information

Project Name
Primary County where the Project is Located
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):
Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately
400 words)

I-694/Rice Street (CSAH 49) Interchange Reconstruction
Ramsey
Same
This project involves the reconstruction of the I694/Rice Street interchange and the adjacent intersections at Owasso Boulevard/Country Drive and County Road E/Vadnais Boulevard. This project will remove a bottleneck on the Class A Minor Arterial system that results in severe peakhour backups on Rice Street, a Reliever route for I35E south of I-694 and an Expander route north of it. The project will remove geometric deficiencies inherent in the skewed design of the interchange and also improve clear zones on l-694.

Interchange Reconstruction
0.42

## Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)
Minnesota Legislature
Federal Amount
Match Amount
\$7,000,000.00
$\$ 4,659,311.00$
Minimum of $20 \%$ of project total
Project Total
Match Percentage
\$11,659,311.00
39.96\%

Minimum of $20 \%$
Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total
Source of Match Funds
CSAH, CTB, Local, Bond
A minimum of $20 \%$ of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the $20 \%$ minimum can come from other federal sources

Preferred Program Year
Select one:
2020
For TDM projects, select 2018 or 2019. For Roadway, Transit, or Trail/Pedestrian projects, select 2020 or 2021.
Additional Program Years:
Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

## Specific Roadway Elements

## CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES <br> Cost

Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost)
\$450,000.00
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) \$477,675.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.)
\$657,232.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving)
\$1,359,169.00
Subgrade Correction (muck) \$151,029.00

Storm Sewer \$650,000.00
Ponds \$0.00
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers)
Traffic Control
Striping
Signing
Lighting
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping
\$350,000.00
Bridge
\$2,565,000.00
Retaining Walls
$\$ 480,000.00$
Noise Wall (do not include in cost effectiveness measure) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Signals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... \$1,800,346.00
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$11,329,311.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST
ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... \$0.00
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... \$330,000.00
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$330,000.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, ..... $\$ 0.00$ fare collection, etc.)
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Transit Operating Costs

| Number of Platform hours | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Substotal | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc. | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 11,659,311.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 11,659,311.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan, the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2015), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan objectives and strategies that relate to the project.

List the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:
The current expansion project on I-694 is identified in the TPP as a "strategic capacity enhancement" and the interchange project proposed here is identified in Figure 5-7 on Page 5.28 as a 20192029 project. Thrive 2040 goals are: Transportation System Stewardship- Objective A- effectively preserve and maintain the regional transportation system in a state of good repair. Objective Breduce the transportation system's vulnerability to natural and man-made threats
3.The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

List the applicable documents and pages:

Vadnais Heights Comp Plan- P- T-10.

Little Canada Comp Plan- P- 53.

Ramsey County Comp Plan- P-B-8
4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of bicycle/pedestrian projects, transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below.
Roadway Expansion: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Roadway System Management \$250,000 to \$7,000,000
Bridges Rehabilitation/ Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
11. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
12. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
13.The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A-Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Roadway Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization projects only:
2.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
3.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The bridge must have a sufficiency rating less than 80 for rehabilitation projects and less than 50 for replacement projects. Additionally, the bridge must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## Project Information-Roadways

|  | Ramsey Count Public Works |
| :--- | :--- |
| County, City, or Lead Agency | 1425 Paul Kirkwold Dr. |
| Functional Class of Road | Arden Hills, MN 55112 |
|  | Class A Minor Arterial- South of I-694- Reliever; |
|  | North of I-694- Expander |

Road System
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET
Road/Route No.
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53

Name of Road
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed 55126
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date
04/20/2020
(Approximate) End Construction Date 10/30/2020
TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work)
From:
(Intersection or Address)
To:
(Intersection or Address)
DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION
Or At

Primary Types of Work

Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF,
SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER,
SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS,
BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)
Old Bridge/Culvert No.: 62623
New Bridge/Culvert No.: TBD
Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name):

## Expander/Augmentor/Connector/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:

Area
Project Length
Average Distance
Upload Map

Expander
0.945
0.441
2.1429

1474383948187_RADRiceRamsRM.pdf

## Reliever: Relieves a Principal Arterial that is a Freeway Facility

## Reliever: Relieves a Principal Arterial that is a Non-Freeway Facility

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below)

## Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

| Hour | NB/EB Volume | SB/WB Volume | Capacity | Volume exceeds capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00am-1:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 1:00am-2:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 2:00am-3:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 3:00am-4:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 4:00am-5:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 5:00am-6:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 6:00am-7:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 7:00am-8:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 8:00am-9:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 9:00am-10:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 10:00am-11:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 11:00am-12:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 12:00pm - 1:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 1:00pm -2:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 2:00pm -3:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 3:00pm - 4:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 4:00pm - 5:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 5:00pm -6:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 6:00pm - 7:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 7:00pm - 8:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 8:00pm - 9:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 9:00pm-10:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 10:00pm - 11:00pm |  |  | 0 |  |
| 11:00pm - 12:00am |  |  | 0 |  |

Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education
Existing Employment within 1 Mile: ..... 7289
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1 Mile: ..... 2929
Existing Students: ..... 0
Upload Map 1467909522739_Regional Economy Map.pdf
Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

| Location: | south of I-694 North Ramp |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume: | 2128 |
| Date heavy commercial count taken: | June 6, 2016 |

## Measure D: Freight Elements

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
The project will bring Rice Street to 10 -ton standards. This interchange serves as the primary access to the Interstate Highway system for adjacent manufacturing employing 2,929 people. In addition to manufacturing adjacent to the interchange, there are heavy construction companies in the Little Canada industrial park in the southeast quadrant of the interchange that rely on it to transport construction materials.

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | Between Owasso Blvd./Country Dr. and I-694 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 18100 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | $62,262,860$ |
| For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will be moved to the new roadway |  |
| Upload Transit Map | 1467985232845_Transit Connections Map.pdf |

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership 0
Current Daily Person Throughput

## Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume
OR
Identify the approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

Forecast (2040) ADT volume

## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:
Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty with 50\% or more of residents are people of color (ACP50):

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty:
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color: Yes

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly:

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
The project serves areas of affordable housing in Vadnais Heights, Little Canada, and Shoreview. In addition, there are projects underway that are building senior housing and affordable housing immediately adjacent to the project. A significant component of the project is that it will allow redevelopment of a 13-acre site in the southwest quadrant of the interchange to be redeveloped. A market study done for the project shows that the most feasible use of this property is a mixture of housing and office. This property is the former Ramsey County Public Works site, which was purchased with RALF funds.

The response should address the benefits, impacts, and mitigation for the populations affected by the project.
Upload Map 1468328635619_Socio Economic Map.pdf

## Measure B: Affordable Housing

| Shoreview | 0.424 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Vadnais Heights | 0.32 |
| Little Canada | 0.1 |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Total Project Length

Total Project Length (Total Population)
0.42

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

City/Township \begin{tabular}{cccccc}
Segment <br>
Length (Miles)

 

Total Length <br>
(Miles)

$\quad$ Score 

Segment <br>
Length/Total <br>
Length

 


| Housing Score |
| :---: |
| Multiplied by |
| Segment |
| percent | <br>

\end{tabular}

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Total Project Length (Miles)
Total Housing Score
0

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original
Roadway Construction
or Most Recent
Segment Length
Calculation
Calculation 2
Reconstruction

| 1958 | 0.424 | 830.192 | 1958.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | 830 | $\mathbf{1 9 5 8}$ |

Average Construction Year
Weighted Year

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Total Segment Length

## Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

| Improving a non-10-ton roadway to a 10-ton roadway: | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words) | The roadway is currently a 9 -ton facility and will be upgraded to 10-ton standards. |
| Improved clear zones or sight lines: | Yes |
| Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words) | Because of the skew of Rice Street relative to I694, turning vehicles, especially trucks, encroach on opposing lanes when accessing Rice Street from I-694 and sight distance is impaired. This will be remedied by the construction of roundabout intersections. Shoulder construction will add a buffer between pedestrian facilities and through lanes. |
| Improved roadway geometrics: | Yes |
| Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words) | The construction of roundabout intersections will eliminate the issue of turning vehicles encroaching into opposing lanes. As well, conflicts at intersections will be reduced while throughput capacity will be increased. Currently sidewalks that are only about four feet wide are provided only on the bridge. Sidewalks meeting all applicable ADA standards will be provided through the project area. |
| Access management enhancements: | Yes |
| Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words) | This project will close or consolidate 13 accesses, resulting in a net reduction of 9 accesses which will be moved to more functional locations, with two being full-movement accesses and two being right-in/right-out operation. |
| Vertical/horizontal alignments improvements: | Yes |
| Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words) | The proposed design will reduce the angle at which Rice Street crosses I-694 by utilizing straight segments between the roundabout intersections. Clear zones under the I-694 bridge will be brought into conformance with current standards, both horizontally and vertically. |
| Improved stormwater mitigation: | Yes |



## Measure A: Congestion Reduction/Air Quality

$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & \text { EXPLANATIO } \\ \text { Notal Peak } & \text { Total Peak } & \text { Total Peak } & & & \text { Nolal } & \\ \text { Hothodology }\end{array}\right]$

14679931898
73_Synchro-
Rice-1694
Roundabouts
0700-
0800.pdf

## Total Delay

138498.0

Measure B:Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements


Total

Total Emissions Reduced:

