Application

17074-2022 Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities
17556 - Merriam Junction Regional Trail
Regional Solicitation - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

Status:
Submitted Date:

Submitted
04/14/2022 3:40 PM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* | He/him/his | Craig |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pronouns | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Transportation Planning Manager |  |  |  |
| Department: |  |  |  |  |
| Email: | cjenson@co.scott.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 200 4th Avenue W |  |  |  |
| * | Shakopee | Minnesota |  | 55379 |
|  | City | State/Province |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 952-496-8329 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional Solicitation - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities |  |  |  |

## Organization Information

## Name:

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):

| Organization Type: | County Government |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organization Website: |  |  |  |
| Address: | 200 4th Ave W |  |  |
|  | PUBLIC WORKS DIV |  |  |
| * | Shakopee | Minnesota | 55379 |
|  | City | State/Province | Postal Code/Zip |
| County: | Scott |  |  |
| Phone:* | 612-496-8355 |  |  |
|  | Ext. |  |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |
| PeopleSoft Vendor Number | 0000024262A21 |  |  |

## Project Information

| Project Name | Merriam Junction Regional Trail |
| :--- | :--- |
| Primary County where the Project is Located | Scott |
| Cities or Townships where the Project is Located: | Louisville Township |
| Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant): | N/A |
|  | The Merriam Junction Regional Trail will construct |
|  | 2.4 miles of trail on the former Union Pacific Rail |
|  | line now under Scott County ownership. The |
| Brief Project Description (Include location, road name/functional | connection will go from the existing trail at the |
| class, type of improvement, etc.) | intersection of 145th Street West and Red Rock |
|  | Drive in Louisville Township and cross the |
|  | Minnesota River into the City of Carver in Carver |
|  | County. |

(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) DESCRIPTION - will be used in TIP if the project is selected for funding. See MnDOT's TIP description guidance.

Construct trail from the Red Rock Drive in Scott County to the City of Carver.

Include both the CSAH/MSAS/TH references and their corresponding street names in the TIP Description (see Resources link on Regional Solicitation webpage for examples).
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Project Length (Miles) } & 2.42\end{array}$
to the nearest one-tenth of a mile

## Project Funding



Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

## Project Information

| County, City, or Lead Agency | Scott County |
| :--- | :--- |
| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55379 |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date | $06 / 01 / 2025$ |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date | $06 / 01 / 2026$ |
| Name of Trail/Ped Facility: | Merriam Junction Regional Trail |
| (i.e., CEDAR LAKE TRAIL) | Red Rock Drive |
| TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work) |  |
| From: | City of Carver/Carver County |
| (Intersection or Address) |  |
| To: |  |
| (Intersection or Address) | 2.42 |
| DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION; INCLUDE NAME OF ROADWAY |  |
| IF MAJORITY OF FACILITY RUNS ADJACENT TO A SINGLE CORRIDOR |  |
| Or At: | Yes |
| Miles of trail (nearest 0.1 miles): |  |
| Miles of trail on the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network |  |
| (nearest 0.1 miles): |  |
| Is this a new trail? |  |

Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF,
SIDEWALK, SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH,
PED RAMPS, BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)
Old Bridge/Culvert No.:
New Bridge/Culvert No.:
Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name): Minnesota River

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2018), the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2018), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan goals, objectives, and strategies that relate to the project.

Briefly list the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:
a. Goal B: Safety and Security (Page 2.5), Objective: A.
i.Strategies: B1 (Page 2.5), B6 (Page 2.6)
b. Goal C: Access to Destinations (Page 2.10), Objectives A, D \& E
i. Strategies C1 (Page 2.10), C2 (Page 2.11), C15 (Page 2.22), C16 (Page 2.23), C17 (Page 2.24) c. Goal D: Competitive Economy (Page 2.26), Objective B
i. Strategies D3 (Page 2.27)
d. Goal E: Healthy Environment (Page 2.30), Objective C
i.Strategies E3 (Page 2.31)
e.Goal F: Leveraging Transportation Investments to Guide Land Use, Objective C
i.Strategies F6 (Page 2.38)

[^0]
## 1. Minnesota River Bluff Extension and Scott

## County Connection Regional Trail Master Plan (February 2011) Page 18 <br> 2. Shakopee Comprehensive Plan, Transportation <br> Plan

List the applicable documents and pages: Unique projects are exempt from this qualifying requirement because of their innovative nature.

i. Pedestrian Safety and Access Page 38<br>ii. Trails Page 39<br>3. Scott County 2040 Comprehensive Plan<br>i. Transportation Plan Chapter 6, Page VI-65 Policy<br>h.1, 2, 9, \& 10<br>ii. Park and Trails Chapter 7, Pages VII-57 \& 58 Louisville Trail Corridor

The applicant is a public agency that employs fewer than 50 people and has a completed ADA self-evaluation that covers the public right of way/transportation.

Date self-evaluation completed:
Link to plan:
Upload plan or self-evaluation if there is no link
Upload as PDF
10.The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
11.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project year-round for the useful life of the improvement, per FHWA direction established 8/27/2008 and updated 6/27/2017. Unique projects are exempt from this qualifying requirement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
12.The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
13.The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
14.The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Projects

1.All projects must relate to surface transportation. As an example, for multiuse trail and bicycle facilities, surface transportation is defined as primarily serving a commuting purpose and/or that connect two destination points. A facility may serve both a transportation purpose and a recreational purpose; a facility that connects people to recreational destinations may be considered to have a transportation purpose.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Multiuse Trails on Active Railroad Right-of-Way:
2.All multiuse trail projects that are located within right-of-way occupied by an active railroad must attach an agreement with the railroad that this right-of-way will be used for trail purposes.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

Check the box to indicate that the project is not in active railroad right-of-way.

Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities projects only:
3.All applications must include a letter from the operator of the facility confirming that they will remove snow and ice for year-round bicycle and pedestrian use. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency has a resource for best practices when using salt. Upload PDF of Agreement in Other Attachments.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Upload PDF of Agreement in Other Attachments.
Safe Routes to School projects only:
4.All projects must be located within a two-mile radius of the associated primary, middle, or high school site.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
5.All schools benefitting from the SRTS program must conduct after-implementation surveys. These include the student travel tally form and the parent survey available on the National Center for SRTS website. The school(s) must submit the after-evaluation data to the National Center for SRTS within a year of the project completion date. Additional guidance regarding evaluation can be found at the MnDOT SRTS website.

Check the box to indicate that the applicant understands this requirement and will submit data to the National Center for SRTS within one year of project completion.

## Requirements - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Projects

## Specific Roadway Elements

## CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES <br> Cost

Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost)
\$1,440,000.00
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost)
\$200,000.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.)$\$ 0.00$

Roadway (aggregates and paving) \$0.00
Subgrade Correction (muck) \$0.00
Storm Sewer \$0.00
Ponds \$0.00
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) \$0.00
Traffic Control \$0.00
Striping \$0.00
Signing \$0.00
Lighting \$0.00
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping \$550,000.00
Bridge
\$8,440,000.00
Retaining Walls$\$ 0.00$

Noise Wall (not calculated in cost effectiveness measure) \$0.00
Traffic Signals
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$10,630,000.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... \$2,270,000.00
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... \$150,000.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... \$100,000.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$2,520,000.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Transit Operating Costs

| Number of Platform hours | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc. | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 13,150,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 13,150,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Measure A: Project Location Relative to the RBTN

Select one:
Tier 1, Priority RBTN Corridor
Tier 1, RBTN Alignment
Tier 2, RBTN Corridor
Yes
Tier 2, RBTN Alignment
Direct connection to an RBTN Tier 1 corridor or alignment
Direct connection to an RBTN Tier 2 corridor or alignment

## OR

Project is not located on or directly connected to the RBTN but is part of a local system and identified within an adopted county, city or regional parks implementing agency plan.

Upload Map 1649873641450_RBTN.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Measure A: Population Summary

Existing Population Within One Mile (Integer Only) 5857
Existing Employment Within One Mile (Integer Only) 1285
Upload the "Population Summary" map 1649873686407_Pop_Employment.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Measure A: Engagement

i.Describe any Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, disabled populations, youth, or older adults within a $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. Describe how these populations relate to regional context. Location of affordable housing will be addressed in Measure C.
ii.Describe how Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, persons with disabilities, youth, older adults, and residents in affordable housing were engaged, whether through community planning efforts, project needs identification, or during the project development process.
iii.Describe the progression of engagement activities in this project. A full response should answer these questions:

Response:
The project is located in Louisville Township which is above the regional average for the population in poverty and population of color and is becoming more diverse. Approximately $13.14 \%$ of the residents identify as BIPOC populations. Since 1990, Louisville Township has seen a $12 \%$ increase in the percent of the total population identifying as non-white. $8 \%$ of the population identifies as Hispanic or Latino, which is higher than the regional average. $15.2 \%$ of the population lives below the poverty level. Additionally, 20.8\% of the population is over the age of 62 .

In 2007 Union Pacific filed for abandonment of the railroad. Extensive public participation involved multiple agencies and the public on the need for a regional trail. A trail master plan was developed in 2011. The master plan engaged the public including outreach efforts to BIPOC populations, low-income populations, disabled populations, youth, and older adults. The trail connection is in the Metropolitan Council's TPP as an RBTN corridor, where this process went through community outreach. The trail is also identified in the 2040 Scott County Comprehensive Plan, which included an engagement plan with extensive community outreach for underrepresented populations.

As part of the County's parks and trails planning efforts, the County formed a resident advisory group that collected data through focus groups, online/paper surveys, and pop-up engagement efforts. There were 16 different pop-up engagement efforts including Project Community Connect, mobile clinic events, and a Diversity Alliance event. Surveys were also available at all seven of the County libraries with available translations in Cambodian, Russian, Somali, Spanish, and Vietnamese. Focus groups consisted of Esperanza, Scott County Historical Society, the Savage

Buddhist Temple, students, seniors, and 4 H leaders.

