

Application

04774 - 2016 Roadway Modernization		
05384 - Anoka CR 18 Reconstruction		
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements		
Status:	Submitted	
Submitted Date:	07/15/2016 1:48 PM	

Primary Contact

Name:*	Salutation	Jack First Name	L Middle Name	Forslund
Title:	Multimodal Planning Manager			
Department:	Anoka County Transportation Division			
Email:	jack.forslund@co.anoka.mn.us			
Address:	1440 Bunker Lake Boulevard NW			
*	Andover ^{City}	Minneso State/Proving		55304-4005 Postal Code/Zip
Phone:*	763-862-4230 Phone		Ext.	
Fax:	763-862-4201			
What Grant Programs are you most interested in?	Regional Solic Elements	itation - Roadwa	ays Includir	ng Multimodal

Organization Information

Name:

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):

Organization Type:	County Government
Organization Website:	
Address:	1440 BUNKER LAKE BLVD

*	ANDOVER	Minnesota	55304
	City	State/Province	Postal Code/Zip
County:	Anoka		
Phone:*	763-862-4200		
		Ext.	
Fax:			
PeopleSoft Vendor Number	0000003633A15		

Project Information

Project Name Primary County where the Project is Located	CR 18 Reconstruction from Andover Blvd to CSAH 78 Anoka
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant): Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)	Reconstruction of CR 18 as a two-lane access controlled roadway with roundabout
Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc. TIP Description Guidance (will be used in TIP if the project is	CR 18 Reconstruction from Andover Blvd to CSAH 78
selected for funding) Project Length (Miles)	1.1

Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?	No
If yes, please identify the source(s)	
Federal Amount	\$3,838,400.00
Match Amount	\$959,600.00
Minimum of 20% of project total	
Project Total	\$4,798,000.00
Match Percentage	20.0%

Minimum of 20%

Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total

Source of Match Funds

Anoka County Highway Fund

A minimum of 20% of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the 20% minimum can come from other federal sources

Preferred Program Year

Select one:	2020

For TDM projects, select 2018 or 2019. For Roadway, Transit, or Trail/Pedestrian projects, select 2020 or 2021.

Additional Program Years:	2019

Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

Specific Roadway Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$316,000.00
Removals (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$245,000.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.)	\$276,000.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving)	\$911,000.00
Subgrade Correction (muck)	\$0.00
Storm Sewer	\$531,000.00
Ponds	\$291,000.00
Concrete Items (curb & gutter, sidewalks, median barriers)	\$501,000.00
Traffic Control	\$35,000.00
Striping	\$40,000.00
Signing	\$25,000.00
Lighting	\$0.00
Turf - Erosion & Landscaping	\$145,000.00
Bridge	\$280,000.00
Retaining Walls	\$840,000.00
Noise Wall (do not include in cost effectiveness measure)	\$0.00
Traffic Signals	\$251,000.00
Wetland Mitigation	\$0.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection	\$0.00
RR Crossing	\$0.00
Roadway Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Roadway Elements	\$15,000.00
Totals	\$4,702,000.00

Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Path/Trail Construction	\$96,000.00
Sidewalk Construction	\$0.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA)	\$0.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK)	\$0.00
Pedestrian-scale Lighting	\$0.00
Streetscaping	\$0.00
Wayfinding	\$0.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$96,000.00

Specific Transit and TDM Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements	\$0.00
Stations, Stops, and Terminals	\$0.00
Support Facilities	\$0.00
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)	\$0.00
Vehicles	\$0.00
Contingencies	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Other Transit and TDM Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$0.00

Transit Operating Costs

Number of Platform hours	0
Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost)	\$0.00

Other Costs - Administration, Overhead, etc.	\$0.00	
Substotal	\$0.00	

lotais	
Total Cost	\$4,798,000.00
Construction Cost Total	\$4,798,000.00
Transit Operating Cost Total	\$0.00

Requirements - All Projects

All Projects

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan, the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2015), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan objectives and strategies that relate to the project.

Goal B: Safety and Security: The regional transportation system is safe and secure for all users (page 60)

- Objectives: Reduce crashes and improve safety and security for all modes of passenger travel and freight transport.