Upload Synchro Report
514.08

1468328833782_Synchro-Rice-I694 Roundabouts 07000800.pdf

Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not include railroad grade-separation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only):

| Total (CO, NOX, | Total (CO, NOX, |
| :---: | :---: |
| and VOC) Peak | and VOC) Peak |
| Hour Emissions | Hour Emissions |
| Per Vehicle | Per Vehicle with |
| without the Project | the Project |
| (Kilograms): | (Kilograms): |


| Total (CO, NOX, |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| and VOC) Peak |  |
| Hour Emissions | Volume (Vehicles |
| Reduced Per | Per Hour): |
| Vehicle by the |  |
| Project |  |
| (Kilograms): |  |

0

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak
Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):

## Total Parallel Roadways

Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways
0
Upload Synchro Report

## New Roadway Portion:

Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: ..... 0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project: ..... 0
Total delay in hours with the project: ..... 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: ..... 0
Fuel consumption in gallons: ..... 0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms): ..... 01,400 characters; approximately 200 words)Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by theProject (Kilograms):
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit0.0
Measure B:Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements
Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project: ..... 0
Vehicle miles traveled without the project: ..... 0
Total delay in hours without the project: ..... 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project: ..... 0
Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: ..... 0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project: ..... 0
Total delay in hours with the project: ..... 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: ..... 0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F1) ..... 0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F2) ..... 0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F3) ..... 0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): ..... 0
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.
Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.
Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)

| Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $100 \%$ |  |
| Stakeholders have been identified |  |
| $40 \%$ |  |
| Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted |  |
| $0 \%$ | Yes |
| 2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points) |  |
| Layout or Preliminary Plan completed |  |
| 100\% |  |
| Layout or Preliminary Plan started |  |
| 50\% |  |
| Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started |  |
| 0\% |  |
| Anticipated date or date of completion |  |
| 3)Environmental Documentation (5 Percent of Points) |  |
| EIS |  |
| Document Status: |  |
| PM |  |

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)

Document submitted to State Aid for review

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified; review request letters sent

Yes

Document not started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval

## 4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (10 Percent of Points)

No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated 80\%

Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological resources in the project area

0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
Yes
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (10 Percent of Points)

4(f) Does the project impacts any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild \& scenic rivers or public private historic properties? 6(f) Does the project impact any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild \& scenic rivers or historic property that was purchased or improved with federal funds?

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area Yes
100\%
No impact to 4 f property. The project is an independent
bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway
Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received
100\%
Section $4 f$ resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80\%
Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely
coordination/documentation has begun
50\%
Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely
coordination/documentation has not begun
30\%
Unsure if there are any impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area

0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements not required

100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements has/have been acquired

100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, offers made

75\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, appraisals made

50\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels identified

Yes

25\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels not identified

0\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements identification has not been completed

0\%
Anticipated date or date of acquisition
03/29/2019
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project Yes
100\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature
page)
100\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

40\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun
$0 \%$
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8)Interchange Approval (15 Percent of Points)*
*Please contact Karen Scheffing at MnDOT (Karen.Scheffing@state.mn.us or 651-234-7784)
to determine if your project needs to go through the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway
Interchange Request Committee.
Project does not involve construction of a new/expanded
interchange or new interchange ramps
100\%

```
Interchange project has been approved by the Metropolitan
Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee
100%
Interchange project has not been approved by the Metropolitan
Counci//MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee
0%
9)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)
Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title
sheet)
100%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review
75%
Construction plans in progress; at least 30% completion
50%
Construction plans have not been started
Yes
0%
Anticipated date or date of completion
11/10/2017
10)Letting
Anticipated Letting Date
11/01/2019
```


## Measure A: Roadway Projects that do not Include Railroad Grade-Separation Elements

Crash Modification Factor Used:

Rationale for Crash Modification Selected:
(Limit 1400 Characters; approximately 200 words)
Project Benefit (\$) from B/C Ratio

Worksheet Attachment
65.0

The crash reduction was calculated based on reconstructing the four signalized intersections within the project limits, including the two I-694 ramp terminals, with roundabout intersections.
\$6,170,305.00
1467994624741_CSAH 49 Crash Analysis Worksheet est cost
11_8M.pdf

## Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:

Current AADT volume:

Average daily trains:
0
Crash Risk Exposure eliminated:

```0
```

This segment of Rice Street does not accommodate bikes or pedestrians. The only sidewalks present are on the bridge and are only approximately four feet wide. A sidewalk and a trail will be built as part of this project to connect with existing and planned trails to the north, northeast, southeast, and southwest. Shoulders will be provided for on-road bikers.