Since the acquisition of the abandoned Union Pacific line by the County, and through our engagement efforts the Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community (SMSC) has been extensively involved in the cultural resource investigation on this project. There are nearby burial mounds outside the project limits. This area along the river served as the summer encampment of the Dakota tribe in the 1800s and earlier. The SMSC desires to work with the County in developing an interpretive program as part of the project along the corridor to highlight the extensive history of the SMSC along the Minnesota River.

The County's outreach coordinator has actively worked with the Mi Casa Organization to engage with the Latinx community to guide the vision for the corridor (see attached letter).

## Measure B: Equity Population Benefits and Impacts

Describe the projects benefits to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults. Benefits could relate to:
This is not an exhaustive list. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to Equity populations residing or engaged in activities near the project area, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting Equity populations specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.
Acknowledge and describe any negative project impacts to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults. Describe measures to mitigate these impacts. Unidentified or unmitigated negative impacts may result in a reduction in points.
Below is a list of potential negative impacts. This is not an exhaustive list.

The project will include a pedestrian crossing of the Minnesota River from the City of Carver to the existing bike/pedestrian infrastructure at the intersection of 145th Street West and Red Rocks Drive in Louisville Township. The Minnesota River has been identified as a Tier 1 barrier in the Metropolitan Council's Regional Bicycles Barriers Study. The trail will eliminate the barrier and create a safer crossing for bicyclists and pedestrians compared to the existing crossings located to the south on the shoulder of roadways of CSAH 9 in Jordan and TH 41 in Jackson Township to the north. The Merriam Junction Regional Trail river crossing provides travel time savings as the TH 41 and CSAH 9 crossings are 2 and 6 miles from the proposed trail crossing respectively.

The trail is envisioned to accommodate a wide range of user groups with varying abilities and offers access to many populations. With relatively flat grades established from the previous use as a railroad corridor, there is ample opportunity for trail visitors of all abilities to use the trail. The project will provide paved ADA access from the City of Carver to the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge which fills an existing gap, benefiting people with disabilities and the elderly with access to something that they did not have access to before. Youth populations will also be encouraged to utilize the trail for recreation driven by outreach efforts by Scott County in partnership with Three Rivers Park District. This project will provide a healthy and safe river crossing alternatives for all residents including those who are Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, persons with disabilities, youth, older adults of Scott County helping achieve the goal of Scott County's 20202025 Strategic Plan; to provide Safe, Healthy, Livable Communities. Ensuring that recreational opportunities are affordable and accessible (by

# various modes of transportation) to all citizens is an existing Scott County initiative resulting in free access to the Merriam Junction Trail for all residents of both Scott and Carver Counties. 

> The project is primarily located on existing County property surrounded by DNR or US Fish and Wildlife property, therefore there are no direct negative externalities created. There will be minimal noise generated from Minnesota River bridge construction during daytime hours.

(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words):

## Measure C: Affordable Housing Access

Describe any affordable housing developmentsexisting, under construction, or plannedwithin $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. The applicant should note the number of existing subsidized units, which will be provided on the Socio-Economic Conditions map. Applicants can also describe other types of affordable housing (e.g., naturally-occurring affordable housing, manufactured housing) and under construction or planned affordable housing that is within a half mile of the project. If applicable, the applicant can provide self-generated PDF maps to support these additions. Applicants are encouraged to provide a self-generated PDF map describing how a project connects affordable housing residents to destinations (e.g., childcare, grocery stores, schools, places of worship).
Describe the projects benefits to current and future affordable housing residents within $1 / 2$ mile of the project. Benefits must relate to affordable housing residents. Examples may include:

This is not an exhaustive list. Since residents of affordable housing are more likely not to own a private vehicle, higher points will be provided to roadway projects that include other multimodal access improvements. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to residents of affordable housing, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting residents of affordable housing specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.

Response:
Housing in Louisville Township, located east of US 169 and north of the project area will have access to the trail once constructed by connecting to an existing portion of the Merriam Junction Trail. Manufactured Home parks are located north of the project area which has a higher concentration of minority populations, low-income, and senior residents. The trail will provide access to recreational opportunities and a connection to goods, services, and amenities in the City of Carver for residents. Both Scott and Carver Counties have Community Development Authorities (CDA) that provide tenant-based assistance. Tennant base assistance (Section 8 Housing) helps low-income households afford a rental on the private marketplace, directly with a landlord from the inventory of market-rate rental units. There are also several market-rate apartments within $1 / 2$ of the Merriam Junction Trail in the City of Carver. Affordable housing residents living near the Merriam Junction Trail have to access amenities in Scott County such as the Renaissance Festival or transit services.

Bluff Creek Apartments is an affordable housing development managed by Carver County CDA. Residents would have access to recreation opportunities they didn't have before. Residents would also see time and distance savings to cross the river. The project is also located near downtown Carver (see map). 16\% of households in the City of Carver are cost-burdened, meaning more than 30\% of their income is devoted to housing each month according to Minnesota Compass.

There are several affordable housing options beyond the $1 / 2$ mile buffer in Scott County such as Mobile Manor in Shakopee; residents can utilize SmartLink Dial-a-ride service to access the Merriam Junction Regional Trail. This service is
offered in both Scott and Carver Counties and is available to all residents. They would be able to schedule a ride to/from the Merriam Junction Region Trail. All buses are ADA accessible and equipped with bike racks.

By 2040 Scott County is expected to see continued growth, adding 22,034 households between 2020 and 2040 according to the Scott County CDC Housing Needs Study. The trail will be an added benefit to new affordable housing developments in western Scott County, as it's the only planned nonmotorized Minnesota River crossing.

The trail in the City of Carver is adjacent to the downtown district. Homes were constructed in the 1800s. This area has a more affordable housing stock. Currently, there is no mandated affordable housing in this area, but downtown Carver has naturally occurring affordable housing.

## Measure D: BONUS POINTS

Project is located in an Area of Concentrated Poverty:
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color (Regional Environmental Justice Area):

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color (Regional Environmental Justice Area):

Upload the Socio-Economic Conditions map used for this measure.
Yes


1649873906770_Socio-Econ.pdf

Measure A: Gaps closed/barriers removed and/or continuity between jurisdictions improved by the project

PART 1: Qualitative assessment of project narrative discussing how the project will close a bicycle network gap, create a new or improved physical bike barrier crossing, and/or improve continuity and connections between jurisdictions.
Specifically, describe how the project would accomplish the following: Close a transportation network gap, provide a facility that crosses or circumvents a physical barrier, and/or improve continuity or connections between jurisdictions.
Bike system gap improvements include the following:

- Providing a missing link between existing or improved segments of a local transportation network or regional bicycle facility (i.e., regional trail or RBTN alignment);
- Improving bikeability to better serve all ability and experience levels by:
- Providing a safer, more protected on-street facility or off-road trail;
-Improving safety of bicycle crossings at busy intersections (e.g., through signal operations, revised signage, pavement markings, etc.); OR
-Providing a trail adjacent or parallel to a highway or arterial roadway or improving a bike route along a nearby and parallet lower-volume neighborhood collector or local street.
Physical bicycle barrier crossing improvements include grade-separated crossings (over or under) of rivers and streams, railroad corridors, freeways and expressways, and multi-lane arterials, or enhanced routes to circumvent the barrier by channeling bicyclists to existing safe crossings or grade separations. Surface crossing improvements (at-grade) of major highway and rail barriers that upgrade the bicycle facility treatment or replace an existing facility at the end of its useful life may also be considered as bicycle barrier improvements. (For new barrier crossing projects, distances to the nearest parallel crossing must be included in the application to be considered for the full allotment of points under Part 1).
Examples of continuity/connectivity improvements may include constructing a bikeway across jurisdictional lines where none exists or upgrading an existing bicycle facility treatment so that it connects to and is consistent with an adjacent jurisdictions bicycle facility.

Response:
The project will provide a crossing of the Minnesota River and eliminate a gap in the RBTN Tier 2 Corridor. The Minnesota River is identified in the Met Council's Regional Bicycle Barriers Study as a Tier 1 Barrier Crossing, one of the most difficult barriers to cross of regional significance. The proposed trail will cross an active Union Pacific rail line and tributaries to the Minnesota River which have been identified as barriers in the MRBBC.

In 2019, a portion of the trail from east of the intersection of 145th Street West and Red Rock Drive in Louisville Township to the east side of US 169 via a trail and bridge was opened. This project will extend the trail from the existing bike and pedestrian infrastructure at the intersection of 145th Street West and Red Rock Drive into the City of Carver/Carver County, completing the gap in the RBTN. This project provides the only nonmotorized barrier crossing of the Minnesota River between Scott and Carver County. By connecting to Carver, it would provide a connection for Scott County residents to the Minnesota River Bluffs Regional Trail from the City of Carver into Eden Prairie. It improves continuity between jurisdictions of Carver County/City of Carver and Scott County by providing significant safety and travel time savings for those using bikes or walking.

Other crossings of the Minnesota River are TH 41 which is located 2 miles to the north or CSAH 9 located 6 miles to the south. These roads do not have adequate facilities for pedestrians and bicycles. Pedestrians and bicycles must currently utilize the shoulder. In recent years, spring river flooding has resulted in the closure of CSAH 9 and TH 41. These river crossings can be unpredictable with the changing water levels in the Minnesota River. If both bridges are closed, residents must travel 13.5 miles south to Belle Plaine to cross the river on TH 25 or travel 8 miles north to Shakopee

> and utilize the CSAH 101 river crossing. While the CSAH 101 crossing is an option, there is a major gap from downtown Chaska along CH 61 for bike commuters. During closures residents living in Shakopee and Louisville Township also experience a significant increase in travel time.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
PART 2: Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvements and Major River Bicycle Barrier Crossings DEFINITIONS:
Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvements include crossings of barrier segments within the Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvement Areas as updated in the 2019 Technical Addendum to the Regional Bicycle Barriers Study and shown in the RBBS online map (insert link to forthcoming RBBS Online Map). Projects must create a new regional barrier crossing, replace an existing regional barrier crossing at the end of its useful life, or upgrade an existing barrier crossing to a higher level of bike facility treatment, to receive points for Part 2. Major River Bicycle Barrier Crossings include all existing and planned highway and bicycle/pedestrian bridge crossings of the Mississippi, Minnesota and St. Croix Rivers as identified in the 2018 update of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Projects must create a new major river bicycle barrier crossing, replace an existing major river crossing at the end of its useful life, or upgrade the crossing to a higher level of bike facility treatment, to receive points for Part 2.

