## Memorandum

DATE: June 29, 2015
TO: Jim Alexander
Director of Design and Engineering, Southwest LRT Project

FROM: JoNette Kuhnau, PE, PTOE
Traffic Lead, PEC - East
SUBJECT: PEC-East Traffic Technical Memorandum

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Southwest Light Rail Transit (SWLRT) project is proposed to be a 16-mile LRT project with 17 new stations that will operate from downtown Minneapolis through St. Louis Park, Hopkins, Minnetonka, and Eden Prairie. The SWLRT line will serve as an extension of the METRO Green Line (Central Corridor) and will also connect to the METRO Blue Line (Hiawatha Corridor) in downtown Minneapolis.

The traffic analysis presented in this memorandum was based on the project scope shown in the SWLRT Preliminary Engineering (PE) plans dated September 2014. Recent discussions and evaluations have occurred relative to potential reductions in the length of the SWLRT line, the number of stations, and the number and size of park-and-ride facilities. However, the traffic analysis and discussion presented in the following sections reflect the full build-out of the SWLRT project.

### 1.1 Purpose of Memorandum

This technical memorandum has been prepared in support of the SWLRT project PE design and the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). The objective of the traffic analysis is to define the scope of the project improvements and evaluate the potential traffic impacts of the project, including the following:

- Evaluate the project's impacts on traffic operations at existing and proposed intersections and at-grade rail crossings along or near the SWLRT alignment.
- Identify proposed improvements to address operational issues identified in the traffic analysis.

The methodology, assumptions, and results of the analysis are presented in the following sections.
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### 1.2 Study Areas

The location of the overall SWLRT alignment and the East and West segments of the project are shown in Figure 1. The East segment of the SWLRT analysis includes all intersections and atgrade crossings from east of $11^{\text {th }}$ Ave in Hopkins to Target Field Station in Minneapolis. The West segment of the SWLRT analysis includes all intersections and at-grade crossings from Mitchell Station in Eden Prairie to east of $11^{\text {th }}$ Ave in Hopkins. The proposed SWLRT guideway will be at-grade for most of its alignment and includes segments with the LRT operating in an exclusive guideway and semi-exclusive street-running operation. In the East segment, the LRT guideway operates next to an active freight rail alignment for most of its length, from approximately TH 169 to Glenwood Ave.

### 1.3 Data Collection

Multiple data elements were collected for each of the areas analyzed:

- 13-hour weekday counts at intersections including passenger vehicles, heavy vehicles, pedestrians, and bicycles
- Freight rail train lengths and crossing times
- On-site field survey to collect the following information:
o Existing intersection geometry
o Lane widths
o Lane utilizations
o Approximate peak hour queue lengths
o Storage bay lengths to the nearest 10 - ft increment
o Approach speed limits
o Traffic signal infrastructure, including emergency vehicle preemption
o Relevant signage and pavement markings
- Timing and coordination plans for existing signalized intersections
- Bus routes, stops, and passenger loading/unloading

This data was used to assemble a comprehensive model of the existing conditions.
In addition, existing gate timings at station and non-station intersections were collected along the METRO Blue Line for use in the modeling of the future SWLRT operations.
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### 2.0 METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 Key Intersections and At-Grade Crossings

To determine the impacts of the SWLRT project on the local roadway network, a traffic operations analysis was conducted for signalized and unsignalized intersections within the vicinity of the new SWLRT alignment or that would be expected to have increased traffic due to the SWLRT stations, such as stations with park-and-ride facilities. The analysis area included signalized intersections with an LRT crossing in the intersection, the closest signalized or unsignalized intersections on both sides of an at-grade mid-block LRT crossing, and the intersections that provide access to a LRT station park-and-ride facility. At least two intersections were analyzed for each at-grade LRT crossing or park-and-ride facility. Grade separated crossings were not modeled because the LRT does not interact with pedestrian, bicycle, or vehicle traffic.

### 2.2 Forecast Traffic Volumes

The development of 2040 traffic forecasts for use in the simulation modeling was based on the preliminary 2040 socioeconomic data prepared by local communities and consistent with the Metropolitan Council's Thrive MSP 2040. This data was used as input to the Metropolitan Council's Regional Travel Demand Model. The outputs from the 2040 Regional Travel Demand Model were then compared to existing and historic traffic counts, as well as to the previous 2030 forecast roadway volumes contained in the 2030 Comprehensive Plans of each city and Hennepin County. This information, combined with the expected changes in land use and density, was utilized at a localized level to develop growth rates for each roadway segment within the project area. This information was also reviewed in combination with anticipated developments within each city to determine if different annual growth rates should be applied in calculating the opening year forecast volumes compared to the 2040 forecast volumes. This would be done if, for example, more rapid growth was expected at the beginning or end of the forecast horizon. The growth rates were then applied to existing turning movement counts to generate opening year and 2040 No Build peak hour turning movement forecasts.

For the study area within the City of Minneapolis, which is a fully built-out community where lower growth is expected, a slightly different methodology was used. As a typical practice the City of Minneapolis utilizes annual growth rates of 0.25 to 0.5 percent per year to develop background traffic forecasts, and a review of published forecasts by Hennepin County within the vicinity of the Minneapolis study area indicated typical growth rates of approximately 0.5 percent per year or less. Therefore, annual growth rates of 0.3 to 0.4 percent per year were utilized for roadways within the City of Minneapolis to develop No-Build forecasts.

Following the development of the baseline No Build forecasts, forecast traffic volumes for the park-and-ride sites were added to produce the Build forecasts. The development of the park-andride trip generation rates is documented in the SWLRT technical memorandum Park-and-Ride Trip Generation dated August 25, 2014.

Sensitivity testing within the Regional Travel Demand Model showed that the SWLRT alignment would not be expected to significantly reduce traffic volumes on the roadways under study. Therefore, the traffic generated by the park-and-ride sites was added to the No Build forecasts to

## Page 5 of 84

produce the Build forecasts, without any reduction in forecast traffic volumes due to LRT. This produces a conservative, worst case analysis in terms of the traffic volumes on the roadway network.

### 2.3 Traffic Analysis Methodology

The approach to the traffic operations analysis is derived from the established methodologies document in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM). The HCM contains a series of analysis techniques for evaluating the operations of transportation facilities under specified conditions. The models for the SWLRT analysis have been developed using Synchro/SimTraffic and VISSIM, software packages that implement the HCM methodologies. The inputs into the software include lane geometrics, traffic volumes, pedestrian volumes, transit stations, freight and LRT alignments, freight and LRT volumes, intersection and grade crossing control devices, and signal phase and timing characteristics.

The output of the models are evaluated using the level of service thresholds as defined in the HCM, which are shown in Table 2.1. Based on standard practice in the traffic engineering industry, as well as guidance from the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and conformance with MnDOT practice, level of service $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{E}$ is considered to be the threshold of acceptable operations for an overall intersection in an urban or suburban area during peak hours.

The analysis periods included the highest hour of traffic volume during the weekday AM peak period (6-9 AM) and PM peak period (3-7 PM). The AM peak hour was generally identified as 7:30-8:30 AM and the PM peak was generally identified as 4:30-5:30 PM.

Table 2.1. Intersection Level of Service Definitions

| Level of <br> Service | Signalized <br> Intersection Delay <br> (seconds per <br> vehicle) | Unsignalized <br> Intersection Delay <br> (seconds per <br> vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual 2010

### 2.4 Design Criteria and Assumptions

All full access intersections with the LRT guideway, where all vehicular movements are allowed across the guideway, were assumed to be signalized and/or be controlled with automatic gates to provide safe movement of LRT and vehicles. All at-grade roadway/LRT crossings where LRT speeds are expected to exceed 35 miles per hour (mph) were assumed to have automatic gates in accordance with the guidance and standards contained in the 2009 edition of the Minnesota Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MMUTCD). The operation of automatic gates was also based on the standards in the MUTCD, which includes:
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- Gate arms start lowering a minimum of 3 seconds after the flashing-light signals start to operate;
- Gate arms shall reach the horizontal position at least 5 seconds before the arrival of the rail traffic;
- Gate arms shall remain in the down position as long as the rail traffic occupies the grade crossing; and
- Gate arms should ascend to the upright position in 12 seconds or less.

For a typical LRT crossing, the time from the gates being activated until they return to the upright position is approximately 50 seconds.

Signalized intersections within 200 feet of an at-grade crossing, or signalized intersections where queues could potentially extend across an at-grade crossing, were identified for interconnection to the rail crossing. At these locations, the signal is proposed to be preempted by the rail crossing, in order to provide for clearance of queues from the tracks prior to the gate arms being lowered.

### 2.5 Measures of Effectiveness

The measures of effectiveness used to evaluate the operations results and identify a project impact in need of improvement were based on intersection delay (level of service) and queuing.

The level of service (LOS) criteria used to identify a project impact were as follows:

- Overall intersection LOS E or F in Build conditions, if No Build intersection LOS D or better
- Approach or movement LOS E or F, if the movement negatively impacts upstream operations

Freight events do not typically occur in the peak hour in the existing conditions and are not expected to occur in the future year No Build or Build conditions. Therefore, the need for mitigations or improvements were not based on the peak hour operations with a freight event.

The criteria used to identify a queuing issue were as follows:

- $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length that exceeds storage length, if any of the following are also met:
o Average back-of-queue exceeds storage length
o Movement operates at LOS E or LOS F
o $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue blocks upstream full-access intersection(s)
- $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length exceeds 500 feet on a stop-controlled approach

Then, for locations where a queuing issue was identified, the need for mitigation was based on a comparison to the No Build conditions, the severity of the issue, the potential safety/operations implications at the study intersection, and what impacts the queue had on the larger roadway network. Where the need for queue mitigation was identified based on these criteria, improvements were added to the Build modeling and have been incorporated into the SWLRT project. These improvements are listed in Section 5.1, along with all improvements that have been identified to be built as part of the SWLRT project.
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### 3.1 EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

The existing conditions models were developed to validate and calibrate the simulation models, which would then be used to model the future year conditions. The assumptions, methodology, and results of the existing conditions analysis are presented in the following sections.

### 3.2 Assumptions

The existing conditions analysis was based on traffic volumes, roadway geometrics, rail crossing treatments, and signal operations as existed in 2013 when the data collection was completed. No improvements were assumed in the existing analysis. The existing peak hour traffic volumes, which are based on the counts conducted in 2013, are provided in Appendix A. The geometrics and intersection control for the existing conditions are shown in the intersection layout tables provided in Appendix B.

The AM peak hour was assumed to be 7:30-8:30 AM and the PM peak hour was assumed to be 4:30-5:30 PM for all intersections, based on the turning movement data collected within the study area.

Current information from the Twin Cities and Western Railroad (TC\&W) indicates that 14 weekly trains ( 2 per day) with 65 to 75 cars and 5 to 6 weekly trains (no more than 1 per day) with 80 to 125 cars are expected on the Canadian Pacific (CP) Bass Lake Spur. Data collected along the existing freight rail alignment in 2013 showed that freight trains, 30 to 40 cars in length, typically travel through the corridor after the AM peak hour and after the PM peak hour. Longer 80 to 125 car freight trains can arrive at any time during the day, including overnight. For the purposes of the analysis, all freight railcars were assumed to be 85 feet in length. This is a conservative assumption given that a typical grain railcar is approximately 65 feet in length.

The factors of train length and travel speed are integrally related, because together they determine the amount of time a crossing is blocked. Freight speeds of 25 mph were assumed for the at-grade freight crossings at $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave S, Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St, Blake Rd, Wooddale Ave, and Beltline Blvd on the Bass Lake Spur, and freight speeds of 10 mph were assumed for the at-grade freight crossings at Cedar Lake Pkwy and $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{W}$ on the Kenilworth Corridor. The arrival of any freight train in the peak hour would represent the worst case condition in terms of time of day. However, this is not likely to occur, given the current schedules of the freight rail operators and the Northstar Commuter Rail on other connecting lines in the Twin Cities area. Northstar trains travel on the BNSF tracks between Target Field in Minneapolis and Big Lake on the BNSF Wayzata Subdivision. The Wayzata Subdivision is also a single track line, so the commuter train operation impacts how many freight trains can operate on the Wayzata Subdivision during the AM and PM peak hours.

If a freight event on CP’s Bass Lake Spur crossing were to occur during the peak hours, the likely train length would be 65 to 75 cars based on the frequency of these trains. A train length of 75 cars was chosen for all scenarios for all the modeling areas with an at-grade freight crossing to capture the impacts of the most likely freight event to occur during the peak hours.
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Relative to time of day, the current data shows it is rare for a train to arrive during the peak hour. Even if the overall number of freight trains per day were to increase, the arrival of more than one freight train during the peak hour is extremely unlikely due to the distance that trains must travel on the single track configuration through the corridor. Therefore the analysis of one train arriving in the peak hour is still considered to be the worst case.

### 3.3 Traffic Modeling Overview and Results

The study area was broken into eight modeling areas based on where the SWLRT alignment or SWLRT facilities such as park-and-rides or passenger drop-off areas would be expected to interact with the roadway network. The locations of the intersections and at-grade crossings studied as part of the East segment are shown in Figure 2. The following modeling areas were used through the analysis of the East segment of the SWLRT project:

- Downtown Hopkins Station and Blake Station
- Louisiana Station
- Wooddale Station
- Beltline Station
- Penn Station
- West Lake Station
- Cedar Lake Parkway and $21^{\text {st }}$ St Station
- Royalston Station

The operations results are presented by modeling areas in the following sections.
No modeling was completed for the Van White Station area in the existing, No Build, or Build conditions based on the low volume of existing traffic in that area, and the very small volume of traffic expected to be generated at the station.

At locations where there is no freight rail crossing or where freight rail is grade separated, the No Freight Event and 75-car Freight Event scenarios would have the same operations since the freight rail does not interact with vehicle traffic. Therefore, only results for the No Freight Event scenarios are presented at these locations.
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### 3.2.1 Downtown Hopkins Station and Blake Station

The Downtown Hopkins Station and Blake Station areas are primarily connected by Excelsior Blvd (County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 3), which is a signalized corridor with an interchange at TH 169 between the two station areas. There are existing at-grade freight rail crossings at the following locations:

- $\quad 5^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) - Crossing has cantilevered railroad flashers and does not preempt any adjacent signals.
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) at Jackson Ave/Milwaukee St - Crossing has automatic gates and flashers, and preempts the signal.
- Blake Road (CSAH 20) north of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) - Crossing has automatic gates and flashers and does not preempt any adjacent signals.

This area was modeled in VISSIM due to the interactions with freight and future interactions with LRT. The results of the existing AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections currently operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, including a 75-car freight event. The overall intersection results are shown in Table 3.1 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more scenarios were as follows:

- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ $8^{\text {th }}$ Ave - Southbound left-turn
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave - Northbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn and right-turn
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St - Westbound through
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) - Northbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn
- Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ $2^{\text {nd }}$ St NE - Eastbound left-turn

The full table of existing conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 3.1. Downtown Hopkins Station and Blake Station - Existing Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM <br> No Freight Event | AM <br> 75-Car <br> Freight | PM <br> No Freight Event | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ $8^{\text {th }}$ Ave | $\begin{gathered} 19.0 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.6 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.3 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave | $\begin{gathered} 18.8 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.1 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.3 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} 23.3 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} 37.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.8 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.4 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.6 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | $\begin{gathered} 38.5 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.0 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.2 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.6 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave* | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | $\begin{gathered} 37.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.7 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.0 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.8 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ $2^{\text {nd }}$ St NE | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 3.2.2 Louisiana Station

The Louisiana Station area has a grade-separated freight crossing and the analysis area included adjacent signalized intersections on Louisiana Ave.

This area was modeled in Synchro/SimTraffic because the freight rail does not interact with vehicle traffic, and the LRT is also proposed to be grade separated. The results of the existing AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections currently operate at LOS A or better during the peak hour scenarios. The overall intersection results are shown in Table 3.2 below.

No queuing issues were identified in the existing conditions.
The full table of existing conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.
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Table 3.2. Louisiana Station - Existing Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay <br> and LOS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | PM <br> No Freight <br> Event |
|  | 6.7 |  |
|  | A | 9.2 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana | 5.9 |  |
| Cir | A | 7.3 |

### 3.2.3 Wooddale Station

The Wooddale Station area includes Wooddale Ave and the interchange with TH 7. Wooddale Ave at TH 7 was reconstructed in 2012 and was designed to accommodate a 4lane section, but is currently striped as two lanes at the rail crossing. The interchange ramps at Wooddale Ave/TH 7 are currently unsignalized, with stop control on the ramp approaches. The existing at-grade freight rail crossing of Wooddale Ave has railroad flashers and preempts the Wooddale Ave/W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St intersection.

This area was modeled in VISSIM due to the interactions with freight and future interactions with LRT. The results of the existing AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections currently operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios, including a 75-car freight event. The overall intersection results are shown in Table 3.3 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more scenarios were as follows:

- Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps - Eastbound right-turn
- Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd - Southbound left-turn and through
- Wooddale Ave/ Rail Crossing - Northbound through, Southbound through
- Wooddale Ave/ W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St - Southbound left-turn and through; Westbound rightturn

The full table of existing conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.
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Table 3.3. Wooddale Station - Existing Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | AM <br> 75-Car <br> Freight | PM <br> No Freight <br> Event | PM <br> 75-Car <br> Freight |
|  | 1.7 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 5.2 |
| Ramps* | A | A | A | A |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB | 3.8 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 19.3 |
| Ramps* | A | A | A | C |
| Wooddale Ave/ South | 2.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 5.3 |
| Frontage Rd* | A | A | A | A |
| Wooddale Ave/ Rail | 1.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 4.6 |
| Crossing | A | A | A | A |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | 15.5 | 17.6 | 19.7 | 24.8 |
|  | B | B | B | C |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 3.2.4 Beltline Station

The Beltline Station area includes the portions of Beltline Blvd and CSAH 25 near the proposed Beltline Station. The area has an existing at-grade freight rail crossing of Beltline Blvd, which has cantilevered railroad flashers and automatic gates but does not preempt any signalized intersections.

This area was modeled in VISSIM due to the interactions with freight and future interactions with LRT. The results of the existing AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections currently operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, including a 75-car freight event. The overall intersection results are shown in Table 3.4 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more scenarios were as follows:

- Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 - Northbound left-turn, through, right-turn; Southbound through
- Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd - Eastbound right-turn

The full table of existing conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.
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Table 3.4. Beltline Station - Existing Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { AM } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { Event }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { AM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { PM } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { Event }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { PM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight }\end{array}$ |
|  | 29.4 | 29.1 | 38.2 | 37.9 |
|  | C | C | D |  |$]$

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 3.2.5 West Lake Station

The West Lake Station area does not have any vehicular connections to W Lake St (CSAH 5), but was included in the traffic modeling due to proposed bus stops on W Lake St over the LRT station.

This area was modeled in VISSIM due to the interactions between buses and vehicle traffic. No LRT or freight traffic was included in the modeling, since they are grade separated at W Lake St (CSAH 5).

The results of the existing AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections currently operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios. The overall intersection results are shown in Table 3.5 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more scenarios were as follows:

- W Lake St/ Market Plaza - Westbound left-turn

The full table of existing conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.
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Table 3.5. West Lake Station - Existing Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay <br> and LOS  <br>  AM <br> No Freight <br> Event <br> W Lake St/ Drew Ave PM <br> No Freight <br> Event <br>  2.4 <br> A <br> 23.9  <br> C  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

### 3.2.6 Cedar Lake Pkwy and 21st St Station

The 21st St Station area includes freight rail crossings at both 21st St W and Cedar Lake Pkwy. The existing freight crossing at Cedar Lake Pkwy has railroad flashers and the existing freight crossing at 21st St W has crossbucks and stop signs.

This area was modeled in VISSIM due to the interactions with freight and future interactions with LRT. The results of the existing AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections currently operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, with the following exception:

- $21^{\text {st }}$ St W/Rail Crossing in the existing PM peak 75-car Freight event

The overall intersection results are shown in Table 3.6 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more scenarios were as follows:

- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd - Northbound left-turn/right-turn
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd - Eastbound through; Westbound through
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave - Westbound through
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd - Westbound through

The full table of existing conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 3.6. Cedar Lake Pkwy and 21st St Station - Existing Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM <br> No Freight Event | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | PM <br> No Freight Event | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd* | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.4 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.8 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave* | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd* | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 32.4 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ |
| $21^{\text {st }}$ St W/ Rail Crossing* | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.4 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 3.2.7 Penn Station

The Penn Station area is not directly connected to the adjacent roadway network and there are not proposed interactions with LRT or freight, but was included in the traffic modeling due to a proposed passenger drop-off area near the interchange of Penn Ave and I-394.

This area was modeled in Synchro/SimTraffic because the rail does not interact with vehicle traffic, and the LRT is also proposed to be grade separated. The results of the existing AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections currently operate at LOS B or better during the peak hour scenarios. The overall intersection results are shown in Table 3.7 below.

No queuing issues were identified in the existing conditions.
The full table of existing conditions LOS and queuing analysis can be found in Appendix C.

Table 3.7. Penn Station - Existing Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay <br> and LOS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | PM <br> No Freight <br> Event |
|  | 13.0 | 12.6 |
| Ramps | B | B |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 EB | 9.9 | 16.0 |
| Ramps | A | B |
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### 3.2.8 Royalston Station

The Royalston Station area does not have any interactions with freight rail, but includes the proposed at-grade LRT crossings of Glenwood Ave and Royalston Ave, as well as the proposed station access at $7^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{N} / 5^{\text {th }}$ Ave N .

This area was modeled in VISSIM due to the future interactions with LRT. The results of the existing AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections currently operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios. The overall intersection results are shown in Table 3.8 below.

No queuing issues were identified in the analysis.
The full table of existing conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 3.8. Royalston Station - Existing Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay <br> and LOS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | PM <br> No Freight <br> Event |
|  | 20.4 <br> C | 22.4 |
| C |  |  |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 3.3 Existing Conditions Summary

All intersections operate at LOS D or better in the existing AM and PM peak conditions, with the following exception:

- $21^{\text {st }}$ St W/Rail Crossing in the PM Peak 75-car Freight Event scenario

The $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{W} /$ Rail Crossing intersection has very low traffic volumes, with less than 20 vehicles in each direction in the peak hour. Therefore the impact of the freight event on a few vehicles has a very significant influence on the average delay at the intersection. However, the delays do not cause queuing issues through upstream intersections.
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### 4.0 NO BUILD ANALYSIS

The No Build modeling was conducted to identify the expected traffic operations at the Opening Year of the SWLRT project (2020) and for the forecast horizon year (2040). The assumptions, methodology, and results of the No Build conditions analysis are presented in the following sections.

### 4.1 Assumptions

Opening Year and 2040 forecast peak hour volumes were based on the forecast daily traffic volumes for key roadway segments within the study area. Table 4.1 shows the existing and forecast daily traffic volumes for the East segment.

