

Application

 19838 - 2024 Roadway Modernization

 20032 - CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

 Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

 Status:
 Submitted Date:

Submitted 12/15/2023 11:33 AM

## **Primary Contact**

 Feel free to edit your profile any time your information changes. Create your own personal alerts using My Alerts.

 Name:\*
 He/him/his
 Jason

	Pronouns	First Name	Middle Name	Last Name
Title:	Transportation Engi	ineer		
Department:	Hennepin County - Transportation Department			
Email:	jason.pieper@hennepin.us			
Address:	1600 Prairie Drive			
*	Medina	Minnesota	53340	
	City	State/Province	Postal Code/	Zip
Phone:*	612-596-0241			

Phone

Fax:

What Grant Programs are you most interested in?

Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Richard

Pieper

Ext.

Organization Information					
Name:	HENNEPIN COU	JNTY			
Jurisdictional Agency (if different):					
Organization Type:	County Government				
Organization Website:					
Address:	DPT OF PUBLIC WORKS				
	1600 PRAIRIE D	R			
*	MEDINA <sub>City</sub>	Minnesota State/Province	55340 Postal Code/Zip		
County:	Hennepin				
Phone:*	763-745-7600				
			Ext.		
Fax:					
PeopleSoft Vendor Number	0000028004A9				
Project Information					
Project Name	CSAH 5 (Minnet	onka Blvd) Phase 2 Recor	struction Project		

Primary County where the Project is Located Cities or Townships where the Project is Located: Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant): CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project Hennepin St. Louis Park The CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project includes the reconstruction of the corridor from Xylon Ave to Vernon Ave in the City of St. Louis Park. CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) is classified as an A-Minor Reliever. Attachment 02 provides a map of the project location.

The project objectives are to improve safety, accessibility, and mobility for people who walk, roll, bike, and drive along the corridor through the lens of the county's Complete and Green Streets Policy. This project will build upon the Phase 1 reconstruction project from TH 100 to France Ave that is expected to begin construction in 2024. Photos depicting the roadway's existing condition are included in Attachment 03.

The current roadway consists of a 2-lane undivided configuration with turn lanes at signalized intersections and an on-street bicycle facilities that lack vertical separation. Many of the pedestrian ramps do not meet current ADA standards, causing challenges for people with limited mobility. The existing ADA accommodations are especially poor due to the surrounding topography. CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) serves as a Tier 1 RBTN corridor and provides access to the North Cedar Lake Regional Trail, another Tier 1 RBTN alignment, as well as several other bicycle facilities that connect to future Green Line Extension stations.

The project will include, but is not limited to the following elements. The specific types of improvements and locations will be determined as part of the design process based on additional community input, data analysis, and environmental review. Attachment 04 includes the potential typical section for the corridor, and Attachment 05 includes the potential concept.

- Roadway improvements; including, the replacement of deteriorated pavement, pavement substructure, curb, and storm sewer structures.

- Safety improvements; including potential roundabouts to manage vehicle speeds, dedicated off-street bicycle facilities to separate people biking from people driving, and medians to separate opposing traffic.

- Pedestrian improvements; such as ADA compliant ramps, upgraded sidewalks (free of obstructions), high visibility crosswalk markings, APS at signals, and medians.

-For people taking transit (Routes 17 and 667), this project will improve first and last mile connections to transit stops by providing dedicated facilities for people walking and biking.

-Streetscaping improvements; such as the introduction of green space for boulevards and uniform lighting. County staff will explore opportunities to incorporate green space throughout the corridor for stormwater management, climate resiliency, and beautification to provide a more pleasant user experience. County staff will also explore opportunities to bury overhead utilities.

(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

Include both the CSAH/MSAS/TH references and their corresponding street names in the TIP Description (see Resources link on Regional Solicitation webpage for examples).

## Project Length (Miles)

to the nearest one-tenth of a mile

## **Project Funding**

Are you applying for competitive funds from another source(s) to implement this project?	No
If yes, please identify the source(s)	Not Applicable
Federal Amount	\$7,000,000.00
Match Amount	\$13,800,000.00
Minimumof 20% of project total	
Project Total	\$20,800,000.00
For transit projects, the total cost for the application is total cost minus fare revenues.	
Match Percentage	66.35%
Minimumof 20% Conpute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total	
Source of Match Funds	Hennepin County
A minimum of 20% of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the	the 20% minimum can come from other federal sources
Preferred Program Year	
Select one:	2028
Select 2026 or 2027 for TDM and Unique projects only. For all other applications, select 2028 or 2029.	
Additional Program Years:	
Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.	

## **Project Information-Roadways**

r reject mornation reduktays		
NOTE: If your project has already been assigned a State Aid Project # (SAP or SP), please Indicate SAP# here		
SAP#:		
County, City, or Lead Agency	Hennepin County	
Functional Class of Road	A-Minor Reliever	
Road System	CSAH	
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET		
Road/Route No.	5	
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53		
Name of Road	Minnetonka Blvd	
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE		
TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work)		
From: Road System	Local Street	
Road/Route No.		
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53		
Name of Road	Xylon Ave	
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE		
To: Road System	MSAS	
DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION		
Road/Route No.	6374	
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53		
Name of Road	Vernon Ave	
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE		
In the City/Cities of:	St. Louis Park	
(List all cities within project limits)		
OR:		
At: Road System		
(TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., City Street)		
Road/Route No.		
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53		
Name of Road		

Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE

In the City/Cities of:	
(List all cities within project limits)	
PROJECT LENGTH	
Miles	1.8
(nearest 0.1 miles)	
Primary Types of Work (check all the apply)	
New Construction	
Reconstruction	Yes
Resurfacing	
Bituminous Pavement	
Concrete Pavement	Yes
Roundabout	Yes
New Bridge	
Bridge Replacement	
Bridge Rehab	
New Signal	
Signal Replacement/Revision	Yes
Bike Trail	
Other (do not include incidental items)	GRADING, AGG BASE, CONCRETE SURFACE SURFACE, STORM
Other (do not include incidental items)	GRADING, AGG BASE, CONCRETE SURFACE SURFACE, STORM SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND
Other (do not include incidental items)	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA,
Other (do not include incidental items) BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND
	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) Old Bridge/Culvert No.:	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) Old Bridge/Culvert No.: New Bridge/Culvert No.: Structure is Over/Under	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) Old Bridge/Culvert No.: New Bridge/Culvert No.: Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name):	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) Old Bridge/Culvert No.: New Bridge/Culvert No.: Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name): OTHER INFORMATION:	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND CURB/GUTTER
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) Old Bridge/Culvert No.: New Bridge/Culvert No.: Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name): OTHER INFORMATION: Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND CURB/GUTTER
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) Old Bridge/Culvert No.: New Bridge/Culvert No.: Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name): OTHER INFORMATION: Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed Approximate Begin Construction Date	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND CURB/GUTTER 55426 05/01/2028
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) Old Bridge/Culvert No.: New Bridge/Culvert No.: Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name): OTHER INFORMATION: Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed Approximate Begin Construction Date Approximate End Construction Date	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND CURB/GUTTER 55426 05/01/2028 10/31/2029
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) Old Bridge/Culvert No.: New Bridge/Culvert No.: Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name): OTHER INFORMATION: Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed Approximate Begin Construction Date Approximate End Construction Date Miles of Trail (nearest 0.1 miles)	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND CURB/GUTTER 55426 05/01/2028 10/31/2029 1.8 1.8
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) Old Bridge/Culvert No.: New Bridge/Culvert No.: Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name): OTHER INFORMATION: Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed Approximate Begin Construction Date Approximate End Construction Date Miles of Trail (nearest 0.1 miles) Miles of Sidewalk (nearest 0.1 miles)	SEWER, OFF-STREET FACILITY (IF FEASIBLE), SIDEWALK, ADA, STREETSCAPING, LIGHTING, AND CURB/GUTTER 55426 05/01/2028 10/31/2029 1.8 1.8

## **Requirements - All Projects**

## All Projects

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2018), the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2018), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan goals, objectives, and strategies that relate to the project. Briefly list the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages: A)Transportation System Stewardship (p 2.2-2.4)

Objectives A & B; Strategies A1 & A2

The project will reconstruct assets as maintenance is no longer cost-effective to extend the useful life of the roadway. Dedicated facilities for people walking, an offstreet bikeway, reconfiguring the number of lanes and intersection improvements will increase the efficiency of the transportation system.

B)Safety and security (p 2.5-2.9)

Objectives A & B; Strategies B1, B3, B4 & B6

The project will improve safety improvements for all modes. Lanes will be reconfigured to include roundabouts at intersections and medians throughout the corridor to separate opposing vehicular traffic. Shifting bicyclists from on-street to off-street will result in safer outcomes, as will boulevard space in between the roadway and sidewalk.

C)Access to destinations (p 2.10-2.25)

Objectives A, B, C, D & E; Strategies C1, C2, C3, C4, C8, C9, C15, C16 & C17

CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) is an A-minor Reliever that serves a key east-west connection that connects to several principal arterials such as TH 100 and TH 169. CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) is identified as a Tier 1 alignment on the RBTN network, and the western limits of the project will enhance access to the Cedar Lake Regional Trail.

D)Competitive economy (p 2.26-2.29)

Objectives A, B & C; Strategies D1, D3 & D4

The corridor provides direct access to residences, many shopping centers and Aquila Elementary School. Off-street bike facilities will connect people to businesses and residences along the corridor.

E)Healthy and equitable communities (p 2.30-2.34)

Objectives A, B, C & D; Strategies E1, E2, E3, E4, E5, E6 & E7

The project will enhance non-motorized travel for people biking, walking and rolling along the corridor. Boulevards will be added to incorporate green space where feasible. Improvements will allow safer crossings at key intersections.

F)Leveraging transportation investments to guide land use (p 2.35-2.41)

Objectives A & C; Strategies F1, F2, F3, F5, F6, F7

The project will result in a Complete Streets roadway design that enhances the suburban context. Off-street bikeways and improved sidewalks will provide safe modal choices for all users. Roundabouts at key intersections and medians throughout the corridor to separate opposing vehicular traffic will promote safety and accessibility to the high number of business and residential accesses along the corridor.

Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words

3. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

List the applicable documents and pages: Unique projects are exempt 1)Hennepin County 2024-2028 Capital Improvement Program (Attachment 06) from this qualifying requirement because of their innovative nature.

2)Hennepin County 2040 Transportation Plan (pages 2-11 - 2-18)

URL: hennepin.us/-/media/hennepinus/your-government/projects-initiatives/2040-comprehensive-plan/2040-comprehensive-plan-full.pdf

3)Hennepin County Climate Action Plan (pages 50-54)

URL: hennepin.us/climate-action/-/media/climate-action/hennepin-county-climate-action-plan-final.pdf

4)Hennepin County Complete and Green Streets Policy (pages 10-11)

URL: hennepin.us/-/media/hennepinus/your-government/projectsinitiatives/complete-streets/Complete-and-Green-Streets-Policy\_Oct2023.pdf

5)Hennepin County Pedestrian Plan (page 8)

URL: hennepin.us/-/media/hennepinus/residents/transportation/documents/pedestrian-plan.pdf

6)Hennepin County Enhanced Bikeway Network Study (Attachment 07)

7)St. Louis Park Comprehensive Plan (pages 6-228 and 6-247)

URL: stlouisparkmn.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/15332/637110597442630000

Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words

4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible. Unique project costs are limited to those that are federally eligible.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

5. Applicant is a public agency (e.g., county, city, tribal government, transit provider, etc.) or non-profit organization (TDM and Unique Projects applicants only). Applicants that are not State Aid cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Yes

Yes

Yes

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

6. Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

7. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below in Table 1. For unique projects, the minimum award is \$500,000 and the maximum award is the total amount available each funding cycle (approximately \$4,000,000 for the 2024 funding cycle).

Strategic Capacity (Roadway Expansion): \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000 Roadway Reconstruction/M odernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000 Traffic Management Technologies (Roadway System Management): \$500,000 to \$3,500,000 Spot Mobility and Safety: \$1,000,000 to \$3,500,000 Bridges Rehabilitation/Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

8. 7	The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).	
------	---	--

## Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

Yes 9. In order for a selected project to be included in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and approved by USDOT, the public agency sponsor must either have a current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) self-evaluation or transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation, as required under Title II of the ADA. The plan must be completed by the local agency before the Regional Solicitation application deadline. For future Regional Solicitation funding cycles, this requirement may include that the plan has undergone a recent update, e.g., within five years prior to application. The applicant is a public agency that employs 50 or more people and has a Yes completed ADA transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation. (TDM and Unique Project Applicants Only) The applicant is not a public agency subject to the self-evaluation requirements in Title II of the ADA. Date plan completed: 08/31/2015 Link to plan: hennepin.us/-/media/hennepinus/residents/transportation/documents/adasidewalk-transition-plan.pdf The applicant is a public agency that employs fewer than 50 people and has a completed ADA self-evaluation that covers the public right of way/transportation. Date self-evaluation completed: Link to plan: Upload plan or self-evaluation if there is no link Upload as PDF 10. The project must be accessible and open to the general public. Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes 11. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project year-round for the useful life of the improvement. This includes assurance of year-round use of bicycle, pedestrian, and transit facilities, per FHWA direction established 8/27/2008 and updated 4/15/2019. Unique projects are exempt from this qualifying requirement. Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes 12. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term ?independent utility? means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy. Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes 13. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work. Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes 14. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application. Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes **Roadways Including Multimodal Elements** 1. All roadway projects must be identified as a principal arterial (non-freeway facilities only) or A-minor arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map. Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects must be located on a minor collector and above functionally classified roadway in the urban areas or a major collector and above in the rural areas. Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes Roadway Strategic Capacity and Reconstruction/Modernization and Spot Mobility projects only: 2. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards. Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement and Strategic Capacity projects only: 3. Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a principal arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOT?s ?Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities? manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction. Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. 4. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

#### Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:

5. The length of the in-place structure is 20 feet or longer.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

6. The bridge must have a Local Planning Index (LPI) of less than 60 OR a National Bridge Inventory (NBI) Rating of 3 or less for either Deck Geometry, Approach Roadway, or Waterway Adequacy as reported on the most recent Minnesota Structure Inventory Report.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

#### Roadway Expansion, Reconstruction/Modernization, and Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:

7. All roadway projects that involve the construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps must have approval by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Interchange Planning Review Committee prior to application submittal. Please contact David Elvin at MnDOT (David Elvin@state.mn.us or 651-234-7795) to determine whether your project needs to go through this process as described in Appendix F of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan.

### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

Specific Roadway Elements	
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$820,000.00
Removals (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$684,000.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.)	\$1,239,160.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving)	\$3,248,520.00
Subgrade Correction (muck)	\$0.00
Storm Sewer	\$1,962,000.00
Ponds	\$0.00
Concrete Items (curb & gutter, sidewalks, median barriers)	\$1,190,340.00
Traffic Control	\$820,000.00
Striping	\$85,000.00
Signing	\$76,500.00
Lighting	\$708,000.00
Turf - Erosion & Landscaping	\$942,000.00
Bridge	\$0.00
Retaining Walls	\$0.00
Noise Wall (not calculated in cost effectiveness measure)	\$0.00
Traffic Signals	\$100,000.00
Wetland Mitigation	\$0.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection	\$0.00
RR Crossing	\$0.00
RoadwayContingencies	\$3,684,330.00
Other Roadway Elements	\$400,000.00
Totals	\$15,959,850.00

## Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Path/Trail Construction	\$832,800.00
Sidewalk Construction	\$1,048,390.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA)	\$290,000.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK)	\$150,000.00
Pedestrian-scale Lighting	\$0.00
Streetscaping	\$942,000.00
Wayfinding	\$0.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies	\$1,116,960.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements	\$460,000.00
Totals	\$4,840,150.00

## Specific Transit and TDM Elements CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements	\$0.00
Stations, Stops, and Terminals	\$0.00
Support Facilities	\$0.00
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)	\$0.00
Vehicles	\$0.00
Contingencies	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Other Transit and TDM Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$0.00

## Transit Operating Costs

## **PROTECT Funds Eligibility**

One of the new federal funding sources is Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost-Saving Transportation (PROTECT). Please describe which specific elements of your project and associated costs out of the Total TAB-Eligible Costs are eligible to receive PROTECT funds. Examples of potential eligible items may include: storm sewer, ponding, erosion control/landscaping, retaining walls, new bridges over floodplains, and road realignments out of floodplains.

INFORMATION: Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost-Saving Transportation (PROTECT) Formula Program Implementation Guidance (dot.gov).

Response:	Based on a planning level review of the proposed scope of work, the following project elements appear to be eligible for the PROTECT Program: Storm Sewer, Landscaping, and Streetscaping (within the Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements)

Totals	
Total Cost	\$20,800,000.00
Construction Cost Total	\$20,800,000.00
Transit Operating Cost Total	\$0.00

## Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

	<b>3</b> , <b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>
Existing Employment within 1 Mile:	23432
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1 Mile:	2265
Existing Post-Secondary Students within 1 Mile:	0
Upload Map	1702226184045_2024 RS Map 02 - CSAH 005 Minnetonka Blvd Phase 2 - Regional Economy.pdf
Places unload attachment in PDC form	

Please upload attachment in PDF form

## Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

RESPONSE: Select one for your project, based on the updated 2021 Regional Truck Corridor Study:

Miles: 0 (to the nearest 0.1 miles)	
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)	
Along Tier 2:	
Miles: 0	
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)	
Along Tier 3:	
Miles: 0	
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)	
The project provides a direct and immediate connection (i.e., intersects) with either a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 corridor:	
None of the tiers:	

Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput	
Location	CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) east of Louisiana Ave (Seq ID# 42750)
Current AADT Volume	12000
Existing Transit Routes on the Project	17, 667
For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will likely be diverted to the new proposed roadway (if a	pplicable).
Upload Transit Connections Map	1702226403597_2024 RS Map 04 - CSAH 005 Minnetonka Blvd Phase 2 - Transit Connections.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form	
Response: Current Daily Person Throughput	<u>_</u>
	0
Response: Current Daily Person Throughput Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership Current Daily Person Throughput	0 15600.0
Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership	

If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume

Identify the approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

Hennepin County conducted a comprehensive travel demand forecasting analysis based on the Metropolitan Council's regional activity based model. Forecast traffic volumes were based on a combination of socio-economic and land use assumptions. It should be noted that the future transportation network was assumed to include projects identified in the county's Capital Improvement Program. Attachment 08 illustrates the forecast traffic volumes.

Forecast (2040) ADT volume

# Measure A: Engagement

i. Describe any Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, Iow-income populations, disabled populations, youth, or older adults within a ½ mile of the proposed project. Describe how these populations relate to regional context. Location of affordable housing will be addressed in Measure C.

14600

ii. Describe how Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, persons with disabilities, youth, older adults, and residents in affordable housing were engaged, whether through community planning efforts, project needs identification, or during the project development process.

iii. Describe the progression of engagement activities in this project. A full response should answer these questions:

- 1. What engagement methods and tools were used?
- 2. How did you engage specific communities and populations likely to be directly impacted by the project?
- 3. What techniques did you use to reach populations traditionally not involved in community engagement related to transportation projects?
- 4. How were the project?s purpose and need identified?
- 5. How was the community engaged as the project was developed and designed?

6. How did you provide multiple opportunities for of Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, persons with disabilities, youth, older adults, and residents in affordable housing to engage at different points of project development?

7. How did engagement influence the project plans or recommendations? How did you share back findings with community and re-engage to assess responsiveness of these changes?

8. If applicable, how will NEPA or Title VI regulations will guide engagement activities?

Response:

Within 0.5 miles of the project corridor, 24% of the population are Black, Indigenous and people of color (BIPOC) and 9% of the population are those with a disability of any kind. In addition, 20% of the population is under 18 years old and 15% of the population is over 65. 18% of the population within 0.5 miles of the project area has a household income under 200% of the federal poverty level and 5% of households has limited English proficiency. These demographic profiles are based on ACS 2017 - 2021 5-year estimates via the EPA's EJScreen tool. In addition, St. Louis Park is home to a robust Orthodox Jewish community dating to the 1930's which supports a variety of synagogues, schools, and other community institutions.

While public engagement has not formally started for this section of CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd), extensive public engagement has occurred for the first phase of the project immediately east of TH 100. Outreach for the first phase has included three iterative rounds of engagement where county staff received hundreds of in-person and online comments through open houses, online interactive maps and surveys as well as physical signage and sidewalk decals. Specific focus groups were used to reach BOPIC populations, low income populations and youth populations including attending events such as Skateapalooza. In addition, specific outreach was conducted to local community organizations and businesses including the distribution of flyers and surveys. A public website was established early in project development process and has been consistently updated with engagement materials. Public engagement also was specifically structured to engage the Orthodox Jewish community including respecting religious holidays when scheduling engagement address and carefully coordinating construction detours to acknowledge specific Jewish practices which set cultural expectations for travel behavior. A summary of community engagement to-date, including key themes, can be found in Attachment 09.

Public engagement for this project will follow a similar iterative structure including a mix of focus groups, open houses, physical and virtual materials, and direct meeting with prominent corridor institutions and organizations. Engagement will be conducted with staff from across county functional groups including the Community and Engagement team to encourage the use of plain language and ensure the use of best practices. Critical project elements such as typical sections will be determined through public engagement like the first phase of the Minnetonka Blvd reconstruction project which arrived at the final typical section through several iterations communicated through a public website.

## Measure B: Disadvantaged Communities Benefits and Impacts

Describe the project?s benefits to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, Iow-income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults. Benefits could relate to:

- ? pedestrian and bicycle safety improvements;
- ? public health benefits;
- ? direct access improvements for residents or improved access to destinations such as jobs, school, health care, or other;
- ? travel time improvements;
- ? gap closures;
- ? new transportation services or modal options;
- ? leveraging of other beneficial projects and investments;
- ? and/or community connection and cohesion improvements.

This is not an exhaustive list. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to Disadvantaged communities residing or engaged in activities near the project area, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting Disadvantaged communities specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.

Acknowledge and describe any negative project impacts to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults. Describe measures to mitigate these impacts. Unidentified or unmitigated negative impacts may result in a reduction in points.

Below is a list of potential negative impacts. This is not an exhaustive list.

- ? Decreased pedestrian access through sidewalk removal / narrowing, placement of barriers along the walking path, increase in auto-oriented curb cuts, etc.
- ? Increased speed and/or ?cut-through? traffic.
- ? Removed or diminished safe bicycle access.
- ? Inclusion of some other barrier to access to jobs and other destinations.

The reconstruction of CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) will improve safety and mobility for people with disabilities, youth, older adults, low-income households and BIPOC populations. Attachment 10 provides an overview of key community resources as well as census tracts with high scores of the CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index (SVI), a resource that uses census data to measure resilience to natural or human-caused disasters. The western edge of the corridor between the North Cedar Lake Trail and Texas Ave has a high SVI score, indicating the community is more vulnerable and potentially a higher number of users who walk, cycle, or utilize public transit.

The current design of the roadway includes a two-lane undivided configuration with limited crossing enhancements and painted on-street bike lanes. Hennepin County will introduce complete streets elements such as curb extensions, pedestrian refuges, and high visibility crosswalks as feasible to improve safety for nonmotorized users. Reconstructed sidewalk facilities will also provide significant benefit to those with limited mobility as there are sections of sidewalk as narrow as 4 feet along CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) combined with aging pedestrian ramps throughout the corridor.

The introduction of improved facilities for people biking will ensure that people of all ages and abilities can safely travel CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd). This is especially important for students and families who use the corridor to access the St. Louis Park Library, Aquila Elementary or St. Louis Park High School. It will also provide a safe connection for the North Cedar Lake Trail. The project will also promote first and last mile connections for transit users, including Metro Transit routes 17, 667, and for future Green Line light rail stations at Louisiana Ave and Wooddale Ave, These regional connections will help promote a range of modal choices, particularly for disadvantaged communities who need to access employment, education, and healthcare beyond the immediate project area.

Expanded green infrastructure through the proposed project will address historical drainage issues, particularly along the eastern half of the corridor. These drainage issues have negatively impacted commercial and residential properties as well as serve as a hazard for people driving and people biking using on-street facilities.

Increased noise and impacts to the roadway and sidewalks are anticipated during construction. The contractor will be required to follow temporary traffic control plans which specify detour routes for all people traveling through the corridor. Access to adjacent buildings will be critical, and staff will seek our opportunities to ensure that nearby businesses and services are not negatively impacted during construction.

(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words):

#### Measure C: Affordable Housing Access

Describe any affordable housing developments?existing, under construction, or planned?within ½ mile of the proposed project. The applicant should note the number of existing subsidized units, which will be provided on the Socio-Economic Conditions map. Applicants can also describe other types of affordable housing (e.g., naturally-occurring affordable housing, manufactured housing) and under construction or planned affordable housing that is within a half mile of the project. If applicable, the applicant can provide self-generated PDF maps to support these additions. Applicants are encouraged to provide a self-generated PDF map describing how a project connects affordable housing residents to destinations (e.g., childcare, grocery stores, schools, places of worship).