Projects that construct new or improve existing Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossings or Major River Bicycle Barrier Crossings will be assigned points as follows: (select one)

## Tier 1

Yes
Tier 1 Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvement Area segments \& any Major River Bicycle Barrier Crossings
Tier 2
Tier 2 Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvement Area segments

## Tier 3

Tier 3 Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvement Area segments

## Non-tiered

Crossings of non-tiered Regional Bicycle Barrier segments
No improvements
No Improvements to barrier crossings
If the project improves multiple regional bicycle barriers, check box.

## Multiple

Projects that improve crossing of multiple regional bicycle barriers receive bonus points (except Tier 1 \& MRBBCs)

## Measure B: Project Improvements

Response:
This project provides significant safety benefits to pedestrians and bicyclists as it would be the only non-motorizing crossing of the Minnesota River between Scott County and Carver County. Pedestrians and bicyclists currently need to use TH 41 which is 2 miles to the north or CSAH 9 which is 6 miles south of the proposed project. Neither of these crossings have adequate facilities for pedestrians or bicyclists to safely utilize.

Pedestrians or bicyclists must currently use the shoulder along TH 41 or CSAH 9 to cross the Minnesota River. TH 41 carries over 18,000 vehicles per day.

Crash data was obtained from MnCMAT for the 10 years of 2012 to 2021 along TH 169, TH 41, CSAH 9, and 145th St W in Scott County as well as TH 41, CR 61, CR 40, and CR 11 in Carver County. These roads were identified as parallel roadways to the project. There were 11 crashes reported involving a pedestrian or a bicyclist along these parallel roadways. These crashes resulted in one serious injury, five minor injuries, and five possible injuries. Six crashes occurred along TH 41 in downtown Chaska, two crashes occurred along 145th St W, one crash occurred along TH 169, one crash occurred along CR 11 and one crash occurred along CR 40 in the City of Carver. One crash along TH 41 in downtown Chaska and one crash on 145th St occurred at night. While outside of the 10 years, it should be noted that there was a fatal crash involving a pedestrian on the parallel route along TH 169 in 2010.

TH 41 also serves as access to the Minnesota Renaissance Festival which results in congestion on weekends and brings in people unfamiliar with the area. The Minnesota Renaissance Festival is one of the largest in the nation with an annual
attendance of 300,000 . The project can provide non-motorized access to the Renaissance Festival as it currently experiences significant event congestion on TH 169 \& TH 41.

This project will provide a non-motorized river crossing for pedestrians and bicyclists to utilize as an alternative to the parallel roadways identified above. The proposed crossing will significantly increase safety for pedestrians and bicyclists intending to cross the Minnesota River by eliminating bicycle/vehicle and pedestrian/vehicle conflict points along with the two existing crossings and parallel roadways. Additionally, this project could result in fewer pedestrian and bicycle conflicts with motor vehicles along TH 41 in downtown Chaska.

## Measure A: Multimodal Elements

Response:
The Merriam Junction Trail project will provide a safe and flat paved trail from the existing infrastructure at 145th Street West and Red Rock Drive in Louisville Township to the City of Carver/Carver County. The trail will be ADA compliant and assessable for all ages and all abilities to utilize. In Carver County, the project would connect to the existing Minnesota River Bluffs Regional Trail. This would be the only nonmotorized connection between Scott and Carver County. The US Fish and Wildlife will partner with Scott County to provide parking at the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge and historical interpretation for visitors using the Regional Trail. The County will provide benches for rest and landscape viewing, and Native American interpretative signage. The trail will provide a nonmotorized connection to the Renaissance Festival and Sever's Corn Maze which are large traffic generator events that cause congestion and traffic safety concerns (see video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AE-oxyo6fdE). The Merriam Junction Trail is an extension of the Bluffs Regional Trail in Carver County that will connect to the SWLRT line.

There is no fixed route transit in the immediately abandoned rail corridor; however, SMARTLINK dial a ride service in Scott and Carver Counties can drop off users at the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge. SMARTLINK can connect trial users to Minnesota Valley Transit Authority (MVTA) fixed and express transit service to the Downtown Minneapolis, UofM, Mall of America, and Mystic Lake Hotel and Casino via the Marschall Road Transit Station in Shakopee. The Marschall Road Transit Station is located 7.5 miles North of the project. In the City of Carver trail, patrons can utilize Southwest (SW) Transit. SW Transit offers SWPrime, an on-demand ride service. Patrons can use SWPrime to connect with fixed and express
bus services to Downtown Minneapolis and the UofM through Carver Station (Park \& Ride) located 1.7 miles away from the end of the Merriam Junction Trail in the City of Carver. Additional services are provided at the Chaska (East Creek Transit) Station roughly 4.3 miles away. Both SMARTLINK and SWTransit are ADA compliant and have bike accommodations. Land To Air Express, an intercity bus service offers a daily bus service connecting communities along the Highway 169 corridor. Scheduled daily trips connect Mankato and Minneapolis w/ stops in St. Peter, Le Sueur, Belle Plaine, Jordan \& Shakopee. Land to Air also offers service to/from Mankato to/from the Mall of America, MSP Airport and Downtown Minneapolis.

# Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction 

If the applicant is completing a transit application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.
Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.
Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment - Construction Projects

## 1.Public Involvement (20 Percent of Points)

Projects that have been through a public process with residents and other interested public entities are more likely than others to be successful. The project applicant must indicate that events and/or targeted outreach (e.g., surveys and other web-based input) were held to help identify the transportation problem, how the potential solution was selected instead of other options, and the public involvement completed to date on the project. The focus of this section is on the opportunity for public input as opposed to the quality of input. NOTE: A written response is required and failure to respond will result in zero points.

Multiple types of targeted outreach efforts (such as meetings or online/mail outreach) specific to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the Yes project need.
100\%
At least one meeting specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
At least online/mail outreach effort specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
No meeting or outreach specific to this project was conducted, but the project was identified through meetings and/or outreach related to a larger planning effort.

25\%
No outreach has led to the selection of this project.
0\%
Describe the type(s) of outreach selected for this project (i.e., online or in-person meetings, surveys, demonstration projects), the method(s) used to announce outreach opportunities, and how many people participated. Include any public website links to outreach opportunities.

Response:
The project is in the final design phase. There have been numerous meetings with partner agencies with the MnDNR, US Fish\&Wildlife, City of Carver, SMSC, and Carver County. The project's EAW is anticipated to be completed this spring. The need for this project arose thru both engagements with the general public and partner agencies. When the Union Pacific notified Scott County and Carver County that they were abandoning the RR line, the counties worked in partnership to hold agency meetings to discuss the abandonment and then had public meetings on the acquisition of the abandoned railroad line to acquire the RR property and have the corridor in public ownership. Additional planning meetings were held with both the public and agencies to discuss the development of the trail on the corridor. A feasibility study of the RR line was then done to determine if a trail could be constructed before the acquisition of the RR corridor occurred. The inclusion of a trail corridor was added to the County's comprehensive plans with those engagement efforts and the Met Council Transportation Policy Plan and RBTN with those related engagement efforts. Scott and Carver County have also worked with both the MnDNR and the US Fish and Wildlife from discussing abandonment, the trail concept development, to seeking joint funding opportunities. The Counties continuously engage partner agencies as turnover at those agencies has occurred. In addition, the Met Council also was involved with the planning and acquisition of this rail corridor. The Met Council partnered with the County for future sewer purposes. The trail will provide convenient access to the sewer line for the Met Council.

A sample of past meetings that have occurred with the general public was on $3 / 11 / 13,3 / 12 / 13$, 3/25/13, 4/1/13, 4/2/13, 3/13/18, 3/19/18, 4/9/19

A sample of meetings with partner agencies were one: 11/3/10, 11/29/16, 3/8/17, 10/4/17, 2/8/18, 6/6/18, 12/9/19, 12/20/19, 5/4/21, 6/24/21, 8/9/21, 1/28/22

> 4/03/22: Scott County set up a table at a community event (Celebrate Jordan) in Jordan, MN. The outreach targeted youth and adult populations to receive feedback on the trail alignment. An estimated 200 people visited the table.

(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

## 2.Layout ( 25 Percent of Points)

Layout includes proposed geometrics and existing and proposed right-of-way boundaries. A basic layout should include a base map (north arrow; scale; legend;* city and/or county limits; existing ROW, labeled; existing signals;* and bridge numbers*) and design data (proposed alignments; bike and/or roadway lane widths; shoulder width;* proposed signals;* and proposed ROW). An aerial photograph with a line showing the projects termini does not suffice and will be awarded zero points. *lf applicable
Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties/MnDOT. If a MnDOT trunk highway is impacted, approval by MnDOT must have occurred to receive full Yes points. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.
$100 \%$
A layout does not apply (signal replacement/signal timing, standalone streetscaping, minor intersection improvements). Applicants that are not certain whether a layout is required should contact Colleen Brown at MnDOT Metro State Aid colleen.brown@state.mn.us.

100\%
For projects where MnDOT trunk highways are impacted and a MnDOT Staff Approved layout is required. Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted local jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties), and layout review and approval by MnDOT is pending. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

75\%
Layout completed but not approved by all jurisdictions. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

50\%
Layout has been started but is not complete. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

25\%
Layout has not been started

Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Additional Attachments
1649874647086_FINAL Overview.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## 3.Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge
$100 \%$
There are historical/archeological properties present but determination of no historic properties affected is anticipated. Yes

100\%
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological properties in the project area.

