



Contents

Abbreviations and Glossary

Abstract

Executive Summary

Introduction.....	1-1
1 Purpose and Need	1-3
1.1 Project Purpose and Need	1-3
1.2 Project Description	1-4
1.3 Project Background.....	1-8
1.4 Project Need Factors	1-12
2 Alternatives	2-1
2.1 Changes Since the Final EIS and ROD Publication (2016)	2-1
2.2 Previous Studies and Environmental Review	2-3
2.3 Route Modification Process.....	2-3
2.4 Alternatives Considered in this Supplemental Final EIS	2-5
3 Transportation.....	3-1
3.1 Transit Conditions.....	3-4
3.2 Pedestrian Conditions.....	3-9
3.3 Bicycle Conditions.....	3-25
3.4 Vehicle Traffic	3-41
3.5 Vehicle Parking	3-49
3.6 Freight Rail Conditions.....	3-60
3.7 Aviation.....	3-61
4 Community and Social Analysis.....	4-1
4.1 Land Use Plan Compatibility	4-6
4.2 Community Amenities, Character, and Cohesion.....	4-8
4.3 Acquisitions and Relocations	4-23
4.4 Cultural Resources	4-29
4.5 Visual/Aesthetics	4-46
4.6 Economic Effects.....	4-55
4.7 Safety and Security	4-62
5 Physical and Environmental Analysis	5-1
5.1 Utilities.....	5-6
5.2 Floodplains.....	5-12
5.3 Wetlands and Other Aquatic Resources.....	5-21
5.4 Geology, Soils, and Topography	5-33
5.5 Hazardous Materials Contamination.....	5-36
5.6 Noise	5-46
5.7 Vibration and Ground-Borne Noise	5-59
5.8 Biological Environment.....	5-63
5.9 Water Quality and Stormwater	5-74
5.10 Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	5-80
5.11 Energy	5-83
6 Cumulative Potential Effects (per MN Rules §4410), Reasonably Foreseeable Trends and Future Plans	6-1
6.1 Methodology	6-2
6.2 Indirect and Cumulative Potential Impacts Assessment	6-10
6.3 Mitigation and Summary of Effects	6-20



7	Chapter Analysis Removed per Federal Policy Guidance 2025.....	7-1
8	Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources	8-1
8.1	Section 4(f).....	8-1
8.2	Section 6(f).....	8-6
9	Consultation and Coordination	9-1
9.1	Public Outreach and Engagement	9-1
9.2	Agency Coordination	9-22
9.3	Permits and Approvals.....	9-26
10	Financial Analysis.....	10-1
10.1	Capital Funding Strategy.....	10-1
10.2	Operating Funding Strategy.....	10-2
10.3	Potential Responses to Operating Shortfalls	10-4
11	Evaluation of Alternatives	11-1
11.1	Evaluation Framework and Methods	11-1
11.2	Build and No-Build Alternative Differentiators	11-1
11.3	Environmentally Preferred Alternative	11-10
11.4	Next Steps.....	11-11