Describe the project?s benefits to current and future affordable housing residents within ½ mile of the project. Benefits must relate to affordable housing residents. Examples may include:

? specific direct access improvements for residents

- ? improved access to destinations such as jobs, school, health care or other;
- ? new transportation services or modal options;
- ? and/or community connection and cohesion improvements.

This is not an exhaustive list. Since residents of affordable housing are more likely not to own a private vehicle, higher points will be provided to roadway projects that include other multimodal access improvements. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to residents of affordable housing, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting residents of affordable housing specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.

A total of 5 affordable subsidized housing developments are located within 0.5 miles of the project area, many of which specifically target serving those with disabilities, seniors, and families with children. Attachment 11 provides a map and full detail summary of these locations, including unit sizes and affordability limits based on area median incomes. As identified in the Met Council generated Socio-Economic Conditions map, 1117 subsidized units exist in census tracts within 0.5 miles of the project.

The proposed project would provide a direct benefit to residents of the affordable housing through the allocation of existing resources to facilities for those walking, rolling, biking, and using transit. One development of note is the Shalom Menorah Plaza, a 155-unit property with 143 subsidized units for seniors and 12 units for those with memory impairment. Shalom Menorah Plaza represents a significant source of those who may walk or roll through the project area and includes culturally sensitive programming and meals for the Jewish community in the Cedar Lake area as well as a wide array of general programming for seniors. Oak Park Village apartments is another notable development which provides 100 subsidized townhomes for families just south of CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd).

The reconstruction of CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) will include proven safety measures at the Texas Ave intersection, which ranks as one of the top 200 intersections for crash frequency and severity in Hennepin County. This will directly improve access to all destinations for residents of the Volo at Texas Tonka, a recently completed mixed income development at the corner of Texas Ave that contains 112 units of housing, 23 of which are subsidized.

Improvement of the bicycle and pedestrian realm will be especially beneficial for families living in affordable housing through the project area as the Saint Louis Park Library, St. Louis Park High School, and Aquila Elementary School are all within one-half mile of the project. Other destinations such as parks, places of worship, and childcare can be found in Attachment 10. The proposed project will ensure that affordable housing residents will have access to a full range of modal options through improved first and last mile connections to Metro Transit Route 17, 667, and at least two future Green Line stations. Off-street bicycle facilities will also connect affordable housing residents who bike as their primary means of transportation to employment centers, grocery stores, and other daily needs via the North Cedar Lake Trail.

(Linit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words):

## Measure D: BONUS POINTS

Project is located in an Area of Concentrated Poverty:

Project?s census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color (Regional Environmental Justice Area): Yes

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color (Regional Environmental Justice Area):

Upload the ?Socio-Economic Conditions? map used for this measure.

1702227256781\_2024 RS Map 03 - CSAH 005 Minnetonka Blvd Phase 2 - Socio Economic Conditions.pdf

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original Roadway Construction or Most Recent Reconstruction	Segment Length	Calculation	Calculation 2
2008	0.03	60.24	34.034
1959	0.03	58.77	33.203
1956	0.66	1290.96	729.356

1956	0.5	978.0	552.542				
1952		1073.6	606.554				
	2	3462	1956				
Total Proje	ct Length	)					
Total Project Le	ngth (as ente	ered in "Proj	ect Informatio	n" form)	1.77		
Average Co	onstructio	on Year				 	
Weighted Year					1955		
Total Segm	ent Leng	th (Miles	)	_			
Total Segment L	.ength				1.77		
Measure B	Geomet	ric, Struc	tural, or Ir	frastructure	Improvements	 	

Improved roadway to better accommodate freight movements:	Yes
Response:	Minnetonka Blvd was originally constructed with concrete pavement and has since experienced 3+ bituminous overlays that extend over the gutter. The current pavement surface exhibits cracking at the concrete joints, requiring preservation techniques at short intervals - frequently impacting freight traffic. A reconstruction will include a pavement design that supports the desired truck loads and curb/gutter to define the roadway edge.
	The potential conversion of signalized intersections to roundabouts (designed to accommodate truck turns) will reduce unnecessary delays caused by marginally warranted signals.
(Linit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)	A StreetLight analysis estimates 600 daily commercial vehicles (Attachment 12).
Improved clear zones or sight lines:	Yes
Response:	The vertical curve present at the Minnetonka Blvd/Texas Ave intersection presents sight distance issues. This project is anticipated to lower the profile to minimize negative impacts.
(l init 700 characters: annovinately 100 words)	In the 1970s, as part of a retrofit project, Minnetonka Blvd was expanded to introduce traffic signals and turn lanes at the Texas, Louisiana, and Dakota Aves intersections - compromising boulevard space to accommodate the new infrastructure. This project presents an opportunity to reconstruct these intersections and introduce more compact designs (including consideration for roundabouts) to improve visibility for people walking, biking, and driving through these areas that experience high activity.

(Linit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Improved roadway geometrics:

Yes

Conditions along Minnetonka Blvd include a number of shortcomings. Bituminous pavement extends over the gutter pan, reducing the benefits offered by the curb. There is an absence of traffic calming strategies (such as medians and curb extensions) to manage vehicle speeds. In addition, both left-turn lanes and onroad bike lanes were introduced as part of retrofit projects.

The following complete street strategies are anticipated with the project (as determined to be feasible):

- Traffic calming via raised medians (supplemented with green space)
- Shorter crossing distances through the conversion of signals to roundabouts
- Improved stormwater retention through the redesign of boulevards

(Linit 700 characters; approximately 100 words) Access management enhancements: Response:

Yes

There are approximately 95 access points along Minnetonka Blvd (including 65 driveways and 30 local streets) where all turning movements are generally permitted. This project presents an opportunity to leverage the surrounding grid system and advance the following access management strategies (as determined to be feasible as part of the project development process):

- Conversion of signal systems to tandem roundabouts to allow for U-Turn maneuvers and consolidation of redundant driveways

- Introduction of continuous raised medians to promote right-in/right-out access

- Accommodations at each intersection for people walking to ensure accessibility

Yes

The Minnetonka Blvd/Texas Ave intersection is located at the crest of a vertical curve, limiting sight distance for approaching users. Although negative impacts are mitigated via a traffic signal, conditions are not ideal for people walking and biking as they are required to transverse steep slopes. The project is anticipated to reduce the profile at Minnetonka Blvd/Texas Ave by approximately 3' to flatten the vertical alignment.

Also, a relatively steep horizontal curve is present at the east end, near Vernon Ave. Although the roadway's proximity to W Lake St and TH 100 are controlling factors, the replacement and relocation of curb lines will promote natural transitions through this area.

(Linit 700 characters; approximately 100 words) Vertical/horizontal alignment improvements:

Response:

Staff will collaborate with the city and the Minnehaha Creek WD to explore BMPs to improve water quality and withstand desired flood events. Some areas, such as Jersey Ave and Colorado Ave, have been identified by MetCouncil's Localized Flood Map as susceptible to flooding. Examples of green infrastructure to be evaluated as part of project development:

- Introduction of greening within medians
- Retention of mature trees
- Reduction in impervious surfaces by converting signalized intersections to roundabouts (via elimination of turn lanes)
- Redesign of boulevards to improve their ability to collect and retain stormwater
- Replacement of curb that is experiencing diminished functionality

(Linit 700 characters; approximately 100 words) Signals/lighting upgrades: Response:

(Linit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Other Improvements
Response:

## Yes

There are 5 signals within the project area. At the time of their initial installation (primarily in the 1970s), alternative intersection control devices were not considered industry standard (roundabouts). This project will evaluate the potential to convert signals to roundabouts to reduce unnecessary delays while promoting safe and comfortable crossing experiences for people walking and biking.

The existing lighting conditions include antiquated wood poles along the corridor and luminaires installed on the 5 signals. This project will likely replace and upgrade lighting throughout the corridor; emphasizing illumination at intersections to promote nighttime visibility for pedestrians.

Yes

This project presents an opportunity to advance the following miscellaneous improvements:

People walking - improved accessibility by constructing directional pedestrian ramps at intersections

People using transit - improved first/last mile connections to Route 17 & 667 customers

People biking - exploration of bicycle accommodations as the existing on-road bike lanes were retrofitted in ~2017 as a detour route for the Cedar Lake Regional Trail during construction of the Green Line LRT Extension

People driving - potential removal of marginally warranted traffic signals to reduce unnecessary delays

Railroad - exploration of placemaking features underneath Bridge #27B54 serving CP Rail

Measure A: Co	ongestion Red	uction/Air Qua	lity						
Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle Without The Project (Seconds/Vehicle)	Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle With The Project (Seconds/Vehicle)	Total Peak Hour Delay Per Vehicle Reduced by Project (Seconds/Vehicle)		Project (Vehicles	Total Peak Hour Delay without the Project:	Total Peak Hour Delay by the Project:	Peak hour	EXPLANATION of methodology used to calculate railroad crossing delay, if applicable.	Synchro or HCM Reports
13.0	8.4	4.6	1103	1102	14339.0	9256.8	5082.2	N/A	1702653140012_CSAH 5 Minnetonka Blvd - Synchro Report for Congestion Reduction.pdf
25.0	16.6	8.4	1627	1627	40675.0	27008.2	13666.8	N/A	1702653212986_CSAH 5 Minnetonka Blvd - Synchro Report for Congestion Reduction.pdf
8.0	8.6	-0.6	1069	1069	8552.0	9193.4	-641.4	N/A	1702653259499_CSAH 5 Minnetonka Blvd - Synchro Report for Congestion Reduction.pdf
17.0	19.8	-2.8	1505	1504	25585.0	29779.2	-4194.2	N/A	1702653312896_CSAH 5 Minnetonka Blvd - Synchro Report for Congestion Reduction.pdf
15.0	15.0	0	1895	1895	28425.0	28425.0	0	N/A	1702653338163_CSAH 5 Minnetonka Blvd - Synchro Report for Congestion Reduction.pdf
						103663			
Vehicle Delay	Reduced								
	Total Peak Hour	Delay Reduced					Total Pea	k Hour Delay R	educed Delay Reduced Total
			11	7576.0					103662.6 13913.4

## Measure B: Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements

Total (CO,	Total (CO,	Total (CO,
NOX, and	NOX, and	NOX, and
VOC) Peak	,	VOC) Peak
Hour	Hour	Hour
Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
without the		Reduced by
Project	Project	the Project
(Kilograms):	(Kilograms):	(Kilograms):
1.92	1.97	-0.05
3.38	2.95	0.43
1.54	1.74	-0.2
2.85	2.72	0.13
4.13	4.12	0.01
14	14	0

## Total

Total Emissions Reduced:

Upload Synchro Report

## 0.32

1702653416939\_CSAH 5 Minnetonka Blvd - Synchro Report for Emission Reduction.pdf

Please upload attachment in PDF form (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not include railroad gradeseparation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only):

Total (CO,	Total (CO,	Total (CO,
NOX, and	NOX, and	NOX, and
VOC) Peak	VOC) Peak	VOC) Peak
Hour	Hour	Hour
Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
without the	with the	Reduced by
Project	Project	the Project
(Kilograms):	(Kilograms):	(Kilograms):

Total Parallel Roadway		
Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways	0	
Upload Synchro Report		
Please upload attachment in PDF form (Save Form then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)		
New Deadway Deatiens		
New Roadway Portion:		
Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project:	0	
Vehicle miles traveled with the project:	0	
Total delay in hours with the project:	0	
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project:	0	
Fuel consumption in gallons:	0	
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):	0	
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)		
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	0.0	

## Measure B: Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements

Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled without the project:	0
Total delay in hours without the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project:	0
Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project:	0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project:	0
Total delay in hours with the project:	0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project:	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F1)	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F2)	0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F3)	0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):	0
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)	

Measure A: Roadway Projects that do not Include Railroad Grade-Separation Elements

Attachment 13 includes a listing of the reported crashes along the project corridor during the 2020-2022 timeframe. Attachment 14 includes CMFs referenced as part of the Benefit/Cost Analysis.

XX) Countermeasure: Crashes targeted (CMF ID, % reduction)

01) Install raised median without marked crosswalk: Pedestrian (CMF 00176, 39%)

02) Convert intersection control from traffic signal to roundabout: All (CMF 00225, 48%)

03) Add two-way-left-turn-lane (TWLTL) on 2-lane roadway: All (CMF 02338, 31.4%)

04) Install continuous raised median: All (CMF 03034, 39%)

05) Construct multi-use trail facility: Bicycle (CMF 09250, 25%)

06) Resurface pavement: RE, SS, LT, RA, OR, & HO (CMF 09300, 14.7%)

(Linit 700 Characters; approximately 100 words)

The Benefit/Cost Analysis evaluated the project corridor in ten different sections (comprised of major intersections and segments) to target crash themes. Up to two (of the six selected) CMFs were applied to each crash based on the reported crash type, along with the anticipated benefit provided by each safety countermeasure. A maximum of four CMFs were applied to each individual intersection or segment since the project corridor experiences diverse crash types among people walking, biking, and driving.

The expected service life for each improvement was entered as 20 years in the Benefit/Cost Worksheets based on the service life information included in the 2024 Highway Safety Improvement Program guidelines.

The overall crash reduction expected from the project is 45% (based on a 55% crash modification factor). Approximately 45% (15 crashes) of the total number of reported crashes from the years 2020 to 2022 will be reduced annually through the implementation of proven safety countermeasures as part of this project.

Project Benefit (\$) from B/C Ratio	\$19,038,434.00
Total Fatal (K) Crashes:	0
Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes:	3
Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes:	0
Total Crashes:	97
Total Fatal (K) Crashes Reduced by Project:	0
Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes Reduced by Project:	1
Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes Reduced by Project:	0
Total Crashes Reduced by Project:	44
Worksheet Attachment	1702651560725_005_Benefit_Cost_Worksheets.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form	

Roadway projects that include railroad	grade-separation elements:
Current AADT volume:	0
Average daily trains:	0
Crash Risk Exposure eliminated:	0

## Measure B: Pedestrian Safety

(Limit 1400 Characters: approximately 200 words)

Determine if these measures do not apply to your project. Does the project match either of the following descriptions?

If either of the items are checked yes, then score for entire pedestrian safety measure is zero. Applicant does not need to respond to the sub-measures and can proceed to the next section.

Project is primarily a freeway (or transitioning to a freeway) and does not provide No safe and comfortable pedestrian facilities and crossings.

Existing location lacks any pedestrian facilities (e.g., sidewalks, marked crossings, wide shoulders in rural contexts) and project does not add pedestrian elements (e.g., reconstruction of a roadway without sidewalks, that doesn?t also add pedestrian crossings and sidewalk or sidepath on one or both sides).

#### SUB-MEASURE 1: Project-Based Pedestrian Safety Enhancements and Risk Elements

To receive maximum points in this category, pedestrian safety countermeasures selected for implementation in projects should be, to the greatest extent feasible, consistent with the countermeasure recommendations in the Regional Pedestrian Safety Action Plan and state and national best practices. Links to resources are provided on the Regional Solicitation Resources web page.

Please answer the following two questions with as much detail as possible based on the known attributes of the proposed design. If any aspect referenced in this section is not yet determined, describe the range of options being considered, to the greatest extent available. If there are project elements that may increase pedestrian risk, describe how these risks are being mitigated.

1. Describe how this project will address the safety needs of people crossing the street at signalized intersections, unsignalized intersections, midblock locations, and roundabouts.

Treatments and countermeasures should be well-matched to the roadway?s context (e.g., appropriate for the speed, volume, crossing distance, and other location attributes). Refer to the Regional Solicitation Resources web page for guidance links.

Response:

CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) is generally a 2-lane urban undivided roadway with shoulders and sidewalk facilities since its original construction in the 1950s. Subsequently, in the 1970s, new signals were installed at the Texas, Louisiana, and Dakota intersections that were supplemented with turn lanes. With the exception of a new signal at Hampshire that was added in the 1990s, the conditions described above have remained relatively unchanged in the last 50 years. Although a 2-lane roadway offers relatively positive experiences for people walking, the following characteristics present challenges.

- Frequency of local streets and driveways resulting in high turning volumes
- Presence of turn lanes at signals that result in relatively long crossing distances

- Lack of vertical design elements (besides curb) to encourage safe and reasonable speeds

- Lack of directional pedestrian ramps for north/south crossings

## Signalized intersections

This project is anticipated to explore alternative intersection devices (including roundabouts) at each of the 5 signalized intersections; noting that an alternative device at Vernon Ave is somewhat unlikely given its proximity to the TH 100 interchange that was reconstructed circa 2015.

#### Roundabout intersections

Contingent on project development, the planning concept identifies approximately 4 roundabouts, 16 medians, and 16 high-visibility crosswalks that may be feasible. Of note, is the exploration of single lane approaches, supplemented with raised medians, for each of the roundabouts to not only eliminate the potential for dual threat crashes, but also to shorten the crossing distance by approximately 8' from 36' to 28' with pedestrian refuge. In addition, lighting conditions will satisfy design standards for nighttime visibility.

### Unsignalized intersections

Contingent on project development, the planning concept identifies a continuous raised median that is potentially feasible. Of the approximately 25 unsignalized intersections that currently include full access, it's anticipated that full access will be retained at 3 intersections, three-quarters access will be introduced at 6 intersections, and right-in/right-out access will be introduced at 16 intersections. Note that the planning level concept omitted pedestrian access through the raised median - an oversight that will be corrected as part of preliminary design. Crossing distances at intersections with right-in/right-out access will benefit most significantly through the introduction of a median for pedestrian refuge.

## Midblock locations

Contingent on project development, the planning concept identifies a continuous raised median that is potential feasible along CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd). Mid-block crossings are not anticipated to be prohibited via barriers.

(Linit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

Is the distance in between signalized intersections increasing (e.g., removing a signal)?

#### Select one:

Yes If yes, describe what measures are being used to fill the gap between protected crossing opportunities for pedestrians (e.g., adding High-Intensity Activated Crosswalk beacons to help motorists yield and help pedestrians find a suitable gap for crossing, turning signal into a roundabout to slow motorist speed, etc.).

Although contingent on the project development process, it's anticipated that alternative intersection control devices will be considered for the following four intersections that currently operate under signalized control: Texas Ave, Louisiana Ave, Hampshire Ave, and Dakota Ave. As illustrated on the planning level concept, roundabouts will be evaluated for feasibility in an effort to balance accessibility, mobility, and safety along the corridor. The following design characteristics will be considered to promote pedestrian safety:

- Introduction of single lane approaches, thereby eliminating dedicated turn lanes, to reduce pedestrian crossing distances by approximately 18' (from 54' to 36'); with the exception of Hampshire Ave where no turn lanes currently exist

- Proper channelization and deflection, including relatively long raised medians along CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) to encourage proper vehicle entering speeds; reducing the likelihood of fatal and severe injury crashes

- Raised medians to provide pedestrian refuge for two-staged crossings

- Reduction in the number of conflict points by 24 when comparing a standard 4-legged signalized intersection (32) to a 4-legged roundabout (8)

- Improved access management for driveways located within close proximity to future roundabout candidate locations to restrict to right-in/right-out operations

Will your design increase the crossing distance or crossing time across any leg of an intersection? (e.g., by adding turn or through lanes, widening lanes, using a multi-phase crossing, prohibiting crossing on any leg of an intersection, pedestrian bridge requiring length detour, etc.). This does not include any increases to crossing distances solely due to the addition of bike lanes (i.e., no other through or turn lanes being added or widened).

## Select one:

If yes, ? How many intersections will likely be affected?

## Response:

0

No

? Describe what measures are being used to reduce exposure and delay for pedestrians (e.g., median crossing islands, curb bulb-outs, etc.)

<sup>(</sup>Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Although contingent on the project development process, the planning level concept suggests the following pedestrian crossing distances along the CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project corridor:

Signalized intersections (5 - Texas Ave, Louisiana Ave, Hampshire Ave, Dakota Ave, & Vernon Ave)

- Texas, Louisiana, & Dakota: Reduction of approximately 18' in crossing distances (from 54' to 36'); note that the raised median width is included in the 36' width dimension

- Hampshire: In recognition of dedicated turn lanes in the present condition, crossing distance reduction would solely be attributed to the raised median

 Vernon: In recognition of this intersection being recently impacted by the TH 100 Interchange Project circa 2015, no anticipated reduction in pedestrian crossing distances

Non-signalized intersections converted to right-in/right-out access (approximately 16 intersections) - A reduction in crossing distances would solely be attributed to the raised median (approximately 8')

Non-signalized intersections with full or three-quarters access (approximately 9 intersections) - Crossing distances are not anticipated to be reduced in recognition of the presence of a dedicated left-turn lane; noting that at locations with three-quarters access will be evaluated for median installation within approach that mirrors left-turn lane.

(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

? If grade separated pedestrian crossings are being added and increasing crossing time, describe any features that are included that will reduce the detour required of pedestrians and make the separated crossing a more appealing option (e.g., shallow tunnel that doesn?t require much elevation change instead of pedestrian bridge with numerous switchbacks).

Although contingent on the project development process, no new grade separated crossings are anticipated to be introduced as part of the CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project.

#### (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

If mid-block crossings are restricted or blocked, explain why this is necessar enhanced crossing opportunity).	ry and how pedestrian crossing needs and safety are supported in other ways (e.g., nearest protected or
Response:	Although contingent on the project development process, no mid-block crossings

Although contingent on the project development process, no mid-block crossings are anticipated to be prohibited as part of the CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project.

Upon commencement of preliminary design, extensive community engagement, data analysis, and environmental review will take place to explore the feasibility of a continuous raised median along CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd). This proven design strategy is anticipated to provide significant safety benefits in terms of access management, traffic calming, and pedestrian crossing experiences.

There are four segments involving five major intersections within the project area that include the following distances:

Segment #1 (from Texas Ave to Louisiana Ave): ~0.50 miles

Segment #2 (from Louisiana Ave to Hampshire Ave): ~0.25 miles

Segment #3 (from Hampshire Ave to Dakota Ave): ~0.25 miles

Segment #4 (from Dakota Ave to Vernon Ave): ~0.50 miles

In recognition of the relatively long segment distances listed above, enhanced pedestrian crossings will likely be considered to properly facilitate pedestrian crossings along the corridor. In recognition of the proposed continuous raised median, high visibility crosswalk markings, lighting, and pedestrian crossing beacons are anticipated to be the most logical choice of safety countermeasures to be considered at these enhanced pedestrian crossing locations.

(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

2. Describe how motorist speed will be managed in the project design, both for through traffic and turning movements. Describe any project-related factors that may affect speed directly or indirectly, even if speed is not the intended outcome (e.g., wider lanes and turning radii to facilitate freight movements, adding turn lanes to alleviate peak hour congestion, etc.). Note any strategies or treatments being considered that are intended to help motorists drive slower (e.g., visual narrowing, narrow lanes, truck aprons to mitigate wide turning radii, etc.) or protect pedestrians if increasing motorist speed (e.g., buffers or other separation from moving vehicles, crossing treatments appropriate for higher speed roadways, etc.).

Response:

The CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project will introduce several proven strategies to promote uniform, safe, and reasonable speeds by people driving along the corridor.

Roadway operation changes

It's anticipated that a continuous raised median will be evaluated as part of the project development to promote sound access management along the corridor. Based on the planning level concept, it's anticipated that full access will be reduced to right-in/right-out access at approximately 16 intersections. In addition, roundabouts will supplement raised medians to balance mobility and access (url: highways.dot.gov/sites/fhwa.dot.gov/files/Corridor%20Access%20Management\_5 08.pdf)

## Roadway design changes

Although contingent on the project development process, it's anticipated that a 2lane divided configuration will be introduced to balance access, mobility, and safety along the corridor through a raised median to provide a continuous visual cue to people driving. Of specific note, are potential changes to intersection control devices at the Texas Ave, Louisiana Ave, Hampshire Ave, and Dakota Ave intersections that appear to be candidate locations for single-lane roundabouts. This intersection control change will prove especially beneficial as intersection approaches will be desired with proper deflection and approach angles to slow speeds prior to entering the intersection. In addition, the introduction of boulevards will offer separation between people driving and people walking.

## Green streets changes

The planning level concept identifies a number of areas that are candidates for greening treatments, including: boulevards along both sides, continuous median, and the inscribed circle within each of the 4 potential roundabouts. County staff will work extensively with the City of St. Louis Park to identify project elements that will not only promote a Complete & Green Streets environment, but also withstand Minnesota's harsh climate.

### Multimodal facility changes

Although contingent on the project development process, it's anticipated that a multi-use trail facility will be provided on (at least) one side of CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd), and a sidewalk facility on the alternate side to provide similar experiences for people walking as the county's CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 1 Reconstruction Project (url: hennepin.us/-

/media/hennepinus/residents/transportation/minnetonka-blvd/Preferred-Concept-8-3.pdf)

(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

If known, what are the existing and proposed design, operation, and posted speeds? Is this an increase or decrease from existing conditions?
Response:
The existing posted speed limit along this segme

The existing posted speed limit along this segment of CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) is 35 mph.