0\%
Project is located on an identified historic bridge
4.Right-of-Way ( 25 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit either not required or all have been Yes acquired

100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - plat, legal descriptions, or official map complete

50\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - parcels identified

25\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - parcels not all identified

0\%
5.Railroad Involvement (15 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project or railroad Right-of-Way agreement is executed (include signature page, if applicable)

100\%
Signature Page

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

Yes

50\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have not begun.

0\%

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

| Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form): | $\$ 13,150,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enter Amount of the Noise Walls: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls: | $\$ 13,150,000.00$ |
| Points Awarded in Previous Criteria |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| before conditions.pdf | Before photo | 828 KB |
| board Res.pdf | Scott County Board Resolution of <br> Support | 74 KB |
| Carver Co LOS for Scott Co |  |  |
| MerriamJctTrail.pdf | Carver County Letter of Support | 248 KB |
| City of Carver Support Letter.pdf <br> County Trail Maintenance Letter.pdf | City of Carver letter of support | 282 KB |
| DNR Letter of Support - Scott County <br> Application.pdf | Department of Natural Resources Letter <br> of Support | 37 KB |
| FINAL_affordable housing map.pdf | Affordable housing map | 2.1 MB |
| Merriam Junction Trail SMSC LOS.pdf | Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux <br> Community Letter of Support | 337 KB |
| Merrium Junction Ped Bike Crash | Merriam Junction Parallel Route Bike \& | 849 KB |
| Reports.pdf | Ped Crash Reports | 138 KB |
| Mi Casa LOS.pdf | Mi Casa Letter of Support | 73 KB |
| Mid America Letter of Support.pdf | Renaissance Festival Letter of Support | 1.4 MB |










## Merriam Junction Regional Trail - making an accessible link across the Minnesota River Valley for everyone.



About the Merriam Junction Trail Project

- Provides an accessible pedestrian and bicycle link across the Minnesota River Valley, connecting Scott and Carver Counties
- This rails-to-trails project eliminates significant physical barriers on a critical planned trail connection in the regional trail network
Includes:
》2.42 miles of paved trail
»Four bridges
» Riverbank stabilization
» Trailhead parking at the Louisville Swamp Unit of the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge

Project Readiness

- Final design underway

Environmental Review

- Phase II Archaeological Study initiated


## Project Significance

- Accessibility \& Connectivity:
»Connects nearby disadvantaged communities to regional, state, and national recreation facilities
"Creates an accessible trail through the Minnesota River Valley landscape for a broader group of users - bicyclists, persons using wheelchairs or with limited mobility
»Fills a gap in the regional system in the SW metro area, the fastest growing area of the Metro


## Cultural Resource Preservation

» Riverbank stabilization, preserving recently discovered significant cultural and archaeological resources
Creates opportunity for interpretation and appreciation of pre-contact through settlement era history

- Collaboration:

》 Provides flood resistance to MN Valley State Trail
» Coordinating with City of Carver levy project
> Trailhead improvement partnership with MN Valley National Wildlife Refuge (Louisville Swamp Parking), Scott County and the MN DNR. One parking lot to serve three significant trail amenities,


## Merriam Junction Regional Trail



Former Union Pacific Rail Corridor
Looking Northeast towards the Minnesota River

# BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS <br> SCOTT COUNTY, MINNESOTA 

Date: April 5, 2022
Resolution No.: 2022-89
Motion by Commissioner: Tom Wolf
Seconded by Commissioner: Jon Ulrich

## RESOLUTION NO. 2022-89; AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS TO THE TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION IN THE 2022 REGIONAL SOLICITATION PROCESS

WHEREAS, the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) is requesting project submittal for federal funding under the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) and the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program (CMAQ); and

WHEREAS, funding is available in the 2026-2027 federal fiscal years; and
WHEREAS, funding provides up to 80 percent of project construction costs; and
WHEREAS, this federal funding of projects reduces the burden on local taxpayers for regional improvements; and

WHEREAS, Scott County has identified projects that improve the safety and transportation system of the region; and

WHEREAS, the projects are also consistent with the Scott County Transportation Plan and Scott County Parks Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Scott County Board of Commissioners desires to submit and support these projects:

1. Merriam Junction Trail
2. Trunk Highway (TH) 13, Quentin Avenue and Lynn Avenue Interchange in Savage

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Scott County Board of Commissioners hereby supports the submittal of the above-named projects to the Transportation Advisory Board for consideration in the 2022 Regional Solicitation Process.

## VOTE RESULTS:

Yes: Barb Weckman Brekke, Dave Beer, Michael Beard, Jon Ulrich, Tom Wolf
No: None
Absent:
Abstain: None

## State of Minnesota)

County of Scott )
I, Lezlie A. Vermilion, duly appointed qualified County Administrator for the County of Scott, State of Minnesota, do hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy of a resolution with the original minutes of the proceedings of the Board of County Commissioners, Scott County, Minnesota, at their session held on 4-5-202 now on file in my office, and have found the same to be a true and correct copy thereof. Witness my hand and official seal at Shakopee, Minnesota, on $4-5,2022$


CARVER COUNTY

April 12, 2022

Ms. Lisa Freese<br>Transportation Services Director<br>Scott County Public Works<br>$2004^{\text {th }}$ Ave W<br>Shakopee, MN 55379

Dear Ms. Freese,
The Carver County and Carver County Regional Rail Authority (CCRRA) are pleased to support Scott County's application for the Merriam Junction Trail under the Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities category of Metropolitan Council's 2022 Regional Solicitation for federal transportation funding. The proposed project will construct a regional pedestrian and bicycle trail and bridges along the Scott County owned section of the former UP rail line including a crossing of the Minnesota River into Carver County.

The project will provide a new crossing of the Minnesota River and eliminate a gap in the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network. This multimodal connection will improve pedestrian and bicycle accessibility for Scott and Carver County residents, provide access to a regional recreational area, and provide safety benefits for users via an additional crossing of the Minnesota River.

The County and CCRRA are supportive of the proposed project and acknowledge potential future permitting, construction, and operation project needs within the CCRRA property. Carver County appreciates Scott County's efforts to secure funding for this regional trail improvement. Carver County and CCRRA support Scott County's application to the Metropolitan Council's 2022 Regional Solicitation funding program.

Sincerely,


Commissioner Gayle Degler, Chair
Carver County Regional Rail Authority


Mr. Craig Jensen
Transportation Planning Manager
Scott County Transportation Services
600 Country Trail East
Jordan, MN 55352
RE: Proposed Merriam Junction Trail (formerly the MN River Bluffs Scott County Connection Regional Trail)

Dear Mr. Jenson:
The City of Carver is aware Scott County is applying for federal funding through the Metropolitan Council's Regional Solicitation for a pedestrian/bicycle project, under the Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities category.

The project will construct a regional pedestrian/bicycle path and bridges along the County owned section of the former UP rail line, including a crossing of the Minnesota River in downtown City of Carver.

The City of Carver was one of the members of a Joint Powers Agreement in 2008 recognizing the preservation of this segment of rail line connecting Scott and Carver counites for use as a regional trail. We see this project as a great recreational resource for our community as well as a regional asset, for folks to walk and bike to our historic community on the river.

They City of Carver supports the layout and we are supportive of the Regional Solicitation application. Please let me know if there is any additional information you need from us regarding this funding application.

Sincerely,


Courtney Johnson, Mayor - City of Carver
316 Broadway | Carver, MN 55315

April, $14^{\text {th }}, 2022$

## RE: Trail Maintenance

To Whom It May Concern:
Scott County is submitting an application for the Merriam Junction Trail under the Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities Category. The County commits to operate and maintain the facility for its design life. Scott County is aware of the qualifying obligation for snow and ice removal. The County commits, if awarded regional funding to construct the trail, to ensure winter maintenance activities are conducted on the trail for year-round use.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.
Sincerely,


Tony Winiecki, PE
County Engineer

## m

## Parks and Trails

## 1200 Warner Road

St Paul, MN 55106
April 14, 2022
Elaine Koutsoukos
TAB Coordinator
Transportation Advisory Board
390 North Robert Street
St. Paul, MN 55101
Dear Ms. Koutsoukos,
The Minnesota Department of Natural Resources - Parks and Trails Division is aware Scott County is applying for federal funding through the Metropolitan Council's Regional Solicitation for a pedestrian/bicycle project, under the Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities category.

The project will construct a regional pedestrian/bicycle trail and bridges along the County owned section of the former UP rail line, including a crossing of the Minnesota River. The trail is envisioned to accommodate a wide range of user groups with varying abilities and offers access to many populations. The Minnesota Department of Natural Resources has been working in partnership with Scott County on the prosed Merriam Junction Trail and the Minnesota Valley State Trail.

The Minnesota Department of Natural Resources - Parks and Trails Division is supportive of the Regional Solicitation application.

Sincerely,


Rachel Henzen
Parks and Trails Area Supervisor

## AFFORDABLE HOUSING MAP



AFFORDABLE HOUSING LOCATIONS


# Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community 

April 13, 2022

Mr. Craig Jenson
Scott County Transportation Services
200 4th Avenue West
Shakopee, MN 55379

Dear Mr. Jenson:

The Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community (SMSC) is a federally recognized Native American tribe. The SMSC would like to express our support of Scott County's application through the Metropolitan Council's Regional Solicitation for a pedestrian/bicycle project, under the Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities category for a grant to complete the Merriam Junction Regional Trail construction.

