

## Application

04774 - 2016 Roadway Modernization			
05352 - CSAH 54 Realignment			
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements			
Status:	Submitted		
Submitted Date:	07/15/2016 12:42 PM		

# **Primary Contact**

Name:*	Salutation	Jack First Name	L Middle Name	Forslund
Title:	Multimodal Planning Manager			
Department:	Anoka County Transportation Division			
Email:	jack.forslund@co.anoka.mn.us			
Address:	1440 Bunker Lake Boulevard NW			
*	Andover	Minneso State/Proving		55304-4005 Postal Code/Zip
Phone:*	763-862-4230 Phone		Ext.	
Fax:	763-862-4201			
What Grant Programs are you most interested in?	Regional Solic Elements	itation - Roadwa	ays Includir	ng Multimodal

# **Organization Information**

Name:

ANOKA COUNTY

Jurisdictional	Agency (i	f different):
----------------	-----------	---------------

Organization Type:	County Government
Organization Website:	
Address:	1440 BUNKER LAKE BLVD

*	ANDOVER	Minnesota	55304
	City	State/Province	Postal Code/Zip
County:	Anoka		
Phone:*	763-862-4200		
		Ext.	
Fax:			
PeopleSoft Vendor Number	0000003633A15		

# **Project Information**

Project Name	CSAH 54 Realignment
Primary County where the Project is Located	Anoka
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):	

	Anoka County proposes a realignment of 0.77 miles of CSAH 54, an A Minor Reliever roadway within the City of Columbus. Existing CSAH 54 runs parallel along the west side of I-35. It provides relief to I-35 and local access throughout the City of Columbus, as well important connections to the Cities of Lino Lakes, Centerville, and Ramsey County not otherwise served by I-35 interchanges
	The proposed project will realign the existing CSAH 54 corridor 0.15 miles to the west.
Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)	The realigned two-lane roadway will include a median and turn-lanes at intersections. The southern end of the project will include a full-access roundabout intersection which will connect to the southeastern corner of the Running Aces Harness Park and the Running Aces Park and Ride. This intersection will also connect to the existing alignment of CSAH 54 (which will function as a frontage road) for access to existing businesses and parcels. A 10-foot bituminous multiuse trail will be constructed along the west side of the project to provide safe transportation and recreational opportunities for travelers near CSAH 54.
Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.	
TIP Description Guidance (will be used in TIP if the project is	

TIP Description Guidance (will be used in TIP if the project is

CSAH 54 Realignment selected for funding) 0.77 Project Length (Miles)

# **Project Funding**

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?	No
If yes, please identify the source(s)	
Federal Amount	\$3,367,500.00
Match Amount	\$841,900.00

Minimum of 20% of project total		
Project Total	\$4,209,400.00	
Match Percentage	20.0%	
Minimum of 20% Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project tota	I	
Source of Match Funds	Anoka County Highway Fund	
A minimum of 20% of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the 20% minimum can come from other federal sources		
Preferred Program Year		
Select one:	2020	
For TDM projects, select 2018 or 2019. For Roadway, Transit, or Trail/Pedestrian projects, select 2020 or 2021.		
Additional Program Years:	2018, 2019	
Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.		

# Specific Roadway Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$437,400.00
Removals (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$121,500.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.)	\$237,400.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving)	\$840,800.00
Subgrade Correction (muck)	\$497,600.00
Storm Sewer	\$733,700.00
Ponds	\$398,600.00
Concrete Items (curb & gutter, sidewalks, median barriers)	\$372,000.00
Traffic Control	\$47,900.00
Striping	\$56,500.00
Signing	\$25,100.00
Lighting	\$106,100.00
Turf - Erosion & Landscaping	\$198,300.00
Bridge	\$0.00
Retaining Walls	\$36,300.00
Noise Wall (do not include in cost effectiveness measure)	\$0.00
Traffic Signals	\$0.00
Wetland Mitigation	\$0.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection	\$0.00
RR Crossing	\$0.00

