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## 1. Introduction

Active Traffic Management (ATM) consists of a suite of technologies which improve the operational efficiency of highway systems by dynamically managing traffic flow and dissemination of information to the users of the system. It has also been seen that ATM helps in reducing the likelihood of accidents related to speed differentials. A brief description for some of these ATM techniques is given below:

1. Speed Harmonization/Lane Control: This consists of dynamically adjusting speed limits on a freeway corridor based on the level of congestion. This reduces the risk of accidents and optimizes the flow of vehicles through the corridor.
2. Queue Warning: This consists of displaying information about downstream traffic backups to the motorists using Variable Message Signs (VMS). This informs motorists of downstream queuing and lane closures, allowing motorists to select alternate routes or lanes and reduce queue buildup.
3. Dynamic Re-routing: This consists of providing information to the motorists regarding alternate routes when there is downstream congestion. Guidance is provided to the motorists to move to alternate routes.
4. Hard Shoulder Running: This allows for allowing motorists to use the freeway shoulder during congested periods. It helps in reducing congestion during peak periods. For implementing this strategy the shoulders should be upgraded to full depth pavements and monitored vehicle refuge areas should be constructed for disabled or stopped vehicles.

An evaluation of the various ATM techniques was to choose a technology that would best serve the needs of the Minneapolis-St. Paul region. After considering a dynamic re-routing system and a speed harmonization/ lane control system it was decided that the latter alternative would be the preferred ATM strategy for the region. Six corridors were selected for studying the deployment of the speed harmonization /lane control system. The selection of the corridors was based on the 2005-2007 freeways and major expressway crash map and the 2008 metro freeway congestion maps for the morning and evening peak periods. The corridors selected were:

- I-35 W (SB only)
- I-35 E AND I-694
- TH-36
- I-94 AND I-394
- TH-62
- I-494

This report describes the methodology used for analyzing the implementation of speed harmonization/ lane control on these corridors, the results of the analysis and some key takeaways. A comparative cost-benefit analysis approach was used to analyze the different alternatives. The analysis enabled the development of an ATM deployment strategy and helped integrate it into the long term vision for the region.

## 2. Methodology

In this study the different alternatives were modeled using the software tool ITS Deployment Analysis System (IDAS). IDAS is a systematic analysis tool for evaluation of the benefits and costs of Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) deployments. The modeling and analysis process involved three major tasks:

1. Modification of the IDAS software to add ATM as an ITS element under Freeway Management Systems
2. Developing the Minneapolis-St. Paul transportation network in IDAS using data from the regional travel demand model.
3. Development of various deployment alternatives and performing cost-benefit analysis.

### 2.1. IDAS Modification to add ATM

Off the shelf IDAS software does not have a module for ATM deployments. For the purpose of this analysis the IDAS software was modified to add two ATM components. These additions were made under the "Freeway Management System" element available in IDAS. The two ATM elements added to the software were:

1. ATM 3-Lane: This consisted of the gantry and all associated ITS equipment required for ATM implementation on a 3 lane one way freeway. The gantry is assumed to be deployed every half mile and the cost is $\$ 300 \mathrm{~K}$. The O\&M costs are assumed to be $7.5 \%$ of capital costs annually and the life of the equipment is assumed to be 100 years. The variation in the cost is assumed to be $10 \%$
2. ATM 4-Lane: This consisted of the gantry and all associated ITS equipment required for ATM implementation on a 4 lane one way freeway. The gantry is assumed to be deployed every half mile and the cost is $\$ 300 \mathrm{~K}$. The O\&M costs are assumed to be $7.5 \%$ of capital costs annually and the life of the equipment is assumed to be 100 years. The variation in the cost is assumed to be $10 \%$

Default data for the IDAS software are stored in several spreadsheets. The addition of the ATM deployments to the IDAS software required the revision of the following base spreadsheets.

1. DirectBenefits2 3.xls: This spreadsheet contains the data for the "ITS Library" in IDAS. It provides field notes for observed improvements for each of the different ITS components
2. ITSEntryDefaults2 3.xls: This spreadsheet contains the data for the impact fields; default values and drop down menus displayed when the Edit Impacts button is clicked after ITS deployments are made in IDAS.
3. Equip2 3.xls: This spreadsheet provides the description, specification and prerequisites for each ITS component. It also describes the elements used for each component, their cost values and useful life.
4. Curves2 3.xls: This spreadsheet has the default values for the Volume-delay curves. It defines the speed factor values for varying volume to capacity ratios. The data is defined for urban and suburban/rural freeways, arterials and ramps.

Each of the first three spreadsheets were updated to incorporate ATM 3-Lane and ATM 4-Lane components to the model. The "ITS Library" was updated to reflect the benefits assumed for these deployments (based on European experience). The "ITS EntryDefaults" spreadsheet was updated to add the impact values for the proposed ATM deployment. The "Equipment" spreadsheet was updated to define the components and costs of these two new ATM elements.
The default values in the "Curves" spreadsheet are based on the Bureau of Public records formula for computing speed factor. However the Minneapolis-St.Paul regional travel demand model uses conical delay functions for computing speed factors. The spreadsheet was updated to reflect the values using the conical delay functions.