The SMSC has had its roots along the Minnesota River for hundreds of years. We lived in harmony with the natural environment provided by the river, including fishing from the river and hunting game on the prairies and in the river woodlands. Along these banks of the lower Minnesota River, leaders of the Eastern Dakota, including Sakpe, Mazamani, Caske and Wambditanka, established villages. In the 18th century, Inyan Ceyaka Otonwe (Little Rapids Village) was established at the south end of this area near the water. This village was a jumping off point for the summer buffalo hunt. Today, the Merriam Junction Regional Trail area contains burial mounds built by our ancestors.

The area around the Merriam Junction Regional Trail is culturally significant to our tribe and carries tremendous historical significance. We are confident that Scott County's work on the Merriam Junction Regional Trail will honor and protect the history of this area. This project as proposed will offer an opportunity for additional cultural and historical interpretation in this area.

If you have any questions, please contact Tribal Administrator Bill Rudnicki at 952-496-6145 or bill.rudnicki@shakopeedakota.org.

Sincerely,

Keith B. Anderson
Chairman

Crash Detail Report - Long Form

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ & 10938105 \end{aligned}$ | ROUTE SYS 03-MNTH |  | ROUTE NUM 41 |  | MEASURE 1.905 | ROUTE NAME MNTH 41 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTY <br> 10-Carver | CITY Chaska | TOWNSHIP |  | MNDOT DISTRICT D-METRO |  | RELATION TO INT <br> Four-Way Intersection |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOCAL ID } \\ 14009983 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| CRASH SEVERITY <br> C - Possible Injury | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DATE } \\ 10 / 20 / 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TIME} \\ & \text { 17:50 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Mon | INTERSECT WITH |  |  |
| BASIC TYPE Bike | MANNER OF COLLISION Angle |  | NUM VEH <br> 1 | NUM KILLED  <br> 0 DIV RDWY DIR <br> North  |  | Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) |  |  |
| ROADWAY SURF Dry | LIGHT CONDITION Daylight | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |  | WEATHER SECONDARY |  | HIT \& RUN No |  | PUBLIC PRIVATE CODE No |
| WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE | NOT APPLICABLE |  |  | WORKERS PRES <br> Not Applicable (Not in Work Zone) |  |  |  |  |
| ON/OFF TRAFFICWAY | RELATIVE LOC TRAFFICWAY <br> On Roadway (including alley, |  | ON BRIDGE? <br> No | ROAD CONTRIB CIRCUM 1 |  |  | ROAD CONTRIB CIRCUM 2 |  |



| Unit 2 - Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  | VEH TYPE <br> VAN OR MINIVAN |  | DL STATUS Valid | PERSON TYPE Driver |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AGE } \\ & 44 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SEX <br> Female | INJURY SEVERITY <br> N - Prop Dmg Only |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ZIP } \\ 55318 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL STATE } \\ & \text { MN } \end{aligned}$ |
| DL CLASS <br> D The Normal (Not Commercial) Driver License |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS None |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS Apparently Normal (Including No Drugs/Alcoh |  |  |  | VIOLATIONS <br> No |  |  |


| Unit 1 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER <br> BII RDNG AGNT TR <br> VEHICLE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS |  |


| Unit 2 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VEH USE Normal | EMERGENCY VEH USE | VEH USE TOWED? <br>  Not Towed |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFU <br> Pedalcyclist | UL TRAILERS <br> Bicyclist) No |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) |  | MANEUVER <br> Turning Right <br> VEHICLE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS <br> VSN OBSCRD-WNDSH |


| Unit 1 - Person Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTRIB FACTORS IMPRP/UNSF LN US NON-MOTRST ERROR |  | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |
| BIK RDNG AGNT TR |  |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL |  | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given | NOT APPLICABLE |  |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given | Not Applicable |  |


| Unit 2 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS <br> No Clear Contributing Action | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE <br> NOT APPLICABLE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | DRUG TEST TYPE <br> Not Applicable | DRUG TEST RESULT |


$\left.$| Unit 1-Roadway Characteristics |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT <br> Straight | GRADE <br> Level | | SPEED LIMIT |
| :--- |
| 30 | \right\rvert\, | NUM LANES |
| :--- |
| ROADWAY DESIGN |
| OTHER DIVIDD HWY | | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |
| :--- |
| Operational |


| Unit 2-Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION <br> Westbound | ALIGNMENT <br> Straight | GRADE <br> Level | SPEED LIMIT <br> 30 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN <br> OTHER DIVIDD HWY | NUM LANES |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL |  |  |  |
| Traffic Control Signal | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE <br> Operational |  |  |


| ROUTE ID | LONGITUDE | UTM X | UTM Y |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O300000000000041-I | 44.787314 | -93.601060 | 452453.0 | 4959498.9 |



| Unit 3 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  |  |


| Unit 4 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 3 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 4 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |



Crash Detail Report - Long Form

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ & 11019575 \end{aligned}$ | ROUTE SYS 03-MNTH |  | ROUTE NUM 41 |  | MEASURE1.905 |  |  | ROUTE NAME MNTH 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTY <br> 10-Carver | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CITY } \\ & \text { Chaska } \end{aligned}$ | TOWNSHIP |  | MNDOT DISTRICT D-METRO |  | RELATION TO INT T or Y Intersection |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCAL ID } \\ & 15004644 \end{aligned}$ |
| CRASH SEVERITY <br> B - Minor Injury | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DATE } \\ 05 / 27 / 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & 21: 45 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Wed | EEK | K INTERSECT WITH |  |
| BASIC TYPE Bike | MANNER OF COLLISION Angle |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUM VEH } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | NUM KILLED <br> 0 | DIV RDWY DIR North |  | Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) |  |
| ROADWAY SURF Dry | LIGHT CONDITION Dark (Str Lights On) | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |  | WEATHER SECONDARY Cloudy |  | HIT \& RUN No |  PUBLIC PRIVATE CODE <br> No |  |
| WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WORK ZONE LOC } \\ & \text { Other } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | WORKERS PRES <br> Not Applicable (Not in Work Zone) |  |  | LAW ENF PRES |  |
| ON/OFF TRAFFICWAY | RELATIVE LOC TRAFFICWAY <br> On Roadway (including alley, |  | ON BRIDGE? <br> No | \|ROAD CONTRIB CIRCUM 1 |  |  | ROAD CONTRIB CIRCUM 2 |  |


| Unit 1 - Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  | VEH TYPE <br> Passenger Car |  | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AGE } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEX } \\ & \text { Male } \end{aligned}$ | INJURY SEVERITY N - Prop Dmg Only |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { ZIP } \\ & 55322 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL STATE } \\ & \text { MN } \end{aligned}$ |
| DL CLASS <br> D The Normal (Not Commercial) Driver License |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS Unknown |  |  | VIOLATIONS Yes |  |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Bicycle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE Bicycle |  | VEH TYPE BICYCLIST | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE <br> Non-Motorist |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AGE } \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SEX Female | INJURY SEVERITY <br> B - Minor Injury | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Z I P} \\ & 55318 \end{aligned}$ | DL STATE |

DL CLASS

| DL ENDORSEMENTS | DL RESTRICTII |
| :--- | :--- |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |
| None |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS <br> Apparently Normal (Including No Drugs/Alcoh | VIOLATIONS <br> No |


| Unit 1 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| Normal |  | Not Towed |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
|  | Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) | No |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) | MANEUVERMoving Forward |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | VEHICLE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS VSN OBSCD-SUN/LTI |  |


| Unit 2 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | VEHUSE TOWED? |
| Normal |  | Not Towed |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | JL $\quad$ TRAILERS |
|  | Motor Vehicle | In Transpor No |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |
|  | Moving Forward |  |
|  | VEHICLE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS |  |


| Unit 1-Person Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTOS <br> Failure to Yield Right-of-Way <br> Inattentive/Distraction (Talking, Eating) | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE <br> UNKNOWN |
| URUG TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | DRUG TEST TYPE <br> Not Applicable |


| Unit 2 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS <br> No Clear Contributing Action | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE <br> NOT APPLICABLE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | DRUG TEST TYPE <br> Not Applicable | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 1-Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT <br> Straight | GRADE <br> Level | SPEED LIMIT <br> 30 |
| Eastbound | NUM LANES |  |  |
| 2-LANWAY DESIGN 1-ECH-WY | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE <br> Operational |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL <br> Other |  |  |  |


| Unit 2-Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT <br> Northbound | GRADE <br> Straight | Level |$\quad 30$| SPEED LIMIT |
| :--- |
| ROADWAY DESIGN |
| 2-LANES 1-ECH-WY |


| ROUTE ID | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE | UTM X | UTM Y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O300000000000041-I | 44.787314 | -93.601060 | 452453.0 | 4959498.9 |



| Unit 3 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 4 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 3 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 4 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |



Crash Detail Report - Long Form



| Unit 2 - Bicycle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Bicycle |  | VEH TYPE BICYCLIST | DL STATUS <br> Cancelled or Denie | PERSON TYPE Non-Motorist |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { AGE } \\ 43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEX } \\ & \text { Male } \end{aligned}$ | INJURY SEVERITY <br> C - Possible Injury | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{Z I P} \\ & 5576 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL STATE } \\ & \text { MN } \end{aligned}$ |
| DL CLASS <br> I ID Card Only |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS Not Applicable |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? None |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS Apparently Normal (Including No Drugs/Alcoh |  |  | VIOLATIONS No |  |


| Unit 1 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VEH USE Normal | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? Not Towed |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL <br> Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) | TRAILERS No |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS <br> Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MANEUVI } \\ & \hline \text { Turning } \\ & \hline \text { VEHIILE } \\ & \text { VSN OBS } \end{aligned}$ | ht NTRIBUTING FACTORS D-WNDSH |



| Unit 1-Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTRS <br> No Clear Contributing Action | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE <br> NOT APPLICABLE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | DRUG TEST TYPE <br> Not Applicable | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 2 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS <br> ILLEGAL/UNSAF SP | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE <br> NOT APPLICABLE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | DRUG TEST TYPE <br> Not Applicable | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 1 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT <br> SOUTHWEST <br> Straight | GRADE <br> GRADE (pre 2016) SPEED LIMIT |
| 30 |  |  |$|$| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4-6 LNS 2-3-EACH | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE <br> Operational |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL |  |
| Traffic Control Signal |  |


| Unit 2 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT | GRADE | SPEED LIMIT |
| Eastbound | Straight | GRADE (pre 2016) | 30 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN |  | NUM LANES |  |
| 4-6 LNS 2-3-EACH |  | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL |  |  |  |
| Traffic Control Signal |  | Operational |  |


| ROUTE ID | LONGITUDE | UTM X | UTM Y |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $030000000000041-I$ | 44.787314 | -93.601060 | 452453.0 | 4959498.9 |