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

| Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form): | $\$ 11,659,311.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enter Amount of the Noise Walls: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls: | $\$ 11,659,311.00$ |
| Points Awarded in Previous Criteria |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alt 1B 7-11-16.pdf | Current Preferred Alternative Layout | 681 KB |
| County Maintenance Letter rice 694.pdf | Ramsey County Intent to Maintain Letter | 56 KB |
| I-694_Rice St Alt 1B cost estimate 7-6- <br> 16.pdf | Alternate 1B Estimate (includes <br> municipal utility items) | 24 KB |
| RiceStl694Interchange Location Map.pdf | Location Map | 799 KB |
| SB CSAH 49 AT 694 NO RAMP <br> COUNT.pdf | Vehicle Classification Count | 20 KB |
| Shoreview Support Letter0001.pdf | City of Shoreview Letter of Support | 42 KB |
| VH Letter of Support.pdf | City of Vadnais Heights Letter of Support | 42 KB |



## Regional Economy Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Rice Street / I-694 Interchange | Map ID: 1466792337257

## Results

WITHIN ONE MI of project:
Totals by City:
Little Canada
Population: 2579
Employment: 3526
Mfg and Dist Employment: 1123

## Roseville

Population: 4450
Employment: 201
Mfg and Dist Employment: 3

## Shoreview

Population: 4796
Employment: 3461
Mfg and Dist Employment: 1803
Vadnais Heights
Population: 2029
Employment: 101
Mfg and Dist Employment: 0

Postsecondary Students:
0


Project Points
Project Area
Project
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit tp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx


Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Rice Street / I-694 Interchange | Map ID: 1466792337257

Results
Project census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color: (0 to 18 Points)


Project Points
Project
Project Area

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

## 1: Rice St \& Owasso Blvd N/Country Dr

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 1836 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.96 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.38 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.45 |

## 2: Rice St \& I-694 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 2053 |
| Total Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.49 |

## 3: Rice St \& I-694 N Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 2059 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.04 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.40 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.47 |

## 4: Rice St \& Co Rd E/Vadnais Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 1689 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.75 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.34 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.40 |

## 1: Rice St \& Owasso Blvd N/Country Dr

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1836 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 32 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.15 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.42 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.50 |

## 2: Rice St \& I-694 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 2053 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 36 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.32 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.45 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.54 |

## 3: Rice St \& I-694 N Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 2059 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 55 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 3.19 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.62 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.74 |

4: Rice St \& Co Rd E/Vadnais Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1688 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 41 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.27 |
| NOx Emissions kg$)$ | 0.44 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.53 |


|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| SEH-Plum | Rice-1694 Existing 0700-0800.syn |
| Page 1 |  |

## 1: Rice St \& Owasso Blvd N/Country Dr

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 1836 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.96 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.38 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.45 |

## 2: Rice St \& I-694 S Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 2053 |
| Total Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.41 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.49 |

## 3: Rice St \& I-694 N Ramp

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 2059 |
| Total Delay $/$ Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 2.04 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.40 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.47 |

## 4: Rice St \& Co Rd E/Vadnais Blvd

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Future Volume $(\mathrm{vph})$ | 1689 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.75 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.34 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.40 |



Amortizing...

| Year | Crash Benetits |  | Present Worth Benetits |  | Present Worth Costs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | \$ | 329,114 | \$ | 329,114 | \$ | 11,800,000 |
| 2020 | \$ | 333,392 | \$ | 326,855 |  |  |
| 2021 | \$ | 337,726 | \$ | 324,612 |  |  |
| 2022 | \$ | 342,117 | \$ | 322,384 |  |  |
| 2023 | \$ | 346,564 | \$ | 320,172 |  |  |
| 2024 | \$ | 351,070 | \$ | 317,975 |  |  |
| 2025 | \$ | 355,634 | \$ | 315,793 |  |  |
| 2026 | \$ | 360,257 | \$ | 313,625 |  |  |
| 2027 | \$ | 364,940 | \$ | 311,473 |  |  |
| 2028 | \$ | 369,684 | \$ | 309,335 |  |  |
| 2029 | \$ | 374,490 | \$ | 307,213 |  |  |
| 2030 | \$ | 379,359 | \$ | 305,104 |  |  |
| 2031 | \$ | 384,290 | \$ | 303,010 |  |  |
| 2032 | \$ | 389,286 | \$ | 300,931 |  |  |
| 2033 | \$ | 394,347 | \$ | 298,866 |  |  |
| 2034 | \$ | 399,473 | \$ | 296,815 |  |  |
| 2035 | \$ | 404,667 | \$ | 294,778 |  |  |
| 2036 | \$ | 409,927 | \$ | 292,755 |  |  |
| 2037 | \$ | 415,256 | \$ | 290,746 |  |  |
| 2038 | \$ | 420,655 | \$ | 288,750 |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| Totals = |  |  | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6,170,305 } \\ & \text { (B) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 11,800,000 \\ \text { (C) } \end{gathered}$ |  |

year $(n)=1,2,3, \ldots$.
discount rate (i) $=7 \%$
Crash Benefits

$$
\left(@ \text { year n) }=(\text { Crash Benefits })_{n-1} \quad \text { X } \quad(1+\text { Traffic Growth Factor })\right.
$$