The modified spreadsheets were renamed as:

1. DirectBenefits2_5.xls
2. ITSEntryDefaults2_5.xls
3. Equip2_5.xls
4. Curves2_5.xls

The modified spreadsheets were imported into the IDAS software for the ATM elements be available in the "ITS Elements" workspace.
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Fig 1: IDAS workspace with ATM elements.

### 2.2. IDAS Model

The Minneapolis-St.Paul transportation network was developed in IDAS using the data from the CUBE travel demand model (TDM) used by the Metropolitan Council. The data used for building the network included the node coordinate file, the links data file and the origin-destination matrices for each time period and market sector. Three market sectors used in the analysis are:

1. Single Occupancy Vehicles (Avg. Vehicle occupancy $=1.42$ )
2. High Occupancy Vehicles (Avg. Vehicle occupancy $=2.74$ )
3. Trucks (Avg. Vehicle occupancy $=1.58$ )

The alternatives were developed for two time periods using the 2030 TDM data. The periods are:

1. AM Peak Period: 6:00 a.m. - 9:00 a.m.
2. PM Peak Period: 2:30 p.m. - 5:30 p.m.

Seven alternatives were developed and evaluated for each of the two periods. Six alternatives involved deployment of ATM on the six corridors identified earlier and the seventh alternative involved deployment of ATM on all corridors.

The model assumes that ATM deployment (Speed Harmonization/Lane Control) results in reduced accident rate. These result in increased throughput for the corridor. Dynamic message signs which are part of an ATM system help in dissemination of important travel related information to the motorists. This results in improved operational efficiency for the corridor. The primary benefits value assumptions made in the model regarding the impact of deployment of a speed harmonization/lane control system are provided below:
I. Dynamic Message Sign

- Percent Vehicles passing sign that save time $=28 \%$
- Percent time the sign is turned on and disseminating information=10\%
- Average amount of time savings (min.) = 11
II. Speed Harmonization/Lane Control

1. Capacity Change: $5 \%$
2. Accident Rate Reductions:

- Fatality $=30 \%$
- Injury = 30\%
- Property Damage = 16\%

A discount rate of 5\% was assumed in the Costs Module.. An inflation rate of 5\% was assumed in the Alternatives Comparison Module. The variation in the cost values was assumed to be $+/-10 \%$. The annual operations and maintenance costs for the speed harmonization/ lane control system was assumed to be $7.5 \%$ of the capital costs. All results for this analysis are reported in 2010 dollars.


Fig 2: Screenshot of Minneapolis-St. Paul network in IDAS

### 2.3. Cost-Benefit Analysis

The first step in the analysis process using IDAS is to run trip assignment again for each of the alternatives. When trip assignment is done in IDAS it redistributes trips on the network based on the ITS elements deployed on the network for the alternative. Once trip assignment is run it computes the difference in values for the various measures such as vehicle miles of travel (VMT), vehicle hours of travel (VHT), average speed, number of person trips etc. Using these measures it computes the dollar value for the improvement in the performance measures of the network with the ITS improvement compared to the base case. The benefits values are annualized and total of all these benefits values is the "Total Annual Benefits". Similarly during the analysis process the capital costs and the operations and maintenance ( $\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}$ ) costs for the ITS equipment deployed are computed and annualized. This is reported as the "Total Annual Cost". In order to compare between the various alternatives IDAS provides the values for the "Net Benefits" (Total Annual Benefits - Total Annual Costs) and the benefit to cost ratio. It should be noted that for most analysis these measures are comparative only as they provide the relative performance of one alternative over the other. This is due to the fact that not all benefits measures are selected when running the benefits module. Again, the cost values are also highly dependent on how accurately the capital costs, the O\&M costs and the life of the equipment is built into the model. The results of the AM peak analysis and PM peak analysis are provided in Table1 and Table 2 respectively.

## Benefit/Cost Summary



## Benefit/Cost Summary



## 3. Results \& Conclusion

Looking at the benefit cost summary for both the AM peak period and the PM peak period we see that the highest benefit to cost ratio and net benefits is for implementing speed harmonization/lane control system on all the identified corridors. This means that investment in deploying the ATM system on the corridors would yield benefits for the metropolitan highway system and help improve the operation of the system. The results of the analysis for each corridor help develop the strategy for systematic deployment on the network. If we rank order the corridors based on the benefit-cost ratio for each of the periods we get the following ranked list.