Strategies: Regional transportation partners will incorporate safety and security considerations for all modes and users throughout the process of planning, funding, construction, and operation.

Goal C: Access to Destinations: People and businesses prosper by using a reliable, affordable, and efficient multimodal transportation system that connects them to destinations throughout the region and beyond (page 62).

List the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:

- Objectives: Increase the availability of multimodal travel options, especially in congested highway corridors.

- Increase travel time reliability and predictability for travel on highway and transit systems.

- Ensure access to freight terminals such as river ports, airports, and intermodal rail yards.

Strategies: C7. Regional transportation partners will manage and optimize the performance of the principle arterial system as measured by person throughput.

Strategies: C8. Regional transportation partners will prioritize all regional highway capital investments based on a project?s expected contributions to achieving the outcomes, goals, and objectives identified in Thrive MSP 2040 and the Transportation Policy Plan.

Strategies: C9. The Council will support investments in A-minor arterials that build, manage, or improve the system?s ability to supplement the capacity of the principal arterial system and support access to the region?s job, activity, and industrial and manufacturing concentrations. Goal D: Competitive Economy: The regional transportation system supports the economic competitiveness, vitality, and prosperity of the region and state (page 64).

- Objectives: Support the region?s economic competitiveness through the efficient movement of freight.

Goal F: Leveraging Transportation Investment to Guide Land Use: The leverages transportation investments to guide land use and development patterns that advance the regional vision of stewardship, prosperity, livability, equity, and sustainability (page 70).

- Objectives: Encourage local land use design that integrates highways, streets, transit, walking, and bicycling.

3. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

List the applicable documents and pages:

Andover 2030 Transportation Plan (2008) pages 36- 41

Anoka County 2030 Transportation Plan (2009).

4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of bicycle/pedestrian projects, transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

5. Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

7. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below.

Roadway Expansion: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Roadway System Management \$250,000 to \$7,000,000

Bridges Rehabilitation/ Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

9. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

10. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

11. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

12. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

13. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A-Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Roadway Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization projects only:

2. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:

3. Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

4. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

5. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

6. The bridge must have a sufficiency rating less than 80 for rehabilitation projects and less than 50 for replacement projects. Additionally, the bridge must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Project	Information-	-Roadways
---------	--------------	-----------

County, City, or Lead Agency	Anoka County	
Functional Class of Road	A Minor Expander Arterial	
Road System	CO. RD.	
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET		
Road/Route No.	18	
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53		
Name of Road	Crosstown Boulevard	
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE		
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed	55304	
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date	03/26/2021	
(Approximate) End Construction Date	11/10/2021	
TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work)		
From: (Intersection or Address)	CR 18 and Andover Blvd.	
To: (Intersection or Address)	CR 18 and CSAH 78 (Hanson Blvd.)	
DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION		

Or At

Primary Types of Work

GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT SURFACING, CURB AND GUTTER, STORM SEWER, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS, ROUNDABOUT

Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF, SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER, SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS, BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.

BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)

Old Bridge/Culvert No.:

New Bridge/Culvert No.:

Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name):

Expander/Augmentor/Connector/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:	Expander
Area	1.526
Project Length	1.1
Average Distance	1.3873
Upload Map	1467924030981_CR18_R A D.pdf

Reliever: Relieves a Principal Arterial that is a Freeway Facility

Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report) 0

Reliever: Relieves a Principal Arterial that is a Non-Freeway Facility

Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below) 0

Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

Hour	NB/EB Volume	SB/WB Volume	Capacity	Volume exceeds capacity
12:00am - 1:00am			0	
1:00am - 2:00am			0	
2:00am - 3:00am			0	
3:00am - 4:00am			0	

4:00am - 5:00am	0
5:00am - 6:00am	0
6:00am - 7:00am	0
7:00am - 8:00am	0
8:00am - 9:00am	0
9:00am - 10:00am	0
10:00am - 11:00am	0
11:00am - 12:00pm	0
12:00pm - 1:00pm	0
1:00pm - 2:00pm	0
2:00pm - 3:00pm	0
3:00pm - 4:00pm	0
4:00pm - 5:00pm	0
5:00pm - 6:00pm	0
6:00pm - 7:00pm	0
7:00pm - 8:00pm	0
8:00pm - 9:00pm	0
9:00pm - 10:00pm	0
10:00pm - 11:00pm	0
11:00pm - 12:00am	0

Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Existing Employment within 1 Mile:	2340
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment withir Mile:	1 123
Existing Students:	0
Upload Map	1467924131454_CR18_R E.pdf

Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location:	On CR 18, north of Andover Blvd.
Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume:	245
Date heavy commercial count taken:	May, 2016

Measure D: Freight Elements

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

The project has taken into consideration heavy commercial vehicles. This includes turning lanes, paved shoulders, and appropriate turning-radius at intersections and the roundabout to accommodate truck movements.

Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

on CR 18, north of Andover Blvd		
9800		
2		
For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will be moved to the new roadway		
1467924336912_CR18_T C.pdf		

Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership	0
Current Daily Person Throughput	12740.0

Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume	Yes
If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume	
OR	
Identify the approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume	
Forecast (2040) ADT volume	

Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty with 50% or more of residents are people of color (ACP50):

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty:

Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color:

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly:

Yes

The proposed project will provide a significant improvement for pedestrians, especially children and students traveling between the residential neighborhoods and nearby schools (i.e., Andover High School and Andover Elementary School).

The improvements include safer crossing at key intersections and a roundabout at CSAH 18 and Nightingale Street. These improvements will help create "Safe Routes to School."

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

These improvements will also serve populations identified within the project area as being below the regional average of poverty or color.

Finally, the project is consistent with the goals and desired outcomes in Thrive 2040 to connect local residents in these neighborhoods (inclusive of all races, ethnicity, incomes, and abilities) with a safe and reliable transportation system to improve their overall quality of life.

The response should address the benefits, impacts, and mitigation for the populations affected by the project.

Upload Map

1467924558861_CR18_S E C.pdf

ising	
Segment Length in Miles (Population)	
1.1	
1	
1.1	
	1.1 1.1

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

City/Township	Segment Length (Miles)	Total Length (Miles)	Score		Segment Length/Total Length	Housing Sco Multiplied by Segment percent	
		0		0	0		0

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Total Project Length (Miles)	1.1
Total Housing Score	0

Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

2002 1.1 2202.2 2002.0 1 2202 2002	Year of Original Roadway Construction or Most Recent Reconstruction	Segment Length	Calculation	Calculation 2
1 2202 2002	2002	1.1	2202.2	2002.0
		1	2202	2002

Average Construction Year

Weighted Year	2002
Total Segment Length (Miles) Total Segment Length	1.1

Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

Improving a non-10-ton roadway to a 10-ton roadway:	Yes
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	The roadway is currently rated for 9-tons. This project will reconstruct the project to a 10-ton roadway.
Improved clear zones or sight lines:	
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	
Improved roadway geometrics:	Yes
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	Turn lanes will be constructed at all intersections.
Access management enhancements:	Yes

Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	Seven full-access intersections will be converted to right-in/out only.
Vertical/horizontal alignments improvements:	
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	
Improved stormwater mitigation:	Yes
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	The existing highway does not have stormwater rate or quality control.
Signals/lighting upgrades:	Yes
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	The signal located at CR 18 and Andover Boulevard will be replaced. Also, a roundabout will be constructed at the intersection of CR 18 and Nightingale, which is currently a two-way stop controlled intersection.
Other Improvements	Yes
Response (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	Roundabout

Measure A: Congestion Reduction/Air Quality

Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle Without The Project	Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle With The Project	Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle Reduced by Project	Volume (Vehicles per hour)	Total Peak Hour Delay Reduced by the Project:	EXPLANATIO N of methodology used to calculate railroad crossing delay, if applicable.	Synchro or HCM Reports
7.0	0	7.0	1060	7420.0		14679258386 46_CR 18 Synchro Report.pdf
Total Delay				7420.0		

Measure B:Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced Per Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms):	Volume (Vehicles Per Hour):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	
0.93	0.91	0.02	1060.0	21.2	
1	1		1060	21	
Total					
Total Emissions Reduc	ced:		21.2		
Upload Synchro Repor	ť		1468347966332_CR 18 Synchro Report.pdf		

Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not include railroad grade-separation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only):

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle without the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Per Vehicle with the Project (Kilograms):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced Per Vehicle by the Project (Kilograms):	Volume (Vehicles Per Hour):	Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):		
0	0		0	()	
Emissions Reduced of	Total Parallel Roadways Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways 0 Upload Synchro Report					
New Roadway	Portion:					
Cruise speed in miles	per hour with the proje	ect:	0			
Vehicle miles traveled	with the project:		0			
Total delay in hours w	ith the project:		0			
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project:			0			
Fuel consumption in gallons:			0			
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):			0			

EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): 0.0

Measure B:Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements

Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled without the project:	0
Total delay in hours without the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project:	0
Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project:	0
Total delay in hours with the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project:	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F1)	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F2)	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F3)	0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	0
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit	

1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment. Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)	
Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred	
100%	
Stakeholders have been identified	Yes
40%	
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted	
0%	

2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)		
Layout or Preliminary Plan completed		
100%		
Layout or Preliminary Plan started	Yes	
50%		
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started		
0%		
Anticipated date or date of completion	04/02/2019	
3)Environmental Documentation (5 Percent of Points)		
EIS		
EA		
РМ	Yes	
Document Status:		
Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)	100%	
Document submitted to State Aid for review	75%	date submitted
Document in progress; environmental impacts identified; review		
request letters sent		
50%		
Document not started	Yes	
0%		
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval	04/03/2019	
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (10 Percent of	Points)	
No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and	Yes	
project is not located on an identified historic bridge		
100%		
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated		
80%		
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated		
40%		
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological resources in the project area		
0%		
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:		

Project is located on an identified historic bridge

5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (10 Percent of Points)

4(f) Does the project impacts any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild & scenic rivers or public private historic properties?6(f) Does the project impact any public parks, public wildlife refuges, public golf courses, wild & scenic rivers or historic property that was purchased or improved with federal funds?

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area

100%

No impact to 4f property. The project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100%

Section 4f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80%

Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely coordination/documentation has begun

50%

Project impacts to Section 4f/6f resources likely coordination/documentation has not begun

30%

Unsure if there are any impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area

0%

6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements not required Yes

Yes

100%

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements has/have been acquired

100%

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, offers made

75%

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, appraisals made

50%

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels identified

25%

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels not identified

0%

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements identification has not been completed

0%	
Anticipated date or date of acquisition	06/06/2019
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)	
No railroad involvement on project	Yes
100%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)	100%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated	
60%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun	
40%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement	
8)Interchange Approval (15 Percent of Points)*	
*Please contact Karen Scheffing at MnDOT (Karen.Scheffing@state.mi to determine if your project needs to go through the Metropolitan Counc Interchange Request Committee.	,
Project does not involve construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps	Yes
100%	
Interchange project has been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee	
100%	
Interchange project has not been approved by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Highway Interchange Request Committee	
0%	
9)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)	
Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)	
100%	
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review	
75%	
Construction plans in progress; at least 30% completion	
50%	

Construction plans have not been started

0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	11/01/2019
10)Letting	
Anticipated Letting Date	03/18/2021

Measure A: Roadway Projects that do not Include Railroad Grade-Separation Elements

CR 1 = Installation of a median	
CR 2 = Improve pavement friction	
Rationale for Crash Modification Selected:	
These improvements are part of the project. Se	e
the attachment for the HSIP Worksheets and	
additional information.	
(Limit 1400 Characters; approximately 200 words)	
Project Benefit (\$) from B/C Ratio \$4,944,102.00	
Worksheet Attachment1468527945906_CR 18 HSIP Worksheets and Attachments.pdf	

Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:

Current AADT volume:	0
Average daily trains:	0
Crash Risk Exposure eliminated:	0

Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

The existing multiuse trail adjacent to the roadway and crosswalks throughout the corridor will be improved as part of the project to ensure that the safety, security and traveling comfort of nonmotorized travelers are enhanced. All intersections will include marked ADA compliant crosswalks. The existing intersection of Nightingale and CR 18 will be reconstructed as a roundabout, which offers many benefits to pedestrians and bicyclists.