Table 4.1 - East Segment Existing and Forecast Daily Traffic Volumes

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Existing } \\ \text { (2013) } \\ \text { Daily } \\ \text { Traffic } \end{gathered}$ | Opening <br> Year <br> Forecast Daily Traffic | 2040 Forecasts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2040 <br> Forecast Daily Traffic | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \text { to } \\ 2040 \end{gathered}$ <br> Annual Growth Rate |
| Excelsior <br> Blvd <br> (CSAH 3) | 11th Ave to TH 169 | 23,200 | 23,800 | 25,300 | 0.3\% |
|  | TH 169 to Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | 23,500 | 24,100 | 25,600 | 0.3\% |
|  | Jackson Ave/Milwaukee St to Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 19,700 | 20,700 | 23,300 | 0.6\% |
|  | Blake Rd (CSAH 20) to Louisiana Ave | 19,000 | 21,000 | 26,600 | 1.3\% |
|  | Louisiana Ave to TH 100 | 22,200 | 23,900 | 28,600 | 0.9\% |
| $8^{\text {dh }}$ Ave | North of <br> Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) | 5,400 | 5,700 | 6,400 | 0.6\% |
|  | South of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 0.6\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave | North of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) | 4,600 | 4,800 | 5,400 | 0.6\% |
|  | South of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) | 3,300 | 3,500 | 3,900 | 0.6\% |
| Jackson Ave | North of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) | 6,300 | 6,600 | 7,500 | 0.6\% |
| Blake Rd <br> (CSAH <br> 20) | TH 7 to 2nd St NE | 13,600 | 15,000 | 19,000 | 1.2\% |
|  | 2nd St NE to Excelsior <br> Blvd (CSAH 3) | 13,700 | 15,100 | 19,200 | 1.3\% |
|  | South of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) | 9,300 | 9,800 | 11,000 | 0.6\% |
| Louisiana Ave | North of Oxford St | 13,800 | 14,500 | 16,300 | 0.6\% |
|  | South of Oxford St | 9,900 | 10,700 | 12,800 | 1.0\% |
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Table 4.1 - East Segment Existing and Forecast Daily Traffic Volumes (continued)

| Roadway | Segment | Existing (2013) Daily Traffic | Opening Year <br> Forecast Daily Traffic | 2040 Forecasts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2040 <br> Forecast Daily Traffic | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \text { to } \\ 2040 \end{gathered}$ <br> Annual Growth Rate |
| Wooddale <br> Ave | Lake St to TH 7 | 7,300 | 7,900 | 9,400 | 0.9\% |
|  | TH 7 to W 36th St | 15,700 | 16,500 | 18,600 | 0.6\% |
|  | South of W 36th St | 10,700 | 11,500 | 13,800 | 0.9\% |
| W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | TH 100 to Beltline Blvd | 14,100 | 15,200 | 18,200 | 0.9\% |
|  | Wooddale Ave to TH 100 | 17,800 | 18,700 | 21,100 | 0.6\% |
|  | West of Wooddale Ave | 4,000 | 4,200 | 4,700 | 0.6\% |
| Beltline <br> Blvd | Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) to CSAH 25 | 12,700 | 13,300 | 17,600 | 1.2\% |
|  | North of CSAH 25 | 4,500 | 4,700 | 5,900 | 1.0\% |
| CSAH 25 | TH 100 to Beltline Blvd | 25,500 | 26,100 | 28,700 | 0.4\% |
|  | East of Beltline Blvd | 21,200 | 22,300 | 26,300 | 0.8\% |
| W Lake St | West of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) split | 26,600 | 27,300 | 29,000 | 0.3\% |
| Cedar <br> Lake <br> Pkwy | East of Sunset Blvd | 8,900 | 9,100 | 9,700 | 0.3\% |
|  | West of Sunset Blvd | 4,800 | 4,900 | 5,200 | 0.3\% |
| Sunset <br> Blvd | South of Cedar Lake Pkwy | 3,000 | 3,100 | 3,300 | 0.4\% |
| $21^{\text {st }}$ St W | West of Thomas Ave | 400 | 400 | 450 | 0.4\% |
| Penn Ave | North of I-394 | 11,100 | 11,400 | 12,100 | 0.3\% |
| Glenwood <br> Ave | E Lyndale Ave to Royalston Ave | 7,200 | 7,400 | 7,800 | 0.3\% |
|  | East of Royalston Ave | 4,300 | 4,400 | 4,700 | 0.3\% |
| $12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{N}$ | South of Glenwood Ave | 7,200 | 7,400 | 7,800 | 0.3\% |
| Royalston <br> Ave | North of Holden St | 3,100 | 3,200 | 3,400 | 0.3\% |

The turning movement volumes for No Build AM and PM peak in the Opening Year and 2040 conditions are provided in Appendix A.
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In terms of freight train size and volume, current information from the TC\&W Railroad indicates that 14 weekly trains ( 2 per day) with 65 to 75 cars and 5 to 6 weekly trains (no more than 1 per day) with 80 to 125 cars are expected on the Canadian Pacific (CP) Bass Lake Spur. A train length of 75 cars was chosen for all future year scenarios for all the modeling areas with an atgrade freight crossing, to capture the impacts of the most likely freight event to occur during the peak hours.

Freight speeds of 25 mph were assumed for the at-grade freight crossings at $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave S, Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St, Blake Rd, Wooddale Ave, and Beltline Blvd on the Bass Lake Spur, and freight speeds of 10 mph were assumed for the at-grade freight crossings at Cedar Lake Pkwy and $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{W}$ on the Kenilworth Corridor.

Relative to time of day, the current data shows it is rare for a train to arrive during the peak hour. Even if the overall number of freight trains per day were to increase in the future conditins, the arrival of more than one freight train during the peak hour is extremely unlikely due to the distance that trains must travel on the single track configuration through the corridor. Therefore the analysis of one train arriving in the peak hour is still considered to be the worst case for future No Build and Build conditions.

The No Build analysis was based on the future year No Build traffic volumes, existing roadway geometrics and rail crossing treatments, and existing signal operations. The only geometric improvements assumed in the No Build analysis were based on projects that are currently programmed:

- Route modification of the Cedar Lake Regional Trail to cross the north leg of the Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St intersection and the east leg of the Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps intersection, instead of the east leg and south leg of the Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St intersection.
- Signal reconstruction at Wooddale Ave/W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St including the addition of a southbound left-turn phase, a northbound left-turn lane, and the creation of eastbound left-turn and right-turn lanes. Pedestrian movements were also assumed to be added to all legs of the intersection, as part of the improvements.

Signal timing was assumed to be optimized in the 2040 analysis, but no signal phasing changes were assumed.

The geometrics and intersection control for the No Build conditions are shown in the intersection layout tables provided in Appendix B.

### 4.2 Traffic Modeling Overview and Results

The same eight modeling areas created for the existing conditions modeling were used for the No Build analysis. The No Build operations results are presented by modeling area in the following sections.
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### 4.2.1 Downtown Hopkins Station and Blake Station

The results of the Opening Year No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, including a 75 -car freight event.

The results of the 2040 No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, including a 75-car freight event.

The overall intersection results for Opening Year are shown in Table 4.2 and the overall intersection results for 2040 are shown in Table 4.3 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more Opening Year No Build scenarios were as follows:

- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ $8^{\text {th }}$ Ave - Southbound left-turn
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave - Northbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn and right-turn
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St - Westbound through
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) - Northbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn
- Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ $2^{\text {nd }}$ St NE - Eastbound left-turn

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 No Build scenarios were as follows:

- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ $8^{\text {th }}$ Ave - Southbound left-turn
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave - Northbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn and right-turn
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St - Westbound through
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) - Northbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn
- Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ $2^{\text {nd }}$ St NE - Eastbound left-turn
- Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St - Northbound left-turn

The full table of No Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 4.2. Downtown Hopkins Station and Blake Station - Opening Year No Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening Year No Build AM No Freight Event | Opening Year No Build AM 75-Car Freight | Opening Year <br> No Build PM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year No Build PM 75-Car Freight |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ } \\ 8^{\text {th }} \text { Ave } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $18.4$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.5 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ } \\ & 5^{\text {th }} \text { Ave } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} 24.5 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.3 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.3 \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.8 \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} 38.5 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.8 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.2 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.9 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | $\begin{gathered} 39.6 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.7 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.5 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.0 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave* | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | $\begin{gathered} 38.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.9 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.7 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Rail } \\ \text { Crossing }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.3 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ } 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { St NE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $10.4$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | $\begin{gathered} 11.0 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

Table 4.3. Downtown Hopkins Station and Blake Station - 2040 No Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 No <br> Build AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | 2040 No <br> Build AM <br> 75-Car <br> Freight | 2040 No <br> Build PM <br> No Freight <br> Event | 2040 No <br> Build PM <br> 75-Car <br> Freight |
|  | 17.8 <br> B | 17.6 <br> B | 22.0 | C |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 4.2.2 Louisiana Station

The results of the Opening Year No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS A or better during the peak hour scenarios.

The results of the 2040 No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS B or better during the peak hour scenarios.

The overall intersection results are shown in Table 4.4 below.
No queuing issues were identified in the Opening Year or 2040 No Build conditions.
The full table of No Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 4.4. Louisiana Station - No Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening <br> Year No <br> Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ No <br> Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | Opening <br> Year No <br> Build <br> PM | 2040 No <br> Build <br> Po Freight <br> Event |
|  | Pu <br> No Freight <br> Event |  |  |  |
|  | 7.9 | 9.4 | 10.6 |  |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana | 6.1 | A | A | B |
| Cir | A | A | 7.4 | 8.2 |

### 4.2.3 Wooddale Station

The results of the Opening Year No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, including a 75 -car freight event.

The results of the 2040 No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, with the following exceptions:

- Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps in the 2040 No Build PM peak scenario with a 75-car freight event

It was also noted that the TH 7 EB ramp approach operated at LOS F and the TH 7 WB ramp approach operated at LOS E in the 2040 No Build PM peak scenario with no freight event. The poor LOS on the ramp approaches and the resulting queues were due to the two-lane section on Wooddale Ave and the side-street stop control at the ramp intersections.

The overall intersection results for Opening Year are shown in Table 4.5 and the overall intersection results for 2040 are shown in Table 4.6 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more Opening Year No Build scenarios were as follows:

- Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps - Eastbound left-turn and right-turn
- Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd - Southbound left-turn and through
- Wooddale Ave/ Rail Crossing - Northbound through, Southbound through
- Wooddale Ave/ W $36^{\text {th }}$ St - Southbound left-turn and through; Westbound rightturn

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 No Build scenarios were as follows:

- Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps - Westbound right-turn
- Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps - Eastbound left-turn and right-turn
- Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd - Southbound left-turn and through
- Wooddale Ave/ Rail Crossing - Northbound through, Southbound through
- Wooddale Ave/ W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St - Southbound left-turn and through; Westbound rightturn; Eastbound left-turn

It was noted that the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue would be expected to extend onto mainline TH 7 in the 2040 No Build PM peak scenarios, which is a safety and operations issue for TH 7. This queuing on the TH 7 EB ramps occurs because of the side-street stop control at Wooddale Ave. As queues on Wooddale Ave clear after the freight event, vehicles on Wooddale Ave have the right-of-way and therefore there are very few gaps for vehicles on the ramp to turn onto Wooddale Ave. An all-way stop condition would not be a good alternative to address this issue, due to the close spacing between the Wooddale Ave/TH 7 EB Ramps intersection and the freight crossing that can result in vehicles queues across the freight crossing in peak hours. The stop control does not provide the ability to clear the freight crossing of vehicles prior to the arrival of a freight train. A traffic signal would better manage the ramp queue by alternating assignment of right-of-way among the intersection approaches while still allowing for clearance of queues on Wooddale Ave across the tracks prior to a freight event.

The full table of No Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 4.5. Wooddale Station - Opening Year No Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening Year No Build AM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year No Build AM 75-Car Freight | Opening Year No Build PM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year No Build PM 75-Car Freight |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB | 2.7 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 8.0 |
| Ramps* | A | A | A | A |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB | 4.9 | 11.8 | 8.0 | 26.6 |
| Ramps* | A | B | A | D |
| Wooddale Ave/ South | 2.7 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 10.4 |
| Frontage Rd* | A | A | A | B |
| Wooddale Ave/ Rail | 1.9 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 3.4 |
| Crossing | A | A | A | A |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St | 15.4 | 17.5 | 16.6 | 20.7 |
| Wooddale Ave/ W $36{ }^{\text {St }}$ | B | B | B | C |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

Table 4.6. Wooddale Station - 2040 No Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 No <br> Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | $\begin{gathered} 2040 \text { No } \\ \text { Build } \\ \text { AM } \\ 75-\mathrm{Car} \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2040 \text { No } \\ \text { Build } \\ \text { PM } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { Event } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2040 \text { No } \\ \text { Build } \\ \text { PM } \\ 75-\mathrm{Car} \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps* | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ c \\ c \end{gathered}$ |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps* | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.9 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 28.3 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95.1 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.7 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| Wooddale Ave/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 24.3 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 4.2.4 Beltline Station

The results of the Opening Year No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, including a 75 -car freight event.

The results of the 2040 No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, with the following exceptions:

- Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 in the 2040 No Build PM peak 75-car Freight Event scenario
- Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd in the 2040 No Build PM peak in the No Freight Event and 75-car Freight Event scenarios
- Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd in the 2040 No Build PM peak 75-car Freight Event scenario

The poor LOS issues at the South Frontage Rd intersection was due to poor operations and queuing on northbound Beltline Blvd at the CSAH 25 intersection. The northbound approaches at the South Frontage Rd and Rail Crossing intersections were reported as operating at LOS E or LOS F in the 2040 No Build PM peak with no freight event, due to congestion from the CSAH 25 intersection. In addition, the Park Glen Rd approaches were reported as operating at LOS F in the 2040 No Build No Freight event scenarios due to the side-street stop control and the lack of available gaps in traffic on Beltline Blvd.

The overall intersection results for Opening Year are shown in Table 4.7 and the overall intersection results for 2040 are shown in Table 4.8 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more Opening Year No Build scenarios were as follows:

- Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 - Northbound left-turn, through, right-turn; Southbound through
- Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd - Eastbound right-turn

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 No Build scenarios were as follows:

- Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 - Northbound left-turn, through, right-turn; Southbound through
- Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd - Eastbound right-turn and through
- Beltline Blvd/ Rail Crossing - Northbound through
- Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd - Eastbound left-turn, through, right-turn; Westbound left-turn, through, right-turn;

The full table of No Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 4.7. Beltline Station - Opening Year No Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening Year No Build AM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year No Build AM 75-Car Freight | Opening Year No Build PM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year No Build PM 75-Car Freight |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | $\begin{gathered} 29.9 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.1 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.3 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.0 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

Table 4.8. Beltline Station - 2040 No Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 No <br> Build <br> AM <br> No Freight Event | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2040 No } \\ \text { Build } \\ \text { AM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2040 No Build PM No Freight Event | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2040 \text { No } \\ \text { Build } \\ \text { PM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | $\begin{gathered} 34.6 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.4 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 52.5 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.3 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 19.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.7 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.3 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.6 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.8 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 34.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.8 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave* | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 4.2.5 West Lake Station

The results of the Opening Year No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios.

The results of the 2040 No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios.

The overall intersection results are shown in Table 4.9 below.
Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more Opening Year No Build scenarios were as follows:

- W Lake St/ Market Plaza - Westbound left-turn

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 No Build scenarios were as follows:

- W Lake St/ Market Plaza - Westbound left-turn

The full table of No Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 4.9. West Lake Station - No Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening Year <br> No Build <br> AM | 2040 No <br> Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> No Freight <br> Event | Opening Year <br> No Build <br> PM <br> No Freight | 2040 No <br> Build <br> PM <br> Evo Freight |
|  | Event | Event |  |  |
|  | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| Ave | A | A | A | A |
| W Lake St/ Market | 22.2 | 23.0 | 33.8 | 34.9 |
| Plaza | C | C | C | C |

### 4.2.6 Cedar Lake Pkwy and 21st St Station

The results of the Opening Year No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, with the following exceptions:

- $21^{\text {st }}$ St W/Rail Crossing in the Opening Year No Build AM and PM peak 75-car freight events

The results of the 2040 No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios, with the following exceptions:

- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd in the 2040 No Build PM peak 75-car Freight event
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd in the 2040 No Build AM peak 75-car Freight event
- $21^{\text {st }}$ St W/Rail Crossing in the Opening Year No Build AM and PM peak 75-car freight events

The overall intersection results for Opening Year are shown in Table 4.10 and the overall intersection results for 2040 are shown in Table 4.11 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more Opening Year No Build scenarios were as follows:

- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd - Northbound left-turn/right-turn
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd - Eastbound through; Westbound through
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave - Westbound through
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd - Westbound through

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 No Build scenarios were as follows:

- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd - Northbound left-turn/right-turn
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd - Eastbound through; Westbound through
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave - Westbound through
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd - Westbound through

The full table of No Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 4.10. Cedar Lake Pkwy and 21st St Station - Opening Year No Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening Year <br> No Build <br> AM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year No Build AM 75-Car Freight | Opening Year <br> No Build PM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year <br> No Build <br> PM <br> 75-Car <br> Freight |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd* | $1.2$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $2.8$ | $44.9$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave* | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.6 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St}$ W/ Rail Crossing* | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.2 \\ \mathrm{E} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.4 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

Table 4.11. Cedar Lake Pkwy and 21st St Station - 2040 No Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ No Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | 2040 No Build <br> AM <br> 75-Car <br> Freight | 2040 No Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event | 2040 No Build <br> PM <br> 7-Car <br> Freight |
|  | 1.5 | 16.6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 4.2.7 Penn Station

The results of the Opening Year and 2040 No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections currently operate at LOS B or better during the peak hour scenarios. The overall intersection results are shown in Table 4.12 below.

No queuing issues were identified in the Opening Year or 2040 No Build conditions.
The full table of No Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 4.12. Penn Station - Opening Year and No Build Conditions Results

|  | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Opening } \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { No Build } \\ \text { AM }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{2 0 4 0} \\ \text { No Build } \\ \text { AM } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { Event }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Opening } \\ \text { Evear } \\ \text { No Build } \\ \text { PM }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2040 } \\ \text { No Build } \\ \text { PM Freight } \\ \text { Event }\end{array}$ |
|  | 13.2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Event |  |  |  |  |$]$
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### 4.2.8 Royalston Station

The results of the Opening Year and 2040 No Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios. The overall intersection results are shown in Table 4.13 below.

No queuing issues were identified in the analysis.
The full table of No Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 4.13. Royalston Station - Opening Year and 2040 No Build Conditions
Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening Year No Build AM <br> No Freight Event | 2040 No <br> Build AM <br> No Freight Event | Opening <br> Year No <br> Build <br> PM <br> No Freight Event | $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \text { No } \\ & \text { Build } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \text { No Freight } \\ & \text { Event } \end{aligned}$ |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | $\begin{gathered} 20.3 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.6 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.2 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.5 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ $12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{N} /$ Twins Way | $\begin{gathered} 20.9 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.9 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.5 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St* | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Royalston Ave/ } \\ \mathrm{N} *}}{ }{ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave N* | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ St $\mathrm{N} / 5^{\text {th }}$ Ave $\mathrm{N}^{*}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 4.3 No Build Conditions Summary

All intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better in the Opening Year No Build AM and PM peak conditions, with the following exception:

- $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{W} /$ Rail Crossing in the AM and PM 75-car Freight Event scenarios

The $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{W} /$ Rail Crossing intersection has very low traffic volumes in the Opening Year AM and PM peaks, with less than 20 vehicles in each direction in the peak hour. Therefore the impact of the freight event on a few vehicles has a very significant influence on the average delay at the intersection. However, the delays do not cause queuing issues through upstream intersections.
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All intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better in the 2040 No Build AM and PM peak conditions, with the following exception:

- Wooddale Ave/TH 7 EB Ramps in the PM 75-car Freight Event scenario
- Beltline Blvd/CSAH 25 in the PM 75-car Freight Event scenario
- Beltline Blvd/South Frontage Rd in the PM No Freight Event and PM 75-car Freight Event scenarios
- Beltline Blvd/Park Glen Rd in the PM 75-car Freight Event scenario
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/Sunset Blvd in the PM 75-car Freight Event scenario
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/Benton Ave in the AM 75-car Freight Event scenario
- $21^{\text {st }}$ St W/Rail Crossing in the AM and PM 75-car Freight Event scenarios

With the exception of Beltline Blvd/South Frontage Rd, the intersections with LOS E or LOS F operations were all due to a freight event in the peak hour. Since freight events are not expected to occur in the peak hours under typical conditions, the operations are generally considered acceptable.

The poor operations at the Beltline Blvd/South Frontage Rd intersection in the 2040 PM peak are due to congestion and queuing on northbound Beltline Blvd at the CSAH 25 intersection, which spill back through the South Frontage Rd and at times through the freight rail crossing.

On Wooddale Ave, although the TH 7 ramp intersections are expected to operate at LOS D or better in the No Freight Event scenarios, the TH 7 EB ramp approach operated at LOS F and the TH 7 WB ramp approach operated at LOS E in the 2040 No Build PM peak scenario with no freight event. The poor LOS on the ramp approaches and the resulting queues were due to the two-lane section on Wooddale Ave and the side-street stop control at the ramp intersections. In addition, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues on the TH 7 EB ramp would be expected to extend onto mainline TH 7 in the 2040 No Build PM peak.

### 5.0 BUILD ANALYSIS

The Build modeling was conducted to identify the expected traffic operations at the Opening Year of the SWLRT project (2020) and for the forecast horizon year (2040), with the LRT operating. The assumptions, methodology, and results of the Build conditions analysis are presented in the following sections.

### 5.1 Assumptions

Traffic volumes for the Build conditions were based on the same growth forecasts as the No Build conditions. At LRT stations, additional pedestrian volumes were incorporated into the modeling and additional vehicle traffic was added to the roadway network to account for traffic generated by park-and-ride facilities.

The control of each of the LRT crossings was identified based on the proximity to the freight rail alignment and adjacent signalized intersections. Table 5.1 below displays the existing and proposed control of the LRT crossings along the SWLRT alignment.

Table 5.1. East Segment LRT Crossing Treatments

| Crossing Location | - Existing Control | - Build Conditions Control |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Ave | - N/A - no crossing | - LRT gated with preemption of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ $8^{\text {th }}$ Ave signal. |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave | - Freight at-grade crossing with flashers. | - LRT and freight crossings both gated with preemption of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave signal. |
| TH 169 | - Freight grade separated under TH 169. | - LRT and freight grade separated under TH 169 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) | - Freight gated with preemption of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Milwaukee St/Jackson Ave signal. | - LRT grade separated over Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3). <br> - Freight gated with preemption of Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Milwaukee St/Jackson Ave signal. |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | - Freight gated crossing. | - LRT and freight combined gated crossing with preemption of Blake Rd (CSAH 20) $/ 2^{\text {nd }}$ St NE signal. |
| Louisiana Ave | - Freight grade separated over Louisiana Ave. | - LRT and freight grade separated over Louisiana Ave. |
| Wooddale Ave | - Freight gated crossing with preemption of Wooddale Ave/W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St signal. | - LRT and freight combined gated crossing with preemption of Wooddale Ave/ W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St signal. |
| TH 100 | - Freight grade separated over TH 100 . | - LRT and freight grade separated over TH 100. |
| Beltline Blvd | - Freight gated crossing. | - LRT and freight combined gated crossing, with queue cutter signal. |
| W Lake St | - Freight grade separated under W Lake St. | - LRT and freight grade separated under W Lake St. |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy | - Freight at-grade crossing with flashers. | - LRT grade separated under Cedar Lake Pkwy. Freight atgrade crossing with flashers. |
| $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{W}$ | - Freight at-grade crossing with stop signs. | - LRT and freight combined gated crossing. |
| I-394 | - Freight grade separated under I394. | - LRT and freight grade separated under I-394. |
| Van White Blvd | - Freight grade separated under Van White Blvd. | - LRT and freight grade separated under Van White Blvd. |
| I-94 | - Freight grade separated under I94. | - LRT and freight grade separated under I-94. |

Table 5.1. East Segment LRT Crossing Treatments (continued)

| Crossing Location | Existing Control | Build Conditions Control |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Glenwood Ave | - Freight grade separated under <br> Glenwood Ave. | - LRT gated crossing. Freight <br> grade separated under <br> Glenwood Ave. |
| Royalston Ave | N/A - no crossing | - LRT controlled by traffic <br> signal at Royalston Ave/ <br> Holden St. |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave N | N/A - no crossing | - LRT grade separated over 5 th <br> Ave N. |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ St N | N/A - no crossing | - LRT grade separated over 7 $7^{\text {th }}$ <br> St N. |

Several improvements were identified as part of the scope of the project in order to provide control of the LRT at intersections and to provide adequate facilities for park-and-ride traffic. These project elements were incorporated into the Build conditions modeling and included:

- Modify southbound $8^{\text {th }}$ Ave lane geometrics at Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) to create a shared left-turn/through/ right-turn lane, as requested by the City of Hopkins.
- Modify southbound Penn Ave lane geometrics at I-394 WB Ramps to create a shared through/right-turn lane, as requested by the City of Minneapolis.
- Install a new traffic signal at Royalston Ave/Holden St to safely control LRT movements through the intersection.
- Install a new traffic signal at $7^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{N} / 5^{\text {th }}$ Ave N to facilitate pedestrian movements to the Royalston Station.