Roadway Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Roadway Elements	\$16,100.00
Totals	\$4,125,300.00

# **Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements**

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Path/Trail Construction	\$84,000.00
Sidewalk Construction	\$0.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA)	\$0.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK)	\$0.00
Pedestrian-scale Lighting	\$0.00
Streetscaping	\$0.00
Wayfinding	\$0.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$84,000.00

# Specific Transit and TDM Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements	\$0.00
Stations, Stops, and Terminals	\$0.00
Support Facilities	\$0.00
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)	\$0.00
Vehicles	\$0.00
Contingencies	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Other Transit and TDM Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$0.00

# **Transit Operating Costs**

Number of Platform hours	0
Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost)	\$0.00
Substotal	\$0.00
Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc.	\$0.00

### Totals

Total Cost	\$4,209,300.00
Construction Cost Total	\$4,209,300.00
Transit Operating Cost Total	\$0.00

## **Requirements - All Projects**

#### **All Projects**

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan, the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2015), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan objectives and strategies that relate to the project.

2040 Transportation Policy Plan (TPP)

Goal B: Safety and Security: The regional transportation system is safe and secure for all users (page 60)

- Objectives: Reduce crashes and improve safety and security for all modes of passenger travel and freight transport.

Strategies: Regional transportation partners will incorporate safety and security considerations for all modes and users throughout the process of planning, funding, construction, and operation.

Goal C: Access to Destinations: People and businesses prosper by using a reliable, affordable, and efficient multimodal transportation system that connects them to destinations throughout the region and beyond (page 62).

List the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:

- Objectives: Increase the availability of multimodal travel options, especially in congested highway corridors.

- Increase travel time reliability and predictability for travel on highway and transit systems.

- Ensure access to freight terminals such as river ports, airports, and intermodal rail yards.

Strategies: C7. Regional transportation partners will manage and optimize the performance of the principle arterial system as measured by person throughput.

Strategies: C8. Regional transportation partners will prioritize all regional highway capital investments based on a project?s expected contributions to achieving the outcomes, goals, and objectives identified in Thrive MSP 2040 and the

Transportation Policy Plan.

Strategies: C9. The Council will support investments in A-minor arterials that build, manage, or improve the system?s ability to supplement the capacity of the principal arterial system and support access to the region?s job, activity, and industrial and manufacturing concentrations. Goal D: Competitive Economy: The regional transportation system supports the economic competitiveness, vitality, and prosperity of the region and state (page 64).

- Objectives: Support the region?s economic competitiveness through the efficient movement of freight.

Goal F: Leveraging Transportation Investment to Guide Land Use: The leverages transportation investments to guide land use and development patterns that advance the regional vision of stewardship, prosperity, livability, equity, and sustainability (page 70).

- Objectives: Encourage local land use design that integrates highways, streets, transit, walking, and bicycling.

3. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

List the applicable documents and pages:

# 2030 Columbus Comprehensive Plan (2008) Page 37

4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of bicycle/pedestrian projects, transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

5. Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

7. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below.

Roadway Expansion: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Roadway System Management \$250,000 to \$7,000,000

Bridges Rehabilitation/ Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

9. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

10. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

11. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

12. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

13. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

### **Roadways Including Multimodal Elements**

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A-Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

#### Roadway Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization projects only:

2. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

#### Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:

3. Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

4. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

5. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

6. The bridge must have a sufficiency rating less than 80 for rehabilitation projects and less than 50 for replacement projects. Additionally, the bridge must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## **Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements**

Project	Information-	-Roadways
---------	--------------	-----------

County, City, or Lead Agency	Anoka County		
Functional Class of Road	"A" Minor Arterial Reliever		
Road System	CSAH		
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET			
Road/Route No.	54		
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53			
Name of Road	West Freeway Drive		
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE			
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed	55025		
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date	04/03/2018		
(Approximate) End Construction Date	11/01/2018		
TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work)			
From: (Intersection or Address)	CSAH 23/CSAH 54 Intersection		
To: (Intersection or Address)	Immediately north of Gander Drive􀀀		
DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION			
Or At			
Primary Types of Work	Grading, aggregates/paving, storm sewer, bituminous bike path, roundabout, ped ramps		

Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF, SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER, SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS, BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.