Present Worth Benefits
$\left(@_{\text {year } n)}=(\text { Crash Benefits })_{n} \quad X \quad 1 /(1+\text { Discount Rate })^{n}\right.$

| Type of Crash | Crash Severity | Cost per Crash |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | K | $\$$ | $1,140,000$ |
| Fatal | A Incapacitating | $\$$ | 570,000 |
| Personal Injury | B Non-Incapacitating | $\$$ | 170,000 |
|  | C Possible | $\$$ | 83,000 |
|  | Property Damage | PDO or N | $\$$ |

Source: MnDOT Office of Transportation System Management (July 2015)


Public Works

July 11, 2016
Elaine Koutsoukos, TAB Coordinator
Metropolitan Council
390 Robert St. N.
Saint Paul, MN 55101

## SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM FUNDING APPLICATION FOR RECONSTRUCTION/MODERNIZATION OF RICE STREET, RAMSEY COUNTY STATE AID HIGHWAY (CSAH 49), BETWEEN 600 FEET SOUTH OF NRTH OWASSO BOULEVARD/COUNTYR DRIVE AND 600 FEET NORTH OF COUNTY ROAD E/VADNAIS BOULEVARD- INTENT TO MAINTAIN

Dear Ms. Koutsoukos:
Ramsey County, as the political subdivision with jurisdiction over Rice Street (CSAH 49) hereby states its intention to operate and maintain the facility, including any improvements funded through the Surface Transportation Program, for the full design life of the facility and planned improvements.

The application for Surface Transportation Program funds that we have submitted would not replace any regionally-funded improvements that were opened to traffic within the last five years.

Sincerely,


James E. Tolaas, P.E.
Director of Public Works/County Engineer
Enclosure

| I-694/Rice St. - Alt 1B |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item Description | Units | Unit Cost | Quantity | Total |  |
| PAVING AND GRADING ( P \& G) COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bituminous Pavement (1) | ton | \$75.00 | 11,799 | \$ | 884,937 |
| 4" Concrete Walk | sq ft | \$7.00 | 47,750 | \$ | 334,250 |
| 8 " Concrete pavement | sq yd | \$80.00 | 1,750 | \$ | 139,982 |
| Concrete pavement | sq yd | \$70.00 | 0 | \$ | - |
| Class 2 Aggregate Shoulder (1) | cu yd | \$45.00 | 0 | \$ | - |
| Class 5 Aggregate Base (1) | cu yd | \$25.00 | 6,680 | \$ | 167,009 |
| Subgrade Excavation (1) | cu yd | \$10.00 | 15,103 | \$ | 151,029 |
| Common Excavation | cu yd | \$10.00 | 16,144 | \$ | 161,442 |
| Common Borrow | cu yd | \$8.00 | 24,216 | \$ | 193,731 |
| Select Granular Borrow | cu yd | \$20.00 | 15,103 | \$ | 302,059 |
| Mill Pavement | sq yd |  | 0 | \$ | - |
| Curb and Gutter Design B624 | lin ft | \$18.00 | 18,812 | \$ | 338,616 |
| (a) Subtotal Paving and Grading |  |  |  | \$ | 2,673,056 |
| UTILITIES, REMOVALS, DRAINAGE, ETC. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Removals/Clear and Grub |  | 10.0\% |  | \$ | 250,000 |
| Minor City Utilities |  | 5.0\% |  | \$ | 150,000 |
| Signing, Striping, Traffic Control |  | 15.0\% |  | \$ | 350,000 |
| Erosion Control and Turf Establishment |  | 15.0\% |  | \$ | 350,000 |
| (b) Subtotal Utilities, Removals, Drainage, Etc. |  |  |  | \$ | 1,100,000 |
| DRAINAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storm Sewer |  | 25.0\% |  | \$ | 650,000 |
| (c) Subtotal Drainage |  |  |  | \$ | 650,000 |
| STRUCTURES/SIGNALS/MISC. COST |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bridge removal | sqft | \$15 | 15,178 | \$ | 227,675 |
| Bridge rehab | lump sum | \$1,100,000 | 0 | \$ | - |
| Rice St. Bridge | sqft | \$150 | 17,100 | \$ | 2,565,000 |
| Bridge | sqft | \$220 | 0 | \$ | - |
| Retaining Wall | sqft | \$100 | 4,800 | \$ | 480,000 |
| Retaining Wall | sqft | \$100 | 0 | \$ | - |
| Roundabout Lighting |  | \$7,000 | 48 | \$ | 336,000 |
| Interchange Lighting |  | \$480,000 | 1.0 | \$ | 480,000 |
| Roundabout Landscaping |  | \$40,000 | 4 | \$ | 160,000 |
| Intersection ADA | each | 6,000.00 | 55 | \$ | 330,000 |
| Signal System | each | 250,000.00 | 0 | \$ | - |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | - |
| (d) Subtotal Structural |  |  |  | \$ | 4,578,675 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a+b+c+d) Subtotal Construction |  |  |  | \$ | 9,001,730 |
| Risk \& Contingency |  | 20.0\% |  | \$ | 1,800,346 |
| TMP |  | 5.0\% |  | \$ | 550,000 |
| Mobilization |  | 4.0\% |  | \$ | 450,000 |
| (e) Subtotal Miscellaneous |  |  |  | \$ | 2,800,346 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a+b+c+d+e) Total Construction |  |  |  | \$ | 11,802,076 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative \& Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |
| RW Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taco Johns |  | \$2,000,000 | 0\% | \$ | - |
| Caribou |  | \$3,000,000 | 50\% | \$ | 1,500,000 |
| Vadnais Inn |  | \$750,000 | 0\% | \$ | - |
| Mobil Food Mart |  | \$2,500,000 | 100\% | \$ | 2,500,000 |
| Taco Bell |  | \$3,000,000 | 50\% | \$ | 1,500,000 |
| Garden Center |  | \$350,000 | 0\% | \$ | - |
| Kurkowski Dental Office |  | \$400,000 | 0\% | \$ | - |
| Jackson Chiropractic Office |  | \$70,000 | 0\% | \$ | - |
| Elevage Site |  | \$0 | 0\% | \$ | - |
| Kath Gas Station |  | \$2,500,000 | 100\% | \$ | 2,500,000 |
| Old Public Works |  | \$0 | 0\% | \$ | - |
| Credit Union |  | \$2,500,000 | 50\% | \$ | 1,250,000 |
| Burger King |  | \$2,000,000 | 50\% | \$ | 1,000,000 |
| Centurylink |  | \$0 | 0\% | \$ | - |
| Total RW |  |  |  | \$ | 10,250,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Estimated Cost |  |  |  | \$ | 22,052,076 |