In addition to the improvements identified in the No Build conditions, some improvements were also identified as part of the Build project in order to provide LOS D or better operations at all intersections in the Build conditions and to provide safe and efficient traffic and LRT operations. These project improvements included:

- Install a new traffic signal at Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave to accommodate park-and-ride vehicle traffic at the Blake Station.
- Lengthen southbound left-turn lane on Louisiana Ave at Oxford St to accommodate park-and-ride vehicle traffic at the Louisiana Station.
- Restripe Wooddale Ave as a four-lane roadway between TH 7 EB Ramps and W $36^{\text {th }}$ St to accommodate future traffic volumes and queues on Wooddale Ave.
- Install new traffic signals at Wooddale Ave/TH 7 EB Ramps and Wooddale Ave/TH 7 WB ramps to improve operations and better manage ramp queues.
- Convert Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd intersection to right-in/right-out to reduce left-turn conflicts, improve traffic flow on Wooddale Ave, and provide improved access management.
- Improve and expand northbound Beltline Blvd lane geometrics at CSAH 25 to improve left-turn capacity.
- Install a new queue cutter signal on Beltline Blvd at the rail crossing to prevent queues from extending across the tracks.
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- Install a new traffic signal at CSAH 25/Lynn Ave to accommodate park-and-ride vehicle traffic at the Beltline Station.

Locally Requested Capital Investments (LRCIs) were also modeled at several locations, based on the improvement projects identified by the local agencies. The projects that were incorporated into the traffic modeling included:

- Grade separated trail crossing of Blake Rd (CSAH 20)
- Grade separated trail crossing of Wooddale Ave
- Grade separated trail crossing of Beltline Blvd
- Intersection capacity improvements on the westbound and southbound approaches of the Beltline Blvd/CSAH 25 intersection
- Backage road parallel to CSAH 25, connecting to Lynn Ave intersection.

Signal phasing was also modified at several locations to provide protected-only turn phasing for turn movements across the tracks and to provide the ability to run track clearance phases where signal preemption was modeled. Signal timing was assumed to be optimized for all traffic signals in the Build conditions.

The Build conditions modeled for each intersection and at-grade crossing, including all intersection control changes, are shown in the intersection layout tables in Appendix B.

As previously discussed in Section 2.2, vehicle traffic expected to be generated by the park-andride facilities was added to the base No Build volumes to produce the Build conditions peak hour volumes. The location and size of park-and-ride facilities included in the traffic modeling are summarized in Table 5.2. The analysis represents the park-and-ride demand as identified in the forecast modeling and as a result, in some cases the modeling included more parking spaces than are proposed to be built as part of the SWLRT project. The analysis assumed a higher number of potential parking spaces in order to capture the full parking capacity of the site and produce a conservative analysis of the park-and-ride traffic impacts.

Table 5.2. Park-and-Ride Facility Summary

| Location | Number of Parking <br> Spaces Analyzed |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downtown Hopkins Station | 250 |
| Blake Station | 240 |
| Beltline Station | 545 |

Additional vehicle and pedestrian volumes generated by the feeder bus network and the pedestrians at each station were also added into the peak hour modeling. The modeled AM and PM peak hour Build turning movement volumes for the Opening Year and 2040 conditions are provided in Appendix A.

### 5.2 Build Traffic Modeling Overview and Results

The same eight modeling areas created for the existing and No Build conditions modeling were used for the Build analysis. The Build operations results are presented by modeling area in the following sections.
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### 5.2.1 Downtown Hopkins Station and Blake Station

The results of the Opening Year Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, including a 75-car freight event.

The results of the 2040 Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, with the following exception:

- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Milwaukee St/ Jackson Ave in the PM peak 75-car Freight Event scenario

A freight event is not expected to occur in the peak hours, therefore mitigations were not identified to address the operations at this intersection.

The overall intersection results for Opening Year are shown in Table 5.3 and the overall intersection results for 2040 are shown in Table 5.4 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more Opening Year Build scenarios were as follows:

- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave - Northbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn and right-turn
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St - Westbound through
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) - Northbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn
- Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ $2^{\text {nd }}$ St NE - Eastbound left-turn

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 Build scenarios were as follows:

- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave - Northbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn and right-turn
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps - Southbound left-turn and through
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St - Westbound through
- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) - Northbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn
- Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ $2^{\text {nd }}$ St NE - Eastbound left-turn
- Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St - Northbound left-turn

The full table of Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 5.3. Downtown Hopkins Station and Blake Station - Opening Year Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening Year Build AM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year Build AM 75-Car Freight | Opening Year Build PM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year Build PM 75-Car Freight |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ } \\ 8^{\text {th }} \text { Ave } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.3 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.2 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ } \\ & 5^{\text {th }} \text { Ave } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30.1 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} 26.4 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} 39.8 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.1 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | $\begin{gathered} 41.0 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.1 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.5 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.1 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ <br> Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.6 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 41.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 36.2 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 37.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Rail <br> Crossing | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ \text { A } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ } 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { St NE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.9 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.1 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5.4. Downtown Hopkins Station and Blake Station - 2040 Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 Build AM <br> No Freight Event | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { AM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2040 Build PM No Freight Event | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { PM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ } \\ & 8^{\text {th }} \text { Ave } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ } \\ & 5^{5^{\text {th }} \text { Ave }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.3 \\ \text { C } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.0 \\ \text { C } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0 \\ \text { C } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.2 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} 27.7 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.8 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.3 \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} 43.0 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.8 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.4 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | $\begin{gathered} 44.2 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.5 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.4 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.1 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ <br> Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | $\begin{gathered} 41.5 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.8 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.2 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.7 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ } 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { St NE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 22.2 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.6 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.5 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ <br> Cambridge St | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.2 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 24.4 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |

### 5.2.2 Louisiana Station

The results of the Opening Year Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS B or better during the peak hour scenarios.

The results of the 2040 Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS B or better during the peak hour scenarios.

The overall intersection results are shown in Table 5.5 below.
No queuing issues were identified in the Opening Year or 2040 Build conditions.
The full table of Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 5.5. Louisiana Station -Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening <br> Year Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | Opening <br> Year Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event <br> Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St11.3 <br> B |
|  | 11.9 <br> B | 13.0 |  |  |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana <br> Cir | 9.4 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 10.1 |

### 5.2.3 Wooddale Station

The results of the Opening Year Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, including a $75-$ car freight event.

The results of the 2040 Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, including a 75 -car freight event.

The improvement in operations in the 2040 Build conditions at the TH 7 EB Ramp intersection, compared to the 2040 No Build conditions, is due to the improvements on Wooddale Ave included as part of the project.

The overall intersection results for Opening Year are shown in Table 5.6 and the overall intersection results for 2040 are shown in Table 5.7 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more Opening Year Build scenarios were as follows:

- Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps - Westbound right-turn
- Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps - Eastbound right-turn
- Wooddale Ave/ Rail Crossing - Northbound through
- Wooddale Ave/ W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St - Eastbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn and through; Westbound right-turn

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 Build scenarios were as follows:

- Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps - Westbound right-turn
- Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps - Eastbound left-turn and right-turn
- Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd - Southbound through
- Wooddale Ave/ Rail Crossing - Northbound through
- Wooddale Ave/ W $36^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ - Southbound left-turn and through; Westbound rightturn; Eastbound left-turn

The full table of Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 5.6. Wooddale Station - Opening Year Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening <br> Year Build <br> AM | Opening <br> Year Build <br> AM | Opening <br> Year Build <br> PM | Opening <br> Year Build <br> PM |
|  | No Freight <br> Event | 75-Car <br> Freight | No Freight <br> Event | 75-Car <br> Freight |
|  | 12.4 | 16.2 | 15.1 | 20.6 |
| Ramps | B | B | B | C |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB | 9.8 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 18.2 |
| Ramps | A | B | B | B |
| Wooddale Ave/ South | 6.1 | 7.7 | 5.6 | 8.5 |
| Frontage Rd* | A | A | A | A |
| Wooddale Ave/ Rail | 5.0 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Crossing | A | A | A | A |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | 22.6 | 23.2 | 26.3 | 38.7 |
|  | C | C | C | D |

Table 5.7. Wooddale Station - 2040 Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { AM } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { Event } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { AM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { PM } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { Event } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { PM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.8 \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.2 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} 9.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.0 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Wooddale Ave/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St | $\begin{gathered} 22.6 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.6 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.6 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.0 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection
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### 5.2.4 Beltline Station

The results of the Opening Year Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, including a 75 -car freight event.

The results of the 2040 Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hour scenarios, with the following exceptions:

- Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd in the 2040 Build AM peak 75-car Freight Event scenario

The poor LOS at the Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd intersection in the 2040 AM peak is due to the 75 -car freight event, which causes vehicle queues beyond the Park Glen Rd intersection and results in a lack of gaps for traffic turning left from Park Glen Rd onto Beltline Blvd. A freight event is not expected to occur in the peak hours, therefore mitigations were not identified to address the operations at this intersection.

The overall intersection results for Opening Year are shown in Table 5.8 and the overall intersection results for 2040 are shown in Table 5.9 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more Opening Year Build scenarios were as follows:

- Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 - Northbound left-turn, through, right-turn; Southbound through

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 Build scenarios were as follows:

- Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 - Northbound left-turn, through, right-turn; Southbound through
- Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd - Eastbound right-turn
- Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd -Westbound left-turn, through, right-turn

The queues on northbound Beltline Blvd were also identified as an issue in the No Build modeling. The potential safety impact of the northbound queues in the rail crossing has been addressed using a queue cutter signal, as mentioned in Section 5.1. The queue cutter signal improves the safety and operations of the rail crossing by stopping northbound traffic before the queues from CSAH 25 can extend into the rail crossing.

The full table of Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 5.8. Beltline Station - Opening Year Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening <br> Year Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | Opening Year Build AM 75-Car Freight | Opening <br> Year Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event | Opening Year Build PM 75-Car Freight |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.7 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.4 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.2 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection
Table 5.9. Beltline Station - 2040 Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { AM } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { Event } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { AM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { PM } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { Event } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { PM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.4 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.6 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.2 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.8 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 21.5 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.8 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $19.4$ |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 5.2.5 West Lake Station

The results of the Opening Year Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios.

The results of the 2040 Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios.
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The overall intersection results are shown in Table $\mathbf{5 . 1 0}$ below.
Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more Opening Year Build scenarios were as follows:

- W Lake St/ Market Plaza - Westbound left-turn

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 Build scenarios were as follows:

- W Lake St/ Market Plaza - Westbound left-turn

The full table of Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 5.10. West Lake Station - Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening <br> Year Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | Opening <br> Year Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event |
|  | 2.2 |  |  |  |
|  | A | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| W Lake St/ Market Plaza | 25.3 | A | A | A |
|  | C | C | 33.7 | 34.7 |

### 5.2.6 Cedar Lake Pkwy and 21st St Station

The results of the Opening Year Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios, with the following exceptions:

- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd in the Opening Year Build PM peak 75-car Freight event
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd in the Opening Year Build AM peak 75-car Freight event
- $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{W} /$ Rail Crossing in the Opening Year Build AM and PM peak 75-car freight events

The results of the 2040 Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios, with the following exceptions:

- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd in the 2040 Build PM peak 75-car Freight event
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd in the 2040 Build AM peak 75-car Freight event
- $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St}$ W/Rail Crossing in the Opening Year Build AM and PM peak 75-car freight events

The intersections with poor LOS are all due to freight events in the peak hour and there was no notable change from the No Build conditions. A freight event is not expected to occur in the peak hours, therefore mitigations were not identified to address the operations at these intersections.

The overall intersection results for Opening Year are shown in Table 5.11 and the overall intersection results for 2040 are shown in Table 5.12 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more Opening Year Build scenarios were as follows:

- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd - Northbound left-turn/right-turn
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd - Eastbound through; Westbound through
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave - Westbound through
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd - Westbound through

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 Build scenarios were as follows:

- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd - Northbound left-turn/right-turn
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd - Eastbound through; Westbound through
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave - Westbound through
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd - Westbound through

The full table of Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 5.11. Cedar Lake Pkwy and 21st St Station - Opening Year Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening Year Build AM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year Build AM 75-Car Freight | Opening Year Build PM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year Build PM 75-Car Freight |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blyd* | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $49.6$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 36.4 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.7 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| $21^{\text {st }}$ St W/ Rail Crossing* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.3 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.9 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection
Table 5.12. Cedar Lake Pkwy and 21st St Station - 2040 Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 Build AM <br> No Freight Event | $\begin{gathered} 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { AM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { PM } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { Event } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2040 Build } \\ \text { PM } \\ \text { 75-Car } \\ \text { Freight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd* | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.6 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46.0 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd* | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.6 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| $21^{\text {st }}$ St W/ Rail Crossing* | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $47.4$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $50.2$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 5.2.7 Penn Station

The results of the Opening Year and 2040 Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections currently operate at LOS B or better during the peak hour scenarios. The overall intersection results are shown in Table 5.13 below.

No queuing issues were identified in the Opening Year or 2040 Build conditions.

The full table of Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 5.13. Penn Station - Opening Year and Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening <br> Year Build <br> AM | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Ev Freight <br> Event | Opening <br> Year Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event |
|  | 15.0 |  |  |  |
|  | B | 18.1 | 13.7 | 14.2 |
| Benn Ave/ I-394 EB | 10.4 | 10.9 | 15.2 | 16.3 |
| Ramps | B | B | B | B |

### 5.2.8 Royalston Station

The results of the Opening Year and 2040 Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hour scenarios. The overall intersection results are shown in Table 5.14 below.

No queuing issues were identified in the analysis.
The full table of Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 5.14. Royalston Station - Opening Year and 2040 Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening Year Build AM No Freight Event | $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \text { Build } \\ & \text { AM } \\ & \text { No Freight } \\ & \text { Event } \end{aligned}$ | Opening Year Build PM No Freight Event | 2040 Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | $\begin{gathered} 19.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.0 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Glenwood Ave/ LRT Crossing | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ $12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{N} /$ Twins Way | $\begin{gathered} 20.0 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.9 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.5 \\ B \end{gathered}$ |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Royalston Ave/ } \\ \mathrm{N}^{\text {th }}}}{ }$ Ave N* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ St N/ 5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave N | $\begin{gathered} 24.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.8 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |

[^0]
## Page 48 of 84

### 5.3 Build Conditions Summary

All intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better in the Opening Year Build AM and PM peak conditions, with the following exceptions:

- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd in the Opening Year Build PM peak 75-car Freight event
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd in the Opening Year Build AM peak 75-car Freight event
- $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St}$ W/Rail Crossing in the Opening Year Build AM and PM peak 75-car freight events

The $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{W} /$ Rail Crossing intersection has very low traffic volumes in the Opening Year AM and PM peaks, with less than 20 vehicles in each direction in the peak hour. Therefore the impact of the freight event on a few vehicles has a very significant influence on the average delay at the intersection. However, the delays do not cause queuing issues through upstream intersections.

All intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better in the 2040 Build AM and PM peak conditions, with the following exceptions:

- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Milwaukee St/ Jackson Ave in the PM peak 75-car Freight Event scenario
- Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd in the 2040 Build AM peak 75-car Freight Event scenario
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd in the 2040 Build PM peak 75-car Freight event
- Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd in the 2040 Build AM peak 75-car Freight event
- $21^{\text {st }}$ St W/Rail Crossing in the Opening Year Build AM and PM peak 75-car freight events

Overall, there are fewer failing intersections in the Build conditions than in the No Build conditions due to the improvements made as part of the SWLRT project. In addition, all the intersections with LOS E or F were due to a freight event in the peak hour. Since freight events are not expected to occur in the peak hours under typical conditions, no additional mitigations are proposed for the intersections.

### 5.4 Summary of Improvements

Several improvements were identified in order to provide LOS D or better operations at all intersections in the Build conditions and to provide safe and efficient traffic and LRT operations. These improvements are incorporated into the scope of the project, including:

- Install a new traffic signal at Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave to accommodate park-and-ride vehicle traffic at the Blake Station.
- Lengthen southbound left-turn lane on Louisiana Ave at Oxford St to accommodate park-and-ride vehicle traffic at the Louisiana Station.
- Restripe Wooddale Ave as a four-lane roadway between TH 7 EB Ramps and W $36^{\text {th }}$ St to accommodate future traffic volumes and queues on Wooddale Ave.
- Install new traffic signals at Wooddale Ave/TH 7 EB Ramps and Wooddale Ave/TH 7 WB ramps to improve operations and better manage ramp queues.
- Convert Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd intersection to right-in/right-out to reduce left-turn conflicts, improve traffic flow on Wooddale Ave, and provide improved access management.
- Improve and expand northbound Beltline Blvd lane geometrics at CSAH 25 to improve left-turn capacity.
- Install a new queue cutter signal on Beltline Blvd at the rail crossing to prevent queues from extending across the tracks.
- Install a new traffic signal at CSAH 25/Lynn Ave to accommodate park-and-ride vehicle traffic at the Beltline Station.


### 6.0 SENSITIVITY TESTING

In addition to the Build modeling completed for the project, several additional scenarios were modeled for the purposes of sensitivity testing and to document the operations of alternatives. These additional analyses were conducted for the 2040 Build conditions only. The following sections present the assumptions and analysis results for the modeling of the alternative scenarios.

### 6.1 Locally Requested Capital Investment (LRCI) Analysis

Several LRCI improvements requested and funded by the local agencies were incorporated into the modeling of the Build scenarios presented in Section 5. The LRCI projects included the following improvements:

- Grade separated trail crossing of Blake Rd (CSAH 20)
- Grade separated trail crossing of Wooddale Ave
- Grade separated trail crossing of Beltline Blvd
- Intersection capacity and pedestrian crossing improvements on the westbound and southbound approaches of the Beltline Blvd/CSAH 25 intersection
- Backage road parallel to CSAH 25, connecting to Lynn Ave intersection.

Additional scenario testing was done to determine the potential impacts on traffic operations if the LRCI improvements were not completed as part of the SWLRT construction. The trail crossing projects are not expected to have a significant impact on traffic operations since the crossings are uncontrolled for vehicle traffic and do not cause significant delay. However, the improvements at the Beltline Blvd/CSAH 25 intersection and the backage road have the potential to change the expected operations in this area therefore additional modeling was completed. The modeling assumed that the other project improvements in this area were completed as identified in Section 5.1, however the LRCI improvements were not completed. All other Build assumptions remained unchanged, including traffic volumes and signal operations.

The analysis showed that all intersections would continue to operate at LOS D or better in the 2040 Build conditions if the LRCI improvements are not constructed.

Table 6.1 shows the results of the Beltline Blvd analysis for the 2040 Build conditions without the LRCI improvements.
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Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 Build scenarios without the LRCI improvements were as follows:

- Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 - Northbound left-turn, through, right-turn; Southbound through; Westbound left-turn
- Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd - Eastbound right-turn
- Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd -Westbound left-turn, through, right-turn

Table 6.1. Beltline Station - 2040 Build Conditions Results without LRCI Improvements

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and <br> LOS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event Without <br> LRCI | 2040 Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event Without <br> LRCI |
|  | 30.7 |  |
|  | C | 52.8 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South | 6.1 | D |
| Frontage Rd* | A | 21.2 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Rail | 6.0 | C |
| Crossing | A | 8.1 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen | 21.4 | A |
| Rd* | C | 7.7 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 4.6 | A |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection
The only notable difference in the intersection operations if the LRCI improvements are not completed at Beltline Blvd would be that the westbound approach on Beltline Blvd at CSAH 25 would be expected to have more delay and queuing. This is due to the elimination of the left-turn lane improvements as part of the LRCI.

### 6.2 Joint Development Analysis

The SWLRT project includes creating development-ready sites next to the Blake Station and Beltline Station. The project does not include build-out of these sites, but additional traffic analysis was completed to document the expected traffic impacts when the developments open. The 2040 Build scenarios were used for the analysis, since any improvements would be based on the horizon year forecasts and operations.

### 6.2.1 Blake Station Joint Development

The development scenario assumed for the Blake Station site included the following land uses and sizes:

- 23,700 square feet of retail
- 270 residential units

The trips expected to be generated by this development were calculated by first comparing the land uses to the development already included in this area as part of the background growth in the 2040 forecasts. Then ITE Trip Generation, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition was used to calculate the peak hour trips expected to be generated by the development, using ITE-recommended rates for internal capture and a 15 percent reduction for transitoriented development next to an LRT station. The additional new trips expected to be generated by the development were as follows:

- 2040 Build AM Peak: 209 trips (80 trips in, 129 trips out)
- 2040 Build PM Peak: 153 trips (88 trips in, 65 trips out)

The development access was assumed to be located on Blake Rd (CSAH 20) at the existing unsignalized access between Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3) and the Rail Crossing. Traffic was distributed onto the surrounding roadway network based on the current traffic volume patterns in the area.

The 2040 Build modeling of the Blake Joint Development showed that all intersections are expected to continue to operate at LOS D or better. The overall intersection results for 2040 are shown in Table 6.2 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 Build Joint Development scenarios were as follows:

- Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) - Northbound left-turn; Southbound left-turn
- Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ $2^{\text {nd }}$ St NE - Eastbound left-turn

No intersection operations or new queuing issues were identified as a result of the joint development. No additional improvements are expected to be necessary as part of the development.

The full table of Build Joint Development conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 6.2. Blake Station - 2040 Build Joint Development Results

|  | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2040 Build } \\ \text { Joint Development } \\ \text { AM }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2040 Build } \\ \text { Joint Development } \\ \text { PM } \\ \text { No Freight Event }\end{array}$ |
| No Freight Event |  |  |$\}$

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 6.2.2 Beltline Station Joint Development

The development scenario assumed for the Beltline Station site included the following land uses and sizes:

- 12,200 square feet of retail
- 260 residential units
- 312,000 square feet of office

The trips expected to be generated by this development were calculated by first comparing the land uses to the development already included in this area as part of the background growth in the 2040 forecasts. Then ITE Trip Generation, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition was used to calculate the peak hour trips expected to be generated by the development, using ITE-recommended rates for internal capture and a 15 percent reduction for transitoriented development next to an LRT station. The additional new trips expected to be generated by the development were as follows:

- 2040 Build AM Peak: 377 trips (332 trips in, 45 trips out)
- 2040 Build PM Peak: 364 trips ( 62 trips in, 302 trips out)

The primary development access was assumed to be located on CSAH 25 at the new signalized access at Lynn Ave, as well as the right-in access points on Beltline Blvd and CSAH 25. Traffic was distributed onto the surrounding roadway network based on the current traffic volume patterns in the area.

The 2040 Build modeling of the Joint Development scenario at Beltline Blvd showed that all intersections are expected to continue to operate at LOS D or better. The overall intersection results for 2040 are shown in Table 6.3 below.

Movements for which queuing issues were identified in one or more 2040 Build Joint Development scenarios were as follows:

- Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 - Northbound left-turn, through, right-turn; Southbound through

No intersection operations issues were identified as a result of the joint development. However, it was noted that the delay on the westbound approach of Park Glen Rd at Beltline Blvd increased significantly and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue doubled compared to the 2040 Build conditions. This is primarily due to the increase in traffic on Beltline Blvd, which limits the available gaps for traffic turning left from Park Glen Rd. Therefore, it is recommended that the westbound approach of Park Glen Rd at Beltline Blvd be modified to provide a separate left-turn lane. This is expected to decrease the approach delays and reduce the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues to levels similar or better than the 2040 No Build conditions. No additional improvements are expected to be necessary as part of the development.

The full table of LOS and queuing analysis results for the Build Joint Development scenario can be found in Appendix C.

Table 6.3. Beltline Station - 2040 Build Joint Development Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 Build Joint Development AM No Freight Event | 2040 Build Joint <br> Development PM No Freight Event | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2040 \text { Build } \\ \text { Joint } \\ \text { Development } \\ \text { AM } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { Event - With } \\ \text { Improvements } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2040 Build <br> Joint <br> Development <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event - With <br> Improvements |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.7 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.0 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.8 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $6.0$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 24.6 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection

### 6.2.3 Joint Development Summary

The joint development sites proposed at the Blake Station and Beltline Station are expected to generate additional traffic over what was assumed in the 2040 Build scenario. No improvements are expected to be necessary to accommodate the development traffic at the Blake Station. At the Beltline Station, a westbound left-turn lane on Park Glen Rd at Beltline Blvd is recommended to reduce delays and queuing on that approach. This
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improvement is needed due to the increased traffic volume on Beltline Blvd as a result of the development, which limit gaps in traffic for vehicles turning from Park Glen Rd.

### 7.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In the Existing conditions, all intersections operate at LOS D or better in the existing AM and PM peak conditions in the No Freight Event scenario. If a 75 -car freight event were to occur in the AM or PM peak, one intersection is expected to operate at LOS E or LOS F. However, freight events do not typically occur in the peak hours in the existing conditions and are not expected to occur in the future year peak hours.

In the Opening Year No Build conditions, all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better in the AM and PM peak No Freight Event scenarios. If a 75 -car freight event were to occur in the AM or PM peak, one intersection is expected to operate at LOS E or LOS F.