Tables

Table I-1	Summary of Revisions from the Supplemental Draft EIS.....	1-2
Table 1-1	Population and Employment Forecasts	1-13
Table 1-2	Factors Influencing Public Transit Use	1-19
Table 2-1	Summary of Proposed Alignments by Area: Route Modification Process (2020–2022).....	2-4
Table 2-2	Evaluation of Alignment and Design Option Locations (2023).....	2-5
Table 2-3	Project Description by Project City.....	2-9
Table 2-4	Roadway Projects in the City of Brooklyn Park	2-10
Table 2-5	Roadway Projects in the City of Minneapolis.....	2-12
Table 2-6	Elements of the 2016 Alignment and Build Alternative.....	2-15
Table 2-7	Station Characteristics.....	2-18
Table 3-1	Comparison of Impacts and Mitigation – 2016 Alignment and Project Alignment	3-1
Table 3-2	Defined Transportation Modes and Study Areas.....	3-3
Table 3-3	LRT Station Amenities and Connections to Local and Express Bus Service.....	3-7
Table 3-4	Projected Weekday Daily Boardings by LRT Station	3-8
Table 3-5	Summary of Pedestrian Service Changes at the City of Brooklyn Park LRT Stations	3-15
Table 3-6	Summary of PLTS Segment Analysis for the City of Brooklyn Park	3-15
Table 3-7	Summary of PLTS Intersection Analysis for the City of Brooklyn Park.....	3-16
Table 3-8	Summary of Travel Time (Origin-Destination) Analysis for the City of Brooklyn Park.....	3-17
Table 3-9	Summary of PLTS Segment Analysis for the City of Crystal.....	3-18
Table 3-10	Summary of PLTS Intersection Analysis for the City of Crystal	3-18
Table 3-11	Summary of Travel Time (Origin-Destination) Analysis for the City of Crystal	3-18
Table 3-12	Summary of Pedestrian Changes at the Downtown Robbinsdale Station	3-19
Table 3-13	Summary of PLTS Segment Analysis for the City of Robbinsdale.....	3-19
Table 3-14	Summary of PLTS Intersection Analysis for the City of Robbinsdale	3-19
Table 3-15	Summary of Travel Time (Origin-Destination) Analysis for the City of Robbinsdale	3-20
Table 3-16	Summary of Pedestrian Service Changes at the City of Minneapolis LRT Stations.....	3-20
Table 3-17	Summary of PLTS Segment Analysis for the City of Minneapolis.....	3-22
Table 3-18	Summary of PLTS Intersection Analysis for the City of Minneapolis	3-23
Table 3-19	Summary of Travel Time (Origin-Destination) Analysis for the City of Minneapolis	3-24