## Rice Street (49) - I-694 Interchange



Map Produced 7/12/2016 by Ramsey County Public Works

Date Start: 06-Jun-16 Site Code: 133

Station ID:
SB CSAH 49
694 NORTH RAMP

| SOUTHBOUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Bikes | Cars \& Trailer | 2 Axle Long | Buses | 2 Axle 6 Tire | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5$ AxI Double | 5 Axle Double | $>6$ AxI Double | $<6 \mathrm{AxI}$ Multi | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{aligned} & >6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ & \text { Multi } \end{aligned}$ | Not Classe | Total |
| 06/06/16 |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * |
| 02:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 03:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * |
| 04:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |  |  |
| 05:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * |
| 06:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 07:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |  |
| 08:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * |
| 09:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 11:00 | 9 | 457 | 123 | 6 | 42 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 695 |
| 12 PM | 11 | 459 | 154 | 9 | 53 | 5 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 748 |
| 13:00 | 4 | 422 | 135 | 10 | 50 | 15 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 665 |
| 14:00 | 4 | 454 | 166 | 7 | 55 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 717 |
| 15:00 | 7 | 500 | 129 | 11 | 40 | 7 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 734 |
| 16:00 | 11 | 484 | 115 | 3 | 36 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 65 | 744 |
| 17:00 | 8 | 451 | 116 | 2 | 29 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 91 | 719 |
| 18:00 | 6 | 465 | 137 | 2 | 23 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 689 |
| 19:00 | 1 | 279 | 67 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 371 |
| 20:00 | 3 | 264 | 58 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 342 |
| 21:00 | 2 | 208 | 61 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 281 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 115 | 31 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 157 |
| 23:00 | 1 | 61 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 92 |
| Total | 67 | 4619 | 1312 | 53 | 368 | 75 | 14 | 92 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 315 | 6954 |
| Percent | 1.0\% | 66.4\% | 18.9\% | 0.8\% | 5.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 4.5\% |  |
| AM | 11:00 | 11:00 | 11:00 | 11:00 | 11:00 | 11:00 | 11:00 | 11:00 | 11:00 |  |  |  |  | 11:00 | 11:00 |
| Vol. | 9 | 457 | 123 | 6 | 42 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 695 |
|  | 12:00 | 15:00 | 14:00 | 15:00 | 14:00 | 13:00 | 15:00 | 13:00 | 15:00 | 16:00 | 15:00 |  | 16:00 | 17:00 | 12:00 |
| Peak Vol. | 11 | 500 | 166 | 11 | 55 | 15 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 91 | 748 |

1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive
Arden Hills, MN 55112
Date Start: 06-Jun-16 Site Code: 133