In the 2040 No Build conditions, all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better in the AM and PM peak No Freight Event scenarios, with the exception of the Beltline Blvd/South Frontage Rd intersection. The poor operations at the Beltline Blvd/South Frontage Rd intersection in the 2040 PM peak are due to congestion and queuing on northbound Beltline Blvd at the CSAH 25 intersection, which spills back through the South Frontage Rd and at times through the freight rail crossing.

On Wooddale Ave, although the TH 7 ramp intersections are expected to operate at LOS D or better in the 2040 No Build No Freight Event scenarios, the TH 7 EB ramp approach operated at LOS F and the TH 7 WB ramp approach operated at LOS E in the PM peak. The poor LOS on the ramp approaches and the resulting queues were due to the two-lane section on Wooddale Ave and the side-street stop control at the ramp intersections. In addition, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues on the TH 7 EB ramp would be expected to extend onto mainline TH 7 in the 2040 No Build PM peak.

If a 75 -car freight event were to occur in the 2040 No Build AM or PM peak, five additional intersections would be expected to operate at LOS E or LOS F.

In the Build modeling, Locally Requested Capital Investments (LRCIs) were incorporated at several locations, based on the improvement projects identified by the local agencies. The projects that were incorporated into the traffic modeling included:

- Grade separated trail crossing of Blake Rd (CSAH 20)
- Grade separated trail crossing of Wooddale Ave
- Grade separated trail crossing of Beltline Blvd
- Intersection capacity improvements on the westbound and southbound approaches of the Beltline Blvd/CSAH 25 intersection
- Backage road parallel to CSAH 25, connecting to Lynn Ave intersection.

Several improvements were also identified in order to provide LOS D or better operations at all intersections in the Build conditions and to provide safe and efficient traffic and LRT operations. These improvements are incorporated into the scope of the project, including:

- Install a new traffic signal at Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave to accommodate park-andride vehicle traffic at the Blake Station.
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- Lengthen southbound left-turn lane on Louisiana Ave at Oxford St to accommodate park-and-ride vehicle traffic at the Louisiana Station.
- Restripe Wooddale Ave as a four-lane roadway between TH 7 EB Ramps and W $36^{\text {th }}$ St to accommodate future traffic volumes and queues on Wooddale Ave.
- Install new traffic signals at Wooddale Ave/TH 7 EB Ramps and Wooddale Ave/TH 7 WB ramps to improve operations and better manage ramp queues.
- Convert Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd intersection to right-in/right-out to reduce left-turn conflicts, improve traffic flow on Wooddale Ave, and provide improved access management.
- Improve and expand northbound Beltline Blvd lane geometrics at CSAH 25 to improve left-turn capacity.
- Install a new queue cutter signal on Beltline Blvd at the rail crossing to prevent queues from extending across the tracks.
- Install a new traffic signal at CSAH 25/Lynn Ave to accommodate park-and-ride vehicle traffic at the Beltline Station.

With these improvements incorporated, in the Opening Year Build conditions all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better in the AM and PM peak No Freight Event scenarios. If a 75 -car freight event were to occur in the AM or PM peak, three intersections would be expected to operate at LOS E or LOS F.

In the 2040 Build conditions, all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS D or better in the AM and PM peak No Freight Event scenarios. If a 75 -car freight event were to occur in the AM or PM peak, three intersections would be expected to operate at LOS E or LOS F.

Overall, there are fewer failing intersections in the Build conditions than in the No Build conditions due to the improvements made as part of the SWLRT project. In addition, all the intersections with LOS E or $F$ were due to a freight event in the peak hour. Since freight events are not expected to occur in the peak hours under typical conditions, no additional mitigations are proposed for the intersections.

Additional scenario testing showed that the Beltline Blvd/CSAH 25 intersection would be expected to continue to operate at LOS D or better in the 2040 Build AM and PM peak even if the LRCI improvements are not constructed.

Analysis of the joint development sites proposed at the Blake Station and Beltline Station are expected to generate additional traffic over what was assumed in the 2040 Build scenario. No improvements are expected to be necessary to accommodate the development traffic at the Blake Station. At the Beltline Station, a westbound left-turn lane on Park Glen Rd at Beltline Blvd is recommended to reduce delays and queuing on that approach. This improvement is needed due to the increased traffic volume on Beltline Blvd as a result of the development, which limit gaps in traffic for vehicles turning from Park Glen Rd.
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Appendix A - Existing and Forecast Traffic Volumes
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Table A1. Existing AM Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 8th Ave | 5 | 1 | 4 | 67 | 1 | 31 | 36 | 670 | 18 | 18 | 613 | 66 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5th Ave | 38 | 22 | 25 | 80 | 23 | 34 | 46 | 653 | 42 | 37 | 625 | 111 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 403 | 0 | 238 | 109 | 649 | 0 | 0 | 535 | 158 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | 7 | 2 | 6 | 527 | 4 | 200 | 247 | 786 | 19 | 5 | 486 | 182 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | 30 | 14 | 48 | 10 | 13 | 126 | 520 | 769 | 30 | 33 | 517 | 91 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 49 | 0 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 658 | 81 | 40 | 670 | 4 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 148 | 193 | 50 | 140 | 159 | 124 | 63 | 551 | 66 | 51 | 442 | 47 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 75 | 225 | 1 | 3 | 361 | 217 | 89 | 1 | 59 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | 43 | 263 | 10 | 13 | 494 | 12 | 128 | 9 | 59 | 28 | 8 | 23 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 48 | 249 | 7 | 70 | 443 | 194 | 67 | 15 | 33 | 9 | 20 | 32 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 16 | 223 | 80 | 194 | 252 | 39 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps | 256 | 473 | 0 | 0 | 398 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 91 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps | 0 | 604 | 85 | 71 | 409 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd | 21 | 638 | 3 | 12 | 624 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 32 | 19 | 1 | 44 |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36th St | 8 | 56 | 243 | 238 | 364 | 73 | 125 | 58 | 9 | 149 | 57 | 481 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 235 | 152 | 140 | 4 | 68 | 92 | 54 | 722 | 293 | 86 | 819 | 6 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 13 | 503 | 23 | 16 | 379 | 52 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 13 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 42 | 483 | 20 | 39 | 253 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 140 | 0 | 55 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 845 | 21 | 7 | 907 | 0 |
| W Lake St/ Drew Ave | 10 | 2 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1034 | 2 | 1 | 1312 | 9 |
| W Lake St/ Market Plaza | 156 | 10 | 57 | 17 | 9 | 16 | 39 | 923 | 103 | 34 | 1150 | 35 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd | 6 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 7 | 181 | 335 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 33 | 244 | 0 | 0 | 499 | 55 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave | 48 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 506 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 486 | 20 |
| 21st St W/ Rail Crossing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 WB Ramps | 37 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 0 | 107 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 EB Ramps | 0 | 93 | 359 | 137 | 322 | 0 | 68 | 50 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | 136 | 325 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 488 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 80 |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ 12th St N/ Twins Way | 137 | 102 | 22 | 7 | 157 | 11 | 8 | 331 | 189 | 16 | 14 | 2 |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St | 15 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royalston Ave/ 5th Ave N | 0 | 83 | 18 | 33 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 13 |
| 7th St N/ 5th Ave N | 26 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 1553 | 29 | 32 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Table A2. Existing PM Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 8th Ave | 38 | 7 | 23 | 168 | 4 | 47 | 75 | 883 | 15 | 8 | 819 | 134 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5th Ave | 66 | 34 | 23 | 113 | 35 | 53 | 61 | 942 | 71 | 31 | 843 | 188 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 332 | 148 | 930 | 0 | 0 | 730 | 359 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | 6 | 2 | 5 | 263 | 0 | 168 | 280 | 854 | 10 | 4 | 915 | 223 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | 43 | 24 | 57 | 88 | 15 | 374 | 79 | 1036 | 7 | 25 | 726 | 1 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 29 | 0 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 33 | 55 | 1170 | 46 | 12 | 769 | 5 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 108 | 273 | 39 | 207 | 226 | 185 | 189 | 834 | 183 | 74 | 493 | 227 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 77 | 609 | 3 | 4 | 470 | 148 | 311 | 3 | 144 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | 104 | 755 | 33 | 36 | 485 | 78 | 214 | 22 | 117 | 20 | 14 | 16 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 18 | 498 | 6 | 47 | 262 | 82 | 227 | 18 | 24 | 5 | 26 | 59 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 0 | 329 | 18 | 30 | 261 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 10 | 87 | 0 | 175 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps | 391 | 565 | 0 | 0 | 455 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 89 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps | 0 | 866 | 60 | 55 | 491 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 365 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd | 31 | 908 | 19 | 39 | 799 | 18 | 6 | 3 | 79 | 5 | 0 | 12 |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36th St | 16 | 85 | 365 | 435 | 332 | 116 | 96 | 86 | 6 | 244 | 76 | 777 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 261 | 240 | 237 | 8 | 139 | 43 | 108 | 1053 | 257 | 173 | 633 | 14 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 3 | 680 | 32 | 4 | 558 | 7 | 50 | 3 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 8 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 11 | 575 | 44 | 98 | 469 | 27 | 39 | 1 | 30 | 44 | 1 | 101 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 18 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1286 | 12 | 0 | 802 | 0 |
| W Lake St/ Drew Ave | 3 | 3 | 48 | 18 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 1141 | 1 | 1 | 1243 | 15 |
| W Lake St/ Market Plaza | 236 | 76 | 84 | 81 | 64 | 88 | 62 | 921 | 224 | 84 | 935 | 124 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd | 4 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 396 | 3 | 144 | 170 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 95 | 482 | 0 | 0 | 307 | 36 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave | 22 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 486 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 473 | 0 | 0 | 301 | 20 |
| 21st St W/ Rail Crossing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 WB Ramps | 52 | 259 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 264 | 2 | 145 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 EB Ramps | 0 | 144 | 427 | 186 | 329 | 0 | 162 | 211 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | 150 | 443 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 383 | 158 |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ 12th St N/ Twins Way | 340 | 254 | 33 | 7 | 147 | 21 | 7 | 207 | 115 | 107 | 180 | 16 |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St | 10 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royalston Ave/ 5th Ave N | 0 | 236 | 34 | 21 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 37 |
| 7th St N/ 5th Ave N | 28 | 766 | 0 | 0 | 629 | 29 | 39 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Page 59 of 84

Table A3. Opening Year No Build AM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 8th Ave | 5 | 1 | 5 | 70 | 1 | 35 | 35 | 680 | 20 | 20 | 645 | 70 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5th Ave | 40 | 25 | 25 | 85 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 655 | 55 | 40 | 660 | 130 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 425 | 0 | 250 | 110 | 655 | 0 | 0 | 580 | 175 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | 5 | 5 | 5 | 545 | 5 | 210 | 255 | 805 | 20 | 5 | 540 | 210 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | 30 | 15 | 50 | 10 | 15 | 130 | 535 | 790 | 30 | 45 | 595 | 110 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 50 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 745 | 85 | 40 | 695 | 5 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 150 | 205 | 55 | 155 | 175 | 130 | 75 | 610 | 80 | 55 | 460 | 50 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 85 | 260 | 1 | 5 | 400 | 240 | 95 | 1 | 60 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | 45 | 300 | 10 | 15 | 555 | 15 | 135 | 10 | 60 | 30 | 10 | 25 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 50 | 270 | 10 | 75 | 480 | 205 | 70 | 15 | 35 | 10 | 20 | 35 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 15 | 245 | 85 | 210 | 275 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps | 270 | 515 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 1 | 95 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps | 0 | 650 | 95 | 75 | 430 | 0 | 130 | 1 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd | 20 | 670 | 5 | 10 | 655 | 40 | 5 | 1 | 35 | 55 | 1 | 70 |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36th St | 10 | 60 | 255 | 260 | 395 | 90 | 130 | 60 | 10 | 155 | 60 | 505 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 250 | 165 | 150 | 5 | 75 | 95 | 55 | 735 | 305 | 90 | 850 | 5 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 15 | 540 | 25 | 15 | 400 | 55 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 15 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 45 | 520 | 20 | 40 | 265 | 105 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 150 | 0 | 60 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 870 | 20 | 5 | 940 | 0 |
| W Lake St/ Drew Ave | 10 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1060 | 5 | 1 | 1345 | 10 |
| W Lake St/ Market Plaza | 160 | 10 | 55 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 40 | 945 | 105 | 35 | 1180 | 35 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd | 5 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 5 | 185 | 345 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 515 | 55 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave | 50 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 520 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 245 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 20 |
| 21st St W/ Rail Crossing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 WB Ramps | 40 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 110 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 EB Ramps | 0 | 95 | 370 | 140 | 330 | 0 | 70 | 50 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | 140 | 335 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 505 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 80 |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ 12th St N/ Twins Way | 140 | 105 | 25 | 10 | 165 | 10 | 10 | 340 | 195 | 15 | 15 | 5 |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St | 15 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royalston Ave/ 5th Ave N | 0 | 90 | 20 | 35 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 15 |
| 7th St N/ 5th Ave N | 30 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 1590 | 30 | 35 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Table A4. Opening Year No Build PM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 8th Ave | 40 | 5 | 25 | 175 | 5 | 50 | 75 | 945 | 15 | 10 | 860 | 140 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5th Ave | 70 | 35 | 25 | 120 | 35 | 55 | 65 | 995 | 85 | 30 | 885 | 205 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 350 | 150 | 990 | 0 | 0 | 770 | 380 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | 5 | 5 | 5 | 285 | 0 | 175 | 285 | 920 | 10 | 5 | 970 | 255 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | 45 | 25 | 70 | 105 | 15 | 375 | 80 | 1130 | 5 | 30 | 815 | 10 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 30 | 0 | 25 | 10 | 0 | 35 | 60 | 1190 | 50 | 15 | 790 | 5 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 110 | 285 | 40 | 230 | 250 | 190 | 195 | 845 | 185 | 80 | 510 | 250 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 85 | 675 | 5 | 5 | 510 | 165 | 325 | 5 | 150 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | 115 | 855 | 35 | 40 | 535 | 85 | 225 | 25 | 125 | 20 | 15 | 15 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 20 | 540 | 5 | 50 | 290 | 85 | 240 | 20 | 25 | 5 | 25 | 60 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 0 | 360 | 20 | 30 | 290 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 90 | 0 | 185 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps | 400 | 575 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 1 | 95 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps | 0 | 880 | 55 | 60 | 540 | 0 | 95 | 1 | 385 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd | 30 | 915 | 55 | 65 | 840 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 85 | 5 | 1 | 10 |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36th St | 15 | 105 | 385 | 460 | 350 | 120 | 100 | 90 | 5 | 255 | 80 | 795 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 275 | 255 | 250 | 10 | 150 | 45 | 110 | 1075 | 270 | 185 | 660 | 15 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 5 | 725 | 35 | 5 | 590 | 5 | 55 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 0 | 10 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 10 | 610 | 45 | 105 | 495 | 30 | 40 | 1 | 30 | 45 | 1 | 105 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1320 | 15 | 0 | 840 | 0 |
| W Lake St/ Drew Ave | 5 | 5 | 50 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1170 | 1 | 1 | 1275 | 15 |
| W Lake St/ Market Plaza | 240 | 80 | 85 | 85 | 65 | 90 | 65 | 945 | 230 | 85 | 960 | 130 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd | 5 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 425 | 5 | 150 | 175 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 95 | 515 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 35 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave | 25 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 485 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 20 |
| 21st St W/ Rail Crossing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 WB Ramps | 55 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 1 | 150 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 EB Ramps | 0 | 150 | 440 | 190 | 335 | 0 | 165 | 215 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | 155 | 455 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 160 |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ 12th St N/ Twins Way | 350 | 265 | 35 | 10 | 155 | 20 | 10 | 210 | 120 | 110 | 185 | 15 |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St | 10 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royalston Ave/ 5th Ave N | 0 | 250 | 35 | 25 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 40 |
| 7th St N/ 5th Ave N | 30 | 785 | 0 | 0 | 645 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Table A5. 2040 No Build AM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 8th Ave | 5 | 1 | 5 | 80 | 1 | 35 | 40 | 720 | 20 | 20 | 735 | 70 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5th Ave | 45 | 25 | 30 | 95 | 25 | 40 | 50 | 700 | 55 | 40 | 740 | 130 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 475 | 0 | 280 | 120 | 705 | 0 | 0 | 630 | 200 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | 5 | 5 | 5 | 625 | 5 | 235 | 275 | 880 | 25 | 5 | 590 | 230 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | 30 | 15 | 50 | 10 | 15 | 130 | 585 | 890 | 35 | 50 | 670 | 120 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 50 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 830 | 95 | 45 | 785 | 5 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 150 | 250 | 60 | 195 | 225 | 145 | 85 | 675 | 90 | 70 | 540 | 75 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 105 | 365 | 1 | 5 | 505 | 305 | 115 | 1 | 70 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | 60 | 405 | 15 | 20 | 710 | 15 | 150 | 10 | 70 | 35 | 10 | 25 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 65 | 310 | 15 | 85 | 555 | 230 | 80 | 20 | 50 | 20 | 25 | 40 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 20 | 285 | 105 | 250 | 325 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps | 305 | 575 | 0 | 0 | 475 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 1 | 110 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps | 0 | 730 | 105 | 85 | 485 | 0 | 150 | 1 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd | 25 | 755 | 5 | 15 | 740 | 45 | 10 | 5 | 40 | 60 | 1 | 75 |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36th St | 10 | 65 | 290 | 295 | 445 | 100 | 145 | 70 | 10 | 175 | 70 | 570 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 325 | 215 | 195 | 5 | 105 | 120 | 60 | 805 | 385 | 125 | 1015 | 5 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 20 | 695 | 30 | 20 | 525 | 70 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 20 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 60 | 670 | 30 | 55 | 350 | 135 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 195 | 0 | 75 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 975 | 25 | 10 | 1140 | 0 |
| W Lake St/ Drew Ave | 10 | 5 | 30 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1125 | 5 | 1 | 1430 | 10 |
| W Lake St/ Market Plaza | 170 | 10 | 60 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 45 | 1005 | 110 | 40 | 1250 | 40 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd | 5 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 10 | 195 | 370 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 35 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 60 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave | 50 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 0 | 0 | 555 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 535 | 20 |
| 21st St W/ Rail Crossing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 WB Ramps | 50 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 0 | 150 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 EB Ramps | 0 | 120 | 490 | 190 | 440 | 0 | 105 | 55 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | 150 | 355 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 530 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 85 |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ 12th St N/ Twins Way | 150 | 110 | 25 | 10 | 175 | 10 | 10 | 360 | 205 | 15 | 15 | 5 |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St | 15 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royalston Ave/ 5th Ave N | 0 | 95 | 20 | 40 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 15 |
| 7th St N/ 5th Ave N | 30 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 1690 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Table A6. 2040 No Build PM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 8th Ave | 45 | 10 | 25 | 200 | 5 | 55 | 80 | 985 | 15 | 10 | 940 | 165 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5th Ave | 80 | 40 | 25 | 135 | 40 | 65 | 65 | 1060 | 85 | 35 | 970 | 220 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 395 | 160 | 1060 | 0 | 0 | 830 | 415 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | 5 | 5 | 5 | 310 | 0 | 200 | 305 | 1000 | 10 | 5 | 1040 | 285 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | 45 | 25 | 70 | 110 | 20 | 375 | 85 | 1220 | 10 | 30 | 910 | 10 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 30 | 0 | 25 | 10 | 0 | 35 | 65 | 1275 | 55 | 25 | 885 | 10 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 110 | 400 | 45 | 290 | 315 | 225 | 210 | 900 | 200 | 105 | 585 | 340 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 110 | 865 | 5 | 5 | 660 | 205 | 405 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 0 | 10 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | 145 | 1090 | 45 | 50 | 680 | 110 | 260 | 25 | 160 | 30 | 15 | 20 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 25 | 640 | 10 | 55 | 355 | 95 | 270 | 20 | 30 | 5 | 30 | 70 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 0 | 445 | 26 | 40 | 350 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 10 | 105 | 0 | 205 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps | 425 | 605 | 0 | 0 | 560 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 105 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps | 0 | 925 | 70 | 65 | 595 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 430 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd | 35 | 975 | 55 | 60 | 950 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 95 | 5 | 1 | 15 |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36th St | 20 | 150 | 435 | 515 | 395 | 140 | 115 | 105 | 5 | 290 | 90 | 800 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 360 | 330 | 325 | 10 | 205 | 55 | 120 | 1170 | 335 | 245 | 785 | 15 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 5 | 940 | 45 | 5 | 770 | 10 | 70 | 5 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 10 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 15 | 795 | 60 | 135 | 650 | 35 | 55 | 1 | 40 | 60 | 1 | 140 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1495 | 15 | 0 | 1030 | 0 |
| W Lake St/ Drew Ave | 5 | 5 | 50 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1245 | 1 | 1 | 1350 | 15 |
| W Lake St/ Market Plaza | 255 | 80 | 90 | 90 | 70 | 95 | 65 | 1000 | 245 | 90 | 1015 | 135 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd | 5 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 435 | 5 | 155 | 190 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 105 | 525 | 0 | 0 | 335 | 40 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave | 25 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 530 | 0 | 0 | 350 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 520 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 20 |
| 21st St W/ Rail Crossing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 WB Ramps | 55 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285 | 1 | 160 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 EB Ramps | 0 | 155 | 465 | 200 | 360 | 0 | 175 | 260 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | 165 | 480 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 425 | 170 |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ 12th St N/ Twins Way | 370 | 275 | 35 | 10 | 165 | 25 | 10 | 225 | 125 | 115 | 200 | 15 |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St | 10 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royalston Ave/ 5th Ave N | 0 | 260 | 35 | 25 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 40 |
| 7th St N/ 5th Ave N | 30 | 835 | 0 | 0 | 685 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Table A7. Opening Year Build AM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 8th Ave | 5 | 1 | 5 | 70 | 1 | 35 | 35 | 680 | 20 | 20 | 645 | 70 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5th Ave | 40 | 25 | 25 | 85 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 655 | 55 | 40 | 660 | 130 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 435 | 0 | 250 | 110 | 675 | 0 | 0 | 585 | 180 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | 5 | 5 | 5 | 590 | 5 | 210 | 255 | 835 | 20 | 5 | 550 | 210 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | 30 | 15 | 55 | 25 | 15 | 130 | 535 | 865 | 30 | 45 | 605 | 115 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 50 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 115 | 745 | 85 | 40 | 695 | 15 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 160 | 205 | 55 | 155 | 175 | 130 | 80 | 610 | 80 | 55 | 460 | 50 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 85 | 265 | 1 | 5 | 455 | 240 | 95 | 1 | 60 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | 45 | 305 | 10 | 15 | 605 | 15 | 135 | 10 | 60 | 30 | 10 | 25 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 50 | 270 | 15 | 180 | 480 | 205 | 70 | 15 | 35 | 10 | 20 | 50 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 15 | 250 | 85 | 210 | 275 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps | 330 | 515 | 0 | 0 | 410 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 1 | 95 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps | 0 | 710 | 95 | 75 | 480 | 0 | 130 | 1 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd | 0 | 680 | 5 | 0 | 710 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36th St | 10 | 55 | 255 | 270 | 395 | 90 | 130 | 60 | 10 | 155 | 60 | 490 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 250 | 165 | 175 | 10 | 75 | 95 | 55 | 950 | 285 | 105 | 875 | 5 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 15 | 575 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 55 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 45 | 565 | 20 | 40 | 260 | 105 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 150 | 0 | 60 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 45 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 870 | 270 | 30 | 940 | 0 |
| W Lake St/ Drew Ave | 10 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1060 | 5 | 1 | 1345 | 10 |
| W Lake St/ Market Plaza | 160 | 10 | 55 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 40 | 945 | 105 | 35 | 1180 | 35 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd | 5 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 5 | 185 | 345 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 515 | 55 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave | 50 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 520 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 245 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 20 |
| 21st St W/ Rail Crossing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 WB Ramps | 45 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 110 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 EB Ramps | 0 | 125 | 375 | 140 | 360 | 0 | 70 | 50 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | 140 | 335 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 505 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 80 |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ 12th St N/ Twins Way | 140 | 105 | 25 | 10 | 165 | 10 | 10 | 340 | 195 | 15 | 15 | 5 |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St | 15 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royalston Ave/ 5th Ave N | 0 | 90 | 20 | 35 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 15 |
| 7th St N/ 5th Ave N | 30 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 1590 | 30 | 35 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Table A8. Opening Year Build PM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 8th Ave | 40 | 5 | 25 | 175 | 5 | 50 | 75 | 945 | 15 | 10 | 860 | 140 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5th Ave | 70 | 35 | 25 | 120 | 35 | 55 | 65 | 995 | 85 | 30 | 885 | 205 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 350 | 150 | 990 | 0 | 0 | 790 | 420 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | 5 | 5 | 5 | 290 | 0 | 175 | 285 | 925 | 10 | 5 | 1030 | 290 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | 45 | 25 | 70 | 105 | 15 | 375 | 80 | 1140 | 5 | 35 | 910 | 15 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 30 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 145 | 75 | 1190 | 50 | 15 | 790 | 5 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 110 | 285 | 40 | 230 | 255 | 190 | 230 | 845 | 190 | 80 | 510 | 250 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 85 | 705 | 5 | 5 | 515 | 165 | 325 | 5 | 150 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | 115 | 880 | 35 | 40 | 540 | 85 | 225 | 25 | 125 | 20 | 15 | 15 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 20 | 540 | 5 | 65 | 290 | 85 | 240 | 20 | 25 | 10 | 25 | 155 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 0 | 360 | 20 | 30 | 295 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 90 | 0 | 185 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps | 405 | 575 | 0 | 0 | 460 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 1 | 95 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps | 0 | 880 | 60 | 60 | 505 | 0 | 95 | 1 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd | 0 | 925 | 35 | 0 | 850 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36th St | 15 | 85 | 385 | 470 | 350 | 120 | 100 | 90 | 5 | 255 | 80 | 765 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 275 | 255 | 280 | 10 | 145 | 45 | 110 | 1100 | 265 | 235 | 845 | 20 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 5 | 755 | 0 | 0 | 640 | 5 | 55 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 10 | 615 | 45 | 105 | 525 | 30 | 40 | 1 | 30 | 45 | 1 | 105 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 260 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1315 | 80 | 5 | 840 | 0 |
| W Lake St/ Drew Ave | 5 | 5 | 50 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1170 | 1 | 1 | 1275 | 15 |
| W Lake St/ Market Plaza | 240 | 80 | 85 | 85 | 65 | 90 | 65 | 945 | 230 | 85 | 960 | 130 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd | 5 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 425 | 5 | 150 | 175 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 95 | 515 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 35 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave | 25 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 485 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 20 |
| 21st St W/ Rail Crossing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 WB Ramps | 60 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 285 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 1 | 150 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 EB Ramps | 0 | 180 | 445 | 190 | 365 | 0 | 165 | 215 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | 155 | 455 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 160 |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ 12th St N/ Twins Way | 350 | 265 | 35 | 10 | 155 | 20 | 10 | 210 | 120 | 110 | 185 | 15 |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St | 10 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royalston Ave/ 5th Ave N | 0 | 250 | 35 | 25 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 40 |
| 7th St N/ 5th Ave N | 30 | 785 | 0 | 0 | 645 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Table A9. 2040 Build AM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 8th Ave | 5 | 1 | 5 | 80 | 1 | 35 | 40 | 720 | 20 | 20 | 735 | 70 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5th Ave | 45 | 25 | 30 | 95 | 25 | 40 | 50 | 700 | 55 | 40 | 740 | 130 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 485 | 0 | 280 | 120 | 725 | 0 | 0 | 635 | 205 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | 5 | 5 | 5 | 670 | 5 | 235 | 275 | 910 | 25 | 5 | 600 | 230 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | 30 | 15 | 55 | 25 | 15 | 130 | 585 | 965 | 35 | 50 | 680 | 125 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 50 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 120 | 830 | 95 | 45 | 785 | 15 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 160 | 250 | 60 | 195 | 225 | 145 | 90 | 675 | 90 | 70 | 540 | 75 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 105 | 370 | 1 | 5 | 560 | 305 | 115 | 1 | 70 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | 60 | 410 | 15 | 20 | 760 | 15 | 150 | 10 | 70 | 35 | 10 | 25 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 65 | 310 | 20 | 190 | 555 | 230 | 80 | 20 | 50 | 20 | 25 | 55 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 20 | 290 | 105 | 250 | 325 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps | 315 | 575 | 0 | 0 | 465 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 1 | 110 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps | 0 | 745 | 160 | 85 | 490 | 0 | 150 | 1 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd | 0 | 770 | 10 | 0 | 750 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36th St | 10 | 65 | 290 | 285 | 410 | 100 | 145 | 70 | 10 | 175 | 70 | 555 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 325 | 215 | 225 | 10 | 110 | 120 | 60 | 1025 | 365 | 140 | 1040 | 5 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 20 | 745 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 70 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 60 | 720 | 30 | 55 | 355 | 135 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 195 | 0 | 75 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 50 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 975 | 280 | 35 | 1135 | 0 |
| W Lake St/ Drew Ave | 10 | 5 | 30 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1125 | 5 | 1 | 1430 | 10 |
| W Lake St/ Market Plaza | 170 | 10 | 60 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 45 | 1005 | 110 | 40 | 1250 | 40 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd | 5 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 10 | 195 | 370 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 35 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 60 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave | 50 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 0 | 0 | 555 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 535 | 20 |
| 21st St W/ Rail Crossing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 WB Ramps | 55 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 385 | 0 | 150 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 EB Ramps | 0 | 150 | 495 | 190 | 470 | 0 | 105 | 55 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | 150 | 355 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 530 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 85 |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ 12th St N/ Twins Way | 150 | 110 | 25 | 10 | 175 | 10 | 10 | 360 | 205 | 15 | 15 | 5 |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St | 15 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royalston Ave/ 5th Ave N | 0 | 95 | 20 | 40 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 15 |
| 7th St N/ 5th Ave N | 30 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 1690 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Table A10. 2040 Build PM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 8th Ave | 45 | 10 | 25 | 200 | 5 | 55 | 80 | 985 | 15 | 10 | 940 | 165 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ 5th Ave | 80 | 40 | 25 | 135 | 40 | 65 | 65 | 1060 | 85 | 35 | 970 | 220 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 SB Ramps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 395 | 160 | 1060 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 455 |
| Excelsior Blvd CSAH 3)/ TH 169 NB Ramps | 5 | 5 | 5 | 315 | 0 | 200 | 305 | 1005 | 10 | 5 | 1100 | 320 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Jackson Ave/ Milwaukee St | 45 | 25 | 70 | 110 | 20 | 375 | 85 | 1230 | 10 | 35 | 1005 | 15 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 30 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 145 | 80 | 1275 | 55 | 25 | 885 | 10 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 110 | 400 | 45 | 290 | 320 | 225 | 245 | 900 | 205 | 105 | 585 | 340 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 110 | 895 | 5 | 5 | 665 | 205 | 405 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 0 | 10 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | 145 | 1115 | 45 | 50 | 685 | 110 | 260 | 25 | 160 | 30 | 15 | 20 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 25 | 640 | 10 | 70 | 355 | 95 | 270 | 20 | 30 | 10 | 30 | 165 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 0 | 445 | 26 | 40 | 355 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 10 | 105 | 0 | 205 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 WB Ramps | 435 | 605 | 0 | 0 | 520 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 105 |
| Wooddale Ave/ TH 7 EB Ramps | 0 | 935 | 70 | 65 | 570 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wooddale Ave/ South Frontage Rd | 0 | 985 | 80 | 0 | 965 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Wooddale Ave/ W 36th St | 20 | 145 | 435 | 530 | 395 | 140 | 115 | 105 | 5 | 290 | 90 | 795 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 360 | 330 | 375 | 10 | 205 | 55 | 120 | 1200 | 330 | 300 | 970 | 20 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 5 | 995 | 0 | 0 | 825 | 10 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 15 | 810 | 60 | 135 | 680 | 35 | 55 | 1 | 40 | 60 | 1 | 140 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 265 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1495 | 95 | 5 | 1030 | 0 |
| W Lake St/ Drew Ave | 5 | 5 | 50 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1245 | 1 | 1 | 1350 | 15 |
| W Lake St/ Market Plaza | 255 | 80 | 90 | 90 | 70 | 95 | 65 | 1000 | 245 | 90 | 1015 | 135 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Sunset Blvd | 5 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 435 | 5 | 155 | 190 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Rail Crossing/ Burnham Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 105 | 525 | 0 | 0 | 335 | 40 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Xerxes Ave | 25 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 530 | 0 | 0 | 350 | 0 |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy/ Benton Blvd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 520 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 20 |
| 21st St W/ Rail Crossing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 WB Ramps | 60 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290 | 1 | 160 |
| Penn Ave/ I-394 EB Ramps | 0 | 185 | 470 | 200 | 390 | 0 | 175 | 260 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glenwood Ave/ E Lyndale Ave | 165 | 480 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 425 | 170 |
| Glenwood Ave/ Royalston Ave/ 12th St N/ Twins Way | 370 | 275 | 35 | 10 | 165 | 25 | 10 | 225 | 125 | 115 | 200 | 15 |
| Royalston Ave/ Holden St | 10 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royalston Ave/ 5th Ave N | 0 | 260 | 35 | 25 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 40 |
| 7th St N/ 5th Ave N | 30 | 835 | 0 | 0 | 685 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Table A11. 2040 Build AM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts with Joint Development