Table 3-20 Planned Bike Facilities to be Partially Constructed by the Project.....	3-27
Table 3-21 Summary of BLTS Segment Analysis in the City of Brooklyn Park.....	3-31
Table 3-22 Summary of BLTS Intersection Analysis in the City of Brooklyn Park	3-32
Table 3-23 Summary of Travel Time (Origin-Destination) Analysis for the City of Brooklyn Park.....	3-32
Table 3-24 Summary of Bicycle Service Changes in the City of Brooklyn Park Station Areas.....	3-33
Table 3-25 Summary of BLTS Segment Analysis for the City of Crystal	3-33
Table 3-26 Summary of BLTS Intersection Analysis for the City of Crystal	3-34
Table 3-27. Summary of Travel Time (Origin-Destination) Analysis for the City of Crystal	3-34
Table 3-28 Summary of BLTS Segment Analysis for the City of Robbinsdale.....	3-34
Table 3-29 Summary of BLTS Intersection Analysis for the City of Robbinsdale	3-35
Table 3-30 Summary of Travel Time (Origin-Destination) Analysis for the City of Robbinsdale	3-35
Table 3-31 Summary of BLTS Segment Analysis for the City of Minneapolis	3-36
Table 3-32 Summary of BLTS Intersection Analysis for the City of Minneapolis	3-37
Table 3-33 Summary of Travel Time (Origin-Destination) Analysis for the City of Minneapolis	3-39
Table 3-34 Summary of Bicycle Service Changes by LRT Station	3-40
Table 3-35 Intersections at and Exceeding Capacities Under 2050 No-Build Condition	3-43
Table 3-36 City of Brooklyn Park Intersections at and Exceeding Capacity Under Build Alternative	3-46
Table 3-37 Traffic-Related Changes Included in the City of Crystal	3-46
Table 3-38 Traffic-Related Changes Included in the City of Robbinsdale	3-47
Table 3-39 Traffic-Related Changes in the City of Minneapolis	3-47
Table 3-40 City of Minneapolis Intersections at and Exceeding Capacity.....	3-49
Table 3-41 Parking Impacts in the Cities of Brooklyn Park and Crystal.....	3-51
Table 3-42 Parking Impacts at City of Robbinsdale Stations.....	3-53
Table 3-43 Parking Impacts in the City of Minneapolis.....	3-54
Table 4-1 Comparison of Impacts and Mitigation – 2016 Alignment and Project Alignment	4-1
Table 4-2 Summary of the Defined Study Areas: Community and Social Analysis	4-4
Table 4-3 Community Comprehensive Plans	4-6
Table 4-4 Neighborhood and Community Impacts Topics and Criteria	4-9
Table 4-5 Study Area Socioeconomic Data Compared to Reference Geographies Conditions	4-9
Table 4-6 Community Amenities in the Study Area: City of Brooklyn Park	4-11
Table 4-7 Community Amenities in the Study Area: City of Crystal.....	4-13
Table 4-8 Community Amenities in the Study Area: City of Robbinsdale	4-15
Table 4-9 Community Amenities in the Study Area: City of Minneapolis.....	4-17
Table 4-10 Land Use Categories for Acquisitions and Relocations	4-25
Table 4-11 Acquisitions and Relocations Required for the City of Brooklyn Park	4-25
Table 4-12 Summary of Acquisitions and Relocations Required for the City of Crystal	4-26
Table 4-13 Acquisitions and Relocations Required for the City of Robbinsdale	4-26
Table 4-14 Acquisitions and Relocations Required for the City of Minneapolis	4-27
Table 4-15 Right-of-Way and Easement Impacts.....	4-27
Table 4-16 Historic Properties within the APE	4-32
Table 4-17 Historic Properties within the APE Adversely Affected by the Project	4-39
Table 4-18 Historic Properties within the APE Not Adversely Affected by the Project	4-40
Table 4-19 Visual/Aesthetics Terminology and Definitions	4-47
Table 4-20 Changes to Existing Visual Quality and Character in the City of Brooklyn Park Landscape Unit	4-51
Table 4-21 Changes to Existing Visual Quality and Character in the Cities of Crystal/Robbinsdale Landscape Unit.....	4-52
Table 4-22 Changes to Existing Visual Quality and Character in the City of Minneapolis Landscape Unit.....	4-52
Table 4-23 Potential Long-Term Mitigation Measures	4-54
Table 4-24 Project Costs (In Millions of 2024 Dollars)	4-58