Ped/Bike Carver Parallel Route

| Unit 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE |  | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE |
| AGE | SEX | INJURY SEVERITY | ZIP | DL STATE |
| DL CLASS |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS |  |  | VIOLATIONS |  |


| Unit 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE |  | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE |
| AGE | SEX | INJURY SEVERITY | ZIP | DL STATE |
| DL CLASS |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS |  |  | VIOLATIONS |  |


| Unit 3 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  |  |


| Unit 4 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 3 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 4 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |



## NARRATIVE

DRIVER \#1 WAS SOUTHBOUND MN 41 AND STOPPED AT A RED LIGHT.
DRIVER\#1 WAS MAKING A RIGHT TURN AT THE INTERSECTION. AFTER STOPPING THE DRIVER BEGAN TO MAKE THE TURN AS HE SAW NO ONE IN THE CROSSWALK. AS DRIVER \#1 BEGAN TO MAKE THE TURN A BICYCLIST WHO HAD BEEN TRAVELING WESTBOUND ON THE SIDEWALK IN FRONT OF THE LEGION ENTERED THE CROSSWALK (BECAUSE HE SAW THE WALK SYMBOL FOR HIS DIRECTION) WITHOUT STOPPING AND STRUCK THE FRONT PASSENGER SIDE OF THE TRUCK. DRIVER\#1 HAD NO OPPORTUNITY TO SEE THE BICYCLIST PRIOR TO THE CRASH.

Crash Detail Report - Long Form


| Unit 1 - Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  | VEH TYPE <br> Passenger Car |  | DL STATUS Valid |  | PERSON TYPE Driver |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { AGE } \\ 86 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | SEX <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INJUR } \\ & \mathrm{N} \text { - } \mathrm{Pr} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SEVERITY <br> p Dmg Only |  |  | $553793907$ | DL STATE <br> MN |
| DLCLASS <br> D The Normal (Not Commercial) Driver License |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTSNone |  |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS Corrective Lenses |  |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS <br> Apparently Normal (Including No Drugs/Alcol |  |  |  | VIOLATIONS |  |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Pedestrian |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Pedestrian |  | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE Non-Motorist |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AGE } \\ & 72 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SEX } \\ & \text { Female } \end{aligned}$ | INJURY SEVERITY <br> B - Minor Injury | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZIP } \\ & 553182069 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DL STATE |
| DL CLASS |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Unit 1 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| Normal | Not Towed |  |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| Front Right Quarter Panel | Pedestrian | No |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER <br> Pedestrian | Turning Right |


| Unit 2 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  | MANEUVER <br> Walk/Cycle Across Traffic (X-ing) <br> VEHICLE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS |


| Unit 1 - Person Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTRIB FACTORS Failure to Yield Right-of-Way |  | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY Unknown |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED <br> Not Speeding |
|  | Not Spe |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL No |  | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |
|  |  | No |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given |  |  |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Person Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTRIB FACTORS No Improper Action |  | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |
| Walk/Cycle Across Traffic (X-ing) |  | Intersection - Marked Crosswalk |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL |  | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |
| No |  | No |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given |  |  |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given |  |  |


| Unit 1 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT | GRADE | SPEED LIMIT |
| Northbound | Straight | Level | 30 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |  |  |
| Two-Way, Divided, Median Barrier | 4 |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |  |  |
| Traffic Control Signal | Operational |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT | GRADE | SPEED LIMIT |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |  |  |



| Unit 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UNIT TYPE | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE |  |
| AGE | SEX | INJURY SEVERITY | ZIP | DL STATE |
| DL CLASS |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS | DL RESTRICTIONS |  |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS |  |  |  |  |


| Unit 3 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 4 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 3-Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |



| Unit 4 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |

## NARRATIVE

THE VEHICLE HAD A GREEN RIGHT TO TURN RIGHT, AND THE PEDESTRIAN HAD A "WALK" SYMBOL TO START WALKING ACROSS THE MARKED CROSSWALK. THE PEDESTRIAN WAS NEAR THE MIDDLE OF THE CROSSWALK WHEN THE VEHICLE BEGAN TO TURN RIGHT AND STRUCK THE PEDESTRIAN. THE PEDESTRIAN SUFFERED MINOR INJURIES. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DAMAGED. THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE WAS CITED.

Crash Detail Report - Long Form


| Unit 1 - Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  | VEH TYPE Pickup |  | DL STATUS Valid | PERSON TYPE Driver |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { AGE } \\ 67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SEX } \\ & \text { Male } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INJUR } \\ & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | SEVERITY <br> Dmg Only |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { ZIP } \\ & 55375 \end{aligned}\right.$ | DL STATE MN |
| DL CLASSD The Normal (Not Commercial) Driver License |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL RESTRICTIONS } \\ & \text { None } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS Has Been Drinking Alcohol |  |  |  | VIOLATIONS No |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Bicycle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE Bicycle |  |  | VEH TYPE BICYCLIST |  | DL STATUS Not Applicable | PERSON TYPE <br> Non-Motorist |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE } \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEX } \\ & \text { Male } \end{aligned}$ |  | SEVERITY <br> sible Injury |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZIP } \\ & 55318 \end{aligned}$ | DL STATE MN |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL CLASS } \\ & \text { A Commercial } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS Not Applicable |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS Has Been Drinking Alcohol |  |  |  | VIOLATIONS No |  |  |


| Unit 1 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? <br> Normal |
| Not Towed |  |  |$|$| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL <br> Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) |
| :--- | :--- |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS <br> Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) | MANEUVER |
|  | VEH RT TN ON RED |
|  |  |


| Unit 2 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER <br> PED XNG W SIGNAL |  |


| Unit 1 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS <br> Failure to Yield Right-of-Way <br> Inattentive/Distraction (Talking, Eating) | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN <br> Yes, Test Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE <br> PBT (Breath) | ALCOHOL TEST RESULTT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE <br> No, Test Not Given | Dot Applicable |


| Unit 2 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS <br> No Clear Contributing Action | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER <br> PED XNG W SIGNAL | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE <br> NOT APPLICABLE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE <br> No, Test Not Given | Not Applicable |


| Unit 1 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT <br> Straight | GRADE <br> Level | SPEED LIMIT <br> Eastbound |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |  |  |
| 4-6 LNS 2-3-EACH |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE <br> Traffic Control Signal | Operational |  |


| Unit 2 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT <br> Straight | GRADE <br> Level | SPEED LIMIT <br> 30 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |  |  |
| 4-6 LNS 2-3-EACH | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE <br> Operational |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL <br> Traffic Control Signal |  |  |  |


| ROUTE ID | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE | UTM X |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0300000000000041-I | 44.789297 | -93.601861 | 452391.3 | 4959719.7 |



| Unit 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UNIT TYPE | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE |  |
| AGE | SEX | INJURY SEVERITY | ZIP | DL STATE |
| DL CLASS |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS | DL RESTRICTIONS |  |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS |  |  |  |  |


| Unit 3 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  |  |


| Unit 4 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 3 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 4 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |



## NARRATIVE

UNIT 1 STOPPED AT THE RED SEMAPHORE AND PROCEEDED INTO THE INTERSECTION WHILE MAKING A RIGHT TURN. UNIT 2, A BICYCLIST, HAD ENTERED THE CROSSWALK HEADING NORTH ON MNTH 41 ON A GREEN SEMAPHORE. UNIT 1 STRUCK THE BICYCLIST CAUSING MINOR INJURIES.THE BICYCLIST DECLINED MEDICAL ATTENTION AND DID NOT GO TO A HOSPITAL. DRIVER OF UNIT 1 PROVIDED A PBT SAMPLE OF . $065 \%$.

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ & 11022392 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { O3-MNTH } \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NUM 41 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 2.048 \end{aligned}$ | ROUTE NAME <br> 41 HWY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTY <br> 10-Carver | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CITY } \\ & \text { Chaska } \end{aligned}$ |  | TOWNSHIP |  | MNDOT DISTRICT D-METRO |  | RELATION TO INT <br> Four-Way Intersection |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOCAL ID } \\ & 15510019 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| CRASH SEVERITY <br> C - Possible Injury | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DATE } \\ \text { 09/26/15 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TIME } \\ 11: 33 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAY } \\ & \text { Sat } \end{aligned}$ |  | INTERSECT WITH |  |
| BASIC TYPE Pedestrian | MANNER OF COLLISION RIGHT TURN |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUM VEH } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { NUM KILLED } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | DIV RDWY DIR North | FIRST HARMFUL <br> Pedestrian |  |  |
| ROADWAY SURF Dry | LIGHT CONDITIONDaylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |  | WEATHER SECONDARY |  | HIT \& RUN <br> No |  | PUBLIC PRIVATE CODE No |
| WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE | WORK ZONE LOC |  |  |  | WORKERS PRESNot Applicable (Not in Work Zone) |  |  | LAW ENF PRES |  |
| ON/OFF TRAFFICWAY | RELATIVE LOC TRAFFICWAY <br> On Roadway (including alley, |  |  | ON BRIDGE? No | ROAD CONTRIB CIRCUM 1 |  |  | ROAD CONTRIB CIRCUM 2 |  |


| Unit 1 - Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  | VEH TYPE <br> Sport Utility Vehicl |  | DL STATUS Valid | PERSON TYPE Driver |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { AGE } \\ 26 \end{array}$ | SEX Female | INJURY SEVERITY <br> N - Prop Dmg Only |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ZIP} \\ & 55379 \end{aligned}$ | DL STATE <br> MN |
| DL CLASS <br> D The Normal (Not Commercial) Driver License <br> D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS None |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS <br> Apparently Normal (Including No Drugs/Alcoh |  |  |  | VIOLATIONS |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Pedestrian |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UNIT TYPE | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE |
| Pedestrian | PEDESTRIAN | Not Applicable | Non-Motorist |


| AGE | SEX | INJURY SEVERITY | ZIP | DL STATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 55 | Female | C - Possible Injury | 55347 | MN |