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 50 | 0 | 15 | 45 | 0 | 75 | 130 | 840 | 95 | 45 | 800 | 20 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 160 | 255 | 60 | 205 | 245 | 175 | 125 | 680 | 90 | 70 | 530 | 80 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Joint Development Access | 45 | 410 | 0 | 0 | 565 | 60 | 30 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 110 | 400 | 1 | 5 | 595 | 305 | 115 | 1 | 75 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 315 | 205 | 215 | 25 | 110 | 120 | 60 | 1175 | 365 | 150 | 1060 | 10 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 20 | 720 | 0 | 0 | 555 | 70 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 60 | 720 | 30 | 55 | 365 | 135 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 195 | 0 | 75 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 185 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 915 | 280 | 135 | 1035 | 0 |

Table A12. 2040 Build PM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts with Joint Development

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave | 30 | 0 | 25 | 60 | 0 | 170 | 105 | 1275 | 55 | 25 | 895 | 20 |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | 115 | 405 | 45 | 295 | 330 | 240 | 260 | 895 | 205 | 105 | 595 | 350 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Joint Development Access | 25 | 945 | 0 | 0 | 825 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ 2nd St NE | 110 | 910 | 5 | 5 | 695 | 205 | 405 | 5 | 185 | 5 | 0 | 10 |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | 350 | 320 | 365 | 15 | 205 | 55 | 120 | 1230 | 330 | 360 | 1120 | 35 |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd | 5 | 965 | 0 | 0 | 885 | 10 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd | 15 | 810 | 60 | 140 | 735 | 40 | 55 | 1 | 40 | 60 | 1 | 140 |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | 520 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1425 | 95 | 50 | 1000 | 0 |
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Appendix B - Intersection Layout Tables

Page 69 of 84

| Intersection | Existing | No Build | Build | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excelsior Blvd \& $8^{\text {th }}$ Ave | N-S Perm / E-W Prot+Perm | N-S Perm / E-W Prot+Perm |  |  |
| Excelsior Blvd $\& 5^{\text {th }}$ Ave |  | N-S Perm / E-W Prot | N-S Prot+Perm / E-W Prot |  |
| Excelsior Blvd \& TH 169 SB Ramps | S Prot / E Prot | S Prot / E Prot | S Prot / E Prot |  |
| Excelsior Blvd \& TH 169 NB Ramps | N-S Perm / E-W Prot | N-S Perm / E-W Prot | N-S Perm / E-W Prot |  |
| Excelsior Blvd \& Milwaukee St/Jackson Ave |  |  |  | LRT grade separated |
| Legend | Traffic Signal Stop Control N = NB Approach <br> S = SB Approach <br> E = EB Approach <br> W = WB Approach | Lane Use <br> Lane Use Change <br> No Turn on Red NTOR <br> Perm = Permissive LeftTurn Phase | LRT <br> Freight Rail <br> Prot $=$ Protected Left-Tur <br> Phase <br> Prot+Perm = Protected/ <br> Permissive Left-Turn Pha |  |

Page $\mathbf{7 0}$ of $\mathbf{8 4}$

| Intersection | Existing | No Build | Build | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excelsior Blvd \& Pierce Ave |  |  | N-S Perm / E-W Perm |  |
| Blake Rd \& Excelsior Blvd |  |  |  |  |
| Blake Rd \& Rail Crossing |  <br> Unsignalized / Rail Crossing |  <br> Unsignalized / Rail Crossing | Unsignalized / Rail Crossing |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Blake Rd \& } 2^{\text {nd }} \\ \text { St NE } \end{gathered}$ | N-S Prot / E-W Perm | N-S Prot / E-W Perm | N-S Prot / E-W Perm |  |
| Blake Rd \& Cambridge St | N-S Prot / E-W Perm | N-S Prot / E-W Perm | N-S Prot / E-W Perm |  |
| Legend | Traffic Signal <br> Stop Control <br> N = NB Approach <br> S = SB Approach <br> $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{EB}$ Approach <br> W = WB Approach | Lane Use <br> Lane Use Change <br> No Turn on Red NTOR <br> Perm = Permissive LeftTurn Phase | LRT <br> Freight Rail <br> Prot = Protected Left-Turn Phase <br> Prot+Perm = Protected/ Permissive Left-Turn Phase | W |

Page 71 of 84

| Intersection | Existing | No Build | Build | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Louisiana Ave \& Oxford St | N-S Perm / E-W Perm | N-S Perm / E-W Perm | N-S Perm / E-W Perm | LRT grade separated |
| Louisiana Ave \& Louisiana Circle | N-S Perm / E-W Perm | N-S Perm / E-W Perm | N-S Perm / E-W Perm |  |
| Wooddale Ave \& TH 7 WB Ramps |  |  | N Prot+Perm / W Perm |  |
| Wooddale Ave \& TH 7 EB Ramps |  |  | S Prot+Perm / E Perm |  |
| Wooddale Ave \& South Frontage Rd |  |  |  | The Build scenario reflects conversion of the intersection to right in/right out |
| Legend | Traffic Signal Stop Control N = NB Approach S = SB Approach E = EB Approach $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{WB}$ Approach | Lane Use Lane Use Change No Turn on Red NTOR Perm = Permissive LeftTurn Phase | LRT <br> Freight Rail <br> Prot $=$ Protected Left-Tu <br> Phase <br> Prot+Perm = Protected/ <br> Permissive Left-Turn Pha |  |

Page 72 of 84

| Intersection | Existing | No Build | Build | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wooddale Ave \& Rail Crossing |  <br> Unsignalized / Rail Crossing |  <br> Unsignalized / Rail Crossing | Unsignalized / Rail Crossing |  |
| Wooddale Ave \& W 36th St | N-S Perm/E Perm/W Perm+Prot | N Perm / S Perm+Prot/ E Prot/W Perm+Prot | N Perm / S Perm+Prot/ E Prot / W Perm+Prot |  |
| Beltline Blvd \& CSAH 25 | N-S Prot / E-W Prot | N-S Prot / E-W Prot | N-S Prot / E-W Prot |  |
| Beltline Blvd \& South Frontage Road |  |  |  |  |
| Beltline Blvd \& Rail Crossing | Unsignalized / Rail Crossing | Unsignalized / Rail Crossing | Rail Crossing | Signalized for northbound approach (queue signal) |
| Legend | Traffic Signal Stop Control N = NB Approach <br> S = SB Approach <br> E = EB Approach <br> W = WB Approach | Lane Use Lane Use Change No Turn on Red NTOR Perm = Permissive LeftTurn Phase | LRT <br> Freight Rail <br> Prot $=$ Protected Left-Tu <br> Phase <br> Prot+Perm = Protected/ <br> Permissive Left-Turn Pha |  |
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| Intersection | Existing | No Build | Build | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beltline Blvd \& Park Glen Rd |  |  |  |  |
| CSAH 25 \& Lynn Ave |  |  | N Perm / W Prot-Perm |  |
| Lake St \& Drew Ave | $\xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\rightarrow}$ <br> N-S Perm / E-W Perm | N-S Perm / E-W Perm | N-S Perm / E-W Perm |  |
| Lake St \& Market Plaza | N-S Prot+Perm / E-W Prot+Perm | N-S Prot+Perm / E-W Prot+Perm | N-S Prot+Perm / E-W Prot+Perm |  |
| Cedar Lake Pkwy \& Sunset Blvd |  |  |  |  |
| Legend | Traffic Signal <br> Stop Control <br> N = NB Approach <br> $S=S B$ Approach <br> E = EB Approach <br> W = WB Approach | Lane Use Lane Use Change No Turn on Red NTOR Perm = Permissive LeftTurn Phase | LRT <br> Freight Rail <br> Prot $=$ Protected Left-Turn <br> Phase <br> Prot+Perm = Protected/ <br> Permissive Left-Turn Phas | $W<$ |

Page $\mathbf{7 4}$ of $\mathbf{8 4}$

| Interse | Exising | No Build | Build | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{+i}{4}$ | $\frac{4}{4}$ |  |  |
|  | $\frac{\square}{7 \mid T^{4}}$ |  | $\frac{\square}{\rightarrow-\frac{r^{4}}{r^{4}}}$ |  |
| Cedatale | $\pm$ | $\xrightarrow[A]{A}$ | $\underset{A}{4}+$ |  |
|  | $\frac{1}{3+i+t}$ | $\frac{1}{\rightarrow i t}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | and |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Penn Ave } \\ & \text { \& I-394 WB } \\ & \text { Ramps } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{H \frac{1}{\tau}}{T i}$ | $\xrightarrow{\underline{+}+\frac{1}{\text { a }}}$ | $W \frac{t}{y}$ |  |
| Legend |  |  |  | $\stackrel{N}{4}$ |

Page $\mathbf{7 5}$ of $\mathbf{8 4}$

| Intersection | Existing | No Build | Build | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Penn Ave \& I-394 EB Ramps | S Prot+Perm / E Perm | S Prot+Perm / E Perm | S Prot+Perm / E Perm |  |
| Glenwood Ave \& E Lyndale Ave | N Perm / E Prot+Perm | N Perm / E Prot+Perm | N Perm / E Prot+Perm |  |
| Glenwood Ave \& LRT Crossing | Does Not Exist | Does Not Exist |  | Build scenario adds gates for LRT crossing |
| Glenwood Ave/ <br> Twins Way \& Royalston Ave/12th St N | N-S Perm / E-W Perm | N-S Perm / E-W Perm | N-S Perm / E-W Perm |  |
| Royalston Ave \& Holden St |  |  | N Perm / E Perm |  |
| Legend | Traffic Signal Stop Control N = NB Approach S = SB Approach E = EB Approach $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{WB}$ Approach | Lane Use Lane Use Change No Turn on Red NTOR Perm = Permissive LeftTurn Phase | LRT <br> Freight Rail <br> Prot $=$ Protected Left-Tu <br> Phase <br> Prot+Perm = Protected/ <br> Permissive Left-Turn Phas |  |

Page $\mathbf{7 6}$ of $\mathbf{8 4}$

| Intersection | Existing | No Build | Build | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roman | $\frac{1+t}{t}$ | $\frac{1+4}{+\frac{1}{2}}$ |  |  |
|  | $\frac{H_{1}}{t_{4}+1}$ | $\frac{41}{4+1+\pi}$ | $\frac{+1}{4!}$ |  |


| Legend | Traffic Signal | Lane Use | LRT $<=-$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stop Control | Lane Use Change | Freight Rail $<-\square$ | 4 |
|  | N = NB Approach | No Turn on Red NTOR | Prot $=$ Protected Left-Turn | W |
|  | S = SB Approach | Perm = Permissive Left- | Phase | $\downarrow$ |
|  | E = EB Approach W $=$ WB Approach | Turn Phase | Prot+Perm = Protected/ Permissive Left-Turn Phase | S |
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Appendix C - Traffic Analysis Detailed Results