Table 4-25 Distribution of Project Expenditures and Allocation to RIMS II Industrial Sectors	4-59
Table 4-26 Economic Impacts of Construction Expenditures in Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington MSA, Cumulative over All Construction Years	4-60
Table 4-27 Economic Impacts of Construction Expenditures in Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington MSA, Average Annual During Construction Years	4-60
Table 4-28 Applicable Safety and Security Codes, Standards, and Guidance	4-63
Table 4-29 Railroad Crossings (Existing Conditions)	4-64
Table 5-1 Comparison of Impacts and Mitigation – 2016 Alignment and Project Alignment	5-1
Table 5-2 Resources and Study Areas for the Physical and Environmental Analysis	5-4
Table 5-3 Floodplains in the Study Area	5-13
Table 5-4 Potential Floodplains Impacts	5-14
Table 5-5 Wetland Delineation Results a	5-21
Table 5-6 Public Water Summary	5-31
Table 5-7 Impacts on Delineated Basins from the Build Alternative by Wetland Type	5-32
Table 5-8 Potential Stream Impacts from the Project	5-32
Table 5-9 Number of Recorded Properties with Potential Contamination	5-43
Table 5-10 Number of Contaminated Sites Identified from the Phase II ESA by Soil Category	5-43
Table 5-11 Number of Groundwater Contaminated Sites Identified from the Phase II ESA	5-44
Table 5-12 Hazardous Materials Contamination Mitigation Measures	5-46
Table 5-13 Summary of Existing Noise Level Measurements	5-51
Table 5-14 Summary of Existing L10 and L50 Noise Levels at Long-Term Noise Measurement Locations	5-52
Table 5-15 Summary of Noise Impacts by Project City	5-53
Table 5-16 Summary of Vibration Impacts by Project City	5-61
Table 5-17 State-Listed Species Documented in the Study Area	5-64
Table 5-18 Federally Listed Species Documented in the Study Area	5-65
Table 5-19 Regionally Significant Ecological Areas in the Study Area	5-69
Table 5-20 Noxious Plant Species in the Study Area	5-70
Table 5-21 Habitat for Federally Endangered and Threatened Species in Study Area	5-70
Table 5-22 Nesting Season for Migratory Birds Within the Study Area	5-71
Table 5-23 Increase in Impervious Surface	5-75
Table 5-24 Potential Stormwater BMPs	5-77
Table 5-25 Anticipated VMT Reduction for the Build Alternative	5-81
Table 5-26 Emissions for Equivalent Passenger Vehicle per Regional Emissions Model (MT CO ₂ e)	5-81
Table 5-27 Energy Impacts from Equivalent Passenger Vehicles (MMBTua)	5-84
Table 5-28 Construction-Phase Estimated Energy Usage	5-84
Table 6-1 Comparison of Impacts and Mitigation – 2016 Alignment and Project Alignment	6-1
Table 6-2 Population of Minnesota and Hennepin County (1960–2020)	6-5
Table 6-3 Population and Employment Projections for the Cumulative Potential Effects Study Area (2020–2040)	6-7
Table 6-4 Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actionsa	6-9
Table 6-5 Summary of Indirect Impacts, Cumulative Potential Effects, and Associated Mitigation	6-21
Table 8-1 Comparison of Impacts and Mitigation – 2016 Alignment and Project Alignment	8-1
Table 8-2 Comparison of Uses of Section 4(f) Properties in the 2016 Final EIS and ROD, the SDEIS, and the SFEIS	8-3
Table 9-1 Community Cohort Overview	9-4
Table 9-2 Cultivate Arts Artists Overview 2024	9-6
Table 9-3 Recommendations from Initial Community Input on Engagement Strategies	9-9
Table 9-4 2022 Community Engagement Events	9-12
Table 9-5 2023 Community Engagement Events	9-14



Table 9-6 2024 Community Engagement Events	9-16
Table 9-7 Community 2025 Engagement Events Planned (as of Q1 2025).....	9-17
Table 9-8 Cooperating and Participating Agencies	9-23
Table 9-9 Documents Related to the Section 106 Process	9-24
Table 9-10 Meetings Related to the Section 106 Process.....	9-24
Table 9-11 Tribes in Section 106 Tribal Coordination	9-25
Table 9-12 Official(s) with Jurisdiction	9-26
Table 9-13 Permits and Approvals Required.....	9-27
Table 11-1 Build/No-Build Alternative Evaluation Summary – Transportation	11-3
Table 11-2 Build/No-Build Alternative Evaluation Summary – Community and Social	11-4
Table 11-3 Build/No-Build Alternative Evaluation Summary – Physical and Environmental.....	11-7