The proposed design speed limit(s) will be determined as part of the project development process based on data analysis, stakeholder input, and environmental review. At this time of application submittal, an increase in the existing speed limit is not anticipated. In addition, consideration will be given to establishing a School Speed Zone for Aquila Elementary School to provide another tool for reducing the likelihood of severe and fatal pedestrian crashes. Project elements such as roundabouts, continuous raised medians, streetscaping, and lane widths are anticipated to support the proposed design speed limit(s).

#### SUB-MEASURE 2: Existing Location-Based Pedestrian Safety Risk Factors

These factors are based on based on trends and patterns observed in pedestrian crash analysis done for the Regional Pedestrian Safety Action Plan. Check off how many of the following factors are present. Applicants receive more points if more risk factors are present. Existing road configuration is a One-way, 3+ through lanes

or	
Existing road configuration is a Two-way, 4+ through lanes	
Existing road has a design speed, posted speed limit, or speed study/data showing 85th percentile travel speeds in excess of 30 MPH or more	Yes
Existing road has AADT of greater than 15,000 vehicles per day	
List the AADT	12000

SUB-MEASURE 3: Existing Location-Based Pedestrian Safety Exposure Factors

These factors are based on based on trends and patterns observed in pedestrian crash analysis done for the Regional Pedestrian Safety Action Plan. Check off how many of the following existing location exposure factors are present. Applicants receive more points if more risk factors are present.

Existing road has transit running on or across it with 1+ transit stops in the project area (If flag-stop route with no fixed stops, then 1+ locations in the project area where roadside stops are allowed. Do not count portions of transit routes Yes with no stops, such as non-stop freeway sections of express or limited-stop routes.)

Existing road has high-frequency transit running on or across it and 1+ highfrequency stops in the project area (high-frequency defined as service at least every 15 minutes from 6am to 7pm weekdays and 9am to 6pm Saturdays.)

Existing road is within 500? of 1+ shopping, dining, or entertainment destinations (e.g., grocery store, restaurant)

If checked, please describe:

The following transit routes currently operate along or across CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd):

-Route 17 (11 stops)

-Route 667 (11 stops)

While not part of the high frequency network, Route 17 will provide a transfer connection to the future B Line service anticipated along CSAH 3 (Lake St).

CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) is home to a variety of uses that serve the surrounding neighborhoods including a number of shopping, dining, and entertainment destinations, particularly at the Texas Ave intersection. Below is a summary of key destinations along the corridor likely to generate pedestrian activity:

-Parkway Pizza (Restaurant)

-Texa-Tonka Lanes (Entertainment)

-Barbers in the Park (Service)

-Erik's Bike Shop (Retail)

-Angel Food Bakery & Coffee Bar (Restaurant)

-Ax-Man Surplus Stores (Retail, Entertainment)

-Revival St. Louis Park (Restaurant)

-Best of India Indian (Restaurant)

-Dreamers Vault Games (Retail)

(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Existing road is within 500? of other known pedestrian generators (e.g., school, civic/community center, senior housing, multifamily housing, regulatorily-designated affordable housing)

Yes

CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) also is home to a number of educational, civic, and residential destinations which generate pedestrian activity from the surrounding neighborhoods. Below is a non-exhaustive list of significant pedestrian generators within 500 feet of the corridor:

-Volo at Texa Tonka (Mixed-Income Multifamily Housing)

-Aquila Park Apartments (Market-Rate Multifamily Housing)

-Aquila Elementary School (School)

-Lenox Community Center (Community Resource)

-Kenwood Gymnastics Center (Community Resource)

-Boulevard 100 Apartments (Market-Rate Multifamily Housing)

-Keystone Park (Recreation)

-Bronx Park (Recreation)

-Royal Terrace Apartments (Market-Rate Multifamily Housing)

-Park Community Church (Place of Worship)

In addition, CSAH 5 is home to a variety of housing types and affordability levels as well as an established neighborhood grid, which in of itself generates pedestrian activity along and across the proposed project.

(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections Response: The CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project is along the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (RBTN) Tier 1 alignment and connects to an RBTN Tier 1 corridor centerline at Louisiana Ave. This corridor has served as a detour route for the Cedar Lake Regional Trail while the Green Line LRT expansion construction has been underway. For people biking, this project will upgrade the current on-street facility to an off-street All Ages & Abilities facility. Green space will further separate people biking from people driving and provide a more pleasant user experience. Additionally, medians and shorter crossing distances will provide more comfortable crossings for people biking, walking, and rolling that will only require crossing one vehicular travel lane at a time. This corridor provides a connection to the North Cedar Lake Regional Trail, and is within a half mile of the Cedar Lake Regional Trail and the parallel Green Line Extension. This corridor is anticipated to include a future connection to Three Rivers' Park District CP Regional Trail. Attachment 15 highlights key multimodal connections within the vicinity of the CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project.

Met Council's Regional Bicycle Barriers webmap identifies TH 100 as an Expressway Barrier. The eastern termini of this project falls within the Expressway Barrier Crossing Area, and this project will address the barrier by providing consistent facilities for people walking and biking that will connect to multimodal facilities that exist on the bridge over TH 100 and to the east as part of the Phase 1 reconstruction project.

In addition to increased green space and shorter crossing distances for people walking and rolling, ADA compliant pedestrian ramps and a sidewalk (free of obstructions) will provide a more pleasant, safe, and accessible environment. Accessible Pedestrian Signals (APS) will be incorporated at signalized intersections.

People taking transit (Metro Transit Routes 17 and 667) will be provided with dedicated infrastructure for walking and biking to promote first and last mile connections.

For people driving, a smooth pavement surface will provide a more pleasant user experience. The installation of roundabouts and medians throughout the corridor is anticipated to promote safety and manage vehicle speeds.

(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

## **Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction**

If the applicant is completing a transit application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment - Construction Projects

#### 1. Public Involvement (20 Percent of Points)

Projects that have been through a public process with residents and other interested public entities are more likely than others to be successful. The project applicant must indicate that events and/or targeted outreach (e.g., surveys and other web-based input) were held to help identify the transportation problem, how the potential solution was selected instead of other options, and the public involvement completed to date on the project. The focus of this section is on the opportunity for public input as opposed to the quality of input. NOTE: A written response is required and failure to respond will result in zero points.

Multiple types of targeted outreach efforts (such as meetings or online/mail outreach) specific to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the project need.

At least one meeting specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

50%

At least online/mail outreach effort specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

50%

No meeting or outreach specific to this project was conducted, but the project was identified through meetings and/or outreach related to a larger planning effort.

Yes

## 25%

### No outreach has led to the selection of this project.

0%

Describe the type(s) of outreach selected for this project (i.e., online or in-person meetings, surveys, demonstration projects), the method(s) used to announce outreach opportunities, and how many people participated. Include any public website links to outreach opportunities.

Response:

While public engagement has not formally started for this section of CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd), extensive public engagement has occurred for the first phase of the project immediately east of TH 100. Outreach for the first phase has included three iterative rounds of engagement where county staff received hundreds of in-person and online comments through open houses, online interactive maps and surveys as well as physical signage and sidewalk decals. Specific focus groups were used to reach BOPIC populations, low income populations and youth populations including attending events such as Skateapalooza. In addition, specific outreach was conducted to local community organizations and businesses including the distribution of flyers and surveys. A public website was established early in project development process and has been consistently updated with engagement materials. Public engagement also was specifically structured to engage the Orthodox Jewish community including respecting religious holidays when scheduling engagement address and carefully coordinating construction detours to acknowledge specific Jewish practices which set cultural expectations for travel behavior.

Public engagement for this project will follow a similar iterative structure including a mix of focus groups, open houses, physical and virtual materials, and direct meeting with prominent corridor institutions and organizations. Engagement will be conducted with staff from across county functional groups including the Community and Engagement team to encourage the use of plain language and ensure the use of best practices. Critical project elements such as typical sections will be determined through public engagement like the first phase of the Minnetonka Blvd reconstruction project which arrived at the final typical section through several iterations communicated through a public website.

1702652213905\_Attachment 05 - Potential Concept.pdf

(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

## 2. Layout (25 Percent of Points)

Layout includes proposed geometrics and existing and proposed right-of-way boundaries. A basic layout should include a base map (north arrow, scale; legend,\* city and/or county limits; existing ROW, labeled; existing signals,\* and bridge numbers\*) and design data (proposed alignments; bike and/or roadway lane widths; shoulder width,\* proposed signals,\* and proposed ROW). An aerial photograph with a line showing the project?s termini does not suffice and will be awarded zero points. \*If applicable

Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties/MnDOT. If a MnDOT trunk highway is impacted, approval by MnDOT must have occurred to receive full points. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

A layout does not apply (signal replacement/signal timing, stand-alone streetscaping, minor intersection improvements). Applicants that are not certain whether a layout is required should contact Colleen Brown at MnDOT Metro State Aid ? colleen.brown@state.mn.us.

100%

For projects where MnDOT trunk highways are impacted and a MnDOT Staff Approved layout is required. Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted local jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties), and layout review and approval by MnDOT is pending. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

75%

Layout completed but not approved by all jurisdictions. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

50%

Layout has been started but is not complete. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

25%

Layout has not been started

0%

Attach Layout

Please upload attachment in PDF form

Additional Attachments

Please upload attachment in PDF form

3. Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

\$0.00
\$0.00
\$20,800,000.00
•
\$20,800,000.00 \$0.00
\$20,800,000.00
Yes
Yes
Yes

**Other Attachments** 

### File Name

Attachment 00 - List of Attachments.pdf Attachment 01 - Project Narrative.pdf Attachment 02 - Project Location Map.pdf Attachment 03 - Existing Condition Photos.pdf Attachment 04 - Potential Typical Sections.pdf Attachment 05 - Potential Concept.pdf Attachment 06 - Hennepin County 2024-2028 Transportation CIP.pdf Attachment 07 - Hennepin County Enhanced Bikeway Study Maps.pdf Attachment 08 - 2040 Forecast Traffic Volumes.pdf Attachment 09 - Community Engagement Summary.pdf Attachment 10 - Disadvantaged Communities and Resources Map.pdf Attachment 11 - Affordable Housing Access Map and Summary.pdf Attachment 12 - Hennepin County Streetlight Analysis.pdf Attachment 13 - Crash Map and Detail Listing.pdf Attachment 14 - Crash Modification Factors.pdf Attachment 15 - Multimodal Connections Map.pdf Attachment 16 - City of St Louis Park Support Letter.pdf

Attachment 17 - Three Rivers Park District Support Letter.pdf

### ......

Description	File Size
Attachment 00 - List of Attachments	78 KB
Attachment 01 - Project Narrative	111 KB
Attachment 02 - Project Location Map	1.5 MB
Attachment 03 - Existing Condition Photos	2.6 MB
Attachment 04 - Potential Typical Sections	137 KB
Attachment 05 - Potential Concept	1.8 MB
Attachment 06 - Hennepin County 2024-2028 Transportation CIP	207 KB
Attachment 07 - Hennepin County Enhanced Bikeway Study Maps	1.3 MB
Attachment 08 - 2040 Forecast Traffic Volumes	1.3 MB
Attachment 09 - Community Engagement Summary	831 KB
Attachment 10 - Disadvantaged Communities and Resources Map	1.3 MB
Attachment 11 - Affordable Housing Access Map and Summary	767 KB
Attachment 12 - Hennepin County Streetlight Analysis	120 KB
Attachment 13 - Crash Map and Detail Listing	254 KB
Attachment 14 - Crash Modification Factors	1.2 MB
Attachment 15 - Multimodal Connections Map	1.9 MB
Attachment 16 - City of St Louis Park Support Letter	139 KB
Attachment 17 - Three Rivers Park District Support Letter	258 KB







# **CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project**

Synchro Report – Congestion Reduction

# Existing conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnetonka Blvd		
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1103	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	13	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.35	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.26	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.31	

# Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnet	onka Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1102	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.38	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.27	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.32	

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

# Existing conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd		
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1627	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	25	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.37	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.46	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.55	

\_\_\_\_\_

# Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd		
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1627	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.07	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.40	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.48	

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.
### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave &	Minnetonka Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1069	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	8	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.08	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.21	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.25	

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave	e & Minnetonka Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1069	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.22	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.24	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.28	

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

40: Dakota Ave & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All					
Future Volume (vph)	1505					
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	17					
CO Emissions (kg)	2.00					
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.39					
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.46					

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

## Existing conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All					
Future Volume (vph)	1895					
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15					
CO Emissions (kg)	2.90					
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56					
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67					

## Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonka	a Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1895	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.89	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67	

## Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

	٦	-	$\mathbf{r}$	¥	+	*	1	t	1	5	ţ
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ካ	+	1	ካ	<b>†</b>	1		र्च	1	ካ	ţ,
Traffic Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Future Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2			4			8
Permitted Phases	6		6	2		2	4		4	8	
Detector Phase	16	6		25	2		4	4	4	8	8
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	12.0	12.0	5.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	20.0	20.0	13.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (s)	13.0	22.0	22.0	13.0	22.0	22.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (%)	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	31.8	28.0	28.0	30.0	25.5	25.5		8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.64	0.56	0.56	0.60	0.51	0.51		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17
v/c Ratio	0.15	0.36	0.05	0.09	0.38	0.05		0.66	0.18	0.24	0.52
Control Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
LOS	Α	В	Α	Α	В	Α		С	Α	С	В
Approach Delay		9.2			10.8			24.0			14.0
Approach LOS		Α			В			С			В
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50	)										
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		WBTL ar	nd 6:EBTL	., Start of	1st Gree	n					
Vatural Cycle: 50											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	oordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66											
Intersection Signal Delay:	12.7			lr.	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
ntersection Capacity Utiliz				10	CU Level	of Service	A				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 10:1	Texas Ave &	Minnetor	nka Blud								
•	CAGS AVE O	<u>.</u>									
Ø1		Ϋ Ø2 (R	0						04		
13 s	2	2.5						15 s			
<b>√</b> Ø5		4-06 (R	0					41	28		
T 200	· · · · · ·	- 20 (R	y						20		_

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E		10: Texas Av	10: Texas Ave & Minnetonka Blv 11/29/20:				
Intersection							
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.4						
Intersection LOS	А						
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB			
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1			
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1			
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	533	461	210	260			
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	547	474	218	265			
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	190	251	546	496			
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	571	513	191	229			
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0			
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
Approach Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1			
Approach LOS	А	A	А	A			
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left			
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR			
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR			
RT Channelized							
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609			
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976			
Entry Flow, veh/h	547	474	218	265			
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1137	1068	791	832			
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.975	0.972	0.965	0.979			
Flow Entry, veh/h	533	461	210	260			
Cap Entry, veh/h	1108	1038	763	815			
V/C Ratio	0.481	0.444	0.276	0.318			
Control Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1			
LOS	А	A	A	A			
95th %tile Queue, veh	3	2	1	1			

## Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

Minnetonka Blvd -	<ul> <li>Existing</li> </ul>	) AM F	Peak								12/05/202
	٦	+	•	¥	ł	×.	1	1	ŕ	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
ane Configurations	5	<b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ∱≽	5	<b>≜1</b> ≱	
Traffic Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Future Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Turn Type	D.P+P	NA	Perm	D.P+P	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		7	4	3	8	
Permitted Phases	2		6	6		2					
Detector Phase	12	6		56	2		7	4	3	8	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	23.1%	21.5%	23.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			-		-	-		-		-	
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	25.8	25.8	30.4	19.8	19.8	8.1	8.4	9.3	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.44	0.40	0.40	0.47	0.30	0.30	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.18	
v/c Ratio	0.28	0.54	0.12	0.12	0.34	0.36	0.40	0.62	0.93	0.36	
Control Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
LOS	В	С	Α	Α	С	Α	С	С	E	В	
Approach Delay		16.1			11.9			28.4		46.0	
Approach LOS		В			В			С		D	
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 65											
Actuated Cycle Length: 65	5										
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	d to phase 2	EBWB ar	nd 6:EBW	B. Start o	of 1st Gre	en					
Natural Cycle: 65											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93											
Intersection Signal Delay:	24.6			lr.	ntersectio	n LOS: C					
ntersection Capacity Utiliz				10	CU Level	of Service	B				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 20: I	Louisiana Av	e & Minn	etonka Bl	vd		- <b>C</b>					
✓ Ø1	• <sup>7</sup> ø:	2 (R)				Ø	3		_   T	Ø4	
14 s	22 s					14 s			15 s		
<b>√</b> Ø5	- <del></del> 20	(P)					7		↓	Øð	
• VI.1						10	,		- T	20	

Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E		20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvc 11/29/202				
				11/20/202		
Intersection						
Intersection Delay, s/veh	16.6					
Intersection LOS	C					
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB		
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1		
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1		
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	656	465	391	471		
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	669	479	400	483		
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	462	494	819	336		
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	357	725	312	637		
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0		
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		
Approach Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8		
Approach LOS	С	В	С	А		
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left		
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR		
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR		
RT Channelized						
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609		
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976		
Entry Flow, veh/h	669	479	400	483		
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	861	834	599	980		
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.981	0.971	0.979	0.975		
Flow Entry, veh/h	656	465	391	471		
Cap Entry, veh/h	845	810	586	955		
V/C Ratio	0.777	0.575	0.668	0.493		
Control Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8		
LOS	C	В	С	A		
95th %tile Queue, veh	8	4	5	3		

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

	≯	-	4	+	1	t	5	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		4		4		4		\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Future Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2		6		8		4	
Permitted Phases	2	-	6		8		4		
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	8	8	4	4	
Switch Phase	-	-							
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.1		5.1		4.7		4.7	
Lead/Lag		9.1		¥.1		7.1		4.1	
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	C-Max	C Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	C-INIGX	31.0	C-IVIAX	31.0	None	7.5	NONE	7.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.69		0.69		0.17		0.17	
v/c Ratio		0.59		0.89		0.26		0.17	
Control Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
LOS		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach LOS		A		A		D		Б	
ntersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 45 Actuated Cycle Length: 45									
Actuated Cycle Length: 45	to alcose O	CDT -	A CAMPTI	Charles	Ant Com				
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2:	CDILan	a 6.WBIL	., Start of	ist Greer	1			
Natural Cycle: 45	- Franks d								
Control Type: Actuated-Co	proinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59					dana arti	1.00.0			
Intersection Signal Delay: 8					ntersection				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 46.1%			10	CU Level	of Service	A		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 30: H	ampshire A	ve & Min	netonka E	Blvd					
- A-									
Ø2 (R) 30 s								♥ Ø4	
Ø6 (R)							I	‴ <b>⊺</b> ø8	

Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E			30: Hampshire Ave & Minnetonka Blvc 11/29/2023				
Intersection							
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.6						
Intersection LOS	А						
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB			
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1			
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1			
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	746	480	70	114			
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	767	493	72	117			
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	96	55	705	476			
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	497	722	158	72			
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0			
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
Approach Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8			
Approach LOS	В	А	А	А			
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left			
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR			
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR			
RT Channelized							
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609			
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976			
Entry Flow, veh/h	767	493	72	117			
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1251	1305	672	849			
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.973	0.973	0.969	0.971			
Flow Entry, veh/h	746	480	70	114			
Cap Entry, veh/h	1217	1270	652	825			
V/C Ratio	0.613	0.378	0.107	0.138			
Control Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8			
LOS	В	А	А	А			
95th %tile Queue, veh	4	2	0	0			

### Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

	٦	<b>→</b>	ŕ	+	1	1	۴	1	ţ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1+	ሻ	1+		<del>,</del> स	1		- A	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Future Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Turn Type	D.Pm	NA	D.Pm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases		2		6		8			4		
Permitted Phases	6		2		8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	6	2	2	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7		4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag											
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	28.5	28.5	28.5		11.7	11.7		11.7	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57		0.23	0.23		0.23	0.23	
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.74	0.40	0.44		0.74	0.25		0.82	0.20	
Control Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
LOS	Α	В	В	A		D	Α		D	Α	
Approach Delay		14.2		8.5		26.0			31.9		
Approach LOS		В		A		С			С		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50											
Offset: 18 (36%), Reference	ed to phase	2:EBWB	and 6:EE	3WB, Star	rt of 1st G	reen					
Natural Cycle: 50											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82											
Intersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersection						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 72.6%			IC	CU Level	of Service	e C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 40: D	akota Ave a	& Minneto	nka Blvd								
ۯ2 (R)							4	Ø4			
32 5							18 s	2.			
<u>.</u>							-				
Ø6 (R)							- \	ØB			

## Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

Vinnetonka Blvd - E	Build AM Peak		11/29/2023				
Intersection							
Intersection Delay, s/veh	19.8						
Intersection LOS	C						
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB			
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1			
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1			
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	835	547	293	347			
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	854	568	306	354			
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	362	252	892	550			
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	542	946	324	270			
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0			
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
Approach Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7			
Approach LOS	D	В	С	В			
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left			
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR			
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR			
RT Channelized							
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609			
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976			
Entry Flow, veh/h	854	568	306	354			
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	954	1067	556	787			
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.977	0.963	0.959	0.979			
Flow Entry, veh/h	835	547	293	347			
Cap Entry, veh/h	932	1027	533	771			
V/C Ratio	0.895	0.532	0.551	0.450			
Control Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7			
LOS	D	В	С	В			
95th %tile Queue, veh	13	3	3	2			

	≯	$\rightarrow$	✓	-	<b>^</b>	1	1	5	ţ	<	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	5		5			र्भ	1	5	+	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases		-			8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase		-									
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
LOS	С	В	С	Α		С	В	С	В	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
Approach LOS		В		В		В			В		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 55											
Actuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	EBT and	6:WBT, S	Start of 1s	t Green						
Natural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Cor	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1	5.2			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
ntersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 66.0%			10	CU Level	of Service	C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: La	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
								10			
🕈 Ø1	-	₩Ø2 (R)						♥ Ø4			
14 s	23	3						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				<u>مر</u>	-			- <b>†</b> ø8			

### Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/ Lake St W

Timings Minnetonka Blvd -	imings linnetonka Blvd - Build AM Peak						50: Lake St & Minnetonka 11/29				
	٦	-	¥	+	۲	t	1	1	ţ	1	
ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅		4	1	5	<b>†</b>	1	
Fraffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Furn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases					8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Fotal Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Fotal Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
rellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
.ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Fotal Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
.ead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
ead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
//c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
.OS	С	B	С	A		C	В	С	B	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
pproach LOS		В		В		В			В		
ntersection Summary											
ycle Length: 55											
ctuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		EBT and	6:WBT, 8	Start of 1s	t Green						
latural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
/laximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersectio						
ntersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 66.0%			10	CU Level	of Service	C				
nalysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: L	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
<b>√</b> Ø1	-	₽Ø2 (R)						\$-@4			_
4	23	5						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				≁₀	5			< <b>†</b> ø8			

# Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/Lake St W

### **CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project**

Synchro Report – Congestion Reduction

#### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnetonka Blvd					
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1103				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	13				
CO Emissions (kg)	1.35				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.26				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.31				

#### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All					
Future Volume (vph)	1102					
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0					
CO Emissions (kg)	1.38					
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.27					
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.32					

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

#### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd					
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1627				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	25				
CO Emissions (kg)	2.37				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.46				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.55				

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd					
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1627				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0				
CO Emissions (kg)	2.07				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.40				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.48				

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave &	Minnetonka Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1069	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	8	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.08	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.21	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.25	

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave	e & Minnetonka Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1069	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.22	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.24	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.28	

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

40: Dakota Ave & Minnetonka Blvd					
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1505				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	17				
CO Emissions (kg)	2.00				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.39				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.46				

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

## Existing conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonk	a Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1895	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.90	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67	

## Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonka	a Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1895	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.89	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67	

## Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

	٦	-	$\mathbf{r}$	¥	+	*	1	t	1	5	ţ
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ካ	+	1	ካ	<b>†</b>	1		र्च	1	ካ	ţ,
Traffic Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Future Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2			4			8
Permitted Phases	6		6	2		2	4		4	8	
Detector Phase	16	6		25	2		4	4	4	8	8
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	12.0	12.0	5.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	20.0	20.0	13.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (s)	13.0	22.0	22.0	13.0	22.0	22.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (%)	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	31.8	28.0	28.0	30.0	25.5	25.5		8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.64	0.56	0.56	0.60	0.51	0.51		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17
v/c Ratio	0.15	0.36	0.05	0.09	0.38	0.05		0.66	0.18	0.24	0.52
Control Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
LOS	Α	В	Α	Α	В	Α		С	Α	С	В
Approach Delay		9.2			10.8			24.0			14.0
Approach LOS		Α			В			С			В
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50	)										
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		WBTL ar	nd 6:EBTL	., Start of	1st Gree	n					
Vatural Cycle: 50											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	oordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66											
Intersection Signal Delay:	12.7			lr.	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
ntersection Capacity Utiliz				10	CU Level	of Service	A				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 10:1	Texas Ave &	Minnetor	nka Rhud								
•	I CAOS AVE O	<u>.</u>									
✓ Ø1		Ϋ Ø2 (R	0						04		
13 s	2	2 s						15 s			
<b>√</b> Ø5		4-06 (R	0					41	28		
T 200		- 20 (R	y						20		_