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Existing} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Opening Year} \& \multicolumn{14}{|c|}{2040} \\
\hline \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Existing Condition No Freight Event} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Existing Condition
75 -Car Freight} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\left|\begin{array}{c}
\text { No Buidd } \\
\text { No Freight Event }
\end{array}\right|
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{No Build
75-Car Freight} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Build LRT } \\
\text { No Freight Event }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Build LRT + 75-Car Freight} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{No Build
No Freight Event} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 75-Car Freight }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Build LRT } \\
\text { No Freight Event }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Build LRT + \\
75-Car Freight
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{array}{|c}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Build LRT } \\
\text { without LRCI } \\
\text { No Freight Event }
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { Build LRT } \\
\text { Joint Development }
\end{array}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline intersection \& Appr \& \(\frac{\text { Los }}{\text { Appr }}\) \& Inter \& \(\frac{\text { Deley }}{\text { Inter }}\) \& \({ }_{\text {Appr }}^{\text {Lin }}\) \& \& \[
\frac{\overline{\text { Delay }}}{\text { Inter } A_{A}}
\] \&  \& \[
\frac{\text { Delay }}{\text { (I Inter })}
\] \& \({ }_{\text {Appr }}^{\text {Los }}\) \& Dola \& \& \({ }_{\text {Les }}^{\text {Linter }}\) \& \[
\frac{\text { Delay }}{\| \text { Inter } t}
\] \& \& \(\frac{\text { Delay }}{\text { Inter }}\) \& \({ }_{\text {Appr }} \mathrm{LOS}\) \& \& \(\frac{\text { Los }}{\text { Appr Inter }}\) \& \& \({ }_{\text {Appr }}^{\text {Los }}\) \& \& \({ }_{\text {Appr }}\) Lint \& Dealay \&  \& \(\frac{\text { Delay }}{\text { Inter }}\) \& \(\frac{\text { Los }}{\text { Appr Inter }}\) \& \(\frac{\text { Dolay }}{\text { Inter }}\) \& \(\frac{\text { Los }}{\text { Appr Inter }}\) \& \\
\hline Exelsior Elve \& 8it Ave \& \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline NB \\
\hline\(\frac{\mathrm{EB}}{\mathrm{EB}}\) \\
\hline SB \\
\hline WB \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Appr } \\
\hline D \\
\text { C } \\
\text { D } \\
\text { A }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \({ }_{8}\) \& 19.0 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { ApD } \\
\hline C \\
\text { C } \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& в \& 19.0 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { Appr } \& \\
\hline \text { C } \& \\
\text { D } \& \text { B } \\
\hline \& \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 18.5 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { ApD } \\
\hline C \\
D \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 18.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { Appr } \\
\hline c \\
c \\
d \\
c \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \& \[
30.2
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\text { Appr } \\
\hline \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{c} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 30.2 \& \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Appr } \\
\hline D \\
C \\
D \\
\text { D } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 17.8 \&  \& 17.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { Ap } \mathrm{c} r \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 29.7 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \text { Appr } \\
\hline c \\
c \\
d \\
c \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 29.0 \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Exelsior Fivd \& Sth Ave \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{NB} \\
\hline \frac{\mathrm{~EB}}{} \\
\hline \mathrm{SB} \\
\hline \mathrm{WB} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { E } \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& в \& 18.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C } \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \mathrm{~A} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& в \& 19.0 \& \begin{tabular}{|l|l|} 
D \& \\
\hline C \& \\
D \& B \\
A \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 19.1 \& \& 19.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& c \& 26.5 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 0 \\
\text { C } \\
\text { D } \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 26.5 \&  \& 21.5 \& \begin{tabular}{ll} 
D \& \\
C \& \\
D \& C \\
B \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 21.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 26.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 26.0 \& - \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Excelsior Elvd \& TH 169 S R Remps \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& c \& 23.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& c \& 22.9 \& \begin{tabular}{ll} 
A \& \\
\hline D \& C \\
B \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 24.5 \& \& 24.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& c \& 26.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \\
\hline \\
\text { D } \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 26.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline 8 \\
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 25.9 \& \[
\begin{array}{lc}
\hline \text { B } \& \\
\text { D } \& \text { C } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 26.0 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline 8 \\
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 27.7 \& \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline b \\
D \\
\text { D } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 27.8 \& . \& \& . \& \& . \& \\
\hline Excelsior Blvd \& TH 169 NB Ramps \& \begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline NB \\
\hline NB \\
\hline EB \\
\hline SB \\
\hline WB \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0 D \\
\& \hline D \\
\& D \\
\& D \\
\& D \\
\& C
\end{aligned}
\] \& - \& 37.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline D \\
\& D \\
\& D \\
\& D \\
\& C
\end{aligned}
\] \& - \& 39.8 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 0 \& \\
\hline \& \\
0 \& 0 \\
\text { D } \& 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 38.5 \& \& 41.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { D D } \\
\& \hline \text { D } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { C }
\end{aligned}
\] \& - \& 39.8 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{D} \\
\hline \mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{E} \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 44.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { D D } \\
\& \hline \text { D } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { D }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 41.8 \& \[
\begin{array}{l|l}
\hline D \& \\
D \& 0 \\
E \& D \\
C \&
\end{array}
\] \& 48.6 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0 \\
\& \hline 0 \\
\& 0 \\
\& 0 \\
\& 0
\end{aligned}
\] \& 43.0 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline D \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { E } \\
\& \text { B }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 50.8 \& : \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Excelsior Blvd \& Milwaukee St - Jackson Ave \& \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline\(\frac{\mathrm{NB}}{}\) \\
\hline EB \\
\hline EB \\
\hline WB \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline \text { D } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { D }
\end{aligned}
\] \& D \& 38.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C } \mathrm{C} \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& - \& 44.0 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \text { C } \& \\
D \& \text { D } \\
\hline \& \\
\hline \& \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 39.6 \& \& 44.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& D \& 41.0 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline C \\
\text { D } \\
\text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 47.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C } \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 42.4 \& \begin{tabular}{ll} 
C \& \\
\hline D \& \\
B \& D \\
E \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 49.6 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 44.2 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{~B} \\
\mathrm{E} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 51.5 \& - \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Execesior Blvd \& Pierce Ave \& \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline NB \\
\hline\(\frac{\mathrm{NB}}{}\) \\
\hline EB \\
\hline SB \\
\hline WB \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 2.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { E } \\
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 2.5 \& \begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline B \& \\
\hline \& \\
\(A\) \& \(A\) \\
\(A\) \& \(A\) \\
\(A\) \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 1.9 \& \& 2.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C } \mathrm{C} \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }^{\text {B }}\) \& 12.3 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \text { C } \\
\text { B } \\
C \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 13.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C } \bar{C} \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 2.1 \& \[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { E } \& \\
\text { A } \& \\
\text { B } \& \text { A } \\
\text { A } \& \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 2.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { E } \\
\text { C } \\
\text { C } \\
\text { A } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 12.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 13.8 \& \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline c \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { c } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 12.3 \& \& \\
\hline Blake Rd Q Excelsior Elva \& \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline NB \\
\hline KB \\
\hline EB \\
\hline SB \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline D \\
\& D \\
\& D \\
\& D \\
\& D \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& - \& 37.4 \&  \& - \& 38.7 \& \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|} 
D \& \\
\hline \& \\
D \& D \\
D \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 38.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A D } \\
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 39.9 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \mathrm{D} \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& - \& 39.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline 0 \\
\hline \\
\text { D } \\
0 \\
0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 41.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{A} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 39.7 \&  \& 41.0 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \mathrm{D} \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 41.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \hline D \\
\& D \\
\& D \\
\& D \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 43.8 \& - \& \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{E} \\
\hline \mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 44.9 \& \(\because\) \& \\
\hline Blake Rd \& Rail Crossing \& \(\frac{\mathrm{NB}}{\text { SB }}\) \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& A \& 0.3 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& A \& 6.2 \& \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } \& \text { A } \\ \text { A }\end{array}\) \& 0.3 \& \& \({ }^{6.3}\) \& A \& A \& 3.9 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 6.3 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 0.4 \& \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } \& \text { A } \\ \text { A }\end{array}\) \& 6.1 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 4.1 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 6.6 \& \& - \& \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } \& \text { A } \\ \text { A }\end{array}\) \& 4.0 \& \& - \\
\hline Blake Rd \& 2nd st NE \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { NB } \\
\& \hline \frac{\text { BB }}{} \\
\& \hline \text { si } \\
\& \text { WB } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \text { B } \\
\text { C } \\
\text { C } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& в \& 10.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& в \& 10.4 \& \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|} 
B \& \\
\hline C \& \\
A \\
D \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 10.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 10.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }^{\text {B }}\) \& 12.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline b \\
B \\
\text { c } \\
\text { c } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 17.9 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 11.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A B } \\
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 11.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 15.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 22.2 \& - \& \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline B \\
B \\
B \\
C \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 15.4 \& - \& \\
\hline Elake Rd \& Cambridge St \& \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline NB \\
\hline\(\frac{\mathrm{EB}}{\mathrm{EB}}\) \\
\hline SB \\
WB \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& в \& 11.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& в \& 11.9 \& \begin{tabular}{ll|l|} 
A \& \\
C \& B \\
C \& \\
C \& \\
\&
\end{tabular} \& 11.0 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline B \\
\& C \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& C \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 11.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& в \& 11.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline B \\
C \\
A \\
\text { A } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 11.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 11.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 11.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { A }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 12.0 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 12.3 \& - \& \& \& \& . \& \\
\hline Louisiana Ave \& OXford St \({ }^{\text {2, }}\) \& \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline NB \\
\hline EB \\
\hline SB \\
\hline WB \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline A \\
B \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& A \& 6.7 \& \& \& \& A
B
A
A \& 7.0 \&  \& \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{B} \\
\mathrm{~A} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& в \& 11.3 \&  \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 7.9 \& \(\vdots\) \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 11.4 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Louisienn Ave Z Louisienn C Circle \({ }^{\text {,2.2 }}\) \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{NB} \\
\hline \frac{\mathrm{NB}}{\mathrm{~EB}} \\
\hline \mathrm{SB} \\
\hline \mathrm{WB} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline A \\
A \\
A \\
A \\
A
\end{array}
\] \& A \& 5.9 \& \& \& \& A
A
A
A \& 6.1 \& - \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline A \\
\& A \\
\& B \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 9.4 \& : \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& \hline \\
\& B \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& A
\end{aligned}
\] \& 6.8 \& : \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \\
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 10.4 \& \(\checkmark\) \& \& - \& \& - \& \& \& \\
\hline Wooddale Ave \& TH 7 Ws Remps \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& A
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 1.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A \\
\& \hline A \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 2.2 \& \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|}
\hline\(A\) \& \\
\hline\(A\) \& \\
\(A\) \& \(A\) \\
\(B\) \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 2.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 4.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }^{\text {B }}\) \& 12.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
C \\
D \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 16.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& C \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 3.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { C }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 5.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
\hline B \\
B \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 12.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 16.8 \& . \& - \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Wooddale Ave \& TH 7 ER Ramps \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{NB} \\
\hline \\
\hline \mathrm{~EB} \\
\hline \mathrm{SB} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& \hline A \\
\& B \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& \({ }^{3.8}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& C \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 6.4 \& \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } \& \\ \text { C } \& \\ \text { A } \& \text { A } \\ \& \end{array}\) \& 4.9 \& \& 11.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& C \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }^{\text {A }}\) \& 9.8 \& |l| \begin{tabular}{|l|l|} 
A \\
C \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 13.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 5.7 \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
A \\
E \\
A \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 12.9 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline A \\
C \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 9.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& C \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 11.5 \& - - \& - \& \& \& \& - \\
\hline Wooddale Ave \& South frontage Rd \& \begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline NB \\
\hline\(\frac{\mathrm{NB}}{8 \mathrm{~EB}}\) \\
\hline SB \\
\hline WB \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& B \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 2.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A A \\
\& \hline A \\
\& B \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& A
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 3.3 \& \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|} 
C \& A \\
\hline A \& \\
A \& A \\
B \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 2.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A \\
\& \hline A \\
\& D \\
\& A \\
\& C \\
\& C
\end{aligned}
\] \& A 4.6 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \& 6.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { D }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 7.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A \\
\& \hline A \\
\& C \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& C
\end{aligned}
\] \& 3.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline \text { E } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { D }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 6.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { D }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 6.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& E \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 9.1 \& \(\therefore\). \& - \& . \& \& \& \\
\hline Wooddale Ave \& Rail Crossing \&  \& A
A \& A \& 1.8 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& A \& 3.2 \& A \& 1.9 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& \({ }^{3.3}\) \& A \& A \& 5.0 \& A \& 6.6 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 1.9 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 3.3 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 5.0 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 6.7 \& \& - \& \& \& \& \\
\hline oddale Ave \& W 36th St \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{NB} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{NB} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{~EB} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{SB} \\
\& \mathrm{WB} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{|l}
\hline\(A\) \\
\hline C \\
C \\
B \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& в \& 15.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A A \\
\& \hline A \\
\& C \\
\& C \\
\& B \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& в \& 17.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline A \\
\hline B \\
D \\
B \\
B \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 15.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A \\
\& \hline B \\
\& D \\
\& B \\
\& B \\
\& B
\end{aligned}
\] \& 17.5 \&  \& c \& 22.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { A } \\
\hline \text { F } \\
\hline \text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 23.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A \\
\& \hline A \\
\& D \\
\& B \\
\& B \\
\& B
\end{aligned}
\] \& 15.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A \\
\& \hline A \\
\& D \\
\& B \\
\& B \\
\& B
\end{aligned}
\] \& 18.2 \& \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline A \\
\hline \text { A } \\
\hline \text { B } \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 22.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { A } \\
\hline \text { F } \\
\hline \text { C } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 28.6 \& \(\therefore\) \& - \& - \& \& : \& \\
\hline Betline Elvd \& CSAH 25 \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0 \\
\& c \\
\& \text { c } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& c \& 29.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& c 2 \& 29.1 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\hline 0 \\
\hline c \\
c \\
\text { D } \\
0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 29.9 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0 \\
\& c \\
\& c \\
\& \text { d } \\
\& \text { d } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 29.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { D }
\end{aligned}
\] \& c \& 25.7 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~B} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 25.4 \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
c \\
c \\
D \\
D \\
\\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 34.6 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0 \\
\& \hline \text { c } \\
\& \text { c } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 34.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \mathrm{c} \\
\mathrm{c} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{c} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 29.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { c } \\
\& \hline \mathrm{c} \\
\& \mathrm{c} \\
\& \mathrm{~d} \\
\& \mathrm{c} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 28.5 \& \begin{tabular}{ll} 
c \& \\
c \& \\
D \& c \\
c \& \\
\hline \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 30.7 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \mathrm{c} \\
\mathrm{c} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{c} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 31.1 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline c \\
c \\
\text { d } \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 32.0 \\
\hline Betline Elvd \& South frontage Rd \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { NB } \\
\& \hline \frac{\mathrm{NB}}{} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{~EB} \\
\& \hline \text { wis } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\hline C \\
D \\
\text { D } \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& в \& 12.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline \text { D } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { A }
\end{aligned}
\] \& в \& 11.7 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline C \& \\
E \& B \\
A \& B \\
A \&
\end{array}
\] \& 14.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline C \\
\& \hline \text { E } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { A }
\end{aligned}
\] \& B \({ }^{13.8}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& A
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 3.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& e^{A} \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { A }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 4.7 \&  \& 19.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{~F} \\
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { D }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 20.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& e_{A} \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { A }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 5.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& e^{B} \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { A }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 6.8 \& \begin{tabular}{ll} 
b \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 6.1 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline A \\
C \\
\text { C } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 4.8 \& A \& 4.8 \\
\hline dine Elvd \& Rail Crossing \& \(\frac{\mathrm{NB}}{\text { SB }}\) \& A \& A \& 1.0 \& A \& A \& 6.9 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 1.7 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& A 8.8 \& A \& A \& 5.4 \& \({ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {B }}\) \& 12.0 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 5.2 \& \({ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {B }}\) \& 12.3 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 5.8 \& \({ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {B }}\) \& 12.0 \& A \& 6.0 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 6.0 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 6.0 \\
\hline Betline Elvd \& Park Glen Rd \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& C \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 3.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& C \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 5.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline A \& \\
\hline A \& \\
A \& A \\
A \& A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 3.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& D \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 7.0 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& \hline A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& C \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }^{\text {A }}\) \& 4.5 \&  \& 8.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \frac{A}{A} \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& A
\end{aligned}
\] \& 13.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \frac{A}{A} \\
\& A \\
\& A
\end{aligned}
\] \& 33.8 \& A
A
A \& 21.5 \& A
A
A \& 45.8 \& \begin{tabular}{lll} 
A \& \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 21.4 \& A \& 24.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
\hline A \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 11.2 \\
\hline CSAA 25 \& Lym Ave \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { WE } \\
\& \hline \text { NB } \\
\& \hline \text { EB } \\
\& \hline \text { WB }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { A }
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 0.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 0.4 \& - \({ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 0.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline B \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A 0.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { I } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 4.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline D \\
\hline \\
A \\
A
\end{array}
\] \& 4.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { B } \begin{array}{l}
\text { B } \\
\text { A } \\
\hline
\end{array}{ }^{2} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 0.6 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { P } \begin{array}{l}
\text { B } \\
\text { A } \\
\hline
\end{array}{ }^{2} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 0.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline D \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 4.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline D \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 4.5 \& \[
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline D \& \\
A \& A \\
A \& \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 4.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \& \\
\hline \& \\
A \& A \\
A \&
\end{array}
\] \& 7.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline E \\
\hline \text { A } \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 7.9 \\
\hline Lake St \& Drew Ave \({ }^{\text { }}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { we } \\
\& \begin{array}{l}
\text { NB } \\
\hline \text { EB } \\
\hline \text { we } \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& A \\
\& B \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& C \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 2.4 \& \& \& \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline A B \\
A \\
C \\
C \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 2.5 \&  \& \&  \& A \& 2.2 \&  \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \hline C \\
\& A \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 2.7 \& \(\because\) \& \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
B \\
A \\
B \\
A \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 2.4 \& \(\vdots\) \& \& \& \& \& \& \(\vdots\) \& \\
\hline Lake St \& Market Plaa \({ }^{1}\) \&  \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
c \\
\hline D \\
c \\
\(c\) \\
\(c\) \\
\(c\) \\
\hline\(A\)
\end{tabular} \& c \& 23.9 \&  \& \& \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { A } \\
\hline \mathrm{B} \\
\mathrm{~B} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 22.2 \& \(\vdots\) \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { c } \\
\& c \\
\& c \\
\& c \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& c \& 25.3 \& \(\because\) \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{A} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 23.0 \& \(\vdots\) \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { c } \\
\& c \\
\& c \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 26.1 \& \(\vdots\) \& \& \(\checkmark\) \& \& \& \& - \& \\
\hline Cedar Lake Prwy \& Sunset Elva \&  \& A
A
\(A\)
\(A\) \& A \& 1.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{~A} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }^{\text {B }}\) \& 14.5 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 1.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { E } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& c 15.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }^{\text {A }}\) \& 1.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{E} \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~A} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 14.1 \& A
A
\(A\) \& 1.5 \& \[
\begin{array}{l|l}
\hline \mathrm{E} \& \\
\text { D } \& \text { C } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 16.6 \& A
A
A \& 1.6 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~A} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 18.6 \& . \& \& . \& \& . \& \\
\hline Cedar Lake Pkwy \& Rail Crossing/Burnham Rd \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A \& 1.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \mathrm{~A} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& B 1 \& 10.3 \& A
A
A \& 1.6 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \mathrm{~A} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& в 10.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }^{\text {A }}\) \& 2.5 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { C } \\
\hline \text { F } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 11.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& B \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 2.0 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \mathrm{~A} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 10.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& B \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 2.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 12.3 \& . \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Cedar Lake Prwv \& Xerres Ave \&  \& \begin{tabular}{|l|l|} 
B \\
\hline \\
\(A\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& A \& 1.2 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& в \& 11.7 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { B } \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 1.3 \& A \& 812.0 \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
B \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \({ }^{\text {A }}\) \& 1.9 \& A \& 13.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { B } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 1.8 \& A \({ }_{\text {F }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 12.4 \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
B \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 2.0 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 12.7 \& . \& \& \& \& - \& \\
\hline Cedar Lake Pkwy \& Benton Blva \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Ei } \\
\& \hline \text { si } \\
\& \text { wi } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{|l|l|} 
A \\
A \\
A
\end{tabular} \& A \& 0.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{A} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 03 \& 32.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline A \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 0.9 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{A} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& - 33.6 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }^{\text {A }}\) \& 1.1 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline A \& \\
\mathrm{E} \& \mathrm{E} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 36.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 1.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{A} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \mathrm{E} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 35.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 1.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& E \\
\& E \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 37.6 \& . \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline  \&  \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& A \& \({ }^{1.1}\) \& - \& - \& 25.3 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 1.5 \& \(\stackrel{\text { E }}{\text { E }}\) \& E 36.2 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& A \& 6.3 \& \(\stackrel{\text { E }}{\text { E }}\) \& 42.3 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 1.5 \& \({ }_{\text {E }}^{\text {E }}\) \& 36.0 \& \({ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}\) \& 6.4 \& \(\stackrel{\text { E }}{\text { E }}\) \& 47.4 \& . \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline  \&  \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline A \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& в \& 13.0 \& \(\because\) \& \& \& A
A
C
C \& 13.2 \& : \& \& A
A
c
c \& в \& 15.0 \& \(\vdots\) \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline A \\
\& A \\
\& A \\
\& C \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 14.9 \& \(\vdots\) \& \& A

B
C
C \& 18.1 \& : \& \& - \& \& . \& \& . \& <br>

\hline Penn Ave \& 1-394 E8 Ramps ${ }^{\text {12. }}$ \&  \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { A } \\
\text { C } \\
\text { A } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& A \& 9.9 \& - \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline A \\
C \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 10.4 \& . \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \text { C } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& ${ }^{\text {B }}$ \& 10.4 \& . \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& A \\
& C \\
& B \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 11.5 \& . \& \& A

C
B

A \& 10.9 \& - \& \& . \& \& . \& \& . \& <br>

\hline Glenvood Ave \& ELyndale Ave ${ }^{1}$ \&  \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline D \\
\hline A \\
A \\
B \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& c \& 20.4 \& - \& - \& $\cdot$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
\hline D \\
A \\
B \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 20.3 \& . \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { B D } \\
& \hline \text { A } \\
& B
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& B \& 19.8 \& . \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { B D } \\
& \hline A \\
& \text { B } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 20.6 \& . \& \& | c |
| :--- |
|  |
| A |
| A |
| B | \& 20.0 \& - \& \& . \& \& \& \& . \& - <br>

\hline Glenwood Ave \& LRT C Cossing ${ }^{1}$ \& ${ }_{\text {eis }}^{\text {E8 }}$ \& \& \& - \& : \& - \& - \& \& - \& . \& \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& A \& 6.8 \& \& \& \& - \& \& \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 6.8 \& . \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Glenwood Ave/Twins Way \& Royalston Ave/12th St $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ \&  \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { B } \\
\text { C } \\
\text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& c \& 20.2 \& - \& . \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline B \\
\hline \text { C } \\
B \\
B \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 20.9 \& $\vdots$ \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A} \text { C } \\
& \hline \mathrm{B} \\
& \mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{~B} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& в \& 20.0 \& - \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
& \text { B } \\
& \hline \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 21.9 \& - \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A} \\
& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{~B} \\
& \mathrm{C} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 19.6 \& - \& \& - . \& \& - \& \& - \& <br>

\hline Rovalston Ave \& Holden $\mathrm{St}^{1}$ \&  \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline A \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& A \& 0.8 \& \& - \& $\cdot$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline A & \\
A & A \\
A & A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 0.9 \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \text { D } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& ${ }^{\text {B }}$ \& 17.0 \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 0.9 \& . \& \& B

D
A \& 17.0 \& - \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Rovalston Ave \& Sth Ave $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { si } \\
\hline \text { NB } \\
\hline \text { SB } \\
\hline \text { WB }
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 1.7 \& - \& - \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
\hline A \\
A \\
A
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 1.7 \&  \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 1.8 \&  \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 1.8 \& $:$ \& \& A

A
A
A \& 2.0 \&  \& \& $\vdots$ \& \& \& \& . \& - <br>

\hline 7 th StN \& Sth Ave $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ \&  \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
\hline B \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& 1.0 \& \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline A & \\
B & A \\
A & A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& \hline \text { B } \\
& c \\
& c \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \& \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& C
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& \& \& \&  \& 27.8 \& - \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

1. Freight is grade sepearated st this location and does not interact with roadway trafic, therefore freight events were not modeled for this intersection.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \& \& \& Existin \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& ing \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \& \& Conditit \& \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { neditition } \\
\& \text { reight }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \&  \& ent \& \& \& \& suild LR \& \& \& \& \& \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { No Buif } \\
75 \text { - Car Fr }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \& \[
{ }^{\text {No Fut }}
\] \& \&  \& \&  \& \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Build } \\
\text { int Deve }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \& Build LT
JD Impro
(WB Park G Turn Lan \& \\
\hline Intersection \& Appr \& Los \& \& elav \& Los \& Del \& \& Los \& Delar \& Los \& Dela \& \& os \& Delay \& Los \& Detar \& tos \& Deday \& Los \& Deler \& Los \& Delier \& Los \& Dolary \& Los \& Deley \& Los \& Dolay \& Los \& \\
\hline mersection \& appr \& Appr In \& \& inter A \& \& \& \& Appr Inter \& Inter \& \& er inter \& \& Inter \& Inter \& \& Inter \& Appr In \& inter \& Appr Inter \& Inter \& Appr I Int \& Inter \& Appr Inter \& inter \& Appr Inter \& inter \& Appr Inter \& inter \& Appr Inter \& Inter \\
\hline Exelsior Bive \& 8.t Ave \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{NB} \\
\hline \frac{\mathrm{~EB}}{\mathrm{~EB}} \\
\hline \mathrm{SB} \\
\hline \text { WB } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& c 22 \& 22.6 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{D}+1 \\
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 22 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \frac{p, p}{D} \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 22.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Dp1 } \\
\& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 22.1 \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
D \\
\hline C \\
D \\
c \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& c \& 31.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { D } \\
\& C \\
\& C \\
\& D \\
\& c \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 31.2 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{~B} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 22.0 \& \[
\begin{array}{l|l|}
\hline D \& \\
\text { C } \& \text { C } \\
\text { D } \& \text { } \\
\text { B }
\end{array}
\] \& 22.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 101 \\
\& \hline \mathrm{c} \\
\& \mathrm{c} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 33.0 \& \[
\begin{array}{ll} 
\& \\
\hline \& \\
c \& \\
D \& c \\
\text { d } \&
\end{array}
\] \& 32.5 \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Exelsior Elvd \& 5th Ave \& \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \mathrm{NB} \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{NB} \\
\hline \mathrm{~EB} \\
\hline \mathrm{SB} \\
\hline \mathrm{WB}
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0 \\
\& \hline D \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& c 21 \& 21.1 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{E} \\
\hline \mathrm{~B} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{~B} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 21. \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0 \\
\& \hline \text { D } \\
\& c \\
\& \text { c }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{ll} 
D \& \\
\hline C \& \\
D \& C \\
B \& \\
\& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 21.2 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { E } \\
\hline \text { C } \\
\text { D } \\
\text { B }
\end{array}
\] \& 21.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C D } \\
\& \hline \text { D } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { B }
\end{aligned}
\] \& c \& 30.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 30.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0 \\
\& \hline D \\
\& C \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 26.7 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { D } \& \\
\text { C } \& \text { C } \\
\text { D } \& \text { | } \\
\text { | } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 26.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \\
\hline 0 \\
D \\
0 \\
\text { D } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 33.0 \& \[
\begin{array}{l|l|}
\hline \text { D } \& \\
\text { D } \& \text { C } \\
\text { D } \& \text { B }
\end{array}
\] \& 32.2 \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Excelsior Evd \& TH 169 Ss Ramps \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { b } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 15. \& 15.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { b } \\
\& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 15 \& \[
\begin{array}{l|l|l}
\hline \& 0 \\
\hline 5.5 \& \text { B } \\
\hline \& B \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \&  \& 16.3 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline b \\
\hline \text { C } \\
\text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 15.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline b \\
\& \hline \\
\& c \\
\& B \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& в \& 15.9 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\hline \text { D } \\
\hline \text { C } \\
\text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 15.8 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { D } \\
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 16.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline A \& \\
\text { C } \& \text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 16.1 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline b \\
\hline \\
C \\
B \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 17.2 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { B } \& \\
\text { C } \& \text { B } \\
\hline \text { B } \& \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 17.3 \& . \& - \& - \& \& - . \& \\
\hline Excelsior Elve \& TH 169 NB Ramps \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{NB} \\
\hline \frac{\mathrm{~EB}}{\mathrm{~EB}} \\
\hline \mathrm{SB} \\
\hline \mathrm{wR} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline D \\
\& C \\
\& D \\
\& C
\end{aligned}
\] \& c 20 \& 29.4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{D}
\end{aligned}
\] \& 34 \& \[
\begin{array}{l|l|l}
\hline 4.6 \& 0 \\
\hline \& C \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { D } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { D }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 31.2 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 36.9 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { D }
\end{aligned}
\] \& c \& 32.1 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 38.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { che }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 32.8 \&  \& 41.9 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline D \\
C \\
D \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 33.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 0 \& \\
0 \& 0 \\
D \& 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 43.5 \& \& \& - \& \& - \& \\
\hline Excelsior Blvd \& Milwaukee St /Jackson Ave \& \begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline NB \\
\hline NB \\
\hline EB \\
\hline SB \\
\hline WB \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{c} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& c 29 \& 29.2 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { C } \\
\& \hline D \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& C \\
\& E \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 40. \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& c \\
\& c \\
\& \text { d } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& c \\
\& \hline c \\
\& c \\
\& c \\
\& \text { d } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 31.5 \& \[
\begin{array}{|c}
C \\
\hline c \\
D \\
C \\
\text { C } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 47.0 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& c \\
\& \hline c \\
\& c \\
\& c \\
\& c \\
\& d
\end{aligned}
\] \& c \& 32.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{C} \\
\& \mathrm{E}
\end{aligned}
\] \& 50.1 \& c
c
c
c
D \& 34.1 \&  \& 47.9 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \\
\hline c \\
c \\
c \\
c \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 35.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { D } \& \\
\hline \mathrm{D} \& \\
\mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{E} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 59.1 \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Excelsior Blvd \& Pierce Ave \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{NB} \\
\hline \frac{\mathrm{NB}}{\mathrm{~EB}} \\
\hline \mathrm{SB} \\
\mathrm{wB} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& A 4 \& 4.5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { C } \\
\& \text { A } \\
\& B \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 4.7 \&  \& c \& 4.0 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { C } \\
A \\
B \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 4.3 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline D \\
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~A}
\end{aligned}
\] \& в \& 14.1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
\& \hline \mathrm{~B} \\
\& \mathrm{D} \\
\& \mathrm{~A} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 13.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline C \\
\& \hline \text { A } \\
\& \text { B } \\
\& A \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 5.2 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline C \& \\
\hline A \& \\
C \& A \\
A \& \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 5.3 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline D \\
B \\
B \\
D \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 14.3 \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline D \& \\
B \& B \\
D \& B \\
A \&
\end{array}
\] \& 14.3 \& \& \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline D \\
A \\
\text { D } \\
\text { A } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 11.4 \& \& \\
\hline Blake Rd E Execsior Bivd \& \begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline NB \\
\hline NB \\
\hline EB \\
\hline SB \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A A } \\
\& \hline D \\
\& \text { D } \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& \text { C }
\end{aligned}
\] \& - 36 \& 36.0 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { A } \\
\& \hline D \\
\& D \\
\& \text { C } \\
\& C
\end{aligned}
\] \& 35. \& \[
5.8
\] \& D