Figures

Figure 1-1 Project Area and Project Alignment.....	1-5
Figure 1-2 Existing Area Bus Transit and Metro micro-Services and Facilities	1-7
Figure 1-3 METRO Regional Transitway System and Planned METRO System (2030)	1-9
Figure 1-4 Summary of Project Environmental Review and Related Studies	1-10
Figure 1-5 Population Forecast in the Project Area, 2020–2040	1-14
Figure 1-6 Employment Forecast in the Project Area, 2020–2040	1-15
Figure 1-7 Percentage of Zero-Car Households by Census Tract	1-20
Figure 1-8 Housing Cost-Burdened Households in the Project Area	1-21
Figure 1-9 Walksheds Around Proposed LRT Stations	1-22
Figure 1-10 Average Weekday Ridership from January 2019 to present (October 2024) on Blue Line	1-23
Figure 1-11 Changes in Daily Metro Transit Ridership due to the COVID-19 Pandemic.....	1-25
Figure 2-1 Environmental Documentation Process Sequence.....	2-1
Figure 2-2 Project Alignments: 2016 Alignment and Build Alternative Project Alignment	2-2
Figure 2-3 Municipal Consent Draft Design for the Lowry Ave Station	2-7
Figure 2-4 Grade-Separated Roadway Draft Design for the Lowry Ave Station	2-8
Figure 2-5 Grade-Separated Intersection at Bass Lake Rd/CR 81	2-11
Figure 2-6 Build Alternative Structures	2-17
Figure 2-7 Project Park-and-Ride Locations	2-19
Figure 3-1 METRO Regional Transitway System and Planned METRO System (2030)	3-5
Figure 3-2 Existing Bus Transit Service as of June 2024	3-6
Figure 3-3 Pedestrian Study Area.....	3-11
Figure 3-4 Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress in the Cities of Brooklyn Park and Crystal.....	3-13
Figure 3-5 Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress in the Cities of Crystal, Robbinsdale, and Minneapolis	3-14
Figure 3-6 Bicycle Network in the Project Area	3-26
Figure 3-7 Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress in the Cities of Brooklyn Park and Crystal.....	3-29
Figure 3-8 Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress in the Cities of Crystal, Robbinsdale, and Minneapolis	3-30
Figure 3-9 2050 Afternoon Peak Hour Impacts to Intersections	3-45
Figure 3-10 On-Street and Off-Street Parking Impacts in the Cities of Brooklyn Park, Crystal, and Robbinsdale	3-52
Figure 3-11 On-Street Parking Impacts in the City of Minneapolis.....	3-57
Figure 3-12 Off-Street Parking Impacts in the City of Minneapolis	3-58
Figure 3-13 Crystal Airport Runway Protection Zone and State Safety Zone Effects	3-63
Figure 4-1 Community Amenities and Parks in the City of Brooklyn Park	4-12
Figure 4-2 Community Amenities and Parks in the City of Crystal.....	4-14
Figure 4-3 Community Amenities and Parks in the City of Robbinsdale.....	4-16
Figure 4-4 Community Amenities and Parks in the City of Minneapolis.....	4-18