#### **BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)**

Old Bridge/Culvert No.:

New Bridge/Culvert No.:

Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name):

## Expander/Augmentor/Connector/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:	
Area	0
Project Length	0
Average Distance	0
Upload Map	

## Reliever: Relieves a Principal Arterial that is a Freeway Facility

Facility being relieved	I-35
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report)	0

## Reliever: Relieves a Principal Arterial that is a Non-Freeway Facility

Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below) 0

# Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

Hour	NB/EB Volume	SB/WB Volume	Capacity	Volume exceeds capacity
12:00am - 1:00am			0	
1:00am - 2:00am			0	
2:00am - 3:00am			0	
3:00am - 4:00am			0	
4:00am - 5:00am			0	
5:00am - 6:00am			0	
6:00am - 7:00am			0	

7:00am - 8:00am	0
8:00am - 9:00am	0
9:00am - 10:00am	0
10:00am - 11:00am	0
11:00am - 12:00pm	0
12:00pm - 1:00pm	0
1:00pm - 2:00pm	0
2:00pm - 3:00pm	0
3:00pm - 4:00pm	0
4:00pm - 5:00pm	0
5:00pm - 6:00pm	0
6:00pm - 7:00pm	0
7:00pm - 8:00pm	0
8:00pm - 9:00pm	0
9:00pm - 10:00pm	0
10:00pm - 11:00pm	0
11:00pm - 12:00am	0

# Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

2019
316
0
1467739115699_Map_CSAH 54 Regional Economy.pdf

# Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location:	CSAH 54, south of CSAH 23
Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume:	210
Date heavy commercial count taken:	May, 2015

# **Measure D: Freight Elements**

The proposed project includes paved shoulders, turn-lanes, and intersection roundabouts, all of which will improve travel times and economic efficiencies for the movement of freight on CSAH 54 and the parallel I-35 corridor. Also, it is necessary to realign CSAH 54 in order for the reconstruction of the I-35 and TH 97 interchange, as currently, it is located too close to the I-35 on/off ramps.

## **Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput**

Location	CSAH 54, south of CSAH 23
Current AADT Volume	3000
Existing Transit Routes on the Project	2
For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will be moved to the new roadway	/
Upload Transit Map	1467743764070_CSAH54_T C.pdf

## **Response: Current Daily Person Throughput**

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership	0
Current Daily Person Throughput	3900.0

## Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume	Yes
If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume	
OR	
Identify the approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume	
Forecast (2040) ADT volume	

## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty with 50% or more of residents are people of color (ACP50):

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty:

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color:

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly:

Yes

The CSAH 54 expansion and realignment will improve travel times and economic efficiencies for local, commuter, freight, and recreational travel on CSAH 54 and the parallel I-35 corridor, all of which support the health and growth of northern Anoka County's local economy. These benefits help to provide opportunities for job growth and stability for low-income households (10%) living around the project and immediately northeast of the project (15%) (above the County and 7-county average, respectively).

The project's connection to the Metro Transit Park and Ride and I-35 will also enable efficient transit connections to job concentrations and manufacturing centers in and near Minneapolis and St. Paul for low-income populations taking advantage of the service.

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

The multiuse trail facility included in the proposed project will improve access, local and regional connectivity to nearby Forest Lake HS and Century Jr. HS, transportation choice, and recreational opportunities for all populations living in proximity to the project, including the elderly (10%) and children (22%), which are above and equal to county averages.

Finally, the project is consistent with the goals and desired outcomes in Thrive 2040 to connect local residents in these neighborhoods (inclusive of all races, ethnicity, incomes, and abilities) with a safe and reliable transportation system to improve their overall quality of life.

The response should address the benefits, impacts, and mitigation for the populations affected by the project.