D
c
c

c \& 36.7 \& $$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { D } \\
\hline \text { D } \\
\text { C } \\
C \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ \& 36.4 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \hline D \\
& D \\
& \text { C } \\
& \text { C }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& - \& 36.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { D } \\
\text { D } \\
\text { C } \\
C \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 37.3 \&  \& 39.4 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { A } & \\
D & 0 \\
D & 0 \\
\text { D } & 0
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 39.3 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \text { D } \\
\text { D } \\
\text { D } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 39.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline A \\
\hline D \\
D \\
D \\
D \\
C
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 39.7 \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { D } \\
\text { D } \\
\text { D } \\
\text { c }
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 36.3 \& - \& <br>

\hline Blake Rd \& Rail Crossing \& ¢ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {a }}$ \& A 0 \& 0.2 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 5. \& $5{ }^{6}$ A \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 0.3 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }^{5} 8$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& A \& 4.1 \& ${ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {B }}$ \& 8.3 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 0.5 \& A A \& 5.3 \& $\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } & \\ \text { A }\end{array}$ \& 4.4 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {B }}$ \& 8.3 \& \& \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& 5.7 \& \& <br>

\hline Elake Rd \& 2nd St NE \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NB} \\
& \hline \frac{\mathrm{NB}}{}{ }^{\mathrm{EB}} \\
& \hline \mathrm{sB} \\
& \hline \text { WB }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A B } \\
& \hline \text { C } \\
& \text { A } \\
& C \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& B 14 \& 14.4 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \hline \text { C } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \hline \text { C } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 14. \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{l|l} 
& A \\
\hline 4.8 & B \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& B

B
A
A

B \& 14.6 \& $$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { B } \\
\text { C } \\
\text { A } \\
\hline \text { C } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ \& 15.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \hline \text { A } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \text { B }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& в \& 16.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \hline A \\
& C \\
& C \\
& B \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 19.8 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \hline \text { D } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 18.4 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { B } & \\
\text { D } & \text { C } \\
\text { B } & \text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 20.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { B } \\
\text { C } \\
C \\
B \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 20.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
\hline \\
c \\
c \\
c \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 24.5 \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { B } \\
\hline \text { C } \\
\text { C } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 20.2 \& \& <br>

\hline Slake Rd \& Cambridge st \& | NB |
| :--- | :--- |
| NB |
| EB |
| SB | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C } \\
& \hline \text { C } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { C }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& в \& 14.4 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \\
& \hline B \\
& C \\
& A \\
& A \\
& C
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 14. \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l}
\hline & \text { B } \\
4.3 & \text { C } \\
& A \\
\hline & C \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& ${ }^{\text {B }}$ \& 14.5 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { B } \\
\text { C } \\
\text { A } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 14.7 \& | B |
| :--- |
| C |
| A |
| A | \& в \& 15.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { D } \\
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \text { A } \\
& C
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 16.1 \&  \& 19.0 \&  \& 20.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \\
\hline C \\
C \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 21.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \text { C } \\
\text { C } \\
A \\
C \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 24.4 \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Louisiana Ave \& Oxiord St ${ }^{\text {2, }}$ \& | NB |
| :--- | :--- |
| EB |
| SB |
| WB | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& B \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 9.2 \& $\vdots$ \& \& \& $\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } & \\ \text { B } & \\ A \\ A & \text { A } \\ \text { A }\end{array}$ \& 9.4 \& $\vdots$ \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \hline \text { B } \\
& B \\
& \hline \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& в \& 11.9 \& - \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline B \\
& \hline B \\
& B \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 10.6 \& : \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { B } \\
\text { B } \\
\text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 13.0 \& : \& \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Louisinna Ave \& Louisiana Circle ${ }^{\text {², }}$ \& | NB |
| :--- |
| $\frac{\mathrm{NB}}{\mathrm{EB}}$ |
| SB |
| WB | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& A
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 7.3 \& : \& \& \& $\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } & \\ A & \\ A & A \\ A & \\ A & \end{array}$ \& 7.4 \&  \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \hline \text { A } \\
& \text { B } \\
& A
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 9.4 \&  \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& B \\
& B \\
& A
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 8.2 \& : \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { B } \\
\text { A } \\
B \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 10.1 \& : \& \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Wooddale Ave \& TH 7 We Remps \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{w s}^{\text {Ns }} \\
& \hline \text { sB } \\
& \hline \text { ws }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& C
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 3.8 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { C }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 5.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
A \\
& A \\
D & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& A \& 4.4 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 8.0 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& \hline A \\
& C \\
& E \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& в \& 15.1 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& C \\
& C \\
& E \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 20.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& E \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 8.0 \& c \& 15.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline A \\
\hline \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{E} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 18.9 \& $\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } \\ \text { D } & \\ \text { c }\end{array}$ \& 29.2 \& \& . \& . \& \& . \& <br>

\hline Wooodale Ave \& TH 7 7B Ramps \& $$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \frac{\mathrm{NB}}{} \\
\hline \mathrm{~EB} \\
\hline \mathrm{SB}
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { D }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { A } \\
\hline \text { F }
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& 19 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\hline & A \\
\hline .3 & D \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& A

D

A \& 8.0 \& A \& 26.6 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& \hline \text { A } \\
& A \\
& A
\end{aligned}
$$ \& в \& 12.0 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { A } \\
& \hline D \\
& D \\
& B
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 18.2 \& \& \& \& 95.1 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \\
\hline \text { A } \\
\text { C } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 14.9 \&  \& 29.0 \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Wooddale Ave \& Suth Frontage Rd \&  \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& A A \\
& \hline A \\
& C \\
& A \\
& A \\
& A
\end{aligned}
$$ \& A \& 3.4 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \hline A \\
& E \\
& A \\
& A \\
& A
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 5. \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l} 
& A \\
A & A \\
E & E \\
& A \\
& C
\end{array}
$$
\] \& A

A
E
A

c \& 4.0 \& \& 10.4 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& \hline A \\
& C \\
& A \\
& A \\
& C
\end{aligned}
$$ \& A \& 5.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { D } \\
& \hline A \\
& D \\
& A \\
& D \\
& D
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 8.5 \& \& 13.2 \& c \& 22.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { A } \\
\hline \text { E } \\
A \\
D \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 9.3 \&  \& 16.0 \& \& - \& $\bigcirc$ \& \& \& <br>

\hline Wooddale Ave \& Rail Crossing \& ${ }_{\text {SB }}^{\text {NB }}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& A \& 3.2 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 4. \& ${ }^{6}$ A A \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 2.2 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 3.4 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& A \& 6.0 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 8.0 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 3.0 \& $\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } & \text { A } \\ \text { A }\end{array}$ \& 4.1 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 8.0 \& ${ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 10.4 \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Wooddale Ave \& W 3th St \& | NB |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| NB |  |
| CB |  |
| SB |  |
| WB |  | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A A \\
& \hline A \\
& C \\
& C \\
& B \\
& B
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& в \& 19.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \hline A \\
& C \\
& C \\
& C \\
& C
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 24 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline & A \\
4.8 & B \\
\hline & B \\
& B \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& \hline B \\
& D \\
& \text { B } \\
& \text { B }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 16.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { A } \\
\hline \text { D } \\
\text { B } \\
\text { C }
\end{array}
$$

\] \& c 20.7 \& \[

{ }_{B}^{A}

\] \& c \& 26.3 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { B } \\
\hline \text { F } \\
\hline \text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 38.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { A } \\
& \hline \text { D } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \text { B }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 19.0 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { A } & \\
\text { D } & \text { C } \\
\hline \text { B } &
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 24.3 \& \[

{ }_{B}^{A}

\] \& 33.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { B } \\
\hline \text { F } \\
\hline \text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 52.0 \& \& \& \& \& - \& <br>

\hline Bettiline Elva \& CSAH 25 \&  \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { b } \\
& \hline C \\
& E \\
& \text { E } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& - \& 38.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \\
& \hline C \\
& C \\
& E \\
& E \\
& D
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& ${ }^{37}$ \& \[

7.9

\] \&  \& 39.1 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C } \\
& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
& \mathrm{E} \\
& \mathrm{D} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 38.8 \& C

C
C
E

D \& - \& 35.2 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& C \\
& C \\
& C \\
& E \\
& E
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 35.4 \&  \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline \text { C } & \\
\text { D } & \text { E }
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 55.3 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline c \\
\hline \mathrm{D} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 40.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { C } & \\
\hline \text { D } & \text { D } \\
\hline \text { } & \text { } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 42.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline \mathrm{C} & \\
\text { D } & \mathrm{D} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{c} \\
& \mathrm{D}
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 42.7 \&  \& <br>

\hline Betline Elvd \& South frontage Rd \&  \& $$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{C} \\
\hline \mathrm{~F} \\
\hline \mathrm{~A} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
c \\
\hline \mathrm{C} \\
\text { F } \\
\text { A } \\
\text { C }
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& 18 \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \text { B }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 20.3 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { D } \\
\hline \text { F } \\
\hline \text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 22.0 \&  \& A \& 7.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \text { D } \\
& A
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 8.2 \& \& \& E \& 47.6 \& c \& 14.6 \& C ${ }_{\text {F }}$ \& 18.8 \& \& 21.2 \& C

A

A \& 11.9 \& | C |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | B |  |
| A |  |  | \& 12.8 <br>

\hline Betline Elvd \& Raill Crossing \& ${ }_{\text {cis }}^{\text {NB }}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& A 2 \& \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 8. \& $3{ }^{3} \begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { A }\end{aligned}$ \& $\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } & \\ \text { A }\end{array}$ \& 3.0 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 9.7 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& A \& 5.9 \& A \& 11.3 \& ${ }_{\text {E }}^{\text {E }}$ \& 27.5 \& - \& 34.8 \& A \& 7.2 \& - ${ }_{\text {B }}^{8}$ \& 14.3 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& 8.1 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 7.2 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 7.0 <br>

\hline Betline Elvd \& Park Glen Rd \&  \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& A \& 2.5 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& C \\
& C \\
& A \\
& C \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 4. \& .0 \& $\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } & \\ \text { B } & \\ A \\ \text { B } & \\ B & \\ & \end{array}$ \& 2.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { C } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A 4.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& C \\
& C \\
& A \\
& C \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 3.5 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { C } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 6.4 \& B \& 34.3 \& \& 57.8 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
D \\
\text { A } \\
D \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 7.2 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 19.4 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline A & \\
D & A \\
A & A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 7.7 \& A \& 10.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { A } \\
& \text { D } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { C } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 6.2 <br>

\hline CSAH 25 L Lym Ave \&  \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline C \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& A 0 \& 0.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{~A} \\
& \mathrm{~A} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 0. \& \[

6

\] \& A \& 0.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~A} \\
\mathrm{~A} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 0.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& A \\
& A \\
& D \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& в \& 10.8 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline A \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 10.8 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { D } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 0.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline D & \\
A & A \\
A & A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 0.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline D \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 11.3 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline D \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 11.3 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { D } & \\
A & B \\
A & B \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 11.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \text { D } \\
\text { C } \\
\text { B } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 22.9 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 0 \\
& \hline \text { c } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 22.9 <br>

\hline Lake St \& Drew Ave ${ }^{1}$ \&  \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& A B \\
& \hline B \\
& A \\
& D \\
& A
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 3.1 \&  \& \& \& \[

A

\] \& 3.2 \&  \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \hline A \\
& D \\
& \text { D } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 3.0 \&  \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{A}{B} \\
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& D \\
& A
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 3.3 \&  \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { B } \\
\hline A \\
A \\
D \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 3.0 \& $\vdots$ \& \& \& \& \& \& $\because$. \& <br>

\hline Lake St \& Market Plaza ${ }^{1}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { NB } \\
\hline \frac{E B}{} \\
\hline \text { SB } \\
\hline \text { WB } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \\
& \hline 0 \\
& c \\
& \text { c } \\
& \text { c } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& c 33 \& 33.6 \&  \& \& \& c \& 33.8 \&  \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { D } \\
& \text { c } \\
& \text { D } \\
& \text { c } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& c \& 33.7 \&  \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { D } \\
& \text { c } \\
& \text { D } \\
& \text { c } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 34.9 \& $\vdots$ \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 34.7 \& $\vdots$ \& \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Cedar Lake Phwy \& Sunset Elva \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NB} \\
& \hline{ }^{\text {EB }} \\
& \hline \mathrm{WB} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 2.6 \& ${ }_{\text {D }}^{\text {D }}$ \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
4.4 & \mathrm{~A}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline A & \\
A & A \\
A & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 2.8 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E} \\
& \mathrm{~A}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 44.5 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 3.5 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E} \\
& \mathrm{~A}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 49.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 3.3 \& ${ }_{\text {E }}^{\text {E }}$ E \& 47.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 3.9 \& E E \& 46.0 \& \& \& \& \& - \& <br>

\hline Cedar Lake Pkwy \& Rail Crossing/Burnham Rd \&  \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& A \& 1.4 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A \\
E \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 9.8 \& | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | \& ${ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {B }}$ \& 1.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
D \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 10.0 \& | A |
| :--- |
| B |
| A | \& A \& 3.4 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline B \\
E \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 11.8 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& B \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 2.6 \& $\begin{array}{ll}\text { B } \\ \text { E } & \\ \text { A }\end{array}$ \& 10.8 \& A \& 4.0 \&  \& 10.9 \& \& \& \& \& - \& <br>

\hline Cedar Lake Phwy \& Xerres Ave \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NB} \\
& \hline{ }^{\text {EB }} \\
& \hline \mathbf{W B} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A 0 \& 0.8 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline D \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \& \[

4 A

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
A & A \\
A & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 0.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline D \\
A \\
B \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& A 6.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \hline \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 1.1 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \mathrm{E} \\
\mathrm{~A} \\
\mathrm{~B} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 6.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 1.1 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline D & \\
A & A \\
B & A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 6.4 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline B \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 1.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline E & \\
A & A \\
B & A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 6.6 \& \& - \& $\bigcirc$ \& \& . \& <br>

\hline Cedar Lake Pkwv \& Benton Blva \&  \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& A \& 0.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& \hline \text { A } \\
& D
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 13. \& \[

3.8

\] \& $\stackrel{\text { A }}{\text { A }}$ \& 0.6 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { A } \\
& \hline D \\
& D \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 18. \& A

A

A \& A \& 0.7 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& \hline \text { A } \\
& D \\
& D
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 18.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 0.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline A & \\
\hline D & C \\
D & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 17.3 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline A \\
A \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 0.7 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline A \\
\hline D \\
D \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 18.3 \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline 21st St W \&
Rail Crossing/Kenilworth Trail \& $\frac{{ }_{\text {E8 }}}{\text { W8 }}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& A ${ }^{1.1}$ \& 1.1 \& D \& \& ${ }^{3} 4{ }^{4}$ A \& A \& 1.5 \& D \& E 36.4 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& A \& ${ }^{6.0}$ \& E \& 44.9 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 2.0 \& E E \& 39.2 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }_{6} 6$ \& E \& 50.2 \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Penn Ave 81.394 We Remps ${ }^{\text {², }}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { NB } \\
\hline \text { SB } \\
\hline \text { WB }
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { A } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { C } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& в \& 12.6 \& $\vdots$ \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& \text { A } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 12.4 \& $\vdots$ \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& B \\
& \text { B } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& в \& 13.7 \& $\vdots$ \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& \text { A } \\
& C \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 12.8 \& $\therefore$. \& \& A

A
B
C \& 14.2 \& - \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Penn Ave \& 1.394 EB Ramps ${ }^{\text {12. }}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{NB} \\
\hline \mathrm{NB} \\
\hline \mathrm{~EB} \\
\hline \mathrm{sB} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C } \\
& \text { C } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& B 16 \& 16.0 \& : \& \& \& B \& 16.1 \& : \& \& | A |
| :--- |
|  |
| C |
| B | \& в \& 15.2 \& - \& \&  \& 16.9 \& - \& \& A \& 16.3 \& . \& \& - \& - \& - \& \& - \& <br>

\hline Glenwood Ave \& ELyndile Ave ${ }^{1}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \frac{\mathrm{NB}}{} \\
\hline \frac{\mathrm{~EB}}{\mathrm{wB}}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline D \\
& A \\
& \text { A } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& c \& 22.4 \& - \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline D & \\
A & C \\
B & C \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 22.2 \& . \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline D \\
& A \\
& B \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& c \& 22.1 \& : \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \mathrm{D} \\
& \mathrm{~A} \\
& \mathrm{~B} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 22.5 \& - \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline D \\
A \\
B \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 22.4 \& . \& \& \& - \& . \& \& . \& <br>

\hline Glenwood Ave \& LRT Crossing ${ }^{1}$ \& $\frac{{ }^{\text {EB }}}{\text { We }}$ \& - \& \& \& . \& \& \& \& - \& . \& \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& A \& ${ }^{6.0}$ \& . \& \& \& - \& . \& \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }^{6.1}$ \& . \& \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Glenwood Ave/Twins Way \& Royalston Ave/12th St N ${ }^{1}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { WB } \\
& \hline \text { NB } \\
& \hline \text { EB } \\
& \hline \text { we } \\
& \hline \text { me }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { C } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \text { C }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& c \& \& : \& \& \&  \& 20.5 \& : \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& \hline C \\
& C \\
& \text { B } \\
& \text { C }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& c \& 21.9 \&  \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{C} \\
& \hline \text { B } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \text { C }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 21.2 \& : \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{A} \\
\hline \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~B} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 22.4 \& - \& \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Rovalston Ave \& Holden $\mathrm{St}^{1}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { NB } \\
\hline \text { EB } \\
\hline \text { SB } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I } \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 0.7 \& - \& \& \& A \& 0.8 \& : \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& \hline \\
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& в \& 16.1 \& : \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \text { A } \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 0.7 \& : \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline B \\
D \\
A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 16.5 \& . \& \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Rovalston Ave Q Sth Ave $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \frac{\mathrm{NB}}{} \\
& \hline \mathrm{SB} \\
& \hline \text { WB }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \& 1.3 \& \& \& \& A \& 1.4 \& - \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& A \& 1.7 \& $\because$ \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 1.4 \& - \& \& A

A
A \& 1.6 \& - \& \& \& - \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Th St \& Sth Ave $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathbf{w d} \\
\hline \mathrm{NB} \\
\hline \mathrm{~EB} \\
\hline \mathrm{SB} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \\
& \hline A \\
& A \\
& A \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \& \& \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A & A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 0.6 \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& \hline B \\
& B
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \& \& : \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
& \hline A \\
& A
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& \& \& \& ¢ ${ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {B }}$ \& 14.1 \& : \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

1. Freight is grad sesparated at this Iocation and does dos not interact with roadway traffic, therefore freight events were not modeled for this intersection.

|  |  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ | Sdurey 93 b6F：－18 3nv पuvad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{6} \\ & \stackrel{5}{6} \\ & \frac{8}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{8}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 呺碞 | § | § | 哭 | § ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {m }}$ |  | § |  |  |  | \％ | § |  | \％${ }_{6}$ | § |  |  |  | \％${ }_{\text {\％}}^{\text {m }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2z |  |  |  | 告 | ${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | \％${ }_{\text {M }}^{\text {k }}$ |  | 훔 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | ．．ू\％ |  |  |  |  |  | －\％ |  |  |  |  |  | 合 | 成点 |  | 。 |  |  | W | ，른．탠 | 동 8 \％ |  | 岩答 | \％－ |  | 苓答 |  | \＆ |  |  |  |
|  |  | \％ |  |  |  |  | ¢ั่ |  | \％$\square_{8}^{\circ}$ | ¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ \％ | － |  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 造 |  | 家家気䁍 | ¢ 옹옹ㅎㅎ |  |  | 忒汹污 |  | \％\％ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | む． | 适 들 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％\％쿠 |  |  |  |  | －．ざ |  |  | ．．．号． | 功方 | 苋 | ～．A \％ |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 碞 | \％̊ㄷ． |  | 合动號 | ั－\％ |  | 家 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 紬 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 言 |  |  |  | 岩．낸 | 唇 8 \％ |  |  | ั̌ | 客 |  | 㸚 | \＆ | 比 |  |  |
| 兂 |  |  | 发 咅 당 |  |  |  | ） | 릉 | \％$\square_{8}^{\circ}$ | ¢ | \％ |  |  |  |  | \％ |  | \％ | 㦯号宮克 | 合欵 등 |  | 辰荌 |  |  |  |  | 옹 웅훙 |  | 戌 ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 曾 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ธ |  |  |  |  | －．ٌ ． | \％\％枵 | 荅 ．．気 | \％ | 둥 | 嫘号 | \％．A \％ |  | 发 |  |  |  |  | 感．${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \％들 ．w | ） | \％जै | ั－ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  | ．．ू |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  |  | \％ | \％¢ ¢ ¢ \％ | 合台它氕 |  | 呂 |  |  |  | 岩．낸 | 欵 808 |  |  | \％ |  | 認答 |  |  | 枵 |  | ¢ |
|  | \％\％ | 婁 |  | 炎合 | O | \％ |  |  | 建 8 | ¢0\％ | \％ | ั \％\％\％ |  |  | 发号古 |  |  |  |  | 合 害会会 |  | 気 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 閏 |  |  |  |  |  | 发枵品 |  | 咢 |
|  |  |  | 号．．． |  | E． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ® ธั |  |  |  |  | \％ | \％，㔛号 | 気，．岛 | ．．．号． | 㦱㐌 | \％ | \％．A \％ |  | E |  |  |  |  | 㫥．${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \％ㄷ． | ） | 合动枵 | 甾－ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 碞 |  |  |  |  |  | \％\％둥 ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | 吕 냉 강 |  | 家 |  | ज | 앙잉 | 른．탠 | 동 \％\％ |  |  | \％－\％ |  | 認答 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ั \％ | \＆も | 号吕 당 |  |  | 发京发号 |  | 릉 | \％ 88 |  | \％¢ ¢ ¢ \％ | 萼等 |  |  | 號 | \％${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {a }}$ | \％${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 合占号家 |  | 景 |  | ¢ \％\％\％\％ |  |  |  | 合 |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 忍． | \％̌ư | 苋 |  |  |  |  |  | ๕ัロ |  |  |  |  | ¢． | \％\％\％ | 号．．岛 | 8 | \％ | 告号 | ॐ．A \％ |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 感． | 䂞式．． | さ̀ \％ | กّ जै | ั． |  |  |
| S |  | $\stackrel{\text { b }}{ }$ |  |  | ※ |  | ．．\％ |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | 吕 |  |  |  | \％\％\％\％ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{0}$ |  |  | 능 号莒気 | －セ．ㄷ．ㄷ． | 䂞 8 \％ |  |  | ั | 动合合呺 | 苓筬 |  | 晾宫号 | 宮品 |  |  |
|  |  | 訇 |  | 兌合 | 䓓 $\sim_{\sim}^{\text {w }}$ | 꿍 亏े |  | ＂ü | \％ 88 |  |  | ั ถัธ |  |  | 佥 륭 t |  | \％\％w | 呂，苟 |  | 事客 |  | ㄹ | 呂氝気 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ぞッั゚ |  |  | 訔，\％ |  |  |  | \％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | －ถั กัถ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | － |  | － | ，y̌u | 管志， | \％．A \％ |  | －．흥 |  |  | 会它碞品 |  | － | \％～¢ ¢ |  |  | 边 |  |  |

[^1]1．Storage length measured to rail crossin





Storage Length for through lanes is measured to the nearest upstream full access public intersection, unless otherwise noted.
N/A indicates link or turn lane was eliminated, or the scenario was not modeled.