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E			10: Texas Av	ve & Minnetonka Blv 11/29/20
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.4			
Intersection LOS	А			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	533	461	210	260
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	547	474	218	265
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	190	251	546	496
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	571	513	191	229
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1
Approach LOS	А	A	А	A
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	547	474	218	265
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1137	1068	791	832
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.975	0.972	0.965	0.979
Flow Entry, veh/h	533	461	210	260
Cap Entry, veh/h	1108	1038	763	815
V/C Ratio	0.481	0.444	0.276	0.318
Control Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1
LOS	А	A	A	A
95th %tile Queue, veh	3	2	1	1

## Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

Minnetonka Blvd -	<ul> <li>Existing</li> </ul>	) AM F	Peak								12/05/202
	٦	+	•	¥	ł	×.	1	1	ŕ	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
ane Configurations	5	<b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ∱≽	5	<b>≜1</b> ≱	
Traffic Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Future Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Turn Type	D.P+P	NA	Perm	D.P+P	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		7	4	3	8	
Permitted Phases	2		6	6		2					
Detector Phase	12	6		56	2		7	4	3	8	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	23.1%	21.5%	23.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			-		-	-		-		-	
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	25.8	25.8	30.4	19.8	19.8	8.1	8.4	9.3	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.44	0.40	0.40	0.47	0.30	0.30	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.18	
v/c Ratio	0.28	0.54	0.12	0.12	0.34	0.36	0.40	0.62	0.93	0.36	
Control Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
LOS	В	С	Α	Α	С	Α	С	С	E	В	
Approach Delay		16.1			11.9			28.4		46.0	
Approach LOS		В			В			С		D	
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 65											
Actuated Cycle Length: 65	5										
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	d to phase 2	EBWB ar	nd 6:EBW	B. Start o	of 1st Gre	en					
Natural Cycle: 65											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93											
Intersection Signal Delay:	24.6			lr.	ntersectio	n LOS: C					
ntersection Capacity Utiliz				10	CU Level	of Service	B				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 20: I	Louisiana Av	e & Minn	etonka Bl	vd		- <b>C</b>					
✓ Ø1	• <sup>7</sup> ø:	2 (R)				Ø	3		_   T	Ø4	
14 s	22 s					14 s			15 s		
<b>√</b> Ø5	- <del></del> 20	(P)					7		↓	Øð	
• VI.1						10	,		- T	20	

Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E			20: Louisiana A	ve & Minnetonka Blvc 11/29/202
				11/20/202
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	16.6			
Intersection LOS	C			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	656	465	391	471
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	669	479	400	483
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	462	494	819	336
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	357	725	312	637
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8
Approach LOS	С	В	С	А
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	669	479	400	483
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	861	834	599	980
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.981	0.971	0.979	0.975
Flow Entry, veh/h	656	465	391	471
Cap Entry, veh/h	845	810	586	955
V/C Ratio	0.777	0.575	0.668	0.493
Control Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8
LOS	C	В	С	A
95th %tile Queue, veh	8	4	5	3

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

	≯	-	4	+	1	t	5	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		4		4		4		\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Future Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2		6		8		4	
Permitted Phases	2	-	6		8		4		
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	8	8	4	4	
Switch Phase	-	-							
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.1		5.1		4.7		4.7	
Lead/Lag		9.1		¥.1		7.1		4.1	
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	C-Max	C Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	C-INIGX	31.0	C-IVIAX	31.0	None	7.5	NONE	7.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.69		0.69		0.17		0.17	
v/c Ratio		0.59		0.89		0.26		0.17	
Control Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
LOS		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach LOS		A		A		D		Б	
ntersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 45 Actuated Cycle Length: 45									
Actuated Cycle Length: 45	to alcose O	CDT -	A CAMPTI	Charles	Ant Const				
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2:	CDILan	a 6.WBIL	., Start of	ist Greer	1			
Natural Cycle: 45	- Franks d								
Control Type: Actuated-Co	proinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59					dana arti	1.00.0			
Intersection Signal Delay: 8					ntersection				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 46.1%			10	CU Level	of Service	A		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 30: H	ampshire A	ve & Min	netonka E	Blvd					
- A-									
Ø2 (R) 30 s								♥ Ø4	
Ø6 (R)							I	‴ <b>⊺</b> ø8	

Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E			30: Hampshire A	Ave & Minnetonka Blv 11/29/202
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.6			
Intersection LOS	А			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	746	480	70	114
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	767	493	72	117
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	96	55	705	476
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	497	722	158	72
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8
Approach LOS	В	А	А	А
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	767	493	72	117
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1251	1305	672	849
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.973	0.973	0.969	0.971
Flow Entry, veh/h	746	480	70	114
Cap Entry, veh/h	1217	1270	652	825
V/C Ratio	0.613	0.378	0.107	0.138
Control Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8
LOS	В	A	А	A
95th %tile Queue, veh	4	2	0	0

### Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

	٦	<b>→</b>	ŕ	+	1	1	۲	1	ţ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	<b>1</b> +	ሻ	1+		<del>,</del> स	1		- A	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Future Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Turn Type	D.Pm	NA	D.Pm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases		2		6		8			4		
Permitted Phases	6		2		8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	6	2	2	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7		4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag											
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	28.5	28.5	28.5		11.7	11.7		11.7	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57		0.23	0.23		0.23	0.23	
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.74	0.40	0.44		0.74	0.25		0.82	0.20	
Control Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
LOS	Α	В	В	A		D	Α		D	Α	
Approach Delay		14.2		8.5		26.0			31.9		
Approach LOS		В		A		С			С		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50											
Offset: 18 (36%), Reference	ed to phase	2:EBWB	and 6:EE	3WB, Star	rt of 1st G	reen					
Natural Cycle: 50											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82											
Intersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersection						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 72.6%			IC	CU Level	of Service	e C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 40: D	akota Ave a	& Minneto	nka Blvd								
ۯ2 (R)							4	Ø4			
32 5							18 s	2.			
<u>.</u>							-				
Ø6 (R)							- \	ØB			

## Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

Vinnetonka Blvd - E	Build AM Peak			11/29/2023
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	19.8			
Intersection LOS	C			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	835	547	293	347
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	854	568	306	354
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	362	252	892	550
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	542	946	324	270
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7
Approach LOS	D	В	С	В
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	854	568	306	354
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	954	1067	556	787
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.977	0.963	0.959	0.979
Flow Entry, veh/h	835	547	293	347
Cap Entry, veh/h	932	1027	533	771
V/C Ratio	0.895	0.532	0.551	0.450
Control Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7
LOS	D	В	С	В
95th %tile Queue, veh	13	3	3	2

	≯	$\rightarrow$	✓	-	<b>^</b>	1	1	5	ţ	<	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	5		5			र्भ	1	5	+	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases		-			8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase		-									
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
LOS	С	В	С	Α		С	В	С	В	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
Approach LOS		В		В		В			В		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 55											
Actuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	EBT and	6:WBT, S	Start of 1s	t Green						
Natural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Cor	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1	5.2			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
ntersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 66.0%			IC	CU Level	of Service	C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: La	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
								10			
🕈 Ø1	-	₩Ø2 (R)						♥ Ø4			
14 s	23	3						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				<u>مر</u>	-			- <b>†</b> ø8			

### Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/ Lake St W

Timings Minnetonka Blvd -	Build Al	M Pea	k				:	50: Lal	ke St 8	k Minne	tonk 1
	٦	-	¥	+	۲	1	1	1	ţ	1	
ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅		4	1	5	<b>†</b>	1	
Fraffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Furn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases					8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Fotal Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Fotal Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
rellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
.ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Fotal Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
.ead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
ead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
//c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
.OS	С	B	С	A		C	В	С	B	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
pproach LOS		В		В		В			В		
ntersection Summary											
ycle Length: 55											
ctuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		EBT and	6:WBT, 8	Start of 1s	t Green						
latural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
/laximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersectio						
ntersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 66.0%			10	CU Level	of Service	C				
nalysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: L	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
<b>√</b> Ø1	-	₽Ø2 (R)						\$-@4			_
4	23	5						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				≁₀	5			< <b>†</b> ø8			

# Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/Lake St W

### **CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project**

Synchro Report – Congestion Reduction

#### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All					
Future Volume (vph)	1103					
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	13					
CO Emissions (kg)	1.35					
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.26					
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.31					

#### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All					
Future Volume (vph)	1102					
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0					
CO Emissions (kg)	1.38					
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.27					
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.32					

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

#### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd					
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1627				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	25				
CO Emissions (kg)	2.37				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.46				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.55				

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd					
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1627				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0				
CO Emissions (kg)	2.07				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.40				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.48				

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave &	Minnetonka Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1069	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	8	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.08	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.21	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.25	

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave & Minnetonka Blvd							
Direction	All						
Future Volume (vph)	1069						
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0						
CO Emissions (kg)	1.22						
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.24						
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.28						

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

40: Dakota Ave & Minnetonka Blvd					
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1505				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	17				
CO Emissions (kg)	2.00				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.39				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.46				

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

## Existing conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All					
Future Volume (vph)	1895					
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15					
CO Emissions (kg)	2.90					
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56					
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67					

## Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonka	a Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1895	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.89	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67	

## Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

	٦	-	$\mathbf{r}$	¥	+	*	1	t	1	5	ţ
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ካ	+	1	ካ	<b>†</b>	1		र्च	1	ካ	ţ,
Traffic Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Future Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2			4			8
Permitted Phases	6		6	2		2	4		4	8	
Detector Phase	16	6		25	2		4	4	4	8	8
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	12.0	12.0	5.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	20.0	20.0	13.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (s)	13.0	22.0	22.0	13.0	22.0	22.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (%)	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	31.8	28.0	28.0	30.0	25.5	25.5		8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.64	0.56	0.56	0.60	0.51	0.51		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17
v/c Ratio	0.15	0.36	0.05	0.09	0.38	0.05		0.66	0.18	0.24	0.52
Control Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
LOS	Α	В	Α	Α	В	Α		С	Α	С	В
Approach Delay		9.2			10.8			24.0			14.0
Approach LOS		Α			В			С			В
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50	)										
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		WBTL ar	nd 6:EBTL	., Start of	1st Gree	n					
Vatural Cycle: 50											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	oordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66											
Intersection Signal Delay:	12.7			lr.	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
ntersection Capacity Utiliz				10	CU Level	of Service	A				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 10:1	Texas Ave &	Minnetor	nka Blud								
•	CAGS AVE O	<u>.</u>									
Ø1		Ϋ Ø2 (R	0						04		
13 s	2	2 s						15 s			
<b>√</b> Ø5		4-06 (R	0					-1-1-	28		
T 200	· · · · · ·	- 20 (R	y						20		_

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E		10: Texas Ave & Minnetonka Blv 11/29/20			
Intersection					
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.4				
Intersection LOS	А				
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	533	461	210	260	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	547	474	218	265	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	190	251	546	496	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	571	513	191	229	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0	
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1	
Approach LOS	А	A	А	A	
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left	
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR	
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR	
RT Channelized					
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609	
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976	
Entry Flow, veh/h	547	474	218	265	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1137	1068	791	832	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.975	0.972	0.965	0.979	
Flow Entry, veh/h	533	461	210	260	
Cap Entry, veh/h	1108	1038	763	815	
V/C Ratio	0.481	0.444	0.276	0.318	
Control Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1	
LOS	A	A	A	A	
95th %tile Queue, veh	3	2	1	1	

## Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

Minnetonka Blvd -	<ul> <li>Existing</li> </ul>	) AM F	'eak								12/05/202
	٦	+	•	¥	ł	×.	1	1	ŕ	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
ane Configurations	5	<b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ∱≽	5	<b>≜1</b> ≱	
Traffic Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Future Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Turn Type	D.P+P	NA	Perm	D.P+P	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		7	4	3	8	
Permitted Phases	2		6	6		2					
Detector Phase	12	6		56	2		7	4	3	8	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	23.1%	21.5%	23.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?					-						
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	25.8	25.8	30.4	19.8	19.8	8.1	8.4	9.3	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.44	0.40	0.40	0.47	0.30	0.30	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.18	
v/c Ratio	0.28	0.54	0.12	0.12	0.34	0.36	0.40	0.62	0.93	0.36	
Control Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
LOS	В	С	Α	Α	С	Α	С	С	E	В	
Approach Delay		16.1			11.9			28.4		46.0	
Approach LOS		В			В			С		D	
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 65											
Actuated Cycle Length: 65	5										
Offset: 0 (0%), Reference		EBWB ar	nd 6:EBW	/B. Start o	of 1st Gre	en					
Natural Cycle: 65				-,							
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93											
ntersection Signal Delay:	24.6			lr.	ntersectio	n LOS: C					
ntersection Capacity Utiliz				10	CU Level	of Service	B				
Analysis Period (min) 15							-				
Splits and Phases: 20: I	ouisiana Av	e & Minn	etonka Bl	Vđ		- <b>C</b>					
• ø1	• <sup>- ×</sup> ø:	2 (R)				Ø	3		1	Ø4	
14 s	22 s					14 s			15 s		
<b>√</b> Ø5	🚽 🍜 🖉	i (R.)					7		↓	Ø8	
	21	199							15 s	~~	

Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E		20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvc 11/29/202				
				11/20/202		
Intersection						
Intersection Delay, s/veh	16.6					
Intersection LOS	C					
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB		
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1		
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1		
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	656	465	391	471		
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	669	479	400	483		
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	462	494	819	336		
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	357	725	312	637		
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0		
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		
Approach Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8		
Approach LOS	С	В	С	А		
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left		
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR		
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR		
RT Channelized						
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609		
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976		
Entry Flow, veh/h	669	479	400	483		
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	861	834	599	980		
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.981	0.971	0.979	0.975		
Flow Entry, veh/h	656	465	391	471		
Cap Entry, veh/h	845	810	586	955		
V/C Ratio	0.777	0.575	0.668	0.493		
Control Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8		
LOS	C	В	С	A		
95th %tile Queue, veh	8	4	5	3		

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

	≯	-	4	+	1	t	5	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		4		4		4		\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Future Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2		6		8		4	
Permitted Phases	2	-	6		8		4		
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	8	8	4	4	
Switch Phase	-	-							
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.1		5.1		4.7		4.7	
Lead/Lag		9.1		¥.1		7.1		4.1	
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	C-Max	C Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	C-INIGX	31.0	C-IVIAX	31.0	None	7.5	NONE	7.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.69		0.69		0.17		0.17	
v/c Ratio		0.59		0.89		0.26		0.17	
Control Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
LOS		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach LOS		A		A		D		Б	
ntersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 45 Actuated Cycle Length: 45									
Actuated Cycle Length: 45	to alcose O	CDT -	A CAMPTI	Charles	Ant Com				
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2:	CDILan	a 6.WBIL	., Start of	ist Greer	1			
Natural Cycle: 45	- Franks d								
Control Type: Actuated-Co	proinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59					dana arti	1.00.0			
Intersection Signal Delay: 8					ntersection				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 46.1%			10	CU Level	of Service	A		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 30: H	ampshire A	ve & Min	netonka E	Blvd					
- A-								1 m	
								♥ <sup>®</sup> Ø4	
🖉 Ø6 (R)							I	‴\ <b>∕</b> ø8	

Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - B			30: Hampshire Ave & Minnetonka Blvo 11/29/202				
Intersection							
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.6						
Intersection LOS	А						
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB			
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1			
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1			
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	746	480	70	114			
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	767	493	72	117			
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	96	55	705	476			
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	497	722	158	72			
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0			
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
Approach Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8			
Approach LOS	В	А	А	А			
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left			
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR			
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR			
RT Channelized							
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609			
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976			
Entry Flow, veh/h	767	493	72	117			
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1251	1305	672	849			
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.973	0.973	0.969	0.971			
Flow Entry, veh/h	746	480	70	114			
Cap Entry, veh/h	1217	1270	652	825			
V/C Ratio	0.613	0.378	0.107	0.138			
Control Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8			
LOS	В	A	А	А			
95th %tile Queue, veh	4	2	0	0			

### Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

	٦	<b>→</b>	ŕ	+	1	1	۲	1	ţ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ካ	<b>1</b> +	ሻ	1+		<del>,</del> स	1		- A	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Future Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Turn Type	D.Pm	NA	D.Pm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases		2		6		8			4		
Permitted Phases	6		2		8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	6	2	2	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7		4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag											
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	28.5	28.5	28.5		11.7	11.7		11.7	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57		0.23	0.23		0.23	0.23	
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.74	0.40	0.44		0.74	0.25		0.82	0.20	
Control Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
LOS	Α	В	В	A		D	Α		D	Α	
Approach Delay		14.2		8.5		26.0			31.9		
Approach LOS		В		A		С			С		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50											
Offset: 18 (36%), Reference		2:EBWB	and 6:EE	BWB, Star	rt of 1st G	reen					
Natural Cycle: 50											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82											
Intersection Signal Delay: 1	17.4			Ir	ntersection	n LOS: B					
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation 72.6%			I	CU Level	of Service	e C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 40: D	)akota Ave (	& Minneto	onka Blvd								
≠Ø2 (R)							4	Ø4			
32 5							18 s	21			
+											
Ø6 (R)							~\	ØB			

## Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

Minnetonka Blvd - E	Build AM Peak		11/29/2023								
Intersection											
Intersection Delay, s/veh	19.8										
Intersection LOS	C										
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB							
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1							
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1							
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	835	547	293	347							
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	854	568	306	354							
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	362	252	892	550							
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	542	946	324	270							
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0							
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000							
Approach Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7							
Approach LOS	D	В	С	В							
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left							
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR							
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR							
RT Channelized											
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000							
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609							
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976							
Entry Flow, veh/h	854	568	306	354							
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	954	1067	556	787							
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.977	0.963	0.959	0.979							
Flow Entry, veh/h	835	547	293	347							
Cap Entry, veh/h	932	1027	533	771							
V/C Ratio	0.895	0.532	0.551	0.450							
Control Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7							
LOS	D	В	С	В							
95th %tile Queue, veh	13	3	3	2							
	≯	-	✓	-	<b>^</b>	<b>†</b>	1	5	ţ	<	
------------------------------	-------------	----------	----------	-------------	------------	------------	-------	---------------	-------	-------	--
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	5		5			र्भ	1	5	+	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases		-			8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase		-									
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
LOS	С	В	С	Α		С	В	С	В	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
Approach LOS		В		В		В			В		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 55											
Actuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	EBT and	6:WBT, S	Start of 1s	t Green						
Natural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Cor	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1	5.2			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
ntersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 66.0%			IC	CU Level	of Service	C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: La	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
								10			
🕈 Ø1	-	₩Ø2 (R)						♥ Ø4			
14 s	23	3						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				<u>مر</u>	-			- <b>†</b> ø8			

## Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/ Lake St W

Timings Minnetonka Blvd -	Build Al	M Pea	k				:	50: Lal	ke St 8	k Minne	tonk 1
	٦	-	¥	+	۲	1	1	1	ţ	1	
ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅		4	1	5	<b>†</b>	1	
Fraffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Furn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases					8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Fotal Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Fotal Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
rellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
.ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Fotal Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
.ead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
ead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
//c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
.OS	С	B	С	A		C	В	С	B	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
pproach LOS		В		В		В			В		
ntersection Summary											
ycle Length: 55											
ctuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		EBT and	6:WBT, 8	Start of 1s	t Green						
latural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
/laximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersectio						
ntersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 66.0%			10	CU Level	of Service	C				
nalysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: L	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
<b>√</b> Ø1	-	₽Ø2 (R)						\$-@4			_
4	23	5						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				≁₀	5			< <b>†</b> ø8			

# Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/Lake St W

## **CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project**

Synchro Report – Congestion Reduction

#### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnet	& Minnetonka Blvd				
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1103				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	13				
CO Emissions (kg)	1.35				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.26				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.31				

#### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnet	onka Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1102	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.38	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.27	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.32	

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

#### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Min	uisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd				
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1627				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	25				
CO Emissions (kg)	2.37				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.46				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.55				

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Min	0: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd				
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1627				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0				
CO Emissions (kg)	2.07				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.40				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.48				

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave &	Minnetonka Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1069	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	8	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.08	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.21	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.25	

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave	e & Minnetonka Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1069	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.22	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.24	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.28	

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

40: Dakota Ave & Minne	Ave & Minnetonka Blvd				
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1505				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	17				
CO Emissions (kg)	2.00				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.39				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.46				

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

## Existing conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonk	tonka Blvd			
Direction	All			
Future Volume (vph)	1895			
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15			
CO Emissions (kg)	2.90			
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56			
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67			

## Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonka	ake St & Minnetonka Blvd				
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1895				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15				
CO Emissions (kg)	2.89				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67				

## Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

	٦	-	$\mathbf{r}$	¥	+	*	1	t	1	5	ţ
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ካ	+	1	ካ	<b>†</b>	1		र्च	1	ካ	ţ,
Traffic Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Future Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2			4			8
Permitted Phases	6		6	2		2	4		4	8	
Detector Phase	16	6		25	2		4	4	4	8	8
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	12.0	12.0	5.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	20.0	20.0	13.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (s)	13.0	22.0	22.0	13.0	22.0	22.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (%)	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	31.8	28.0	28.0	30.0	25.5	25.5		8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.64	0.56	0.56	0.60	0.51	0.51		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17
v/c Ratio	0.15	0.36	0.05	0.09	0.38	0.05		0.66	0.18	0.24	0.52
Control Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
LOS	Α	В	Α	Α	В	Α		С	Α	С	В
Approach Delay		9.2			10.8			24.0			14.0
Approach LOS		Α			В			С			В
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50	)										
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		WBTL ar	nd 6:EBTL	., Start of	1st Gree	n					
Vatural Cycle: 50											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	oordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66											
Intersection Signal Delay:	12.7			lr.	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
ntersection Capacity Utiliz				10	CU Level	of Service	A				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 10:1	Texas Ave &	Minnetor	nka Blud								
•	CAGS AVE O	<u>.</u>									
Ø1		Ϋ Ø2 (R	0						04		
13 s	2	2 s						15 s			
<b>√</b> Ø5		4-06 (R	0					41	28		
T 200	· · · · · ·	- 20 (R	y						20		_

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E			10: Texas Av	ve & Minnetonka Blv 11/29/20
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.4			
Intersection LOS	А			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	533	461	210	260
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	547	474	218	265
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	190	251	546	496
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	571	513	191	229
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1
Approach LOS	А	А	А	A
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	547	474	218	265
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1137	1068	791	832
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.975	0.972	0.965	0.979
Flow Entry, veh/h	533	461	210	260
Cap Entry, veh/h	1108	1038	763	815
V/C Ratio	0.481	0.444	0.276	0.318
Control Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1
LOS	A	A	A	A
95th %tile Queue, veh	3	2	1	1

# Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

Minnetonka Blvd -	<ul> <li>Existing</li> </ul>	) AM F	Peak								12/05/202
	٦	+	•	¥	ł	×.	1	1	ŕ	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
ane Configurations	5	<b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ∱≽	5	<b>≜1</b> ≱	
Traffic Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Future Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Turn Type	D.P+P	NA	Perm	D.P+P	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		7	4	3	8	
Permitted Phases	2		6	6		2					
Detector Phase	12	6		56	2		7	4	3	8	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	23.1%	21.5%	23.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			-		-	-		-		-	
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	25.8	25.8	30.4	19.8	19.8	8.1	8.4	9.3	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.44	0.40	0.40	0.47	0.30	0.30	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.18	
v/c Ratio	0.28	0.54	0.12	0.12	0.34	0.36	0.40	0.62	0.93	0.36	
Control Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
LOS	В	С	Α	Α	С	Α	С	С	E	В	
Approach Delay		16.1			11.9			28.4		46.0	
Approach LOS		В			В			С		D	
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 65											
Actuated Cycle Length: 65	5										
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	d to phase 2	EBWB ar	nd 6:EBW	B. Start o	of 1st Gre	en					
Natural Cycle: 65											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93											
Intersection Signal Delay:	24.6			lr.	ntersectio	n LOS: C					
ntersection Capacity Utiliz				10	CU Level	of Service	B				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 20: I	Louisiana Av	e & Minn	etonka Bl	vd		- <b>C</b>					
✓ Ø1	• <sup>7</sup> ø:	2 (R)				Ø	3		_   T	Ø4	
14 s	22 s					14 s			15 s		
<b>√</b> Ø5	- <del></del> 20	(P)					7		↓	Øð	
• VI.1						10	,		- T	20	

Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E			20: Louisiana A	ve & Minnetonka Blvc 11/29/202
				11/20/202
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	16.6			
Intersection LOS	C			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	656	465	391	471
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	669	479	400	483
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	462	494	819	336
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	357	725	312	637
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8
Approach LOS	С	В	С	А
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	669	479	400	483
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	861	834	599	980
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.981	0.971	0.979	0.975
Flow Entry, veh/h	656	465	391	471
Cap Entry, veh/h	845	810	586	955
V/C Ratio	0.777	0.575	0.668	0.493
Control Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8
LOS	C	В	С	A
95th %tile Queue, veh	8	4	5	3