| Corridor | B/C Ratio | Rank |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |
| TH-36 | 17.14 | 1 |
| TH-62 | 17.03 | 2 |
| I-35 W SB | 15.42 | 3 |
| I-35 E AND I-694 | 15.42 | 4 |
| I-494 | 13.42 | 5 |
| PM Peak |  |  |
| I-94 AND I-394 | 6.81 |  |
| TH-62 | 62.12 | 6 |
| I-35 E AND I-694 | 60.52 | 2 |
| I-35 W SB | 56.87 | 3 |
| I-494 | 49.99 | 4 |
| I-94 AND I-394 | 45.12 | 5 |

Table 3: Corridors rank ordered by benefit cost ratio
As we see from the results the TH-36 and TH-62 corridors provide have the highest benefit-tocost ratio and should be the first corridors in which the system should be deployed. More complex decision models can also be employed to select alternatives that are based on specific goals. Appendix B provides the values of the risk analysis performed for each alternative. The risk analysis results can also be used for developing a deployment strategy.

In a nutshell it can be said that the results of the analysis prove that ATM deployment on the corridors would provide an efficient means of managing these corridors and would prove to be an efficient and cost effective strategy. ATM also enables the agencies in the region make best use of their existing ITS infrastructure. As such ATM should be an integral part of any transportation plan for the region.

## APPENDIX A <br> AM PEAK RESULTS BY MARKET SECTOR

| $\qquad$ | By: Market Sector | SOV | HOV | Trucks | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicle Miles of Travel |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 11,073,304 | 3,689 | 456,701 | 11,533,694 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 11,074,882 | 3,679 | 456,772 | 11,535,334 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 1,578(0.0\%) | -9(-0.3\%) | 71 (0.0\%) | 1,640(0.0\%) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 E } \\ \text { AND I- } \\ 694 \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 11,072,781 | 3,673 | 456,734 | 11,533,189 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -523(0.0\%) | -15 (-0.4\%) | $33(0.0 \%)$ | -505(0.0\%) |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 11,071,921 | 3,677 | 456,698 | 11,532,296 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 1,383(0.0\%) | -11 (-0.38) | -4 (0.0\%) | 1,398(0.0\%) |
| I-94 <br> AND I- <br> 394 | ITS Option | 11,074,186 | 3,678 | 456,758 | 11,534,621 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $882(0.0 \%)$ | -11 (-0.3\%) | 57 (0.0\%) | 928 (0.0\%) |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 11,073,275 | 3,671 | 456,735 | 11,533,680 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -29(0.0\%) | -18(-0.5\%) | 33 (0.0\%) | -14(0.08) |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 11,075,310 | 3,689 | 456,793 | 11,535,792 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 2,006(0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 92 (0.0\%) | 2,098(0.0\%) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { CORRID } \\ \text { ORS } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 11,073,174 | 3,671 | 456,769 | 11,533,614 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -130 (0.0\%) | -18(-0.5\%) | 68 (0.0\%) | -80(0.0\%) |
| Vehicle Hours of Travel |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 463,974 | 158 | 16,321 | 480,452 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 463,941 | 158 | 16,322 | 480,421 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -33(0.08) | 0 (0.18) | 1 (0.0\%) | -32(0.08) |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 1-35 \mathrm{E} \\ \text { AND I- } \\ 694 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 463,902 | 158 | 16,317 | 480,377 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -73(0.0\%) | 0 (0.1\%) | -3(0.0\%) | -76(0.0\%) |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 463,957 | 158 | 16,322 | 480,436 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -18(0.0\%) | 0 (0.18) | $1(0.08)$ | -17(0.0\%) |
| I-94AND I394 | ITS Option | 464,062 | 158 | 16,323 | 480,543 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 88 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.2\%) | $2(0.08)$ | 90 (0.0\%) |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 463,946 | 158 | 16,320 | 480,424 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -28(0.0\%) | 0 (0.18) | -1 (0.0\%) | -29(0.0\%) |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 464,009 | 158 | 16,322 | 480,489 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 35 (0.0\%) | $0(0.2 \%)$ | $1(0.08)$ | 37 (0.0\%) |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ALL } \\ \text { CORRID } \\ \text { ORS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ITS Option | 463,740 | 158 | 16,311 | 480,208 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -234(-0.1\%) | $0(0.2 \%)$ | -10 (-0.1\%) | -244(-0.1\%) |
| Average Speed |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 23.9 | 23.4 | 28.0 | 24.0 |
| I-35 W | ITS Option | 23.9 | 23.3 | 28.0 | 24.0 |