1. Storage length measured to rail crossing.

## Memorandum

DATE:
TO: Jim Alexander
August 6, 2015 Director of Design and Engineering, Southwest LRT Project

FROM: JoNette Kuhnau, PE, PTOE
Traffic Lead, PEC - East
SUBJECT: PEC-East Traffic Technical Memorandum - Update 1

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Metropolitan Council voted to approve a revised scope and budget for the Southwest Light Rail Transit (SWLRT) project on July 8, 2015. The traffic analysis has been updated for areas affected by the revised scope and budget. Only the modeling areas with changes to the project scope are discussed in the following sections, which includes:

- Blake Station
- Louisiana Station
- Beltline Station

The traffic analysis for the other modeling areas with no changes were previously presented in the June 29, 2015 PEC-East Traffic Technical Memorandum.

### 1.1 Assumptions

The change in project scope included several changes to the location and size of park-and-ride facilities, which are summarized in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1. Park-and-Ride Facility Summary

| Location | Previous <br> Number of <br> Parking Spaces <br> Analyzed | Revised <br> Number of <br> Parking Spaces <br> Proposed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Blake Station | 240 | 89 |
| Louisiana Station | 230 | 350 |
| Beltline Station | 545 | 268 |

The park-and-ride changes at the Blake Station, Louisiana Station, and Beltline Station are discussed in the following sections.

This memorandum reflects the changes to the project scope as shown in the SWLRT revised Municipal Consent (MC) plans dated July 23, 2015. No geometric or traffic operations improvements were eliminated as part of the revised project scope. No changes have been made to the Locally Requested Capital Investments (LRCIs) assumed in the analysis.

### 1.2 Build Traffic Modeling Overview and Results

The revised Build operations results are presented by modeling area in the following sections.

### 1.2.1 Blake Station

The revised project scope for the Blake Station includes a decrease in park-and-ride spaces from 240 spaces to 89 spaces. The potential joint development was also removed from the project. No other changes in geometrics or operations were made.

The change in park-and-ride spaces would be expected to result in a decrease in traffic of approximately 83 vehicles in the AM peak and 77 vehicles in the PM peak. By comparison, the number of vehicles entering the Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/Blake Rd (CSAH 20) intersection is forecast to be approximately 2,575 vehicles in the 2040 AM peak and 3,770 vehicles in the 2040 PM peak.

The projected change in peak hour volume due to the smaller park-and-ride represents a reduction of approximately two to three percent. Therefore, the expected change in traffic volume due to the park-and-ride size would be expected to be small compared to the overall traffic volumes in the area. The proposed signal at Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/Pierce Ave is proposed to remain in the project in order to improve site access to the station and park-and-ride, and allow for bus routing from CSAH 20 (Blake Rd) through the park-and-ride site and onto eastbound Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3). Without the signal, buses would be expected to experience delays making the left-turn movement from Pierce Ave onto Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3).

No changes in geometrics or operations have been made as a result of the revised project scope. The intersection geometrics for the Blake Station area are provided as a reference in Appendix B.

The previous modeling, as documented in the June 29, 2015 PEC-East Traffic Technical Memorandum, showed that no significant impact on intersection LOS, delay, or queuing would be expected as a result of the project. For all intersections in the Blake Station area, the previous modeling showed no more than 11 additional seconds of overall intersection delay as a result of the Build project. In addition, all intersections operated at LOS D or better in the Build conditions and most operated at LOS B or better.

As a reference, tables comparing the LOS for each intersection across the Existing, Opening Year, and 2040 scenarios are shown for reference in Table 1.2 and Table 1.3. It should be noted that these are the same results previously reported in the June 29, 2015 PEC-East Traffic Technical Memorandum and do not reflect any new analysis. Only the intersections closest to Blake Station have been included in the Table 1.2 and Table 1.3 since these are the intersections most impacted by the park-and-ride facility.

Table 1.2. Blake Station -AM Peak Results (No Freight Event)

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing AM No Freight Event | Opening Year No Build AM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year Build AM <br> No Freight Event | 2040 <br> No Build AM <br> No Freight Event | 2040 <br> Build AM <br> No Freight Event |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave* (side street stop-controlled in Existing and No Build conditions) | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ <br> Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 37.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 38.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.6 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.7 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ } \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \text { St NE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ |

* Side street stop-controlled intersection

Table 1.3. Blake Station - PM Peak Results (No Freight Event)

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing PM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year No Build PM No Freight Event | Opening <br> Year <br> Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event | $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { No Build } \\ \text { PM } \\ \text { No Freight } \\ \text { Event } \end{gathered}$ | 2040 <br> Build PM <br> No Freight Event |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Pierce Ave* (side street stop-controlled in Existing and No Build conditions) | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Excelsior Blvd (CSAH 3)/ Blake Rd (CSAH 20) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 36.0 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.7 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.2 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.2 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ <br> Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ $2^{\text {nd }}$ St NE | $\begin{gathered} 14.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.6 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Blake Rd (CSAH 20)/ Cambridge St | $\begin{gathered} 14.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |

[^2]The reduction in park-and-ride spaces at Blake Station would result in a two to three percent reduction in peak hour volumes at the study intersections. Based on the results of the previous modeling that demonstrate the intersections have similar delays and overall operations under multiple Build scenarios, the reduction in park-and-ride spaces would be expected to result in a nominal change in intersection delays and no changes in overall intersection LOS or queuing in the Build conditions. Therefore, the project impacts previously documented would not change significantly. For these reasons, the Blake Station area is considered to have acceptable operations and the modeling was not revised to reflect the reduction in park-and-ride capacity at this station.

### 1.2.2 Louisiana Station

The revised analysis for the Louisiana Station included an increase in park-and-ride spaces from 230 spaces to 350 spaces. The AM and PM peak hour Build turning movement volumes for the Opening Year and 2040 conditions at Louisiana Station with the larger park-and-ride facility are provided in Appendix A.

No changes in geometrics or operations have been made as a result of the revised project scope. The intersection geometrics for the Louisiana Station analysis area are provided as a reference in Appendix B.

The analysis in this section replaces the Louisiana Station results previously presented in Section 5.2.2 in the June 29, 2015 PEC-East Traffic Technical Memorandum.

The results of the revised Opening Year Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS B or better during the peak hour scenarios.

The results of the 2040 Build AM and PM peak hour analysis showed that all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS B or better during the peak hour scenarios.

The overall intersection results are shown in Table 1.4 below.
No queuing issues were identified in the Opening Year or 2040 Build conditions.
The full table of Build conditions LOS and queuing analysis results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 1.4. Louisiana Station - Revised Build Conditions Results

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Opening <br> Year Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Build <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event | Opening <br> Year Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event <br> Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St11.4 <br> B |
|  | 9.3 | B | 12.2 | 13.4 |
|  | A | 10.3 | 8.8 | B |

The results of the updated analysis show no change in intersection LOS with the larger park-and-ride facility at Louisiana Station. The adjacent intersections would still be expected to have acceptable operations, no queuing issues have been identified, and no additional improvements are needed to accommodate the additional traffic.

### 1.2.3 Beltline Station

The revised project scope for the Beltline Station includes a decrease in park-and-ride size, from 545 spaces to 268 spaces. The change in park-and-ride spaces would be expected to result in a decrease in traffic of approximately 152 vehicles in the AM peak and approximately 141 vehicles in the PM peak. By comparison, the number of vehicles entering the Beltline Blvd/CSAH 25 intersection is forecast to be approximately 3,640 vehicles in the 2040 AM peak and 4,280 vehicles in the 2040 PM peak.

The projected change in peak hour volume due to the smaller park-and-ride represents a reduction of approximately three to four percent. Therefore, the expected change in traffic volume due to the change in park-and-ride size would be expected to be small compared to the overall traffic volumes in the area. No changes in geometrics or operations have been made as a result of the revised project scope. The proposed signal at CSAH 25/Lynn Ave would still be needed as part of the SWLRT project because Lynn Ave provides the only exit from the park-and-ride site to the roadway network. Based on the projected volume of park-and-ride traffic, even with the smaller park-and-ride site, a signal would be needed to provide for the left-turn movements from Lynn Ave onto CSAH 25. The intersection geometrics for the Beltline Station analysis area are provided as a reference in Appendix B.

The previous modeling, as documented in the June 29, 2015 PEC-East Traffic Technical Memorandum, showed that no significant impact on intersection LOS, delay, or queuing would be expected as a result of the project. For all intersections in the Beltline Station analysis area, the previous modeling showed no more than 11 additional seconds of overall intersection delay as a result of the Build project, and several intersections were shown to have improved operations in the Build conditions due to the improvements included as part of the SWLRT project. In addition, all intersections operated at LOS D or better in the Build conditions and most operated at LOS C or better. The previous modeling also showed that queuing issues in the Beltline Station area were similar or less
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in the Build conditions compared with the No Build conditions, due to the intersection and turn lane improvements on Beltline Blvd and CSAH 25.

As a reference, tables comparing the LOS for each intersection across all the Existing, Opening Year, and 2040 scenarios are shown for reference in Table 1.5 and Table 1.6. It should be noted that these are the same results previously reported in the June 29, 2015 PEC-East Traffic Technical Memorandum and do not reflect any new analysis.

Table 1.5. Beltline Station - AM Peak Results (No Freight Event)

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing AM No Freight Event | Opening Year <br> No Build AM <br> No Freight Event | Opening <br> Year <br> Build <br> AM <br> No Freight Event | 2040 <br> No Build AM <br> No Freight Event | 2040 <br> Build AM <br> No Freight Event | 2040 <br> Build <br> Without <br> LRCI <br> AM <br> No Freight <br> Event |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | $\begin{gathered} 29.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.9 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.6 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.4 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.7 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.5 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| CSAH 25/ <br> Lynn Ave * <br> (side street stop-controlled <br> in Existing and <br> No Build conditions) | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection
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Table 1.6. Beltline Station - PM Peak Results (No Freight Event)

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing PM <br> No Freight Event | Opening Year No Build PM <br> No Freight Event | Opening <br> Year <br> Build PM <br> No Freight Event | 2040 <br> No Build <br> PM <br> No Freight <br> Event | 2040 <br> Build PM <br> No Freight Event | 2040 <br> Build Without LRCI PM No Freight Event |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 38.2 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.1 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.2 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 52.5 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40.6 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.3 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd* | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 34.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave * <br> (side street stop-controlled <br> in Existing and <br> No Build conditions) | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection
Based on the level of geometric and operational improvements being made in the Beltline Station area as part of the SWLRT project and the results of the previous modeling that demonstrate the intersections have similar delays and overall operations under multiple Build scenarios, a reduction in peak hour volume of three to four percent would be expected to result in a nominal change in intersection delays and no changes in overall intersection LOS or queuing in the Build conditions. Therefore, the project impacts previously documented would not change significantly. For these reasons, the Beltline Station area is considered to have acceptable operations and the modeling was not revised to reflect the reduction in park-and-ride capacity at this station.

### 1.2.4 Beltline Station Joint Development

The joint development scenario assumed for the Beltline Station site has not changed as a result of the revised project scope. The following land uses and sizes still represent the maximum build-out of the joint development:

- 12,200 square feet of retail
- 260 residential units
- 312,000 square feet of office

The trips expected to be generated by this development, as documented in the June 29, 2015 PEC-East Traffic Technical Memorandum, were as follows:

- 2040 Build AM Peak: 377 trips (332 trips in, 45 trips out)
- 2040 Build PM Peak: 364 trips (62 trips in, 302 trips out)

Compared to the Build volumes, the additional vehicle traffic expected to be generated by the joint development represents an eight to 10 percent increase in peak hour traffic at the Beltline Blvd/CSAH 25 intersection in 2040. The decrease in the number of park-and-ride spaces would result in the overall traffic volumes in the Build Joint Development scenario decreasing by the same amount as previously described for the Build conditions (three to four percent reduction).

The previous analysis as documented in the June 29, 2015 PEC-East Traffic Technical Memorandum showed all intersections operated at LOS D or better in the Joint Development scenarios, and no significant changes in intersection LOS, delay, or queuing occurred as a result of the joint development traffic. The 2040 Build and 2040 Build Joint Development operations, as previously reported in the June 29, 2015 PEC-East Traffic Technical Memorandum, are shown for reference in Table 1.7.

Table 1.7. Beltline Station - 2040 Build Joint Development Results (No Freight Event)

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Delay and LOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 <br> Build <br> AM <br> No Freight Event | 2040 <br> Build PM No Freight Event | 2040 Build Joint Development AM No Freight Event | 2040 Build Joint Development PM No Freight Event |
| Beltline Blvd/ CSAH 25 | $\begin{gathered} 29.4 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.6 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.7 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ South Frontage Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Rail Crossing | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.8 \\ \text { A } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.0 \\ \text { A } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Beltline Blvd/ Park Glen Rd* | $\begin{gathered} 21.5 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.6 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| CSAH 25/ Lynn Ave | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

*Side street stop-controlled intersection
As shown in the table, a volume increase of more than 350 trips in each of the AM and PM peak hours resulted in less than five additional seconds of delay at all intersections except the main site access (CSAH 25/Lynn Ave). In addition, all intersections continued to operate at LOS D or better in the 2040 Build Joint Development scenarios. A reduction in peak hour volume of one to five percent would be expected to result in nominal changes in intersection delays and no changes in overall intersection LOS or queuing at any of the intersections in the Build Joint Development scenario.

In addition, no increase in size or density of the Joint Development land uses are planned as a result of the reduced park-and-ride size. Therefore, the incremental changes in traffic operations between the Build and Build Joint Development scenarios would be expected to be the same as previously documented because
both scenarios would have the same peak hour volume reductions (152 vehicles in AM peak and 141 vehicles in PM peak).

Based on the analysis previously presented and the supporting information above, a reduction in peak hour volume of five percent or less would be expected to result in a nominal change in intersection delays and no changes in overall intersection LOS or queuing in the Build Joint Development conditions. Therefore, the project impacts previously documented would not change significantly. For these reasons, the Beltline Station area is considered to have acceptable operations and the modeling was not revised to reflect the reduction in park-and-ride capacity at this station.

### 2.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The changes to the proposed SWLRT project scope and improvements presented in this memorandum included:

- Reduction in park-and-ride spaces from 240 spaces to 89 spaces at Blake Station.
- Elimination of the proposed joint development at Blake Station.
- Increase in park-and-ride spaces from 230 spaces to 350 spaces at Louisiana Station.
- Reduction in park-and-ride spaces from 545 spaces to 268 spaces at Beltline Station.

At Blake Station, the reduction in park-and-ride spaces would result in a two to three percent reduction in peak hour volumes at the study intersections. This would be expected to result in nominal changes in intersection delays and no changes in overall intersection LOS or queuing in the Build conditions. Therefore, the project impacts previously documented would not change significantly. For these reasons, the Blake Station area is considered to have acceptable operations and the modeling was not revised to reflect the reduction in park-and-ride capacity at this station.

At Louisiana Station, the revised modeling with increased park-and-ride spaces showed no changes in intersections LOS compared to the traffic operations previously documented in the June 29, 2015 PECEast Traffic Technical Memorandum. The revised modeling with increased park-and-ride spaces showed that:

- In the revised Opening Year Build conditions all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS B or better in the AM and PM peak.
- In the revised 2040 Build conditions, all intersections would be expected to operate at LOS B or better in the AM and PM peak.

At Beltline Station, the proposed reduction in park-and-ride spaces would result in less than a five percent reduction in peak hour volumes at the study intersections. This would be expected to result in nominal changes in intersection delays and no changes in overall intersection LOS or queuing in the Build and Build Joint Development conditions. Therefore, the project impacts previously documented would not change significantly. For these reasons, the Beltline Station area is considered to have acceptable operations and the modeling was not revised to reflect the reduction in park-and-ride capacity at this station.
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Appendix A - Build Forecast Traffic Volumes
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Table A1. Opening Year Build AM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 50 | 270 | 20 | 230 | 480 | 205 | 70 | 15 | 35 | 20 | 20 | 55 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 15 | 255 | 85 | 210 | 280 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |

Table A2. Opening Year Build PM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 20 | 540 | 10 | 70 | 290 | 85 | 240 | 20 | 25 | 15 | 25 | 205 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 0 | 365 | 20 | 30 | 300 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 90 | 0 | 185 |

Table A3. 2040 Build AM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 65 | 310 | 25 | 240 | 555 | 230 | 80 | 20 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 65 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 20 | 295 | 105 | 250 | 330 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 |

Table A4. 2040 Build PM Peak Hour Turning Movement Forecasts

| Intersection | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Louisiana Ave/ Oxford St | 25 | 640 | 15 | 75 | 355 | 95 | 270 | 20 | 30 | 15 | 30 | 215 |
| Louisiana Ave/ Louisiana Cir | 0 | 450 | 25 | 40 | 360 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 10 | 105 | 0 | 205 |
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Appendix B - Intersection Layout Tables
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| Intersection | Exising | No Build | Build | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{ \pm 4.4}{\frac{1}{r}} \frac{1}{4}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\xrightarrow[\\|]{\\|=}$ | $\because \underset{H}{\\|}$ | $=\ddot{z=\\|} \mid$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{14}{4}+$ | $\frac{41}{4}+\frac{1}{4}$ |  |  |
| Legend |  |  |  | $\stackrel{N}{4}$ |
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| Intersection | Existing | No Build | Build | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ciol | $\frac{\pi 4}{\frac{1}{t}} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\pi}$ | $\frac{\pi 44}{\frac{4}{5}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$ |  |  |
|  | $\frac{+14}{\frac{1}{7}} \frac{1}{i}$ | $\frac{+4}{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\frac{1}{t}}{1+2}$ | $\frac{+4 t}{\frac{t}{4}}+\frac{\frac{t}{4}}{1 \pi}$ |  |
|  |  | $\frac{\pi-\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{2}{n}}$ | $\frac{+14}{\frac{1}{2}}$ |  |
|  | $\frac{4+1}{4}$ | $\frac{4+1}{\frac{1}{4}+1 t}$ | $\frac{4 t}{\frac{1}{3}}$ |  |
| $\underbrace{\text { Raic }}_{\text {gethin e ivs }}$ | $4$ | $-4$ | $=\\|$ | \% |
| Legend |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
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| Intersection | Existing | No Build | Build | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\#+1}{4+4 t}$ |  | $\frac{4+1}{4+4 t}$ |  |
|  | $\frac{F}{F}$ | $\frac{\ddagger}{7}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{5}{5}}{\text { F }}$ |  |

Traffic Signal
Stop Control
N = NB Approach
S = SB Approach
E = EB Approach
W = WB Approach


LRT
Freight Rail
Prot = Protected Left-Turn
Phase
Prot+Perm = Protected/
Permissive Left-Turn Phase
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Appendix C - Traffic Analysis Detailed Results

AM Peak Hour Level of Service by Approach and Intersection Delay and Level of Service

|  |  | Opening Year |  |  |  |  |  | 2040 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Build LRT <br> No Freight Event |  |  | Build LRT + <br> 75-Car Freight |  |  | Build LRT <br> No Freight Event |  |  | Build LRT + 75-Car Freight |  |  |
| Intersection | Appr | LOS |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Delay } \\ \hline \text { Inter } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | LOS |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Delay } \\ \hline \text { Inter } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | LOS |  | Delay <br> Inter | LOS |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Delay } \\ \hline \text { Inter } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  | Appr | Inter |  | Appr | Inter |  | Appr | Inter |  | Appr | Inter |  |
| Louisiana Ave \& Oxford St ${ }^{1,2}$ | NB | B | B | 11.4 | ---- | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | B | 12.0 |  | - | - |
|  | EB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louisiana Ave \& Louisiana Circle ${ }^{1,2}$ | NB | A | A | 9.3 | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | B | 10.3 | - | - | - |
|  | EB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

All intersections modeled in VISSIM unless otherwise noted.

1. Freight is grade separated at this location and does not interact with roadway traffic, therefore freight events were not modeled for this intersection.
2. Intersection modeled in Synchro/SimTraffic.

PM Peak Hour Level of Service by Approach and Intersection Delay and Level of Service

|  |  | Opening Year |  |  |  |  |  | 2040 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Build LRT <br> No Freight Event |  |  | Build LRT + 75-Car Freight |  |  | Build LRT <br> No Freight Event |  |  | Build LRT + 75-Car Freight |  |  |
| Intersection | Appr | LOS |  | Delay | LOS |  | Delay | LOS |  | Delay | LOS |  | Delay |
|  |  | Appr | Inter | Inter | Appr | Inter | Inter | Appr | Inter | Inter | Appr | Inter | Inter |
| Louisiana Ave \& Oxford St ${ }^{\text {1,2 }}$ | NB | B | B | 12.2 | ---- | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | B | 13.4 |  | - | - |
|  | EB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louisiana Ave \& Louisiana Circle ${ }^{\text {1,2 }}$ | NB | B | A | 8.8 | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | A | 10.0 | - | - | - |
|  | EB | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SB | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WB | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

All intersections modeled in VISSIM unless otherwise noted.

1. Freight is grade separated at this location and does not interact with roadway traffic, therefore freight
events were not modeled for this intersection.
2. Intersection modeled in Synchro/SimTraffic.

## Storage Length

|  |  | 2018 |  |  | 2040 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Build |  |  | Build |  |  |
| Intersection | Appr |  | tive Stor ance (fe |  |  | tive Stor ance (fe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rage } \\ & \text { et) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT |
| Louisiana Ave \& Oxford St | NB | 150 | $490{ }^{1}$ | - | 150 | $490{ }^{1}$ | - |
|  | EB | 130 | 2500 | - | 130 | 2500 | - |
|  | SB | 120 | 1250 | - | 120 | 1250 | - |
|  | WB | 130 | $1000{ }^{1}$ | - | 130 | $1000{ }^{1}$ | - |
| Louisiana Ave \& Louisiana Circle | NB | 200 | 1450 | 200 | 200 | 1450 | 200 |
|  | EB | - | 220 | 45 | - | 220 | 45 |
|  | SB | 215 | $360{ }^{1}$ | - | 215 | $360{ }^{1}$ | - |
|  | WB | - | 550 | 95 | - | 550 | 95 |

Storage Length for through lanes is measured to the nearest upstream full access public intersection, unless otherwise noted.

1. Storage length measured to rail crossing.


Storage Length for through lanes is measured to the nearest upstream full access public intersection, unless otherwise noted.


[^0]:    *Side street stop-controlled intersection

[^1]:    N／A indicates link or turn lane was eliminated or the scenario was not modele

[^2]:    *Side street stop-controlled intersection