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

	≯	-	4	+	1	t	5	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		4		4		4		4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Future Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2		6		8		4	
Permitted Phases	2	-	6		8		4		
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	8	8	4	4	
Switch Phase	-	-							
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.1		5.1		4.7		4.7	
Lead/Lag		9.1		¥.1		7.1		4.1	
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	C-Max	C Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	C-INIGX	31.0	C-IVIAX	31.0	None	7.5	NONE	7.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.69		0.69		0.17		0.17	
v/c Ratio		0.59		0.89		0.26		0.17	
Control Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
LOS		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach LOS		A		A		D		Б	
ntersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 45 Actuated Cycle Length: 45									
Actuated Cycle Length: 45	to alcose O	CDT -	A CAMPTI	Charles	Ant Const				
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2:	CDILan	a 6.WBIL	., Start of	ist Greer	1			
Natural Cycle: 45	- Franks d								
Control Type: Actuated-Co	proinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59					dana arti	1.00.0			
Intersection Signal Delay: 8					ntersection				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 46.1%			10	CU Level	of Service	A		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 30: H	ampshire A	ve & Min	netonka E	Blvd					
- A-									
Ø2 (R) 30 s								♥ Ø4	
Ø6 (R)							I	‴ <b>⊺</b> ø8	

Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E			30: Hampshire A	Ave & Minnetonka Blv 11/29/202
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.6			
Intersection LOS	А			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	746	480	70	114
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	767	493	72	117
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	96	55	705	476
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	497	722	158	72
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8
Approach LOS	В	А	А	А
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	767	493	72	117
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1251	1305	672	849
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.973	0.973	0.969	0.971
Flow Entry, veh/h	746	480	70	114
Cap Entry, veh/h	1217	1270	652	825
V/C Ratio	0.613	0.378	0.107	0.138
Control Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8
LOS	В	А	А	А
95th %tile Queue, veh	4	2	0	0

## Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

	٦	<b>→</b>	ŕ	+	1	1	۲	1	ţ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1+	ሻ	1+		<del>,</del> स	1		- A	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Future Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Turn Type	D.Pm	NA	D.Pm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases		2		6		8			4		
Permitted Phases	6		2		8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	6	2	2	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7		4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag											
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	28.5	28.5	28.5		11.7	11.7		11.7	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57		0.23	0.23		0.23	0.23	
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.74	0.40	0.44		0.74	0.25		0.82	0.20	
Control Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
LOS	Α	В	В	A		D	Α		D	Α	
Approach Delay		14.2		8.5		26.0			31.9		
Approach LOS		В		A		С			С		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50											
Offset: 18 (36%), Reference	ed to phase	2:EBWB	and 6:EE	3WB, Star	rt of 1st G	reen					
Natural Cycle: 50											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82											
Intersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersection						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 72.6%			IC	CU Level	of Service	e C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 40: D	akota Ave a	& Minneto	nka Blvd								
ۯ2 (R)							4	Ø4			
32 5							18 s	2.			
<u>.</u>							-				
Ø6 (R)							- \	ØB			

## Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

Vinnetonka Blvd - E	Build AM Peak			11/29/2023
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	19.8			
Intersection LOS	C			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	835	547	293	347
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	854	568	306	354
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	362	252	892	550
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	542	946	324	270
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7
Approach LOS	D	В	С	В
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	854	568	306	354
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	954	1067	556	787
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.977	0.963	0.959	0.979
Flow Entry, veh/h	835	547	293	347
Cap Entry, veh/h	932	1027	533	771
V/C Ratio	0.895	0.532	0.551	0.450
Control Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7
LOS	D	В	С	В
95th %tile Queue, veh	13	3	3	2

	≯	-	✓	-	<b>^</b>	1	1	5	ţ	<	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	5		5			र्भ	1	5	+	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases		-			8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase		-									
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
LOS	С	В	С	Α		С	В	С	В	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
Approach LOS		В		В		В			В		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 55											
Actuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	EBT and	6:WBT, S	Start of 1s	t Green						
Natural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Cor	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1	5.2			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
ntersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 66.0%			IC	CU Level	of Service	C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: La	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
								10			
🕈 Ø1	-	₩Ø2 (R)						♥ Ø4			
14 s	23	3						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				<u>مر</u>	-			- <b>†</b> ø8			

## Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/ Lake St W

Timings Minnetonka Blvd -	Build Al	M Pea	k				:	50: Lal	ke St 8	k Minne	tonk 1
	٦	-	¥	+	۲	1	1	1	ţ	1	
ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅		4	1	5	+	1	
Fraffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Furn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases					8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Fotal Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Fotal Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
rellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
.ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Fotal Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
.ead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
ead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
//c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
.OS	С	B	С	A		C	В	С	B	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
pproach LOS		В		В		В			В		
ntersection Summary											
ycle Length: 55											
ctuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		EBT and	6:WBT, 8	Start of 1s	t Green						
latural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
/laximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersectio						
ntersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 66.0%			10	CU Level	of Service	C				
nalysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: L	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
<b>√</b> Ø1	-	₽Ø2 (R)						\$-@4			_
4	23	5						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				≁₀	5			< <b>†</b> ø8			

# Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/Lake St W

## **CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project**

Synchro Report – Congestion Reduction

#### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnet	0: Texas Ave & Minnetonka Blvd								
Direction	All								
Future Volume (vph)	1103								
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	13								
CO Emissions (kg)	1.35								
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.26								
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.31								

#### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnet	10: Texas Ave & Minnetonka Blvd								
Direction	All								
Future Volume (vph)	1102								
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0								
CO Emissions (kg)	1.38								
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.27								
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.32								

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

#### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All					
Future Volume (vph)	1627					
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	25					
CO Emissions (kg)	2.37					
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.46					
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.55					

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All					
Future Volume (vph)	1627					
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0					
CO Emissions (kg)	2.07					
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.40					
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.48					

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave &	Minnetonka Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1069	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	8	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.08	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.21	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.25	

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave		
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1069	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.22	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.24	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.28	

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

40: Dakota Ave & Minnetonka Blvd							
Direction	All						
Future Volume (vph)	1505						
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	17						
CO Emissions (kg)	2.00						
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.39						
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.46						

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

## Existing conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonka Blvd							
Direction	All						
Future Volume (vph)	1895						
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15						
CO Emissions (kg)	2.90						
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56						
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67						

## Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonka	a Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1895	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.89	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67	

## Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

	٦	-	$\mathbf{r}$	¥	+	*	1	t	1	5	ţ
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ካ	+	1	ካ	<b>†</b>	1		र्च	1	ካ	ţ,
Traffic Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Future Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2			4			8
Permitted Phases	6		6	2		2	4		4	8	
Detector Phase	16	6		25	2		4	4	4	8	8
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	12.0	12.0	5.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	20.0	20.0	13.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (s)	13.0	22.0	22.0	13.0	22.0	22.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (%)	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	31.8	28.0	28.0	30.0	25.5	25.5		8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.64	0.56	0.56	0.60	0.51	0.51		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17
v/c Ratio	0.15	0.36	0.05	0.09	0.38	0.05		0.66	0.18	0.24	0.52
Control Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
LOS	Α	В	Α	Α	В	Α		С	Α	С	В
Approach Delay		9.2			10.8			24.0			14.0
Approach LOS		Α			В			С			В
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50	)										
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		WBTL ar	nd 6:EBTL	., Start of	1st Gree	n					
Vatural Cycle: 50											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	oordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66											
Intersection Signal Delay:	12.7			lr.	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
ntersection Capacity Utiliz				10	CU Level	of Service	A				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 10:1	Texas Ave &	Minnetor	nka Blud								
•	I CAOS AVE O	<u>.</u>									
✓ Ø1		Ϋ Ø2 (R	0						04		
13 s	2	2.5						15 s			
<b>√</b> Ø5		4-06 (R	0					-1-1-	28		
T 200	· · · · · ·	- 20 (R	y						20		_

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E			10: Texas Av	ve & Minnetonka Blv 11/29/20
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.4			
Intersection LOS	А			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	533	461	210	260
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	547	474	218	265
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	190	251	546	496
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	571	513	191	229
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1
Approach LOS	А	A	А	A
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	547	474	218	265
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1137	1068	791	832
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.975	0.972	0.965	0.979
Flow Entry, veh/h	533	461	210	260
Cap Entry, veh/h	1108	1038	763	815
V/C Ratio	0.481	0.444	0.276	0.318
Control Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1
LOS	А	A	A	A
95th %tile Queue, veh	3	2	1	1

# Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

Minnetonka Blvd -	<ul> <li>Existing</li> </ul>	) AM F	Peak								12/05/202
	٦	+	•	¥	ł	×.	1	1	ŕ	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
ane Configurations	5	<b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ∱≽	5	<b>≜1</b> ≱	
Traffic Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Future Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Turn Type	D.P+P	NA	Perm	D.P+P	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		7	4	3	8	
Permitted Phases	2		6	6		2					
Detector Phase	12	6		56	2		7	4	3	8	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	23.1%	21.5%	23.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			-		-	-		-		-	
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	25.8	25.8	30.4	19.8	19.8	8.1	8.4	9.3	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.44	0.40	0.40	0.47	0.30	0.30	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.18	
v/c Ratio	0.28	0.54	0.12	0.12	0.34	0.36	0.40	0.62	0.93	0.36	
Control Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
LOS	В	С	Α	Α	С	Α	С	С	E	В	
Approach Delay		16.1			11.9			28.4		46.0	
Approach LOS		В			В			С		D	
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 65											
Actuated Cycle Length: 65	5										
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	d to phase 2	EBWB ar	nd 6:EBW	B. Start o	of 1st Gre	en					
Natural Cycle: 65											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93											
Intersection Signal Delay:	24.6			lr.	ntersectio	n LOS: C					
ntersection Capacity Utiliz				10	CU Level	of Service	B				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 20: I	Louisiana Av	e & Minn	etonka Bl	vd		- <b>C</b>					
- <b>&gt;</b> <sub>Ø1</sub>	• <sup>7</sup> ø:	2 (R)				Ø	3		_   T	Ø4	
14 s	22 s					14 s			15 s		
<b>√</b> Ø5	- <del>- 1</del> 00	(P)					7		↓	Øð	
• VI.1						10	,		- T	20	

Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E			20: Louisiana A	20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvo 11/29/202				
				11120/202				
Intersection								
Intersection Delay, s/veh	16.6							
Intersection LOS	C							
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB				
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1				
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1				
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	656	465	391	471				
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	669	479	400	483				
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	462	494	819	336				
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	357	725	312	637				
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0				
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000				
Approach Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8				
Approach LOS	С	В	С	А				
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left				
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR				
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR				
RT Channelized								
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000				
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609				
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976				
Entry Flow, veh/h	669	479	400	483				
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	861	834	599	980				
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.981	0.971	0.979	0.975				
Flow Entry, veh/h	656	465	391	471				
Cap Entry, veh/h	845	810	586	955				
V/C Ratio	0.777	0.575	0.668	0.493				
Control Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8				
LOS	C	В	С	A				
95th %tile Queue, veh	8	4	5	3				

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

	≯	-	4	+	1	t	5	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		4		4		4		4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Future Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2		6		8		4	
Permitted Phases	2	-	6		8		4		
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	8	8	4	4	
Switch Phase	-	-							
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.1		5.1		4.7		4.7	
Lead/Lag		9.1		¥.1		7.1		4.1	
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	C-Max	C Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	C-INIGX	31.0	C-IVIAX	31.0	None	7.5	NONE	7.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.69		0.69		0.17		0.17	
v/c Ratio		0.59		0.89		0.26		0.17	
Control Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
LOS		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach LOS		A		A		D		Б	
ntersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 45 Actuated Cycle Length: 45									
Actuated Cycle Length: 45	to alcose O	CDT -	A CAMPTI	Charles	Ant Com				
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2:	CDILan	a 6.WBIL	., Start of	ist Greer	1			
Natural Cycle: 45	- Franks d								
Control Type: Actuated-Co	proinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59					dana arti	1.00.0			
Intersection Signal Delay: 8					ntersection				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 46.1%			10	CU Level	of Service	A		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 30: H	ampshire A	ve & Min	netonka E	Blvd					
- A-									
Ø2 (R) 30 s								♥ Ø4	
Ø6 (R)							I	‴ <b>⊺</b> ø8	

Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E			30: Hampshire A	Ave & Minnetonka Blv 11/29/202
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.6			
Intersection LOS	А			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	746	480	70	114
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	767	493	72	117
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	96	55	705	476
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	497	722	158	72
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8
Approach LOS	В	А	А	А
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	767	493	72	117
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1251	1305	672	849
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.973	0.973	0.969	0.971
Flow Entry, veh/h	746	480	70	114
Cap Entry, veh/h	1217	1270	652	825
V/C Ratio	0.613	0.378	0.107	0.138
Control Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8
LOS	В	A	А	A
95th %tile Queue, veh	4	2	0	0

## Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

	٦	<b>→</b>	ŕ	+	1	1	۴	1	ţ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1+	ሻ	1+		<del>,</del> स	1		- A	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Future Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Turn Type	D.Pm	NA	D.Pm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases		2		6		8			4		
Permitted Phases	6		2		8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	6	2	2	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7		4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag											
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	28.5	28.5	28.5		11.7	11.7		11.7	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57		0.23	0.23		0.23	0.23	
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.74	0.40	0.44		0.74	0.25		0.82	0.20	
Control Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
LOS	Α	В	В	A		D	Α		D	Α	
Approach Delay		14.2		8.5		26.0			31.9		
Approach LOS		В		A		С			С		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50											
Offset: 18 (36%), Reference	ed to phase	2:EBWB	and 6:EE	3WB, Star	rt of 1st G	reen					
Natural Cycle: 50											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82											
Intersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersection						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 72.6%			IC	CU Level	of Service	e C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 40: D	akota Ave a	& Minneto	nka Blvd								
€ø2 (R)							4	Ø4			
32 5							18 s	2.			
<u>.</u>							-				
Ø6 (R)							- \	ØB			

## Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

Vinnetonka Blvd - E	Build AM Peak			11/29/2023
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	19.8			
Intersection LOS	C			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	835	547	293	347
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	854	568	306	354
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	362	252	892	550
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	542	946	324	270
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7
Approach LOS	D	В	С	В
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	854	568	306	354
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	954	1067	556	787
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.977	0.963	0.959	0.979
Flow Entry, veh/h	835	547	293	347
Cap Entry, veh/h	932	1027	533	771
V/C Ratio	0.895	0.532	0.551	0.450
Control Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7
LOS	D	В	С	В
95th %tile Queue, veh	13	3	3	2

	≯	$\rightarrow$	✓	-	<b>^</b>	1	1	5	ţ	<	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	5		5			र्भ	1	5	+	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases		-			8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase		-									
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
LOS	С	В	С	Α		С	В	С	В	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
Approach LOS		В		В		В			В		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 55											
Actuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	EBT and	6:WBT, S	Start of 1s	t Green						
Natural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Cor	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1	5.2			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
ntersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 66.0%			10	CU Level	of Service	C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: La	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
								10			
🕈 Ø1	-	• Ø2 (R)						♥ Ø4			
14 s	23	3						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				<u>مر</u>	-			- <b>†</b> ø8			

## Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/ Lake St W

Timings Minnetonka Blvd -	Build Al	M Pea	k				:	50: Lal	ke St 8	k Minne	tonk 1
	٦	-	¥	+	۲	1	1	1	ţ	1	
ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅		4	1	5	<b>†</b>	1	
Fraffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Furn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases					8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Fotal Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Fotal Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
rellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
.ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Fotal Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
.ead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
ead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
//c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
.OS	С	B	С	A		C	В	С	B	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
pproach LOS		В		В		В			В		
ntersection Summary											
ycle Length: 55											
ctuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		EBT and	6:WBT, 8	Start of 1s	t Green						
latural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
/laximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersectio						
ntersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 66.0%			10	CU Level	of Service	C				
nalysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: L	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
<b>√</b> Ø1	-	₽Ø2 (R)						\$-@4			_
4	23	5						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				≁₀	5			< <b>†</b> ø8			

# Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/Lake St W

## **CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project**

Synchro Report – Emission Reduction

#### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All					
Future Volume (vph)	1103					
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	13					
CO Emissions (kg)	1.35					
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.26					
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.31					

## Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

10: Texas Ave & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All					
Future Volume (vph)	1102					
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0					
CO Emissions (kg)	1.38					
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.27					
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.32					

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

#### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd					
Direction	All				
Future Volume (vph)	1627				
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	25				
CO Emissions (kg)	2.37				
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.46				
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.55				

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

20: Louisiana Ave & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All					
Future Volume (vph)	1627					
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0					
CO Emissions (kg)	2.07					
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.40					
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.48					

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave &	Minnetonka Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1069	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	8	
CO Emissions (kg)	1.08	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.21	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.25	

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

30: Hampshire Ave	30: Hampshire Ave & Minnetonka Blvd						
Direction	All						
Future Volume (vph)	1069						
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0						
CO Emissions (kg)	1.22						
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.24						
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.28						

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

### Existing conditions (AM Peak)

40: Dakota Ave & Minnetonka Blvd							
Direction	All						
Future Volume (vph)	1505						
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	17						
CO Emissions (kg)	2.00						
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.39						
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.46						

### Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

40: Dakota Ave & Minr	ietonka Blvd
Direction	All
Future Volume (vph)	1504
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	0
CO Emissions (kg)	1.91
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.37
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.44

For intersection delay in the proposed condition, refer to full Synchro report.

## Existing conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonka Blvd								
Direction	All							
Future Volume (vph)	1895							
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15							
CO Emissions (kg)	2.90							
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56							
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67							

## Proposed conditions (AM Peak)

50: Lake St & Minnetonka	a Blvd	
Direction	All	
Future Volume (vph)	1895	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	15	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.89	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.56	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.67	

## Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

	۲	→	$\mathbf{r}$	¥	+	*	1	t	1	5	ţ
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ካ	+	1	ካ	<b>†</b>	1		र्च	1	ካ	ţ,
Traffic Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Future Volume (vph)	53	338	33	46	297	29	39	53	57	40	49
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2			4			8
Permitted Phases	6		6	2		2	4		4	8	
Detector Phase	16	6		25	2		4	4	4	8	8
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	12.0	12.0	5.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	20.0	20.0	13.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (s)	13.0	22.0	22.0	13.0	22.0	22.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (%)	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	26.0%	44.0%	44.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	31.8	28.0	28.0	30.0	25.5	25.5		8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.64	0.56	0.56	0.60	0.51	0.51		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17
v/c Ratio	0.15	0.36	0.05	0.09	0.38	0.05		0.66	0.18	0.24	0.52
Control Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	4.7	11.6	0.1	4.5	13.0	0.1		35.0	1.5	20.0	12.5
LOS	Α	В	Α	Α	В	Α		С	Α	С	В
Approach Delay		9.2			10.8			24.0			14.0
Approach LOS		Α			В			С			В
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50	)										
Offset: 0 (0%), Reference		WBTL ar	d 6:EBTL	. Start of	1st Gree	n					
Natural Cycle: 50				,							
Control Type: Actuated-Co	oordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66											
Intersection Signal Delay:	12.7			lr.	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
Intersection Capacity Utiliz				10	CU Level	of Service	A				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Calife and Discourse 40.1	Terres 4	Magazi	des Dhud								
Splits and Phases: 10:	Texas Ave &	Minnetor	ika Bivd					- <b>-</b> A			
- Ø1		Ϋ Ø2 (R	0						04		
13 s	2	2 5						15 s			
<b>√</b> Ø5		<u></u>									
<b>▼</b> Ø5		🔫 🕫 (R	0					- I <b>T</b>	28		

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E		10: Texas Av	ve & Minnetonka Blv 11/29/20	
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.4			
Intersection LOS	А			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	533	461	210	260
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	547	474	218	265
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	190	251	546	496
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	571	513	191	229
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1
Approach LOS	А	А	А	A
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	547	474	218	265
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1137	1068	791	832
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.975	0.972	0.965	0.979
Flow Entry, veh/h	533	461	210	260
Cap Entry, veh/h	1108	1038	763	815
V/C Ratio	0.481	0.444	0.276	0.318
Control Delay, s/veh	8.6	8.4	7.9	8.1
LOS	А	A	A	A
95th %tile Queue, veh	3	2	1	1

# Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Texas Ave

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

Minnetonka Blvd -	nnetonka Blvd - Existing AM Peak								12/0	12/05/202	
	٦	+	•	ŕ	ł	×.	1	1	ŕ	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
ane Configurations	5	<b>†</b>	1	5	<b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ∱≽	5	<b>≜1</b> ≱	
Traffic Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Future Volume (vph)	111	338	66	28	165	171	77	213	202	146	
Turn Type	D.P+P	NA	Perm	D.P+P	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		7	4	3	8	
Permitted Phases	2		6	6		2					
Detector Phase	12	6		56	2		7	4	3	8	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	12.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	22.0	22.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	33.8%	33.8%	21.5%	23.1%	21.5%	23.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	25.8	25.8	30.4	19.8	19.8	8.1	8.4	9.3	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.44	0.40	0.40	0.47	0.30	0.30	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.18	
v/c Ratio	0.28	0.54	0.12	0.12	0.34	0.36	0.40	0.62	0.93	0.36	
Control Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	10.6	21.8	0.3	9.4	20.7	5.1	31.5	27.5	72.8	19.2	
LOS	В	С	Α	Α	С	Α	С	С	E	В	
Approach Delay		16.1			11.9			28.4		46.0	
Approach LOS		В			В			С		D	
ntersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 65											
Actuated Cycle Length: 65	5										
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	d to phase 2	EBWB ar	nd 6:EBW	B. Start o	f 1st Gre	en					
Natural Cycle: 65											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93											
Intersection Signal Delay:	24.6			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: C					
ntersection Capacity Utiliz				IC	CU Level	of Service	B				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 20: I	Louisiana Av	e & Minn	etonka Bl	Vđ		- <b>t</b>					
✓ Ø1	• <sup>7</sup> ø:	2 (R)				Ø	3		_   T	Ø4	
14 s	22 s					14 s			15 s		
<b>√</b> Ø5	- <del></del> 20	(P)					7		↓.	Øð	
• VI.1						10	,		- <b>T</b>	20	

Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Louisiana Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E		20: Louisiana A	Ave & Minnetonka Blvo 11/29/202	
				11/20/202
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	16.6			
Intersection LOS	C			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	656	465	391	471
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	669	479	400	483
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	462	494	819	336
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	357	725	312	637
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8
Approach LOS	С	В	C	А
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	669	479	400	483
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	861	834	599	980
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.981	0.971	0.979	0.975
Flow Entry, veh/h	656	465	391	471
Cap Entry, veh/h	845	810	586	955
V/C Ratio	0.777	0.575	0.668	0.493
Control Delay, s/veh	21.3	13.1	21.0	9.8
LOS	C	В	С	A
95th %tile Queue, veh	8	4	5	3

# Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

	≯	-	4	+	1	t	5	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		4		4		4		\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Future Volume (vph)	1	532	6	336	22	5	27	15	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2		6		8		4	
Permitted Phases	2	-	6		8		4		
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	8	8	4	4	
Switch Phase	-	-							
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.1		5.1		4.7		4.7	
Lead/Lag		9.1		¥.1		7.1		4.1	
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	C-Max	C Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	C-INIGX	31.0	C-IVIAX	31.0	None	7.5	NONE	7.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.69		0.69		0.17		0.17	
v/c Ratio		0.59		0.89		0.26		0.17	
Control Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
LOS		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach Delay		8.0		5.5		15.0		16.8	
		0.0 A		5.5 A		15.0 B		16.0 B	
Approach LOS		A		A		D		Б	
ntersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 45 Actuated Cycle Length: 45									
Actuated Cycle Length: 45	to alcose O	CDT -	A CAMPTI	Charles	Ant Const				
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2:	CDILan	a 6.WBIL	., Start of	ist Greer	1			
Natural Cycle: 45	- Franks d								
Control Type: Actuated-Co	proinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59					dana arti	1.00.0			
Intersection Signal Delay: 8					ntersection				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 46.1%			10	CU Level	of Service	A		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 30: H	ampshire A	ve & Min	netonka E	Blvd					
- A-								1 m	
Ø2 (R) 30 s								♥ <sup>®</sup> Ø4	
🖉 Ø6 (R)							I	‴\ <b>∕</b> ø8	
Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Hampshire Ave

HCM 6th Roundabo Minnetonka Blvd - E			30: Hampshire A	Ave & Minnetonka Blv 11/29/202
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.6			
Intersection LOS	А			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	746	480	70	114
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	767	493	72	117
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	96	55	705	476
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	497	722	158	72
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8
Approach LOS	В	А	А	А
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	767	493	72	117
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1251	1305	672	849
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.973	0.973	0.969	0.971
Flow Entry, veh/h	746	480	70	114
Cap Entry, veh/h	1217	1270	652	825
V/C Ratio	0.613	0.378	0.107	0.138
Control Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.4	6.7	5.8
LOS	В	А	А	A
95th %tile Queue, veh	4	2	0	0

#### Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

	٦	<b>→</b>	ŕ	+	1	1	۴	1	ţ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1+	ሻ	1+		<del>,</del> स	1		- A	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Future Volume (vph)	22	523	60	295	75	51	77	90	99	47	
Turn Type	D.Pm	NA	D.Pm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases		2		6		8			4		
Permitted Phases	6		2		8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	6	2	2	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	64.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1		4.7	4.7		4.7	4.7	
Lead/Lag											
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	28.5	28.5	28.5	28.5		11.7	11.7		11.7	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57		0.23	0.23		0.23	0.23	
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.74	0.40	0.44		0.74	0.25		0.82	0.20	
Control Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	6.5	14.7	13.4	7.4		38.3	5.5		40.8	5.6	
LOS	Α	В	В	A		D	Α		D	Α	
Approach Delay		14.2		8.5		26.0			31.9		
Approach LOS		В		A		С			С		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 50											
Actuated Cycle Length: 50											
Offset: 18 (36%), Reference	ed to phase	2:EBWB	and 6:EE	3WB, Star	rt of 1st G	reen					
Natural Cycle: 50											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82											
Intersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersection						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 72.6%			IC	CU Level	of Service	e C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 40: D	akota Ave a	& Minneto	nka Blvd								
ۯ2 (R)							4	Ø4			
32 5							18 s	2.			
<u>.</u>							-				
Ø6 (R)							- \	ØB			

### Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Dakota Ave

Vinnetonka Blvd - E	Build AM Peak			11/29/2023
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	19.8			
Intersection LOS	C			
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	835	547	293	347
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	854	568	306	354
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	362	252	892	550
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	542	946	324	270
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7
Approach LOS	D	В	С	В
Lane	Left	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Assumed Moves	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	2.609
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	4.976
Entry Flow, veh/h	854	568	306	354
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	954	1067	556	787
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.977	0.963	0.959	0.979
Flow Entry, veh/h	835	547	293	347
Cap Entry, veh/h	932	1027	533	771
V/C Ratio	0.895	0.532	0.551	0.450
Control Delay, s/veh	30.7	10.1	17.5	10.7
LOS	D	В	С	В
95th %tile Queue, veh	13	3	3	2

	≯	$\rightarrow$	✓	-	<b>^</b>	<b>†</b>	1	5	ţ	<	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	5		5			र्भ	1	5	+	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases		-			8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase		-									
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Total Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
LOS	С	В	С	Α		С	В	С	В	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
Approach LOS		В		В		В			В		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 55											
Actuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	EBT and	6:WBT, S	Start of 1s	t Green						
Natural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Cor	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1	5.2			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
ntersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 66.0%			10	CU Level	of Service	C				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: La	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
								10			
🕈 Ø1	-	• Ø2 (R)						♥ Ø4			
14 s	23	3						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				<u>مر</u>	-			- <b>†</b> ø8			

#### Synchro Report for existing conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/ Lake St W

Timings 50: Lake St & Minnetonka Minnetonka Blvd - Build AM Peak 11/2											
	٦	-	¥	+	۲	1	1	1	ţ	1	
ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅	ሻ	<b>≜</b> ⊅		4	1	5	<b>†</b>	1	
Fraffic Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Future Volume (vph)	11	661	122	413	51	19	429	41	27	14	
Furn Type	Prot	NA	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6		8			4		
Permitted Phases					8		8	4		4	
Detector Phase	5	2	1	6	8	8	8	4	4	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	12.0	6.0	12.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.0	20.0	14.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Fotal Split (s)	14.0	23.0	14.0	23.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	
Fotal Split (%)	25.5%	41.8%	25.5%	41.8%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	32.7%	
rellow Time (s)	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
.ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Fotal Lost Time (s)	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.1		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
.ead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead							
ead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.9	23.7	9.0	32.3		9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.43	0.16	0.59		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	
//c Ratio	0.09	0.61	0.52	0.25		0.42	0.79	0.30	0.10	0.04	
Control Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.4	16.5	28.0	7.6		24.9	13.5	22.5	18.2	0.1	
.OS	С	B	С	A		C	В	С	B	Α	
Approach Delay		16.6		12.4		15.4			17.6		
pproach LOS		В		В		В			В		
ntersection Summary											
ycle Length: 55											
ctuated Cycle Length: 55											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		EBT and	6:WBT, 8	Start of 1s	t Green						
latural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
/laximum v/c Ratio: 0.79											
ntersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersectio						
ntersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 66.0%			10	CU Level	of Service	C				
nalysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 50: L	ake St & Mi	nnetonka	Blvd								
<b>√</b> Ø1	-	₽Ø2 (R)						\$-@4			_
4	23	5						18 s			
Ø6 (R)				≁₀	5			< <b>†</b> ø8			

## Synchro Report for proposed conditions (AM Peak) CSAH 5 and Vernon Ave/Lake St W

#### **Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation**



/ lilary 5				
	Crash Severity	Crash Cost		
	K crashes	\$1,600,000	Link: mndot.gov/planning/program	n/appendix_a.html
	A crashes	\$800,000		
	B crashes	\$250,000	Real Discount Rate: 0.8%	Default
	C crashes	\$130,000	Traffic Growth Rate: 0.5%	Revised
	PDO crashes	\$15,000	Project Service Life: 20 years	Revised
			-	

#### G. Annual Benefit

Crash Severity	<b>Crash Reduction</b>	Annual Reduction	Annual Benefit
K crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
A crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
B crashes	0.31	0.10	\$26,167
C crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
PDO crashes	0.83	0.28	\$4,150
		1	\$30,317

Year	Crash Benefits	Present Value	
2028	\$30,317	\$30,317	Total = \$589,493
2029	\$30,468	\$30,226	
2030	\$30,621	\$30,136	
2031	\$30,774	\$30,047	
2032	\$30,928	\$29,957	
2033	\$31,082	\$29,868	
2034	\$31,238	\$29,779	
2035	\$31,394	\$29,691	
2036	\$31,551	\$29,602	
2037	\$31,709	\$29,514	
2038	\$31,867	\$29,426	
2039	\$32,026	\$29,339	
2040	\$32,187	\$29,251	
2041	\$32,347	\$29,164	
2042	\$32,509	\$29,078	
2043	\$32,672	\$28,991	
2044	\$32,835	\$28,905	
2045	\$32,999	\$28,819	
2046	\$33,164	\$28,733	
2047	\$33,330	\$28,647	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	NOTE:
0	\$0	\$0	This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which
0	\$0	\$0	accounts for inflation. No further discounting is necessary.
0	\$0	\$0	

#### **Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation**



Analys				
	Crash Severity	Crash Cost		
	K crashes	\$1,600,000	Link: mndot.gov/planning/program	/appendix_a.html
	A crashes	\$800,000		
	B crashes	\$250,000	Real Discount Rate: 0.8%	Default
	C crashes	\$130,000	Traffic Growth Rate: 0.5%	Revised
	PDO crashes	\$15,000	Project Service Life: 20 years	Revised
		1		

#### G. Annual Benefit

Crash Severity	<b>Crash Reduction</b>	Annual Reduction	Annual Benefit
K crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
A crashes	0.96	0.32	\$256,000
B crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
C crashes	0.96	0.32	\$41,600
PDO crashes	1.92	0.64	\$9,600
	-		\$307,200

Year	Crash Benefits	Present Value	
2028	\$307,200	\$307,200	Total = \$5,973,349
2029	\$308,736	\$306,286	
2030	\$310,280	\$305,374	
2031	\$311,831	\$304,465	
2032	\$313,390	\$303,559	
2033	\$314,957	\$302,656	
2034	\$316,532	\$301,755	
2035	\$318,115	\$300,857	
2036	\$319,705	\$299,961	
2037	\$321,304	\$299,069	
2038	\$322,910	\$298,179	
2039	\$324,525	\$297,291	
2040	\$326,147	\$296,406	
2041	\$327,778	\$295,524	
2042	\$329,417	\$294,645	
2043	\$331,064	\$293,768	
2044	\$332,719	\$292,893	
2045	\$334,383	\$292,022	
2046	\$336,055	\$291,153	
2047	\$337,735	\$290,286	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	NOTE:
0	\$0	\$0	This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which
0	\$0	\$0	accounts for inflation. No further discounting is necessary.
0	\$0	\$0	

#### **Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation**



Analys				
	Crash Severity	Crash Cost		
	K crashes	\$1,600,000	Link: mndot.gov/planning/program	/appendix_a.html
	A crashes	\$800,000		
	B crashes	\$250,000	Real Discount Rate: 0.8%	Default
	C crashes	\$130,000	Traffic Growth Rate: 0.5%	Revised
	PDO crashes	\$15,000	Project Service Life: 20 years	Revised
		1		

#### G. Annual Benefit

Crash Severity	<b>Crash Reduction</b>	Annual Reduction	Annual Benefit
K crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
A crashes	0.48	0.16	\$128,000
B crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
C crashes	1.44	0.48	\$62,400
PDO crashes	5.76	1.92	\$28,800
			\$219,200

Year	Crash Benefits	Present Value	
2028	\$219,200	\$219,200	Total = \$4,262,234
2029	\$220,296	\$218,548	
2030	\$221,397	\$217,897	
2031	\$222,504	\$217,249	
2032	\$223,617	\$216,602	
2033	\$224,735	\$215,957	
2034	\$225,859	\$215,315	
2035	\$226,988	\$214,674	
2036	\$228,123	\$214,035	
2037	\$229,264	\$213,398	
2038	\$230,410	\$212,763	
2039	\$231,562	\$212,130	
2040	\$232,720	\$211,498	
2041	\$233,883	\$210,869	
2042	\$235,053	\$210,241	
2043	\$236,228	\$209,616	
2044	\$237,409	\$208,992	
2045	\$238,596	\$208,370	
2046	\$239,789	\$207,750	
2047	\$240,988	\$207,131	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	NOTE:
0	\$0	\$0	This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which
0	\$0	\$0	accounts for inflation. No further discounting is necessary.
0	\$0	\$0	

#### **Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation**



Anarys				
	Crash Severity	Crash Cost		
	K crashes	\$1,600,000	Link: <u>mndot.gov/planning/program</u>	/appendix_a.html
	A crashes	\$800,000		
	B crashes	\$250,000	Real Discount Rate: 0.8%	Default
	C crashes	\$130,000	Traffic Growth Rate: 0.5%	Revised
	PDO crashes	\$15,000	Project Service Life: 20 years	Revised
	L			

#### G. Annual Benefit

Crash Severity	<b>Crash Reduction</b>	<b>Annual Reduction</b>	Annual Benefit
K crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
A crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
B crashes	0.48	0.16	\$40,000
C crashes	0.96	0.32	\$41,600
PDO crashes	7.20	2.40	\$36,000
			\$117,600

	Crash Benefits	Present Value	
<u>Year</u>	\$117,600	\$117,600	Total - \$2,296,672
2028			Total = \$2,286,673
2029	\$118,188 \$118,770	\$117,250 \$116,001	
2030	\$118,779	\$116,901 \$116 FF2	
2031	\$119,373	\$116,553	
2032	\$119,970	\$116,206	
2033	\$120,570	\$115,860	
2034	\$121,172	\$115,516	
2035	\$121,778	\$115,172	
2036	\$122,387	\$114,829	
2037	\$122,999	\$114,487	
2038	\$123,614	\$114,147	
2039	\$124,232	\$113,807	
2040	\$124,853	\$113,468	
2041	\$125,478	\$113,130	
2042	\$126,105	\$112,794	
2043	\$126,735	\$112,458	
2044	\$127,369	\$112,123	
2045	\$128,006	\$111,790	
2046	\$128,646	\$111,457	
2047	\$129,289	\$111,125	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	NOTE:
0	\$0	\$0	This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which
0	\$0	\$0	accounts for inflation. No further discounting is necessary.
0	\$0	\$0	
		Dogo & of J	

#### **Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation**



/ linary 5				
	Crash Severity	Crash Cost		
	K crashes	\$1,600,000	Link: <u>mndot.gov/planning/program</u> ,	/appendix_a.html
	A crashes	\$800,000		
	B crashes	\$250,000	Real Discount Rate: 0.8%	Default
	C crashes	\$130,000	Traffic Growth Rate: 0.5%	Revised
	PDO crashes	\$15,000	Project Service Life: 20 years	Revised

#### G. Annual Benefit

Crash Severity	<b>Crash Reduction</b>	Annual Reduction	Annual Benefit
K crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
A crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
B crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
C crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
PDO crashes	2.88	0.96	\$14,400
		· · ·	\$14,400

<u>Year</u>	Crash Benefits	Present Value	
2028	\$14,400	\$14,400	Total = \$280,001
2029	\$14,472	\$14,357	
2030	\$14,544	\$14,314	
2031	\$14,617	\$14,272	
2032	\$14,690	\$14,229	
2033	\$14,764	\$14,187	
2034	\$14,837	\$14,145	
2035	\$14,912	\$14,103	
2036	\$14,986	\$14,061	
2037	\$15,061	\$14,019	
2038	\$15,136	\$13,977	
2039	\$15,212	\$13,936	
2040	\$15,288	\$13,894	
2041	\$15,365	\$13,853	
2042	\$15,441	\$13,811	
2043	\$15,519	\$13,770	
2044	\$15,596	\$13,729	
2045	\$15,674	\$13,689	
2046	\$15,753	\$13,648	
2047	\$15,831	\$13,607	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	NOTE:
0	\$0	\$0	This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which
0	\$0	\$0	accounts for inflation. No further discounting is necessary.
0	\$0	\$0	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### **Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation**



·					
	Crash Severity	Crash Cost			
	K crashes	\$1,600,000	Link: mn	dot.gov/planning/program/apper	ndix_a.html
	A crashes	\$800,000			
	B crashes	\$250,000	Real Disco	unt Rate: 0.8%	Default
	C crashes	\$130,000	Traffic Grov	vth Rate: 0.5%	Revised
	PDO crashes	\$15,000	Project Ser	vice Life: 20 years	Revised
	<b>u</b>				

#### G. Annual Benefit

Crash Severity	<b>Crash Reduction</b>	Annual Reduction	Annual Benefit
K crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
A crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
B crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
C crashes	0.96	0.32	\$41,600
PDO crashes	1.92	0.64	\$9,600
		1 1	\$51,200

	Crash Benefits	Present Value	
<u>Year</u>	\$51,200	\$51,200	
2028		\$51,048	Total = \$995,559
2029	\$51,456 \$51,713		
2030		\$50,896 \$50,744	
2031	\$51,972 \$52,222	\$50,744	
2032	\$52,232	\$50,593	
2033	\$52,493 ¢52,755	\$50,443	
2034	\$52,755	\$50,292	
2035	\$53,019	\$50,143	
2036	\$53,284	\$49,994	
2037	\$53,551	\$49,845	
2038	\$53,818	\$49,696	
2039	\$54,087	\$49,549	
2040	\$54,358	\$49,401	
2041	\$54,630	\$49,254	
2042	\$54,903	\$49,107	
2043	\$55,177	\$48,961	
2044	\$55,453	\$48,816	
2045	\$55,731	\$48,670	
2046	\$56,009	\$48,525	
2047	\$56,289	\$48,381	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	NOTE:
0	\$0	\$0	This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which
0	\$0	\$0	accounts for inflation. No further discounting is necessary.
0	\$0	\$0	
		Dago 12 of	

#### **Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation**



/ linary 5				
	Crash Severity	Crash Cost		
	K crashes	\$1,600,000	Link: <u>mndot.gov/planning/program</u> ,	/appendix_a.html
	A crashes	\$800,000		
	B crashes	\$250,000	Real Discount Rate: 0.8%	Default
	C crashes	\$130,000	Traffic Growth Rate: 0.5%	Revised
	PDO crashes	\$15,000	Project Service Life: 20 years	Revised

#### G. Annual Benefit

Crash Severity	<b>Crash Reduction</b>	Annual Reduction	Annual Benefit
K crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
A crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
B crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
C crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
PDO crashes	3.84	1.28	\$19,200
		· ·	\$19,200

Year	Crash Benefits	Present Value	
2028	\$19,200	\$19,200	Total = \$373,335
2029	\$19,296	\$19,143	
2030	\$19,392	\$19,086	
2031	\$19,489	\$19,029	
2032	\$19,587	\$18,972	
2033	\$19,685	\$18,916	
2034	\$19,783	\$18,860	
2035	\$19,882	\$18,804	
2036	\$19,982	\$18,748	
2037	\$20,081	\$18,692	
2038	\$20,182	\$18,636	
2039	\$20,283	\$18,581	
2040	\$20,384	\$18,525	
2041	\$20,486	\$18,470	
2042	\$20,589	\$18,415	
2043	\$20,692	\$18,360	
2044	\$20,795	\$18,306	
2045	\$20,899	\$18,251	
2046	\$21,003	\$18,197	
2047	\$21,108	\$18,143	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	NOTE:
0	\$0	\$0	This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which
0	\$0	\$0	accounts for inflation. No further discounting is necessary.
0	\$0	\$0	

#### **Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation**



/ lilary 5				
	Crash Severity	Crash Cost		
	K crashes	\$1,600,000	Link: mndot.gov/planning/program	n/appendix_a.html
	A crashes	\$800,000		
	B crashes	\$250,000	Real Discount Rate: 0.8%	Default
	C crashes	\$130,000	Traffic Growth Rate: 0.5%	Revised
	PDO crashes	\$15,000	Project Service Life: 20 years	Revised

#### G. Annual Benefit

Crash Severity	<b>Crash Reduction</b>	Annual Reduction	Annual Benefit
K crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
A crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
B crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
C crashes	0.96	0.32	\$41,600
PDO crashes	2.88	0.96	\$14,400
		· · · · · ·	\$56,000

	zeu benent		
<u>Year</u>	Crash Benefits	Present Value	
2028	\$56,000	\$56,000	Total = \$1,088,892
2029	\$56,280	\$55,833	
2030	\$56,561	\$55,667	
2031	\$56,844	\$55,501	
2032	\$57,128	\$55,336	
2033	\$57,414	\$55,172	
2034	\$57,701	\$55,007	
2035	\$57,990	\$54,844	
2036	\$58,280	\$54,680	
2037	\$58,571	\$54,518	
2038	\$58,864	\$54,355	
2039	\$59,158	\$54,194	
2040	\$59,454	\$54,032	
2041	\$59,751	\$53,872	
2042	\$60,050	\$53,711	
2043	\$60,350	\$53,551	
2044	\$60,652	\$53,392	
2045	\$60,955	\$53,233	
2046	\$61,260	\$53,075	
2047	\$61,566	\$52,917	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	NOTE:
0	\$0	\$0	This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which
0	\$0	\$0	accounts for inflation. No further discounting is necessary.
0	\$0	\$0	
		Page 16 of 2	

#### **Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation**



/ linary 5				
	Crash Severity	Crash Cost		
	K crashes	\$1,600,000	Link: <u>mndot.gov/planning/program/</u>	<u>appendix_a.html</u>
	A crashes	\$800,000		
	B crashes	\$250,000	Real Discount Rate: 0.8%	Default
	C crashes	\$130,000	Traffic Growth Rate: 0.5%	Revised
	PDO crashes	\$15,000	Project Service Life: 20 years	Revised

#### G. Annual Benefit

Crash Severity	<b>Crash Reduction</b>	Annual Reduction	Annual Benefit
K crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
A crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
B crashes	0.96	0.32	\$80,000
C crashes	1.44	0.48	\$62,400
PDO crashes	4.32	1.44	\$21,600
			\$164,000

Year	Crash Benefits	Present Value	
2028	\$164,000	\$164,000	Total = \$3,188,898
2029	\$164,820	\$163,512	
2030	\$165,644	\$163,025	
2031	\$166,472	\$162,540	
2032	\$167,305	\$162,056	
2033	\$168,141	\$161,574	
2034	\$168,982	\$161,093	
2035	\$169,827	\$160,614	
2036	\$170,676	\$160,136	
2037	\$171,529	\$159,659	
2038	\$172,387	\$159,184	
2039	\$173,249	\$158,710	
2040	\$174,115	\$158,238	
2041	\$174,986	\$157,767	
2042	\$175,861	\$157,297	
2043	\$176,740	\$156,829	
2044	\$177,624	\$156,362	
2045	\$178,512	\$155,897	
2046	\$179,404	\$155,433	
2047	\$180,301	\$154,970	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	NOTE:
0	\$0	\$0	This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which
0	\$0	\$0	accounts for inflation. No further discounting is necessary.
0	\$0	\$0	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### **Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation**



Analys				
	Crash Severity	Crash Cost		
	K crashes	\$1,600,000	Link: mndot.gov/planning/program	/appendix_a.html
	A crashes	\$800,000	_	
	B crashes	\$250,000	Real Discount Rate: 0.8%	Default
	C crashes	\$130,000	Traffic Growth Rate: 0.5%	Revised
	PDO crashes	\$15,000	Project Service Life: 20 years	Revised
	L	1. 1.		

#### G. Annual Benefit

Crash Severity	<b>Crash Reduction</b>	Annual Reduction	Annual Benefit
K crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
A crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
B crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
C crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
PDO crashes	0.00	0.00	\$0
			\$0

H. Amortize	ea benefit		
<u>Year</u>	Crash Benefits	Present Value	
2028	\$0	\$O	Total = \$0
2029	\$0	\$O	
2030	\$0	\$O	
2031	\$0	\$O	
2032	\$0	\$O	
2033	\$0	\$O	
2034	\$0	\$O	
2035	\$0	\$O	
2036	\$0	\$O	
2037	\$0	\$O	
2038	\$0	\$O	
2039	\$0	\$O	
2040	\$0	\$O	
2041	\$0	\$0	
2042	\$0	\$0	
2043	\$0	\$0	
2044	\$0	\$0	
2045	\$0	\$0	
2046	\$0	\$0	
2047	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	
0	\$0	\$0	NOTE:
0	\$0	\$0	This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which
0	\$0	\$0	accounts for inflation. No further discounting is necessary.
0	\$0	\$0	







HENNEPIN COUNTY

### 





AVE

LOUISIANA













HENNEPIN COUNTY









#### CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 00 | List of Attachments

- 1. Project Narrative
- 2. Project Location Map
- 3. Existing Condition Photos
- 4. Potential Typical Sections
- 5. Potential Concept
- 6. Hennepin County 2024-2028 Transportation CIP
- 7. Hennepin County Enhanced Bikeway Network Study Maps
- 8. 2040 Forecast Traffic Volumes
- 9. Community Engagement Summary
- 10. Disadvantaged Communities and Resources Map
- 11. Affordable Housing Access Map and Detail Summary
- 12. Hennepin County Streetlight Analysis
- 13. Crash Map and Detail Listing
- 14. Crash Modification Factors
- 15. Multimodal Connections Map
- 16. City of St. Louis Park Support Letter
- 17. Three Rivers Park District Support Letter
Attachment 01 | Project Narrative

#### **Project Name**

CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Boulevard) Reconstruction Project

City(ies)

St. Louis Park

Commisioner District(s)

3

Capital Project Number 2168000

Scoping Manager James Weatherly Project Category Roadway Reconstruction Scoping Form Revision Dates 11/29/2023

#### **Project Summary**

Reconstruct Minnetonka Boulevard (CSAH 5) from Xylon Avenue to Vernon Avenue in the City of St. Louis Park.

#### **Roadway History**

The existing roadway (last reconstructed in the 1950's) is nearing the end of its useful life and warrants replacement. Routine maintenance activities are no longer cost effective in preserving assets. The current roadway consists of a 2-lane undivided configuration, with turn lanes at key intersections, and an on-street bicycle facility. Although sidewalks are provided along both sides of the roadway, they do not provide a positive user experience. Many intersections include ADA accommodations that do not meet current design requirements, causing challenges for people with limited mobility. Minnetonka Boulevard (CSAH 5) serves as a Tier 1 Regional Bike Transportation Network (RBTN) corridor and provides access to the North Cedar Lake Regional Trail, another Tier 1 RBTN alignment, as well as several other north/west bicycle facilities which connect to future Green Line Extension light rail stations.

#### **Project Description and Benefits**

The proposed project will include new pavement, curb, storm water utilities, sidewalk, ADA accommodations, and traffic signals. It is anticipated that proven traffic calming strategies (such as raised medians, curb extensions, and streetscaping) will be introduced to improve the crossing experiences for people walking and to manage vehicle speeds. Of specific note, is consideration for a continuous raised median to improve safety through access managemnet. Also, each of the signalized intersections within the project area will be evaluated to determine the recommended intersection control device, including consideration for roundabout control. In addition, further investigation will take place as part of the design process to determine the feasibility of dedicated accommodations for people biking as part of this project.

#### **Project Risks & Uncertainities**

Introduction of roundabouts at locations currently operating under signalized control will likely have right of way impacts. In addition, the desired adjustments to the vertical curve present at Texas Avenue will require significant changes to the surrounding topography.



#### Initial Project Timeline

Scoping:	Q3 2022 - Q4 2024
Design:	Q1 2025 - Q4 2027
R/W Acquisition:	Q1 2026 - Q4 2027
Bid Advertisement:	Q1 2028
Construction:	Q2 2028 - Q4 2029

#### Project Delivery Responsibilities

Preliminary Design: Final Design: Construction Services: Consultant Consultant Hennepin County

Project Budget -	Project Level
Construction:	\$ 16,000,000
Cost Estimate Year:	2023
Construction Year:	2028
Annual Inflation Rate:	2.0%
Inflated Construction:	\$ 17,670,000
Design Services:	\$ 3,530,000
R/W Acquisition:	\$ 3,190,000
Other (Utility Burial):	\$ -
Construction Services:	\$ -
Contingency:	\$ 5,300,000
Total Project Budget:	\$ 29,690,000

#### Funding Notes

Eligible for federal funding through the Metropolitan Council's Regional Solicitation given the function classification of A-Minor Reliever.