Station ID:
SB CSAH 49
694 NORTH RAMP

| Start <br> Time | Bikes | Cars \& Trailer | 2 Axle Long | Buses | 2 Axle 6 Tire | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Double | 5 Axle Double | >6 AxI Double | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \end{array}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{array}{r} >6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \end{array}$ | Not Classe | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/07/16 | 0 | 26 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 36 |
| 01:00 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 02:00 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 03:00 | 1 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 04:00 | 1 | 47 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| 05:00 | 4 | 236 | 70 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 361 |
| 06:00 | 6 | 625 | 141 | 4 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 867 |
| 07:00 | 9 | 670 | 159 | 12 | 51 | 7 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 51 | 985 |
| 08:00 | 10 | 594 | 144 | 10 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 890 |
| 09:00 | 6 | 479 | 146 | 8 | 41 | 15 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 717 |
| 10:00 | 2 | 431 | 129 | 13 | 43 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 661 |
| 11:00 | 6 | 427 | 147 | 6 | 38 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 671 |
| 12 PM | 6 | 481 | 146 | 8 | 48 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 727 |
| 13:00 | 4 | 415 | 150 | 5 | 58 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 663 |
| 14:00 | 9 | 444 | 149 | 12 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 697 |
| 15:00 | 12 | 478 | 168 | 11 | 33 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 749 |
| 16:00 | 9 | 544 | 119 | 2 | 34 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 758 |
| 17:00 | 12 | 520 | 139 | 5 | 29 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 755 |
| 18:00 | 4 | 485 | 126 | 1 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 668 |
| 19:00 | 16 | 311 | 81 | 2 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 451 |
| 20:00 | 9 | 309 | 75 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 411 |
| 21:00 | 7 | 207 | 40 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 274 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 160 | 25 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 197 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 91 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 113 |
| Total | 133 | 8021 | 2205 | 103 | 647 | 99 | 6 | 166 | 23 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 350 | 11779 |
| Percent | 1.1\% | 68.1\% | 18.7\% | 0.9\% | 5.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { AM } \\ \text { Peak } \end{array}$ | 08:00 | 07:00 | 07:00 | 10:00 | 07:00 | 09:00 | 04:00 | 07:00 | 10:00 | 07:00 | 08:00 | 07:00 | 10:00 | 08:00 | 07:00 |
| Vol. | 10 | 670 | 159 | 13 | 51 | 15 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 60 | 985 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { PM } \\ \text { Peak } \end{array}$ | 19:00 | 16:00 | 15:00 | 14:00 | 14:00 | 19:00 | 13:00 | 13:00 | 12:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 |  | 15:00 | 17:00 | 16:00 |
| Vol. | 16 | 544 | 168 | 12 | 62 | 8 | 1 | 18 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 35 | 758 |

Date Start: 06-Jun-16 Site Code: 133

Station ID:
SB CSAH 49
694 NORTH RAMP

| Start <br> Time | Bikes | Cars \& Trailer | 2 Axle Long | Buses | 2 Axle 6 Tire | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | <5 AxI <br> Double | 5 Axle Double | >6 Axl <br> Double | $\begin{array}{r} <6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \end{array}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $>6 \text { AxI }$ | Not Classe | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/08/16 | 2 | 31 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 46 |
| 01:00 | 0 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 02:00 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 03:00 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 |
| 04:00 | 1 | 37 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| 05:00 | 4 | 236 | 85 | 2 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 362 |
| 06:00 | 9 | 567 | 124 | 4 | 50 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 815 |
| 07:00 | 6 | 753 | 178 | 6 | 43 | 13 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1068 |
| 08:00 | 6 | 656 | 193 | 8 | 44 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 946 |
| 09:00 | 3 | 489 | 153 | 7 | 43 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 734 |
| 10:00 | 6 | 429 | 122 | 7 | 36 | 14 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 635 |
| 11:00 | 3 | 458 | 130 | 7 | 41 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 680 |
| 12 PM | 3 | 544 | 149 | 8 | 41 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 779 |
| 13:00 | 5 | 467 | 148 | 5 | 42 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 694 |
| 14:00 | 4 | 455 | 158 | 8 | 41 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 694 |
| 15:00 | * | * | * | * | , | * | , | * | * | * | * | 0 | 0 |  | 6 |
| 16:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 17:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 18:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 19:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 20:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 21:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 22:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 23:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Total | 52 | 5172 | 1474 | 63 | 417 | 91 | 5 | 109 | 22 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 154 | 7579 |
| Percent | 0.7\% | 68.2\% | 19.4\% | 0.8\% | 5.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { AM } \\ \text { Peak } \end{array}$ | 06:00 | 07:00 | 08:00 | 08:00 | 06:00 | 09:00 | 08:00 | 07:00 | 10:00 | 07:00 | 07:00 | 08:00 | 11:00 | 07:00 | 07:00 |
| Vol. | 9 | 753 | 193 | 8 | 50 | 16 | 1 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 40 | 1068 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { PM } \\ \text { Peak } \end{array}$ | 13:00 | 12:00 | 14:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 14:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 |  | 12:00 | 14:00 | 12:00 |
| Vol. | 5 | 544 | 158 | 8 | 42 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 9 | 779 |
| Grand Total | 252 | 17812 | 4991 | 219 | 1432 | 265 | 25 | 367 | 67 | 34 | 15 | 2 | 12 | 819 | 26312 |
| Percent | 1.0\% | 67.7\% | 19.0\% | 0.8\% | 5.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% |  |