| SB | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(-0.4 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | 24 | 23 | 28 | 24 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(-0.5 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 24 | 23 | 28 | 24 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(-0.4 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}-94 \\ \text { AND I- } \\ 394 \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 24 | 23 | 28 | 24 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(-0.5 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 24 | 23 | 28 | 24 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(-0.6 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| 1-494 | ITS Option | 24 | 23 | 28 | 24 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(-0.2 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) |
| ALL CORRID ORS | ITS Option | 24 | 23 | 28 | 24 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(-0.7 \%)$ | 0 (0.1\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
|  | Person Hours of Travel |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 463,974 | 158 | 16,321 | 480,452 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 658,796 | 432 | 25,788 | 685,017 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -47(0.0\%) | 1(0.1\%) | $2(0.0 \%)$ | -44(0.0\%) |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | 658,740 | 432 | 25,782 | 684,954 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -103(0.0\%) | 0 (0.1\%) | -5 (0.0\%) | -108(0.0\%) |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 658,818 | 432 | 25,788 | 685,039 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -25 (0.0\%) | 1 (0.1\%) | $1(0.0 \%)$ | -23(0.0\%) |
| I-94AND I-394 | ITS Option | 658,968 | 432 | 25,790 | 685,191 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 125 (0.0\%) | 1(0.2\%) | $3(0.0 \%)$ | 129 (0.0\%) |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 658,803 | 432 | 25,786 | 685,021 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -40 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.1\%) | -1(0.0\%) | -41(0.0\%) |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 658,893 | 432 | 25,789 | 685,114 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $50(0.0 \%)$ | 1(0.2\%) | $2(0.0 \%)$ | $53(0.0 \%)$ |
| ALL CORRID ORS | ITS Option | 658,510 | 432 | 25,771 | 684,714 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -333(-0.1\%) | 1(0.2\%) | -16(-0.1\%) | -348(-0.1\%) |
| Number of Person Trips |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 1,993,774 | 444 | 113,536 | 2,107,754 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 1,993,774 | 444 | 113,536 | 2,107,754 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | 1,993,774 | 444 | 113,536 | 2,107,754 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 1,993,774 | 444 | 113,536 | 2,107,754 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
|  | ITS Option | 1,993,774 | 444 | 113,536 | 2,107,754 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 1,993,774 | 444 | 113,536 | 2,107,754 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 1,993,774 | 444 | 113,536 | 2,107,754 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { CORRID } \\ \text { ORS } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 1,993,774 | 444 | 113,536 | 2,107,754 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) |
|  | Number of Fatality Accidents |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | $1.3234 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.6652 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.3117E-03 | $1.3768 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | $1.1882 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.4199E-05 | 4.7709E-03 | 1.2362E-01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{gathered} -3.974 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.004 \mathrm{E}- \\ 07(-0.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.554 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4.231 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.3 \%) \end{array}$ |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | $1.1898 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.4171E-05 | 4.7818E-03 | 1.2379E-01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -2.336 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.292 \mathrm{E}- \\ 07(-0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.466 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.485 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04(-0.2 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 1.1913E-01 | 2.4178E-05 | 4.7868E-03 | 1.2394E-01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{gathered} -8.603 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.215 \mathrm{E}- \\ 07(-0.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -9.725 \mathrm{E}- \\ 06(-0.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -9.598 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.1 \%) \end{array}$ |
| I-94AND I394 | ITS Option | 1.1860E-01 | 2.4241E-05 | 4.7507E-03 | 1.2338E-01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -6.159 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.593 \mathrm{E}- \\ 07(-0.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4.576 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -6.618 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | $1.1911 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.4114E-05 | 4.7853E-03 | 1.2392E-01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & -1.094 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04\left(-0.1 \frac{10}{} \mathbf{4}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.859 \mathrm{E}- \\ 07(-1.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.116 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.209 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 1.1882E-01 | 2.4120E-05 | 4.7751E-03 | 1.2361E-01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & -4.031 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04(-0.3 \circ) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.799 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 07(-1.1 \circ) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.141 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.248 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04(-0.3 \circ) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { ALL } \\ \text { CORRID } \\ \text { ORS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ITS Option | $1.1736 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.3897E-05 | 4.6665E-03 | 1.2205E-01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -1.858 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-1.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.031 \mathrm{E}- \\ 07(-2.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-1.3 \mathrm{E}-04(- \\ 2.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.989 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-1.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Number of Injury Accidents |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | $1.2277 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.4198E-03 | 4.9164E-01 | 1.2771E+01 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-35 W } \\ & S B \end{aligned}$ | ITS Option | $1.0874 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.1728E-03 | 4.3480E-01 | 1.1311E+01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -3.093 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.778 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.967 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.291 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | $1.0888 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.1696 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.3569E-01 | $1.1326 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{gathered} -1.738 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.096 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.078 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.848 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 1.0898E+01 | 2.1716E-03 | 4.3605E-01 | 1.1337E+01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{gathered} -6.754 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.9 \mathrm{E}-05(- \\ 0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -7.201 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -7.493 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| I-94 AND I394 | ITS Option | 1.0860E+01 | $2.1761 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.3342E-01 | $1.1296 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{gathered} -4.521 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.448 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.351 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4.858 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02\left(-0.4 \frac{2}{\circ}\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | $1.0897 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.1660 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.3595E-01 | 1.1335E+01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -8.039 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.454 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 05(-1.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8.211 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04(-0.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8.885 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 03(-0.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| I-494 | ITS Option | $1.0875 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.1692E-03 | 4.3521E-01 | 1.1312E+01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -3.03 \mathrm{E}-02(- \\ 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.135 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.556 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.187 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ALL } \\ \text { CORRID } \\ \text { ORS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ITS Option | $1.0765 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.1477 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.2703E-01 | 1.1195E+01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{gathered} -1.398 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01(-1.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4.284 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-2.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -9.737 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-2.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.495 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01(-1.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Number of PDO Accidents |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | $1.7613 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.3948 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.0371 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.8320 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | $1.5498 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.0204E-03 | 6.1881E-01 | 1.6120E+01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{gathered} -2.108 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.373 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.317 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.242 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| I-35 E | ITS Option | 1.5507E+01 | 3.0143E-03 | 6.1943E-01 | 1.6129E+01 |