#### HENNEPIN COUNTY MINNESOTA

Attachment 01 | Project Location Map



0.5

Miles

**Disclaimer:** This map (i) is furnished "AS IS" with no representation as to completeness or accuracy; (ii) is furnished with no warranty of any kind; and (iii) is not suitable for legal, engineering or surveying purposes. Hennepin County shall not be liable for any damage, injury or loss resulting from this map.

Attachment 03 | Existing Condition Photos



Many sections of the existing roadway, sidewalk and gutter pan are experiencing significant cracking and are in generally poor condition, as demonstrated above.



Existing stormwater infrastructure including curb and gutter are deteriorated, leading to areas of localized flooding issues.

Hennepin County Public Works 1600 Prairie Drive, Medina, MN 55340 612-596-0300 | hennepin.us



Existing bike infrastructure pictured above east of the Dakota Ave intersection.



Existing on-street bike infrastructure does not accommodate all ages and abilities, and sidewalks are at back of curb in some locations.



Attachment 03 | Existing Condition Photos



Intersection of Dakota Ave and Minnetonka Blvd (CSAH 5) pictured above.



Many intersections along Minnetonka Blvd (CSAH 5) like Dakota Ave and Minnetonka Blvd (CSAH 5) lack ADA compliant pedestrian ramps.



Limited Crossing enhancements create an uncomfortable experience for people walking and rolling at unsignalized intersections.



Attachment 04 | Potential Typical Sections



Figure 1 | Potential typical section along CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) from Xylon Ave to Virginia Ave



Figure 2 | Potential typical section along CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) from Virginia Ave to Vernon Ave







HENNEPIN COUNTY

## 





AVE

LOUISIANA













HENNEPIN COUNTY









#### Attachment 06 | Hennepin County 2024-2028 Transportation CIP APITAL BUDGET AND 2024-2028 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Project Name:	2168000 CSAH 5 - Reconst Mntka Blvd fr Xylon to Vernon Ave	Funding Start:	2025
Major Program:	Public Works	Funding Completion:	2028
Department:	Transportation Roads & Bridges		

#### Summary:

Reconstruct Minnetonka Boulevard (CSAH 5) from Xylon Avenue to Vernon Avenue in the City of St. Louis Park.

#### Purpose & Description:

The existing roadway (last reconstructed in 1964) is nearing the end of its useful life and warrants replacement. Routine maintenance activities are no longer cost effective in preserving assets. The roadway was originally constructed as concrete pavement that has since received bituminous overlays over its concrete surface. These conditions are undesirable as they result in premature cracking in the surface at the pre-existing joints. Sidewalks exist on both sides of the roadway, separated by a boulevard, that provide a relatively comfortable experience for people walking along Minnetonka Boulevard (CSAH 5). However, crossing Minnetonka Boulevard (CSAH 5) is often challenging as the corridor lacks Complete & Green Streets design strategies such as curb extensions, raised medians, and crossing beacons. Also, many intersections do not satisfy current ADA design requirements, presenting challenges for people with limited mobility, especially at signalized intersections. Furthermore, on-road bicycle lanes are provided for peolple biking; however, they currently lack physical separation between people driving.

The City of St. Louis Park has indicated that existing water utilities are in relatively poor condition within the project limits, reporting two relatively significant watermain breaks that occurred in 2022 that created hardships for nearby property owners. In response, the city has demonstrated an interest to replace its water utilities in conjunction with a roadway reconstruction project to reduce impacts to users. In addition, the city has expressed interest in exploring intersection design options at Texas Avenue, Louisiana Avenue, and Dakota Avenue to improve mobility, safety, and accessibility for multimodal users.

The proposed project is anticipated to include new assets, including pavement, curb, storm water structures, sidewalk facilities, and traffic signals. The future roadway configuration will be determined as part of the project development process based on community engagement, data analysis, and environmental review. Complete and Green Streets strategies (such as curb extensions, raised medians, and streetscaping), will also be considered to benefit people walking, using transit, and biking along and across Minnetonka Boulevard (CSAH 5). The proposed project is Phase 2 (of 3) for improvements along Minnetonka Boulevard (CSAH 5), occurring after the completion of Capital Project CP 2168100.

Sonk Belling Cedartake 8 d	
28th-St-W ST LOUIS 28th-St-W PARK	
Aque Aque Aque Aque Aque Aque Aque Aque	25
2 36th-St.W Walker St. 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Ζ

REVENUE	Budget To-Date	Act & Enc	Balance	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	Future	Total
Federal - Other - Roads								5,600,000	1,400,000	7,000,000
Mn/DOT State Aid - Regular					2,000,000	1,453,000	300,000	3,160,000	6,552,000	13,465,000
St Louis Park						117,000	270,000	690,000	1,638,000	2,715,000
Total					2,000,000	1,570,000	570,000	9,450,000	9,590,000	23,180,000
EXPENSE	Budget To-Date	Act & Enc	Balance	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	Future	Total
Right of Way						130,000	520,000			650,000
Construction								7,000,000	7,650,000	14,650,000
Consulting					1,750,000	1,180,000				2,930,000
Contingency					250,000	260,000	50,000	2,450,000	1,940,000	4,950,000
Total					2,000,000	1,570,000	570,000	9,450,000	9,590,000	23,180,000

## Attachment 06 | Hennepin County 2024-2028 Transportation CIP BUARD APPROVED: 2024 CAPITAL BUDGET AND 2024-2028 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Major Program: Public V		nst Mntka Blvd fr Xylon ridges	to Vernon Ave				Funding Start:2025Funding Completion:2028				
Current Year's CIP Proc	ess Summary	Budget To-Date	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	Future	Total		
Department Requested				2,000,000	1,570,000	570,000	9,450,000	9,590,000	23,180,000		
Administrator Proposed				2,000,000	1,570,000	570,000	9,450,000	9,590,000	23,180,000		
CBTF Recommended				2,000,000	1,570,000	570,000	9,450,000	9,590,000	23,180,000		
Board Approved Final				2,000,000	1,570,000	570,000	9,450,000	9,590,000	23,180,000		
Scheduling Milestones (r	najor phases onl	y):		Board Resolut	ions / Suppleme	ntal Information:					
Bid Advertisement C Construction C	n work is required to artment staff or annu- ry <b>Priorities:</b> ities in the transporta is accessible conner a Boulevard (CSAH st	al operating costs. ation domain and vehicle ctions for those walking, 5). In addition, green str	e miles traveled biking, and	-							
Changes from Prior CIP: • This is a new project re Transportation CIP to r Avenue to Vernon Aven	econstruct Minneton	ka Boulevard (CSAH 5)									
Last Year's CIP Proce	ss Summary	Budget To-Date	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	Future	Total		
Department Requested											
Administrator Proposed											
CBTF Recommended											

#### HENNEPIN COUNTY MINNESOTA

Attachment 07 | Hennepin County Enhanced Bikeway Study Maps

0



#### HENNEPIN COUNTY minnesota

Attachment 07 | Hennepin County Enhanced Bikeway Study Maps



16

Miles

8



Equity and demand scores were calculated by summing scores using three criteria: areas of concentrated poverty, population density, percentage of households with no vehicle. Highly-scored areas should get more investment consideration based on these measures.

Area of concentrated poverty: Yes=20, No=0 \*Population density: 20,15,10,5,0 \*Households with no vehicle: 20,15,10,5,0

\*These criteria were grouped into five categories and scored using the natural breaks classification scheme

Source: Metropolitan Council, 2012-2016 American Community Survey

## Hennepin County Public Works

#### Envisioned roadway system and right-of-way needs Transportation Planning | Hennepin County Public Works

HENNEPIN COUNTY MINNESOTA

Hennepin



Disclaimer: This map (i) is furnished "AS IS" with no representation as to completeness or accuracy; (ii) is furnished with no warranty of any kind; and (iii) is not suitable for legal, engineering or surveying purposes. Hennepin County shall not be liable for any damage, injury or loss resulting from this map.

Publication date: 7/13/2023

Data sources: SRF Consulting, Hennepin County Transportation Planning

## Minnetonka Boulevard reconstruction

## We heard you!

Hennepin County is reevaluating the current use and design of Minnetonka Boulevard between Highway 100 and France Avenue, and is developing a plan for the corridor to better serve current and future users. From May 2021-September 2021, the project team went out into the community, posted signage and decals, sent out a mailing, and offered an online commenting map to hear from as many stakeholders as possible. This report summarizes all the feedback we received during this phase of engagement.

## At a glance



### Next steps

The project team will use the input gathered from the public and begin to create different improvement options for the project corridor. These improvements will be shared online at **hennepin.us/minnetonka-boulevard** in early 2022.

## Contact us

Jason Staebell, P.E., project engineer for design jason.staebell@hennepin.us Phone: 612-596-0371

## Survey results

Minnetonka Boulevard reconstruction

## Summer 2021 survey

In June, we mailed out a survey to residents and businesses within approximately two blocks of the project area. The survey was also available to take online.



#### **Engagement timeline**





In May 2021, we launched an online comment map that allowed people to provide location-specific feedback on ideas and opportunities (shown as purple icons with a lightbulb), concerns (shown as orange icons with a safety triangle), or general comments (shown as yellow icons with a speech bubble). Folks were given the option of adding new comments or replying to existing comments. People could also participate by "liking" or "disliking" comments. **221 comments were received and 147 replies were made.** 

### Main themes at key areas



### **Common themes**



Desire for safer crossings and sidewalks



Speeding is a concern



Many areas have sightline issues



Traffic flow issues need resolving



Prioritize pedestrian and bicycle safety

## Parktacular

We hosted a booth at the St. Louis Park Parktacular community event on June 19, 2021. Around 100 people of all ages participated in two interactive activities to provide their feedback for the project.

### Improvement type preferences mason jar activity

The voting exercise invited participants to place colorful pompoms in jars corresponding with several potential improvements to Minnetonka Boulevard, to indicate which ones they would like to see the most.



## Visual preference board activity summary

The visual preference board was designed to collect input on concepts for improvements to Minnetonka Boulevard. People were asked to place green stickers on things that they liked, and orange stickers on things they did not.



We hosted a booth at the St. Louis Park Skateapalooza community event on July 27, 2021. Around 50 people of all ages participated in two interactive activities to provide their feedback for the project.

## Improvement type preferences mason jar activity

The voting exercise invited participants to place colorful pompoms in jars corresponding with several potential improvements to Minnetonka Boulevard, to indicate which ones they would like to see the most.



## Visual preference board activity summary

The visual preference board was designed to collect input on concepts for improvements to Minnetonka Boulevard. People were asked to place green stickers on things that they liked, and orange stickers on things they did not.



## Outdoor signage and sidewalk decals

#### CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

## **Outdoor signage**

Attachment 09 | Community Engagement Summary

Outdoor signs, which included a brief summary of the project, a text-to-vote activity, and a way to stay informed, were placed outside of city hall and along the corridor near the Minnetonka Boulevard and Highway 100 intersection. The signs provided an innovative way to engage with folks who have little to no access to the Internet.



Outdoor sign design

Outdoor sign in front of city hall

## Sidewalk decals

Similar to the outdoor signage, sidewalk decals were placed along the corridor at intersections with pedestrian crossings and/or stop lights to notify interested parties about the project and engage with them outside of the traditional online and in-person methods.





Sidewalk decal at pedestrian crossing across from city hall

## Minnetonka Boulevard reconstruction

## We heard you!

In winter of 2021-22, we introduced two potential roadway concepts for the project. We created an online input experience that allowed folks to learn more about the roadway concepts, rank how they felt about them, and provide location-specific comments as well as general feedback. We also distributed paper copies of the ranking survey and flyers to local businesses and organizations, held an open house on April 26 and attended Ecotacular on June 18. Between the feedback submitted via comment cards, the online comment map, the survey, and in person conversation, we received over 440 comments.

## At a glance

Phase 2<br/>December 2021 - June 2022~330<br/>online comments/reactions~110<br/>in person commentsConcept A

- 3-lane roadway
- Multi-use trail on both sides
- Boulevards on both sides

- 3-lane roadway
- Sidewalks on both sides
- Boulevards on both sides
- Two-way raised bike lane on north side









Attachment 09 | Community Engagement Summary

## **Comment summary**

## Main themes





Prioritize additional green space

Concern with buses blocking traffic



General support for 4-3 lane conversion

Concept B



Prioritize pedestrian and bicycle safety



#### Results

43%	28%	29%
Support	Neutral	Oppose

#### Top comment themes

- Strong support for additional green space
- Support for 4-3 lane conversion
- Appreciate that this design requires less pedestrian and bicycle crossing
- Desire for additional safety measures for pedestrians and bikers (putting flashing lights at some intersections or adding crosswalks)
- Concern with buses blocking traffic
- Some desire to look at alternatives to traditional asphalt/concrete roads
- Some access concerns

#### Results

33%	16%	51%	
Support	Neutral		Oppose

#### Top comment themes

- Support for 4-3 lane conversion
- Concern with buses blocking traffic
- Support for the two-way raised bike lane
- Concern with less green space
- Desire for additional safety measures for pedestrians and bikers (putting flashing lights at some intersections or adding crosswalks)
- Appreciate that this design separates bicycle and pedestrian traffic
- Desire to have a turn in spot for buses
- Some concern about traffic backups

To stay updated on project progress, visit the website at: **hennepin.us/minnetonka-boulevard** 



Attachment 10 | Disadvantaged Communities and Resources Map



0.5

Miles

**Disclaimer:** This map (i) is furnished "AS IS" with no representation as to completeness or accuracy; (ii) is furnished with no warranty of any kind; and (iii) is not suitable for legal, engineering or surveying purposes. Hennepin County shall not be liable for any damage, injury or loss resulting from this map.

Attachment 11 | Affordable Housing Access Map and Detail Summary



0.5

Miles

**Disclaimer:** This map (i) is furnished "AS IS" with no representation as to completeness or accuracy; (ii) is furnished with no warranty of any kind; and (iii) is not suitable for legal, engineering or surveying purposes. Hennepin County shall not be liable for any damage, injury or loss resulting from this map.

Attachment 11 | Affordable Housing Access Map and Detail Summary

Property ID	Property Name	<b>Total Units</b>	Affordable Units	30% AMI	50% AMI	60% AMI	0 BR	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR
3248	Menorah Plaza	155	155	155	0	0	12	134	9	0	0
3301	Oak Park Village Apts	100	100	100	0	0	0	27	45	28	0
3962	Perspectives, Inc.	32	30	30	0	0	0	4	12	4	0
4849	Perspectives East	36	36	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0
15742	Volo at Texas Tonka	112	23	0	23	0	7	12	4	0	0

Attachment 12 | Hennepin County Streetlight Analysis

Type of Travel	Zone Name	Truck - StL Truck	HCAADT to Index	Estimated
Type of Travel	zone Name	Index	Ratio	HCAADT
Commercial	CSAH 005 & E of Louisiana Ave	2058	0.2910	600
Commercial	CSAH 023 & N of 28th Ave NE	11578	0.2910	3350
Commercial	CSAH 030 & W of Jefferson Hwy	1658	0.2910	485
Commercial	CSAH 152 & S of 36th St E	5993	0.2910	1750
Commercial	CSAH 153 & W of Stinson Pkwy	2512	0.2910	730

Example calculation: 2058\*0.2910=600

Type of Travel	Zone Name	Truck - StL Truck Index	2021 HCAADT	HCAADT to Index Ratio
Commercial	H019	1383	270	0.1952
Commercial	H045	14065	2950	0.2097
Commercial	H052	6363	2750	0.4322
Commercial	H118	1182	330	0.2792
Commercial	H120	9342	750	0.0803
Commercial	H146	3240	770	0.2377
Commercial	H250	6116	500	0.0818
Commercial	H251	4374	2050	0.4687
Commercial	H302	28750	3250	0.1130
Commercial	H313	4876	1300	0.2666
Commercial	H315	3686	920	0.2496
Commercial	H404	1756	890	0.5068
Commercial	H443	5276	2850	0.5402
Commercial	H488	1173	225	0.1918
Commercial	H543	2906	960	0.3304
Commercial	H570	5202	2700	0.5190
Commercial	H571	11759	1450	0.1233
Commercial	H610	10808	4100	0.3793
Commercial	H637	6878	1600	0.2326
Commercial	H649	2398	600	0.2502
Commercial	H745	8290	3350	0.4041
Commercial	H766	3945	1800	0.4563
Commercial	H807	13019	1900	0.1459

Average ratio

0.2910

Attachment 13 Crash Map and Detail Listing



0.5

1 Miles

**Disclaimer:** This map (i) is furnished "AS IS" with no representation as to completeness or accuracy; (ii) is furnished with no warranty of any kind; and (iii) is not suitable for legal, engineering or surveying purposes. Hennepin County shall not be liable for any damage, injury or loss resulting from this map.



Attachment 13 | Crash Map and Detail Listing

#### Segment A | From Xylon Ave to Texas Ave

Incident	Deeduuru	Mandh	Davi	V	Basic	Constitut	Number	Number	1 - 44 - 1 -	Lawaltada
ID	Roadway	Month	Day	Year	Туре	Severity	K's	of Veh	Latitude	Longitude
01031053	MINNETONKA BLVD	6-Jun	28	2022	Bike	Minor Injury	0	2	44.94945	-93.38586
01063614	MINNETONKA BLVD	12-Dec	6	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94945	-93.38586
01062821	MINNETONKA BLVD	12-Dec	1	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94944	-93.38203
00823035	MINNETONKA BLVD	7-Jul	31	2020	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94943	-93.38187
00839992	MINNETONKA BLVD	9-Sep	9	2020	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94943	-93.38189
01023671	MINNETONKA BLVD	5-May	19	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	4	44.94942	-93.38143
00987382	XYLON AVE S	1-Jan	7	2022	Single Vehicle Run Off Road	Property Damage Only	0	1	44.94944	-93.38586
00871472	UTAH AVE S	12-Dec	28	2020	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94940	-93.38197
	Subtotal:	8								

#### Intersection B | At Texas Ave

Incident ID	Roadway	Month	Day	Year	Basic Type	Severity	Number K's	Number of Veh	Latitude	Longitude
00782386	MINNETONKA BLVD	1-Jan	22	2020	Left Turn	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94941	-93.38089
01005287	MINNETONKA BLVD	2-Feb	10	2022	Angle	Possible Injury	0	3	44.94941	-93.38090
01010994	MINNETONKA BLVD	3-Mar	5	2022	Angle	Serious Injury	0	2	44.94941	-93.38089
00904581	MINNETONKA BLVD	5-May	7	2021	Angle	Serious Injury	0	2	44.94941	-93.38088
01038014	MINNETONKA BLVD	8-Aug	3	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	3	44.94941	-93.38079
00871356	TEXAS AVE S	12-Dec	28	2020	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94925	-93.38090
00935858	TEXAS AVE S	8-Aug	22	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94941	-93.38090
00932244	TEXAS AVE S	8-Aug	4	2021	Rear End	Possible Injury	0	2	44.94943	-93.38090
	Subtotal:	8								

#### Segment C | From Texas Ave to Louisiana Ave

Incident	Deeduuru	Mandh	Davi	V	Basic	Gaussita	Number	Number	Latterda	Landtuda
ID	Roadway	Month	Day	Year	Туре	Severity	K's	of Veh	Latitude	Longitude
01048924	MINNETONKA BLVD	9-Sep	30	2022	Single Vehicle Run Off Road	Possible Injury	0	1	44.94945	-93.37900
00972422	MINNETONKA BLVD	11-Nov	9	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94945	-93.37846
00909696	MINNETONKA BLVD	6-Jun	3	2021	Left Turn	Serious Injury	0	2	44.94946	-93.37834
00847919	MINNETONKA BLVD	10-Oct	20	2020	Single Vehicle Run Off Road	Property Damage Only	0	1	44.94946	-93.37817
00968941	MINNETONKA BLVD	10-Oct	24	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94948	-93.37741
01032119	MINNETONKA BLVD	7-Jul	4	2022	Rear End	Possible Injury	0	2	44.94949	-93.37703
01038573	MINNETONKA BLVD	8-Aug	7	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94949	-93.37644
00838212	MINNETONKA BLVD	8-Aug	31	2020	Single Vehicle Run Off Road	Property Damage Only	0	1	44.94950	-93.37595
01017780	MINNETONKA BLVD	4-Apr	15	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	3	44.94950	-93.37590
01002065	MINNETONKA BLVD	1-Jan	26	2022	Single Vehicle Run Off Road	Property Damage Only	0	1	44.94950	-93.37381
00975651	MINNETONKA BLVD	11-Nov	24	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94950	-93.37340
01028406	MINNETONKA BLVD	6-Jun	13	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94951	-93.37254
00907890	MINNETONKA BLVD	5-May	25	2021	Rear End	Possible Injury	0	2	44.94951	-93.37240
00944378	RHODE ISLAND AVE S	10-Oct	2	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94948	-93.37833
00912259	OREGON AVE S	6-Jun	15	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94949	-93.37460
00798915	NOT ON ROADWAY	2-Feb	18	2020	Single Vehicle Run Off Road	Property Damage Only	0	1	44.94962	-93.37757
	Subtotal:	16								

Attachment 13 | Crash Map and Detail Listing

#### Intersection D | At Louisiana Ave

Incident	Readway	Month	Dav	Year	Basic	Soverity	Number	Number	Latituda	Longitudo
ID	Roadway	Month	Day	rear	Туре	Severity	K's	of Veh	Latitude	Longitude
00778288	MINNETONKA BLVD	1-Jan	10	2020	Sideswipe Same Direction	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94954	-93.37090
00893770	MINNETONKA BLVD	3-Mar	1	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94954	-93.37088
00885940	MINNETONKA BLVD	1-Jan	22	2021	Angle	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94954	-93.37085
01035695	MINNETONKA BLVD	7-Jul	23	2022	Angle	Possible Injury	0	2	44.94954	-93.37084
01070001	MINNETONKA BLVD	12-Dec	25	2022	Angle	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94954	-93.37084
00848118	MINNETONKA BLVD	10-Oct	20	2020	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94954	-93.37082
01055944	MINNETONKA BLVD	11-Nov	5	2022	Sideswipe Same Direction	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94954	-93.37067
00818186	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	7-Jul	6	2020	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94938	-93.37084
00811583	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	5-May	25	2020	Left Turn	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94949	-93.37084
00800539	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	2-Feb	24	2020	Left Turn	Possible Injury	0	3	44.94950	-93.37084
00901302	LOUISIANA AVENUE S / MINNETONKA BLVD	4-Apr	18	2021	Angle	Minor Injury	0	2	44.94950	-93.37084
01029022	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	6-Jun	11	2022	Left Turn	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94949	-93.37084
01044582	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	9-Sep	8	2022	Angle	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94957	-93.37085
00886087	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	1-Jan	23	2021	Sideswipe Opposing	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94959	-93.37085
01070200	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	12-Dec	21	2022	Left Turn	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94958	-93.37085
00785460	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	2-Feb	4	2020	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94960	-93.37085
00862761	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	11-Nov	11	2020	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94961	-93.37085
00849201	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	10-Oct	5	2020	Sideswipe Same Direction	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94978	-93.37085
	Subtotal:	18								

#### Segment E | From Louisiana Ave to Hampshire Ave

Incident	Roadway	Month	Day	Year	Basic	Severity	Number	Number	Latitude	Longitude
ID	Roadway	WOIT	Day	Tear	Туре	Seventy	K's	of Veh	Latitude	Longitude
00897805	MINNETONKA BLVD	3-Mar	26	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94954	-93.37084
01040847	MINNETONKA BLVD	8-Aug	20	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94956	-93.36927
00890700	MINNETONKA BLVD	2-Feb	15	2021	Head On	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94957	-93.36887
00890113	MINNETONKA BLVD	2-Feb	12	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94957	-93.36698
01011097	MINNETONKA BLVD	3-Mar	6	2022	Angle	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94956	-93.36628
00801044	IDAHO AVE S	2-Feb	27	2020	Angle	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94953	-93.36695
	Subtotal:	6								

#### Intersection F | At Hampshire Ave

Incident	Roadway	Month	Day	Year	Basic	Severity	Number	Number	Latitude	Longitude
ID	nouunuy	montai	Duy	. cui	Туре	beventy	K's	of Veh	Lutituue	Longitude
00900312	MINNETONKA BLVD	4-Apr	12	2021	Angle	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94956	-93.36581
01068663	MINNETONKA BLVD	12-Dec	22	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94956	-93.36580
01034601	MINNETONKA BLVD	7-Jul	18	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94956	-93.36570
01067236	MINNETONKA BLVD	12-Dec	19	2022	Rear End	Possible Injury	0	2	44.94956	-93.36570
00786524	MINNETONKA BLVD	2-Feb	9	2020	Sideswipe - Opposite Direction	Possible Injury	0	2	44.94956	-93.36553
01030235	HAMPSHIRE AVE S	6-Jun	23	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94956	-93.36569
	Subtotal:	6								