Figure 4-5 Architecture/History APE and Properties Identified (North)	4-34
Figure 4-6 Architecture/History APE and Properties Identified (South)	4-35
Figure 4-7 Archaeological APE (North)	4-36
Figure 4-8 Archaeological APE (South)	4-37
Figure 4-9 Landscape Units and Key Viewpoints in the Visual/Aesthetics Study Area	4-50
Figure 4-10 Input-Output Model Illustration	4-56
Figure 5-1 Locations of Major Utilities in the City of Brooklyn Park	5-7
Figure 5-2 Locations of Major Utilities in the Cities of Brooklyn Park, Crystal, and Robbinsdale	5-8
Figure 5-3 Locations of Major Utilities in the City of Minneapolis	5-9
Figure 5-4 Overview of Floodplain Locations	5-16
Figure 5-5 Potential Floodplain Impacts on Stormwater Pond at TH 610	5-17
Figure 5-6 Potential Floodplain Impacts on Century Channel Ponds and Setzler Pond	5-18
Figure 5-7 Potential Floodplain Impacts on Shingle Creek	5-19
Figure 5-8 Detail of Floodplains in the City of Minneapolis	5-20
Figure 5-9 Overview of Wetlands Near the Project	5-22
Figure 5-10 Detail of Wetlands Near the Oak Grove Pkwy Station Area	5-23
Figure 5-11 Detail of Wetlands Near the 85th and 93rd Ave N Station Areas	5-24
Figure 5-12 Detail of Wetlands Near the Brooklyn Blvd Station Area	5-25
Figure 5-13 Detail of Wetlands Near the 63rd Ave N Station Area	5-26
Figure 5-14 Detail of Wetlands Near the Bass Lake Rd Station Area	5-27
Figure 5-15 Detail of Wetlands North of the Downtown Robbinsdale Station Area	5-28
Figure 5-16 Detail of Wetlands Near the Downtown Robbinsdale Station Area	5-29
Figure 5-17 Detail of Wetlands in the City of Minneapolis	5-30
Figure 5-18 Poor Soils Near the Project	5-35
Figure 5-19 Contamination Risk, Boring Locations, and Test Pits Along the Project Alignment	5-38
Figure 5-20 Contamination Risk, Boring Locations, and Test Pits Along the Project Alignment in the City of Brooklyn Park	5-39
Figure 5-21 Contamination Risk, Boring Locations, and Test Pits Along the Project Alignment in the City of Crystal	5-40
Figure 5-22 Contamination Risk, Boring Locations, and Test Pits Along the Project Alignment in the City of Robbinsdale	5-41
Figure 5-23 Contamination Risk, Boring Locations, and Test Pits Along the Project Alignment in the City of Minneapolis	5-42
Figure 5-24 Typical Day-Night Sound Level (Ldn) Noise Exposure Levels	5-47
Figure 5-25 FTA Noise Impact Criteria	5-48
Figure 5-26 Locations of Noise Measurement Sites	5-50
Figure 5-27 Project Noise Impacts in the City of Brooklyn Park	5-56
Figure 5-28 Project Noise Impacts in the City of Minneapolis	5-57
Figure 5-29 Locations of Vibration Measurement Sites	5-60
Figure 5-30 Project Vibration Impacts in the City of Minneapolis	5-62
Figure 5-31 Detail of Regionally Significant Ecological Areas Near the Oak Grove Pkwy Station Area	5-67
Figure 5-32 Detail of Regionally Significant Ecological Areas Near the Brooklyn Blvd Station Area	5-68
Figure 5-33 Preliminary Stormwater Management BMPs in the Cities of Brooklyn Park and Crystal	5-78
Figure 5-34 Preliminary Stormwater Management BMPs in the Cities of Crystal, Robbinsdale, and Minneapolis	5-79
Figure 6-1 Primary Study Areas for Indirect Impacts and Cumulative Potential Effects	6-6
Figure 9-1 Metropolitan Council Decision-Making Process	9-2
Figure 9-2 Cultivate Arts Artists (2024)	9-5
Figure 9-3 Photograph of Driveway Chat (July 2022)	9-12



Figure 9-4 Engagement Summary (June 2024)	9-18
Figure 9-5 Council Engagement Comment Data Interactive Website Overview Summary (August 2024)	9-19
Figure 9-6 Community-Led Bike Tour of the Project Alignment (October 2021).....	9-20
Figure 9-7 Your Blue Line Extension Website	9-22

Appendices

Appendix CR	Responses to Comments on the Supplemental Draft EIS
Appendix A-E	Conceptual Engineering Drawings
Appendix A-1	List of Preparers and List of Recipients
Appendix A-2	No Content
Appendix A-3	Traffic Operations Technical Memorandum and Interstate Access Modification Request Process Summary
Appendix A-4	Appendix Chapter 4: Community and Social Analysis Archaeological and Architectural History Reports Cultural Resources Documents Visual Quality Tech Report
Appendix A-5	Appendix Chapter 5: Physical and Environmental Analysis Water Resources Technical Report Noise and Vibration Technical Report Biological Environment Technical Report Biological Environment Documents Preliminary Engineering Water Resources Design Report
Appendix A-6	No Content
Appendix A-7	No Content
Appendix A-8	Draft Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluation
Appendix A-9	Agency Coordination