1467743683996\_CSAH54\_S E C.pdf

# Measure B: Affordable Housing City/Township Segment Length in Miles (Population) Columbus 0.77 1 Total Project Length Total Project Length (Total Population) 0.77 Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

City/Township	Segment Length (Miles)	Total Length (Miles)	Score	Segment Length/Total Length	Multiplied by Segment percent	
		0		0 0	0	

# Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Total Project Length (Miles)	0.77
Total Housing Score	0

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original Roadway Construction or Most Recent Reconstruction	Segment Length	Calculation	Calculation 2	
1961	0.77	1509.97	1961.0	
	1	1510	1961	
Average Construction Year Weighted Year 1961				
Total Segment Le	ngth (Miles)			
Total Segment Length		0.77		

# Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

Improving a non-10-ton roadway to a 10-ton roadway:	Yes
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	The roadway, currently a 9-ton roadway, will be reconstructed as a 10-ton roadway.
Improved clear zones or sight lines:	Yes
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	Sight lines at all intersections/access points will be improved, particularly for the intersection of CSAHs 54 and 23, which will be moved away from the I-35 SB on/off ramps.
Improved roadway geometrics:	Yes
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	The roadway will include turn-lanes at all intersections.
Access management enhancements:	Yes
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	Realignment of CSAH 54 west out of the interchange area will significantly improve traffic operations at the CSAH 54/CSAH 23 intersection, and will also help to bring CSAH 23 into compliance with Anoka County's access spacing guidance for a 55 mph arterial roadway.
Vertical/horizontal alignments improvements:	
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	
Improved stormwater mitigation:	Yes
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	The existing highway does not have any controls for the stormwater rate or quality control. This project will address these deficiencies.
Signals/lighting upgrades:	
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	
Other Improvements	Yes
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	The proposed project includes the contruction of a roundabout at the intersection of CSAHs 54 and 23, which has tremendous benefits for travel mobility and safety. The project will also include paved shoulders and a multiuse trail.

Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle Without The Project	Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle With The Project	Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle Reduced by Project	Volume (Vehicles per hour)	Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced by the Project:	EXPLANATIO N of methodology used to calculate railroad crossing delay, if applicable.	Synchro or HCM Reports
3.0	2.0	1.0	1131	1131.0		14677406542 83_CSAH 54 - Synchro Reports.pdf
Total Delay	/					

## Measure A: Congestion Reduction/Air Quality

**Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced** 

1131.0

# Measure B:Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced Per Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms):	Volume (Vehicles Per Hour):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	
0.64	0.63	0.01	1131.0	11.31	
1	1		1131	11	
<b>Total</b> Total Emissions Reduc Upload Synchro Report			11.31 1467740844447_CS/	AH 54 - Synchro Reports.	pdf

Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not include railroad grade-separation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms):	and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced Per Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms):	Volume (Vehicles Per Hour):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	
0	0		0	(	)

0

# **Total Parallel Roadways**

Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways

Upload Synchro Report

# **New Roadway Portion:**

Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project:	0
Total delay in hours with the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project:	0
Fuel consumption in gallons:	0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):	0
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)	
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	0.0

# Measure B:Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements

Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled without the project:	0
Total delay in hours without the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project:	0
Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project:	0
Total delay in hours with the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project:	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F1)	0

Fuel consumption in gallons (F2)	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F3)	0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by th Project (Kilograms):	<b>e</b> 0
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)	

# **Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction**

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment. Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)	
Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred	Yes
100%	
Stakeholders have been identified	
40%	
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted	
0%	
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)	
Layout or Preliminary Plan completed	
100%	
Layout or Preliminary Plan started	Yes
50%	
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	04/03/2017
3)Environmental Documentation (5 Percent of Points)	
EIS	
EA	Yes
РМ	
Document Status:	
Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)	100%