| AND I694 | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -1.248 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.983 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -6.977 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.321 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 1.5513E+01 | 3.0162E-03 | 6.1963E-01 | $1.6135 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & -6.353 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 03(0.0 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.799 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.007 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6.882 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 03(0.0 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ITS Option | $1.5489 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.0253E-03 | $6.1795 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.6110 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -3.014 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.883 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.18 \mathrm{E}-03(- \\ 0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.234 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | $1.5513 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.0100 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.1956 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.6136 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & -6.044 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 03(0.0 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.412 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.704 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6.649 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 03(0.0 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| I-494 | ITS Option | $1.5499 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.0254E-03 | 6.1910E-01 | $1.6121 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -2.02 \mathrm{E}-02(- \\ 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.872 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.03 \mathrm{E}-03(- \\ 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.125 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| ALL CORRID ORS | ITS Option | $1.5420 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.9979 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.1346 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.6037 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -9.868 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4.629 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-1.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -6.665 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.054 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01(-0.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Travel Time Reliability (hours of unexpected delay) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 6,383.87 | 8.04 | 122.69 | 6,514.60 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 13,539.31 | 10.89 | 270.10 | 13,820.29 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -140.01(- \\ 1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.12(- \\ 1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.66(- \\ 1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -142.79(- \\ 1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | 13,643.00 | 10.98 | 271.58 | 13,925.55 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -36.31(- \\ 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.03(- \\ 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.18(- \\ 0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -37.53(- \\ 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 13,672.84 | 11.10 | 272.59 | 13,956.53 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -6.47(0.0\%) | 0.10 (0.9\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -0.17(- \\ 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -6.55(0.0\%) |
| I-94AND I-394 | ITS Option | 13,643.79 | 10.93 | 271.96 | 13,926.68 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -35.52(- \\ 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.08(- \\ 0.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.80(- \\ 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -36.40(- \\ 0.3 \%) \end{array}$ |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 13,699.86 | 11.05 | 272.82 | 13,983.73 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 20.55 (0.2\%) | 0.05 (0.4\%) | 0.06 (0.0\%) | $20.65(0.1 \%)$ |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 13,558.30 | 10.90 | 269.38 | 13,838.59 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -121.01(- \\ 0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.11(- \\ 1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.38(- \\ 1.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -124.49(- \\ 0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { CORRID } \\ \text { ORS } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 13,394.41 | 10.78 | 265.52 | 13,670.71 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -284.91(- \\ 2.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.22(- \\ 2.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-7.24(- \\ 2.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -292.37(- \\ 2.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## APPENDIX B PM PEAK RESULTS BY MARKET SECTOR