Mr. Joseph Lux, Senior Planner<br>Ramsey County Public Works<br>1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive<br>Arden Hills, MN 55112-3933

RE: I-694/Rice Street Interchange Improvements

Dear Mr. Lux:
The City of Shoreview supports Ramsey County's funding request for the improvement of the I-694/Rice Street Interchange. The City has previousily committed to this effort by acquiring the former Ramsey County Public Works site on behalf of MnDOT for future interchange improvements. The City also continues to participate in a multi-jurisdictional effort to identify design challenges and land use opportunities in the Rice Street contidor, both north and south of the bridge over I-694. Shoreview's Capital Improvement Program anticipates some level of city participation in these future improvements. While a number of details remain as far as interchange design and potential construction phasing, the City of Shoreview recognizes that, especially since the completion of the Unweave The Weave project and the imminent addition of a $3^{\text {rd }}$ general purpose lane through the community, improvements are long overdue.

Sincerely,


Dear Mr. Lux:

The City of Vadnais Heights supports Ramsey County's application for the FAST Act Surface Transportation Program for the improvement of the improvement of the I-694/Rice Street Interchange. The project in on the City's westernmost edge and provides a vital connection to the residents and business owners within the community. With the Corridors of Commerce project commencing, the need to improve this intersection becomes that much more vital. Many design details need to be vetted, but the City recognizes the importance of the intersection's upgrade and is ready to participate as needed throughout the process.

Sincerely,
The City of Vadnais Heights

Kevin P. Watson
City Administrator


Amortizing...

| Year | Crash Benetits |  | Present Worth Benetits |  | Present Worth Costs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | \$ | 329,114 | \$ | 329,114 | \$ | 11,800,000 |
| 2020 | \$ | 333,392 | \$ | 326,855 |  |  |
| 2021 | \$ | 337,726 | \$ | 324,612 |  |  |
| 2022 | \$ | 342,117 | \$ | 322,384 |  |  |
| 2023 | \$ | 346,564 | \$ | 320,172 |  |  |
| 2024 | \$ | 351,070 | \$ | 317,975 |  |  |
| 2025 | \$ | 355,634 | \$ | 315,793 |  |  |
| 2026 | \$ | 360,257 | \$ | 313,625 |  |  |
| 2027 | \$ | 364,940 | \$ | 311,473 |  |  |
| 2028 | \$ | 369,684 | \$ | 309,335 |  |  |
| 2029 | \$ | 374,490 | \$ | 307,213 |  |  |
| 2030 | \$ | 379,359 | \$ | 305,104 |  |  |
| 2031 | \$ | 384,290 | \$ | 303,010 |  |  |
| 2032 | \$ | 389,286 | \$ | 300,931 |  |  |
| 2033 | \$ | 394,347 | \$ | 298,866 |  |  |
| 2034 | \$ | 399,473 | \$ | 296,815 |  |  |
| 2035 | \$ | 404,667 | \$ | 294,778 |  |  |
| 2036 | \$ | 409,927 | \$ | 292,755 |  |  |
| 2037 | \$ | 415,256 | \$ | 290,746 |  |  |
| 2038 | \$ | 420,655 | \$ | 288,750 |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| Totals = |  |  | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6,170,305 } \\ & \text { (B) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 11,800,000 \\ \text { (C) } \end{gathered}$ |  |

year $(n)=1,2,3, \ldots$.
discount rate (i) $=7 \%$
Crash Benefits

$$
\left(@ \text { year n) }=(\text { Crash Benefits })_{n-1} \quad \text { X } \quad(1+\text { Traffic Growth Factor })\right.
$$

Present Worth Benefits
$\left(@_{\text {year } n)}=(\text { Crash Benefits })_{n} \quad X \quad 1 /(1+\text { Discount Rate })^{n}\right.$

| Type of Crash | Crash Severity | Cost per Crash |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | K | $\$$ | $1,140,000$ |
| Fatal | A Incapacitating | $\$$ | 570,000 |
| Personal Injury | B Non-Incapacitating | $\$$ | 170,000 |
|  | C Possible | $\$$ | 83,000 |
|  | Property Damage | PDO or N | $\$$ |

Source: MnDOT Office of Transportation System Management (July 2015)