DL CLASS

| DL ENDORSEMENTS | DL RESTRICTIO <br> Not Applicable |
| :--- | :--- |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS <br> Apparently |  |


| Unit 2 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| NOT APPLICABLE |  | Unknown |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
|  | Motor Vehicle In Transpor | Unknown |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |
|  | PED XNG N MK XWK |  |
|  | VEHICLE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS |  |


| Unit 1 - Person Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTRIB FACTORS Failure to Yield Right-of-Way |  | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |
|  | SPEEDIN | LATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL |  | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given | NOT APPLICABLE |  |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given | Not Applicable |  |


| Unit 2 - Person Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| CoNTRIB FACTORS <br> No Clear Contributing Action | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER <br> PED XNG N MK XWK | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE <br> NOT APPLICABLE |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | DRUG TEST TYPE <br> Not Applicable |


| Unit 1 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT <br> Northbound <br> Straight | GRADE <br> Level | SPEED LIMIT <br> 30 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN <br> OTHER DIVIDD HWY | NUM LANES |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL |  |  |  |
| Traffic Control Signal | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE <br> Operational |  |  |


| ROUTE ID | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE | UTM X | UTM Y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O300000000000041-I | 44.789297 | 452391.3 | 4959719.7 |  |



| Unit 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| UNIT TYPE | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE |  |
| AGE | SEX | INJURY SEVERITY | ZIP | DL STATE |
| DL CLASS |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS | DL RESTRICTIONS |  |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |  |  |  |


| Unit 3 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 4 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 3 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 4 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |



Crash Detail Report - Long Form


| Unit 1 - Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  | VEH TYPE <br> Pickup | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL STATUS } \\ & \text { Valid } \end{aligned}$ | PERSON TYPE Driver |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AGE } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEX } \\ & \text { Female } \end{aligned}$ | INJURY SEVERITY <br> C - Possible Injury |  | $\left.y\right\|^{\text {ZIP }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL STATE } \\ & \text { MN } \end{aligned}$ |
| DL CLASS <br> D The Normal (Not Commercial) Driver License |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS None |  |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS None |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS <br> Apparently Normal (Including No Drugs/Alcol |  |  |  |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Bicycle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE Bicycle |  | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE <br> Non-Motorist |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { AGE } \\ 66 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | SEX Male | INJURY SEVERITY <br> A - Serious Injury | ZIP | DL STATE |

DL CLASS

| DL ENDORSEMENTS | DL RESTRICTII |
| :--- | :--- |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |
| PHYICAL CONDITIOSS <br> Apparently Normal (Including No Drugs/Alcoh | VIOLATIONS <br> No |


| Unit 2 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  | MANEUVER <br> WaIK/Cycle With Traffic |


| Unit 1 - Person Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTRIB FACTORS Failure to Yield Right-of-Way |  | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY <br> Not Distracted |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED <br> Not Speeding |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LE SUSP } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | S DRUG |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN Yes, Test Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE PBT (Breath) | $\left.\right\|_{0} ^{\text {ALCOHOL TEST RESULT }}$ |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN No, Test Not Given | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 2 - Person Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTRIB FACTORS <br> No Improper Action |  | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |
|  | SPEEDIN | ELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER Walk/Cycle With Traffic | NON-MO <br> Intersec | IST LOCATION <br> - Other |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL No | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { LE SUSPI } \\ \text { No } \end{array}$ | S DRUG |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN No, Test Not Given | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 1-Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT <br> Eastbound | GRADE <br> Level | SPEED LIMIT |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | Nevel |  |  |
| Two-Way, Not Divided | 2 |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |  |  |
| No Controls |  |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT | GRADE | SPEED LIMIT |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |  |  |



| Unit 3 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  |  |


| Unit 4 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 3 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE |  |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 4 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |

OFFICER SKETCH

Crash Detail Report - Long Form



| Unit 2 - Bicycle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE Bicycle |  | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE <br> Non-Motorist |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { AGE } \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEX } \\ & \text { Male } \end{aligned}$ | INJURY SEVERITY <br> B - Minor Injury | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ZIP} \\ & 55315 \end{aligned}$ | DL STATE |

DL CLASS


|  | Unit 1 - Vehicle Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| Normal | Not Towed |  |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| Middle Left Passenger Ca | Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) | No |
| SEQUENCE OF EEENTS | MANEUVER <br> Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) | Moving Forward <br>  |


| Unit 2 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  | MANEUVER <br> Walk/Cycle Across Traffic (X-ing) <br> VEHICLE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS |


| Unit 1 - Person Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTRIB FACTORS Unknown |  | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY <br> Not Distracted |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
|  |  | Not Speeding |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL No |  | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |
|  |  | No |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given |  |  |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Person Information |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTRIB FACTORS Failure to Yield Right-of-Way |  | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER Walk/Cycle Across Traffic (X-ing) |  | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION <br> Intersection - Unmarked Crosswalk |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL No |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LE SUSP } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | S DRUG |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN No, Test Not Given | ALCOH | ST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN No, Test Not Given | DRUG | YPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 1-Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT | GRADE | SPEED LIMIT |
| Westbound | Straight | Level | 30 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |  |  |
| Two-Way, Not Divided | 2 |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |  |  |
| No Controls |  |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT | GRADE | SPEED LIMIT |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |  |  |



| Unit 3 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 3 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |



| Unit 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| UNIT TYPE | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE |  |
| AGE | SEX | INJURY SEVERITY | ZIP | DL STATE |
| DL CLASS |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS | DL RESTRICTIONS |  |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |  |  |  |


| Unit 4 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER |  |


| Unit 4 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |

## NARRATIVE

VEHICLE 1 WAS TRAVELING WESTBOUND MAIN STREET WEST AT JORGENSON STREET, IN CARVER. AS VEHICLE 1 WAS DRIVING, A BICYCLIST CROSSED THE INTERSECTION TRAVELING NORTHBOUND, AND RAN INTO THE DRIVER'S DOOR OF THE VEHICLE. ACCORDING TO A WITNESS, VEHICLE 1 WAS TRAVELING WELL BELOW THE SPEED LIMIT, AND COULD NOT HAVE AVOIDED THE CRASH. THE BICYCLIST WAS TRANSPORTED TO THE HOSPITAL WITH NON-LIFE-THREATENING INJURES.

Crash Detail Report - Long Form


| Unit 1 - Hit-And-Run Vehicle |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Hit-And-Run Vehicle | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE |  |
| AGE | SEX | INJURY SEVERITY |  |  |
| DL CLASS |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Bicycle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE Bicycle |  | VEH TYPE | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE <br> Non-Motorist |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { AGE } \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEX } \\ & \text { Male } \end{aligned}$ | INJURY SEVERITY <br> B - Minor Injury | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZIP } \\ & 55353 \end{aligned}$ | DL STATE |
| DL CLASS |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONSApparently Normal (Including No Drugs/Alcoh $\mid$ VIOLATIONS |  |  |  |  |


| Unit 1 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | VEH USE TOWED? <br> Not Towed |
| INITIAL CONTACT <br> Rear Right Quarter Panel | MOST HARMFUL | UL TRAILERS <br>  No |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS <br> Pedalcyclist (Bicyclist) |  | MANEUVER <br> Moving Forward VEHICLE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS |


| Unit 2 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  | MANEUVER <br> WaIk/Cycle Across Traffic (X-ing) <br> VEHICLE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS |


| Unit 1 - Person Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTRIB FACTORS |  | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL |  | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 2 - Person Information |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTRIB FACTORS No Improper Action |  | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| Walk/Cycle Across Traffic (X-ing) |  | Intersection - Marked Crosswalk |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL |  | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| No |  | No |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE |  | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
|  |  |  |  |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE |  | DRUG TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given |  |  |  |


| Unit 1 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT | GRADE | SPEED LIMIT |
| Southbound | Straight | Level | 65 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |  |  |
| Two-Way, Not Divided, Continous LTL | 2 |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |  |  |
| Traffic Control Signal | Operational |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT | GRADE | SPEED LIMIT |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |  |  |
|  | UTM X <br> 449411.9 | UTM Y <br> 4946635.9 |  |



| Unit 3 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  |  |



| Unit 3 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 4 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |



## NARRATIVE

V1 TRAVELING SB ON HWY 169 TO MAKE RIGHT TURN TO GO NB ON QUAKER AVE. V1 DID NOT STOP AT RED LIGHT, OR BEHIND THE SOLID WHITE LINE FOR CROSSWALK. BICYCLIST IN CROSSWALK, WITH CROSSING SIGNAL, THEN HIT THE VEHICLE IN THE PASSENGER SIDE TRUNK AREA. DRIVER DID STOP AND ASK IF THE BICYCLIST WAS OK, BUT THEN LEFT THE SCENE W/OUT PROVIDING ANY INFORMATION. NO VEHICLE/DRIVER DESCRIPTION OTHER THAN POSSIBLY A RED CAR. THE BICYCLIST WENT TO THE HOSPITAL AND SUFFERED A CONCUSSION AS A RESULT OF THE CRASH