#### Segment G | From Hampshire Ave to Dakota Ave

Incident	Roadway	Month	Day	Year	Basic	Severity	Number	Number	Latitude	Longitude
ID	KOduway	wonth	Day	rear	Туре	Seventy	K's	of Veh	Latitude	Longitude
01021270	MINNETONKA BLVD	5-May	6	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	3	44.94957	-93.36458
01055155	MINNETONKA BLVD	11-Nov	1	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94957	-93.36446
01049594	MINNETONKA BLVD	10-Oct	4	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	3	44.94958	-93.36416
00968121	MINNETONKA BLVD	10-Oct	20	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94961	-93.36216
00817191	MINNETONKA BLVD	6-Jun	30	2020	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94961	-93.36187
00871060	MINNETONKA BLVD	12-Dec	27	2020	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94961	-93.36116
00936178	MINNETONKA BLVD	8-Aug	24	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94962	-93.36084
01040063	MINNETONKA BLVD	8-Aug	16	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94962	-93.36064
	Subtotal:	8								

Attachment 13 | Crash Map and Detail Listing

#### Intersection H | At Dakota Ave

Incident	Deadaras	Mandh	Devi	V	Basic	Constitut	Number	Number	Latituda	Louistado
ID	Roadway	Month	Day	Year	Туре	Severity	K's	of Veh	Latitude	Longitude
00970122	MINNETONKA BLVD	10-Oct	29	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94962	-93.36060
01051564	MINNETONKA BLVD	10-Oct	14	2022	Angle	Possible Injury	0	3	44.94962	-93.36060
01016647	MINNETONKA BLVD	4-Apr	8	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94962	-93.36055
01050457	MINNETONKA BLVD	10-Oct	8	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94961	-93.36036
00971654	MINNETONKA BLVD	11-Nov	3	2021	Rear End	Possible Injury	0	2	44.94960	-93.35991
00810876	DAKOTA AVE S	5-May	19	2020	Pedestrian	Property Damage Only	0	1	44.94932	-93.36059
01040962	DAKOTA AVE S	8-Aug	21	2022	Left Turn	Possible Injury	0	2	44.94962	-93.36060
00890103	DAKOTA AVE S	2-Feb	12	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94962	-93.36060
	Subtotal:	8								

#### Segment I | From Dakota Ave to Vernon Ave

Incident	Roadway	Month	Dav	Year	Basic	Severity	Number	Number	Latitude	Longitude
ID	KOduway	wonth	Day	rear	Туре	Seventy	K's	of Veh	Latitude	Longitude
01065441	MINNETONKA BLVD	12-Dec	14	2022	Rear End	Possible Injury	0	3	44.94958	-93.35936
01070682	MINNETONKA BLVD	12-Dec	27	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94951	-93.35685
01026360	MINNETONKA BLVD	6-Jun	3	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94949	-93.35563
01066284	MINNETONKA BLVD	12-Dec	16	2022	Angle	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94949	-93.35563
00930765	MINNETONKA BLVD	7-Jul	27	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	5	44.94949	-93.35560
00987251	MINNETONKA BLVD	1-Jan	7	2022	Angle	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94947	-93.35447
00817865	MINNETONKA BLVD	7-Jul	4	2020	Single Vehicle Run Off Road	Minor Injury	0	1	44.94925	-93.35142
00872712	MINNETONKA BLVD	1-Jan	4	2021	Sideswipe Opposing	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94895	-93.35055
00865195	MINNETONKA BLVD	11-Nov	25	2020	Single Vehicle Run Off Road	Minor Injury	0	1	44.94894	-93.35067
00903000	MINNETONKA BLVD	4-Apr	29	2021	Sideswipe Same Direction	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94890	-93.35036
01012475	MINNETONKA BLVD	3-Mar	14	2022	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	3	44.94872	-93.34990
00867969	BLACKSTONE AVE S	12-Dec	13	2020	Angle	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94951	-93.35675
00893353	W ALABAMA AVE	2-Feb	27	2021	Angle	Possible Injury	0	2	44.94951	-93.35563
00808909	YOSEMITE AVE S	5-May	1	2020	Rear End	Possible Injury	0	2	44.94946	-93.35320
	Subtotal:	14								

Subtotal:

#### Intersection J | At Vernon Ave

Incident ID	Roadway	Month	Day	Year	Basic Type	Severity	Number K's	Number of Veh	Latitude	Longitude
00895793	MINNETONKA BLVD	3-Mar	15	2021	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94869	-93.34979
00805961	MINNETONKA BLVD	4-Apr	2	2020	Rear End	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94868	-93.34976
01037640	LAKE ST	8-Aug	3	2022	Rear End	Possible Injury	0	2	44.94847	-93.35019
00970206	LAKE ST	10-Oct	30	2021	Left Turn	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94853	-93.35012
00869704	LAKE ST	12-Dec	23	2020	Left Turn	Property Damage Only	0	2	44.94858	-93.35005
	Subtotal:	5								

Grand Total:

#### **Reported Crashes Located Outside of the Project Area**

97

Incident ID	Roadway	Month	Day	Year	Basic Type	Severity	Number K's	Number of Veh	Latitude	Longitude
00911868	MINNETONKA BLVD	6-Jun	<del>13</del>	2021	Single Vehicle Run Off Road	Property Domogo Only	0	<u>1</u>	44.94962	-93.36089
	-		-	LOLT	3	Property Damage Only	<b></b>			
<del>01000373</del>	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	<del>1-Jan</del>	21	2022	<del>Rear End</del>	Possible Injury	0	<u>2</u>	<del>44.94934</del>	<del>-93.37084</del>
<del>00800447</del>	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	2-Feb	<del>24</del>	<del>2020</del>	Sideswipe Same Direction	Property Damage Only	θ	2	<del>44.94941</del>	<del>-93.37084</del>
<del>00848861</del>	LOUISIANA AVENUE S	<del>10-Oct</del>	<u>22</u>	<del>2020</del>	Rear End	Property Damage Only	θ	<del>2</del>	<u>44.94977</u>	<del>-93.37085</del>
<del>01051247</del>	<del>DAKOTA AVE S</del>	<del>10-Oct</del>	<del>12</del>	<del>2022</del>	Sideswipe Opposing	Property Damage Only	θ	<del>2</del>	<del>44.94946</del>	<del>-93.36059</del>
<del>01065038</del>	<del>LAKE ST</del>	12-Dec	<del>12</del>	<del>2022</del>	Rear End	Property Damage Only	θ	<del>2</del>	<del>44.94836</del>	<del>-93.35037</del>
<del>00939489</del>	<del>LAKE ST</del>	<del>9-Sep</del>	9	<del>2021</del>	Sideswipe Same Direction	Property Damage Only	θ	<del>2</del>	<del>44.94837</del>	<del>-93.35035</del>
<del>00820195</del>	FLORIDA AVE S	<del>7-Jul</del>	<del>17</del>	<del>2020</del>	Single Vehicle Other	Property Damage Only	θ	1	<del>44.94944</del>	<del>-93.36311</del>
<del>01062863</del>	FLORIDA AVE S	12-Dec	3	<del>2022</del>	<del>Other</del>	Property Damage Only	θ	3	<u>44.94978</u>	<del>-93.36312</del>
<del>00970423</del>	YOSEMITE AVE S	<del>10-Oct</del>	<del>30</del>	<del>2021</del>	<del>Rear End</del>	Property Damage Only	θ	2	<u>44.94952</u>	<del>-93.35320</del>
	Subtotal:	10								

Subtotal:
11/26/23, 11:04 AM

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

CMF

ABOUT THE CLEARINGHOUSE USING CMFs DEVELOPING CMFs ADDITIONAL

Home » CMF / CRF Details

# **CMF / CRF DETAILS**

CMF ID: 176

#### INSTALL RAISED MEDIAN WITH UNMARKED CROSSWALK (UNCONTROLLED)

DESCRIPTION:

PRIOR CONDITION: UNMARKED CROSSWALK WITH NO RAISED MEDIAN AT AN UNCONTROLLED PEDESTRIAN CROSSING.

CATEGORY: PEDESTRIANS

STUDY: SAFETY EFFECTS OF MARKED VERSUS UNMARKED CROSSWALKS AT UNCONTROLLED LOCATIONS: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES, ZEGEER ET

Star Quality Rating:	VIEW SCORE DETAILS
Rating Points Total:	70
	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)
Value:	0.61
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	0.4
Value:	Crash Reduction Factor (CRF) 39 (This value indicates a decrease in crashes)
	37 (This value indicates a <b>decrease</b> in crashes)
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	40
Contract	Applicability
Crash Type:	Vehicle/pedestrian
Crash Severity:	All
Roadway Types:	Principal Arterial Other
Street Type:	
Minimum Number of Lanes:	3
Maximum Number of Lanes:	8
Number of Lanes Direction:	
Number of Lanes Comment:	

11/26/23, 11:04 AM

### CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

Kuau Division Type.	
Minimum Speed Limit:	
Maximum Speed Limit:	
Speed Unit:	
Speed Limit Comment:	
Area Type:	Urban and Suburban
Traffic Volume:	Minimum of 15000 Average Daily Traffic (ADT)
Average Traffic Volume:	
Time of Day:	All
	If countermeasure is intersection-based
Intersection Type:	
Intersection Geometry:	
Traffic Control:	
Major Road Traffic Volume:	
Minor Road Traffic Volume:	
Minor Road Traffic Volume: Average Major Road Volume :	

#### **Development Details**

Date Range of Data Used:	1994 to 1998
Municipality:	
State:	AZ,CA,FL,KS,LA,MD,MA,MO,NC,OH,OR,PA,TX,UT,WA,WI
Country:	USA
Type of Methodology Used:	Non-regression cross-section
Sample Size (crashes):	9 crashes

#### **Other Details**

Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Dec 01, 2009
Comments:	The study design was a simple comparison of crash rates, controlling for pedestrian and traffic volume.

VIEW THE FULL STUDY DETA

11/26/23, 11:57 AM

# CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

ABOUT THE CLEARINGHOUSE USING CMFs DEVELOPING CMFs ADDITIONAL

Home » CMF / CRF Details

CMF

# **CMF / CRF DETAILS**

CMF ID: 225

#### CONVERT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION TO MODERN ROUNDABOUT

DESCRIPTION:

PRIOR CONDITION: NO PRIOR CONDITION(S)

CATEGORY: INTERSECTION GEOMETRY

STUDY: NCHRP REPORT 572: APPLYING ROUNDABOUTS IN THE UNITED STATES, RODEGERDTS ET AL., 2007

Star Quality Rating:	VIEW SCORE DETAILS
Rating Points Total:	85
	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)
Value:	0.52
Adjusted Standard Error:	0.06
Unadjusted Standard Error:	0.05
Value:	Crash Reduction Factor (CRF) 48 (This value indicates a decrease in crashes)
Adjusted Standard Error:	6
Unadjusted Standard Error:	5
	Applicability
Crash Type:	All
Crash Severity:	All
Roadway Types:	Not Specified
Street Type:	
Minimum Number of Lanes:	1
Maximum Number of Lanes:	2
Number of Lanes Direction:	
Number of Lanes Comment:	

11/26/23, 11:57 AM

### CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

I	
Koau Division Type:	
Minimum Speed Limit:	
Maximum Speed Limit:	
Speed Unit:	
Speed Limit Comment:	
Area Type:	All
Traffic Volume:	
Average Traffic Volume:	
Time of Day:	
	If countermeasure is intersection-based
Intersection Type:	Roadway/roadway (not interchange related)
Intersection Geometry:	Not Specified
Traffic Control:	Signalized
Major Road Traffic Volume:	
Major Road Traffic Volume: Minor Road Traffic Volume:	
Minor Road Traffic Volume:	

#### **Development Details**

Date Range of Data Used:	
Municipality:	
State:	
Country:	
Type of Methodology Used:	Before/after using empirical Bayes or full Bayes

#### **Other Details**

Included in Highway Safety Manual?	Yes. HSM lists this CMF in <b>bold</b> font to indicate that it has the highest reliability since it has an adjusted standard erro less.
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Dec 01, 2009
Comments:	Countermeasure name changed to match HSM

VIEW THE FULL STUDY DETA

11/23/23, 8:11 AM

# CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

ABOUT THE CLEARINGHOUSE USING CMFs DEVELOPING CMFs ADDITIONAL

Home » CMF / CRF Details

CMF

# **CMF / CRF DETAILS**

CMF ID: 2338

#### INSTALL TWLTL (TWO-WAY LEFT TURN LANE) ON TWO LANE ROAD

DESCRIPTION:

PRIOR CONDITION: NO PRIOR CONDITION(S)

CATEGORY: ROADWAY

STUDY: SAFETY EVALUATION OF INSTALLING CENTER TWO-WAY LEFT-TURN LANES ON TWO-LANE ROADS, LYON ET AL., 2008

Star Quality Rating:	VIEW SCORE DETAILS
Rating Points Total:	120
	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)
Value:	0.686
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	0.057
Value:	Crash Reduction Factor (CRF) 31.4 (This value indicates a decrease in crashes)
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	5.7
	Applicability
Crash Type:	All
Crash Severity:	All
Roadway Types:	Not Specified
Street Type:	
Minimum Number of Lanes:	2
Maximum Number of Lanes:	2
Number of Lanes Direction:	
Number of Lanes Comment:	

11/23/23, 8:11 AM

### CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

коай риллон туре.	
Minimum Speed Limit:	
Maximum Speed Limit:	
Speed Unit:	
Speed Limit Comment:	
Area Type:	All
Traffic Volume:	
Average Traffic Volume:	
Time of Day:	All
	If countermeasure is intersection-based
Intersection Type:	If countermeasure is intersection-based
Intersection Type: Intersection Geometry:	If countermeasure is intersection-based
	If countermeasure is intersection-based
Intersection Geometry:	If countermeasure is intersection-based
Intersection Geometry: Traffic Control:	If countermeasure is intersection-based
Intersection Geometry: Traffic Control: Major Road Traffic Volume:	If countermeasure is intersection-based
Intersection Geometry: Traffic Control: Major Road Traffic Volume: Minor Road Traffic Volume:	If countermeasure is intersection-based

#### **Development Details**

Date Range of Data Used:	1991 to 2004
Municipality:	
State:	CA
Country:	
Type of Methodology Used:	Before/after using empirical Bayes or full Bayes

#### **Other Details**

Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Dec 01, 2009
Comments:	

VIEW THE FULL STUDY DETA

11/26/23, 10:29 AM

### CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

ABOUT THE CLEARINGHOUSE USING CMFs DEVELOPING CMFs ADDITIONAL

Home » CMF / CRF Details

# **CMF / CRF DETAILS**

CMF ID: 3034

#### **INSTALL RAISED MEDIAN**

DESCRIPTION:

PRIOR CONDITION: NO RAISED MEDIAN

CATEGORY: ACCESS MANAGEMENT

STUDY: ANALYZING RAISED MEDIAN SAFETY IMPACTS USING BAYESIAN METHODS, SCHULTZ ET AL., 2011

Star Quality Rating:	VIEW SCORE DETAILS
Rating Points Total:	35
Value:	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)
	0.01
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	
	Crash Reduction Factor (CRF)
Value:	39 (This value indicates a <b>decrease</b> in crashes)
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	
	Applicability
Crash Type:	All
Crash Severity:	All
Roadway Types:	Not specified
Street Type:	
Minimum Number of Lanes:	
Maximum Number of Lanes:	
Number of Lanes Direction:	
Number of Lanes Comment:	

11/26/23, 10:29 AM

### CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

Koau Division type:	Отицец ву мецтан
Minimum Speed Limit:	
Maximum Speed Limit:	
Speed Unit:	
Speed Limit Comment:	
Area Type:	
Traffic Volume:	Minimum of 10000 to Maximum of 55000 Average Daily Traffic (ADT)
Average Traffic Volume:	
Time of Day:	All
	If countermeasure is intersection-based
Intersection Type:	
Intersection Geometry:	
Traffic Control:	
Traffic Control: Major Road Traffic Volume:	
Major Road Traffic Volume:	
Major Road Traffic Volume: Minor Road Traffic Volume:	

#### **Development Details**

Date Range of Data Used:	1998 to 2008
Municipality:	
State:	UT
Country:	USA
Type of Methodology Used:	Before/after using empirical Bayes or full Bayes
Sample Size (site-years):	32 site-years before, 28 site-years after

#### **Other Details**

Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Jul 15, 2011
Comments:	The number of crashes in the after period were not reported in this study, however, they have been recorded as 300 t points as a beneift of doubt for one or more of the following: (1) number of miles/sites in the reference/treatment group, (3) reporting AADTs for the aggregate dataset but not for the adataset used for CMF development.

VIEW THE FULL STUDY DETA

11/23/23, 7:31 AM

# CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

ABOUT THE CLEARINGHOUSE USING CMFs DEVELOPING CMFs ADDITIONAL

Home » CMF / CRF Details

CMF

# **CMF / CRF DETAILS**

CMF ID: 9250

#### **INSTALL SHARED PATH**

DESCRIPTION:

PRIOR CONDITION: NO SHARED PATH PRESENT

CATEGORY: BICYCLISTS

STUDY: STATEWIDE ANALYSIS OF BICYCLE CRASHES, ALLURI ET AL., 2017

Star Quality Rating:	VIEW SCORE DETAILS
Rating Points Total:	50
Value:	Crash Modification Factor (CMF) 0.75
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	
Value:	Crash Reduction Factor (CRF) 25 (This value indicates a decrease in crashes)
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	
Crash Type:	Applicability Vehicle/bicycle
Crash Severity:	All
Roadway Types:	Principal Arterial Other
Street Type:	
Minimum Number of Lanes:	6
Maximum Number of Lanes:	6
Number of Lanes Direction:	
Number of Lanes Comment:	

11/23/23, 7:31 AM

### CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

коай шиллий туре.		
Minimum Speed Limit:		
Maximum Speed Limit:		
Speed Unit:		
Speed Limit Comment:		
Area Type:	Urban	
Traffic Volume:	Minimum of 5700 to Maximum of 98500 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)	
Average Traffic Volume:	42085 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)	
Time of Day:	Not specified	
	If countermeasure is intersection-based	
Intersection Type:		
Intersection Geometry:		
Traffic Control:		
Major Road Traffic Volume:		
Minor Road Traffic Volume:		
Average Major Road Volume :		
Average Minor Road Volume :		

#### **Development Details**

Date Range of Data Used:	2011 to 2014
Municipality:	
State:	FL
Country:	
Type of Methodology Used:	Regression cross-section
Sample Size (crashes):	2049 crashes
Sample Size (miles):	1209 miles

#### **Other Details**

Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Jun 17, 2018
Comments:	Minor arterial, major collector, and minor collector facility types were also included.

VIEW THE FULL STUDY DETA

11/20/23, 2:35 PM

# CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

ABOUT THE CLEARINGHOUSE USING CMFs DEVELOPING CMFs ADDITIONAL

Home » CMF / CRF Details

CMF

# **CMF / CRF DETAILS**

CMF ID: 9300

#### **RESURFACE PAVEMENT**

DESCRIPTION:

PRIOR CONDITION: NO PRIOR CONDITION(S)

CATEGORY: ROADWAY

STUDY: TIME SERIES TRENDS OF THE SAFETY EFFECTS OF PAVEMENT RESURFACING, PARK ET AL., 2017

Star Quality Rating:	VIEW SCORE DETAILS
Rating Points Total:	105
Value:	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	0.074
Value:	Crash Reduction Factor (CRF) 14.7 (This value indicates a decrease in crashes)
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	7.4
	Applicability
Crash Type:	All
Crash Severity:	All
Roadway Types:	Principal Arterial Other
Street Type:	
Minimum Number of Lanes:	1
Maximum Number of Lanes:	4
Number of Lanes Direction:	
Number of Lanes Comment:	

11/20/23, 2:35 PM

### CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 14 | Crash Modification Factors

коай рілізілі туре.		
Minimum Speed Limit:	25	
Maximum Speed Limit:	65	
Speed Unit:	mph	
Speed Limit Comment:		
Area Type:	Urban	
Traffic Volume:	Minimum of 2100 to Maximum of 40500 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)	
Average Traffic Volume:	8659 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)	
Time of Day:	Not specified	
	If countermeasure is intersection-based	
Intersection Type:		
Intersection Geometry:		
Traffic Control:		
Major Road Traffic Volume:		
Minor Road Traffic Volume:		
Average Major Road Volume :		
Average Minor Road Volume :		

#### **Development Details**

Date Range of Data Used:	2004 to 2013
Municipality:	
State:	FL
Country:	USA
Type of Methodology Used:	Before/after using comparison group
Sample Size (crashes):	1157 crashes before
Sample Size (sites):	195 sites before, 195 sites after
Sample Size (miles):	115.44 miles before, 115.44 miles after

#### **Other Details**

Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Jun 17, 2018
Comments:	Second year after treatment implementation

VIEW THE FULL STUDY DETA

# CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 15 | Multimodal Connections Map



**Disclaimer:** This map (i) is furnished "AS IS" with no representation as to completeness or accuracy; (ii) is furnished with no warranty of any kind; and (iii) is not suitable for legal, engineering or surveying purposes. Hennepin County shall not be liable for any damage, injury or loss resulting from this map.





Experience LIFE in the Park

November 6, 2023

Carla Stueve, P.E. Director and County Highway Engineer Hennepin County Transportation Project Delivery 1600 Prairie Drive Medina, MN 55340

RE: Support for Regional Solicitation Application Minnetonka Blvd (CSAH 5) Roadway Reconstruction Project – Xylon Ave to Vernon Ave

Dear Ms. Stueve:

The City of St. Louis Park hereby expresses its support for Hennepin County's Regional Solicitation federal funding application for the reconstruction of CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) from Xylon Ave to Vernon Ave.

Minnetonka Boulevard between Trunk Highway TH169 and France Avenue is a Hennepin County road and is one of the few continuous west-to-east roadway connections in the City of St. Louis Park. The roadway needs modernization to better accommodate all modes of travel, pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and vehicle.

This project will involve the reconstruction of the existing roadway and will include, but not limited to, the following elements: new pavement, curb, stormwater structures, traffic signals, sidewalk facilities, and ADA accommodations. The preferred typical section will be determined as part of the project development process based on characteristics of the project area, values of the community, as well as the infrastructure, safety, and user needs. It is anticipated that these proposed improvements will improve accessibility, safety, and mobility for people walking, biking, and driving; thereby enhancing the livability and quality of life for St. Louis Park and Hennepin County residents.

We understand that the city will likely be required to cost participate in this project as outlined in the county's cost participation policy. Specific details regarding cost participation and maintenance responsibilities are anticipated to be determined during the design process as project development is advanced.

Thank you for making us aware of this application and project, and the opportunity to provide support. The city, looks forward to working with you on this project.

Sincerely, ra M Heiser,

Engineering director

CC: Kim Keller, City manager Hennepin County staff- Emily Buell, Jason Pieper

**St. Louis Park Engineering Department** • 5005 Minnetonka Blvd., St. Louis Park, MN 55416 www.stlouisparkmn.gov • Phone: 952.924.2656 • Fax: 952.924.2662 • TTY: 952.924.2518



CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) Phase 2 Reconstruction Project

Attachment 17 | Three Rivers Park District Support Letter

December 1, 2023

Carla Stueve, P.E. Director and County Highway Engineer Hennepin County Transportation Project Delivery 1600 Prairie Drive Medina, MN 55340

Dear Ms. Stueve:

Three Rivers Park District hereby expresses its support for Hennepin County's Regional Solicitation federal funding application for the reconstruction of CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) from Xylon Ave to Vernon Ave in the City of St. Louis Park.

This project will involve the reconstruction of the existing roadway and will include, but not limited to, the following elements: new pavement, curb, stormwater structures, traffic signals, sidewalk facilities, and ADA accommodations. The preferred typical section will be determined as part of the project development process based on characteristics of the project area, values of the community, as well as the infrastructure, safety, and user needs. It is anticipated that these proposed improvements will provide additional accessibility, safety, and mobility for people walking, biking, and driving; thereby enhancing the livability and quality of life for St. Louis Park and Hennepin County residents.

In recognition of the future CP Rail Regional Trail, which is anticipated to cross the CSAH 5 (Minnetonka Blvd) corridor along the project corridor, Three Rivers Park District acknowledges that the park district may be asked to cost participate in this project as outlined in the county's cost participation policy. Specific details regarding cost participation and maintenance responsibilities are anticipated to be determined during the design process as project development is advanced.

Thank-you for making us aware of this application and project, and the opportunity to provide support. Three Rivers Park District looks forward to working with you on this project.

Sincerely. Carlson

Boe R. Carlson, Superintendent Three Rivers Park District

Administrative Center, 3000 Xenium Lane North, Plymouth, MN 55441-1299 763-559-9000 • Relay 711 763-559-6719 • Fax 763-559-3287 • ThreeRiversParks.org

Three Rivers Park District Board of Commissioners

Marge Beard District 1

Jennifer DeJournett Vice Chair District 2

> Erin Kolb District 3

Louise M. Segreto District 4

> John Gibbs Chair District 5

Jan Guenther Appointed At Large

Jesse Winkler Appointed At Large

Boe Carlson Superintendent