Document submitted to State Aid for review	75%	date submitted
Document in progress; environmental impacts identified; review request letters sent	Yes	
50%		
Document not started		
0%		
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval	03/01/2017	
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (10 Percent of	f Points)	
No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge	Yes	
100%		
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated		
80%		
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated		
40%		
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological resources in the project area		
0%		
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:		
Project is located on an identified historic bridge		
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (10 Percent of Points)	)	
4(f) Does the project impacts any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild & scenic rivers or public private historic prope 6(f) Does the project impact any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild & scenic rivers or historic property that was purchased or improved with federal funds?		
No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area	Yes	
100%		
No impact to 4f property. The project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received		
100%		
Section 4f resources present within the project area, but no		

Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely coordination/documentation has begun

50%

80%

known adverse effects

Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely coordination/documentation has not begun	
30%	
Unsure if there are any impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area	
0%	
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)	
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements not required	
100%	
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements has/have been acquired	
100%	
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, offers made	
75%	
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, appraisals made	
50%	
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels identified	Yes
25%	
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels not identified	
0%	
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements identification has not been completed	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of acquisition	02/01/2017
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)	
No railroad involvement on project	Yes
100%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)	100%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated	
60%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun	
40%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun	
0%	

Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement	
8)Interchange Approval (15 Percent of Points)*	
*Please contact Karen Scheffing at MnDOT (Karen.Scheffing@state.mr to determine if your project needs to go through the Metropolitan Counc Interchange Request Committee.	,
Project does not involve construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps	Yes
100%	
Interchange project has been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee	
100%	
Interchange project has not been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee	
0%	
9)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)	
Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)	
100%	
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review	
75%	
Construction plans in progress; at least 30% completion	Yes
50%	
Construction plans have not been started	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	12/01/2016
10)Letting	
Anticipated Letting Date	03/10/2017

# Measure A: Roadway Projects that do not Include Railroad Grade-Separation Elements

Crash Modification Factor Used:	41.0
	CR 1 = Installation of a median
	CR 2 = Conversion of stop-controlled intersection to a roundabout
Rationale for Crash Modification Selected:	
	These improvements are part of the project. See the attachment for the HSIP Worksheets and additional information.

(Limit 1400 Characters; approximately 200 words)

Project Benefit (\$) from B/C Ratio

Worksheet Attachment

\$149,726.00

1468527506812\_CSAH 54 HSIP Worksheets and Attachments.pdf

Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:		
Current AADT volume:	0	
Average daily trains:	0	
Crash Risk Exposure eliminated:	0	

Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

The proposed 10-foot multiuse trail on the west side of the CSAH 54 corridor will connect to the CSAH 23/Lake Avenue corridor and the existing Hardwood Creek Trail. The project will enable travelers from Columbus, Forest Lake, and other surrounding communities in Washington and Anoka Counties to more safely travel to Running Aces Harness Park, a casino, music venue, restaurant, event center, and employer of nearly 400 people

A future extension of the projects proposed trail approximately 1.8 miles to the south will directly connect the facility to the Cities of Lino Lakes, Centerville, and a future Tier 1 Route on the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network. This connection to the growing regional bicycle trail network will allow travelers a broader array options for commuting and recreation. Furthermore, this southern extension of the trail will provide a local connection to Rice Creek Chain of Lakes Regional Park Reserve.

The roadway will include an access to the Running Aces Harness Park, which will also serve as an entrance to the Running Aces Park and Ride (P&R) facility. This 300-space lot serves two Metro Transit routes (285 and 288), and directly connect commuters from the City of Columbus and surrounding areas to job concentrations in Minneapolis and St. Paul. Furthermore, the Heartland Express rural transit service of Chisago & Isanti Counties directly serves the Running Aces P&R and enables two-seat, handicap accessible trips from the County Road 17/I-35 P&R outside of the City of North Branch to the core cities.

The relocation of existing CSAH 54 approximately 0.15 miles to the west will improve congestion on the corridor, which will positively impact transit operations and travel time for vehicles traveling to and from I-35 on CSAH 23.