Crash Detail Report - Long Form
Ped/Bike Scott Parallel Routes


| Unit 1 - Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  | VEH TYPE <br> Passenger Car |  | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE <br> Driver |
| AGE | SEX | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INJUR } \\ & \text { N - Pr } \end{aligned}$ | SEVERITY <br> p Dmg Only |  | ZIP |  |
| DLCLASS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS Unknown |  |  | VIOLATIONS Unknown |  |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Pedestrian |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Pedestrian |  |  | VEH TYPE PEDESTRIAN | DL STATUS | PERSON TYPE <br> Non-Motorist <br> DL STATE |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AGE } \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SEX } \\ \text { Female } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | INJURY SEVERITY <br> C - Possible Injury |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZIP } \\ & 55046 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| DL CLASS |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? None |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS VIOLATIONS <br> Apparently Normal (Including No Drugs/Alcoh No |  |  |  |  |  |


| Unit 1 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? <br> VEH USE <br> Normal |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL <br> Pedestrian | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS <br> Pedestrian | MANEUVER <br> Backing |  |


| Unit 2 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  | MANEUVER <br> PED WLKRUN W TRF <br> VEHICLE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS |


| Unit 1 - Person Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTRIB FACTORS |  | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL |  | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given | NOT APPLICABLE |  |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |
| No, Test Not Given | Not Applicable |  |


| Unit 2 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER <br> PED WLKRUN W TRF | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE <br> NOT APPLICABLE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | DRUG TEST TYPE <br> Not Applicable | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 1 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION <br> Eastbound | ALIGNMENT <br> Straight | GRADE <br> Level | SPEED LIMIT <br> 55 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN <br> 2-LANES 1-ECH-WY | NUM LANES |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL <br> Other | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE <br> Operational |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT <br> Straight | GRADE <br> Level | SPEED LIMIT <br> 55 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN <br> 2-LANES 1-ECH-WY | NUM LANES |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL <br> Other | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE <br> Operational |  |  |



| Unit 3 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  |  |


| Unit 3 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |



NOT TO SCALE


| Unit 4 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |

[^1]Crash Detail Report - Long Form


| Unit 1 - Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPE <br> Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  | VEH TYPE DL STATUS <br> BUS $(8+$ INCL DRY Valid |  |  | PERSON TYPE Driver |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { AGE } \\ 68 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SEX } \\ \text { Male } \end{array}$ | INJURY SEVERITY <br> N - Prop Dmg Only |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ZIP } \\ 55441 \end{array}$ | DL STATE MN |
| DL CLASS <br> B Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL ENDORSEMENTS |  |  |  | DL RESTRICTIONS None |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS? <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICAL CONDITIONS Apparently Normal (Including No Drugs/Alcoh |  |  |  | VIOLATIONS No |  |  |



| Unit 1 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE <br> Vehicle Used as School B B | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? <br> Not Towed |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL <br> Pedestrian | TRAILERS <br> No |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS <br> Motor Vehicle In Transport <br> Pedestrian | MANEUVER <br> Moving Forward |  |


| Unit 2 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL <br> OTHER COLISN TYP | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS | MANEUVER <br> OED XNG-NO SIG/X |  |


| Unit 1 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS <br> OTH HMN CNTR FCT | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  |  |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE <br> NOT APPLICABLE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | DRUG TEST TYPE <br> Not Applicable | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 2 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS <br> Inattentive/Distraction (Talking, Eating) <br> Failure to Yield Right-of-Way | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER <br> PED XNG-NO SIG/X | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN <br> No, Test Not Given | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE <br> NOT APPLICABLE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE <br> No, Test Not Given | Dot Applicable |


| Unit 1 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT | GRADE | SPEED LIMIT |
| Northbound | Straight | GRADE (pre 2016) | 20 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |  |  |
| PRIVATE PROPERTY |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |  |  |
| Not Applicable | NOT APPLICABLE |  |  |


| Unit 2 - Roadway Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION | ALIGNMENT <br> Straight | GRADE <br> GRADE (pre 2016) | SPEED LIMIT <br> 20 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN | NUM LANES |  |  |
| PRIVATE PROPERTY | TRAF CONTRL WORKING CODE |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | NOT APPLICABLE |  |  |
| Not Applicable |  |  |  |


| ROUTE ID | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE | UTM X |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0800006595140013-1$ | 44.740246 | -93.589048 | 453365.3 | 4954263.5 |

Crash Detail Report - Long Form
Ped/Bike Scott Parallel Routes


| Unit 3 - Vehicle Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEH USE | EMERGENCY VEH USE | TOWED? |
| INITIAL CONTACT | MOST HARMFUL | TRAILERS |
| SEQUENCE OF EVENTS |  |  |



| Unit 3 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  | SPEEDING RELATED |  |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | LE SUSPECTS DRUG |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |


| Unit 4 - Person Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONTRIB FACTORS | DRIVER DISTRACTED BY |  |
|  |  | SPEEDING RELATED |
| NON-MOTORIST MANEUVER |  |  |
| LE SUSPECTS ALCOHOL | NON-MOTORIST LOCATION |  |
| ALCOHOL TEST GIVEN | ALCOHOL TEST TYPE | ALCOHOL TEST RESULT |
| DRUG TEST GIVEN | DRUG TEST TYPE | DRUG TEST RESULT |



Crash Detail Report - Long Form

WORK AREA: County('659514','659455') - FILTER: First Harmful Event('8','9') - SPATIAL FILTER APPLIED

April 14th, 2022

MiCasa
1053 Jefferson St S, Shakopee, MN, UnitedStates, Minnesot a

Elaine Koutsoukos

## TAB Coordinator

Transportation Advisory Board
390 North Robert Street, St. Paul, MN 55101
RE: Proposed Merriam Junction Trail
Dear Ms. Kout soukos:

I'm writing to express support of Scott County's application to recelve federal funding through the Metropolitan Council's Regional Solicitation for a pedestrian/bicycle project, under the Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities category.

The project will construct a regional pedestrian/bicycle path and bridges along the Count y owned section of the former UP rail line, including a crossing of the Minnesota River. The trail is envisioned to accommodate a wide range of user groups with varying abilities and offers access to many populations. Our organization is dedicated to serving the Latinx community in Scott and Carver counties and encouraging a holistic approach to meeting their needs. This junctionwill be unitive across counties and expand accessibility for Latinos that live in the manufactured homes nearby and have limited access to transportation. Our vision with this project is to offer activitles outdoors that will support the ment al health of our community such as hiking events, ZUMBA classes, family bike rides and senior community building activities.

We hope to be able to introduce families and youth the importance of exercise as a coping mechanism and lifelong habit and encourage bonding among multigeneratio nal households. This project will be an int egral opportunity for our organization and others to engage in activities that are often exclusively tied to affluent and white counterparts. We have been working with Three Rivers ParkDistrict and there is a bicycling club specific to Latinx families. We would like to expand opport unities in Scott County and this project aligns with that community need.

Mi Casa is excited to offer our support for Scott County's Merriam Junction Trail Regional Solicitation Application.

Sincerely.


April 14th, 2022

Elaine Koutsoukos
TAB Coordinator
Transportation Advisory Board
390 North Robert Street, St. Paul, MN 55101
RE: Proposed Merriam Junction Trail
Dear Ms. Koutsoukos:
I am writing to express support of Scott County's application to receive federal funding through the Metropolitan Council's Regional Solicitation for a pedestrian/bicycle project, under the Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities category.

The project will construct a regional pedestrian/bicycle path and bridges along the County owned section of the former UP rail line, including a crossing of the Minnesota River. The trail is envisioned to accommodate a wide range of user groups with varying abilities and offers access to many populations.

Mid-America Festivals Corp. owns and produces the Minnesota Renaissance Festival on an adjacent site to the east of this trail and it attracts over 300,000 visitors and participants annually over seven weekends in August and September. We also produce other smaller events throughout the year. We see this trail as an asset to our venue and look forward to working with the County on this project including providing the right of way for a small segment of the project.

Mid-America Festivals is excited to offer our support for Scott County's Merriam Junction Trail Regional Solicitation Application.

Sincerely,


Robert S. "Bo" Belle
Executive Director of Business and Legal Affairs

## Merriam Junction Regional Trail Project

## Applicant: Scott County Counties where project is located: Scott \& Carver Location: City of Carver Requested award amount: \$5,500,000

 and Louisville Township

PROJECT LOCATION MAP
"䨐

## Project Description

The project includes a pedestrian crossing of the Minnesota River from the City of Carver to the Fish and Wildlife Service Louisville Swamp Recreation Area in Scott County. This regional trail segment is envisioned to accommodate a wide range of user groups with varying abilities and offers recreational access to many populations in the region. The trail has relatively flat grades, as a former railroad corridor. The trail project has scenic views of the Minnesota River and local wildlife. The project will provide a healthy and safe river crossing alternative for all residents of Scott and Carver counties.

## Benefits

- The project will provide a crossing of the Minnesota River and eliminate a gap in the RBTN Tier 2 Corridor.
- The project provides significant safety benefits by eliminating pedestrian/vehicle and bicycle/vehicle conflict points as it would be the only non-motorized crossing of the Minnesota River Between Scott County and Carver County.
- This non-motorized pedestrian and bicyclist connection will improve accessibility for residents of Scott County and Carver County.
- This river crossing provides an alternative recreational area that will increase the quality of life of those who utilize it.



[^0]:    (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
    3. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

[^1]:    NARRATIVE
    PEDESTRIAN IS WALKING ON ROADWAY WITH MULTIPLE OTHER PEDESTRIANS WALKING FROM ONE RENAISSANCE FESTIVAL PARKING LOT TO ANOTHER PARKING LOT. W 145TH ST HAS BEEN CONED TO THREE TRAFFIC LANES WITH NO ADDITIONAL ROOM FOR PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY. DRIVER 1 STRIKES PEDESTRIAN. PASSENGER IN VEHICLE YELLS AT MOTHER OF PEDESTRIAN THEN DRIV1 LEAVES SCENE. IDENTITY OF DRIVER 1 IS UNKNOWN AT THIS TIME.