| ATM Deploy ment Scenari 0 | By: Market Sector | SOV | HOV | Trucks | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicle Miles of Travel |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 28,499,674 | 5,209 | 654,578 | 29,159,461 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 28,501,146 | 5,209 | 654,622 | 29,160,977 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 1,472 (0.0\%) | $1(0.0 \%)$ | 44 (0.0\%) | 1,517(0.0\%) |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | 28,499,220 | 5,206 | 654,572 | 29,158,998 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -454 (0.0\%) | -3(-0.1\%) | -6(0.0\%) | -463(0.0\%) |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 28,499,362 | 5,209 | 654,587 | 29,159,158 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -312(0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $9(0.0 \%)$ | -303(0.0\%) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-94 } \\ \text { AND I- } \\ 394 \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 28,500,566 | 5,206 | 654,621 | 29,160,392 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 892 (0.0\%) | -3(-0.1\%) | 43 (0.0\%) | 932 (0.0\%) |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 28,498,466 | 5,207 | 654,555 | 29,158,228 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 1,208(0.0\%) ${ }^{-}$ | -2 (0.0\%) | -23(0.0\%) | 1,233(0.0\%) ${ }^{-}$ |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 28,498,966 | 5,209 | 654,557 | 29,158,732 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -708(0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | -21(0.0\%) | -729(0.0\%) |
| ALL CORRID ORS | ITS Option | 28,503,974 | 5,211 | 654,742 | 29,163,927 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 4,300(0.0\%) | $3(0.1 \%)$ | 164 (0.0\%) | 4,466(0.0\%) |
|  | Vehicle Hours of Travel |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 774,267 | 136 | 20,145 | 794,548 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 774,119 | 136 | 20,140 | 794,395 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -148(0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | -5 (0.0\%) | -153(0.0\%) |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | 774,014 | 136 | 20,138 | 794,289 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -253(0.0\%) | $0(-0.1 \%)$ | -7(0.0\%) | -259(0.0\%) |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 774,125 | 136 | 20,140 | 794,401 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -142(0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | -5 (0.0\%) | -147(0.0\%) |
| I-94 AND I394 | ITS Option | 774,154 | 136 | 20,143 | 794,433 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -113(0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | -3(0.0\%) | -115 (0.0\%) |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 774,062 | 136 | 20,139 | 794,337 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -204 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | -7 (0.0\%) | -211(0.0\%) |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 773,982 | 136 | 20,138 | 794,255 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -285(0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | -7 (0.0\%) | -293(0.0\%) |
|  | ITS Option | 773,455 | 136 | 20,123 | 793,714 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -812 (-0.1\%) | $0(-0.1 \%)$ | -22(-0.1\%) | -834(-0.1\%) |
|  | Average Speed |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 36.8 | 38.3 | 32.5 | 36.7 |
| I-35 W | ITS Option | 36.8 | 38.3 | 32.5 | 36.7 |


| SB | Difference (\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 0 (0.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | 36.8 | 38.3 | 32.5 | 36.7 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 36.8 | 38.3 | 32.5 | 36.7 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| I-94AND I-394 | ITS Option | 36.8 | 38.3 | 32.5 | 36.7 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 36.8 | 38.3 | 32.5 | 36.7 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(-0.1 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 36.8 | 38.3 | 32.5 | 36.7 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| ALL CORRID ORS | ITS Option | 36.9 | 38.4 | 32.5 | 36.7 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.1\%) | 0 (0.1\%) | 0 (0.1\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
|  | Person Hours of Travel |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 1,099,459 | 372 | 31,829 | 1,131,661 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 1,099,248 | 372 | 31,822 | 1,131,442 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -211(0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | -8(0.0\%) | -218(0.0\%) |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | 1,099,100 | 372 | 31,819 | 1,131,291 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -359(0.0\%) | $0(-0.1 \%)$ | -11(0.0\%) | -370 (0.0\%) |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 1,099,257 | 372 | 31,821 | 1,131,451 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -202(0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | -8(0.0\%) | -210(0.0\%) |
| I-94AND I-394 | ITS Option | 1,099,299 | 372 | 31,825 | 1,131,497 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -160 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | -4 (0.0\%) | -164 (0.0\%) |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 1,099,169 | 372 | 31,819 | 1,131,360 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -290(0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | -10(0.0\%) | -301(0.0\%) |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 1,099,054 | 372 | 31,817 | 1,131,244 |
|  | Difference (\%) | -405 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | -12(0.0\%) | -417(0.0\%) |
| ALL CORRID ORS | ITS Option | 1,098,306 | 372 | 31,795 | 1,130,473 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -1,153(- \\ 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $0(-0.1 \%)$ | -35 (-0.1\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -1,188(- \\ 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Number of Person Trips |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 4,574,629 | 775 | 172,127 | 4,747,531 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 4,574,629 | 775 | 172,127 | 4,747,531 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | 4,574,629 | 775 | 172,127 | 4,747,531 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 4,574,629 | 775 | 172,127 | 4,747,531 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-94 } \\ \text { AND I- } \\ 394 \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 4,574,629 | 775 | 172,127 | 4,747,531 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 4,574,629 | 775 | 172,127 | 4,747,531 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 4,574,629 | 775 | 172,127 | 4,747,531 |
|  | Difference (\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ | 0 (0.0\%) | $0(0.0 \%)$ |