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

# Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form):	\$4,209,300.00
Enter Amount of the Noise Walls:	\$0.00
Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls:	\$4,209,300.00
Points Awarded in Previous Criteria	
Cost Effectiveness	\$0.00

## **Other Attachments**

File Name	Description	File Size
05352AnokaRRMRad.pdf	05352AnokaRRMRad	284 KB
05352AnokaRRMSEC.pdf	05352AnokaRRMSEC	186 KB
05352AnokRRMREC.pdf	05352AnokRRMREC	217 KB
05352AnokRRMTRC.pdf	05352AnokRRMTRC	207 KB
Anoka County Board Resolution in Support of CSAH 54 Project.pdf	Anoka County Board Resolution of Support for Project	669 KB
CSAH 54 and 23_Synchro Summary Report.pdf	Synchro Summary Reports	15 KB
CSAH 54 and CSAH 23_Updated_6-30- 16.pdf	Project Layout	646 KB
CSAH54_ProjectArea.pdf	Project Area	3.9 MB







Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	1131	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	3	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.45	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.09	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.10	

Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	1131	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	2	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.44	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.09	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.10	

Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	1131	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	3	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.45	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.09	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.10	

Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	1131	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	2	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.44	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.09	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.10	

HS	T	P	Cantral	TH					Protection	-	State, County,		Study		
worksheet			Control Section			Location	P		Beginning Ref. Pt.	Ending Ref. Pt.	City or Township	Study Period Begins	Period Ends		
				CSAH 54	80th To CSAH :	23 (Lake l	Dr.)		005+00.140	0 009+00.010	Anoka Co.	01/01/2013	12/31/2015		
Description of Proposed Work					Construct Round	dabout At	Lake Dr. (62	% Reduction	In All Crashes	Install Raised Me	dian (39% Redu	in (39% Reduction In All Crashes)			
Accident Diagram 1 Rear End Codes						n Main Line		4,7 Ran off Roa			6, 90, 99				
						5				Opposite Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total		
4 Fatal															
		A													
Study	Personal Injury (PI)	-									1				
Period: Number of	rsonal	B									1		1		
Crashes	-	C								-					
	Property Damage	PD					1	1		2			4		
% Change	Fatal	F													
in Crashes															
*Use Desktop Reference for	PI	A													
		B													
Crash Reduction	ty of	С		-							<u></u>				
Factors	Property Damage	PD					-82%	-82%	-39	%					
	Fatal	F													
		A													
Change in	PI										0.00				
Crashes		B								-	0.00				
= No. of crashes X	ty as	С													
% change in crashes	Property Damage	PD					-0.82	-0.82	-0.3	78			-2.42		
Year (Safety )				ion)	2018										
Project Cost (exclude Right of Way) \$ 4,209,000					Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes	Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.04			
Right of Way Costs (optional)					F			\$ 1,140,00	0	Using present	t worth values,				
Traffic Growth Factor 0.5%					A			\$ 570,00		B=		149,726			
Capital Recovery					в			\$ 170,00	0	C=	\$ 4	,209,000			
1. Discount Rate 2%					С			\$ 83,00	0	See "Calculat	ions" sheet for a	amortization.			
					30	PD	-2.42	-0.81							
						Total Office of Traffic, Safety and Technology   S 6,136									

# **Dual CRF for CSAH 54**

Improvements include conversion of stop controlled intersection to a roundabout and installation of a raised median.

CR1=Installation of median CR2=Conversion of stop controlled intersection to a roundabout

CR=1-(1-CR1)\*(1-CR2)

Left Turn:  $CR=1 - (1-.39)^*(1-.71) = .82$ Right Angle:  $CR=1 - (1-.39)^*(1-.71) = .82$ Ran Off Road: CR=.39 (CR1 applies only)

- Countermeasure: Convert intersection with minor-road stop control to modern roundabout
- .
- .