The provision of a median will provide a refuge pedestrian for crossing the roadway at marked crosswalks. Please refer to the proposed project layout for more detail.

Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form):	\$4,798,000.00
Enter Amount of the Noise Walls:	\$0.00
Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls:	\$4,798,000.00
Points Awarded in Previous Criteria	
Cost Effectiveness	\$0.00

Other Attachments

File Name	Description	File Size
Anoka County Board Resolution in Support of CR 18 Project.pdf	Anoka County Board Resolution of Support for Project	678 KB
CR 18 and Nightingale _Synchro Summary Report.pdf	Synchro Summary Reports	16 KB
CR18_ProjectArea.pdf	Project Area	3.6 MB
CR_18 Layout.pdf	Project Layout	5.5 MB

Response (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)









Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	1060	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	7	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.65	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.13	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.15	

Direction	All
Volume (vph)	1060
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0
CO Emissions (kg)	0.64
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.12
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.15

Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	1060	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	7	
CO Emissions (kg)	0.65	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.13	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.15	

Direction	All
Volume (vph)	1060
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0
CO Emissions (kg)	0.64
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.12
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.15

									-						
HS			Control Section			Location				Beginning Ref. Pt.		Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
works	shee	t													
			-	CR18	From Andover E	Blvd. To C	SAH 78		0	001+00.258	00	02+00.393	Anoka Co.	01/01/2013	12/31/2015
			Descripti Proposed	d Work	Install Raised M								the second s	the second se	shes)
Accid		agram Codes	1 Rear End	1	2 Sideswipe Same Direction	3 Left Tur	m Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,71		Sides	Head On/ swipe -		6, 90, 99	
	/	/				9	-			Opposite Direction		osite Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F													
	-	A													
Study	Personal Injury (PI)	B								1					1
Period: Number of	ersona	-								1					
Crashes	-	C		5				1		1					7
	Property Damage	PD		6			1	2							9
% Change	Ē	F													
in Crashes		A													
	PI									640/					
*Use Desktop Reference for	2	B								-64%					
Crash Reduction Eactors	rty Ree	C		-82%				-64%		-64%					
Factors	Property Damage	PD		-82%			-64%	-64%						-64%	
	Fatal	F													
		A													
Change in Crashes	PI	B								-0.64					-0.64
= No. of		C		-4.10				-0.64		-0.64					-5.38
crashes X	urty			-4.10				-0.04	\vdash	-0.04	-				-3:30
% change in crashes	Prope	PD		-4.92			-0.64	-1.28							-6.84
Year (Safety I				ion)	2018										
		\$ 4,798,000	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes		Cost per Crash		Annual Benefit		B/C=	1.03			
Right of Way Costs (optional)			F			s	1,140,000			Using present	worth values,	and the second se			
	raffic Growth Factor 0.5%		A			\$	570,000					944,102			
Capital Reco	apital Recovery		в	-0.64	-0.21	\$	170,000	\$	36,300	C=	\$ 4,	798,000			
1. Discount Rate 2% C -5.38			-1.79	\$	83,000	\$	148,983	See "Calculat	ions" sheet for a	mortization.					
2. Project			fe (n)		30	PD	-6.84			7,600	\$	17,344			
				Total S 202,626 Office of Traffic, Safety and Technolog August 2015						Technology					

Dual CRF for CR 18

Improvements include installation of a median and improving pavement friction.

CR1=Installation of median CR2=Improve pavement friction

CR=1-(1-CR1)*(1-CR2)

Rear End: $CR=1 - (1-.39)^{*}(1-.696) = .82$ Left Turn-Mainline: $CR=1 - (1-.39)^{*}(1-.411) = .64$ Right Angle: $CR=1 - (1-.39)^{*}(1-.411) = .64$ Ran Off Road: $CR=1 - (1-.39)^{*}(1-.411) = .64$



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Anoka County, Minnesota

DATE: July 12, 2016 OFFERED BY COMMISSIONER: Schulte **RESOLUTION #2016-98**

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF FEDERAL FUNDING APPLICATION FOR CR 18

WHEREAS, CR 18 (Crosstown Boulevard) is an "A" minor arterial expander route that provides an important north-south transportation connection in Anoka County; and,