| ALLCORRID ORS | ITS Option | 4,574,629 | 775 | 172,127 | 4,747,531 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Difference (\%) | $0(0.08)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $0(0.08)$ | $0(0.08)$ |
|  | Number of Fatality Accidents |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 2.9392E-01 | $4.0276 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.9436 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.0091 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | $2.9228 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.8071 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.9039 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.9923 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -1.64 \mathrm{E}-03(- \\ 0.6 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.205 \mathrm{E}- \\ 06(-5.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.972 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.682 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}-35 \mathrm{E} \\ \text { AND I- } \\ 694 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | $2.9286 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.0244E-05 | 6.9213E-03 | $2.9982 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -1.067 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.273 \mathrm{E}- \\ 08(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.232 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.09 \mathrm{E}-03(- \\ 0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 2.9352E-01 | $4.0250 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.9284 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.0048E-01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & -4.091 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04(-0.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} -2.65 \mathrm{E}-08(- \\ 0.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.52 \mathrm{E}-05(- \\ 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.244 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04(-0.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| I-94 AND I394 | ITS Option | $2.9121 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.0172 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.8756 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.9812 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -2.719 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.043 \mathrm{E}- \\ 07(-0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -6.806 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.787 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | $2.9343 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.0051E-05 | 6.9273E-03 | $3.0040 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-4.91 \mathrm{E}-04(- \\ 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.253 \mathrm{E}- \\ 07(-0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.637 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.076 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| I-494 | ITS Option | $2.9250 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.9220 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.9093E-03 | $2.9945 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{gathered} -1.428 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.057 \mathrm{E}- \\ 06(-2.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-3.43 \mathrm{E}-05(- \\ 0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.463 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| ALL <br> CORRID <br> ORS | ITS Option | $2.8632 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.6852 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.7491 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.9310 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -7.607 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-2.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.424 \mathrm{E}- \\ 06(-8.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.945 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-2.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -7.805 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-2.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Number of Injury Accidents |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | $2.6162 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.3475 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.2588 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.6791 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | $2.6041 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.1872 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.2297 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.6667E+01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -1.212 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01(-0.5 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.603 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04(-4.8 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.911 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 03(-0.5 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.243 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 01(-0.5 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | 2.6080E+01 | 3.3433E-03 | 6.2417E-01 | 2.6708E+01 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -8.179 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.171 \mathrm{E}- \\ 06(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.706 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -8.35 \mathrm{E}-02(- \\ 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | $2.6131 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.3444 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.2474 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.6759 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-3.11 \mathrm{E}-02(- \\ 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.057 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 06(-0.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-1.14 \mathrm{E}-03(- \\ 0.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.225 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-94 } \\ \text { AND I- } \\ 394 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | $2.5964 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.3386 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.2091 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.6588 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -1.98 \mathrm{E}-01(- \\ \left.0.8 \frac{1}{2}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -8.863 \mathrm{E}- \\ 06(-0.3 \circ) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.963 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.03 \mathrm{E}-01(- \\ 0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 2.6125E+01 | $3.3284 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 6.2467E-01 | $2.6753 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -3.677 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.905 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.209 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.8 \mathrm{E}-02(- \\ 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| I-494 | ITS Option | $2.6055 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.2661 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 6.2333E-01 | $2.6682 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{gathered} -1.073 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01(-0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -8.134 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-2.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.544 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.099 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01(-0.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ALL } \\ \text { CORRID } \\ \text { ORS } \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | ITS Option | 2.5602E+01 | 3.0947E-03 | $6.1156 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.6217 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -5.602 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01(-2.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.527 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-7.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.431 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-2.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.748 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01\left(-2.1 \frac{2}{8}\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Number of PDO Accidents |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | $3.7053 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.6138 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 8.9069E-01 | $3.7948 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | $3.6967 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4.5029E-03 | 8.8867E-01 | $3.7861 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -8.533 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.109 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-2.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.022 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -8.746 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{I}-35 \mathrm{E} \\ & \text { AND I- } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ITS Option | $3.6992 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4.6073E-03 | 8.8940E-01 | $3.7886 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | -6.097E- | -6.483E- | -1.289E- | -6.227E- |