# **BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

Anoka County, Minnesota

DATE: July 12, 2016 OFFERED BY COMMISSIONER: Schulte **RESOLUTION #2016-95** 

### **RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF FEDERAL FUNDING APPLICATION FOR CSAH 54**

WHEREAS, CSAH 54 is an "A" minor arterial reliever route that provides an important northsouth transportation connection through eastern Anoka County; and,

WHEREAS, existing and future traffic volumes on CSAH 54have been increasing and are projected to continue to increase as the area develops; and,

WHEREAS, existing travel safety is a concern at the intersection of CSAH 54 and CSAH 23; and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County has identified the need to realign CSAH 54 to the west to provide better spacing between intersections and to improve mobility and safety, and to provide better access to future areas of development; and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County and the City of Columbus have worked together in the past to improve the area's transportation system; and,

WHEREAS, the Anoka County Board of Commissioners is aware of and understands the project being submitted, and commits to operate and maintain the facility for its design life and not change the use of any right-of-way acquired without prior approval from MnDOT and the Federal Highway Administration:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Anoka County Highway Department is hereby authorized to submit an application to the Transportation Advisory Board of the Metropolitan Council for 2019-2021 to receive federal transportation funds to make capacity and safety improvements to CSAH 54 (West Freeway Drive) south of CSAH 23 in Columbus.

#### STATE OF MINNESOTA) COUNTY OF ANOKA ) <sup>SS</sup>

I, Jerry Soma, County Administrator, Anoka County, Minnesota, hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy of the resolution of the county board of said county with the original record thereof on file in the Administration Office, Anoka County, Minnesota, as stated in the minutes of the proceedings of said board at a meeting duly held on July 12, 2016, and that the same is a true and correct copy of said original record and of the whole thereof, and that said resolution was duly passed by said board at said meeting.

Witness my hand and seal this 12th day of

July 2016. JERRY SOMA

JERRY SOMA COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

IES	NO
X	
Х	
Х	
X	
Х	
Х	
Х	
	X X X X X X X

VEO

NO

# CSAH 54 Existing PM Peak.syn Summary Report

	-	$\mathbf{i}$	1	-	1	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	*	1	5	<b>↑</b>	Y	
Volume (vph)	515	12	108	374	8	114
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)		75	100		0	0
Storage Lanes		1	1		1	0
Taper Length (ft)			150		25	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.850			0.874	
Flt Protected			0.950		0.997	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1863	1583	1770	1863	1623	0
Flt Permitted			0.950		0.997	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1863	1583	1770	1863	1623	0
Link Speed (mph)	30			30	30	
Link Distance (ft)	400			446	742	
Travel Time (s)	9.1			10.1	16.9	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	560	13	117	407	9	124
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	560	13	117	407	133	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)	12			12	12	
Link Offset(ft)	0			0	0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16			16	16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)		9	15		15	9
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Intersection Summary						
	Other					
Control Type: Unsignalized						
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion 50.6%			IC	CU Level o	of Service /

Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.6% Analysis Period (min) 15

	-	$\mathbf{r}$	∢	-	1	1	
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations		1		<b>†</b>		1	
Volume (vph)	0	527	0	482	0	122	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		0.865				0.865	
Flt Protected							
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1611	0	1863	0	1611	
Flt Permitted							
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1611	0	1863	0	1611	
Link Speed (mph)	30			30	30		
Link Distance (ft)	514			530	521		
Travel Time (s)	11.7			12.0	11.8		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	573	0	524	0	133	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	573	0	524	0	133	
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Left	Left	Right	
Median Width(ft)	0			0	0		
Link Offset(ft)	0			0	0		
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16			16	16		
Two way Left Turn Lane							
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Turning Speed (mph)		9	15		15	9	
Sign Control	Yield			Yield	Yield		
Intersection Summary							
71	Other						
Control Type: Roundabout							
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion 36.0%			IC	U Level	of Service	λέ
Analysis Period (min) 15							





# Project Area

Regional Solicitation CSAH 54 - Roadway Reconstruction



γ Ν

0

0.075

0.15

# Anoka County

Respectful, Innovative, Fiscally Responsible