WHEREAS, traffic volumes on CR 18 have been increasing over the past decade and are expected to continue to increase in the future as the area continues to grow; and,

WHEREAS, existing and future traffic volumes are such that congestion is and will continue to negatively impact the ability of the corridor to move traffic, and,

WHEREAS, existing and future traffic volumes are such that safety is a concern at intersections and along some segments of the corridor; and,

WHEREAS, Anoka County and the City of Andover have worked together in the past to make travel capacity and safety improvements along the corridor; and,

WHEREAS, the Anoka County Board of Commissioners is aware of and understands the project being submitted, and commits to operate and maintain the facility for its design life and not change the use of any right-of-way acquired without prior approval from MnDOT and the Federal Highway Administration:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Anoka County Highway Department is hereby authorized to submit an application to the Transportation Advisory Board of the Metropolitan Council for 2019-2021 to receive federal transportation funds to make capacity and safety improvements on CR 18 between CSAH 16 (Andover Blvd.) and CSAH 78 (Hanson Blvd.) in Andover.

STATE OF MINNESOTA) COUNTY OF ANOKA) SS YES NO I, Jerry Soma, County Administrator, Anoka County, Minnesota, hereby certify that I DISTRICT #1 – LOOK Х have compared the foregoing copy of the resolution of the county board of said county with the original record thereof on file in the DISTRICT #2 - BRAASTADХ Administration Office, Anoka County, Minnesota, as stated in the minutes of the proceedings of said board at a meeting duly held DISTRICT #3 – WEST Х on July 12, 2016, and that the same is a true and correct copy of said original record and of the DISTRICT #4 – KORDIAK Х whole thereof, and that said resolution was duly passed by said board at said meeting. Witness my hand and seal this 12th day of DISTRICT #5 - GAMACHEХ July 2016. DISTRICT #6 - SIVARAJAHХ JERRY SOMA COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR DISTRICT #7 - SCHULTEХ

CR 18 Existing AM Peak.syn Summary Report

	4	*_	٦	۲	\	\mathbf{i}	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBL	NBR	SEL	SER	
Lane Configurations	2	1	ľ	1	ľ	*	
Volume (vph)	301	47	62	157	133	329	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Storage Length (ft)	0	250	150	0	150	150	
Storage Lanes	1	1	1	1	0	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25		150		25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		0.850		0.850		0.850	
Flt Protected	0.950		0.950		0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	1770	1583	1770	1583	
Flt Permitted	0.950		0.950		0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	1770	1583	1770	1583	
Link Speed (mph)	30		30		30		
Link Distance (ft)	597		540		542		
Travel Time (s)	13.6		12.3		12.3		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Growth Factor	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	
Adj. Flow (vph)	337	53	69	176	149	368	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	337	53	69	176	149	368	
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	
Median Width(ft)	12		12		12		
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		0		
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16		16		
Two way Left Turn Lane							
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9	15	9	15	9	
Sign Control	Free		Free		Stop		
Intersection Summary							
21	Other						
Control Type: Unsignalized							
Interception Connective Litilizat	ion 20 20/			10		of Sonvico	~ ^

Intersection Capacity Utilization 38.3% Analysis Period (min) 15

ICU Level of Service A

	4	•	t	۲	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		1		1		સુ	
Volume (vph)	0	348	0	219	0	462	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		0.865		0.865			
Flt Protected							
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1611	0	1611	0	1863	
Flt Permitted							
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1611	0	1611	0	1863	
Link Speed (mph)	30		30			30	
Link Distance (ft)	419		404			375	
Travel Time (s)	9.5		9.2			8.5	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Growth Factor	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	390	0	245	0	517	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	390	0	245	0	517	
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left	
Median Width(ft)	0		0			0	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane							
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15		
Sign Control	Yield		Yield			Yield	
Intersection Summary							
51	Other						
Control Type: Roundabout							
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion 45 7%			10		of Service	Δ

Intersection Capacity Utilization 45.7% Analysis Period (min) 15

ICU Level of Service A



Regional Solicitation CR 18 - Roadway Expansion



Anoka County

Respectful, Innovative, Fiscally Responsible