| 694 |  | $02(-0.2 \%)$ | 06 (-0.1\%) | 03 (-0.1\%) | 02 (-0.2\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | $3.7028 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4.6092E-03 | 8.8985E-01 | $3.7923 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -2.43 \mathrm{E}-02(- \\ 0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.589 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 06(-0.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8.416 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04(-0.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.514 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 02(-0.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-94 } \\ \text { AND I- } \\ 394 \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | $3.6915 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4.6054E-03 | 8.8723E-01 | $3.7807 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{gathered} -1.379 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01(-0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -8.458 \mathrm{E}- \\ 06(-0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.459 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03(-0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.414 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01(-0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | $3.7024 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4.5943E-03 | 8.8981E-01 | $3.7919 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -2.844 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.955 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -8.801 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04(-0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.934 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| I-494 | ITS Option | $3.6972 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4.5453E-03 | 8.8882E-01 | $3.7865 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{gathered} -8.064 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -6.849 \mathrm{E}- \\ 05(-1.5 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.875 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 03(-0.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8.259 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 02(-0.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { CORRID } \\ \text { ORS } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | $3.6656 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4.4308E-03 | 8.8055E-01 | $3.7541 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -3.965 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01(-1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.83 \mathrm{E}-04(- \\ 4.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.014 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02(-1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4.069 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01(-1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Travel Time Reliability (hours of unexpected delay) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Alternative | 7,732.28 | 2.00 | 143.97 | 7,878.25 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-35 W } \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 7,629.71 | 1.97 | 141.76 | 7,773.44 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -102.57(- \\ 1.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.03(- \\ 1.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.21(- \\ 1.5 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-104.81(- \\ 1.3 \%) \end{array}$ |
| I-35 E AND I694 | ITS Option | 7,482.87 | 1.98 | 138.76 | 7,623.62 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -249.41(- \\ 3.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.02(- \\ 0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.20(- \\ 3.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -254.63(- \\ 3.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TH-36 | ITS Option | 7,682.18 | 1.98 | 142.58 | 7,826.74 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-50.10(- \\ 0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.02(- \\ 0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.39(- \\ 1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-51.51(- \\ 0.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I-94 } \\ \text { AND I- } \\ 394 \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 7,715.42 | 1.98 | 143.59 | 7,860.98 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -16.86(- \\ 0.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.02(- \\ \left.1.1 \frac{1 \%}{\circ}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.38(- \\ 0.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -17.26(- \\ 0.2 \%) \end{array}$ |
| TH-62 | ITS Option | 7,706.33 | 1.96 | 142.91 | 7,851.20 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -25.95(- \\ 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.03(- \\ 1.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.06(- \\ 0.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -27.05(- \\ 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| I-494 | ITS Option | 7,609.75 | 1.92 | 141.13 | 7,752.80 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -122.53(- \\ 1.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.08(- \\ 4.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.84(- \\ 2.0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -125.44(- \\ 1.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { CORRID } \\ \text { ORS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ITS Option | 7,126.24 | 1.88 | 130.02 | 7,258.14 |
|  | Difference (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} -606.04(- \\ 7.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.11(- \\ \left.5.7 \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -13.95(- \\ 9.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -620.11(- \\ 7.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## APPENDIX C RISK ANALYSIS

## I-35 W SB (AM Peak)



- Mean $B / C$ Ratio $=16.98$
- Median B/C Ratio = 16.2
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio = 15.42
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=60 \%$


## I-35 E And I-694 (AM Peak)



Fig
Histogram $B / C$ ratio for 35E And I694 (AM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio $=16.83$
- Median B/C Ratio = 15.9
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio = 15.42
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=60 \%$

Peak)

(AM

Fig 5: Histogram for $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio for I-35E And I-694 (AM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio $=18.63$
- Median B/C Ratio = 17.97
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio = 17.14
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=60 \%$


## I-94 And I-394 (AM Peak)



Fig
Histogram $B / C$ ratio for 94 and I394 (AM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio $=7.45$
- Median B/C Ratio = 7.06
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio = 6.81
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=60 \%$


## TH-62 (AM Peak)



Fig
Histogram for B/C ratio for TH-62 (AM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio = 18.41
- Median B/C Ratio = 17.41
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio = 17.03
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=60 \%$


## I-494 (AM Peak)



Fig Histogram $B / C$ ratio for 494 (AM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio $=14.79$
- Median B/C Ratio = 13.95
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio $=13.42$
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=60 \%$


## All



## Corridors (AM Peak)

Fig 9: Histogram for $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio for All Corridors(AM Peak)

- Mean $B / C$ Ratio $=22.76$
- Median B/C Ratio = 21.41
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio = 20.72
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=60 \%$


## I-35 W SB (PM Peak)



Fig
10:
Histogram $B / C$ ratio for 35 W SB (PM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio = 56.54
- Median B/C Ratio = 53.61
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio = 49.99
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=60 \%$


## I-35 E And I-694 (PM Peak)



Fig
11:
Histogram $B / C$ ratio for 35E And I694 (PM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio = 65.91
- Median B/C Ratio $=62.14$
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio = 56.87
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=60 \%$

TH-36 Peak)


## (PM

Fig
12:
Histogram for B/C ratio for TH-36 (PM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio $=67.72$
- Median B/C Ratio $=64.57$
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio $=60.52$
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=59 \%$

I-94 And I-394 (PM Peak)


Fig 13:
Histogram $B / C$ ratio for 94 and $\mathrm{I}-$ 394 (PM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio $=32.08$
- Median B/C Ratio = 30.61
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio $=27.54$
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=70 \%$


## TH-62 (PM Peak)



Fig
14:
Histogram $B / C$ ratio for TH-62 (PM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio $=70.77$
- Median B/C Ratio = 66.99
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio = 62.12
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=57 \%$


## I-494 (PM Peak)



Fig

15:
Histogram for B/C ratio for I494 (PM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio = 52.23
- Median B/C Ratio = 50.25
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio = 45.12
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=70 \%$


## All Corridors (PM Peak)



Fig
16:
Histogram for B/C ratio for All Corridors (PM Peak)

- Mean B/C Ratio $=85.41$
- Median B/C Ratio = 79.75
- Cost-Benefit Analysis B/C Ratio = 77.37
- Confidence level that value will be greater than or equal to the Analysis Value $=58 \%$

